

Preprints are preliminary reports that have not undergone peer review. They should not be considered conclusive, used to inform clinical practice, or referenced by the media as validated information.

Mapping internal temperatures during high-rate battery applications

Paul Shearing (Z p.shearing@ucl.ac.uk)

UCL https://orcid.org/0000-0002-1387-9531

Thomas Heenan UCL Isabella Mombrini **ESRF** Alice Llewellyn UCL Stefano Checchia https://orcid.org/0000-0003-0499-4885 Chun Tan UCL michael johnson UCL Anmol Jnawali UCL **Gaston Garbarino** European Synchrotron Radiation Facility https://orcid.org/0000-0003-4780-9520 **Rhodri Jervis** UCL Dan Brett University College London https://orcid.org/0000-0002-8545-3126 Marco Di Michel ESRF - The European Synchrotron

Physical Sciences - Article

Keywords:

Posted Date: July 13th, 2022

DOI: https://doi.org/10.21203/rs.3.rs-1814550/v1

License: © ① This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License. Read Full License

Mapping internal temperatures during high-rate battery applications

T. M. M. Heenan^{1,2}, I. Mombrini^{1,3}, A. Llewellyn¹, S. Checchia³, C. Tan^{1,2}, M. Johnson¹, A. Jnawali¹, Gaston Garbarino³, R. Jervis^{1,2}, D. J.L. Brett^{1,2}, M. Di Michiel³, P. R. Shearing^{1,2,Y}

7 Electric vehicles (EV) demand high charge/discharge rates creating potentially dangerous 8 temperature rises. Lithium-ion cells are sealed during their manufacture, making internal 9 temperatures challenging to probe¹. Tracking current collector expansion using X-ray diffraction 10 (XRD) permits non-destructive internal temperature measurements²; however, cylindrical cells are known to experience complex internal strain^{3,4}. Here, we characterise the state-of-charge 11 12 (SoC), mechanical strain, and temperature within Lithium-ion 18650 cells operated at high rates 13 (>3C) via two advanced synchrotron XRD methods: firstly, as entire cross-sectional temperature 14 maps during open-circuit cooling and secondly, single-point temperatures during 15 charge/discharge cycling. We observed that a 20-minute discharge on an energy-optimised cell 16 (3.5 Ah) resulted in internal temperatures >70 °C, whereas, a faster 12-minute discharge on a 17 power-optimised cell (1.5 Ah) resulted in substantially lower temperatures (< 50 °C). However, 18 when comparing the two cells under the same electrical current, the peak temperatures were 19 similar, e.g., a 6A discharge resulted in 40°C peak temperatures for both cell types. We observe 20 that the operando temperature rise is due to heat accumulation, strongly influenced by the 21 charging protocol e.g., constant-current (CC) and/or constant-voltage (CV). Design mitigations 22 for temperature-related battery issues should now be explored using this novel methodology to 23 provide opportunities for improved thermal management during high-rate EV applications. 24

25 Introduction

26

27 The electrification of transport will depend heavily on the improvement of lithium-ion (Li-ion) battery 28 technologies. For example, aviation demands very high discharge rates during flight take-off⁵, and 29 similarly, extreme fast charging of automotive vehicles will be required to mitigate charging-point 30 congestion and downtime for goods transport⁶. To meet these demands many high-rate solutions are 31 emerging⁷⁻⁹, however, thermally induced degradation remains problematic^{10,11}. To advance cell designs 32 such that these degradation issues can be mitigated, we must be able to accurately quantify internal 33 temperatures during operation. This is challenging because cells are sealed during their manufacture for protection from contamination¹² and short-circuit¹³. To non-destructively measure temperature, the 34 35 thermal expansion of metals¹⁴ such as the current collector² have been measured via X-ray diffraction 36 (XRD), but to resolve internal temperatures directly has required modification of the cell to incorporate 37 thermocouples¹.

38 Computed tomography (CT) methods allow non-destructive measurements to be resolved 39 spatially¹⁵ and has uncovered many complex distributions of strain³ and SoC⁴. Recently XRD-CT has revealed unprecedented insights into the internal SoC distributions within Li-ion cells¹⁶. Alas, lattice 40 41 changes due to thermal expansion are orders of magnitude lower than those associated with lithiation. For instance, a 10 °C temperature change in copper would result in 10⁻⁴ Å lattice expansion², whereas 42 43 the lattice changes during lithium intercalation (or de-intercalation) of the cathode active material are 44 three orders of magnitude greater² e.g., 10⁻¹ Å. Therefore, SoC can be extracted without characterising 45 the thermal and mechanical strain due to their negligible contributions, but resolving temperature 46 requires mechanical and thermal strain deconvolution, and the greater the number of spatial dimensions 47 that are resolved (e.g., 1, 2, 3D) the more complex this becomes.

¹ Electrochemical Innovation Lab, Department of Chemical Engineering, UCL, London WC1E 7JE, U.K.

² The Faraday Institution, Quad One, Harwell Science and Innovation Campus, Didcot, OX11 0RA, U.K.

³ The European Synchrotron, 71 Avenue des Martyrs, 38000, Grenoble, France.

^Ψ Corresponding author: Professor Paul R Shearing, <u>p.shearing@ucl.ac.uk</u>

Materials demand is set to expand rapidly with the electrification of transport¹⁷ and two 48 49 contrasting cathode chemistries are lithium iron phosphate (i.e., LFP or Li_{1-x}FePO₄) and the layered 50 nickel-rich transition metal oxides (e.g., NMC811 or Li_{1-x-y}Ni_{0.8}Mn_{0.1}Co_{0.1}O₂). LFP boasts longer 51 lifetimes and enhanced safety, ideal for power-optimised cells; whereas NMC offers higher volumetric 52 and gravimetric energy densities, more suitable for energy-optimised cell designs¹⁸. Similarly, many 53 commercial anodes are fabricated from either graphite (e.g., Gr or Li_xC₆, Li_xC₁₂, Li_xC₁₈), silicon (e.g., 54 Si or Li_xSi_y), silicon-oxide (e.g., SiO or $Li_xSi_yO_z$), or a composite of the two (e.g., Gr-Si, Gr-SiO_z). Note 55 that the oxygen content in Si electrodes is often not known, hence it is commonplace to denote the material SiO_z, where z may be zero or negligible¹⁹. Si offers very high theoretical specific capacities 56 57 however Gr undergoes significantly less expansion during cycling, accompanied by reduced stress²⁰. 58 Therefore, a plethora of materials combinations will compose the global Li-ion battery market, but a 59 comparison of these key materials, which represent examples of power- and energy-optimised cells, 60 can produce a suitable overview.

