CT manifestations of the coronavirus disease 2019 in patients outside Wuhan: with a history of exposure to Wuhan vs. with second-generation infection

Yu-ping Wu

Sichuan Key Laboratory of Medical Imaging, and Department of Radiology, Affiliated Hospital of North Sichuan Medical College

Jin-ming Cao

Sichuan Key Laboratory of Medical Imaging, and Department of Radiology, Affiliated Hospital of North Sichuan Medical College; Department of Radiology, Nanchong Central Hospital / Second School of Clinical Medicine, North Sichuan Medical College

Tian-wu Chen (✉ tianwuchen_nsmc@163.com)

Sichuan Key Laboratory of Medical Imaging, and Department of Radiology, Affiliated Hospital of North Sichuan Medical College <https://orcid.org/0000-0001-5776-3429>

Rui Li

Sichuan Key Laboratory of Medical Imaging, and Department of Radiology, Affiliated Hospital of North Sichuan Medical College

Feng-jun Liu

Department of Infectious Disease, Affiliated Hospital of North Sichuan Medical College

Yue Zeng

Department of Infectious Disease, Affiliated Hospital of North Sichuan Medical College

Xiao-ming Zhang

Sichuan Key Laboratory of Medical Imaging, and Department of Radiology, Affiliated Hospital of North Sichuan Medical College

Qi-wen Mu

Department of Radiology, Nanchong Central Hospital / Second School of Clinical Medicine, North Sichuan Medical College

Hong-jun Li

Department of Radiology, Beijing YouAn Hospital, Capital Medical University

Research Article

Keywords: Coronavirus, Pneumonia, Infection, Tomography, X-ray Computed

Posted Date: March 11th, 2020

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.21203/rs.3.rs-17116/v1>

License: This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License.

[Read Full License](#)

Abstract

Objective: To explore discrepancy in CT manifestations of coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) in patients outside Wuhan between cases with a history of exposure to Wuhan and with the second-generation infection.

Methods: Twenty-two patients with confirmed COVID-19 from two hospitals in Nanchong outside Wuhan were enrolled. All patients underwent initial and follow-up computed tomography after admission, and were divided into two groups. Group A and B were composed of 15 patients with a history of exposure to Wuhan and 7 with the second-generation infection in Nanchong, respectively. Initial CT features including extent score and density score between groups were statistically compared.

Results: All patients in group A had abnormal CT findings while 3 of 7 patients in group B had. Patients with abnormal CT findings were more frequent in group A than in group B ($P < 0.05$). On initial CT, pure ground glass opacity (GGO), and GGO with consolidation and/or other abnormalities were found in 20% (3/15) and 80% (12/15) patients in group A, respectively, while 1 (14.3%), 2 (28.6%) and 4 (57.1%) had pure GGO, GGO with focal consolidation, and normal CT appearances in Group B, respectively. Patients with extent and density scores of ≥ 5 were more frequent in group A than in group B ($P_s < 0.01$). Additionally, 3 of 4 (75%) patients with normal initial CT findings had focal pure GGO lesions on follow-up CT.

Conclusion: The COVID-19 in patients with a history of exposure to Wuhan can be severer than with the second-generation infection on CT.

Introduction

In late December 2019, several medical institutions in Wuhan admitted a cluster of patients with pneumonia of unexplained etiology [1–2]. An unknown novel coronavirus, which was temporarily named as the 2019 novel coronavirus (2019-nCoV), was identified as the pathogen [3]. Subsequently, the pneumonia caused by the 2019-nCoV has been officially named by the World Health Organization as the coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID–19) [4]. Accompanied with the arrival of the Spring Festival travel rush, the 2019-nCoV spread rapidly all over China [5]. As of Feb 17, 2020, a total of 72,436 patients with confirmed COVID–19 including 42,752 (59.0%) in Wuhan have been reported in China [6–7]. Of these, 11,741 and 1,868 cases were severe and death cases, respectively. Furthermore, most of severe (9,222, 78.5%) and death (1,381, 73.9%) population were from Wuhan, the original district of this disease. The rate of severity and mortality in COVID–19 patients from Wuhan were significantly higher than that in other regions of China, suggesting patients infected in Wuhan may have more rapid aggravation of disease course.

