

Effectiveness of behavioral interventions for autism spectrum disorder: A systematic review

Saeid Bashirian

Hamadan University of Medical Sciences School of Public Health

Maryam Afshari (✉ afshari_m20@yahoo.com)

Hamadan University of Medical Sciences School of Public Health <https://orcid.org/0000-0001-5967-7575>

Ensiyeh Jenabi

Hamadan University of Medical Sciences

Ali Moradi

Islamic Azad University

Research article

Keywords: Systematic review, Behavioral interventions, Children, Autism Spectrum Disorders

Posted Date: March 12th, 2020

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.21203/rs.3.rs-16918/v1>

License: © ⓘ This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License.

[Read Full License](#)

Abstract

Background: Autism Spectrum Disorder (ASD) is identified by a group of neurodevelopmental disorders. Given the importance and demand for behavioral interventions in autism disorder as well as the need for new intervention programs, a detailed evaluation of the outcomes of interventions for the ongoing impact of behavioral interventions for autism spectrum disorder is essential. In view of the importance of, and demand for, novel behavioural interventions in autism disorder, a comprehensive evaluation of the outcomes of interventions for the ongoing impact of behavioral interventions for autism spectrum disorder is necessary.

Method: A systematic search of the electronic databases including PubMed, Embase, Scopus, Sciencedirect, ISI Web of Science, and Biomedcentral were conducted to find evidences for the effectiveness of behavioral interventions for autism spectrum disorders. The search strategy was based on exploring studies published in different global languages from the earliest to 2019 databases. In addition to the databases mentioned above, relevant studies were searched using forward and backward citation tracing.

Results:In the present review, 48 randomized controlled trials (RCTs),and 44 quasi-experimental articles matched the defined evaluation criteria. Eighty-three out of 93 studies were conducted in high-income countries, the results of which raised the challenges associated with acceptability of conducting those studies in low- and middle-income countries. The majority of those studies (93.5%) were classified as having either low or medium quality. From those studies, 32 studies used integrative programs, and 30 studies used social skills development interventions. The majority of the studies were based on integrative programs designed to foster social skills development interventions. The results of the study showed that social skills development interventions were effective in changing the desired outcomes. The results showed that,regardless of the study design and the type of intervention used, the possibility for success of interventions were greater in changing the participants' social skills.

Conclusions :There were inconsistent evidence on the effectiveness of interventions in providing changes in targeted outcomes. There is a need for further investigation in behavioral interventions for autism spectrum disorders. Further research is needed to understand the effectiveness of other interventions and finding a quality interventions to achieve more significant results.

Background

Autism Spectrum Disorder is a neurodevelopmental disorder marked by social-communication impairment, restricted, repetitive and stereotyped patterns of behavior, and repetitive and stereotyped movements [1]. In addition to these main features, other behavioral problems such as anxiety, depression, sleep and nutrition disorders, attention disorder, and self-injurious and aggressive behaviors are detected in these children [2]. There has been a growing trend in the prevalence of autism. The US Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) reported a prevalence of 1 in 110 children from 2004 to 2006 [3], 1

in 110 children in 2012 [4], and 1 in 59 children in 2014. Studies in Iran indicated an increasing trend of autism spectrum disorders in children. According to one study, the prevalence of autism disorder was 26.6 per 10,000 children in 2007 [5], and 95.2 per 10,000 children in 2014 [6].

While there is no existing treatment for autism spectrum disorder, it is generally believed that early diagnosis and treatment seem to recover many people with autism over time [7]. Therefore, how different interventions could help to improve the functional ability of people with autism spectrum disorder is essential for families, health professionals, and policymakers [2]. Over the past 30 years, various treatments have been suggested to alleviate and recover symptoms associated with autism spectrum disorder. Current treatments include medications, diet changes, vitamin therapy, rehabilitation therapies, and behavioral, and developmental interventions [8]. Most interventions vary, depending on the theoretical framework, type of presentation, severity of intervention, the level of parent involvement, and comprehensive intervention used. In addition, interventions are very expensive and necessitate a large number of well-trained staff and technical infrastructure [9].

Based on the performance shown in the experimental studies, interventions included in the continuum of behavioral interventions are the dominant treatment approach to improve social, adaptive, and behavioral performance of people with autism spectrum disorder [10]. These interventions are guided by a therapist and are seeking to improve social and behavioral skills in children and their families. While behavioral interventions may be provided up to long hours per week, there is a debate about the intensity needed to achieve positive outcomes and the effectiveness of different approaches [11]. A comprehensive evaluation of review studies conducted on behavioral interventions in autism spectrum disorders reveals that most of these studies have methodological flaws, which is led to the weakness of their validity [2, 9]. We identified one recent review on the effectiveness of behavioral interventions for Autism Spectrum Disorder among children's [2]. These reviews included various designs, such as randomized controlled trials (RCTs), controlled clinical trials (CCTs) or observational analytical studies (i.e., prospective or retrospective cohort studies with comparison groups) and reported data on the effects of a behavioral or developmental intervention in individuals with ASD until 2008 [2]. However, none of those reviews assessed the methodological quality of the included studies. There is also evidence of positive outcomes for many of the interventions reviewed in systematic review conducted on autism disorder. Therefore, further investigation is required to evaluate the effectiveness of behavioral interventions for autism spectrum disorder using rigorous scientific methods. Physicians, educators, and families of people with autism need to make informed decisions about treatment options. In this regard, clinical and research questions about the benefits of the related interventions need to be addressed and responded. Given the importance of current increasing trend in the novel intervention programs, a detailed evaluation of the effects of interventions on the continuing impact of behavioral interventions for autism spectrum disorder will provide the necessary information for policymakers, researchers, health care providers, and families. This systematic review aimed to identify, evaluate, and integrate evidence on the effects of behavioral interventions to improve the primary symptoms associated with autism spectrum disorders.

Methods

In the current systematic review, PRISMA checklist was used to report the findings of the study.

Search strategies

Database articles, including BioMed Central (BMC), PubMed, Sciencedirect, Embase, Web of Science, and Scopus were reviewed using the search strategy used in the related studies [2]. The search strategy in the scientific databases is set out in Appendix A, and according to the search requirements at each of the databases mentioned, necessary changes were made while searching websites.

Trial selection

All articles identified from various sources were first collected by a researcher using Endnote software. After integrating the articles from all the cited databases and deleting duplicate articles, the two researchers of the current study, independently reviewed all the articles and excluded the articles that were not relevant to the subject and the inclusion criteria. The abstracts of remaining articles were independently studied by two researchers. Then, the full text of the relevant articles was reviewed by two researchers and the articles that were fully consistent with the criteria were identified. Using forward citation and backward citation reviews, additional articles were added to the resource collection. Data were extracted by two researchers. At all stages, disagreements were resolved through consensus-based discussion and, finally, through the opinion of the third researcher.

Inclusion criteria

The PICO index (study population, type of study, type of intervention and type of outcome) was used to evaluate the inclusion and exclusion criteria [12].

- Type of Study: Types of RCTs and Quasi-Experimental Studies (cPPI and PPI).

Study population: Children, caregivers and families of children of all ages and both sexes who were involved with autism spectrum disorders and problems.

- Type of intervention: This included the evaluation of an intervention program at national, regional, organizational, community, or individual levels for the autism spectrum disorder.

Type of Outcomes: Studies with subjective outcomes (such as the use of questionnaires for reporting) and objective outcomes (such as the use of observation and surveys) for autism spectrum disorders.

- Study period: Studies from the first years of publication in the scientific database

- Studies published in all languages of the world.

Exclusion criteria

- Type of Study: Descriptive, Qualitative, Review, Structured Review, Meta-Analysis and Protocol.
- Study population: Studies conducted in other groups with developmental problems.
- Type of Intervention: Studies that have performed interventions for autism spectrum disorders along with other interventions for other developmental problems.
- Type of Outcome: Studies that their results are evaluated using qualitative data and the results of the evaluation cannot be compared.

Data extraction

The final articles after reviewing were summarized in predefined tables and finally the articles were analyzed according to goals and objectives. The information in the table included:

- Full name of the first author of the study, year of publication of study and country of study
- The study design consists of two general groups of controlled trial studies and quasi-experimental studies. Quasi-experimental studies were divided into two types controlled pretest/post-test interventions (cPPI) and pretest/post-test interventions (PPI)
- Target group of the intervention programs: Studies were investigated based on conducting on children, family and child caregivers and a combination of child/family and caregivers.
- Sample size and its properties
- Type of Intervention: To categorize the interventions in the results, the framework presented in the study of Ospina et al. who classify the interventions for autism spectrum disorder in 8 groups, including Applied Behavior Analysis interventions, Communication-focused interventions, Contemporary Applied Behavior Analysis interventions, Developmental approaches, Environmental modification programs, Integrative programs, Sensory-motor interventions and Social skills development interventions was applied [2].
- Duration of intervention and follow-up
- Models and theories used
- Results of the studies: The studies were evaluated in terms of achieving results and reported as significant, increase of positive percentages, and increase of favorable cases and decrease of unfavorable cases.

Quality assessment

In order to determine the quality of the articles, two trained researchers reviewed the articles. To evaluate the quality of the studies, the EPHPP tool developed by the National Collaboration Center for Methods and Tools (NCCMT) for all types of studies was used [13]. By application of this tool, one of the qualities,

i.e., strong, medium and poor were considered for each of the articles. The quality assessment based on this tool is based on an evaluation of 6 components, including sample selection bias, type of study, confounders, blinding, data collection methods, and sample dropout and exclusion. The quality assessment of the studies based on the tools was separately carried out by two researchers and finally, to determine the quality of the studies, the disagreement between the two researchers was resolved by consensus-based discussion. Kappa coefficient was used to evaluate the agreement between the two evaluators [14]. No studies were excluded because of poor quality.

Results

A total of 37200 references were identified and reviewed: 36990 references from the main sources, and 210 references from other sources. From these references, 1290 were selected for abstract review. After, In-depth abstract review of the abstracts, 365 references met the inclusion criteria and were selected for full review. Eventually, after ensuring that inclusion criteria were satisfied, 93 studies were included in this review (See Fig. 1).

A summary of the included articles is provided in Table 1.