61 Here, we explain two methods of non-destructive temperature measurements for commercial 62 cylindrical cells (e.g., 18650 format) via monitoring of the expansion/contraction of the Cu current 63 collector crystal structures from XRD. Firstly, full cross-sectional temperature maps can be generated 64 from conducting XRD-CT, ideal for exploring spatial distributions at the end of charge or discharge 65 (e.g., 2D maps). And secondly, a multi-channel-collimator (MCC) can be used to resolve the temperature within arbitrarily selected internal locations (e.g., 1D lines) for real-time quantifications 66 67 during operation. This article focuses on two contrasting commercially available 18650 cells, one with 68 a Ni-rich NMC811 cathode vs. Gr-SiO_i anode, and a second with an LFP cathode vs. a Gr anode. The 69 subsequent sections will discuss validation of temperature quantifications without electrochemical 70 operation (ex situ), then acquisition via XRD-CT (in situ), and MCC-XRD (operando). 71

72 Ex situ temperatures

73

74 Cylindrical 18650 cells are assembled as jellyrolls as seen in the laboratory X-ray attenuation CT cross-75 sectional image in Fig. 1a,b. A similar cross section can be produced (at a lower spatial resolution) by monitoring the metallic current collector lattice spacing² to calculate the temperature (Fig. 1c) and 76 reconstructing a spatially resolved image using XRD-CT (Fig. 1d,e). XRD-CT can accurately 77 78 reconstruct only quantities which are invariant for rotation around the tomographic rotation axis, 79 temperature maps can be obtained since the thermal induced strain is in most materials a scalar quantity. 80 The result is a spatiotemporal map of the internal cell temperature. As a simple proof-of-concept 81 experiment the authors first mapped the internal temperatures within two 18650 cells after heating 82 within a furnace (without electrochemical operation). The internal temperatures are displayed within 83 Fig. 1 as cross-sectional slices taken from the same region-of-interest (RoI) within the cell as the cells 84 cooled over the course of ca. 30 minutes.

The temperature quantifications calculated by fitting the data of the Cu d-spacing closely followed fittings based on Newton's law of cooling (**Fig. 1c**, and see methods), indicating a high level of confidence in the quantifications. To the authors' knowledge, this is the first report of a spatially resolved temperature map within a commercial 18650 Li-ion cell without disassembly or modification. We will now consider the far more complex determination of temperatures driven by electrochemical operation. Note that all error bars throughout this article indicate statistical errors (standard deviation), the precision error is ca. ± 3 °C throughout.



95

96

97

98

99

100

Fig 1. Spatiotemporal temperature mapping within 18650s without electrochemical operation. a,b, Laboratory X-ray CT (a) volume render and (b) ortho-slice of the NMC cell displaying the steel casing and internal jellyroll assembly. **c,** Internal temperatures within the NMC cell during cooling immediately after removal from the oven at 80 °C. **d,e,** A similar ortho-slice as shown in the laboratory CT (a) but instead representing the internal cell temperature obtained from synchrotron XRD-CT for (d) the NMC cell removed at 80 °C and (e) the LFP cell removed at 120 °C. Note that ambient temperature was assumed to be a consistent 20 °C.

101 In situ temperatures

102

103 To conduct electrochemical operation while also obtaining temperature measurements via XRD 104 methods, a bespoke 18650 cell holder was designed and optimised to deliver sufficient XRD signal-to-105 noise (SNR) while also permitting high electrical currents with low circuit losses (Fig. S1, S2). 106 Examples of the integrated XRD patterns and refinement can be found within the supplementary 107 material (Fig. S3). Employing this set-up allowed both Coulomb counting of the electrochemical data and XRD analysis of the active electrode materials² to give indications of the SoC, and further, 108 109 reconstruction via XRD-CT generated spatial maps of the SoC for each material¹⁶. In addition to SoC, mechanical strain information has proven highly important within 18650 cells^{3,4,} and similar to SoC, 110 111 XRD methods can also be used to extract this mechanical strain. Temperature measurements are the 112 focus of this article but operando SoC and mechanical strain calculations can be found within the 113 supplementary material (Fig. S4-S9).

As demonstrated for the oven-heating experiment (**Fig. 1**), internal temperatures could be mapped as 2D cross-sections as the cell cooled. **Fig. 2** displays the temperature values recorded during the transition to open circuit voltage (OCV) after discharge at various rates (approx. temperature peak values shown in **Fig. 2a**). To inspect peak internal thermal gradients the cell was divided into 8 radial zones (rings in **Fig. 2b**) but also compared to 8 azimuthal zones (segments in **Fig. 2c**). Increasing the

119 C-rate from 1C to 3C increased the cell temperature by an additional ~ 40 °C, which overshadowed the

120 influence of any thermal gradients across the 8 radial (and azimuthal) zones, which were on the order

121 of ± 5 °C; this was also true for the LFP cell (**Fig. S10**).





Fig. 2 In situ internal temperature maps via XRD-CT. a, The high-rate discharge currents for a commercial 18650 with measurement of the peak temperature during the open circuit transition (stars). **b,c**, Internal temperatures for 8 zones divided as (b) radial and (c) azimuthal zones. All error bars are statistical, precision error is ca. \pm 3 °C throughout. Note that ambient temperature was assumed to be a consistent 20 °C.

Since the cell capacity dictates the electrical current for a particular C-rate, the contrasting poweroptimised 18650 cell (LFP vs. Gr) was also assessed and compared to the energy-optimised counterpart (**Fig. 3a,b**), with the maximum temperature plotted with respect to the discharge current within **Fig. 3c** (circles) alongside two NMC cells (diamonds and triangles). The maximum temperature recorded grew similarly with discharge current irrespective of the cell type, e.g., at a discharge current of 6 A the maximum temperature was approximately 40 °C for all cells regardless of chemistry and this correlation held well throughout all discharge currents explored (i.e., up to approx. 10 A).

135 The thermal conductivities of the current collectors (assumed to be 398 and 235 W/m/K for 136 copper and aluminium, respectively) are three orders of magnitude higher than the electrodes, and four 137 orders higher than the separator. Therefore, it is unlikely that large amounts of heat are transferred rather 138 through the electrodes or directly through the separator material. Instead, it is more probably that heat 139 is preferentially and efficiently transferred around the jelly-roll winding through the metallic current 140 collectors. Additionally, heat transfer from the interior to the exterior of the cell is very inefficient due 141 to the much lower thermal conductivity of the steel case (~45 W/m/K). These factors explain the degree of homogeneity observed throughout the cell in Fig. 2, the current collector promotes temperature 142 143 uniformity via high thermal conduction. Similarly, the strong dependence of the electrical current upon the peak temperature (Fig. 3) can be explained by the inefficient thermal properties of the casing design, 144 145 which promotes heat accumulation, and the subsequent temperature rises. See further discussions within 146 the Supplementary Material. This also indicates that Joule heating (at least for high currents) dominates 147 heat generation, rather than reaction heating. Power-optimised LFP chemistries, with lower capacities, 148 therefore present a favourable heat (and temperature) management option for high-rate applications 149 since a lower relative electrical current can achieve a higher C-rate, thus faster charge/discharge and 150 SoC change, although at the cost of lower energy densities. Therefore, if onboard energy density can 151 be compromised, i.e., if shorter driving or flight ranges are acceptable in certain applications e.g.,

152 agricultural drones or warehouse robots, power-optimised cells such as these can offer improved 153 thermal management.