To detect COVID–19, viral nucleic acid detection using real-time polymerase chain reaction (RT-PCR) remains the standard of reference [8]. However, several defects such as immature development of nucleic acid detection technology, variation in detection rate from different manufacturers, false negative caused

by low patient viral load or improper clinical sampling may cause low efficiency of detection and limit its clinical application [8]. As a promising method recommended by Chinese Society of Radiology [9], computed tomography (CT) plays an essential role in diagnosis and monitoring treatment responses in COVID-19. Multifocal bilateral ground glass opacity (GGO) as an indicator of early disease stage, and patchy consolidations as a marker of the disease progression are the most common patterns of CT abnormalities [9–11]. Based on above-mentioned typical CT findings, the severity of COVID-19 could be staged into early, progression, severe and dissipation stage which embodied in the consensus of Chinese Society of Radiology [9].

As the second most populous city in Sichuan Province of China, Nanchong City had had 38 patients with confirmed COVID-19 by Feb 17, 2020. The infection routes included patients with a exposure history of Wuhan City in short-term, and those who always live in Nanchong City but have close contact with the infected individuals exposed to Wuhan City recently (i.e. Second-generation infection). To the best of our knowledge, there were no reports focusing on the discrimination in severity of the COVID-19 between patients outside Wuhan with different routes of infection. Thus, the purpose of our research was to determine the discrepancy in CT manifestations of COVID-19 in patients outside Wuhan between cases with a history of exposure to Wuhan and the second-generation infection, aiming to help clinicians outside Wuhan formulate more accurate and effective prevention and treatment measures.

Materials And Methods

Patients

The institutional ethics committee of our hospital approved this study (approval number, 2020ER007–1), and the written informed consent was obtained from each participant.

From January 23 to February 17, 2020, 22 consecutive COVID-19 patients derived from two designated hospitals in Nanchong, Sichuan, China, were enrolled into our study. All patients had positive results for 2019-nCoV detection via the initial RT-PCR after admission. Patients were subsequently classified into two groups based on the following criteria: (1) patients with a history of exposure to Wuhan were enrolled into group A, and they had a history of travelling to/or living in Wuhan recently for less than one month; and (2) in group B, patients had second-generation infection, and they were in the absence of exposure to Wuhan but were in close contact with the patients with confirmed COVID-19, or with the healthy individuals from Wuhan. The baseline data of the onset of symptoms are recorded in Table 1.

Table 1		
Baseline clinical characteristics of the coronavirus disease 2019		
Baseline clinical data	Patients (%)	
	Group A (n = 15)	Group B (n = 7)
Sex		
Male	8 (53.3)	4 (57.1)
Female	7 (46.7)	3 (42.9)
Age (y)	46.9±13.8	43.4±18.6
Symptoms		
Fever	6 (40)	3 (42.9)
Cough	7 (46.7)	2 (28.6)
Myalgia	2 (13.3)	0
Fatigue	4 (26.7)	0
Headache and dizziness	3 (20)	0
Dyspnea	2 (13.3)	0
Gastrointestinal symptoms	3 (20)	0
Asymptomatic	1 (6.7)	3 (42.9)

All patients underwent initial thoracic CT examinations (Figure 1A and Figure 2A) after admission. The intervals between the initial CT scan and the onset of symptoms were 4.9 ± 3.9 days in group A and 10 ± 4.5 days in group B. It should be noted that 1 patient in group A, and 3 in group B were asymptomatic, and there was no interval between the initial CT scan and the onset of symptoms. All patients underwent follow-up CT scans (Figure 1B and C, and Figure 2B) and RT-PCR every 3 to 8 days during their hospitalization based on the severity of COVID-19. But for the asymptomatic patients in group B, they received follow-up CT scans when their RT-PCR results were positive. In addition, all patients received relevant medical management during their hospitalization.