The majority of studies identified were randomized trials. Forty nine studies were randomized controlled interventions [15-63]. Nine studies were controlled pretest/post-test interventions [64-72], thirty five studies were pretest/post-test interventions [73-107]. In this line of studies, from 2009, seventy-nine other studies were also published [15-17,19-24-26,27,29-32,34-45,47-77,79,80,83,84,88-94,96,98-102,104-107], and fourteen studies were published from 1994 to 2009 [18,25,28,33,46,78,81,82,85-87,95,97,103]. Forty-one of the above mentioned studies were conducted in USA [18,19,21,23,24,30,32,34,37,38,42-47,49,50,53-56,60,61,63,74-79,83-90,94,95,97-100,102,107], seven were conducted in Australia [16,36,41,52,70,92,101], six were conducted in Canada [20,35,39,40,59,62], three were conducted in France [17,80,96], three were conducted in UK [15,25,28], three were conducted in Netherlands [22,57,58], two were conducted in Japan [29,82], two were conducted in Germany [81,91], two were conducted in Iran [51,64], two were conducted in Korea [33,99], two were conducted in Sweden [48,72], and one was conducted in India [65], Hong Kong [71], Taiwan [67], Nigeria [31], Switzerland [26], Spain [69], United Arab Emirates [27], Amman [68], Brazil [66], and Turkey [73], respectively. Most studies had a small sample size, so that the number of participants in thirty - five studies was less than 20 [17,25,27,29,33,59,62,66,69,73-78,80-86,89-91,93-96,99,100,103-105,107], and in thirty studies, it was between 20 to 50 [18,19,21,24,35,38,39,42,46,48,50,51,53,55,57,61,63,64,67,68,79,88,92,97,98,102,106]. Follow-up was often quite short, in the 16 studies follow-up immediately [46,53,66,73,75,76,84,85,89,90,93,94,99,100,103,107], in 30 studies, it was three months and less [15,16,21,23,24,26,32,33,35,39,40,47,48,51,52,55-57,59,61,62,65,67,70,72,74,79,83,86,98,101,102,104,105], and in 20 studies, it was more than three months [17-20,22,25,28,31,36,37,41,43,44,49,54,58,60,78,80,88,91]. In 27 studies, the duration of follow-up of the intervention was unknown [27,29,30,34,38,42,45,50,63,64,68,69,71,76,77,81,82,84,87,89,92,94-97,100,106]. Of the studies obtained, 20 studies focused on children and parents

[20,23,25,26,29,35,37,38,41,43,53,60,63,67,87,88,94,100,101,106], three studies was focused on children and teachers [28,30,42], and others studies were focused on the children with autism spectrum disorder.

Communication-focused interventions was used in twenty one studies [15,21,26,31,46,50,51,54,55,66,67,75,76,82,91,93-95,104]. Thirty-two studies used integrative programs [18,20,24,25,28,30,33,35-37,40,52,58,60,62,63,71,74,77-79,81,86,89,92,96,98,100-103,107]. Also, thirty studies used social skills development interventions [16,17,19,22,23,29,32,34,38,39,45,47,48,56,57,59,61,64,65,69,72,73,80,83-85,90,97,99,105]. Six studies included sensory motor interventions [42,49,53,70,87,106]. Two studies were focused on contemporary applied behavior analysis (ABA) interventions [41,88]. Two studies were based on Environmental modification programs [43,44]. As noted, most studies were focused solely on integrative programs and social skills development interventions. The procedures included social stories, parent and child education programs, speech therapists and occupational therapists, small educational groups, home-based, and home visiting programs, solving social problems, using dolls, and holding workshops.

The results of the included studies were mainly based on observed data and only in fourteen studies, self-reported of participants' practices were used to evaluate the effects of interventions [23,34,40,45,58,61,64,65,70,72,77,79,100,101]. Of the included studies, only twelve (12.9%) used theories and models. Theory of Mind was the most frequent theoretical framework employed [19,21,39,56-58,64,67]. Other theories included behavioral cognitive theory [59], the DIR theory [87], social learning theory [105], and social motivation model [38]. Theoretical frameworks in the studies were used only to guide the intervention development.

Of the 21 studies that were used for the communication-focused intervention approach, 16 studies were significantly reached in all outcomes. Five studies only examine the impact of the intervention on communication skills of the participants [46,51,54,75,76], three studies on language skills [27,50,55], two studies on collaborative interaction [67,93], one study on emotional understanding [82], one study on emotion regulation [26], one study on communicative utterances [31], one study on communication symptoms [21], one study on expressive communication [94], and one study on communicative behaviors [95]. But, four studies reported that some of the expected outcomes created significant differences [15,68,91,104], and in one study, the intervention was not successful [66].

Of the 32 studies that had used for integrative approach, 28 studies were significantly reached in all outcomes. Four studies only examined the impact of the intervention on communication and behavior skills of participants [62,92,102,107], four studies on emotional and social skills [71,79,96,101], eight studies on social and communication skills [35,30,33,35,36,81,86,100].

Three studies on social skills [18,20,89], three studies on emotional and communication skills [40,77,78], three studies on social behavior skills [58,60,98], two studies on daily living skills [24,37], and one study on social communication [103]. But, two studies reported that some of the expected outcomes created significant change [28,63], and in two studies, the intervention was not successful for changes [52, 74].

Of the 30 studies on the social skills development intervention approach, 28 studies were significantly reached in all outcomes. 32 studies only examined the impact of the intervention on the social skills of the participants [16,17,22,23,29,32,34,39,47,48,59,64,65,69,72,73,80,83-85,90,99,105], three studies on behavior and social skills [45,57,97], one study on social motivation [38], and one study on social engagement [61]. But, only two studies reported that some of the expected outcomes created significant change [19,56].

From the six studies on the sensory-motor intervention approach, five studies were significantly reached all outcomes. Only two studies examined the impact of the intervention on the joint attention of the participants [42,53], one study on functional emotion [87], one study on social functioning [70], and one study on joint attention and joint engagement [49]. But, one study reported that some of the expected outcomes create significant change [106].

Of the two studies that had used for contemporary applied behavior analysis interventions approach, one study reported that some of the expected outcomes created significant change [41], and in one study, the intervention was not successful [88].

From the two studies on environmental modification programs, both studies significantly reached in all outcomes. Both studies examined the impact of an intervention on environmental enrichment of the participants [43,44].

We addressed the quality of randomized trials and non-randomized interventions (i.e. cPPI and PPI) separately. The majority of the RCTs (42/49) [15,16,18,19,21-30,32-40,42-51,53,55,57,63] were at moderate risk of bias. Six RCTs [17,20,31,41,52,56] were classified as strong quality, and only one RCT [54] had a relatively high risk of bias and was classified as low quality. The majority of non-randomized intervention studies (42/ 44) [66-107] were assessed as having high risk of bias (low quality) and remaining non-randomized trials were classified as moderate quality [64,65]. None of these studies were classified as high-quality evidence. The most general issues with quality were associated with confounders, data collection methods, and withdrawals.

Inter-rater agreement (Table 2) varied across EPHPP component ratings. For withdrawals and dropouts, there was a good agreement (0.636), and for other components, ratings were classified as very good agreement (k = 0.80 to 1.00).

Discussion

Although there are very limited number of review studies mentioned in the current review, there has been no systematic review that comprehensively examines the effectiveness of behavioral interventions to improve the primary symptoms associated with autism spectrum disorders in children. Thus, this study was conducted to eliminate the knowledge gap in this field.

Following article reviews conducted by the researchers, finally 93 studies were identified for evaluation in this systematic review. 49 studies were randomized trial, and the rest were quasi-experimental. The sample size of most studies was small, and the follow-up duration of interventions was largely short and unclear. Also, the outcomes measured in the studies were mainly based on observation. The intervention approach used in the 32 studies was integrative, and the majority could significantly provide changes in all outcomes. Twelve studies used models and related theories, and 43 studies were poor in terms of quality. For these reasons, we can conclude that these studies provide no convincing evidence about interventions conducted.

Most studies were randomized trials. According to a similar systematic review, most studies have been conducted on behavioral interventions in children with autism spectrum disorder [2]. If appropriately used, conducting these studies can provide sufficient information in this area. Most studies were based on integrative and behavioral interventions and social skills development. These studies used a variety of strategies, and its result is in line with a study on behavioral interventions among children with autism spectrum disorder [2].

This review study displays that there are limited studies in this field in middle and low-income countries. Despite the fact that, fewer people live in high-income countries compared to middle- and low-income countries, the majority of studies were conducted in high-income countries; this may be due to better identification of children with autism spectrum disorder in those countries. Moreover, our study showed that, from the eight RCT studies, seven of the articles were conducted in high-income countries, showing the importance of conducting such studies in low- and middle-income countries. However, since the majority of studies in high-income countries have been conducted by different types of research strategies, the evidence about studies of high-income countries is likely to be appropriate in low- and middle-income countries as well.

It is expected that the Integrated and combined interventions produce more positive outcomes than other interventions; though, the current review study revealed that such interventions, in changing the targeted goals, were less successful compared to social skills development intervention. Interventions that work on only one outcome in children with autism show better and more important outcomes than interventions that evaluate and assess multiple outcomes. Moreover, the results of these studies showed that few participants took part in the study and the length of follow-up was short. Hence, studies with methodological weakness, few participants, and relatively short-term follow-up may not show the real effects of behavioral interventions on improving the primary symptoms associated with autism spectrum disorders.

Regardless of the study design and type of intervention used, our study showed that interventions have been successful in improving the skills of children with autism spectrum disorder, especially social skills. Therefore, this clarifies the need for effective interventions and follow-up in children with autism spectrum disorders. The results of this review study indicated that the most effective behavioral

treatments for ASD include interventions that address behavioral, social, and communication deficits associated with the disorder.

The results of the current review revealed that the majority of studies did not explicitly use models and theories related to autism spectrum disorders. It is now clear that addressing social and behavioral science theories in designing a health plan could be related to the efficiency of the interventions. These frameworks help to recognize the different skills and conditions (such as the cultural, economic, and social conditions) in which the behavior occurs.

Some of the mentioned studies in the current review had poor and moderate design, and the majority of studies were classified as low quality. Almost half of the studies discussed in this review, were quasi-experimental, and other trial studies had some deficiencies in the method of work and presentation of results. This in turn had a negative effect on the quality of the mentioned studies.

Several factors contributed to the limitations of this systematic review including: Using different study designs, including randomized controlled trials and quasi-experimental studies resulting in a variety of outcomes. These limitations lead to the impossibility of conducting a meta-analysis. Another limitation of this study could be a diffusion bias due to overlooking gray sources to evaluate the effectiveness of the interventions.

Conclusion

To increase effectiveness of behavioral interventions for Autism Spectrum Disorders in Children, the following measures could be considered: application of randomized trial studies instead of quasi-experimental studies, increasing the duration of interventions and follow-ups, use of other intervention approaches, increasing sample size in studies for achieving the desired results, use of theory, models, and educational frameworks for creating novel pathways.

Abbreviations

ASD

Autism Spectrum Disorder;

RCTs

Randomized Controlled Trials;

CDC

Disease Control and Prevention;

CCTs

Controlled Clinical Trials;

cPPI

controlled Pretest/Post-Test Interventions;

PPI

Declarations

Ethical approval

The ethics code of Hamadan University of Medical Sciences was IR.UMSHA.REC.1398.287.

Consent for publication

Not applicable.

Availability of data and material

All data generated or analyzed during this study are included in this published article.

Competing interests

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

Funding

This work was supported by Hamadan University of Medical Sciences [reference number: 9804112817]. The funder had no role in the design of the study, data collection, analysis, interpretation of the data, writing of the manuscript, or the decision to publish.