The similarities of peak temperatures within the two cells at various discharge currents suggests that fundamental cell design alterations would be required to mitigate high temperatures (via improved heat dissipation) during high electrical currents, i.e., to enter the green 'target zone' visualised in **Fig 3c.** Consequently, the authors concluded that a method for understanding *operando* temperature changes (i.e., measurements during charge transfer) would be essential to explore how the heat is accumulated during operation.

160



161 162

Fig. 3 In situ internal temperature maxima via XRD-CT. a,b, The internal temperature maxima within the commercial (a) energy- and (b) power-optimised cells immediately after discharge at various C-rates.
c, The maximum internal temperature correlated with discharge current for two energy cells and one power cell, obtained at the end of discharge/start of OCV, via XRD-CT or MMC-XRD. Note that ambient temperature was assumed to be a consistent 20 °C.

168

169 **Operando temperatures**

170

171 During cell charge and discharge, in addition to thermal strain due to the Joule heating effect, the Cu 172 current collector develops mechanical elastic strain caused by stress build up inside the cell, originated 173 by different volumetric expansion/contraction of anode and cathode. To separate the mechanical from 174 thermal strain and explore the temperature during stages that precede the peak temperatures reported in 175 Fig 3, the methodology for a second XRD measurement was developed by employing a Multi-Channel 176 Collimator (MCC) (Fig. S11, S12) which allows high speed (sub-second), high angular resolution and 177 high SNR XRD measurements from a given spatial location (gauge volume). MCC-XRD measurements 178 were performed along the same radial line, at two orthogonal orientations of the cell.

179 The operando MCC current collector tangential and radial strain measurements, combined with 180 operando XRD-CT axial strain measurements permitted the analysis of temperature dynamics during the whole charge/discharge process, not only during open circuit. Fig. 4 displays the temperature values 181 182 recorded for zone 4 within an NMC cell during four charge-discharge cycles, whereby all four charges 183 were conducted at 1C but each discharge was increased until the manufacturer's specified maximum 184 electrical current of 10 A was reached. For completeness, Fig. 3c also reports the MCC data, showing close agreement with the XRD-CT values. The temperature and radial stress during the four charge 185 186 profiles (at 1C, 3.5 A) were highly repeatable with minimal variation (Fig. 4a,b). Furthermore, the 187 transition (dashed vertical line, ca. 45 mins) between the constant current (CC) and constant voltage 188 (CV) stages was clearly distinguishable.

189 During CC the temperature rises due to the heat accumulation but once the current is allowed 190 to decay under CV (or OCV) the temperature reduces, as heat loss to the surroundings exceeds that 191 generated due to Joule heating. Consequently, there is a clear correlation between the electrical current 192 employed and the temperature rise observed (as shown in Fig. 4a) but subsequently, the internal 193 temperature at the end of charge will be dictated by the profile of the electrical current over the entire 194 duration of the charge profile. For instance, other charging protocols such as current pulse or constant 195 power may produce different temperature profiles to that seen here (under CC-CV) because the trade-196 off between heat generation and loss (to the surroundings) is different.

197 Discharge was conducted without a CV hold, i.e., only under CC. The temperature rise during 198 the CC discharge followed a similar (but inverted) profile to the cooling after removal from the oven in 199 the first experiment (compare heating in **Fig. 4a** to cooling in **Fig. 1d**); whereby initially the temperature 200 changes rapidly but then slowing with time.

Naturally, unlike the thermal dynamics, the mechanical stress does not reduce during the CV stage due to the intercalation (and de- intercalation) mechanisms occurring during charge (**Fig. 4c**). This stress is only relieved once the discharge process is completed (**Fig. 4d**). Marginal stress hysteresis, observed as non-zero stress values at the end of discharge, may be attributed to the inaccessible discharge capacities at higher rates (**Fig. S9**). It should also be noted that stress was most dependent upon the SoC (see stress-capacity in **Fig. 4e,f**) and showed no correlation with C-rate (**Fig. 4f**).



²⁰⁸ 209

210Fig. 4Operando internal temperatures via MCC-XRD. a,b, The temperature during (a) charge and211(b) discharge for the four cycles. c,d, The stress during (c) charge and (d) discharge for the four cycles. e,f,212Assessing the relationship between the SoC (capacity) and the stress for the four (e) charge cycles all at 1C and213(f) discharge cycles at various C-rates. Note that ambient temperature was assumed to be a consistent 20 °C.

214

215 **Future thermal management**

216

In conclusion, we present here two methodologies to accurately $(\pm 3 \text{ °C})$ quantify internal temperatures during high-rate (up to 10.0 A) operation of commercial Li-ion 18650s without cell modification or disassembly. These methods should be applicable to the vast majority of, if not all, commercial 18650s, and may be conducted by any specialist XRD synchrotron beamline with the cell holder (described within the methodology and supplementary) and using the correct imaging setup. Moreover, minor
 modifications would permit the study of other cell geometries, e.g., 2170 and 4680, and these options
 are currently being explored by the authors.

Temperature mapping using XRD-CT revealed that the peak temperatures reached within the cell are relatively homogeneous (spatially) at the end of discharge and the magnitude of this temperature peak is largely dictated by the electrical current employed. The MCC-XRD temperature mapping method then revealed that this temperature peak is reached due to an accumulation of heat and is strongly influenced by the charging protocol (e.g., the use of CC or CC-CV, etc.).

229 As imaging instruments advance, the spatial and temporal resolutions employed here may 230 improve. Consequently, studies including other chemistries and cell microstructures may provide 231 additional understanding behind the complex transport of heat during charge transfer. This presents new 232 opportunities to study novel thermal management techniques with real-time quantifications that can 233 inform computational modelling²¹ and accelerate the design iteration process. The prediction and 234 control of temperatures is a large industrial challenge that is expected to receive increased attention in 235 coming years as the performance of batteries is advanced to meet application requirements²². Intelligent 236 thermal management of Li-ion cells in combination with materials innovations^{8,9} will reduce range-237 anxiety for automotive vehicles and aid aircraft take-off, ultimately enabling the transition to electrified 238 transport.