Image acquisition

Thoracic non-contrast enhanced CT scans were performed in 17 patients with 16-row multidetector row CT (MDCT) system (uCT 510, United Imaging, Shanghai, China), and in 5 patients with a 128-row multidetector CT system (SOMATOM Definition Flash, Siemens Healthcare systems, Germany). Each examination was performed in a breath-hold mode at full suspended inspiration. The scanning coverage

was from the thoracic inlet to the middle level of the left kidney. Scanning parameters for the uCT 510 scanner were as follows: tube voltage of 120 KV, tube current of 200 mA (automatic exposure control employed), rotation time of 0.35 s, pitch of 1.5 mm, detector collimation of 0.625 mm, and slice thickness / reconstruction thickness of 5 mm / 1 mm. The scanning parameters for SOMATOM Definition Flash scanner were similar to those for the 16-MDCT scanner except the tube current of 250 mA and detector collimation of 0.6 mm. Data from two CT scanners were respectively transferred to the image processing workstation (SOMATOM Definition Flash, Siemens Healthcare systems, Germany). The window width and level were set to 350 HU and 40 HU for mediastinal window, and to 1000 HU and –700 for lung window, respectively.

CT Data analysis

All image data were independently reviewed on above-mentioned workstation by two experienced radiologists (the first author with one year of experience in radiology and the co first author with 8 years of experience in radiology) blinded to epidemiologic and clinical information. In case of discrepancy between the 2 observers, a third radiologist (co corresponding author with 12 years of experience in radiology) reviewed the images for final adjudication. Before the previous radiologists reviewed the image data, a professor of radiology (the corresponding author with 22 years of experience in body radiology) trained them on how to review the image data.

According to the expert consensus [9], the initial CT manifestations in groups A and B were assessed based on the following features: (1) no abnormal finding, (2) ground-glass opacity (GGO), (3) consolidation, and (4) other abnormalities (e.g., reticulation, and interlobular septal thickening).

In order to assess the severity of the disease more accurately, we also devised a semi-quantitative scoring system to evaluate the extent and severity of disease in this study. As illustrated in Tables 2 and 3, the CT lesion extent and density scores were determined based on the anatomic distribution and density of lung lesions referencing to the reported semi-quantitative score system [12]. The extent score was assessed on lung window based on the extent of the five lung lobes involved by COVID–19. The overall lung extent score was obtained by summing the five lobe scores. The density score was evaluated on lung window based on the percentages of consolidation and other abnormalities in each COVID–19 lesion, and the overall lung density score was acquired by summing the five lobe scores. The score range for both lungs in each patient is from 0 (no detectable abnormality) to 20 (more than 75% of each lung lobe involved by COVID–19 lesion and 100% of consolidation in each lesion).

Table 2		
The extent scoring system of coronavirus disease 2019 on computed tomography		
Extent of lobe involved	Percentage (%)	Score
None	0	0
Minimal	1-25	1
Mild	26-50	2
Moderate	51-75	3
Severe	76-100	4

Table 3	
The density scoring system of the coronavirus disease 2019 on initial computed tomography	
Category of lesions in a lobe based on the density	Score
No abnormal findings	0
Pure GGO	1
GGO with <50% consolidation and/or other abnormalities	2
GGO with $\geq$ 50% consolidation and/or other abnormalities	3
Consolidation with other abnormalities without GGO	4
Note: Ground glass opacity = GGO	

In order to assess the intra-observer variability of the above semi-quantitative measurements, the first author repeated the image data analysis three days later. The intra-observer variability was obtained by comparison of the two measurements by the first author. The inter-observer variability was accessed with the results by two independent double-blinded observers (the first author and the co first author).

Statistical analysis

All data were statistically analyzed by IBM SPSS statistics software (version 25.0). The normality of distribution was evaluated by Shapiro-Wilk test. Continuous variables, expressed as the mean and standard deviation. The categorical variables were described in percentiles and compared using the Chi-square test or Fisher's exact test. Both intra-observer and inter-observer variability was tested for CT score

using inter-class correlation coefficient (ICC). The semi-quantitative extent and density scores of COVID–19 lesions on initial CT were considered to be reproducible when the ICC was greater than 0.75 [13]. Statistical difference was defined as $P < 0.05$ for all tests.