Authors' contributions

All authors read and approved the final manuscript. SB, MA, EJ and AM conceived of the study and participated in the design, data collection and analysis as well as preparation. MA, EJ and AM participated in the data analysis and preparation. MA participated in data collection.

Acknowledgments

This project has been approved by the Research and Technology Deputy of Hamadan University of Medical Sciences.

References

1. Baio J, Wiggins L, Christensen DL, Maenner MJ, Daniels J, Warren Z, et al. Prevalence of Autism Spectrum Disorder Among Children Aged 8 Years - Autism and Developmental Disabilities Monitoring Network, 11 Sites, United States, 2014. *Morbidity and mortality weekly report Surveillance summaries* (Washington, DC : 2002). 2018;67(6):1-23.

2. Ospina MB, Seida JK, Clark B, Karkhaneh M, Hartling L, Tjosvold L, et al. Behavioural and developmental interventions for autism spectrum disorder: a clinical systematic review. *PloS one*. 2008;3(11):e3755.
3. Autism, Investigators DDMNSYP, Control CfD, Prevention. Prevalence of autism spectrum disorders—autism and developmental disabilities monitoring network, United States, 2006. *MMWR Surveill Summ*. 2009;58(10):1-20.
4. Christensen DL, Braun KVN, Baio J, Bilder D, Charles J, Constantino JN, et al. Prevalence and Characteristics of Autism Spectrum Disorder Among Children Aged 8 Years - Autism and Developmental Disabilities Monitoring Network, 11 Sites, United States, 2012. *Morbidity and mortality weekly report Surveillance summaries (Washington, DC : 2002)*. 2018;65(13):1-23.
5. Samadi SA, Mahmoodizadeh A, McConkey R. A national study of the prevalence of autism among five-year-old children in Iran. *Autism*. 2012;16(1):5-14.
6. Samadi SA, McConkey R. Screening for Autism in Iranian Preschoolers: Contrasting M-CHAT and a Scale Developed in Iran. *Journal of Autism and Developmental Disorders*. 2015;45(9):2908-16.
7. Croen LA, Najjar DV, Ray GT, Lotspeich L, Bernal P. A comparison of health care utilization and costs of children with and without autism spectrum disorders in a large group-model health plan. *Pediatrics*. 2006;118(4):e1203-e11.
8. Volkmar FR, Paul R, Klin A, Cohen DJ. *Handbook of autism and pervasive developmental disorders, diagnosis, development, neurobiology, and behavior*: John Wiley & Sons; 2005.
9. Seida JK, Ospina MB, Karkhaneh M, Hartling L, Smith V, Clark B. Systematic reviews of psychosocial interventions for autism: an umbrella review. *Developmental Medicine & Child Neurology*. 2009;51(2):95-104.
10. Case-Smith J, Weaver LL, Fristad MA. A systematic review of sensory processing interventions for children with autism spectrum disorders. *Autism*. 2014;19(2):133-48.
11. Rogers SJ, Estes A, Lord C, Vismara L, Winter J, Fitzpatrick A, et al. Effects of a brief Early Start Denver model (ESDM)-based parent intervention on toddlers at risk for autism spectrum disorders: a randomized controlled trial. *Journal of the American Academy of Child and Adolescent Psychiatry*. 2012;51(10):1052-65.
12. Schardt C AM, Owens T, Keitz S, Fontelo P. Utilization of the PICO framework to improve searching PubMed for clinical questions. *BMC Medical Informatics and Decision Making*. 2007;7:16. doi: 10.1186/1472-6947-7-16.
13. National Collaborating Centre for Methods and Tools. Quality assessment tool for quantitative studies H O, McMaster University, 2010._<http://www.nccmt.ca/registry/view/eng/14.html>_ (Accessed May, 1 2013). (Updated 13 April, 2010).
14. life aspects of treatment, care and rehabilitation. 2004; 13(3):571-86. doi: 10.1023/B:QURE.0000021318.92272.2a SPARfidih-rqolqwcsbuQolraijoqo.
15. Adams C, Lockton E, Freed J, Gaile J, Earl G, McBean K, et al. The Social Communication Intervention Project: A randomized controlled trial of the effectiveness of speech and language therapy for

- school-age children who have pragmatic and social communication problems with or without autism spectrum disorder. *International Journal of Language and Communication Disorders*. 2012;47(3):233-44.
16. Andrews L, Attwood T, Sofronoff K. Increasing the appropriate demonstration of affectionate behavior, in children with Asperger syndrome, high functioning autism, and PDD-NOS: A randomized controlled trial. *Research in Autism Spectrum Disorders*. 2013;7(12):1568-78.
 17. Baghdadli A, Brisot J, Henry V, Michelon C, Soussana M, Rattaz C, et al. Social skills improvement in children with high-functioning autism: A pilot randomized controlled trial. *European Child and Adolescent Psychiatry*. 2013;22(7):433-42.
 18. Beaumont R, Sofronoff K. A multi-component social skills intervention for children with Asperger syndrome: The Junior Detective Training Program (vol 49, pg 743, 2008). *Journal of Child Psychology and Psychiatry*. 2008;49(8):895-.
 19. Becker JL, Rogers EC, Burrows B. Animal-assisted Social Skills Training for Children with Autism Spectrum Disorders. *Anthrozoos*. 2017;30(2):307-26.
 20. Brian JA, Smith IM, Zwaigenbaum L, Bryson SE. Cross-site randomized control trial of the Social ABCs caregiver-mediated intervention for toddlers with autism spectrum disorder. *Autism Research*. 2017;10(10):1700-11.
 21. Corbett BA, Key AP, Qualls L, Fecteau S, Newsom C, Coke C, et al. Improvement in Social Competence Using a Randomized Trial of a Theatre Intervention for Children with Autism Spectrum Disorder. *Journal of Autism and Developmental Disorders*. 2016;46(2):658-72.
 22. Dekker V, Nauta MH, Timmerman ME, Mulder EJ, van der Veen-Mulders L, van den Hoofdakker BJ, et al. Social skills group training in children with autism spectrum disorder: a randomized controlled trial. *European Child and Adolescent Psychiatry*. 2019;28(3):415-24.
 23. Derosier ME, Swick DC, Davis NO, McMillen JS, Matthews R. The efficacy of a social skills group intervention for improving social behaviors in children with high functioning autism spectrum disorders. *Journal of Autism and Developmental Disorders*. 2011;41(8):1033-43.
 24. Drahota A, Wood JJ, Sze KM, Van Dyke M. Effects of Cognitive Behavioral Therapy on Daily Living Skills in Children with High-Functioning Autism and Concurrent Anxiety Disorders. *Journal of Autism and Developmental Disorders*. 2011;41(3):257-65.
 25. Drew A, Baird G, Baron-Cohen S, Cox A, Slonims V, Wheelwright S, et al. A pilot randomised control trial of a parent training intervention for pre-school children with autism: Preliminary findings and methodological challenges. *European Child and Adolescent Psychiatry*. 2002;11(6):266-72.
 26. Enav Y, Erhard-Weiss D, Kopelman M, Samson AC, Mehta S, Gross JJ, et al. A non randomized mentalization intervention for parents of children with autism. *Autism Research*. 2019.
 27. Fteiha MA. Effectiveness of assistive technology in enhancing language skills for children with autism. *International Journal of Developmental Disabilities*. 2017;63(1):36-44.
 28. Howlin P, Gordon RK, Pasco G, Wade A, Charman T. The effectiveness of Picture Exchange Communication System (PECS) training for teachers of children with autism: A pragmatic, group

- randomised controlled trial. *Journal of Child Psychology and Psychiatry and Allied Disciplines*. 2007;48(5):473-81.
29. Ichikawa K, Takahashi Y, Ando M, Anme T, Ishizaki T, Yamaguchi H, et al. TEACCH-based group social skills training for children with high-functioning autism: A pilot randomized controlled trial. *BioPsychoSocial Medicine*. 2013;7(1).
30. Kamps D, Thiemann-Bourque K, Heitzman-Powell L, Schwartz I, Rosenberg N, Mason R, et al. A Comprehensive Peer Network Intervention to Improve Social Communication of Children with Autism Spectrum Disorders: A Randomized Trial in Kindergarten and First Grade. *Journal of Autism and Developmental Disorders*. 2015;45(6):1809-24.
31. Kasari C, Kaiser A, Goods K, Nietfeld J, Mathy P, Landa R, et al. Communication interventions for minimally verbal children with autism: A sequential multiple assignment randomized trial. *Journal of the American Academy of Child and Adolescent Psychiatry*. 2014;53(6):635-46.
32. Kasari C, Rotheram-Fuller E, Locke J, Gulsrud A. Making the connection: Randomized controlled trial of social skills at school for children with autism spectrum disorders. *Journal of Child Psychology and Psychiatry and Allied Disciplines*. 2012;53(4):431-9.
33. Kim J, Wigram T, Gold C. The effects of improvisational music therapy on joint attention behaviors in autistic children: A randomized controlled study. *Journal of Autism and Developmental Disorders*. 2008;38(9):1758-66.
34. Laugeson EA, Ellingsen R, Sanderson J, Tucci L, Bates S. The ABC's of teaching social skills to adolescents with autism spectrum disorder in the classroom: the UCLA PEERS ((R)) Program. *J Autism Dev Disord*. 2014;44(9):2244-56.
35. Reitzel J, Summers J, Lorv B, Szatmari P, Zwaigenbaum L, Georgiades S, et al. Pilot randomized controlled trial of a Functional Behavior Skills Training program for young children with Autism Spectrum Disorder who have significant early learning skill impairments and their families. *Research in Autism Spectrum Disorders*. 2013;7(11):1418-32.
36. Roberts J, Williams K, Carter M, Evans D, Parmenter T, Silove N, et al. A randomised controlled trial of two early intervention programs for young children with autism: Centre-based with parent program and home-based. *Research in Autism Spectrum Disorders*. 2011;5(4):1553-66.
37. Scahill L, Bearss K, Lecavalier L, Smith T, Swiezy N, Aman MG, et al. Effect of Parent Training on Adaptive Behavior in Children With Autism Spectrum Disorder and Disruptive Behavior: Results of a Randomized Trial. *Journal of the American Academy of Child and Adolescent Psychiatry*. 2016;55(7):602-9.e3.
38. Vernon TW, Holden AN, Barrett AC, Bradshaw J, Ko JA, McGarry ES, et al. A Pilot Randomized Clinical Trial of an Enhanced Pivotal Response Treatment Approach for Young Children with Autism: The PRISM Model. *Journal of Autism and Developmental Disorders*. 2019;49(6):2358-73.
39. Waugh C, Peskin J. Improving the Social Skills of Children with HFASD: An Intervention Study. *Journal of Autism and Developmental Disorders*. 2015;45(9):2961-80.

