

In vitro conservation and genetic diversity of threatened species of *Melocactus* (Cactaceae)

Gabriela Torres-Silva (✉ gabrielatorres.bio@gmail.com)

Federal University of Vicosa Department of Forestry: Universidade Federal de Vicosa Departamento de Engenharia Florestal <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-9545-5053>

Alessandra Selbach Schnadelbach

Federal University of Bahia: Universidade Federal da Bahia

Hédina Basile Bezerra

Federal University of Bahia: Universidade Federal da Bahia

Alone Lima-Brito

State University of Feira de Santana: Universidade Estadual de Feira de Santana

Sheila Votória Resende

Federal University of Bahia: Universidade Federal da Bahia

Original Paper

Keywords: cacti, slow growth storage, ancymidol, sucrose, in vitro germplasm bank, ISSR.

Posted Date: February 1st, 2021

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.21203/rs.3.rs-169385/v1>

License:  This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License.

[Read Full License](#)

Version of Record: A version of this preprint was published at Biodiversity and Conservation on February 17th, 2021. See the published version at <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10531-021-02132-8>.

Abstract

The high endemism, the natural habitat degradation, and the over-collection for ornamental purposes have led some species such as *Melocactus paucispinus* and *M. glaucescens* to be threatened with extinction. The use of *in vitro* conservation techniques, such as slow growth storage, promotes the preservation of genetic diversity with integrity. The goal of this study was to establish a strategy for *in vitro* conservation of apical segments of the cladode of *M. paucispinus* and *M. glaucescens* and evaluate the genetic diversity of individuals from *in vitro* germinated plants. For such purpose, different concentrations of the plant regulator ancymidol and the osmotic agent sucrose on the inhibition of the *in vitro* growth were tested, and the genetic diversity of *M. paucispinus* and *M. glaucescens* individuals stored *in vitro* was evaluated. Sucrose showed higher efficiency in the reduction of growth than ancymidol for both species. However, due to the reduction in survival percentage, the use of sucrose over 75 g L^{-1} in the *in vitro* conservation of both species for 360 days is not recommended. In the genetic diversity analysis, 76.92% of polymorphic loci (P), expected heterozygosity (He) = 0.276 and Shannon index (S) = 0.414 were observed for *M. paucispinus*. For *M. glaucescens*, the observed values were P = 95.38%, He = 0.228 and S = 0.369. These values observed here were higher than those previously found for the natural populations of these species, which demonstrated that this *in vitro* collection showed genetic diversity and can be used in management and reintroduction programs of these species.

Introduction

Cactaceae family is subject to intense extractive exploitation due to its great diversity and value, mainly in the ornamental trade, which is the reason why it is considered one of the most endangered groups of plants (Goettsch et al. 2015; Pérez-Molphe-Balch et al. 2015). Brazil is one of the priority areas of Cactaceae family conservation because of its large number of endemic species (Goettsch et al. 2015).

Melocactus plants, popularly known as “cabeça-de-frade”, are significant components of the semi-arid regions’ flora and have high ecological importance in their ecosystems (Machado 2009). Despite that, a progressive reduction in the size of *M. paucispinus* and *M. glaucescens* populations has been observed, caused by frequent changes in their natural habitat as well as illegal harvesting for commercial and ornamental purposes (Lambert et al. 2006a; b). Due to these reasons, both species have been listed as endangered in the IUCN Red List of Threatened Species (IUCN 2020).

Under these circumstances, *ex situ* conservation techniques are important to preserve the genetic diversity from plant genetic resources with as much integrity as possible (Villalobos et al. 1991; Rao and Hodgkin 2002; Volis and Blecher 2010). In this context, the tissue culture technique represents an efficient method for *ex situ* genetic diversity conservation, allowing the reduction of space for the species maintenance and promoting high multiplication rates regardless of climatic conditions (Villalobos et al. 1991; Engelmann 2011). This technique is indicated for species such as *M. paucispinus* and *M. glaucescens*, whose seeds lose viability when stored for long periods (data not published).

Slow growth storage is an *in vitro* conservation method for one to two years of storage and is considered satisfactory for short- to medium-term conservation maintenance of plants under aseptic conditions by markedly reducing the frequency of periodic subculturing (Ozudogru et al. 2009; Carvalho et al. 2014); consequently, it promotes the reduction of contamination, error in material handling and equipment failures (Ozudogru et al. 2009; Carvalho et al. 2014). Then, slow growth storage reduces the costs of *in vitro* germplasm banks and manual labor (Ozudogru et al. 2009; Engelmann 2011; Pérez-Molphe-Balch et al. 2015).

Growth reduction is generally achieved by adding an inhibitory growth regulator that modifies plant growth and development (Thakur et al. 2015). Some retarders act by inhibiting the synthesis of gibberellin, such as ancymidol (Rademacher 2016).

Another strategy for reducing *in vitro* growth is the use of osmotic agents such as sucrose, which can promote slow growth due to their ability to reduce the water potential of the culture media, that limits the availability of water and nutrients to the cultures (Villalobos et al. 1991; Engelmann 2011; Pérez-Molphe-Balch et al. 2015).

In order to perform the correct management of the stored germplasm, it is necessary to identify an efficient method of *in vitro* conservation and validate the representativeness of the genetic diversity in relation to the natural populations (Nick et al. 2010; Engelmann 2011). One of the most efficient methods for this verification is the analysis of the genetic diversity of the collections through molecular markers (Rao and Hodgkin 2002).