Results

CT manifestations

In Group A, 15 (100%) patients had abnormal findings on initial CT (Figure 1A) while only 3 (42.9%) in group B had, and the remained 4 (57.1%) patients had none abnormal CT findings. Patients with abnormal CT findings were more frequent in group A than in group B ($P < 0.05$). Pure GGOs, and GGOs with consolidation and/or other abnormalities were observed in 3 (20%) and 12 (80%) patients in group A, respectively. In group B, 1 (14.3%) and 2 (28.6%) patients had pure GGOs and GGOs with consolidation, respectively. Among the previous 4 patients with normal image on initial CT scan, 3 cases (75%) developed into focal pure GGO on follow-up scan (Figure 2A).

Quantification of CT appearance

The mean intra-observer and inter-observer ICC values were 0.96 (95%CI: 0.91–0.98) and 0.94 (95%CI: 0.86–0.97) for extent score, and 0.95 (95%CI: 0.90–0.98) and 0.93 (95%CI: 0.84–0.97) for density score, respectively. Therefore, the average of the extent score and density score from the first author and the co first author's measurements was used for the subsequent statistical analysis.

As demonstrated in Table 4, the mean extent score of lesions on CT in group A was 6.7, ranged from 1 to 17. In group B, the mean extent score of lesions on CT was 1.1, ranged from 0 to 4. In group A, 13(86.7%) and 2 (13.3%) patients scored at least 5 and 10, respectively. Except the four patients with a normal CT finding on initial scans, the remained 3 patients in group B respectively scored 1, 3 and 4 according to the extent scoring system. The extent of lung lobe involved by COVID–19 lesions in group A was strikingly greater than that observed in group B ($P < 0.001$).

Table 4		
Comparisons of patients between groups according to the extent and density scores of the coronavirus disease 2019 on initial CT		
Lesion score	Patients (%)	
	Group A (n = 15)	Group B (n = 7)
Extent score		
0	0	4 (57.1)
1	1 (6.7)	1 (14.3)
2	0	0
3	1 (6.7)	1 (14.3)
4	0	1 (14.3)
5-9	11 (73.3)	0
≥10	2 (13.3)	
Density score		
0	0	4 (57.1)
1	1 (6.7)	1 (14.3)
2	0	0
3	0	0
4	0	2 (28.6)
5~9	3 (20)	0
≥10	11 (73.3)	0

As shown in Table 4, the mean density score of lesions in both lungs in group A (mean score, 10.1; range, 1–17) was significantly higher than that in group B (mean score, 1.3; range, 0–4). In group A, 14 and 11 patients scored at least 5 (93.3%) and 10 (73.3%), respectively. In contrast, none of patients in group B with abnormal CT findings on initial scans had lesion density score of more than 5 ($P < 0.001$), indicating that the COVID–19 in second-generation infected patients could be milder when compared with those with a history of exposure to Wuhan.

Discussion

COVID–19 is a new disease with high infectivity caused an enormous impact on public health [14]. The Spring Festival travel rush has triggered massive population movements which gave rise to the confirmed cases of COVID–19 outside Wuhan with a history of exposure to Wuhan, as well as second-generation cases infected by the former or by individuals from Wuhan emerged across China in succession. In order to better master the characteristics of COVID–19 in patients outside Wuhan city for appropriate treatment, we carried out our study to investigate the discrepancy in CT manifestations of this pneumonia in patients outside Wuhan between cases with a history of exposure to Wuhan and the second-generation infection.

Our study revealed that abnormal findings on initial CT scans can be found in each patient outside Wuhan with a history of exposure to Wuhan but cannot in each patient with the second-generation infection. In patients with the second-generation infection, some patients could have abnormal initial CT appearances, and some could not. Our findings can be explained by the following pathological mechanism. As reported [15–17], RNA virus is characterized by error-prone viral replication and recombination and usually generates progeny viruses with highly diverse genomes which might result in reduction of virulence and pathogenicity. We could presume that the 2019-nCoV as a novel RNA virus might have the similar characteristics of reduction of virulence and pathogenicity resulted from the error-prone viral replication and recombination.

