

Preprints are preliminary reports that have not undergone peer review. They should not be considered conclusive, used to inform clinical practice, or referenced by the media as validated information.

# Characterisation of SARS-CoV-2 genomic variations in response to molnupiravir treatment in the AGILE Phase IIa clinical trial.

#### Thomas Fletcher ( tom.fletcher@lstmed.ac.uk )

Liverpool School of Tropical Medicine

#### l'ah Donovan-Banfield

Department of Infection Biology and Microbiomes, Institute of Infection, Veterinary and Ecological Sciences, University of Liverpool https://orcid.org/0000-0002-5124-2427

#### **Rebekah Penrice-Randal**

Department of Infection Biology and Microbiomes, Institute of Infection, Veterinary and Ecological Sciences, University of Liverpool

#### Hannah Goldswain

Department of Infection Biology and Microbiomes, Institute of Infection, Veterinary and Ecological Sciences, University of Liverpool https://orcid.org/0000-0003-4194-8714

#### Aleksandra Rzeszutek

Department of Evolution, Ecology and Behaviour, Institute of Infection, Veterinary and Ecological Sciences, University of Liverpool

#### **Jack Pilgrim**

Department of Evolution, Ecology and Behaviour, Institute of Infection, Veterinary and Ecological Sciences, University of Liverpool

#### Katie Bullock

GCPLab Facility, Institute of Systems, Molecular and Integrative Biology, University of Liverpool

#### **Geoffrey Saunders**

Southampton Clinical Trials Unit, University of Southampton

#### Josh Northey

Southampton Clinical Trials Unit, University of Southampton

#### Xiaofeng Dong

Department of Infection Biology and Microbiomes, Institute of Infection, Veterinary and Ecological Sciences, University of Liverpool

#### Yan Ryan

Department of Infection Biology and Microbiomes, Institute of Infection, Veterinary and Ecological Sciences, University of Liverpool

#### **Helen Reynolds**

6Department of Molecular and Clinical Pharmacology, Institute of Translational Medicine, University of Liverpool

### **Michelle Tetlow**

6Department of Molecular and Clinical Pharmacology, Institute of Translational Medicine, University of Liverpool

### Lauren Walker

NIHR Royal Liverpool and Broadgreen Clinical Research Facility,

### **Richard FitzGerald**

NIHR Royal Liverpool and Broadgreen Clinical Research Facility

# **Colin Hale**

NIHR Royal Liverpool and Broadgreen Clinical Research Facility

# Rebecca Lyon

NIHR Royal Liverpool and Broadgreen Clinical Research Facility

# **Christie Woods**

NIHR Royal Liverpool and Broadgreen Clinical Research Facility

# Shazaad Ahmad

NIHR Manchester Clinical Research Facility, Manchester University NHS Foundation Trust

### Dennis Hadjiyiannakis

NIHR Lancashire Clinical Research Facility, Lancashire Teaching Hospitals NHS Foundation Trust

### Jimstan Periselneris

NIHR Kings Clinical Research Facility, King's College Hospital NHS Foundation Trust

#### Emma Knox

Southampton Clinical Trials Unit, University of Southampton

# **Calley Middleton**

Southampton Clinical Trials Unit, University of Southampton

# Lara Lavelle-Langham

GCPLab Facility, Institute of Systems, Molecular and Integrative Biology, University of Liverpool

# Victoria Shaw

GCPLab Facility, Institute of Systems, Molecular and Integrative Biology, University of Liverpool

# William Greenhalf

Royal Liverpool University Hospital

# Thomas Edwards

Centre for Drugs and Diagnostics, Liverpool School of Tropical Medicine

# **David Lalloo**

Liverpool School of Tropical Medicine

# **Christopher Edwards**

NIHR Southampton Clinical Research Facility

# Alistair Darby

Department of Infection Biology and Microbiomes, Institute of Infection, Veterinary and Ecological Sciences, University of Liverpool

#### Miles Carroll

Oxford University

### Gareth Griffiths

Southampton Clinical Trials Unit, University of Southampton

# Saye Khoo

Department of Molecular and Clinical Pharmacology, Institute of Translational Medicine, University of Liverpool

### Julian Hiscox

University of Liverpool

# **Brief Communication**

Keywords:

Posted Date: July 18th, 2022

### DOI: https://doi.org/10.21203/rs.3.rs-1835695/v1

License: (a) This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License. Read Full License

Additional Declarations: There is NO Competing Interest.

