

# Behavioural Evidence and Chemical Identification of a Female Sex Pheromone in *Anagrus Atomus* (Hymenoptera: Mymaridae)

**Penelope Zanolli**

Università degli Studi di Udine

**Desiderato Annoscia**

Università degli di Udine

**Virginia Zanni**

Università degli Studi di Udine

**Francesco Nazzi**

Università degli Studi di Udine

**Francesco Pavan** (✉ [francesco.pavan@uniud.it](mailto:francesco.pavan@uniud.it))

Università degli Studi di Udine <https://orcid.org/0000-0001-9111-2144>

---

## Research Article

**Keywords:** Egg parasitoids, Eugenol, Empoasca vitis, GC-MS, Biological control

**Posted Date:** February 20th, 2021

**DOI:** <https://doi.org/10.21203/rs.3.rs-167039/v1>

**License:**   This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License.

[Read Full License](#)

---

**Version of Record:** A version of this preprint was published at Journal of Chemical Ecology on April 16th, 2021. See the published version at <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10886-021-01272-z>.

# Abstract

*Anagrus atomus* (L.) is an egg parasitoid used for the biological control of *Empoasca vitis* (Goethe) in vineyards. Sex pheromones play a crucial role in mate finding process of several parasitoid species and could be used for monitoring under field conditions. We carried out lab and field studies aiming at assessing the existence and identity of a possible *A. atomus* sex pheromone. We found that males were significantly attracted by virgin female independently from female age. Males were not attracted from individuals of the same sex but they were attracted by crude extract of an unmated female and its polar fraction. Eugenol (4-allyl-2-methoxyphenol) was identified as the attractive substance and proved to be attractive not only in the olfactometer but also in another laboratory bioassay and under field conditions. Attraction of males, but not females, confirms that this is not an aggregation pheromone. This is the first sex-pheromone component identified in Mymaridae but more compounds could be involved in the mating behaviour of *A. atomus*. The utility of a sex pheromone in *A. atomus* is discussed in the context of fitness returns.

## Introduction

Mate finding is a crucial step in the mating system of insects and the use of reliable information may increase both the probability of finding a mate and mating success. Several stimuli (e.g. visual and tactile) can be used for this purpose but chemicals produced by members of both sexes are mainly involved (Symonds et al. 2009). Depending on the function, the range of activity of pheromones can vary: highly volatile compounds released by females are used by males for long-range orientation during mate finding (> 2 cm) (Steiner et al. 2006), whereas chemicals of relative low volatility mediate male courtship behaviour at close range (Steiner et al. 2006). Sex pheromones play a crucial role in mate finding in most Hymenopterous parasitoids (Kainoh 1999; Ruther 2013); until now, the sex-pheromone components of more than 20 species, belonging to 10 families, have been identified (Quicke 1997; Kainoh 1999; Eller et al. 1984; Pompanon et al. 1997; Keeling et al. 2004; Nichols et al. 2010; Ruther et al. 2011; Salerno et al. 2012; Ruther 2013; Hrabar et al. 2015). In the family Mymaridae, evidence of a sex-pheromone has been reported for one species only (Cormier et al. 1998); however, no sex-pheromone components have been identified so far. Parasitoids' sex pheromones are more often produced by females but there is increasing evidence of sex pheromones production by males as well (Steiner et al. 2006; Steiner e Ruther 2009; Ruther 2013).

Practical interest in sex pheromones is normally limited to insect pests' attractants for their possible use in integrated pest management (Witzgall et al. 2010; Mainers and Peri 2013). Among the semiochemicals associated with natural enemies, mostly kairomones and synomones are considered in the pest control strategies (James 2003; James and Price 2004; James 2005; Rodriguez-Saona et al. 2012). However, the possibility to capture natural enemies with traps baited with alive females (Jewett and Carpenter 2001) or with lures containing their sex pheromones (Eller et al. 1984; Gabrýs et al. 1997; De Lury et al. 1999; Suckling et al. 2002; Itadani and Ueno 2014) has been exploited for research purposes.

*Anagrus atomus* L. (Hymenoptera: Mymaridae) is a cosmopolitan egg parasitoid of leafhoppers (Huber 1986; Chiappini 1987; Waloff and Jervis 1987; Arnò et al. 1988; Triapitsyn 1998; Matteucig and Viggiani 2008) playing an important role in the biological control of the green leafhopper *Empoasca vitis* (Göthe) (Hemiptera: Cicadellidae) in European vineyards (Vidano et al. 1988; Cerutti et al. 1991; Pavan and Picotti 1993, 2009; Picotti and Pavan 1993; Hermann and Eichler 2000; van Helden and Decante 2001; Böll and Schwappach 2003; Boller et al. 2004; Böll and Hermann, 2004; Zanolli and Pavan 2011, 2013). *Anagrus atomus* was suspected to be a complex of species, specialized on different leafhopper species, distinguishable on the basis of morphological characters, cuticular hydrocarbon and genetic analysis (Chiappini 1987; Chiappini et al. 1996; Trjapitzin and Chiappini 1994; Floreani et al. 2006; de León et al. 2009; Triapitsyn 2015; Zanolli et al. 2016; Nugnes et al. 2017; Viggiani and Nugnes 2018). However, recently an extensive review, considering both morphological characters and genetic analysis, suggested that the variability should be intraspecific, rather than interspecific (Triapitsyn et al. 2020).

*Anatus atomus* overwinters inside the eggs of alternative hosts on the spontaneous vegetation surrounding vineyards (Boller et al. 2004; Ponti et al. 2003; Viggiani et al. 2006; Zanolli and Pavan 2011). In spring, the parasitoid moves into the vineyards where it completes several generations per year in *E. vitis* eggs (Cerutti et al. 1991). In autumn, *A. atomus* migrates back to the surrounding vegetation, where overwintering eggs are laid in eggs of leafhoppers other than *E. vitis* (Zanolli and Pavan 2011, 2013).