Molecular characterization allows the identification of duplicates and reduction in the number of samples to be conserved, which is necessary to reduce the costs of *in vitro* conservation (Rao and Hodgkin 2002; Nick et al. 2010; Martín et al 2013). Genetic diversity analysis also provides information that may positively contribute to the use of germplasm successfully stored in future ecosystem restoration programs, including the reintroduction of species that have *ex situ* conservation (Rao and Hodgkin 2002; Volis and Blecher 2010; Pérez-Molphe-Balch et al. 2012). Among DNA molecular markers, Inter Simple Sequence Repeats (ISSR) have been frequently used for molecular characterization of plants from *ex situ* conservation (Luna-Paez et al. 2007; Oliveira et al. 2013), due to the low cost and little infrastructure demanded, high reproducibility and polymorphism, and good efficiency in distinguishing variability between individuals (Ganopoulos et al. 2015; Grover and Sharma 2016).

Then, considering all described above, the goal of this study was to establish the best strategy for *in vitro* conservation of *M. paucispinus* and *M. glaucescens* using apical segments of the cladodes from seed-derived plants germinated *in vitro*. For such purpose, different concentrations of the plant regulator ancymidol and the osmotic agent sucrose were used in the inhibition of growth of these species. In addition, the genetic diversity of individuals from *in vitro* germinated plants of these two species, stored in the *in vitro* collection of the Plant Tissue Culture Laboratory of the Federal University of Bahia (LCTV-UFBA *in vitro* collection), was evaluated. These data will contribute to management strategies of stored genetic resources, providing perspectives for the reintroduction of this germplasm into wild populations.

Material And Methods

Plant material

Seeds of *Melocactus paucispinus* and *M. glaucescens* were collected in the city of Morro do Chapéu in the “Chapada Diamantina” (Bahia state, eastern Brazil), in localities popularly known as “Areia Branca” (11°33'52"S; 41°10'37"W) (Fig. 1a) and “Lages” (11°29'38.4"S; 41°20'22.5"W) (Fig. 1b), respectively.

In vitro slow growth storage

For *in vitro* conservation, the apical segment of the cladodes (Torres-Silva et al. 2018), originated from *M. paucispinus* and *M. glaucescens* plants germinated *in vitro* for 300 days, were used. These plants were maintained for 70 days in 250-mL glass flask with 50 mL of half-strength Murashige and Skoog (MS/2) medium (Murashige and Skoog 1962), supplemented with 30 g L⁻¹ sucrose (Synth), solidified with 6.5 g L⁻¹ agar (Merk). The pH of the media was adjusted to 5.7 before chemical sterilization with 0.0003% of NaOCl, commercial bleach (2.5%) (commercial branch QBoa®) (Teixeira et al. 2006). In order to evaluate the effect of the inhibitory growth regulation on *in vitro* growth of apical segment of cladodes of *M. paucispinus* and *M. glaucescens*, two experiments were performed using ancymidol and the osmotic agent sucrose. Apical segments of cladodes with a height of 10–15 mm were inoculated in glass tubes (25 × 150 mm) containing 15 mL of full-strength MS medium, solidified with 6.5 g L⁻¹ agar and supplemented with 30 g L⁻¹ sucrose (control), and different concentrations of ancymidol (0, 3.90, 11.71, 19.51, 27.31, and 39.02 µM) or sucrose (45, 60, 75, 90, and 105 g L⁻¹). The glass tubes were closed with two layers of polyvinylchloride film. The experimental design was completely randomized, with five replicates and five tubes per replicate (one apex per tube). At 360 days, survival (% S), length of the aerial portion (LAP) and diameter of the aerial portion (DAP) were evaluated. The LAP and DAP variables were evaluated using a digital caliper (150 mm).

Genetic Diversity Analyses

The use of the seeds for establishment of *Melocactus paucispinus* and *M. glaucescens* germplasm banks is efficient due to the high polymorphism (P) detected for *M. paucispinus* (76.9%) and *M. glaucescens* (95.4%). This result indicates that the *in vitro* collection of the LCTV-UFBA is diverse. The analysis of genetic diversity of this *in vitro* collection was essential for the characterization of the sub-collections that were stored, the management of the number of individuals in each sub-collection, and the validation of the representativeness of the entire collection, when comparing our results with the studies previously carried out by Lambert et al. (2006a; b) in the natural populations of *Melocactus paucispinus* and *M. glaucescens*.

The P values observed here are higher than the ones found in other genetic studies of Cactaceae germplasm stored in field, evaluated with ISSR and other dominant markers. For example, for *Cereus jamacaru* germplasm collection of Cactaceae from Embrapa Tropical Agroindustry (P = 49.2%; Oliveira et al. 2013), for accessions of species of the genus *Opuntia* in the Regional University Centre in Zacatecas-

México (P = 41.9%; Luna-Paez et al. 2007), and for *Opuntia ficus-indica* in South Africa (P = 48.6%; Mashope 2007) and Tunisia (P = 53.2%; Zoghlami et al. 2007).

When comparing the two species analyzed here, the P observed for *M. paucispinus* was lower than that observed for *M. glaucescens* (Table 2). This result is probably related to the lower number of *loci* and lower number of individuals analyzed in the *M. paucispinus* collection. For *M. glaucescens*, the higher polymorphism observed can be related to the fact that the sub-collection of 2007 had more collecting events. For *M. glaucescens*, the P values observed are similar to the results found in a study of genetic characterization of the *O. ficus-indica* germplasm stored in field at the University of Catania-Italy using microsatellite markers (92.8%) (Caruso et al. 2010), and in a study of pitaya species (*Hylocereus* and *Selenicereus*) stored in field at Embrapa Cerrados-Brazil (95.06%) (Junqueira et al. 2010).