As shown in our study, GGO and consolidation could be the most common patterns of CT abnormalities of the confirmed COVID–19 in patients outside Wuhan, which was consistent with the published reports [9–11]. As reported [9], GGO and consolidation could respectively reflect the potential pathological abnormalities in different stages of the disease. Seen mainly in the early stage of the disease, the underlying pathologic change of pure GGO can be small amount of exudation of fluid in alveolar cavity and interlobular interstitial edema [10]. Consolidation lesions could be regarded as a marker of more severe phase [11], reflecting a large amount of cell-rich or fibrous exudation accumulated in the alveolar cavity and pulmonary interstitium [10]. It is noteworthy that 3 cases of second-generation with normal finding on initial CT scan developed into focal GGOs during follow-up CT, suggesting that the limitation of CT in the early detection of asymptomatic patients with the second-generation. The COVID case without abnormal manifestation on initial CT scan should be confirmed by 2019-nCoV detection via RT-PCR together with a history of close contact with the infected individuals exposed to Wuhan recently.

Moreover, we found that the discrepancies of extent and density scores obtained on the initial CT could exist between patients with a history of exposure to Wuhan and with the second-generation infection. In detail, the extent of lung lobe involved by COVID–19 lesions in patients with an exposure history of Wuhan was strikingly greater than that in patients with second-generation infection. The previous discrepancies of extent and density scores between groups can be explained as follows. In patients with a history of exposure to Wuhan, GGOs with consolidation or other abnormalities (i.e., reticular and/or interlobular septal thickening) involving multiple lobes could be more common than in patients with the second-generation infection, resulting in elevated CT density and extent scores in group A when compared with group B. Our findings suggest that patients with a history of exposure to Wuhan might

have more rapid progression of disease and increasing likelihood of mixed bacterial coinfection [18–19]. Based on the comparison of CT density score between groups, we can presume that the COVID–19 in second-generation infected patients could be milder when compared to those with a history of exposure to Wuhan.

Our study had several limitations. For one thing, a larger sample size of COVID–19 patients is required for further investigation, especially with an emphasis on asymptomatic second-generation patients. For another thing, the semi-quantitative scoring system of disease in this study was based on the typical CT manifestations applied in the expert consensus [9], the other abnormal findings such as reticulation and interlobular septal thickening did not particularly evaluated, and further modification is required.

In conclusion, the CT findings of COVID–19 vary according to the routes of infection. Patients with a history of exposure to Wuhan tend to have more severe CT manifestations, suggesting that CT could accurately evaluate the COVID–19 in the population. Cases with second-generation infection could be manifested as normal finding on the initial CT scan, but may progress to mild abnormalities on follow-up CT, indicating 2019-nCoV detection via RT-PCR could be essential in the population with high risk of infection. We hope that our findings can help clinicians outside Wuhan formulate more accurate and effective prevention and treatment measures.

References

1. Li Q, Guan X, Wu P, et al (2020) Early Transmission Dynamics in Wuhan, China, of Novel Coronavirus-Infected Pneumonia. *N Engl J Med*. DOI: 10.1056/NEJMoa2001316
2. Zhu N, Zhang D, Wang W, et al (2020) China Novel Coronavirus Investigating and Research Team. A Novel Coronavirus from Patients with Pneumonia in China, 2019. *N Engl J Med*. 382: 727–733.
3. Chen Y, Liu Q, Guo D (2020) Emerging coronaviruses: Genome structure, replication, and pathogenesis. *J Med Virol* 92: 418–423.
4. Lorusso A, Calistri P, Petrini A, Savini G, Decaro N (2020) Novel coronavirus (SARS-CoV–2) epidemic: a veterinary perspective. *Vet Ital*; DOI: 10.12834/VetIt.2173.11599.
5. Special Expert Group for Control of the Epidemic of Novel Coronavirus Pneumonia of the Chinese Preventive Medicine Association (2020) An update on the epidemiological characteristics of novel coronavirus pneumonia (COVID–19). *Zhonghua Liu Xing Bing Xue Za Zhi* 41: 139–144.
6. Novel Coronavirus Pneumonia Emergency Response Epidemiology Team (2020) The epidemiological characteristics of an outbreak of 2019 novel coronavirus diseases (2019-nCoV infected) in China. *Zhonghua Liu Xing Bing Xue Za Zhi*; 41: 145–151.
7. Wang W, Tang J, Wei F (2020) Updated understanding of the outbreak of 2019 novel coronavirus (2019-nCoV) in Wuhan, China. *J Med Virol* 92: 441–447.
8. Fang Y, Zhang H, Xie J, et al (2020) Sensitivity of Chest CT for COVID–19: Comparison to RT-PCR. *Radiology*. DOI: 10.1148/radiol.2020200432.