As many other parasitoids, the mating system of *A. atomus* is characterized by protandry with males emerging first and followed by females (Zanolli and Pavan 2013); the sex ratio is generally 1:1 (Zanolli and Pavan 2011). *A. atomus* females begin to lay eggs as soon as they emerge and have a life expectancy of 9 days at 24 °C (Agboka et al. 2004). In *A. atomus*, as in most in hymenopteran parasitoids, male offspring emerges from unfertilized haploid eggs (arrhenotokous parthenogenesis) and female offspring from fertilized diploid eggs (Choudhury and Copland 2003; Heimpel and De Boer 2008).

In male parasitoids, the perception of the female sex pheromone is often followed by wing fanning (Vinson 1972, Böttinger et al. 2020); this behaviour has also been exploited for the purpose of pheromone isolation (Nazzi et al. 1995). When males of *A. incarnatosimilis* Soyka and *A. breviphragma* Soyka, that are closely related to *A. atomus*, recognize a virgin female, they raise their wings perpendicular to the dorsum and quickly move towards the female (Moratorio and Chiappini 1995). In *A. breviphragma*, mated females can still attract males such that, occasionally, a second insemination can occur (Moratorio and Chiappini 1995).

This study was carried out to ascertain the occurrence and identity of a female sex pheromone in the parasitoid *A. atomus* through behavioural bioassays and chemical analyses. The research work included three consecutive steps: (1) verification of the existence of a female sex pheromone through behavioural bioassays, (2) identification of the chemical eliciting males' response, and (3) laboratory and field tests of the attractiveness of the identified compound towards males.

The gathered information on the female sex pheromone of *A. atomus* could be useful to monitor wasp populations in the field, to delineate their spatial distributions and to determine potential sources of

parasitoids in the context of habitat management strategies.

## Methods And Materials

### Insect Rearing

*Anagrus atomus* was supplied by Biowise (Petworth, West Sussex, UK) as parasitized eggs of the leafhopper *Hauptidia maroccana* (Melichar) (Hemiptera: Cicadellidae) reared on *Primula* sp. plants. Parasitized eggs inside the leaf portions were individually isolated in vials (1.5 × 10 cm) and kept in a climatic chamber (Sanyo Versatile Environmental Test Chamber) at 60 ± 5% RH and 24 ± 1 °C with a daily light/dark cycle of 16:8 hours. The vials were checked daily for adult emergence. Unmated males and females to be used in the bioassays were maintained in the same vial where development took place until use. Mated females were obtained by putting couples of newly emerged females and males in a vial until mating was observed and then placed back to their respective vial. After emergence parasitoid wasps were maintained under the same conditions described above.

### Olfactometer Bioassays

All behavioural experiments were performed using a four-arms olfactometer (diameter 10 cm) (Pettersson 1970; Vet et al. 1983); the stimuli to be tested (i.e. alive insects, extracts and pure substances on a strip of filter paper) were placed in a syringe connected with an arm upwind the exposure chamber and closed at the opposite end with a mesh, so that one field of the olfactometer was treated with the odour to be tested and three others arms served as odourless control fields. The olfactometer was placed in a dark cardboard box to exclude the interference of possible external visual cues. All bioassays were carried out between 10:00 am and 6:00 pm at 24 ± 1.5 °C, in a darkened room. The olfactometer was illuminated from the top under a 7.500 lux light source. The air stream was maintained at 400 ml/min. One hour before the beginning of an experimental session, parasitoid wasps in vials were placed in the test room to get acclimatized to experimental conditions. To avoid any asymmetrical bias, the olfactometer was rotated 90° clockwise after each bioassay. Parasitoid wasps were introduced individually through a hole in the centre of the olfactometer's ceiling. The test started as soon as the insect entered the olfactometer and lasted for 10 min. Each wasp was tested only once. The first choice made by the insects and the time spent in each odour field were recorded with the computer program "OLFA 1.0" (Nazzi 1995). After each test, the olfactometer was dismantled, scrupulously washed with 70% ethanol and then rinsed with water. If not otherwise specified, in the different experiments 12 parasitoid wasps per treatment were tested.

During the olfactometer essays, the male behaviour (i.e., general behaviour, wing fanning and locomotory activity) was also recorded.

### Behavioural Evidence of a Female Attractive Pheromone

To ascertain the existence of a female sex pheromone in *A. atomus*, four experiments were conducted. Experiments 1 and 2 were carried out to test the hypothesis that *A. atomus* females release a pheromone to attract males and whether male responsiveness is influenced by the age and mating status of female;

these experiments were performed using the four-arms olfactometer. In Experiment 1 and 2, the response of males towards 0 to 4 day-old unmated females and towards 0 to 3 day-old mated females, was evaluated, respectively. 0 day-old females were tested within 24 hours from the emergence.

Experiment 3 was carried out to test the possible attraction of males to conspecific males, using 0–1 day-old unmated males both as a bait and test insects.

Experiment 4 aimed at testing the response of males to the crude extract of 0–1 day-old unmated female. For this purpose, twelve 0–1 day-old unmated females were killed by freezing (30 min, -20 °C) and then extracted  $\mu\text{l}$  at room temperature. The resulting extracts were stored at -20 °C until use. For each of the 12 replicates of the bioassay, one female equivalent of the extract (10  $\mu\text{l}$ ) was applied to a strip of filter paper (absorbent paper 500  $\mu\text{m}$ , 0.5  $\times$  3.0 cm), and the solvent was allowed to evaporate. Then the strip was inserted into the syringe in the treated arm of the four-arm olfactometer. For each replicate, a new strip of paper was used.

## Identification of a Candidate Pheromone

To isolate and identify the female sex pheromone demonstrated as above, we carried out experiment 5. This involved testing the biological activity of three fractions of the female extract fractions using 0–1 day-old unmated males as test insects. For this purpose, ether extracts of *A. atomus* females were fractionated by liquid chromatography, and the resulting fractions bioassayed as usual. Batches of 15 freshly emerged females were extracted with 150  $\mu\text{l}$  at room temperature as described above, then the extract was loaded on a column packed with 100 mg silica gel (200–400 mesh, pore size 60 Å. Sigma Aldrich US) and eluted sequentially with 1 ml each of hexane and ether. One female equivalent of each fraction in 10  $\mu\text{l}$  of the solvent was tested in the olfactometer against sixteen individual *A. atomus* males. Ether and hexane alone were also tested.