40. Weiss JA, Thomson K, Burnham Riosa P, Albaum C, Chan V, Maughan A, et al. A randomized waitlist-controlled trial of cognitive behavior therapy to improve emotion regulation in children with autism. *Journal of child psychology and psychiatry, and allied disciplines*. 2018;59(11):1180-91.
41. Whitehouse AJO, Granich J, Alvares G, Busacca M, Cooper MN, Dass A, et al. A randomised controlled trial of an iPad-based application to complement early behavioural intervention in Autism Spectrum Disorder. *Journal of Child Psychology and Psychiatry and Allied Disciplines*. 2017;58(9):1042-52.
42. Wong CS. A play and joint attention intervention for teachers of young children with autism: A randomized controlled pilot study. *Autism*. 2013;17(3):340-57.
43. Woo CC, Donnelly JH, Steinberg-Epstein R, Leon M. Environmental enrichment as a therapy for autism: A clinical trial replication and extension. *Behavioral Neuroscience*. 2015;129(4):412-22.
44. Woo CC, Leon M. Environmental enrichment as an effective treatment for autism: A randomized controlled trial. *Behavioral Neuroscience*. 2013;127(4):487-97.
45. Wright B, Marshall D, Adamson J, Ainsworth H, Ali S, Allgar V, et al. Social stories™ to alleviate challenging behaviour and social difficulties exhibited by children with autism spectrum disorder in mainstream schools: Design of a manualised training toolkit and feasibility study for a cluster randomised controlled trial with nested qualitative and cost-effectiveness components. *Health Technology Assessment*. 2016;20(6):1-186, xv-xxvii.
46. Yoder P, Stone WL. Randomized comparison of two communication interventions for preschoolers with autism spectrum disorders. *Journal of Consulting and Clinical Psychology*. 2006;74(3):426-35.
47. Frankel F, Myatt R, Sugar C, Whitham C, Gorospe CM, Laugeson E. A Randomized Controlled Study of Parent-assisted Children's Friendship Training with Children having Autism Spectrum Disorders. *Journal of Autism and Developmental Disorders*. 2010;40(7):827-42.
48. Jonsson U, Olsson NC, Coco C, Gorling A, Flygare O, Rade A, et al. Long-term social skills group training for children and adolescents with autism spectrum disorder: a randomized controlled trial. *Eur Child Adolesc Psychiatry*. 2019;28(2):189-201.
49. Kaale A, Smith L, Sponheim E. A randomized controlled trial of preschool-based joint attention intervention for children with autism. *Journal of child psychology and psychiatry, and allied disciplines*. 2012;53(1):97-105.
50. Lim HA. Effect of "developmental speech and language training through music" on speech production in children with autism spectrum disorders. *Journal of Music Therapy*. 2010;47(1):2-26.
51. Mohammadzaheri F, Koegel LK, Rezaee M, Rafiee SM. A randomized clinical trial comparison between Pivotal Response Treatment (PRT) and structured Applied Behavior Analysis (ABA) intervention for children with autism. *Journal of Autism and Developmental Disorders*. 2014;44(11):2769-77.
52. Parsons D, Cordier R, Lee H, Falkmer T, Vaz S. A Randomised Controlled Trial of an Information Communication Technology Delivered Intervention for Children with Autism Spectrum Disorder Living in Regional Australia. *Journal of Autism and Developmental Disorders*. 2019;49(2):569-81.

53. Schertz HH, Odom SL, Baggett KM, Sideris JH. Effects of Joint Attention Mediated Learning for toddlers with autism spectrum disorders: An initial randomized controlled study. *Early Childhood Research Quarterly*. 2013;28(2):249-58.
54. Schertz HH, Odom SL, Baggett KM, Sideris JH. Mediating Parent Learning to Promote Social Communication for Toddlers with Autism: Effects from a Randomized Controlled Trial. *Journal of Autism and Developmental Disorders*. 2018;48(3):853-67.
55. Schreibman L, Stahmer AC. A randomized trial comparison of the effects of verbal and pictorial naturalistic communication strategies on spoken language for young children with autism. *Journal of Autism and Developmental Disorders*. 2014;44(5):1244-51.
56. Soorya LV, Siper PM, Beck T, Soffes S, Halpern D, Gorenstein M, et al. Randomized comparative trial of a social cognitive skills group for children with autism spectrum disorder. *Journal of the American Academy of Child and Adolescent Psychiatry*. 2015;54(3):208-16.e1.
57. Begeer S, Gevers C, Clifford P, Verhoeve M, Kat K, Hoddenbach E, et al. Theory of Mind Training in Children with Autism: A Randomized Controlled Trial. *Journal of Autism and Developmental Disorders*. 2011;41(8):997-1006.
58. Begeer S, Howlin P, Hoddenbach E, Clauser C, Lindauer R, Clifford P, et al. Effects and Moderators of a Short Theory of Mind Intervention for Children with Autism Spectrum Disorder: A Randomized Controlled Trial. *Autism Research*. 2015;8(6):738-48.
59. Koning C, Magill-Evans J, Volden J, Dick B. Efficacy of cognitive behavior therapy-based social skills intervention for school-aged boys with autism spectrum disorders. *Research in Autism Spectrum Disorders*. 2013;7(10):1282-90.
60. Wetherby AM, Guthrie W, Woods J, Schatschneider C, Holland RD, Morgan L, et al. Parent-implemented social intervention for toddlers with autism: An RCT. *Pediatrics*. 2014;134(6):1084-93.
61. Locke J, Shih W, Kang-Yi CD, Caramanico J, Shingledecker T, Gibson J, et al. The impact of implementation support on the use of a social engagement intervention for children with autism in public schools. *Autism*. 2018.
62. Beaudoin AJ, Sébire G, Couture M. Parent-mediated intervention tends to improve parent-child engagement, and behavioral outcomes of toddlers with ASD-positive screening: A randomized crossover trial. *Research in Autism Spectrum Disorders*. 2019;66.
63. Tchintcharauli T, Gvenetadze T. Sensory integration intervention and effectiveness of applied behavior analysis (ABA)-based therapy in children with autism spectrum disorder: A pilot study. *Developmental Medicine and Child Neurology*. 2018;60:56.
64. Adibsereshki N, Nesayan A, Asadi Gandomani R, Karimlou M. The effectiveness of theory of mind training on the social skills of children with high functioning autism spectrum disorders. *Iranian Journal of Child Neurology*. 2015;9(3):40-9.
65. Bharathi G, Venugopal A, Vellingiri B. Music therapy as a therapeutic tool in improving the social skills of autistic children. *Egyptian Journal of Neurology, Psychiatry and Neurosurgery*. 2019;55(1).

66. Cardoso C, Sousa-Morato PF, Andrade S, Fernandes FD. Social-cognitive performance and social-communicative adaptation in different groups of the autistic spectrum. *Pro-fono : revista de atualizacao cientifica*. 2010;22(1):43-8.
67. Chiang CH, Chu CL, Lee TC. Efficacy of caregiver-mediated joint engagement intervention for young children with autism spectrum disorders. *Autism*. 2016;20(2):172-82.
68. Hamdan MA. Developing a proposed training program based on discrete trial training (DTT) to improve the non-verbal communication skills in children with autism spectrum disorder (ASD). *International Journal of Special Education*. 2018;33(3):579-91.
69. Lorenzo G, Gómez-Puerta M, Arráez-Vera G, Lorenzo-Lledó A. Preliminary study of augmented reality as an instrument for improvement of social skills in children with autism spectrum disorder. *Education and Information Technologies*. 2019;24(1):181-204.
70. O'Haire ME, McKenzie SJ, McCune S, Slaughter V. Effects of Classroom Animal-Assisted Activities on Social Functioning in Children with Autism Spectrum Disorder. *Journal of Alternative and Complementary Medicine*. 2014;20(3):162-8.
71. Yuan SNV, Ip HHS. Using virtual reality to train emotional and social skills in children with autism spectrum disorder. *London journal of primary care*. 2018;10(4):110-2.
72. Choque Olsson N, Flygare O, Coco C, Gorling A, Rade A, Chen Q, et al. Social Skills Training for Children and Adolescents With Autism Spectrum Disorder: A Randomized Controlled Trial. *J Am Acad Child Adolesc Psychiatry*. 2017;56(7):585-92.
73. Acar C, Tekin-Iftar E, Yikmis A. Effects of Mother-Delivered Social Stories and Video Modeling in Teaching Social Skills to Children With Autism Spectrum Disorders. *Journal of Special Education*. 2017;50(4):215-26.
74. Albasha H, Kelly M, Andrews J, Rice S. The effects of animal assisted intervention on the social initiation behaviors of children with an autism spectrum disorder. *Journal of Investigative Medicine*. 2016;64(1):264.
75. Alzrayer NM, Banda DR, Koul R. Teaching children with autism spectrum disorder and other developmental disabilities to perform multistep requesting using an iPad. *AAC: Augmentative and Alternative Communication*. 2017;33(2):65-76.
76. Alzrayer NM, Banda DR, Koul RK. The Effects of Systematic Instruction in Teaching Multistep Social-Communication Skills to Children with Autism Spectrum Disorder Using an iPad. *Dev Neurorehabil*. 2019;22(6):415-29.
77. Conner CM, White SW, Beck KB, Golt J, Smith IC, Mazefsky CA. Improving emotion regulation ability in autism: The Emotional Awareness and Skills Enhancement (EASE) program. *Autism*. 2019;23(5):1273-87.
78. Cotugno AJ. Social competence and social skills training and intervention for children with Autism Spectrum Disorders. *J Autism Dev Disord*. 2009;39(9):1268-77.
79. Didehbani N, Allen T, Kandalaf M, Krawczyk D, Chapman S. Virtual Reality Social Cognition Training for children with high functioning autism. *Computers in Human Behavior*. 2016;62:703-11.