9. Chinese Society of Radiology (2020) Radiological diagnosis of new coronavirus infected pneumonitis: Expert recommendation from the Chinese Society of Radiology (First edition). Chin J Radiol. DOI: 10.3760/cma.j.issn.1005–1201.2020.0001.
10. Jin YH, Cai L, Cheng ZS, et al (2020) A rapid advice guideline for the diagnosis and treatment of 2019 novel coronavirus (2019-nCoV) infected pneumonia (standard version). Mil Med Res 7: 4.
11. Song F, Shi N, Shan F, et al (2020) Emerging Coronavirus 2019-nCoV Pneumonia. Radiology. DOI: 10.1148/radiol.2020200274.
12. Chung M, Bernheim A, Mei X, et al (2020) CT Imaging Features of 2019 Novel Coronavirus (2019-nCoV). Radiology. DOI: 10.1148/radiol.2020200230.
13. Shrout PE, Fleiss JL (1979) Intraclass correlations: uses in assessing rater reliability. Psychol Bull 86: 420–428.
14. Lai CC, Shih TP, Ko WC, Tang HJ, Hsueh PR (2020) Severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2) and coronavirus disease–2019 (COVID–19): The epidemic and the challenges. Int J Antimicrob Agents. DOI: 10.1016/j.ijantimicag.2020.105924.
15. Wang D, Hu B, Hu C, et al (2020) Clinical Characteristics of 138 Hospitalized Patients With 2019 Novel Coronavirus-Infected Pneumonia in Wuhan, China. JAMA. DOI: 10.1001/jama.2020.1585.
16. Vega VB, Ruan Y, Liu J, et al (2004) Mutational dynamics of the SARS coronavirus in cell culture and human populations isolated in 2003. BMC Infect Dis. 4: 32.
17. Ruan YJ, Wei CL, Ee AL, et al (2003) Comparative full-length genome sequence analysis of 14 SARS coronavirus isolates and common mutations associated with putative origins of infection. Lancet 361: 1779–1785.
18. Koo HJ, Lim S, Choe J, Choi SH, Sung H, Do KH (2018) Radiographic and CT Features of Viral Pneumonia. Radiographics 38: 719–739.
19. Pavia AT (2011) Viral infections of the lower respiratory tract: old viruses, new viruses, and the role of diagnosis. Clin Infect Dis 52: S284-S289.

Figures

Figure 1

In a 46-year-old male patient with a history of exposure to Wuhan 12 days ago, the findings on initial CT (day 1 after admission) are multiple subpleural focal ground glass opacities (GGOs) in both lungs (A). On day 8, the lesions manifest as larger-scale GGOs with great consolidation in some previous GGOs in both lungs on the follow-up CT images (B). On day 12, the ranges of lesions have reduced in a great extent, and CT images show strip-like opacity (C).

Figure 2

In a 39-year-old asymptomatic female outside Wuhan who has close contacts with a patient with confirmed coronavirus disease 2019, no abnormal findings have been found on initial CT scan after admission (A) but positive nucleic acid test on admission. On the follow-up CT on day 8 after admission, focal patchy ground glass opacities appear in the lower lobes of both lungs (B).