## Chemical Analysis of the Crude Extract

Ether extracts of males and females (N = 10 for each sex) were prepared as described above and analysed by coupled gas chromatography-mass spectrometry (GC-MS) on a Varian 3400 gas chromatograph coupled with a Varian Saturn 2000 mass spectrum detector, equipped with CIP-SIL 8 capillary column (30 m  $\times$  0.25 mm I.D.: 0.25  $\mu\text{m}$  thickness). The oven temperature was 50 °C for 1 min, followed by a ramp to 320 °C at 10 °C/min; final temperature was hold for 2 min. Carrier gas was He, maintained at a  $\text{coul } ^\circ\text{C}$ . Interface temperature was maintained at 250 °C. Mass Spectrometry (MS) detection was performed with electron impact (EI) mode at 70 eV by operating in the fil-mul delay in the 40–650 amu range. The identification of the volatile compounds emitted by females but not by males was performed by comparison of the females and males crude extract; unknown spectra were identified using the NIST Library (National Institute of Standards and Technologies, US) as a reference for spectra and retention indexes.

## Coinjection of the Female Crude Extract and Synthetic Eugenol

An ether extract of females (N = 50) was prepared as described above, reduced under nitrogen to 5  $\mu$ l, and 2  $\mu$ l of this extract analysed by GC-MS. Then 1  $\mu$ l of a solution of synthetic eugenol in ether (0.01  $\mu$ g/ $\mu$ l, Sigma Aldrich US) was added to the remaining extract and 1  $\mu$ l of this mixture analysed by GC-MS. This analysis served also for the purpose of roughly quantifying the amount of eugenol associated to the major peak found in the female extract but not in the male one.

## Testing of the Candidate Sex Pheromone Component

In Experiment 6, the activity of synthetic eugenol on males and females was evaluated in the olfactometer bioassays. Responses of *A. atomus* males (N = 16) to eugenol were assessed at different doses (0.1-0.5-1-5-10-100 ng). Ether was used as control as the treatment stimulus was dissolved in that solvent.

The response to eugenol was also tested with 16 individual *A. atomus* unmated females (N = 16) in order to exclude that the substance is an aggregation rather than a sex pheromone. Ether was used as control as above.

## Cage Bioassays

To confirm the attractiveness of eugenol in the lab, the response of 0–1 day-old unmated males was tested in a Plexiglas's cage with ventilation holes covered with mesh (30  $\times$  60  $\times$  50 cm) and exposed to natural light. 50  $\mu$ l eugenol, corresponding to 50 ng of pure compound were pipetted onto a strip of filter paper (absorbent paper, 0.5  $\times$  3.0 cm) and, after evaporation of the solvent, the strip was fixed in the middle part of a yellow sticky trap (15  $\times$  5 cm). Another trap baited with 50  $\mu$ l of ether was used as control. Traps were hanging from the top of the cage and males were released inside once a day. The bioassay lasted five days. Every 24 hours, a batch of five males was released and the traps were replaced. The number of males captured on traps was counted.

## Field Experiments

This study was carried out in an insecticide unsprayed vineyard (cultivar Tocai Friulano) located in a hilly grape-growing area (locality Buttrio, 46° 01' latitude N, 13° 21' longitude E, 90 m a.s.l.). Yellow sticky traps (11.5  $\times$  24 cm) smeared with Temooxid® (Kollant S.r.l., Vigonovo, Venice, Italy) were used for *A. atomus* monitoring (Picotti and Pavan 1993; Zanolli and Pavan 2011). Six traps baited with eugenol were compared with six control traps. Lures were prepared with 9  $\mu$ g (1  $\times$  3 cm) with perforated plastic caps. Under laboratory conditions, vials used as bait released about 20 ng of eugenol per day, but under field conditions greater release is likely. On each baited trap a vial with eugenol was hanged in the upper part while in the control traps empty vials were applied. Traps were distributed in the vineyard following a randomized blocks scheme with six replicates (rows). Traps were replaced twice a week and baits were changed at each replacement. *Anagrus atomus* male captures at each sampling interval were counted in the laboratory under a dissection microscope. Within *A. atomus* species, the intraspecific taxa *A. atomus* and *A. parvus* Soyka were also distinguished (Zanolli et al. 2016).

## Statistical Analysis

Wasp residence time in the treated and control arms of the olfactometer was compared using a paired one-tailed *t*-test.

To compare the male response to females of different ages or physiological status (unmated/mated), the proportions of residence time in the treated arm with respect to control arms were calculated and before statistical analysis data were arcsine transformed. To compare the male response to females of different age, *ANOVA* and *Tukey test* were performed, whereas to compare the male response to unmated/mated females an *unpaired t*-test was performed.

Number of males captured on yellow sticky traps, in cage bioassays, was compared with Binomial test.

To compare the total field captures of *A. atomus* males on the eugenol baited and control traps over all the monitoring periods, *Wilcoxon matched-pairs signed-ranks test* was applied considering sampling dates as replications.

Statistical analyses were performed with GraphPad InStat 3.0 for Macintosh.

## Results

### Behavioural Evidence of a Female Produced Sex Pheromone

In Experiment 1, males were significantly attracted towards the olfactometer arm containing the odour of a virgin female (*paired t test*,  $N = 12$ ; 0 days:  $t = 6.21$ ,  $P < 0.001$ ; 1 day:  $t = 7.12$ ,  $P < 0.001$ ; 2 days:  $t = 4.0$ ,  $P = 0.002$ ; 3 days:  $t = 3.86$ ,  $P = 0.003$ ; 4 days:  $t = 6.85$ ,  $P < 0.001$ ; Fig. 1). Attraction was not significantly affected by female age (*unpaired ANOVA*,  $df = 4$ ,  $F = 0.87$ ,  $P = 0.49$ ).

In Experiment 2, mated females also elicited a positive male response (Fig. 2). No significant attraction was found on day 2 after female mating, but significance resumed at day 3 (*paired t test*,  $N = 12$ ; 0 days:  $t = 2.13$ ,  $P = 0.028$ ; 1 day:  $t = 2.60$ ,  $P = 0.012$ ; 2 days:  $t = 0.53$ ,  $P = 0.30$ ; 3 days:  $t = 2.70$ ,  $P = 0.002$ ). Overall, female age did not seem to affect male response (*unpaired ANOVA*,  $df = 3$ ,  $F = 1.69$ ,  $P = 0.18$ ).