80. Guivarch J, Murdymootoo V, Elissalde SN, Salle-Collemiche X, Tardieu S, Jouve E, et al. Impact of an implicit social skills training group in children with autism spectrum disorder without intellectual disability: A before-and-after study. *PLoS ONE*. 2017;12(7).
81. Herbrecht E, Poustka F, Birnkammer S, Duketis E, Schlitt S, Schmotzer G, et al. Pilot evaluation of the Frankfurt Social Skills Training for children and adolescents with autism spectrum disorder. *European Child & Adolescent Psychiatry*. 2009;18(6):327-35.
82. Katagiri J. The Effect of Background Music and Song Texts on the Emotional Understanding of Children with Autism. *Journal of Music Therapy*. 2009;46(1):15-31.
83. Radley KC, Hanglein J, Arak M. School-based social skills training for preschool-age children with autism spectrum disorder. *Autism*. 2016;20(8):938-51.
84. Radley KC, O'Handley RD, Ness EJ, Ford WB, Battaglia AA, McHugh MB, et al. Promoting social skill use and generalization in children with autism spectrum disorder. *Research in Autism Spectrum Disorders*. 2014;8(6):669-80.
85. Sansosti FJ, Powell-Smith KA. Using social stories to improve the social behavior of children with Asperger syndrome. *Journal of Positive Behavior Interventions*. 2006;8(1):43-57.
86. Sansosti FJ, Powell-Smith KA. Using computer-presented social stories and video models to increase the social communication skills of children with high-functioning autism spectrum disorders. *Journal of Positive Behavior Interventions*. 2008;10(3):162-78.
87. Solomon R, Necheles J, Ferch C, Bruckman D. Pilot study of a parent training program for young children with autism: The PLAY Project Home Consultation program. *Autism*. 2007;11(3):205-24.
88. Welterlin A, Turner-Brown LM, Harris S, Mesibov G, Delmolino L. The home teaching program for toddlers with autism. *Journal of Autism and Developmental Disorders*. 2012;42(9):1827-35.
89. Wichnick AM, Vener SM, Keating C, Poulson CL. The effect of a script-fading procedure on unscripted social initiations and novel utterances among young children with autism. *Research in Autism Spectrum Disorders*. 2010;4(1):51-64.
90. Wichnick-Gillis AM, Vener SM, Poulson CL. The effect of a script-fading procedure on social interactions among young children with autism. *Research in Autism Spectrum Disorders*. 2016;26:1-9.
91. Willemin T, Litchke LG, Liu T, Ekins C. Social emotional effects of drumtastic®: A dyadic within-group drumming pilot program for children with autism spectrum disorder. *International Journal of Special Education*. 2018;33(1):94-103.
92. Wood S, Christian MP, Sampson A. Audit of outcomes following a community-based early intensive behaviour intervention program for children with autism in Australia. *Australian Journal of Psychology*. 2018;70(3):217-24.
93. Yoo GE, Kim SJ. Dyadic drum playing and social skills: Implications for rhythm-mediated intervention for children with autism spectrum disorder. *Journal of Music Therapy*. 2018;55(3):340-75.
94. Bradshaw J, Koegel LK, Koegel RL. Improving Functional Language and Social Motivation with a Parent-Mediated Intervention for Toddlers with Autism Spectrum Disorder. *J Autism Dev Disord*.

- 2017;47(8):2443-58.
95. Edgerton CL. The effect of improvisational music therapy on the communicative behaviors of autistic children. *Journal of Music Therapy*. 1994;31(1):31-62.
 96. Kruck J, Séjourné N, Rogé B, Courty S. Study on the effectiveness of social skills training program available for children with autism spectrum disorder. *Journal de Therapie Comportementale et Cognitive*. 2017;27(1):25-33.
 97. Lopata C, Thomeer ML, Volker MA, Nida RE. Effectiveness of a Cognitive-Behavioral Treatment on the Social Behaviors of Children With Asperger Disorder. *Focus on Autism and Other Developmental Disabilities*. 2006;21(4):237-44.
 98. Mitchell ES, Mrug S, Patterson CS, Bailey KJ, Bart Hodgins J. Summer Treatment Program Improves Behavior of Children with High-Functioning Autism Spectrum Disorder. *Journal of Autism and Developmental Disorders*. 2015;45(8):2295-310.
 99. Mpella M, Evaggelinou C, Koidou E, Tsigilis N. The Effects of a Theatrical Play Programme on Social Skills Development for Young Children with Autism Spectrum Disorders. *International Journal of Special Education*. 2018;33(4):828-45.
 100. Rollins PR, Campbell M, Hoffman RT, Self K. A community-based early intervention program for toddlers with autism spectrum disorders. *Autism*. 2016;20(2):219-32.
 101. Sofronoff K, Silva J, Beaumont R. The Secret Agent Society Social-Emotional Skills Program for Children with a High-Functioning Autism Spectrum Disorder. *Focus on Autism and Other Developmental Disabilities*. 2017;32(1):55-70.
 102. Hutchins TL, Prelock PA. The social validity of Social Stories™ for supporting the behavioural and communicative functioning of children with autism spectrum disorder. *International Journal of Speech-Language Pathology*. 2013;15(4):383-95.
 103. Wetherby AM, Woods JJ. Early Social Interaction Project for Children With Autism Spectrum Disorders Beginning in the Second Year of Life: A Preliminary Study. *Topics in Early Childhood Special Education*. 2006;26(2):67-82.
 104. LaGasse AB. Effects of a music therapy group intervention on enhancing social skills in children with autism. *Journal of Music Therapy*. 2015;51(3):250-75.
 105. White SW, Koenig K, Scahill L. Group Social Skills Instruction for Adolescents With High-Functioning Autism Spectrum Disorders. *Focus on Autism and Other Developmental Disabilities*. 2010;25(4):209-19.
 106. Gengoux GW, Schapp S, Burton S, Ardel CM, Libove RA, Baldi G, et al. Effects of a parent-implemented Developmental Reciprocity Treatment Program for children with autism spectrum disorder. *Autism*. 2019;23(3):713-25.
 107. Stavrou K, Tsimaras V, Alevriadou A, Gregoriadis A. The effect of an exercise program on communication and behavior of a child with Autism Spectrum Disorder. *Pedagogics, psychology, medical-biological problems of physical training and sports*. 2018;22(2):99-106.

Tables

Table 1. Effectiveness of behavioral interventions for Autism Spectrum Disorder

Authors/ Country	Design	Participants	Intervention	Response percentage and duration of follow-up, theory and model used	Outcome measurement	Significant results	Study quality
Acaret al., 2016/ Turkey	PPI	N= 3 children I: n =3	- I: mother-developed and delivered social stories and video, modeling in teaching social skills, sessions once a day in three consecutive days at the homes of each dyad	-100% response rate - Immediate follow-up by observation -No theoretical and model	- Social Skills	- Interventions were effective in teaching social skills to children	Weak
Yoo et al., 2018/ Korea	PPI	N= 9 children I: n =9	- I: preliminary pilot of a rhythm-mediated music therapy intervention measured changes in social skills. Each participant received a total of eight 30-minute individual sessions	-100% response rate - Immediate follow-up by observation - No theoretical and model	- Joint engagement	- Greater engagement in joint action following the intervention	Weak
Stavrou et al., 2018/ USA	PPI	N= 7 children I: n =7	- I: program was 12 weeks, at a frequency of 3 sessions per week of 40-45 minutes each time.	-100% response rate - Immediate follow-up by observation - No theoretical and model	-Communication and behavior skill	- Significant improvement in communication and behavior skill	Weak
Cardoso et al., 2010/ Brazil	cPPI	N= 16 children I: n = 8 C: n = 8	- I: Once a week, specialized language therapy by a speech-language pathologist for a period of at least six month	-100% response rate - Immediate follow-up by observation - No theoretical and model	- Social cognitive profile and the Social-Communicative adaptation	- No significant statistical differences in the social cognitive profile between the two groups.	Weak
Choque Olsson et al., 2017/ Sweden	cPPI	N= 296 children I: n = 150 C: n = 146	- I: Twelve sessions of manualized Social skills	-71.95% response rate - 3-month follow-up by self-report	- Social Skills	- Significant statistical differences in the Social skills	Weak

			group training ("KONTAKT") were delivered by regular clinical staff.	- No theoretical and model			
Yuan & Shing Ip 2018/ Hong Kong	cPPI	N= 72 children I: n = 36 C: n = 36	- I: Developed a VR-enabled training program to examine its efficacy on emotional and social skills with six VR scenarios depicting the daily lives of typical children	-100% response rate - Un-known follow-up by observation - No theoretical and model	- Emotional and social skills	- Higher on emotion expression and social skill	Weak
Yoder & Stone 2006/ USA	RCT	N= 36 children I: n = 19 C: n = 17	- I: three 20-min intervention sessions per week for 6 months.	-100% response rate - Immediate follow-up by observation - No theoretical and model	- Communication skills	- Significant statistical differences in the communication skills	Moderate
Adamset al., 2012/ UK	RCT	N= 88 children I: n = 59 C: n = 29	- I: Children in the social communication condition received up to 20 sessions of direct intervention from a specialist research speech and language therapist working with supervised assistants.	-96.6% response rate - Immediate and 3-month follow-up by observation - No theoretical model and	- Social Communication and speech therapy	- No significant treatment of structural language ability or for a measure of narrative ability. - Significant treatment in social communication	Moderate
Adibsereshkiet al., 2015/ Iran	cPPI	N= 24 children I: n = 12 C: n = 12	- I: 3 times a week for 15 sessions of ToM training	-100% response rate - Un-known follow-up by self-report - Theory of Mind	- Social Skills	- Social skills in the experimental group were significantly more than the control group	Moderate
Waugh and Peskin 2015/ Canada	RCT	N= 49 children I1: n = 19 I1: n = 11 C: n = 19	- I: children were taught to identify and consider their peer's mental states, while learning friendship-	-95.9% response rate - 3-month follow-up by observation - Theory of Mind	- Social Skills	- Social skills in the experimental groups were significantly more than the control group	Moderate

			making skills and strategies, through the use of visual scaffolds in story format.				
Welterlin et al., 2012/ USA	PPI	N= 20 parent and children I: n = 20	-Intervention including treatment (Home teaching Program) and 12 week	-100% response rate - 4-month follow-up by observation - No theoretical and model	- Child and parent behavior	- Robust support for improvement in child and parent behavior but not significant	Weak
Roberts et al., 2011/Australia	RCT	N= 85 children I1: n = 28 I1: n = 28 C: n = 29	- I: an individualized home-based program (HB), a small group center-based program for children combined with a parent training and support group (CB) had 12-month programs	-98.8% response rate - 6-month follow-up by observation - No theoretical and model	-Social and communication skill development	- Children in the CB and HB group improved significantly more in social and communication measures.	Moderate
Albasha et al., 2016/ USA	PPI	N= 9 children I: n = 9	- I: each child attended one, 25-minute session per week for 8 weeks. The children were assigned to have their first 4 weeks with the dog and the next 4 with the human proxy, or vice versa.	-100% response rate - 1-month follow-up by observation - No theoretical and model	- Social initiation behaviors	- No significant effect on social initiation behaviors	Weak
Wright et al., 2016/ USA	RCT	N= 50 children I: n = 25 C: n = 25	- I: The intervention was a goal-setting session followed by a annualized toolkit for creating Social Stories™	-100% response rate - Un-known follow-up by self-report - No theoretical and model	- Behavior and social skills	- High levels of completion rates and appeared to be capturing social and behavior skills targeted by the use of social stories.	Moderate
Wong 2013/ USA	RCT	N= 33 teacher and	-I: in three groups: (1)	-100% response rate	- Play and joint attention	- Implement an intervention to	Moderate