240) References			
241				
242	1.	Spinner, N., et al. Novel 18650 lithium-ion battery surrogate cell design with anisotropic		
243		thermophysical properties for studying failure events. Journal of Power Sources. Volume 312,		
244		Pages 1-11 (2016).		
245		https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jpowsour.2016.01.107		
246				
247	2.	Yu, X., et al. Simultaneous Operando Measurements of the Local Temperature, State of		
248		Charge, and Strain inside a Commercial Lithium-Ion Battery Pouch Cell. J. Electrochem. Soc.		
249		165 A1578 (2018).		
250		https://doi.org/10.1149/2.1251807jes		
251	-			
252	3.	Kok, M., et al. Virtual unrolling of spirally-wound lithium-ion cells for correlative		
253		degradation studies and predictive fault detection. Sustainable Energy Fuels, 3, 2972-2976		
254		(2019).		
255		https://doi.org/10.1039/C9SE00500E		
256	4	Zin L. D.E. A.H. T. Einen D.D. (11 AD in the first of the instant		
257	4.	Ziesche, R.F., Arit, I., Finegan, D.P. et al. 4D imaging of lithium-batteries using correlative		
238		(2020)		
259		(2020). https://doi.org/10.1038/s/11/67.010.130/3.3		
260		<u>https://doi.org/10.1058/841407-019-15945-5</u>		
261	5	Srinad S Bills A & Viswanathan V A review of safety considerations for batteries in		
263	5.	aircraft with electric propulsion MRS Bulletin 46, 435–442 (2021)		
264		https://doi.org/10.1557/s43577-021-00097-1		
265				
266	6.	Li, M., et al. Fast Charging Lithium-ion Batteries for a New Era of Electric Vehicles. Cell		
267		Reports Physical Science. Volume 1, Issue 10, 100212 (2020).		
268		https://doi.org/10.1016/j.xcrp.2020.100212		
269				
270	7.	Tomaszewska, A., et al. Lithium-ion battery fast charging: A review. eTransportation.		
271		Volume 1, 100011 (2019).		
272		https://doi.org/10.1016/j.etran.2019.100011		
273				
274	8.	Griffith, K.J., et al. Niobium tungsten oxides for high-rate lithium-ion energy storage. Nature		
275		559, 556–563 (2018).		
276		https://doi.org/10.1038/s41586-018-0347-0		
277	0			
270	9.	Billaud, J., et al. Magnetically aligned graphite electrodes for high-rate performance Lithium-		
279		https://doi.org/10.1022/popergy 2016.07		
280		https://doi.org/10.1058/henergy.2010.97		
281	10	Lain M & Kendrick F. Understanding the limitations of lithium ion batteries at high rates		
283	10.	Journal of Power Sources, Volume 493, 229690 (2021)		
284		https://doi.org/10.1016/i.jpowsour 2021.229690		
285				
286	11.	Ma. S., et al. Temperature effect and thermal impact in lithium-ion batteries: A review. Progress		
287		in Natural Science: Materials International. Volume 28. Issue 6. Pages 653-666 (2018).		
288		https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pnsc.2018.11.002		
289				
290	12.	Finegan, D., et al. Tracking Internal Temperature and Structural Dynamics during Nail		
291		Penetration of Lithium-Ion Cells. J. Electrochem. Soc. 164 A3285 (2017).		
292		https://doi.org/10.1149/2.1501713jes		
293				

 Han, A., et al. Effect of Humidity on Properties of Lithium-ion Batteries. Int. J. Electrochem. Sci., 16, Article ID: 210554, (2021). <u>https://doi.org/10.20964/2021.05.54</u>

- 14. Hagart-Alexander, C. Instrumentation Reference Book (Fourth Edition), Chapter 21 -Temperature Measurement. Pages 269-326 (2010). <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-7506-8308-1.00021-8</u>
- 15. Houx, J. L., Kramer, D. X-ray tomography for lithium ion battery electrode characterisation — A review. Energy Reports, Volume 7, Supplement 2, Pages 9-14 (2021). <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.egyr.2021.02.063</u>
- Petz, D., et al. Lithium distribution and transfer in high-power 18650-type Lithium-ion cells at multiple length scales. Energy Storage Materials. Volume 41, Pages 546-553 (2021). <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ensm.2021.06.028</u>
- 17. Xu, C., et al. Future material demand for automotive lithium-based batteries. Commun Mater 1, 99 (2020).
 https://doi.org/10.1038/s43246-020-00095-x
- Ding, Y., et al. Automotive Lithium-ion Batteries: Current Status and Future Perspectives. Electrochem. Energ. Rev. 2, 1–28 (2019). <u>https://doi.org/10.1007/s41918-018-0022-z</u>
- Heenan, T., et al. Identifying the Origins of Microstructural Defects Such as Cracking within Ni-Rich NMC811 Cathode Particles for Lithium-Ion Batteries. Advanced Energy Materials. Volume 10, Issue 47, 2002655 (2020). https://doi.org/10.1002/aenm.202002655
- 20. Finegan, D., et al. Spatially Resolving Lithiation in Silicon–Graphite Composite Electrodes via in Situ High-Energy X-ray Diffraction Computed Tomography. Nano Lett., 19, 6, 3811–3820 (2019).
 https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.nanolett.9b00955
- Tranter, T., et al. Communication—Prediction of Thermal Issues for Larger Format 4680 Cylindrical Cells and Their Mitigation with Enhanced Current Collection. J. Electrochem. Soc. 167 160544 (2020). https://doi.org/10.1149/1945-7111/abd44f
- 334 22. Offer, G., et al. Cool metric for lithium-ion batteries could spur progress. Nature 582, 485 335 487 (2020).
 336 https://doi.org/10.1038/d41586-020-01813-8

337 Figure legends

338

Fig 1. Spatiotemporal temperature mapping within 18650s without electrochemical operation. a,b, Laboratory X-ray CT (a) volume render and (b) ortho-slice of the NMC cell displaying the steel casing and internal jellyroll assembly. **c,** Internal temperatures within the NMC cell during cooling immediately after removal from the oven at 80 °C. **d,e,** A similar ortho-slice as shown in the laboratory CT (a) but instead representing the internal cell temperature obtained from synchrotron XRD-CT for (d) the NMC cell removed at 80 °C and (e) the LFP cell removed at 120 °C. Note that ambient temperature was assumed to be a consistent 20 °C.

346Fig. 2In situ internal temperature maps via XRD-CT. a, The high rate discharge currents for a347commercial 18650 with measurement of the peak temperature at the point of open circuit (stars). b,c, Internal348temperatures for 8 zones divided as (b) radial and (c) azimuthal zones. All error bars are statistical, precision error349is ca. \pm 3 °C throughout. Note that ambient temperature was assumed to be a consistent 20 °C.

350

Fig. 3 In situ internal temperature maxima via XRD-CT. a,b The internal temperature maxima within the commercial (a) NMC and (b) LFP cells immediately after discharge at various C-rates. c, The max internal temperature correlated with discharge current for two NMC cells and the LFP cell, obtained at the start of open-circuit/end of discharge, obtained either via XRD-CT or MMC-XRD. Note that ambient temperature was assumed to be a consistent 20 °C.