The values of mean heterozygosity expected (He) and Shannon index (S) observed for *M. paucispinus* were higher than those for *M. glaucescens* (Table 2). This difference observed here might be related to the larger geographical distribution as well as number of individuals in natural populations of *M. paucispinus* compared to the populations of *M. glaucescens* (Machado 2009; Fonseca et al. 2012).

The genetic diversity observed for *M. paucispinus* and *M. glaucescens* differs from what was previously reported by Lambert et al. (2006a; b). In that study, the authors analyzed morphological characteristics and 12 alloenzymatic *loci* of ten natural populations of *M. paucispinus* and four of *M. glaucescens*, finding 9.98% and 25% of P and 0.031 and 0.062 of He, respectively.

DNA molecular markers, such as ISSR markers, are more efficient in detecting polymorphisms, since they amplify both coding and non-coding regions (Mondini et al. 2009). Isoenzymatic markers, on the other hand, are expressed in coding regions of the genome and, therefore, are more subject to the selection pressure. This could explain the difference between the values of diversity found in the present study and the previous ones for these species. Other studies carried out with molecular markers and isoenzymes have also shown that the molecular marker was able to detect a greater gene flow than isoenzymes in the same population (Rao and Hodgkin 2002).

In addition, the present study also differs from the studies of Lambert et al. (2006a; b) with regard to the life cycle stage and number of individuals analyzed. In contrast to the present work, in studies of natural populations, such as those performed by Lambert et al. (2006a; b), samples of tissue are collected from adult individuals. Then, the adult population that was sampled went through stochastic events and selective pressures of the environment in the period of germination and establishment of these individuals (Godínez-Álvarez et al. 2003).

In this context, water availability, substrate preference, association with nursery plants, herbivory and competition are factors that make germination and the initial establishment of these plants naturally difficult (Godínez-Álvarez et al. 2003; Machado 2009; Barrios et al. 2020). Consequently, only a part of the seeds present in the soil would germinate. Therefore, the process of germination and establishment in the natural environment seems to function as a "bottleneck", which restricts alleles present in adult

individuals from natural populations (Godínez-Álvarez et al. 2003), which does not occur in the *in vitro* environment, because the conditions are ideal for successful germination. Then, *in vitro* germination favors the maintenance of a larger set of alleles.

Thus, the genetic diversity observed in the individuals stored in the *in vitro* collection of the LCTV-UFBA probably represents the genetic diversity of these species in a broader way, considering alleles present in *in situ* seed banks, juvenile individuals of the natural populations, and the genetic variability of adult individuals.

The genetic diversity found in *M. paucispinus* and *M. glaucescens* on *in vitro* collection of the LCTV-UFBA suggests that factors that promote variability, such as recombination, mutation and gene flow (Nick et al. 2010), might be acting in the natural populations from which these individuals have come. In this context, further *in situ* studies with markers that detect a higher level of polymorphism might provide information for a better understanding of populational structure of these species.

Finally, the polymorphism observed in this study indicates that the collections of *M. paucispinus* and *M. glaucescens* can be considered representative of the variability of the natural populations of these species. *In vitro* germination can be considered a viable strategy to *ex situ* conservation programs, as recommended by Nascimento et al. (2018) when seedlings could be produced in a laboratory setting, thereby contributing to the conservation of the species in the field and eliminating the threat of extinction. In addition, the number of individuals of *M. paucispinus* and *M. glaucescens* stored in the *in vitro* collection of the LCTV-UFBA is higher than the ones observed in the natural population of these species, as reported by Fonseca et al. (2012), who found 250 individuals of *M. paucispinus* and 58 of *M. glaucescens*.

Statistical Analyses

Slow growth storage data were submitted to regression analysis with 5% level of probability in package Exp.Des.pt 1.2.0 (Ferreira et al. 2018) available in RStudio v 1.3.1056 (R Core Team, 2020).

The genetic diversity of *M. paucispinus* and *M. glaucescens* was measured from number of *loci* (N), number of unique *loci* (Nu), polymorphic *loci* (P), mean heterozygosity expected (He), and Shannon index (S) in GenAlex 6.5 (Peakall and Smouse, 2012).

For *M. paucispinus*, the analyses were performed without discriminating between plants of different sub-collections, because the *in vitro* collection was established from just two sub-collections and one of them contributed with only 0.8% of the total samples. In contrast, for *M. glaucescens*, the molecular analyses were performed for each sub-collection, in order to evaluate the contribution of each one to the genetic diversity of the LCTV-UFBA *in vitro* collection.

Results

Slow growth storage

The ancymidol or sucrose effects promoted the survival of the apical segment of cladodes, 100 and 97%, respectively, of *Melocactus paucispinus* and *M. glaucescens* plants survived 360 days after inoculation (Fig. 1c; d). The samples submitted to ancymidol showed modification in color, with the stem becoming darker as the concentration of ancymidol in the medium increased, and at the highest concentration of ancymidol, the formation of shoots originating from the areola region was also observed (Fig. 1c). The highest concentration of sucrose was the most harmful one and led to 80–84% of survival (Fig. 1d).

For the diameter of the aerial portion (DAP) of both species, the quadratic reduction model was the most representative for ancymidol (Figs. 2a and 2b) and sucrose (Figs. 3a and 3b). In the treatment of ancymidol, the highest reduction percentage observed of DAP, compared to the control, was 14.69% for *M. paucispinus* (Fig. 2a) and 22.93% for *M. glaucescens* (Fig. 3a). In the treatment of sucrose, the highest reduction observed, compared to the control, was 26.86% for *M. paucispinus* (Fig. 3a) and 52.16% for *M. glaucescens* (Fig. 3a).