		children I1: n = 10 I2: n = 14 C: n = 9	symbolic play then joint attention intervention, (2) joint attention then symbolic intervention, and (3) control group - Teachers participated in eight weekly individualized 1- h sessions	- Un-known follow-up by observation - No theoretical and model		significantly by teachers - Improve joint engagement significant increases in joint attention and symbolic play skills	
Vernon et al., 2019/ USA	RCT	N= 28 parent and children I: n = 10 C: n = 9	- I: Treatment condition received 6 months (26 weeks) of the PRISM treatment model. They were allocated 10 h a week of intervention: 8 h of one-on-one clinician- implemented treatment and 2 h of parent education in the intervention strategies with the child present	-82.1% response rate - Un-known follow-up by observation - Social Motivation model	- Social motivation	- Effect Pivotal response treatment for social motivation in children	Moderate
Wood et al., 2017/ Australia	PPI	N= 45 children I: n = 45	- I: an average 20 hr. of intervention per week for 24 months is reported.	-71.1% response rate - Un-known follow-up by observation - No theoretical and model	- Expressive language, cognitive behavior skills	- Statistically significant increases in receptive and expressive language, cognitive, and adaptive behavior skills.	Weak
Woo and Leon 2013/ USA	RCT	N= 28 children I: n = 15 C: n = 13	- I: received daily olfactory/tactile stimulation along with exercises that stimulated other paired sensory modalities	-100% response rate - 6-month follow- up by observation - No theoretical and model	- Environmental enrichment	- Significant gains in environmental enrichment	Moderate
Woo et al., 2015/ USA	RCT	N= 50 parent and	- I: participants received either	-100% response rate	-Environmental enrichment	- Significant gains in their IQ scores, a	Moderate

		children I: n = 22 C: n = 28	daily sensorimotor enrichment, administered by their parents, along with standard care	- 6-month follow-up by observation - No theoretical and model		decline in their atypical sensory responses, and an improvement in their receptive language performance	
Willemin et al., 2018/ Germany	PPI	N= 14 children I: n = 14	- I: social-emotional impact of eight one-hour sessions of a novel dyadic within-group drumming program called drumtastic at a four-week summer camp	-100% response rate - 6-month follow-up by observation - No theoretical and model	- Social emotion	- Children significantly higher on the posttest on Smiley-o-meter, and fun-o-meter - Not elicit a statistically significant change in children's social and personal skills.	Weak
Alzrayer et al. 2017/ USA	PPI	N= 4 children I: n = 4	- I: An Apple iPad II1 with Proloquo2Go software was used for navigation and symbol combination skills across three consecutive sessions	-100% response rate - Immediate follow-up by observation - No theoretical and model	- Communication skill	- Participants were successful to varying degrees in navigating across pages and combining symbols to request preferred items.	Weak
Andrews et al., 2013/ Australia	RCT	N= 58 children I: n = 29 C: n = 29	- I: including greater use of visual content, including parents in the program, and experiential learning through role play	-98.3% response rate - 3 month follow-up by observation - No theoretical and model	- Affectionate communication and friendship skills	- Significantly greater improvements in the overall appropriateness of their affectionate behavior	Moderate
Wetherby and Woods 2006/ USA	PPI	N= 4 children I: n = 4	- I: consisted of five research assistants-four certified as speech-language pathologists and one early childhood education specialist.	-100% response rate - Immediate follow-up by observation - No theoretical and model	- Social communication	- Significant improvement on 11 of 13 social communication measures	Weak
Herbrecht Et al., 2009/ Germany	PPI	N= 17 children I: n = 17	I: Treatment according to the annualized	-100% response rate	- Social and communication skills	- Significant improvement on	Weak

			Frankfurt Social Skills Training (KONTAKT).	- Un-known follow-up by observation - No theoretical and model		language skills and social skills	
Beaudoin et al., 2019/ Canada	RCT	N= 19 children I: n = 9 C: n = 10	- I: Using a 12-week parent-mediated intervention	-100% response rate - 3 month follow-up by observation - No theoretical and model	- Improve parent-child engagement and behavioral outcomes	- Improved toddlers' motor skills and a trend toward improvement in social adaptive behaviors - Improved parent-child engagement during the intervention	Moderate
Laugeson et al., 2014/ USA	RCT	N= 73 children I: n = 40 C: n = 33	- I: Participants were assigned to the PEERSÒ treatment condition or an alternative social skills curriculum. Instruction was provided daily by classroom teachers and teacher aides for 14-weeks.	-100% response rate - Un-known follow-up by Self-report - No theoretical and model	- Social skills	- Significant improvement in social skills knowledge and frequency of hosted and invited get-togethers with friends	Moderate
Guivarch et al., 2017/ France	PPI	N= 17 children I: n = 17	- I: including strategy games, board games, and individual games that we transformed into cooperative games	-100% response rate - 22 weeks follow-up by observation - No theoretical and model	- Social skills	- A significant increase in overall adaptation and social skills	Weak
Wichnick-Gilliset al., 2016/ USA	PPI	N= 3 children I: n = 3	- I: During a given teaching session, printed scripts were superimposed upon the five teaching stimuli	-100% response rate - Immediate follow-up by observation - No theoretical and model	- Social interaction skills	- A significant increase in social interaction skills	Weak
Begeer et al., 2011/ Netherlands	RCT	N= 36 children I: n = 19 C: n = 17	- I: includes 16 weekly sessions of approximately 1, 5 h each, provided to 5 or 6 children simultaneously,	-95.9% response rate - Between 6 and 12 weeks later follow-up by self-report - Theory of Mind	- Social behavior	- Self reported empathic skills or parent reported social behavior did not improve.	Moderate

			with a mutual age difference that does not exceed 3 years.				
Beaumont and Sofronoff 2008/ USA	RCT	N= 49 parent and children I: n = 26 C: n = 23	- I: including Junior detective computer game. Group therapy sessions were conducted to facilitate participants' generalization of computer game content and teach additional social and problem-solving skills	-100% response rate - 22 weeks follow-up by observation - No theoretical and model	- Social skills	- Greater improvements in social skills - Significant improvements in social functioning	Moderate
Dekker et al., 2019/ Netherlands	RCT	N= 122 children I1: n = 47 I2: n = 51 C: n = 24	- I: including 15-session social skills group training (SST) with and without parent and teacher involvement	-85.5% response rate - Immediate and 6 month follow-up by observation - No theoretical and model	- Social skills	- A significant increase in social interaction skills	Moderate
Wichnick et al., 2010/ USA	PPI	N= 3 children I: n = 3	- When teaching sessions began, voice-over-recording devices with pre-recorded scripts were added to seven of the 10 bags containing pairs of toys	-100% response rate - Immediate follow-up by observation - No theoretical and model	- Social interaction skills	- Effective The script-fading procedure was in increasing unscripted and novel initiations to peers	Weak
Alzrayer 2019 USA	PPI	N= 3 children I: n = 3	- I: Use of systematic instruction on teaching multistep social communication skills using an iPad® loaded with Proloquo2Go™	-100% response rate - Immediate follow-up by observation - No theoretical and model	- Social Communication Skills	- The participants were successful in using the iPad® to perform a multistep sequence in requesting - Able to acquire social communication skills	Weak
Ichikawa et al., 2013 Japan	RCT	N= 11 parent and children I: n = 5	- I: The program involved comprehensive group	-100% response rate - Un-known follow-up by	-Social skills	- The outcome measurements improved more in social skills	Moderate

		C: n = 6	intervention and featured weekly 2-hour sessions, totaling 20 sessions over six months	observation - No theoretical and model		in program group	
White et al., 2010/ USA	PPI	N= 15 children I: n = 15	- I: Completed a 16-week outpatient group-based intervention.	-100% response rate - 3 month follow-up by observation - Social learning theory	- Social skills	- Significant improvement based on social skills	Weak
Conner et al., 2018/ USA	PPI	N= 17 children I: n = 17	- I: consists of a 16-week individual therapy treatment targeting emotion regulation impairments	-100% response rate - Un-known follow-up by self-report - No theoretical and model	- Emotional awareness and skills enhancement	- Significant improvement in emotion regulation impairments and related concerns.	Weak
Pfeiffer et al., 2013/ USA	RCT	N= 37 parent and children I: n = 20 C: n = 17	- I: receive individual sessions with an occupational therapy graduate student under the direct supervision of an experienced occupational therapist	-100% response rate - Un-known follow-up by observation - No theoretical and model	- Social responsiveness, sensory processing, functional motor skills, and social-emotional factors	- Significant positive changes in goal attainment scaling scores - No other results were significant	Moderate
Bharathi et al., 2019/ India	cPPI	N= 52 children I: n = 26 C:n= 26	- I: Each song was played using a CD player for 6 min. After playing the songs, the group was observed in silence for 10 min. Each session lasted for 35 min and three sessions were carried out in a week	-100% response rate - 3 month follow-up by self-report - No theoretical and model	- Social skills	- Significant increase in social skills' scores	Moderate
Chiang et al., 2016/ Taiwan	cPPI	N= 34 parent and children	- I: The program consisted of 20 sessions, 60 min	-100% response rate	- Joint engagement	- Child-initiated supportive and coordinated joint	Weak

		I: n = 18 C:n= 16	per session, twice a week, for the target child and his or her parent.	- 3 month follow-up by observation - Theory of Mind		engagement was greater for the intervention group	
Whitehouse et al., 2017/ Australia	RCT	N= 80 parent and children I: n = 39 C: n = 41	I: Therapy Outcomes By You (TOBY) is an app-based learning curriculum designed for children and parents as a complement to early behavioral intervention. Therapy Outcomes By You (TOBY therapy) at least 20 min/day for a period of 6 months	-94% response rate - 3 and 6 month follow-up by observation - No theoretical and model	- Behavioral skill	- There was no group difference in scores on the primary outcome - Significant improvements in the TOBY intervention group relative to the treatment-as-usual group on three secondary outcomes	Strong
Gengouxet al., 2019/ USA	PPI	N= 22 parent and children I: n = 22	- I: Primary caregiver participated in 12 weekly sessions of developmental reciprocity treatment parent training,	-100% response rate - Un-known follow-up by observation - No theoretical and model	-Developmental reciprocity treatment	- Improvement in aspects of parent empowerment and social quality of life. - Improvement in core autism symptoms was observed on the social responsiveness - No differences in sensory sensitivity were observed on the Short Sensory Profile.	Weak
Wetherby et al., 2014/ USA	RCT	N= 82 parent and children I: n = 42 C: n = 40	- I: Training focused on teaching parents the importance of intensive intervention and how to support active engagement in natural environments.	-100% response rate - 9 month follow-up By observation - No theoretical and model	- Social communication, autism symptom, adaptive behavior	- Differential efficacy on a parent report measure of communication, daily living, and social skills, as they showed improvement or stability	Moderate
Radley et al., 2014/ USA	PPI	N= 3 children	- I: Attended 10 social skills	-100% response rate	- Social skill	- Improvement in skill accuracy in	Weak