**Version of Record:** A version of this preprint was published at Nature Communications on November 26th, 2022. See the published version at https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-022-34839-9.

Characterisation of SARS-CoV-2 genomic variations in response to molnupiravir treatment
 in the AGILE Phase IIa clinical trial.

l'ah Donovan-Banfield<sup>1,2</sup>, Rebekah Penrice-Randal<sup>1</sup>, Hannah Goldswain<sup>1</sup>, Aleksandra M. 3 Rzeszutek<sup>3</sup>, Jack Pilgrim<sup>3</sup>, Katie Bullock<sup>4</sup>, Geoffrey Saunders<sup>5</sup>, Josh Northey<sup>5</sup>, Xiaofeng Dong<sup>1</sup>, 4 Yan Ryan<sup>1</sup>, Helen Reynolds<sup>6</sup>, Michelle Tetlow<sup>6</sup>, Lauren E. Walker<sup>6,7</sup>, Richard FitzGerald<sup>6,7</sup>, Colin 5 Hale<sup>7</sup>, Rebecca Lyon<sup>7</sup>, Christie Woods<sup>7</sup>, Shazaad Ahmed<sup>8</sup>, Dennis Hadjiyiannakis<sup>9</sup>, Jimstan 6 Periselneris<sup>10</sup>, Emma Knox<sup>5</sup>, Calley Middleton<sup>5</sup>, Lara Lavelle-Langham<sup>4</sup>, Victoria Shaw<sup>4,11</sup>, 7 William Greenhalf<sup>4</sup>, Thomas Edwards<sup>12</sup>, David G. Lalloo<sup>13</sup>, Christopher J. Edwards<sup>14,15</sup>, Alistair 8 C. Darby<sup>1,16</sup>, Miles W. Carroll<sup>2,17</sup>, Gareth Griffiths<sup>5</sup>, Saye Khoo<sup>6</sup>, Julian A. Hiscox<sup>\*1,2,18</sup>, Thomas 9 Fletcher<sup>\*2,12</sup>. 10

- <sup>1</sup>Department of Infection Biology and Microbiomes, Institute of Infection, Veterinary and
   Ecological Sciences, University of Liverpool, UK.
- <sup>13</sup> <sup>2</sup>NIHR Health Protection Research Unit in Emerging and Zoonotic Infections, Liverpool, UK.
- <sup>3</sup>Department of Evolution, Ecology and Behaviour, Institute of Infection, Veterinary and
   Ecological Sciences, University of Liverpool, UK.
- <sup>4</sup>GCPLab Facility, Institute of Systems, Molecular and Integrative Biology, University of
   Liverpool, UK.
- <sup>18</sup> <sup>5</sup>Southampton Clinical Trials Unit, University of Southampton, UK
- <sup>19</sup> <sup>6</sup>Department of Molecular and Clinical Pharmacology, Institute of Translational Medicine,
- 20 University of Liverpool, UK
- <sup>21</sup> <sup>7</sup>NIHR Royal Liverpool and Broadgreen Clinical Research Facility, Liverpool, UK
- <sup>8</sup>NIHR Manchester Clinical Research Facility, Manchester University NHS Foundation Trust,
   Manchester, UK
- <sup>9</sup>NIHR Lancashire Clinical Research Facility, Lancashire Teaching Hospitals NHS Foundation
   Trust, Preston, UK
- <sup>10</sup>NIHR Kings Clinical Research Facility, King's College Hospital NHS Foundation Trust, London,
- 27 UK
- <sup>11</sup>The Clinical Directorate, University of Liverpool, UK
  - 1