Moreover, in both Experiments 1 and 2, males exposed to the odour released by a virgin female showed wing fanning and increased locomotory activity. In particular, most males fan their wings in presence of a virgin female, whereas, only a small proportion of those exposed to the odour of a mated female did so.

The comparison between the proportion of time that males spent in the arm of the olfactometer treated with the odour of a virgin or a mated female revealed that one- and two-days old males were significantly more attracted to unmated females than to mated ones (*unpaired t test*,  $N = 12$ ; 0 days:  $t = 2.04$ ,  $P = 0.054$ , 1 day:  $t = 3.10$ ,  $P = 0.005$ ; 2 days:  $t = 3.04$ ,  $P = 0.006$ ; 3 days:  $t = 0.47$ ,  $P = 0.64$ ; Fig. 3).

In Experiment 3, males did not stay longer in the arm of the olfactometer treated with the odour of a young male (*paired t test*,  $N = 12$ ,  $t = 1.49$ ,  $P = 0.08$ ; Fig. 1).

In Experiment 4, males showed a strong attraction towards one female equivalent of the crude extract of unmated females (*paired t test*,  $N = 16$ ,  $t = 7.43$ ,  $P < 0.001$ . Figure 4). The male response to the extract was similar to that displayed to a living unmated female, although no wing fanning was noted in this case.

## Identification of a Candidate Pheromone

In Experiment 5, the more polar fraction of a female extract elicited a significant behavioural response from males (*paired t test*,  $N = 12$ ,  $t = 5.05$ ,  $P < 0.001$ ), whereas neither the apolar fraction (*paired t test*,  $N = 12$ ,  $t = 0.66$ ,  $P = 0.26$ ) nor ether (*paired t test*,  $N = 7$ ,  $t = 1.43$ ,  $P = 0.09$ ) or hexane (*paired t test*,  $N = 12$ ,  $t = 0.34$ ,  $P = 0.37$ ), used to extract the polar and the apolar fractions respectively, significantly affected the male behaviour (Fig. 4).

## Chemical Analysis of *A. atomus* Crude whole Extract

The comparison of GC-MS analyses of virgin males' and females' crude extracts revealed only minor differences; the most notable difference regarded one peak that was found only in the female extracts (Fig. 5). The peak was identified as eugenol by comparison of the mass-spectrum and retention time with of an authentic standard and confirmed by coinjection. The amount of eugenol calculated per female was less than 1 ng.

## Testing of the Candidate Pheromone

In Experiment 6, male wasps were attracted to synthetic eugenol in the olfactometer responding to several doses ranging from 0.5  $\mu\text{g}$  to 10  $\mu\text{g}$  (*paired t test*,  $N = 16$ , 0.1  $\mu\text{g}$ :  $t = 1.07$ ,  $P = 0.15$ ; 0.5  $\mu\text{g}$ :  $t = 2.71$ ,  $P = 0.008$ ; 1  $\mu\text{g}$ :  $t = 1.98$ ,  $P = 0.033$ ; 5  $\mu\text{g}$ :  $t = 1.46$ ,  $P = 0.08$ ; 10  $\mu\text{g}$ :  $t = 1.92$ ,  $P = 0.037$ ; 100  $\mu\text{g}$ :  $t = 1.05$ ,  $P = 0.16$ ; Fig. 6) Unmated females did not show attraction towards the dose that appeared to be most attractive to males (*paired t test*,  $N = 16$ ,  $t = 0.10$ ,  $P = 0.46$ ; Fig. 6).

## Cage bioassays

Under lab conditions yellow sticky traps baited with eugenol captured more caged males than control traps (*binomial test*,  $P = 0.02$ . Figure 7).

## Field experiments

Under open field conditions, yellow sticky traps baited with eugenol captured more *A. atomus* males than control traps (*Wilcoxon matched-pairs signed-ranks test*,  $W = 16.5$ ,  $N = 13$ ,  $P < 0.05$ ; Fig. 8). If only the intraspecific taxa *A. atomus* was considered with *A. atomus* species, the differences were also significant (*Wilcoxon matched-pairs signed-ranks test*,  $W = 18.0$ ,  $N = 13$ ,  $P < 0.05$ ).

## Discussion

The attraction of *A. atomus* male wasps to both alive virgin females and their crude extract support the hypothesis that a volatile sex pheromone is released by females similarly to what previously found in

other hymenopterous parasitoids (Simser and Coppel 1980; Eller et al. 1984; Nazzi et al. 1995; De Lury et al. 1999; Ruther et al. 2000; Salerno et al. 2012;). Attraction of males, but not females, confirms that this is not an aggregation pheromone.

Olfactometer and cage bioassays suggest that the attractant is a long-range pheromone, since the distance at which it is perceived by *A. atomus* males is greater than 2 cm (Keeling et al. 2004). The females of other *Anagrus* species, such as *A. delicatus* (Cronin and Strong 1990), *A. incarnatosimilis* and *A. breviphragma* (Moratorio and Chiappini 1995), mate at the emergence site. For this reason, it is likely they do not produce a long-range attractant in analogy with other hymenopterous parasitoids showing the same reproductive behaviour (Godfray 1994). In fact, in this case, males emerge first and then wait for the female's emergence to mate on site. In contrast, in the case of *A. atomus*, that does not mate at emergence site because it parasitizes solitary eggs (Agboka et al. 2004), and where only males are normally produced when females do not meet a male before egg laying, a female produced long range attractant facilitates male search. The natural selection may favour sex pheromone production if the population is not at sex ratio equilibrium or if there are advantages in having the capability of producing both sons and daughters (Godfray 1994). Metabolic costs are involved in the production of long-range sex pheromones and so it is likely that *A. atomus* females gain advantage from mating.