According to the regression model for the length of the aerial portion (LAP), a linear decrease was observed for both species as a function of the increase in ancymidol concentrations (Fig. 2c and 3c). The growth reduction, compared to the control, was 40.12% for *M. paucispinus* and 44.33% for *M. glaucescens*.

For the effect of sucrose on LAP growth reduction, the quadratic reduction model was the best fit for *M. paucispinus* (Fig. 2d), and the linear reduction model was the best fit for *M. glaucescens* (Fig. 3d). In addition, growth reduction of 39.85% for *M. paucispinus* and 54.76% for *M. glaucescens* (Fig. 2d and 3d) was observed when compared to the control.

Genetic diversity analyses

The ISSR primers were efficient to reveal genetic diversity between samples of plants originated from seeds of *M. paucispinus* and *M. glaucescens* from the *in vitro* collection of the LCTV-UFBA (Fig 1e).

For *M. paucispinus*, the four primers used generated 39 *loci*. The primer MANNY generated the highest number of *loci* (12), followed by MAO (11) and 899 (10). The lowest number of fragments was produced by the primer 814 (7). The band sizes varied between 400 and 2,500 base pairs (bp) approximately. The *M. paucispinus* collection showed 76.92% of polymorphic *loci* (P), mean of expected heterozygosity (He) = 0.276, and Shannon index (S) = 0.414 (Table 2).

For *M. glaucescens*, the four primers used generated 65 *loci*, of which 61 occurred in high frequency. The number of fragments analyzed per primer varied from 16 (primers 899, MANNY and MAO) to 17 (primer 814). Also, the band sizes varied between 300 and 2,000 bp approximately.

On the general analysis of the *M. glaucescens* sub-collections, values of P = 95.38%, S = 0.369 and He = 0.228 were observed (Table 2). The plants from the sub-collection of the year 2007 were the most polymorphic ones (P = 87.7%), showing 3 exclusive *loci*, followed by the plants from the sub-collection of

the year 2012 ($P = 72.3\%$) (Table 2). The lowest polymorphism indexes were observed in the sub-collections of 2010 (24.62%), 2003 (27.69%), and 2008 (29.23%) (Table 2).

The values of mean heterozygosity expected (H_e) and Shannon index (S) followed the same pattern as the P values observed (Table 2). Therefore, the highest values of diversity were observed in the sub-collections of the years 2007 ($H_e = 0.205$; $S = 0.331$) and 2012 ($H_e = 0.198$; $S = 0.310$). Despite that, the genetic diversity of the sub-collection of the year 2003 ($H_e = 0.106$; $S = 0.157$) was higher than that of the sub-collections of the years 2008 ($H_e = 0.094$; $S = 0.142$) and 2010 ($H_e = 0.092$; $S = 0.136$).

Discussion

Slow growth storage

The survival of the plants (%S) is one of the most relevant variables to evaluate the efficiency of the *in vitro* conservation. Therefore, the use of the apical segment of cladodes as an explant was efficient in both short- and medium-term conservation of *Melocactus paucispinus* and *M. glaucescens*. For *Melocactus* species, the use of the apical segment of the cladode, usually discarded in the production of explants for *in vitro* regeneration, is an efficient strategy for *in vitro* conservation (Torres-Silva et al. 2018). The apical segment of the cladode constitutes an excellent explant for these species, since *in vitro* cultivation without plant regulators allows the regeneration of whole plants, and then maintaining the same characteristics of the mother plant. Also, this approach reduces the collection of seeds from natural populations, consequently reducing the interference in the dynamics of these species in their natural habitat (Torres-Silva et al. 2018).

The %S observed in the present study were higher than those found for other species submitted to slow growth storage with osmotic agents. For example, *Syngonanthus mucugensis* species from Chapada Diamantina, cultivated in 45 and 60 g L⁻¹ of sucrose for 180 days, showed low survival (< 35%) (Lima-Brito et al. 2011). Although, Silva et al. (2019) observed for *Poincianella pyramidalis*, typical of drought environment, values above 67% for 240 days cultivated in 30, 45, 60 and 75 g L⁻¹ of sucrose.

The high %S under stress conditions observed for both species of cacti might be related to the morphophysiological mechanisms that *M. paucispinus* and *M. glaucescens* have in order to survive under stress conditions where their populations are exposed in their natural environment, characterized by long drought periods (Pérez-Molphe-Balch et al. 2012). Both species have a small genome that: (i) allows rapid cycles of cell division in the root cells when water is available; and (ii) allows up to four rounds of endoreduplication of the cortex cells, which are capable of major expansion to optimize water storage (Torres-Silva et al. 2020).

The increase of ancymidol and sucrose concentrations was efficient in reducing the growth of the plants of both species, since the values for DAP and LAP were lower, when compared to the control, in the presence of these substances. These results are in agreement with the results found by Lima-Brito et al.

(2011), who observed growth reduction of LAP of *Syngonanthus mucugensis* cultures with increasing sucrose concentration.

Sarkar et al. (2001) observed growth reduction of *Solanum tuberosum* cultures supplemented with high concentrations of ancymidol. Similarly, the use of sucrose up to 80 g L^{-1} allowed the *in vitro* storage of *Elettaria cardamomum* plants for a longer period of time than the one observed for the control, without the necessity of subculture (Tyagi et al. 2009).

In general, sucrose showed a greater efficiency in the reduction of *M. paucispinus* and *M. glaucescens* growth, because the reduction of growth, comparing to the control, was 39 and 45% with ancymidol and 40 and 54% with sucrose, respectively.