- 29 <sup>12</sup>Centre for Drugs and Diagnostics, Liverpool School of Tropical Medicine, Liverpool, UK
- 30 <sup>13</sup>Liverpool School of Tropical Medicine, Liverpool, UK
- <sup>14</sup>Human Development and Health School, University of Southampton, Southampton, UK
- 32 <sup>15</sup>NIHR Southampton Clinical Research Facility, University Hospital Southampton NHS
- 33 Foundation Trust, Southampton, UK
- <sup>16</sup> NIHR Health Protection Research Unit in Gastrointestinal Infections, Liverpool, UK.
- <sup>17</sup>Wellcome Centre for Human Genetics, Nuffield Department of Medicine, University of
   Oxford, UK
- <sup>18</sup>A\*STAR Infectious Diseases Laboratories (A\*STAR ID Labs), Agency for Science, Technology
- and Research (A\*STAR), Singapore.
- 39 \*Corresponding authors.
- 40
- 41 <u>Word count</u>
- 42 Abstract 124 words
- 43 Main 1923 words

#### 44 Abstract

45 Molnupiravir is an antiviral approved for treating COVID-19, which is thought to drive lethal 46 error catastrophe. How this drug-induced mechanism of action impacts the emergence of resistance mutations is unclear. AGILE Candidate Specific Trial (CST)-2 is a phase IIa trial 47 randomising 180 adult outpatients with SARS-COV-2 infection within five days of symptom 48 onset to molnupiravir or placebo, with rich serial sampling of nasopharyngeal swabs over 29 49 days. Viral sequences, that passed genome quality control criteria, from subjects who 50 51 received molnupiravir (n=59) or a placebo (n=65) were analysed by high-throughput amplicon sequencing. We found evidence that molnupiravir significantly increased the 52 transition/transversion frequency in SARS-CoV-2 in patients, a hallmark of molnupiravir 53 treatment. Over the course of treatment, no consistent, accumulated mutations were 54 identified in either arm. 55

#### 57 Main

The roll-out of oral, directly acting antivirals (DAAs) to treat SARS-CoV-2 needs to be accompanied by careful monitoring for development of treatment-emergent resistance mutations in current and future circulating variants, as this may limit the public health impact of therapy. DAAs are small molecules which target key stages of the SARS-CoV-2 life cycle. As with HIV, their genetic barrier to resistance will likely differ between drugs, according to their mechanism of action. The activity of DAAs is expected to be less impacted by different SARS-CoV-2 variants compared with monoclonal antibodies, however clinical data are lacking.

Three small molecule DAAs have received early use authorisation for treating COVID-19: 65 66 remdesivir, molnupiravir (both nucleoside analogues which target viral nucleic acid synthesis) and nirmatrelvir (which targets the main viral protease). Unlike remdesivir, molnupiravir is 67 orally administered and thus more readily deployed for treatment in the community. Both 68 remdesivir and molnupiravir are prodrugs, with their active triphosphate metabolites 69 70 incorporated by the RNA-dependent RNA-polymerase (RdRp) (NSP12) which is the catalytic 71 core of the replication complex for viral RNA synthesis<sup>1,2</sup>. This encompasses two major processes: 1) replication of the genome involving synthesis of a negative strand template for 72 direct copying of new genomes and 2) discontinuous transcription of sub-genomic messenger 73 RNAs (sgmRNAs). Directly inhibiting the function of the proteins involved in viral RNA 74 75 synthesis or interfering with RNA synthesis itself will reduce viral replication and ultimately 76 viral load.

Molnupiravir has a different mechanism of action to remdesivir<sup>2,3</sup>. In human airway cultures and mouse models of disease, molnupiravir inhibits SARS-CoV-2 RNA synthesis by inducing G  $\rightarrow$  A and C  $\rightarrow$  U transition mutations, causing lethal mutagenesis<sup>4</sup>. The MOVe-OUT phase III

double-blinded clinical trial reported that early treatment with molnupiravir reduced the risk
of hospitalisation or death in at-risk, unvaccinated adults with COVID-19<sup>5</sup>.