		I: n = 3	training sessions over five weeks, with social skills lessons targeting participation, conversation, perspective taking, and problem solving skills	- Immediate follow-up by observation - No theoretical and model		both the training and generalization settings	
Sansosti and Powell-Smith. 2008/ USA	PPI	N= 3 children I: n = 3	- I: Using a multiple baseline across-participants design, computer-presented social stories and video models were implemented	-100% response rate - 2 weeks follow-up by observation - No theoretical and model	- Social Communication Skills	- Treatment package was effective for improving the rates of social communication	Weak
Begeer et al., 2015/ Netherlands	RCT	N= 101 children I: n = 53 C: n = 48	- Use of The "Mini ToM intervention" is an annualized, weekly intervention for groups of five to six children, It involves eight sessions of approximately 1 hr.	-96% response rate - 6 month follow-up by self-report - Theory of Mind	- Emotion understanding, social skills and social behavior	- Positive effect on emotion understanding, conceptual social and autistic traits, but not on social behavior	Moderate
Katagiri 2002/ Japan	PPI	N= 12 children I: n = 12	- I: consisted of the teaching the selected emotion using verbal instructions alone, background music specially composed songs about the emotion	-100% response rate - Un-known follow-up by observation - No theoretical and model	- Emotional understanding	- Improved significantly in their emotion understanding	Weak
Solomon et al., 2007/ USA	PPI	N= 68 parent and children I: n = 68	- Half-day (3-4 hour) visits to families' homes to teach parents how to provide intensive, one-	-100% response rate - Un-known follow-up by observation - DIR theory	- Functional emotional	- Significant increases in functional emotional	Weak

			on-one, play-based services.				
Baghdadli et al., 2013/ Franc	RCT	N= 14 children I: n = 7 C: n = 7	- I: met weekly for 1 h and 30 min for a total of 20 sessions (6 months). It proposed explicit training in social skills using techniques such as video modeling, social scenarios, problem-solving exercises and role-play	-93% response rate - 6 month follow-up by observation - No theoretical and model	- Social skill	- Intervention group made fewer errors in labeling anger on adult faces	Strong
Becker et al., 2017/ USA	RCT	N= 31 children I: n = 17 C: n = 14	- I: Provide 12 weeks of weekly treatment. In the experimental condition, participants' interactions with the dogs varied based on the stage of the session and the sessions target skill.	-96% response rate - 6 month follow-up by observation - Theory of Mind	- Social skills	- Significantly less symptomatic in intervention group - No significant differences were observed in the Social Language Development Test	Moderate
Kasari et al., 2014/ Nigeria	RCT	N= 51 children I: n = 30 C: n = 31	- I: consisted of 2 stages. In stage 1, all children received 2 sessions per week for 3 months. Stage 2 intervention was use of speech generating device	-90.2% response rate - 3 and 6 month follow-up by observation - No theoretical and model	-Communicative utterances	- Improvements in spontaneous communicative utterances and novel words outcomes	Strong
Brian et al., 2017/ Canada	RCT	N= 62 parent and children I: n = 30 C: n = 32	- I: Social ABCs coaching by one of five coaches. During coaching, parents are supported to learn the	-90.2% response rate - 12 and 24 weeks follow-up by self-report rate - No theoretical and model	- Social orienting	- Significant increases in child smiling and social orienting. - Significant gains in self-efficacy following the intervention	Strong

			techniques in the context of play. Intervention included 12 weeks of 1.5-hr home visits with tapering intensity. Home visits.				
Enav et al., 2019/ Switzerland	RCT	N= 68 parent and children I: n = 38 C: n = 30	- I: Workshops were conducted once per week for 90 min for 4 consecutive weeks.	-100% response rate - 3 month follow-up by observation - No theoretical and model	- Emotion regulation	- Reported decreased behavioral and emotional symptoms in their children, and greater parental self-efficacy.	Moderate
Drew et al., 2002/ UK	RCT	N= 12 parent and children I: n = 12 C: n = 24	- I: Parents were visited at home by a speech and language therapist every 6 weeks for a 3-hour session. Table games were gradually increased to 15-20 minutes daily. Activities were designed to take between 30 and 60 minutes "set aside" time.	-100% response rate - 12 month follow-up by observation - No theoretical and model	- Development of joint attention skills and joint action routines	- Progress in language development	Moderate
Kasari et al., 2012/ USA	RCT	N= 60 children I: n = 30 C: n = 30	- I: involved 12 sessions over 6 weeks	-98% response rate - 3 month follow-up by observation - No theoretical and model	- Social skills	- Significant improvements were found in social network salience, number of friendship nominations, teacher report of social skills in the classroom	Moderate
Howlin et al., 2007/ UK	RCT	N= 88 children and teacher I1: n = 30 I2: n = 29 C: n = 29	-I: a 2-day workshop for teachers plus 6 half-day, school-based training sessions with expert consultants over 5 months	-94.3% response rate - 1 and 5 month follow-up by observation - No theoretical and model	- Communicative initiations and reciprocal social interaction	- Significant in reciprocal social interaction - No increases in frequency of speech, or improvements in language test scores.	Moderate

Lorenzo et al., 2019/ Spain	cPPI	N= 11 children I: n = 6 C:n= 5	- I: the experimental group worked with different augmented reality activities such as a player who had to score a goal; playing with a cow. The intervention lasted for 20 weeks, in 15 min sessions twice a week	-100% response rate - Un-known follow-up by observation - No theoretical and model	- Social skills	- Significant in social skills	Weak
Didehbani et al., 2016/ USA	PPI	N= 30 children I: n = 30	- I: completed 10, 1-h sessions across 5 weeks. It provided realistic and dynamic opportunities to engage in, practice, and attain immediate feedback on relevant and true-to-life social scenarios.	-100% response rate - Two weeks follow-up by self-report - No theoretical and model	- Emotion recognition, social attribution, attention and executive function	- Improvements on measures of emotion recognition, social attribution, and executive function	Weak
Weiss et al., 2018/ Canada	RCT	N= 68 children I: n = 35 C: n = 33	- I: including 10 sessions of manualized, individual tCBT. Employed a group-based spy-themed curriculum to address social skills and select computer games, use of the emotion education activities, use of code cards	-72% response rate - Ten weeks follow-up by self-report - No theoretical and model	- Emotion regulation	- Significant improvements on measures of emotion regulation	Moderate
Corbett Et al., 2016/ USA	RCT	N= 30 children I: n = 17 C: n = 13	- I: received the treatment first. The intervention was delivered	-96% response rate - 2 month follow-up by observation	- Communication symptoms	- Significant improvements on measures of communication symptoms	Moderate

			over 10 4-h sessions.	- Theory of Mind			
Bradshaw et al., 2017/ USA	PPI	N= 6 parent and children I: n = 6	- I: consisted of weekly 1-h parent coaching sessions with a primary caregiver over a period of 12 consecutive weeks	-100% response rate - Immediate follow-up by observation - No theoretical and model	- Expressive communication	- The verbal communication improved as a consequence of the intervention	Weak
Mitchell et al., 2015/ USA	PPI	N= 20 children I: n = 20	- I: the 6-week program. Each week, the children participated in multiple activities, including social skills groups, group discussions, skills and drills sessions, recreational activities, art and academic classroom time, and yoga	-100% response rate - 6 weeks follow-up by observation - No theoretical and model	- Daily behavior and social skills	- The daily behavior and social skills improved as a consequence of the intervention	Weak
Cotugno 2009/ USA	PPI	N= 18 children I: n = 18	- I: 30 week social competence and social skills group intervention program with children	-100% response rate - 6 weeks follow-up by observation - No theoretical and model	- Anxiety management, joint attention, and flexibility/transitions	- Significant improvement in the areas of anxiety management, joint attention, and flexibility/transitions	Weak
DeRosieret al.,2011/ USA	RCT	N= 55 parent and children I: n = 27 C: n = 28	- I: in fifteen 60-minute group sessions during consecutive weeks. Parents attended and participated in four of the sessions with their child.	-100% response rate - 2 weeks follow-up by self- report - No theoretical and model	- Social skills	- Significantly greater mastery of social skill - Parents reported an improved sense of social self-efficacy	Moderate
Fteiha 2017/ United Arab Emirates	RCT	N= 12 parent and children	- Group 1: applied to each child separately	-100% response rate	- Language skills	- Significant differences	Moderate

		I1: n = 4 I2: n = 4 C: n = 4	using CompuThera Program. - Group 2: applied to each child separately using Language Master - Group 3: subjected to the ordinary program applied in the center using the traditional linguistic training.	- Un-known follow-up by observation - No theoretical and model		greater gains in language scores than those in the control group	
Rollinset al., 2016/ USA	PPI	N= 4 parent and children I: n = 4	- I: weekly home visits and worked with caregivers to establish and maintain face-to-face reciprocal social interaction and eye contact. Each session included a 10-min video of parent-child interaction	-100% response rate - Immediate follow-up by self-report - No theoretical and model	- Social interaction and eye contact	- The intervention was effective for the measures of eye contact, social engagement, and verbal reciprocity but not for nonverbal turn taking.	Weak
Hutchins and Prelock2013/ USA	PPI	N= 20 children I: n = 20	- I: Using daily behavior stories and communication stories	-100% response rate - 6 week follow-up by observation - No theoretical and model	- Problem behaviors and communication	- The intervention was effective for Problem behaviors and communication	Weak
Hamdan et al., 2018/ Amman	cPPI	N= 26 children I: n = 13 C: n = 13	- I: included 36 training session, 3 sessions a week, 35 minutes for each session.	-100% response rate - Un-known follow-up by observation - No theoretical and model	- Non-verbal communication skills and eye contact, imitation	- Significant difference on the non-verbal communication skills - There are no differences in others fields	Weak
Drahota et al., 2011/ USA	RCT	N= 40 children I: n = 17 C: n = 23	- I: therapists worked with children and families for 16 weekly sessions,	-100% response rate - 3 month follow-up by observation	- Daily living skills and related parental intrusiveness	- Parents reported increases in children's total and personal daily living skills, and reduced	Moderate

			each lasting 90 min (about 30 min with the child and 60 min with the parents/family)	- No theoretical and model		involvement in their children's private daily routines.	
Reitzel et al., 2013/ Canada	RCT	N= 26 parent and children I: n = 14 C: n = 12	- Intervention including functional behavior skills training for four months or a control group who received their treatment as usual.	-57.7% response rate - 2 month follow-up by observation - No theoretical and model	- Functional skills and communication	- Improved on targeted functional skills and communication	Moderate
Scahill et al., 2016/ USA	RCT	N= 180 parent and children I: n = 89 C: n = 91	- I: training included specific strategies to manage disruptive behavior over 11 to 13 sessions, 2 telephone boosters, and 2 home visits.	-96.6% response rate - 24 weeks follow-up by observation - No theoretical and model	- Daily living skills	- Improvement daily living skills	Moderate
Lopataet al., 2006/ USA	PPI	N= 21 children I: n = 21	- I: conducted 5 days per week for 6 hours each day for 6 weeks. All participants received three identical core treatment components targeting social behaviors, including intensive social skills instruction, face-affect recognition, and interest expansion.	-100% response rate - Un-known follow-up by observation - No theoretical and model	- Social skills instruction and social behaviors	- Significant improvement in social skills - Significant improvement in adaptability and reduction in unusual behavior	Weak
Kamps et al., 2015/ USA	RCT	N= 95 teacher and children I: n = 56 C: n = 39	- I: consisted of games and age-appropriate table-top play activities (e.g.,	-89.5% response rate - Un-known follow-up by observation	- Social and communication skills	- Significant more in social skills - Significant growth for total communications	Moderate