Fig. 4 *Operando* internal temperatures via MCC-XRD. a,b, The temperature during (a) charge and (b) discharge for the four cycles. c,d, The stress during (c) charge and (d) discharge for the four cycles. e,f, Assessing the relationship between the SoC (capacity) and the stress for the four (e) charge cycles all at 1C and (f) discharge cycles at various C-rates. Note that ambient temperature was assumed to be a consistent 20 °C.

- 361
- 362

363 Methods

364

18650 Li-ion cells. The commercial cell testing was performed on 3.5 Ah nominal capacity LG Chem
 INR-18650-MJ1 cells (Nkon, Netherlands). These cells are assembled using nickel-rich
 (LiNi_{0.8}Mn_{0.1}Co_{0.1}O₂) cathodes and composite graphite-silicon anodes. Where stated, a comparison cell
 was also analysed: the 1.5 Ah nominal capacity ACL9011, composed of a lithium iron phosphate (LFP)
 cathode and graphite anode.

370

Laboratory X-ray CT. The lab X-ray CT data was collected using a Nikon XT H225 (Nikon Metrology, Inc. U.S.A.) by rotating the sample through 2,278 angular projections and exposing the sample at each increment to a polychromatic X-ray beam of characteristic peak energy of 58 keV (W-K α), each with an exposure time of 1 s; with an isotropic 36 µm reconstructed voxel length. This data has been published openly elsewhere and is free to download²³. All data was reconstructed using commercial software employing cone-beam filtered-back-projection (FBP) algorithms ("CT Pro 3D," Nikon Metrology, Inc. U.S.A.).

378

Laboratory data visualisation. All lab data was visualised using Avizo Fire software (Avizo, Thermo
 Fisher Scientific, Waltham, Massachusetts, U.S.A.). Volume renders and ortho-slice cross-sections
 were generated based upon the raw, unprocessed greyscale data within the tomogram.

Synchrotron. All synchrotron experiments were performed at the ID15A beamline²⁴ at the ESRF – The
European Synchrotron, Grenoble, France. During the XRD-CT measurements the sample was
continuously rotated while being translated horizontally across the X-ray beam path in steps defined by
the horizontal beam size (Fig. S1). The MCC-XRD data was collected during translation of the sample
along either the direction parallel to the beam (x) or perpendicular (y). In both setups the scattered signal
was collected at rates of 200-250 Hz using a Pilatus 3X CdTe 2M detector (Dectris, Baden-Dättwil,
Switzerland).

391 Multi-Channel Collimator (MCC). The MCC used in this work consists of two concentric sets of slits 392 radially aligned around the centre of rotation of the diffractometer. The two sets of slits consist of 393 tungsten carbide blades supported by a stainless-steel frame. They contain 75 slits, separated by 0.8°, with a distance of 50 and 200 mm respectively from the sample.²⁸ Thanks to this geometry it is possible 394 395 to select the scattering signal from an internal volume in the sample (Fig S11). The gauge volume from 396 which the diffraction signal is measured is given by the intersection of the primary X-ray beam with the 397 volume seen by the detector through the MCC slits (Fig S11). The dimension of the gauge volume full-398 width-half-maximum (FWHM) along x axis (δx) is approximated by the formula as: 399

- 400 Equation 1 $\delta x = \frac{a}{\left(1 \frac{r_1}{r_2}\right)\sin 2\theta} + \frac{\delta y}{\tan 2\theta},$
- 401

402 Where, $a = 50 \ \mu m$ is the width of the inner slits; r_1 and r_2 are the inner and outer slit radii, respectively; 403 and δy is the horizontal beam size. As shown by equation 1, the gauge volume varies with the diffraction 404 angle, 2θ . The gauge volume dimensions along y and z directions correspond to the X-ray beam cross 405 section. Sample diffraction patterns without and with multichannel collimator are compared in 406 **Fig.S12**.^{28,29}

407

408

409 **Commercial 18650 cell holder.** All *in situ* and *operando* measurements were conducted using the same 410 cell set-up (**Fig. S1, S2a**) during operational charge-discharge cycling (**Fig. S2b**), allowing 411 crystallographic information to be resolved internally through various stages of operation. This holder 412 was capable of handling up to 20 A of continuous electrical current, which should encompass the 413 majority of commercial 18650 cell C-rate capabilities. Note that these experiments were limited to 10 414 A due to the potentiostat limit.

416 **Electrochemistry.** The cells were cycled using a Biologic SP300 cycler with 10 A booster (Biologic, France). The first XRD-CT experiments were conducted on an NMC cell discharged at: 0.5C (1.75 A), 417 418 1.0C (3.50 A), 1.5C (5.25 A), 2.0C (7.00 A), and 2.9C (9.96 A). The first charge was conducted at 0.5C 419 (1.75 A) in order to begin the discharge experiments, all subsequent charges were conducted at 1.0C 420 (3.5 A). After each charge or discharge step, the cell was held at OCV for 30 mins. This was repeated 421 with a second NMC cell. The second XRD-CT experiment was conducted on an LFP cell discharged 422 at: 0.5C (0.75 A), 1.0C (1.50 A), 2.5C (3.75 A), 4.0C (6.00 A), and 5.0C (7.50 A). The first charge was 423 conducted at 0.5C (0.75 A) in order to begin the discharge experiences, all subsequent charges were 424 conducted at 1.0C (1.5 A). After each charge or discharge step, the cell was held at OCV for 30 mins. 425 The MCC-XRD experiment was conducted on an NMC cell discharged at: 1.0C (3.50 A), 1.5C (5.25 426 A), 2.0C (7.00 A), and 2.9C (9.96 A). The first charge was conducted at 0.5C (1.75 A) in order to begin 427 the discharge experiences, all subsequent charges were conducted at 1.0C (3.5 A). After each charge or 428 discharge step, the cell was held at OCV for 30 mins. 429

- 430 *Ex situ* temperatures. The *ex situ* oven-heating experiments were performed using ultra-fast XRD-CT 431 with an incident beam energy of 95 keV and a 200 x 200 μ m² beam size. The detector-sample distance 432 was set to 1000 mm. XRD-CT scans were performed every ~170 seconds . After keeping the LFP cell 433 at 120 °C for three hours, XRD-CT data was collected over the course of 1.25 hours while the cell was 434 cooling to room temperature with no electrochemistry applied. The same measurements were performed 435 every ~255 seconds on an NMC811 cell, during cooling in air, after holding at 80 °C for three hours. 436
- *In situ* temperature measurements. The *in situ* OCV cooling experiments were performed using ultrafast XRD-CT while charging and discharging the cell at different rates. The experiments were conducted using an X-ray beam of 100 keV and a 200 x 200 μ m² size, XRDCT scans were performed every 3 minutes. The detector-sample distance was set to 1275 mm for NMC cells, and 1770 mm for LFP cells. XRD-CT datasets were collected continuously during charge/discharge for the entire electrochemical cycle on each cell. The cell mounted in the battery holder was connected to the Biologic SP300 potentiostat.
- 444

445 *Operando* temperature measurement. The *operando* experiments were performed using MCC-XRD. 446 These experiments employed an incident beam energy of 95 keV and a 200×25 (VxH) μ m² beam size 447 to attain a narrow gauge-volume. The cell, mounted in the battery holder, was connected to the Biologic 448 SP300 potentiostat. The detector-sample distance was set to 1000 mm. The sample was translated 449 alternately perpendicular and parallel to the incident beam, collecting multiple diffraction points to 450 select different Cu current collector spirals in each scan.