82 AGILE is the UK early-phase trial platform for COVID-19 antivirals<sup>6</sup> conducted by the Southampton Clinical Trials Unit, University of Liverpool, Liverpool School of Tropical 83 84 Medicine, the NIHR Royal Liverpool and Broadgreen Clinical Research Facility (CRF) and the 85 CRF network. Following the establishment of a recommended phase II dose<sup>7</sup> the AGILE CST-2 phase II randomised 180 adult outpatients with confirmed SARS-CoV-2 infection within five 86 87 days of symptom onset to receive molnupiravir (800 mg twice daily for 5 days) or placebo 88 (clinical trial number: NCT04746183). Here, we sequenced serial nasopharyngeal samples from those subjects to characterise drug-induced viral adaptation (Figure 1a(i)). An amplicon-89 based deep sequencing approach was used to determine the SARS-CoV-2 genome to high 90 91 sequence read depth such that both lineage assignment and minor genomic variant 92 information could be generated to enable identification of the mechanism of action (Figure 93 1a(ii), Extended Data Table 1). Patients were included in the minor variant analysis if all three of their samples met the following criteria: 1) the consensus genome had a minimum 90% 94 consensus called and 2) 90% of genome positions had a minimum coverage of 200X. Using 95 96 these criteria, longitudinal samples from 65 patients receiving placebo and 59 patients 97 treated with molnupiravir were identified for SARS-CoV-2 genomic analysis.

Molnupiravir was predicted to increase the number of mutations in the genome of SARS-CoV-2 (Figure 1b) and that this would manifest as an increase in the transition/transversion (Ts/Tv) ratio<sup>8</sup>. The sequencing data indicated that transition mutations were significantly increased in viral RNA from molnupiravir treated patients at Day 3 or Day 5 compared to patients given a placebo (Figure 1c). The frequency of C  $\rightarrow$  U mutations were higher than those for G  $\rightarrow$  A

103 (Figure 1d). U  $\rightarrow$  C mutations were also significantly increased. All other base changes showed 104 no increase over time in either group (Supplemental Figure 1).

105 The implications of greater viral diversity in response to molnupiravir treatment are currently 106 unknown, but it could potentially influence the genetic barrier to resistance. To address this, 107 SARS-CoV-2 sequence was translated in silico at both the dominant and minor variant genome 108 level and treatment-emergent mutations were analysed to assess preferential enrichment of mutations (i.e., is there a greater chance of mutations arising during treatment and then 109 persisting in these regions thereafter). Given the mechanism of action of molnupiravir, the 110 111 two most obvious genes under selection pressure would be *nsp12* (the RNA dependent RNA 112 polymerase; RdRp) and *nsp14* (the exonuclease). Incorporation of molnupiravir in the nascent template would likely affect either NSP12 or NSP14, potentially triggering stalling or back-113 tracking for excision by the exonuclease. Two previous studies on the incorporation of 114 molnupiravir into the nascent template found that molnupiravir did not cause polymerase 115 stalling, but one of the studies demonstrated that molnupiravir was capable of inducing chain 116 117 termination<sup>1,3</sup>. If chain termination occurred, this may have placed selection pressure on both 118 the RdRp and the exonuclease to be able to counter the effects of molnupiravir. In our study, the data indicated that there was no change in the predicted amino acid sequence of NSP12 119 120 and NSP14 at the dominant genome level over the first five days of molnupiravir treatment (Figure 2b and c). 121

Reflecting the change in the Ts/Tv ratio, the diversity of the predicted amino acid sequence increased over the course of infection in both treatment groups. The spread of diversity was reflected across the genome, with a slight bias towards the 3' end. More diversity observed in the Day 5 samples from the molnupiravir-treated group compared to the placebo group

(Figure 2 - with data from patients infected with Delta variant of concern (VoC) viruses as an
example). A similar pattern was found in patients infected with other VoCs (Extended Data
Figure 2).

129 Curiously, two positions in NSP14 had a slightly increased diversity (199 and 202) that were 130 present in samples from both treated and placebo groups but may represent a persistent sub-131 population (Figure 2c). To understand any risks of combining molnupiravir with monoclonal antibody treatment, we also evaluated amino acid substitutions in the spike protein. Two of 132 the positions (19 and 95), which are known lineage-defining mutation sites in all Delta sub-133 134 lineages, were variable in patients from both treated and placebo control groups. However, 135 reflecting the mechanism of action of molnupiravir, this appeared to be more diverse in the 136 treated group (Figure 2d and Extended Data Figures 3-5 for other lineages).