			card games, popular board games).	- No theoretical and model			
Lim 2010/ USA	RCT	N= 50 children I1: n = 18 I2: n = 18 C: n = 14	I1: music training watched a music video containing 6 songs and pictures of the 36 target words; I2: speech training watched a speech video containing 6 stories and pictures	-89.5% response rate - Un-known follow-up by observation - No theoretical and model	- Language skills	- Significant increase in verbal production and functional speech.	Moderate
Edgerton 1994/ USA	PPI	N= 11 children I: n = 11	- I: participated in individual improvisational music therapy sessions for a period of 10 weeks.	-100% response rate - Un-known follow-up by observation - No theoretical and model	- Communicative behaviors	- Improvisational music therapy in increasing autistic children's communicative behaviors.	Weak
Schertz et al., 2018/ USA	RCT	N= 144 children I: n = 73 C: n = 71	- I: in weekly 1-h home-based sessions for 32 weeks.	-100% response rate - 6 month follow-up by observation - No theoretical and model	- Social communication	- Significant improvement in social communication	Weak
Kaale et al., 2012/ USA	RCT	N= 61 children I: n = 34 C: n = 27	- I: 8 weeks of joint attention and intervention, in addition to their preschool programs - C: 8 weeks of engagement and intervention, in addition to their preschool programs	-100% response rate - 12 month follow-up by observation - No theoretical and model	- Joint attention and joint engagement	- Significant improvement in Joint attention group	Moderate
O'Haire et al., 2014/ Australia	cPPI	N= 64 children I: n = 37 C: n = 27	I: The Animal-Assisted Activities program consisted of 8 weeks of animal	-100% response rate - 2 month follow-up by self-report - No theoretical and model	- Social functioning	- Significant improvements were identified in social functioning,	Weak

			exposure in the school classroom in addition to 16 20-minute animal-interaction sessions				
Frankel et al., 2010/ USA	RCT	N= 68 children I: n = 35 C: n = 33	- I: Targeted skills included conversational skills, peer entry skills, developing friendship networks, good sportsmanship, good host behavior during play dates, and handling teasing	-100% response rate - 3 month follow-up by observation - No theoretical and model	- Social skills	- Significant improvement in social skills	Moderate
Sofronoff et al., 2015/ Australia	PPI	N= 79 I: n = 38 parent and 41 children	- I: including social problem solving skills. Behavioral components include the application of relaxation strategies called "relaxation gadgets," role-plays, and parental reinforcement by supplying session rewards.	-100% response rate - 6 weeks follow-up by self-report - No theoretical and model	- Social skills and emotion management, self-efficacy, child anxiety	- Significant improvements in child social skills, self-efficacy, child behavior, and child anxiety levels	Weak
Radley et al., 2016/ USA	PPI	N= 2 children I: n = 2	- I: Participants attended a 1-h social skills group each week over the course of approximately 11 weeks.	-100% response rate - 6 weeks follow-up by observation - No theoretical and model	- Social skills	- Improvements in social skills and social function	Weak
Kim et al., 2008/ Korea	RCT	N= 15 children I: n = 8 C: n = 7	- I: music therapy and play sessions with Toys.	-100% response rate - 3 months follow-up by observation - No theoretical and model	- Joint attention behaviors and non-verbal social communication skills	- Effective at facilitating joint attention behaviors and non-verbal social communication skills	Moderate

Schertz et al., 2013/ USA	RCT	N= 23 parent and children I: n = 11 C: n = 12	- I: conducted weekly home-based intervention sessions with parents in their homes.	-100% response rate - Immediate follow-up by observation - No theoretical and model	- Joint attention	- Significant improvement in joint attention	Moderate
Sansosti and Powell-Smith 2006/ USA	PPI	N= 3 children I: n = 3	- I: social stories were implemented, and conducted three times per week	-100% response rate - Immediate follow-up by observation - No theoretical and model	- Social behavior	- Significant improvement in social behavior	Weak
Schreibman and Stahmer 2014/ USA	RCT	N= 39 children I: n = 19 C: n = 20	- I: Communication (e.g., communication temptations), require a response from the child, and use direct reinforcement	-100% response - 3 months follow-up by observation rate - No theoretical and model	- Language skills	- Increases in language skills	Moderate
Mpella et al., 2019/ USA	PPI	N= 6 children I: n = 6	- I: A theatrical play programme with the physical education regular school programme alongside. 16 educational sessions for eight weeks.	-100% response rate - Immediate follow-up by observation - No theoretical and model	- Social Skill	- Improvement in cooperation, attention, obedience, and empathy	Weak
Jonsson et al., 2019/ Sweden	RCT	N= 39 children I: n = 19 C: n = 20	- I: an extended 24-week version of the social skills group training program KONTAKT with standard care.	-100% response rate - 3 month follow-up by observation - No theoretical and model	- Social skills	- Significant improvement in social skills	Moderate
Soorya et al., 2015/ USA	RCT	N= 69 children I: n = 35 C: n = 34	- I: 12-session cognitive-behavioral intervention (CBI) for verbal	-49.3% response rate - 3 month follow-up by observation - Theory of Mind	- Social Cognitive Skills	- Significant improvements were found on social behavior - No significant improvements were	Strong

						found on social cognitive outcomes	
Koning et al., 2013/ Canada	RCT	N= 15 children I: n = 7 C: n = 8	- I: 15 week CBT-based social skills intervention. During intervention, boys attended weekly 2 h long group sessions	-100% response rate - 3 month follow-up by observation - Cognitive behavior theory	- Social perception, peer interaction, and social knowledge	- Significant improvements were found on social perception, peer interaction, and social knowledge	Moderate
Kruck et al., 2017/ France	PPI	N= 15 children I: n = 15	- I: 10 sessions of training programs with two therapists.	-100% response rate - Un-known follow-up by observation - No theoretical and model	- Social and emotional skills	- Significant improve in social and emotional skills following the training sessions	Weak
Parsons et al., 2019/ Australia	RCT	N= 60 children I: n = 30 C: n = 30	- I: The Therapeutic Outcome By You (TOBY) application is delivered using a tablet device and can be accessed via the - Apple iTunes® store and received a 1-h training session from the researchers	-98.3% response rate - 3 month follow-up by observation - No theoretical and model	- Visual motor, imitation, receptive language and social skills	- No significant between-group differences were recorded for visual motor, imitation, receptive language and social skills	Strong
Mohammadzaheri et al., 2014/ Iran	RCT	N= 30 children I: n = 15 C: n = 15	- I: Treatment sessions were conducted twice weekly for 60 min per session over a 3 month period.	-100% response rate - 3 month follow-up by observation - No theoretical and model	- Communication skill	- Significant more effective in improving communication skill	Moderate
LaGasse 2015/ USA	PPI	N= 17 children I: n = 17	- I: Children participated in ten 50-minute group sessions over a period of 5 weeks.	-100% response rate - 3 weeks follow-up by observation - No theoretical and model	- Joint attention and communication skill	- Significant between-group differences for joint attention - No significant between-group differences for initiation of communication, response to communication skill	Weak

Locke et al., 2018/ USA	RCT	N= 31 children I: n = 14 C: n = 17	- I: School personnel were trained in during the child's lunch recess (approximately 30-45 min) for 12 sessions over 6 weeks	-100% response rate - 6 weeks follow- up by self-report - No theoretical and model	- Social engagement	- Significantly higher social network inclusion and received more friendship nominations than children - Children in both groups experienced reduced solitary engagement and increased joint engagement	Moderate
----------------------------	-----	---	--	---	---------------------	---	----------

Note: cPPI = controlled pretest/post-test interventions, PPI= pretest/post-test interventions, N= number, I = intervention group, C = control group or comparison group

Table 2. Inter-rater agreement for component ratings

Component ratings	Kappa value (SE)	P-value	Interpretation
Selection bias	0.816 (0.87)	<0.001	Very good agreement
Study design	1.000 (0.00)	<0.001	Very good agreement
Confounders	0.821 (0.73)	<0.001	Very good agreement
Blinding	1.000 (0.00)	<0.001	Very good agreement
Data collection methods	1.000 (0.00)	<0.001	Very good agreement
Withdrawals and drop-outs	0.636 (0.96)	<0.001	Good agreement

Appendix A

Pervasive Child Development Disorder* or Kanner* or Speech Disorder* or Communication Disorder* or Autis* or Asperger or PDD or PDD-NOS or Childhood Disintegrative Disorder* or Childhood Schizophrenia)

AND

Behavior Therapy or Social Skills Training or applied behavioral analy* or ABA or intensive behavioral intervent* or (IBI or IBT) or applied verbal behavior or verbal behavio* or (verbal NEAR (therap* or communicat*)) or Iovaas or linwood or Douglass or CABAS or DTT or (Treatment NEAR Education NEAR Autistic NEAR communication NEAR Handicapped NEAR children) or teacch or floor time or "Social Communication Emotional Regulation Transactional Support" or scerts or (pivotal NEAR response) or discrete trial* or (((sensory or auditory) or (treat* or therap*)) or Sensory Motor Integration or facilitated communication or Family Therapy or ((parent or parents or caregiver* or care-giver* or family or families or mother* or father* or maternal* or paternal*) NEAR (treat* or therap* or interven* or direct* or program* or train* or mediat* or rehabilit*)) or Picture Exchange or Sensory stimulation or Language Therapy or Speech Therapy or (Alternative NEAR Augmentative NEAR Communication) or occupational therapy or Computer-Assisted Instruction or (assist* NEAR tech*) or Dance Therapy or Music Therapy or Play Therapy or Socio environmental therapy or Early Intervention or (computer NEAR (teach* or instruct*)) or

social stories or prompt* or ((augment* or social) NEAR communicat*) or (relationship NEAR develop*) or (cognitive(or (treat* or therap* or psychotherap*)) or cbt or (sound NEAR (treat* or therap*)) or (natural NEAR environment) or (activity NEAR schedule*) or (direct NEAR instruct*) or (giant NEAR step*) or developmental individual difference or option or (sonrise or kaufman) or precision or (social NEAR skill*) or hanen or miller or patterning* or philadelphia or (dolman or delaccato) or (echange NEAR developpement) or bartelemy or (gentle NEAR teach*) or denver or leap or (learning experiences NEAR alternative program) or pcdi or "princeton child development institute" or rutgers or (natural NEAR teach*) or milieu or (neurodevelop* NEAR treat*) or ndt or walden or adlerian or theraplay or Eden or (social NEAR pragmatic) or "early bird" or (video NEAR model*) or (self NEAR (manage* or monitor*)) or yale or bancroft or horizon or "may institute")

Figures




Figure 1

Flow diagram for the identification, screening, eligibility and inclusion of studies