Although some difference between unmated and mated females was noted, they both appeared to be attractive to males. Therefore, the production/release of the pheromone by *A. atomus* females seems not to cease after mating as would be expected if multiple matings occurred. Polyandry is known in other Mymarids such as, for example *Anaphes nitens* (Santolamazza-Carbone and Pestaña 2010) and a second mating was occasionally observed also in *Anagrus breviphragma* (Moratorio and Chiappini 1995). The fitness returns from multiple matings of old females, are not clear since egg supply and quality usually decrease with mother age (Giron and Casas 2003); nevertheless, a high tendency to re-mate of old individuals was observed in other wasps such as *Nasonia vitripennis* (Burton-Chellew et al. 2007) and could be related to the limited storage capacity of the spermatheca (Santolamazza-Carbone and Pestaña 2010). In hymenopteran haplodiploid species, sperm depletion followed by the oviposition of unfertilized male eggs is possible (Godfray 1990). In this case, since the evolutionary stable strategy sex ratio in panmictic populations is 1:1, as reported for *A. atomus* in previous studies (Zanolli and Pavan, 2011), sperm-depleted females are likely to re-mate thus explaining the persistence of female produced attractant after mating. The release of sex pheromone by mated females could be convenient if multiple matings increase lifespan of females, as observed in *Trichogramma evanescens* (Jacob and Boivin 2005), or if sex pheromones have multiple functions as in *Venturia canescens* (Metzger et al. 2010).

The compound triggering male attraction was identified as eugenol (4-allyl-2-methoxyphenol), a sex pheromone component previously detected in male butterflies of genus *Amauris* spp. (Lepidoptera, Danaidae) (Schulz et al. 1993) and in *Bactrocera* spp. (Symonds et al. 2009). No more compounds were identified in the active fraction of the crude extract but the limited quantity of material extractable from females may have precluded the identification of other substances. In fact, the magnitude of the biological effect elicited by several dosages of the compound appeared to be smaller than that observed

against alive females. The presence of eugenol only in the female extract was confirmed also by analysing males and females of *A. atomus* emerged from grapevine leaves collected in the field (data not reported).

Furthermore, wing fanning has never been observed when extracts or pure compounds were tested in the bioassay. Since many females Hymenopterous parasitoids produce sex pheromones that are constituted by a blend of two or more compounds, one attracting the males and others mediating the subsequent phases of the courtship behavior (Quicke 1997; Ruther 2013), the hypothesis that more compounds involved in *A. atomus* reproduction are still to be identified is very likely. Nevertheless, this is the first study in which a sex-pheromone component was identified in Mymaridae.

Under open field conditions, eugenol significantly increased the already elevated captures of *A. atomus* males in yellow sticky traps, confirming the biological activity detected under lab conditions. Unbaited yellow sticky traps have already proved to be a valid tool to monitor field populations of *A. atomus* wasps (Picotti and Pavan 1993; Zanolli and Pavan 2011); a complete identification of the pheromone blend of the insect could significantly increase the efficiency of such traps and their potential for monitoring of this beneficial insect as bioindicator in the contest of studies on the effects of chemical control and cultural practices on natural enemies (Gabrýs et al. 1997; Suckling et al. 2002).

## References

- Agboka K, Tounou AK, Phoeling H-M, Al-Moaalem R, Raupach K, Borgemeister C (2004) Life-table study of *Anagrus atomus*, an egg parasitoid of the green leafhopper *Empoasca decipiens*, at four different temperatures. *Biocontrol* 49:261–275. <https://doi.org/10.1023/B:BICO.0000025385.52826.87>
- Arnò C, Alma A, Arzone A (1988) *Anagrus atomus* as egg parasite of Typhlocibinae (Rinchota Auchennorryncha). In: Arzone A, Vidano C (eds) Proc 6th Auchen Meeting, Turin, Italy, 7-11 Sept, pp 611–615
- Böll S, Hermann JV (2004) A long-term study on the population dynamics of the grape leafhopper (*Empoasca vitis*) and antagonistic mymarid species. *J Pest Sci* 77:33–42. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10340-003-0025-2>
- Böll S, Schwappach P (2003) Species spectrum, dominance relationships and populations dynamics of egg parasitoids (Mymaridae) of the grape leafhopper (*Empoasca vitis* Goethe) in the Franconian wine region. *IOBC/WPRS Bull* 26(8):173–180
- Boller EF, Häni F, Poehling HM (2004) Ecological infrastructure. Ideabook on functional biodiversity at the farm level. Temperate zones of Europe. Verlag und Bezung, Lindau, Switzerland
- Böttinger LC, Hüftlein F, Stökl J (2020) Mate attraction, chemical defense, and competition avoidance in the parasitoid wasp *Leptopilina pacifica*. *Chemoecology*. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00049-020-00331-3>