To our knowledge, this is the first confirmation of the mechanism of molnupiravir on viral 137 138 replication in humans infected with SARS-CoV-2, following an approved dosing regimen. In the molnupiravir treated group, the Ts/Tv mutation ratio was higher than in the placebo 139 group. This corresponded with higher C  $\rightarrow$  U and G  $\rightarrow$  A mutations than other combinations. 140 141 The increase in this ratio corresponded to the length of treatment, with the greatest diversity seen on Day 5. There were no amino acid substitutions in SARS-CoV-2 that were enriched 142 143 consistently at specific sites in the molnupiravir-treated group at any of the sampled times, 144 including in the genes encoding NSP12 and NSP14. This suggests, that over the course of 145 treatment assessed in this study, no drug-induced adaptations emerged due to molnupiravir 146 treatment.

During SARS-CoV-2 infection, viral adaptation and neutral mutations occur. Treatment with
 molnupiravir aims to surmount the threshold of tolerated genetic errors, such that viral

replication is diminished, resulting in a concomitant reduction in viral load. This study 149 150 revealed the intricacies of this mechanism of action in humans. This study also highlighted the utility of minor genomic variant analysis in examining intra-host virus populations which 151 strengthens the prediction, and surveillance, of treatment-emergent adaptations. A deep-152 sequencing and bioinformatic pipeline for handling and visualising minor variant data was 153 established and can be used with other antiviral treatments for COVID-19 or similar viral 154 infections. In future, such approaches can be used by regulatory bodies and public health 155 156 officials to inform approval decisions and surveillance of resistance in the wake of large-scale administration of newly approved drugs. The data described complements the clinical 157 findings and has provided comprehensive information regarding drug effects on viral 158 genomes. 159

#### 161 References

- 162 1. Kabinger, F., *et al. Nat Struct Mol Biol* **28**, 740-746 (2021).
- 163 2. Kokic, G., *et al. Nat Commun* **12**, 279 (2021).
- 164 3. Gordon, C.J., *et al. J Biol Chem* **297**(2021).
- 165 4. Sheahan, T.P., *et al. Sci Transl Med* **12**(2020).
- 166 5. Jayk Bernal, A., *et al. N Engl J Med* **386**, 509-520 (2022).
- 167 6. Griffiths, G., *et al. Trials* **21**, 544 (2020).
- 168 7. Khoo, S.H., et al. J Antimicrob Chemother **76**, 3286-3295 (2021).
- 169 8. Agostini Maria, L., *et al. J Virol* **93**, e01348-01319 (2019).
- 170 9. Coolen, J.P.M., *et al. J Clinl Virol* **144**, 104993 (2021).
- 171 10. O'Toole, Á., *et al. Virus Evol* (2021).
- 172 11. Prince, T., *et al. mSphere* **0**, e00913-00921.





b



Figure 1: Protocol overview and the detection of the molecular signatures of molnupiravir mechanism of action. a, (i) A simplified AGILE CST-2 Phase IIa trial protocol. Molnupiravir was administered to outpatients as four oral pills (200mg each, 800mg total) every 12 hours for five days. Patients were randomised placebo to drug 1:1, with nasopharyngeal swabs taken for viral load monitoring. (ii) Sequencing protocol. RNA extracted from nasopharyngeal swabs, taken at days 1, 3 and 5 post treatment initiation, was used for amplicon library preparation using the EasySeq<sup>™</sup> RC-PCR SARS-CoV-2 WGS kit (Nimagen, Netherlands).

Resulting sequence reads were mapped to the Wuhan-Hu-1 reference (NC\_045512.2). b, 182 183 Molnupiravir mechanism of action via the RNA template leads to the accumulation of transition mutations in viral progeny. c, Average Ts/Tv ratio values per RNA sample from all 184 185 patients (placebo n = 65, green; molnupiravir n = 59, blue). SARS-CoV-2 RNA from molnupiravir (blue) patients shows a statistically significant accumulation of transition 186 mutations over time compared to placebo (green). d, The same information as in c but 187 showing the frequency of individual transition mutations  $G \rightarrow A$  and  $C \rightarrow U$ . Wilcoxon rank 188 sum test was performed in **c** and **d**; \*\*\*\* $P \le 0.0001$ , \*\*\* $P \le 0.001$ , ns = P > 0.05. RC-PCR, 189 reverse complement-polymerase chain reaction; WGS, whole genome sequencing; GCPLab, 190 good clinical practice laboratory (University of Liverpool). 191