451

452 **XRD-CT reconstruction.** For each measured XRD-CT slice, the 2D diffraction patterns $I(2\theta,\eta)$ from 453 all rotation and translation positions were integrated over the azimuthal angle (η) using pyFAI 454 software²⁵. The azimuthal range was ±15° around the vertical scattering plane, corresponding to a 455 condition where the momentum transfer vector (q) is virtually parallel to the sample rotation axis (z, 456 see **Fig. S1b**). The resulting azimuthally integrated data was corrected for incident flux and sample 457 absorption effects and finally reconstructed through a FBP algorithm implemented in Matlab 458 (Mathworks, Cambridge, U.K.).

459

460 Temperature calculations. To calculate internal cell temperatures, position of Cu Bragg peaks were 461 calculated for each voxel in the tomograms and for each of the MCC scans. Peak centre of mass 462 calculations gave accurate *d*-spacings for the available Cu reflections in the different sample/setup 463 combinations used. To inspect internal thermal gradients, spatially resolved data were binned into eight 464 circular regions inside the battery based on their radial position between the internal and the external 465 border of the active area (Fig. 2b). Eight azimuthal regions were also defined as shown in Fig.2c. To 466 reduce the statistical error, the mean values were calculated for each region as function of time. The 467 temperature generated from the C-rate discharge applied to the cell in the 8 zones (radial and azimuthal) 468 was calculated by fitting the data of Cu d-spacing from the end of the discharge until the end of the 469 following rest based on Newton's law of cooling. Since the XRD-CT data were taken during OCV in the discharge state, it was assumed that no strains were contributing to the contraction of the Cu crystallattice during cooling. The function used for the fitting was an exponential decay:

473 Equation 2:
$$d(t) = d_0 - \Delta d \cdot e^{-\frac{t-t_0}{\tau}}$$

Where *t* is the time, t_0 the time at which the discharge finished, τ the decay time, Δd the *d*-spacing variation of a given Bragg reflection of the Cu current collector, d_0 is the *d*-spacing at time t_0 . Temperature variation ΔT inside the battery at the end of each discharge for the eight zones was calculated from the relative change in d-spacing using Equation 3. The thermal expansion coefficient of Cu was experimentally determined through temperature-dependent XRD on a fragment of the current collector extracted from a 18650 cell.

- 482 Equation 3: $\Delta T = \frac{1}{\alpha} \frac{\Delta d}{d_{\alpha}}$
- 483

472

484 **Strain calculations.** The positions of Cu Bragg peaks used for internal temperature calculations were 485 also used to calculate strain values. Likewise, the battery section was split into eight radial zones and 486 values from the voxels in each zone averaged for better statistics. Strain on Cu current collector caused 487 by the volume change due to lithiation of graphite was calculated by measuring the Cu d-spacing before 488 the charge (d_0) and the Cu d-spacing after the charge (d). The final strain after charge was calculated 489 for the eight circular zones inside the battery with the following equation: 490

491 Equation 4:
$$\varepsilon = \frac{d-d_0}{d_0}$$

- 492
- 493

494 **Rietveld refinements.** Rietveld analysis was performed on the $I(2\theta)$ patterns in the reconstructed 495 tomograms of the cells to calculate the SoC from the varying distribution of lithiated anode phases. Batch fit routines were set up in Topas $v7^{26}$ to handle the analysis of the several thousands of 496 497 diffractograms in each dataset. While the refinement strategy was unconstrained (i.e., letting structural 498 parameters vary within a suitable range), care must be applied when setting parameter limits and initial 499 values in order to ensure that the fit converges and avoids local minima. The fitted model comprised a 500 2-parameter Chebyshev polynomial background and four rhombohedral graphite-like phases 501 (delithiated graphite and lithiation stages I, II, III). Each phase had refinable lattice parameters, scale 502 factor and one peak-width parameter, whose $Tan(2\theta)$ -dependent broadening was convoluted with a 503 fixed Pseudo-Voigt contribution previously refined on the calibrant powder (NIST SRM 674b Cr₂O₃) 504 to yield the final peak shape.

505

SoC calculations. The number of moles of Li per mole of C_6 was calculated from the mass fractions of the lithiation stages determined by Rietveld analysis.²⁷ The diffraction patterns were consistent with a choice of four stages: graphite, Stage III, Stage II, and Stage I. Stage III was considered as a solid solution whose Li content varied linearly with the lattice parameter *c* between LiC₁₉ and LiC₃₀. The stoichiometries of Stage II and Stage I were LiC₁₂ and LiC₆, respectively. The lithiation state *x* in Li_xC₆ was calculated as:

512

513 Equation 5:
$$x = 6 \times \frac{\frac{\sum_{s=1}^{s} m_s f_s}{w_{Li}}}{\frac{m_g + \sum_{s=1}^{3} m_s (1-f_s)}{w_c}}$$

514

515 Here w_{Li} and w_C are the atomic weights of Li and C; m_g the mass fraction of graphite; m_s the mass

516 fraction of phase s (lithiation stages I-III); f_s the mass fraction of Li in the same phases.