- Burton-Chellew MN, Sykes EM Patterson S, Shuker DM, West SA (2007) The cost of mating and the relationship between body size and fitness in males of the parasitoid wasp *Nasonia vitripennis*. *Evol Ecol Re* 9:921–934. <https://www.evolutionary-ecology.com/issues/v09n06/ear2197.pdf>
- Cerutti F, Baumgärtner J, Delucchi V (1991) The dynamics of grape leafhopper *Empoasca vitis* Göthe populations in Southern Switzerland and the implications for habitat management. *Biocontrol Sci Techn* 1:177–194. <https://doi.org/10.1080/09583159109355198>
- Chiappini E (1987) Ricerche sulla variabilità di *Anagrus atomus* (L.) (Hymenoptera Mymaridae) e di una specie affine presente su rovo. *Boll Zool Agr Bachic Ser II* (1986-1987) 19:71–97
- Chiappini E, Triapitsyn SV, Donev A (1996) Key to Holarctic species of *Anagrus Haliday* (Hymenoptera: Mymaridae) with a review of the Nearctic and Palearctic (other than European) species and description of new taxa. *J Nat Hist* 30:551–595. <https://doi.org/10.1080/00222939600770301>
- Choudhury DAM, Copland MJW (2003) A new record of thelytoky in the egg Parasitoid *Anagrus atomus* (Linnaeus) (Hymenoptera: Mymaridae). *PJBS* 6:500–504. <https://doi.org/10.3923/pjbs.2003.500.504>
- Cormier D, Royer L, Vigneault C, Panneton B, Boivin G (1998) Effect of female age on daily cycle of sexual pheromone emission in gregarious egg parasitoid *Anaphes listronoti*. *J Chem Ecol* 24:1595–1610. <https://doi.org/10.1023/A:1020860326945>
- Cronin JT, Strong DR (1990) Biology of *Anagrus delicatus* (Hymenoptera: Mymaridae), an egg parasitoid of *Prokelisia marginata* (Homoptera: Delphacidae). *Ann Entomol Soc Am* 83:846–854. <https://doi.org/10.1093/aesa/83.4.846>
- de León JH, Triapitsyn SV, Matteucig G, Viggiani G (2009) Molecular and morphometric analyses of *Anagrus erythroneuræ* Trjapitzin et Chiappini and *Anagrus ustulatus* Haliday (Hymenoptera: Mymaridae). *Boll Lab Entomol Agr Filippo Silvestri, Portici* 62:19–32
- De Lury NC, Gries G, Gries R, Judd GJR, Brown JJ (1999) Sex pheromone of *Ascogaster quadridentata*, a parasitoid of *Cydia pomonella*. *J Chem Ecol* 25:2229–2245. <https://doi.org/10.1023/A:1020813621977>
- Eller FJ, Bartelt RJ, Jones RL, Kulman HM (1984) Ethyl (Z)-9-hexadecenoate a sex pheromone of *Syndipnus rubiginosus*, a sawfly parasitoid. *J Chem Ecol* 10:291–300. <https://doi.org/10.1007/BF00987857>
- Floreani C, Pavan F, Nazzi F (2006) Analysis of cuticular hydrocarbons in two *Anagrus* species (Hymenoptera: Mymaridae) as a tool to improve their correct identification. *Can Entomol* 138:348–356. <https://doi.org/10.4039/n05-094>
- Gabrýs BJ, Gadomski HJ, Klukowski Z, Pickett JA, Sobota GT, Wadhams LJ, Woodcock CM (1997) Sex pheromone of cabbage aphid *Brevicoryne brassicae*: identification and field trapping of male aphids and parasitoids. *J Chem Ecol* 23:1881–1890. <https://doi.org/10.1023/B:JOEC.0000006457.28372.48>

- Giron D, Casas J (2003) Mothers reduce egg provisioning with age. *Ecol Let* 6:273–277. <https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1461-0248.2003.00429.x>
- Godfray HCJ (1990) The causes and consequences of constrained sex allocation in haplodiploid animals. *J Evol Biol* 3:3–17. <https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1420-9101.1990.3010003.x>
- Godfray HCJ (1994) *Parasitoids: behavioural and evolutionary ecology*. Princeton University Press, Princeton, New Jersey
- Heimpel G, De Boer JG (2008) Sex determination in the Hymenoptera. *Annu Rev Entomol* 53:209–230. <https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.ento.53.103106.093441>
- Hermann JV, Eichler P (2000) Epidemiological studies of the grape leafhopper *Empoasca vitis* Goethe and its antagonistic egg parasitoids in the Franconian wine growing region (Germany). *IOBC/WPRS Bull* 23:115–121
- Hrabar M, HuiMin Z, Gries R, Schaefer PW, Draper J, Britton R, Gries G (2015) (7E,11E)-3,5,9,11-tetramethyltridecadienal: sex pheromone of the strepsipteran *Xenos peckii*. *J Chem Ecol* 41:732–739. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10886-015-0613-7>
- Huber JT (1986) Systematics, biology, and hosts of the Mymaridae and Mymarommatidae (Insecta: Hymenoptera): 1758–1984. *Entomography* 4:185–243
- Itadani H, Ueno T (2014) Chemically Mediated Mate Finding of the Polyphagous Solitary Parasitoid *Itopectis naranyae* (Hymenoptera: Ichneumonidae). *Ann Entomol Soc Am* 107:288–294. <https://doi.org/10.1603/AN12146>
- Jacob S, Boivin G (2005) Costs and benefits of polyandry in the egg parasitoid *Trichogramma evanescens* Westwood (Hymenoptera: Trichogrammatidae). *Biocontrol* 32:311–318. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biocontrol.2004.10.009>
- James DG (2003) Synthetic herbivore-induced plant volatiles as field attractants for beneficial insects. *Environ Entomol* 32:977–982. <https://doi.org/10.1603/0046-225X-32.5.977>
- James DG (2005) Further field evaluation of synthetic herbivore-induced plant volatiles as attractants for beneficial insects. *J Chem Ecol* 31:481–495. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10886-005-2020-y>
- James DG, Price TS (2004) Field-testing of methyl salicylate for recruitment and retention of beneficial insects in grapes and hops. *J Chem Ecol* 30:1613–1628. <https://doi.org/10.1023/B:JOEC.0000042072.18151.6f>
- Jewett DK, Carpenter JE (2001) Seasonal abundance of a pupal parasitoid, *Diapetimorpha introit* (Hymenoptera: Ichneumonidae). *Fla Entomol* 84:50–54. <http://hdl.handle.net/10113/27308>