Figure 2: Predicted amino acid variations derived from SARS-CoV-2 RNA in the whole 194 genome, NSP12, NSP14 and Spike sequences. a, Predicted amino acid variation derived from 195 RNA sequence information across the whole genome in all Delta infected patients (n=52). 196 Each sample is assigned a predicted "Top", "2<sup>nd</sup>" and "3<sup>rd</sup>" amino acid based on proportion of 197 reads at every genome position. Minimum read depth = 200. Minor genomic variants (>0.1 198 and <0.5; grey dashed lines) increase in frequency over time, with viral RNA from molnupiravir 199 treated patients showing more diversity. **b**, NSP12 showed very little minor genomic variation 200 201 over the five days. c, NSP14 also showed minor genomic stability, but had sites of low-level 202 minor variation at 199 and 202 (indicated with black arrows) that was present in all samples

- tested and may represent a persistent sub-population. **d**, Spike had two sites with an amino
- acid mixed population at 19 and 95 (indicated with red arrows) in all Delta samples analysed.
- 205 These are known VOC sites in all the Delta sub-lineages.

#### 206 Extended Data

Lineage	Placebo	Molnupiravir
	Total (passed)	Total (passed)
B.1.1.7 (Alpha)	20 (14)	17 (11)
B.1.1.1	1 (1)	0 (0)
B.1.177 (EU1)	13 (10)	15 (8)
Delta (all)	35 (24)	37 (28)
B.1.617.2	2 (0)	2 (2)
AY.120	1 (1)	0 (0)
AY.33	0 (0)	1 (1)
AY.4	28 (21)	30 (22)
AY.43	0 (0)	1 (1)
AY.4.2	2 (1)	2 (2)
AY.4.2.1	1 (1)	0 (0)
AY.98	1 (0)	1 (1)
Omicron (all)	19 (16)	20 (12)
BA.1	12 (9)	15 (11)
BA.2	6 (6)	5 (1)
XE	1 (1)	0 (0)
Failed to assign	2 (0)	1 (0)
Trial total	90 (65)	90 (59)

207

Table 1: Lineage assignment of SARS-CoV-2 from patients enrolled in the AGILE CST-2 phase 208 Ila molnupiravir clinical trial. Viral RNA from nasopharyngeal swabs obtained from patients 209 enrolled in the phase IIa clinical trial was sequenced as described in Methods. The consensus 210 SARS-CoV-2 genome for each sample, assembled after mapping to the Wuhan-Hu-1 reference 211 genome, was used to assign the lineage of SARS-CoV-2 that each patient was infected with 212 upon entering the trial, using the software tool, Pangolin (version 4.0.6). Only patients that 213 passed criteria of all samples (Days 1, 3 and 5) with a minimum 90% genome coverage were 214 215 included in downstream analyses - numbers indicated in brackets for each (sub-)lineage. 216 Lineages that only had one patient or an uneven balance of placebo:drug were excluded from 217 the analysis.



218

Treatment group 🖨 placebo 🛱 molnupiravir

**S1: All base changes over time.** The mean frequency of all possible base change combinations was calculated per sample, with data grouped by treatment (placebo n = 65, green; molnupiravir n = 59, blue) and day of swab sample. Wilcoxon rank sum test was performed, to calculate the statistical significance of the mean difference in bases change frequency between treatment groups on each sample day. Of the twelve possible base changes, only 'G to A', 'C to U' and 'U to C' showed statistically different mean frequencies between groups at Days 3 and 5. \*\*\*\* $P \le 0.0001$ , \*\*\* $P \le 0.001$ , \*\* $P \le 0.01$ , ns = P > 0.05.