518 Methods references

519	23.	Heenan, T. M.M., et al. Data for an Advanced Microstructural and Electrochemical Datasheet
520		on 18650 Li-ion Batteries with Nickel-Rich NMC811 Cathodes and Graphite-Silicon Anodes.
521		Data in Brief. Volume 32, 106033, (2020).
522		https://doi.org/10.1016/i.dib.2020.106033
523		
524	24	Vaughan G B M et al ID15A at the ESRF - a beamline for high speed operando X-ray
525	2	diffraction diffraction tomography and total scattering I Appl Cryst 27 515-528 (2020)
526		https://doi.org/10.1107/\$1600577519016813
520 527		<u>https://doi.org/10.110//310003/7519010015</u>
528	25	Achievin C. et al. The Fact Azimuthal Integration Duthon Librory, DyFAL I. Appl. Crust. 49
520	23.	Asinous, O., et al. The Fast Aziniunai integration Fython Library. FyFAI. J. Appl. Cryst. 46,
529		2,510-519,(2015).
530		https://doi.org/10.110//816005/6/15004306
531		
532	26.	Coelho, A. TOPAS and TOPAS-Academic: an optimization program integrating computer
533		algebra and crystallographic objects written in C++. J. Appl. Cryst. 51, 210-218 (2018).
534		https://doi.org/10.1107/S1600576718000183
535		
536	27.	Finegan, D., et al. Spatial dynamics of lithiation and lithium plating during high-rate
537		operation of graphite electrodes. Energy Environ. Sci., 13, 2570-2584 (2020).
538		https://doi.org/10.1039/d0ee01191f
539		
540	28.	Morad, G., et al. High efficiency multichannel collimator for structural studies of liquids and
541		low-Z materials at high pressures and temperatures Rev Sci Instrum 82 023904 (2011)
542		https://doi.org/10.1063/1.3551988
543		<u>nups//doi.org/10.1005/1.5551700</u>
543 544	20	Vacita et al. Angle dispersive diffraction measurement system for high pressure
545	29.	avpariments using a multichannel collimator. Pay, Sci. Instrum, 68 (5), (1007)
546		$\frac{1}{2}$
540		<u>nups.//doi.org/10.1005/1.1505104</u>
347		

549 Data and code availability

550

Correspondence and requests for materials should be addressed to the corresponding author: Professor
 Paul R Shearing.

553

554 Acknowledgements

555

556 This work was carried out with funding from the Faraday Institution (faraday.ac.uk; EP/S003053/1), 557 grant number FIRG001 and FIRG003. The authors would like to acknowledge the Royal Academy of 558 Engineering (CiET1718\59) for financial support. Use of the instruments was supported by 559 EP/N032888/1. The ESRF is acknowledged for provision of beamtime (ME-1577: 10.15151/ESRF-ES-560 IH-MA-171: 10.15151/ESRF-ES-532206404, IH-MA-153: 10.15151/ESRF-ES-549494442. 561 478854138). M.J acknowledges HORIBA-MIRA, UCL and EPSRC (EP/R513143/1) for a CASE 562 studentship. The authors would like to thank Mohamed Mezoura (ESRF) for lending of the MCC and 563 related equipment, and Dr. Partha Paul for beamline support. 564

565 Author contributions

566

567 TH led the investigation. TH, MM, PS conceived the experiments. TH, IM, AL, CT, SC, MM, M.J., 568 A.J, GG performed the experiments, TH, IM, AL, CT, SC, MM, M.J., A.J, XX, YY, ZZ performed the 569 data analysis. MM leads work at ESRF as the Scientist in Charge of ID15A. RJ leads work as the 570 Degradation Project Manager for the Faraday Institution. PS and DB lead all work from the 571 Electrochemical Innovation Lab (UCL) and sourced all funding to support this work. 572

573 Competing interest declaration574

575 There are no competing interests known to the authors to declare.

577 Additional information

578579 Supplementary information is available for this paper.580

581 Corresponding author

582583 Professor Paul R Shearing, <u>p.shearing@ucl.ac.uk</u>

584 585

586 Supplementary information

587

588 Mapping internal temperatures during high-rate 589 battery applications

590

591 T. M. M. Heenan^{1,2}, I. Mombrini^{1,3}, A. Llewellyn¹, C. Tan^{1,2}, S. Checchia³, M. Johnson¹, A.

592 Jnawali¹, Gaston Garbarino³, R. Jervis^{1,2}, D. J.L. Brett^{1,2}, M. Di Michiel³, P. R. Shearing^{1,2,Ψ}

^Ψ Corresponding author: Professor Paul R Shearing, <u>p.shearing@ucl.ac.uk</u>







598 Fig S1. Experimental set-up. a, A photograph of the cell holder with electrical connections as mounted 599 on the diffractometer at ID15A and an example of the X-ray diffraction rings collected from the cells. b, Example 600 diagram of the sample-detector geometry used for all experiments: the x-ray beam is directed along x and normal 601 602 to the detector plane; sample translation perpendicular to the beam goes along y; ω is the angle about the rotation axis. 603





Fig S2. Electrochemical cycling data collected using the operando cell holder. a, A schematic image of the cell holder with electrical terminals (red/black) and 18650 cell. b, Electrochemical data obtained using the 608 cell holder during characterisation at various C-rates.





Fig S3.X-ray diffraction data collected on MJ1 cell using the operando cell holder for SoC612calculations. Diffraction patterns for the 8 zones in which the battery volume was divided at initial discharged613state, final charged state. For each current applied during discharge (3.5A, 5.25A, 7.0A, 10.0A) the patterns are614shown at Li x= 0.5. It is possible to distinguish the four graphite stages and their distribution depending on the615discharge current applied.

616 Fig. S4a shows the Ewald's sphere construction and its relation to the scattering vector defined as Q =617 ks- ki, where ki denotes the incoming and ks the outgoing wave vectors. As a reference, the beam direction is on x axis and vertical rotation is on z axis. Diffraction occurs, whenever the sphere, 618 619 representing all the possible orientation of G_{hkl} reciprocal space vector, intercepts the Ewald's sphere; 620 In case of an anisotropic deformation, the sphere became an ellipsoid (Fig. S4b). When the battery 621 cycles, the components, such as the current collector, suffer of an anisotropic deformation. In this case 622 the powder diffraction rings are the result of the intersection between the Ewald's sphere and the strain 623 ellipsoid. The interception of the axial strain and the Ewald's sphere during rotation around the 624 tomographic z axis is constant. The vertical scattering component can be used to tomographic 625 reconstruct the axial strain in the sample. On the contrary, as shown in Fig. S4c the intersection of the 626 Ewald's sphere and the strain in the horizontal plane is not invariant for rotation around the tomographic 627 z axis. As a consequence, the horizontal scattering component can not be used for strain tomographic 628 reconstructions.

629



630 631

632 Fig S4. Strain components. **a**, Ewald's sphere construction and its relation to the scattering vector. **b**,

Ewald's sphere in the case of an anisotropic deformation, the sphere becomes an ellipsoid. c,Intersection of the Ewald's sphere and the strain in the horizontal plane.

636 It was found that non-vertical components displayed a complicated distribution of strain. This is 637 visualised in Fig S5a whereby the cell is examined via a horizontal cross-section (analogous to that seen in Fig. 1) at approximately half of the cell's vertical height that is divided into 8 rings as was 638 639 employed in Fig. 2 (the 1st ring at the cell wall and the 8th at the cell core). Considering the radial zone-640 to-zone variation (Fig. S5b) revealed a strain inflection point towards the cell core (around zones 6 and 641 7 in Fig. S5c). Correlating this observation to the laboratory attenuation X-ray CT revealed a 642 misalignment of the electrode layers within the jellyroll (Fig. S5d). The electrode layers appear to shift 643 vertically, most clearly near the base of the cell casing, with a distinct lack of electrode material within the strain 'inflection' zone (Fig. S5f) compared to either side of this zone where active material is visible 644 645 (Fig. S5e.g.). This is perhaps even more evident by the shift in vertical alignment when viewed 646 orthogonally (Fig. S5h). Moreover, this strain distribution appeared to be particularly severe when 647 compared to an equivalent LFP-Gr cell (Fig. S6).