- Kainoh Y (1999) Parasitoids. In: Hardie J, Minks K (eds) Pheromones of non-lepidopteran insects associated with agricultural plants. CABI Publishing, Wallingford, Oxon, pp 383–404
- Keeling CI, Plettner E, Slessor KN (2004) Hymenopteran semiochemicals. *Top Curr Chem* 239:133–177. <https://doi.org/10.1007/b95452>
- Mainers Y, Peri E (2013) Chemical ecology of insect parasitoids: essential elements for developing effective biological control programmes. In: Wajnberg E, Colazza S (eds) Chemical ecology of insect parasitoids. Wiley-Blackwell, Chichester, UK, pp 193–223
- Matteucig G, Viggiani G (2008) Fenologia e ospiti di *Anagrus* gruppo *atomus* (Linnaeus) (Hymenoptera: Mymaridae) in Campania. *Boll Lab Ent agr Filippo Silvestri* 62:45–50
- Metzger M, Fischbein D, Auguste A, Fauvergue X, Bernstein C, Desouhant E (2010) Synergy in information use for mate finding: demonstration in a parasitoid wasp. *An Behav* 79:1307–1315. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.anbehav.2010.03.003>
- Moratorio MS, Chiappini E (1995) Biology of *Anagrus incarnatosimilis* and *Anagrus breviphragma* (Hymenoptera: Mymaridae). *Boll Zool Agr Bachic Serie II* 27:143–162
- Nazzi F (1995) OLFA 1.0: a computer program for collecting and analyzing behavioural data with the four-way olfactometer. Exeter Software. ISBN 0-925031-26-7
- Nazzi F, Powell W, Wadhams L, Woodcock CM (1995) Sex pheromone of aphid parasitoid *Praon volucre* (Hymenoptera, Braconidae). *J Chem Ecol* 22:1169–1175. <https://doi.org/10.1007/BF02027952>
- Nichols WJ, Jr, Cossé AA, Bartelt RJ, King BH (2010) Methyl 6-methylsalicylate: a female-produced pheromone component of the parasitoid wasp *Spalangia endius*. *J. Chem Ecol* 36:1140–1147. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10886-010-9855-6>
- Nugnes F, Bernardo U, Viggiani G (2017) An integrative approach to species discrimination in the *Anagrus atomus* group *sensu stricto* (Hymenoptera: Mymaridae), with a description of a new species. *Syst Biodivers* 15:582–599. <https://doi.org/10.1080/14772000.2017.1299811>
- Pavan F, Picotti P (1993) Dinamica di popolazione di *Empoasca vitis* (Göthe) (Homoptera Cicaldellidae) e del suo parassitoide oofago *Anagrus atomus* (Linnaeus) (Hymenoptera, Mymaridae) in vigneti e actinidieti contigui. *Mem Soc Entomol Ital* 72:163–173
- Pavan F, Picotti P (2009) Influence of grapevine cultivars on the leafhopper *Empoasca vitis* and its eggs parasitoids. *Biocontrol* 54:55–63. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10526-008-9151-3>
- Pettersson J (1970) An aphid sex attractant. I. Biological studies. *Entomol Scand* 1:63–73. <https://doi.org/10.1163/187631270X00357>

- Picotti P, Pavan F (1993) Studi su *Anagrus atomus* (Linnaeus) (Hymenoptera, Mymaridae) parassitoide oofago di *Empoasca vitis* (Goethe) (Homoptera, Cicadellidae) su vite. 1. Dinamica di popolazione in assenza di trattamenti insetticidi. Boll Lab Entomol Agr Filippo Silvestri 48:105–115
- Pompanon F, De Schepper B, Mourer Y, Fouillet P, Bouletreau M (1997) Evidence for a substrate-borne sex pheromone in the parasitoid wasp *Trichogramma brassicae*. J Chem Ecol 23:1349–1360. <https://doi.org/10.1023/B:JOEC.0000006468.19993.70>
- Ponti L, Ricci C, Torricelli R (2003) The ecological role of hedges on population dynamics of *Anagrus* spp. (Hymenoptera: Mymaridae) in vineyards of Central Italy. IOBC/WPRS Bull 26:117–122
- Quicke DLJ (1997) Parasitic wasps. Chapman and Hall, London
- Rodriguez-Saona C, Blaauw BR, Isaacs R (2012) Manipulation of natural enemies in agroecosystems: habitat and semiochemicals for sustainable insect pest control. In: Larramendy ML, Saloneski S (eds) Integrate pest management and pest control - current and future tactics. InTech Publisher, Germany, pp 89–126
- Ruther J (2013) Novel insights into pheromone-mediated communication in parasitic hymenopterans. In: Wajnberg E, Colazza S (eds) Chemical ecology of insect parasitoids. Wiley-Blackwell, Chichester, UK, pp 112–144
- Ruther J, Homann M, Steidle JLM (2000) Female-derived sex pheromone mediates courtship behaviour in the parasitoid *Lariophagus distinguendus*. Entomol Exp Appl 96:265–274. <https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1570-7458.2000.00705.x>
- Ruther J, Döring M, Steiner S (2011) Cuticular hydrocarbons as contact sex pheromone in the parasitoid *Dibrachys cavus*. Entomol Exp Appl 140:59–68. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1570-7458.2011.01129.x>
- Salerno G, Frati F, Iacovone A, Conti E, Peri E, Colazza S (2012) A female-produced short-range sex pheromone in the egg parasitoid *Trissolcus brochymenae*. Invertebr Biol 131:144–153. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1744-7410.2012.00258.x>
- Santolamazza-Carbone S, Pestaña M (2010) Polyandry increases male offspring production in the quasi-gregarious egg parasitoid *Anaphes nitens*. Ethol Ecol Evolu 22:51–61. <https://doi.org/10.1080/03949370903515984>
- Schulz S, Boppre M, Vane-Wright RI (1993) Specific mixtures of secretions from male scent organs of African milkweed butterflies (Danainae). Phil Trans R Soc Lon B 342:161–181. <https://www.jstor.org/stable/3030144>
- Simser DH, Coppel HC (1980) Female-produced sex pheromone in *Brachymeria lasus* and *B. intermedia* (Hym.: Chalcididae). Entomophaga 25:373–380. <https://doi.org/10.1007/BF02374700>

Steiner S, Hermann N, Ruther J (2006) Characterization of a Female-Produced Courtship Pheromone in the Parasitoid *Nasonia vitripennis*. *J Chem Ecol* 32:1687–1702. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10886-006-9102-3>

Steiner S, Ruther J (2009) Mechanism and behavioural context of male sex pheromone release in *Nasonia vitripennis*. *J Chem Ecol* 35:416–421. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10886-009-9624-6>

Suckling DM, Gibb AR, Burnip GM, Delury NC (2002) Can parasitoid sex pheromones help in insect biocontrol? A case study of codling moth (Lepidoptera: Tortricidae) and its parasitoid *Ascogaster quadridentata* (Hymenoptera: Braconidae). *Environ Entomol* 31:947–952. <https://doi.org/10.1603/0046-225X-31.6.947>

Symonds MRE, Moussalli A, Elgar M (2009) The evolution of sex pheromones in an ecologically diverse genus of flies. *Biol J Linn Soc* 97:594–603. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1095-8312.2009.01245.x>

Trjapitzin SV, Chiappini E (1994) A new *Anagrus* (Hymenoptera: Mymaridae), an egg parasitoid of *Erythroneura* spp (Homoptera: Cicadellidae). *Entomol News* 105:137–140