S2: Predicted amino acid variations derived from SARS-CoV-2 RNA in the whole genome of 227 alpha, B.1.177/EU1 and BA.1/Omicron lineages. Predicted amino acid variation derived from 228 229 RNA sequence information across the whole genome in all a, alpha (placebo n=14, 230 molnupiravir n=11); **b**, B.1.177/EU1 (placebo n=10, molnupiravir=8); and **c**, BA.1/Omicron (placebo n=9, molnupiravir=11) infected patients. Each sample is assigned a predicted "Top", 231 "2<sup>nd</sup>" and "3<sup>rd</sup>" amino acid based on proportion of reads at every genome position. Minimum 232 read depth = 200. Minor genomic variants (>0.1 and <0.5; grey dashed lines) increase in 233 frequency over time, with viral RNA from molnupiravir treated patients showing more 234 235 diversity.





238 S3. Alpha - predicted amino acid variations in Nsp12, Nsp14 and Spike proteins.









244 S5. BA.1/Omicron - predicted amino acid variations in Nsp12, Nsp14 and Spike proteins.



#### 247 S6: Computational workflow used to generate SARS-CoV-2 genomic data, assign PANGO

248 lineage and analyse minor genomic variants.

#### 250 Methods

#### 251 Sample Collection

252 AGILE is a randomised multi-arm, multi-dose, phase I/IIa platform in the UK using a seamless Bayesian 253 adaptive design to determine the safety, activity, and optimal dose of multiple SARS-CoV-2 candidate 254 therapeutics<sup>6</sup>. This trial evaluated molnupiravir (EIDD-2801/MK-4482), for the treatment of COVID-19 255 in a seamless phase I/II trial (clinicaltrials.gov registration number NCT04746183). Eligible participants 256 were men and women aged ≥18 years with PCR-confirmed SARS-CoV-2 infection who were within five 257 days of symptom onset, free of uncontrolled chronic conditions, and ambulant in the community with 258 mild or moderate disease. Nasopharyngeal swabs were obtained from patients on days 1, 3, 5, 8, 11, 15, 22 and 29. 259

#### 260 RNA Extraction, Amplicon Library Preparation, and Illumina Sequencing

RNA was extracted from the nasopharyngeal swabs by the GCP Laboratory Facility at the 261 262 University of Liverpool using a Maxwell® RSC instrument, an automated nucleic acid 263 extraction instrument (Promega, USA). Aliquots of surplus RNA were provided for sequencing analysis. Briefly, library preparation consisted of converting RNA to cDNA using LunaScript™ 264 (Thermofisher, Waltham, Massachusetts), then amplified by reverse complement (RC)-PCR 265 266 amplification (EasySeq<sup>™</sup> SARS-CoV-2 Whole Genome Sequencing kit, NimaGen, Nijmegen, The Netherlands)<sup>9</sup>. This kit barcodes and ligates Illumina adapters in a single PCR reaction, 267 268 with two separate pools of primers (pools 1 and 2). After amplification, primer pools 1 and 2 for each amplified sample were mixed 1:1 before being cleaned with Beckman Coulter™ 269 Agencourt AmpureXP beads (Fisher Scientific, Hampton, New Hampshire), guantified and the 270 library quality assessed on an Agilent 2100 Bioanalyzer (Agilent, Santa Clara, California). All 271 272 purified samples were then pooled together and denatured. Finally, the denatured amplicon 273 library was loaded into the NovaSeq cartridge ( $2 \times 150$  bp run) before loading on the NovaSeq 274 6000 machine. The sequencing was conducted in two separate sequencing runs, one for the 275 first 120 patients' swab samples, and a second for the final 60 patients' swab samples.

276 In silico processing

277 The raw sequencing data was processed using two different pipelines (summarised in Supplemental Figure 6). The first method, EasySeq covid19 (version 0.9, code available at 278 https://github.com/JordyCoolen/easyseg\_covid19), performs quality control steps, maps to 279 the reference genome (Wuhan-Hu-1; NC045512.2), variant calls and generates a consensus 280 genome for each sample<sup>9</sup>. Pangolin (version 4.0.6) was used to assign virus lineage<sup>10</sup>. The 281 second method, DiversiTools (code available 282 at 283 https://github.com/josephhughes/DiversiTools), uses the alignment file (produced in the 284 EasySeq pipeline) to analyse the minor genomic variation and predicts the amino acid sequence based on the genomic data. DiversiTools allows an in-depth analysis of viral 285 diversity in each sample, rather than just the consensus/dominant genomic information, as 286 287 previously described<sup>11</sup>. Data visualisation was conducted in R (version 4.0.2). Wilcoxon rank sum tests were used to determine differences between treatment groups at each time point, 288 289 using the Rstatix package (version 0.7.0). Schematic figures 1a, 1b and S6 made using 290 Biorender.com.