For the maintenance of genetic stability, the use of osmotic agents for the inhibition of growth is the most appropriate method when compared to use of plant regulators, because these ones act directly on the metabolic routes and can modify plant development patterns (Rademacher 2016). This is not the case for osmotic agents, which have action on reduction of the water potential of the culture medium, inhibiting the absorption of water and nutrients by the explant and consequently reducing *in vitro* growth (Engelmann et al. 1991; Caldas et al. 1998).

Sucrose is a carbon source, for this reason increasing its concentration up to 60 g L^{-1} in the culture media stimulated the development of DAP and LAP of *M. paucispinus* and LAP of *M. glaucescens*. However, with higher concentrations, sucrose started to act as a slow growth agent, making it difficult for the plant to absorb water and nutrients. The water deficit promoted by sucrose was lethal for 12.5% of *M. paucispinus* and 20.8% of *M. glaucescens* plants cultivated in 105 g L^{-1} of sucrose. As a similar result, high concentrations of sucrose were also lethal for long-term cultures of *E. cardamomum* (Tyagi et al. 2009).

Then, the %S reduction due to sucrose concentrations above 90 g L^{-1} indicates risks of viability loss of the cultures for storage period of 360 days, which is not recommended for long-term *in vitro* conservation of *M. paucispinus* and *M. glaucescens*. Therefore, the use of 75 to 90 g L^{-1} of sucrose allows *in vitro* conservation of these species without compromising the viability of the cultures after 360 days of storage. Thus, in order to reduce the costs of *in vitro* conservation, the lowest concentration is recommended.

Genetic diversity analyses

The use of the seeds for establishment of *Melocactus paucispinus* and *M. glaucescens* germplasm banks is efficient due to the high polymorphism (P) detected for *M. paucispinus* (76.9%) and *M. glaucescens* (95.4%). This result indicates that the *in vitro* collection of the LCTV-UFBA is diverse. The analysis of genetic diversity of this *in vitro* collection was essential for the characterization of the sub-collections that were stored, the management of the number of individuals in each sub-collection, and the validation of the representativeness of the entire collection, when comparing our results with the studies

previously carried out by Lambert et al. (2006a; 2006b) in the natural populations of *Melocactus paucispinus* and *M. glaucescens*.

The P values observed here are higher than the ones found in other genetic studies of Cactaceae germplasm stored in field, evaluated with ISSR and other dominant markers. For example, for *Cereus jamacaru* germplasm collection of Cactaceae from Embrapa Tropical Agroindustry (P = 49.2%; Oliveira et al. 2013), for accessions of species of the genus *Opuntia* in the Regional University Centre in Zacatecas-México (P = 41.9%; Luna-Paez et al. 2007), and for *Opuntia ficus-indica* in South Africa (P = 48.6%; Mashope 2007) and Tunisia (P = 53.2%; Zoghلامي et al. 2007).

When comparing the two species analyzed here, the P observed for *M. paucispinus* was lower than that observed for *M. glaucescens* (Table 2). This result is probably related to the lower number of *loci* and lower number of individuals analyzed in the *M. paucispinus* collection. For *M. glaucescens*, the higher polymorphism observed can be related to the fact that the sub-collection of 2007 had more collecting events. For *M. glaucescens*, the P values observed are similar to the results found in a study of genetic characterization of the *O. ficus-indica* germplasm stored in field at the University of Catania-Italy using microsatellite markers (92.8%) (Caruso et al. 2010), and in a study of pitaya species (*Hylocereus* and *Selenicereus*) stored in field at Embrapa Cerrados-Brazil (95.06%) (Junqueira et al. 2010).

The values of mean heterozygosity expected (He) and Shannon index (S) observed for *M. paucispinus* were higher than those for *M. glaucescens* (Table 2). This difference observed here might be related to the larger geographical distribution as well as number of individuals in natural populations of *M. paucispinus* compared to the populations of *M. glaucescens* (Machado 2009; Fonseca et al. 2012).

The genetic diversity observed for *M. paucispinus* and *M. glaucescens* differs from what was previously reported by Lambert et al. (2006a; 2006b). In that study, the authors analyzed morphological characteristics and 12 alloenzymatic *loci* of ten natural populations of *M. paucispinus* and four of *M. glaucescens*, finding 9.98% and 25% of P and 0.031 and 0.062 of He, respectively.

DNA molecular markers, such as ISSR markers, are more efficient in detecting polymorphisms, since they amplify both coding and non-coding regions (Mondini et al. 2009). Isoenzymatic markers, on the other hand, are expressed in coding regions of the genome and, therefore, are more subject to the selection pressure. This could explain the difference between the values of diversity found in the present study and the previous ones for these species. Other studies carried out with molecular markers and isoenzymes have also shown that the molecular marker was able to detect a greater gene flow than isoenzymes in the same population (Rao and Hodgkin 2002).

In addition, the present study also differs from the studies of Lambert et al. (2006a; 2006b) with regard to the life cycle stage and number of individuals analyzed. In contrast to the present work, in studies of natural populations, such as those performed by Lambert et al. (2006a; 2006b), samples of tissue are collected from adult individuals. Then, the adult population that was sampled went through stochastic

events and selective pressures of the environment in the period of germination and establishment of these individuals (Godínez-Álvarez et al. 2003).

In this context, water availability, substrate preference, association with nursery plants, herbivory and competition are factors that make germination and the initial establishment of these plants naturally difficult (Godínez-Álvarez et al. 2003; Machado 2009; Barrios et al. 2020). Consequently, only a part of the seeds present in the soil would germinate. Therefore, the process of germination and establishment in the natural environment seems to function as a "bottleneck", which restricts alleles present in adult individuals from natural populations (Godínez-Álvarez et al. 2003), which does not occur in the *in vitro* environment, because the conditions are ideal for successful germination. Then, *in vitro* germination favors the maintenance of a larger set of alleles.