Triapitsyn SV (1998) *Anagrus* (Hymenoptera: Mymaridae) egg parasitoids of *Erythroneura* spp. and other leafhoppers (Homoptera: Cicadellidae) in North American vineyards and orchards: a taxonomic review. *T Am Entomol Soc* 124:77–112. <https://www.jstor.org/stable/25078658>

Triapitsyn SV (2015) Taxonomy of the genus *Anagrus* Haliday (Hymenoptera: Mymaridae) of the world: an annotated key to the described species, discussion of the remaining problems, and a checklist. *Acta Zool Lilloana* 59:3–50. <http://www.lillo.org.ar/.../01.pdf>

Triapitsyn SV, Rugman-Jones PF, Tretiakov PS, Daane KM, Wilson H (2020) Reassessment of molecular and morphological variation within the *Anagrus atomus* species complex (Hymenoptera: Mymaridae): egg parasitoids of leafhoppers (Hemiptera: Cicadellidae) in Europe and North America, *J Nat Hist* 54:27–28:1735–1758. <https://doi.org/10.1080/00222933.2020.1827073>

van Helden M, Decante D (2001) The possibilities for conservation biocontrol as a management strategy *Empoasca vitis*. *IOBC/WPRS Bull* 24(7):291–297

Vet LEM, van Lenteren JC, Heymans M, Meelis E (1983) An airflow olfactometer for measuring olfactory responses of hymenopterous parasitoids and other small insects. *Physiol Entomol* 8:97–106. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-3032.1983.tb00338.x>

Vidano C, Arnò C, Alma A (1988) On the *Empoasca vitis* intervention threshold on vine (Rynchota, Auchenorrhyncha). In Vidano C, Arzone A (eds), *Proceedings 6th Auchenorrhyncha Meeting, Turin, Italy, 7–11 Sept*, pp 525-537

Viggiani G, Di Luca A, Matteucig G (2006) The egg parasitoids of the genus *Anagrus* (Hymenoptera:Mymaridae) as functional biodiversity of the vineyard agroecosystem. *IOBC/WPRS Bull*

- Viggiani G, Nugnes F (2018) On a new species of *Anagrus* (Hymenoptera: Mymaridae) A note on the availability of the name *Anagrus nepetellae* Viggiani & Nugnes. *J Entomol Acarol Res* 50:7428. <https://doi.org/10.4081/jear.2018.7428>
- Vinson SB (1972) Courtship behaviour and evidence for a sex pheromone in the parasitoid *Campoletis sonorensis* (Hymenoptera: Ichneumonidae). *Environ Entomol* 1:409–414. <https://doi.org/10.1093/ee/1.4.409>
- Waloff N, Jervis MA (1987) Communities of parasitoids associated with leafhoppers and planthoppers in Europe. *Adv Ecol Res* 17:281–402. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0065-2504\(08\)60248-2](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0065-2504(08)60248-2)
- Witzgall P, Kirsch P, Cork A (2010) Sex pheromones and their impact on pest management. *J Chem Ecol* 36:80–100. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10886-009-9737-y>
- Zanolli P, Pavan F (2011) Autumnal emergence of *Anagrus* wasps, egg parasitoids of *Empoasca vitis*, from grapevine leaves and their migration towards brambles. *Agr Forest Entomol* 13:423–433. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1461-9563.2011.00546.x>
- Zanolli P, Pavan F (2013) Occurrence of different development time patterns induced by photoperiod in *Anagrus atomus* (Hymenoptera: Mymaridae), an egg parasitoid of *Empoasca vitis* (Homoptera: Cicadellidae). *Physiol Entomol* 38:269–278. <https://doi.org/10.1111/phen.12029>
- Zanolli P, Martini M, Mazzon L, Pavan F (2016) Morphological e molecular identification of *Anagrus* ‘*atomus*’ group (Hymenoptera: Mymaridae) individuals from different geographic areas and plant hosts in Europe. *J Insect Sci* 16:1–14. <https://doi.org/10.1093/jisesa/iew017>

## Figures






Figure 1

Time spent by *A. atomus* males ( $\pm$  standard deviation) in the arms of an olfactometer treated or not with the odour of one unemated *A. atomus* female of different ages or one-day-old *A. atomus* male. Asterisks mark statistically significant differences (\*\* =  $P < 0.01$ ; \*\*\* =  $P < 0.001$ ; NS = no significant differences).




**Figure 2**

Time spent by *A. atomus* males ( $\pm$  standard deviation) in the arms of an olfactometer treated or not with the odour of a mated female of different ages. Asterisks mark statistically significant differences (\* =  $P < 0.05$ ; \*\* =  $P < 0.01$ ; NS = no significant differences).




**Figure 3**

Proportion of the residence time of males in the arm of the olfactometer treated with the odour of females of different physiological status and age. Asterisks mark statistically significant differences (\*\* =  $P < 0.01$ ; NS = no significant differences).




**Figure 4**

Time spent by *A. atomus* males ( $\pm$  standard deviation) in the treated and control arms of an olfactometer. The stimuli used for treating one arm of the olfactometer are the whole extract of *A. atomus* unmated females, the apolar and polar fractions of *A. atomus* unmated females extract (F1 hexane and F2 ether, respectively) and the two solvents alone (hexane and ether). Asterisks mark statistically significant differences (\*\*\*) =  $P < 0.001$ ; NS = no significant differences).




**Figure 5**

Chromatogram of the crude extract of 50 virgin females (a). The single highlighted peak, found only in the females' extract, was identified as eugenol (the corresponding spectrum is reported in panel b) and confirmed by coinjection of standard eugenol (c).



**Figure 6**

Time spent by *A. atomus* males and females ( $\pm$  standard deviation) in the arms of an olfactometer treated or not with different doses of synthetic eugenol. Asterisks mark statistically significant differences (\* =  $P < 0.05$ ; \*\* =  $P < 0.01$ ; NS = no significant differences).



**Figure 7**

Anagrus atomus males captured on yellow sticky traps baited or not (control) with 50 ng of synthetic eugenol per day and maintained in small box under artificial conditions (\* =  $P < 0.05$ ).




Figure 8

Anagrus atomus males captured in a vineyard on yellow sticky traps baited or not with eugenol (\* =  $P < 0.05$ ).