#### 291 Data availability

All raw data used in the analysis have been deposited to the National Center for Biotechnology Information (NCBI) Short Read Archive (SRA) (Project Accession Number PRJNA854613) and will be made publicly available upon publication.

#### 295 Code availability

All custom code used in this study will be made available in a public repository upon publication.

298 Funding

This work was funded by a US Food and Drug Administration (FDA) Medical Countermeasures 299 300 Initiative contract (75F40120C00085) awarded to JAH. The article reflects the views of the 301 authors and does not represent the views or policies of the FDA. This work was also supported 302 by the MRC (MR/W005611/1) G2P-UK: A national virology consortium to address phenotypic consequences of SARS-CoV-2 genomic variation (JAH as a co-I). The AGILE platform 303 infrastructure is supported by the Medical Research Council (grant number MR/V028391/1) 304 305 and the Wellcome Trust (grant number 221590/Z/20/Z). ID-B is supported by PhD funding 306 from the National Institute for Health and Care Research (NIHR) Health Protection Research Unit (HPRU) in Emerging and Zoonotic Infections at University of Liverpool in partnership with 307 308 Public Health England (PHE) (now UKHSA), in collaboration with Liverpool School of Tropical Medicine and the University of Oxford (award 200907). The Southampton Clinical Trials Unit 309 is a UKCRC registered Clinical Trials Unit (CTU) with NIHR CTU support funding with the 310 311 collection of samples undertaken from across the NIHR UK Clinical Research Facility Network, 312 including facilities in Liverpool, Southampton, Manchester, Lancashire, and Kings College Hospital. The GCPLab facility is supported by grant funding from Cancer Research UK and the 313 NIHR through the Liverpool Experimental Cancer Medicine Centre (C18616/A25153). The 314 views expressed are those of the authors and not necessarily those of the Department of 315 Health and Social Care or NIHR. 316

#### 318 Ethics declarations

- 319 All participants provided written informed consent before enrolment. The study protocol was
- 320 reviewed and approved by the UK Medicines and Healthcare product Regulatory Agency
- 321 (MHRA) (EudraCT 2020-001860-27) and West Midlands Edgbaston Research Ethics
- 322 Committee (20/WM/0136).
- 323 Author information
- 324 **Contributions**:
- 325 **Conceptualization:** TF, SK, MWC, JAH.
- 326 **Methodology:** ID-B, HG, RP-R, SK, GG, TF.
- 327 **Software:** ID-B, RP-R, XD.
- 328 Validation: ID-B, HG, RP-R, JP, AMR, KB, GS, JN, JAH.
- 329 Formal analysis: ID-B.
- Investigation: ID-B, HG, RP-R, AMR, JP, LEW, RF, CH, DH, SA, JNP, RL, MT, LLL, TE, KB, CJE, SK,
  JAH, TF.
- 332 **Resources:** GG, TF, SK.
- 333 **Data Curation:** ID-B, YR, GG, GS, JN, EK, CM.
- 334 Writing Original Draft: ID-B, TF, JAH.
- 335 Writing Review and Editing: ID-B, HG, RP-R, XD, YR, WG, TF, GG, SK, JAH.
- 336 **Visualization:** ID-B, HG, RP-R, XD.
- 337 **Supervision:** GG, DGL, ACD, SK, MWC, TF, JAH.
- 338 **Project administration:** ID-B, VS, LLL, EK, HR, MT, CW, GG, SK, ACD, TF, JAH.
- **Funding acquisition:** WG, GG, SK, TF, JAH.
- 340
- 341 **Corresponding authors:**
- 342 Tom Fletcher. E-mail: <u>Tom.Fletcher@lstmed.ac.uk</u>
- 343 Julian A. Hiscox. E-mail: julian.hiscox@liverpool.ac.uk