Fig. S5 Resolving mechanical strain using XRD-CT. a, Semi-transparent volume render of the 18650
cell with an indicating the spatial location of the cross-section. b,c, A sub-sectioned volume render of the cell
indicating (b) the location and (c) the magnitude of the inflection point feature. d,e,f,g, A horizontal greyscale
ortho-slice cross-section taken near the cell base as indicated in (a), with reference to three magnified regions (e)
before, (f) during and (g) after the inflection. h, Another greyscale ortho-slice taken but in the orthogonal (vertical)
plane.

- 657 658
- 659





Fig S6. Vertical strain components after charge of 18650 cells. The vertical strain component calculated 664 on the two 18650 cells (NMC and LFP) from the Cu d-spacing difference before and at the end of repeated charges 665 at 1C rate after 1h relaxation. Multiple 1C charges were compared to understand the influence of successive 666 cycles. The cell volume was again divided in 8 circular zones to understand the strain variation distribution inside 667 the battery. a, shows strain on Cu current collector d-spacing at the end of 1C charges as a function of 8 circular 668 zones inside an NMC cell. b, shows strain on Cu current collector d-spacing at the end of 1C charges as a function 669 of 8 circular zones inside an LFP cell. Notice the strain caused by volume change during graphite silica composite 670 anode lithiation is some orders higher than LFP cell graphite lithiation. 671

- 673 Fig. S7 displays the NMC811 vs. Gr-SiO_i cell which has a standard discharge rate of 0.2C and maximum discharge rate limited to 10 A (> 2C). We were therefore testing the full range of the cell's 674 specified rate limits. The cell capacity exceeded the manufacturer's specification (>3.4 Ah) until 2C, 675 676 after which a small decline in accessible discharge capacities was observed (ca. 3.2 Ah). Within 677 commercial cells, the anode is often oversized to avoid capacity loss via a loss of lithium inventory. It 678 is therefore common to not fully delithiate the anode during discharge. However, the degree of 679 delithiation would be expected to be relatively uniform throughout the cell, XRD analysis revealed 680 information contrary to this (Fig. S8).
- 681

682 Non-uniform strain distributions are important when considering SoC disparities; although there were 683 subtle indications of charge balancing (see Fig. S8), the bulk SoC appeared homogenous when quantified during operation (Fig. S9). However, by dividing the cell into two sections: outside (Fig. 684 685 S7a) and inside (Fig. S7b) of the strain inflection, a spatial distribution of the SoC showed a distinct 686 correlation (Fig. S7c). Exploring this further with the inclusion of a range of discharge C-rates revealed 687 a consistent disparity in SoC either side of the strain inflection most notably at the top (Fig. S7d,f,h,j) and bottom (Fig. S7e,g,i,k) of charge. Error bars indicate variation within the two radial zones. 688 689 Curiously, C-rate does not appear to have an impact on the degree of SoC disparity between the two 690 zones although, as would be expected due to the elevated overpotentials at higher C-rates, the extent of 691 delithiation upon discharge decreases with increasing discharge rate. Comparing the impact of 692 discharge rate and the disparity between the two radial zones: after discharge, the SoC within internal 693 radial zone at 0.5C is comparable to the SoC within the external zone at 1.0C; the same conclusion can 694 be drawn between 1.0C and 2.0C. Hence, the extent of anode delithiation during discharge appears to 695 be impacted as severely by this strain inflection as doubling the cell C-rate, which is a substantial 696 observation.

697

698 Resolving strain is important for the MCC experiments because the focal point of the data acquisition 699 should be collected in a region with known strain values e.g., the 'strain-free' inflection point in this 700 work (**Fig. S5c**). And although not the focus of this article, future work could explore the distribution 701 of strain and the impact of accessible capacity within various 18650 cells using this method.

702

703

704



709Fig. S7Resolving SoC using XRD-CT. a,b,c, Cross-sections of the cell as schematics of the (a) the710outer and (b) the inner zones, and (c) an XRD-CT heatmap of the local SoC for qualitative purposes. d-k, The711extent of lithiation by quantifying the x in LixC6 for the inner (light gold) and outter (dark gold) regions at the712(d,g,h,j) top and (e,g,i,k) bottom of charge for C-rates of (d,e) 0.5C, (f,g) 1.0C, (h,i) 1.5C and (j,k) 2.0C.



Degree of lithiation within the MJ1 18650 anode at the bottom of 1C discharge. Note: only

715 716 Fig S8.

717 a section of data is reported here displaying the lithiation states within the eight zones immediately after the 1C discharge.

718 719

b d С а е 5 0.5C Current / A 0 0.5C -5 1.0C C-Rate 1.5C 2.0C -10 1.0 Discharge x in $\text{Li}_x \text{C}_6$ / -Charos hischarge ischarge 0.5 Zor Anode Zone 2 Γ Zone 3 Gr-SiO Zone 4 0.0 Zone 5 Zone 6 Zone 7 NMC unit cell volume / $\ensuremath{\ensuremath{A}}\xspace_3$ 102 Cathode Usio Usio Zone 8 NMC811 charge 100 harde 98 96 94 16.0 -17.0 -10.0 11.0 -12.0 -18.0 23.2 -1.0 2.0 3.0 4.0 6.0 7.0 5.0 22.2 22.7 Time / hrs

Fig 9. SoC quantifications within the MJ1 18650 at high-rates. The electrical current (top), anode lithiation (middle) and cathode unit cell expansion (bottom) for the first charge (a) and four subsequent discharges (d-e) at increasing C-rates.



Fig S10. Comparing internal temperatures within the two 18650 cells. A repeat of the data presented in Fig. 2b obtained from the NMC cell, with comparison to the LFP cell. Radial zones are shown. All temperature values are reported as the temperature difference between a point in the cell and the ambient temperature.



731 732 **Fig S11.** Top, schematic and working principle of the multi channel collimator (MCC) used for 733 operando experiments adapted from [28,29]. The expression for the length of the gauge volume, δx , is 734 given as a function of inner and outer slit radii (r_1, r_2) and scattering angle (2 θ). Bottom, detail of the 735 gauge volume indicated in the top panel, along with the expressions for gauge volume dimensions in 736 the case of a primary beam of width δy ; r₁, r₂, and 2 θ have the same meaning as in the panel above. 737





Fig S12. Comparison of MJ1 diffraction patterns obtained without the MCC (black), and with
the MCC (red). Inset: full range of the MJ1 diffraction patterns with and without MCC, along with
the calculated intensity from the gauge volume selected with the MCC.