Thus, the genetic diversity observed in the individuals stored in the *in vitro* collection of the LCTV-UFBA probably represents the genetic diversity of these species in a broader way, considering alleles present in *in situ* seed banks, juvenile individuals of the natural populations, and the genetic variability of adult individuals.

The genetic diversity found in *M. paucispinus* and *M. glaucescens* on *in vitro* collection of the LCTV-UFBA suggests that factors that promote variability, such as recombination, mutation and gene flow (Nick et al. 2010), might be acting in the natural populations from which these individuals have come. In this context, further *in situ* studies with markers that detect a higher level of polymorphism might provide information for a better understanding of populational structure of these species.

Finally, the polymorphism observed in this study indicates that the collections of *M. paucispinus* and *M. glaucescens* can be considered representative of the variability of the natural populations of these species. *In vitro* germination can be considered a viable strategy to *ex situ* conservation programs, as recommended by Nascimento et al. (2018) when seedlings could be produced in a laboratory setting, thereby contributing to the conservation of the species in the field and eliminating the threat of extinction. In addition, the number of individuals of *M. paucispinus* and *M. glaucescens* stored in the *in vitro* collection of the LCTV-UFBA is higher than the ones observed in the natural population of these species, as reported by Fonseca et al. (2012), who found 250 individuals of *M. paucispinus* and 58 of *M. glaucescens*.

Conclusions

The use of the apical segment of cladode of seed-derived plants germinated *in vitro* as explant, and 75 g L⁻¹ of sucrose is efficient in the *in vitro* conservation of *Melocactus paucispinus* and *M. glaucescens* for 360 days.

The creation of *in vitro* collections from *in vitro* seed germination is an efficient method of storing the genetic diversity of *M. paucispinus* and *M. glaucescens*.

The genetic diversity and representativeness information obtained in this study allow us to consider this *in vitro* collection as a germplasm bank of *M. paucispinus* and *M. glaucescens*, and unique to promote the *in vitro* conservation of these species.

Declarations

Ethics approval and consent to participate

Not applicable.

Consent for publication

Not applicable.

Availability of data and materials

All data generated or analyzed during this study are included in this published article.

Competing interests

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

Acknowledgment

We thank Delmar Lopes Alvim for the help during field work.

Funding

This work was supported by the Coordenação de Aperfeiçoamento de Pessoal de Nível Superior (CAPES); the Fundação de Amparo à Pesquisa do Estado da Bahia (FAPESB) (Grant number: PNE0020/2011); and the Conselho Nacional de Desenvolvimento Científico e Tecnológico (CNPq) - SiB-Br (Grand number: 504208/2012-8).

Authors contribution statement

Conceptualization: Sheila Vitória Resende, Gabriela Torres-Silva, and Alone Lima-Brito; Methodology: Sheila Vitória Resende, Gabriela Torres-Silva, and Alessandra Selbach Schnadelbach; Formal analysis and investigation: Gabriela Torres-Silva, Hédina Basile Bezerra and Sheila Vitória Resende; Writing - original draft preparation: Gabriela Torres-Silva and Sheila Vitória Resende; Writing - review and editing: Gabriela Torres-Silva, Alessandra Selbach Schnadelbach, Hédina Basile Bezerra, Alone Lima-Brito, and Sheila Vitória Resende; Funding acquisition: Sheila Vitória Resende and Alessandra Selbach Schnadelbach; Resources: Sheila Vitória Resende and Alessandra Selbach Schnadelbach; Supervision: Sheila Vitória Resende and Alessandra Selbach Schnadelbach.

References

- Barrios D, Sánchez JA, Flores J, Jurado E (2020) Seed traits and germination in the Cactaceae family: a review across the Americas. *Bot Sci* 98(3): 417-440. doi: 10.17129/botsci.2501
- Caldas LS, Haridasan P, Ferreira ME (1998) Meios nutritivos. In: Torres AC, Caldas LS, Buso JA (eds) *Cultura de tecidos e transformação genética de plantas vol 1*. Embrapa SPI/Embrapa-CNPq, Brasília, pp 87-132.
- Carvalho V, Santos DS, Nievola CC (2014) *In vitro* storage under slow growth and *ex vitro* acclimatization of the ornamental bromeliad *Acanthostachys strobilacea*. *S Afr J Bot* 92: 39-43. doi: 10.1016/j.sajb.2014.01.011
- Caruso M, Currò S, Las Casas G et al (2010) Microsatellite markers help to assess genetic diversity among *Opuntia ficus-indica* cultivated genotypes and their relation with related species. *Plant Syst Evol* 290: 85-97.
- Doyle JJ, Doyle JL (1987) A rapid DNA isolation method for small quantities of fresh tissues. *Phytochem Bull* 19: 11-15.
- Engelmann F (1991) *In vitro* conservation of tropical plant germplasm- a review. *Euphytica*. 57:227-243.
- Engelmann F (2011) Use of biotechnologies for the conservation of plant biodiversity. *In Vitro Cell Dev Biol –Plant* 47: 5-16. doi 10.1007/s11627-010-9327-2
- Ferreira EB, Cavalvanti PP, Nogueira DA (2018) ExpDes.pt: Pacote Experimental Designs (Portuguese). R package version 1.2.0. Available: <https://CRAN.R-project.org/package=ExpDes.pt>
- Fonseca RBS, Funch LS, Borba EL (2012) Dispersão de sementes de *Melocactus glaucescens* e *M. paucispinus* (Cactaceae), no Município de Morro do Chapéu, Chapada Diamantina – BA. *Acta Bot Bras* 26 (2): 481-492.
- Ganopoulos I, Kalivas A, Kavroulakis N, Xanthopoulou A, Mastrogianni A, Koubouris G, Madesis P (2015) Genetic diversity of Barbary fig (*Opuntia ficus-indica*) collection in Greece with ISSR molecular markers, *Plant Gene*, 2: 29-33. doi 10.1016/j.plgene.2015.04.001
- Godínez-Álvarez H, Valverde T, Ortega-Baes P (2003) Demographic trends in the Cactaceae. *Bot Rev* 69 (2): 173-203.
- Goettsch B, Taylor CH, Piñón GC et al (2015) High proportion of cactus species threatened with extinction. *Nature Plants*. doi: 10.1038/NPLANTS.2015.142
- Grover A, Sharma PC (2016) Development and use of molecular markers: past and present. *Crit Rev Biotechnol* 36 (2): 290–302.

IUCN (2020) IUCN Red List of Threatened Species. Version 2020.1. Available at: <https://www.iucnredlist.org/> Accessed 22 Apr 2020

Junqueira KP, Faleiro FG, Junqueira NTV et al (2010) Diversidade genética de pitayas nativas do Cerrado com base em marcadores RAPD. Rev Bras Frutic 32 (3): 819-824.

Lambert SM, Borba EL, Machado MC et al (2006a) Allozyme diversity and morphometrics of *Melocactus paucispinus* (Cactaceae) and evidence for hybridization with *M. concinnus* in the Chapada Diamantina, North-eastern Brazil. Ann Bot 97: 389-403

Lambert S, Borba MEL, Machado MC (2006b) Allozyme diversity and morphometrics of the endangered *Melocactus glaucescens* (Cactaceae), and investigation of the putative hybrid origin of *Melocactus x albicephalus* (*Melocactus ernestii* x *M. glaucescens*) in north-eastern Brazil. Plant Species Biol 21: 93-108.

Lima-Brito A, Albuquerque MM, Alvim BFM et al (2011) Agentes osmóticos e temperatura na conservação *in vitro* de sempre-viva. Ciên rural 41: 1354-1361.

Luna-Paez A, Valadez-Moctezuma E, Barrientos-Priego AF et al (2007) Caracterización de *Opuntia* spp. mediante semilla con marcadores RAPD e ISSR y su posible uso para diferenciación. J Prof Assoc Cactus Dev 9: 43-59.

Machado MC (2009) The genus *Melocactus* in eastern Brazil: part I – an introduction to *Melocactus*. Brit Cact Succ J 27: 1-16.

Martín C, Senula A, González I, Acosta A, Keller RJ, González-Benito ME (2013) Genetic identity of three mint accessions stored by different conservation procedures: field collection, *in vitro* and cryopreservation. Genet Resour Crop Evol 60: 243-249. doi: 10.1007/s10722-012-9830-x

Mashope BK (2007). Characterization of cactus pear germplasm in South Africa. Thesis of Philosophiae. Doctor, University of the Free State.

Mondini L, Noorani A, Pagnotta MA (2009) Assessing plant genetic diversity by molecular tools. Diversity 1: 19-35.

Murashige T, Skoog F (1962) A revised medium for rapid growth and bioassays with tobacco tissue cultures. Physiol Plant 15: 473-497.

Nascimento JPB, Meiado MV, Siqueira-Filho JA (2018) Seed germination of three endangered subspecies of *Discocactus* Pfeiff. (Cactaceae) in response to environmental factors. J Seed Sci 40(3): 253-262. doi: 10.1590/2317-1545v40n3183036

Nick C, Silva DJH, Mattedi AP et al (2010) Conservação *ex situ* dos recursos fitogenéticos. In: Pereira TNS (ed.). Germoplasma: conservação, manejo e uso no melhoramento de plantas. Arca, Viçosa, p.59-88.

- Oliveira FIC, Bordallo PN, Castro ACR et al (2013) Genetic diversity of spineless *Cereus jamacaru* accessions using morphological and molecular markers. *Genet Mol Res* 12 (4): 4586-4594.
- Ozudogru, E., Ozden-Tokatli, Y., Gumusel, F., Benelli, C., & Lambardi, M. (2009) Development of a cryopreservation procedure for peanut (*Arachis hypogaea* L.) embryonic axes and its application to local Turkish germplasm. *Adv Horti Sci* 23(1): 41-48.
- Peakall R, Smouse PE (2012) GenAlex 6.5: genetic in Excel. Population genetic software for teaching and research-an update. *Bioinformatic* 29 (19): 2537-2639.
- Pérez-Molphe-Balch E, Pérez-Reyes ME, De La Rosa-Carrillo ML (2012). *In vitro* conservation of *Turbincarpus* (Cactaceae) under slow growth conditions. *Haseltonia* 17: 51-57.
- Pérez-Molphe-Balch E, Santos-Díaz MS, Ramírez-Malagón R et al (2015) Tissue culture of ornamental cacti. *Sci Agric* 72 (6):540-561.
- Rademacher W (2016) Chemical regulators of gibberellin status and their application in plant production. *Annu Plant Rev* 49: 359-403.
- R Core Team R (2020) A language and environment for statistical computing. R Foundation for Statistical Computing, Vienna, Austria. Available at: <https://www.R-project.org/>. Accessed 10 July 2020
- Rao RV, Hodgkin T (2002) Genetic diversity and conservation and utilization of plant genetic resources. *Plant Cell Tissue Organ Cult* 68: 1-19. doi: 10.1023/A:1013359015812
- Sarkar D, Chakrabarti SK, Naik PS (2001) Slow-growth conservation of potato microplants: efficacy of ancymidol for long-term storage *in vitro*. *Euphytica* 117: 133-142.
- Silva TS, Nepomuceno CF, Soares TL et al (2019) *In vitro* conservation of *Poincianella pyramidalis* (Tul.) L.P. Queiroz under minimal growth conditions. *Ciênc Agrotec* 43: e014519.
- Teixeira SL, Ribeiro JM, Teixeira MT (2006) Influence of NaClO on nutrient medium sterilization and on pineapple (*Ananas comosus* cv Smooth cayenne) behavior. *Plant Cell, Tissue Organ Cult* 86: 375-378.
- Thakur S, Tiwari KL, Jadhav SK, (2015) *In vitro* approaches for conservation of *Asparagus racemosus* Willd. *In Vitro Cell Dev Biol –Plant* 51: 619-625.
- Torres-Silva G, Resende SV, Lima-Brito A et al (2018) *In vitro* shoot production, morphological alterations and genetic instability of *Melocactus glaucescens* (Cactaceae), an endangered species endemic to eastern Brazil. *S Afr J Bot* 115: 100–107.
- Torres-Silva G, Matos EM, Correia LF, Fortini EA, Soares WS, Batista DS, Otoni CG, Azevedo AA, Viccini LF, Koehler AD, Resende SV, Specht CD, Otoni (2020) Anatomy, flow cytometry, and x-ray tomography reveal

tissue organization and ploidy distribution in long-term *in vitro* cultures of *Melocactus* species. Front Plant Sci 11: 1314. doi: 10.3389/fpls.2020.01314

Tyagi RK, Goswami TR, Sanayaima R et al (2009) Micropropagation and slow growth conservation of cardamom (*Elettaria cardamomum* Maton). *In Vitro Cell Dev Biol –Plant* 45: 721–729.

Volis S, Blecher M (2010) Quasi *in situ*: a bridge between *ex situ* and *in situ* conservation of plants. *Biodivers Conserv* 19: 2441-2454. doi: 10.1007/s10531-010-9849-2

Villalobos VM, Ferreira P, Mora A (1991) The use of biotechnology in the conservation of tropical germplasm. *Biotechnol Adv* 9: 197-215.

Wolfe AD (2000) ISSR protocols. [on line] Available at: <http://www.biosci.ohio-state.edu/~awolfe/ISSR/protocols.ISSR.html>. Accessed 20 March 2014

Zoghalmi N, Chrita I, Bouamama B et al (2007) Molecular based assessment of genetic diversity within Barbary fig (*Opuntia ficus indica* (L.) Mill.) in Tunisia. *Sci Hortic* 113: 134-141.

Tables

Table 1 Selection of samples of plants originated from seeds of *Melocactus paucispinus* and *M. glaucescens* for genetic characterization of the *in vitro* collection of the Plant Tissue Culture Laboratory of the Federal University of Bahia (LCTV-UFBA) using ISSR molecular markers.

Species	<i>M. paucispinus</i>			<i>M. glaucescens</i>				Total	
	Sub-collections	2003	2007	2003	2007	2008	2010		2012
Amount of plants	5	595	600	16	1162	44	30	548	1800
Samples	2	60	62	3	121	8	5	58	195

Table 2 Genetic diversity of plants originated from seeds of *Melocactus paucispinus* and *M. glaucescens* from the *in vitro* collection of the Plant Tissue Culture Laboratory of the Federal University of Bahia (LCTV-UFBA) using ISSR molecular markers.

Species	Sub-collections	N	Nu	P	He	S
<i>M. paucispinus</i>	2003/2007	39	0	76.92	0.276	0.414
	2003	40	0	27.69	0.106	0.157
	2007	64	3	87.69	0.205	0.331
<i>M. glaucescens</i>	2008	36	0	29.23	0.094	0.142
	2010	38	0	24.62	0.092	0.136
	2012	59	1	72.31	0.198	0.310
Total	5	237	-	95.38	0.228	0.369

N, number of *loci*; Nu, number of unique *loci*; P, polymorphic *loci*; He, mean heterozygosity expected; and S, Shannon index.

Figures




Figure 1

Melocactus paucispinus and *M. glaucescens* in vitro conservation. a. *M. paucispinus* in natural population. b. *M. glaucescens* in natural population. c. *M. glaucescens* in vitro conservation after 360d of cultivation with 30 g L⁻¹ sucrose (control), 3.90, 11.71, 19.51, 27.31, and 39.02 μM of ancymidol; arrow shows shoot formation. d. *M. glaucescens* in vitro conservation after 360d of cultivation with 30 (control), 45, 60, 75, 90; and 105 g L⁻¹ of sucrose. e. *M. paucispinus* collection at in vitro germplasm bank of LCTV-UFBA.




Figure 2

Effect of different concentrations of Ancyamidol (A and C) and sucrose (B and D) on diameter of the aerial portion (DAP) (A and B) and length of the aerial portion (LAP) (C and D) of *Melocactus paucispinus* after 360 days of in vitro conservation. *Significant **Highly significant.




Figure 3

Effect of different concentrations of ancyamidol (A and C) and sucrose (B and D) on diameter of the aerial portion (DAP) (A and B) and length of the aerial portion (LAP) (C and D) of *Melocactus glaucescens* after 360 days of in vitro conservation. ****Highly significant.**