

EHTT2022: Multi-physical modeling and adjusting for ultrasonic assisted soft abrasive flow processing

Yesha Ni

Zhejiang University of Technology

Yunfeng Tan

Zhejiang University of Technology

Dapeng Tan (✉ tandapeng@zjut.edu.cn)

Zhejiang University of Technology

Research Article

Keywords: soft abrasive flow, ultrasonic vibration, cavitation effect, polishing efficiency, turbulent kinetic energy

Posted Date: August 3rd, 2022

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.21203/rs.3.rs-1857752/v1>

License:  This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License.
[Read Full License](#)

Multi-physical modeling and adjusting for ultrasonic assisted soft abrasive flow processing

Yesha Ni, Yunfeng Tan, Dapeng Tan*


(Key Lab of E & M, Ministry of Education & Zhejiang Province, Zhejiang University of Technology, Hangzhou 310014, China)

Abstract: The polishing efficiency of the soft abrasive flow (SAF) method is low, which is not in line with the concept of carbon emission reduction in industrial production. To address the above issue, a two-phase fluid multi-physics modeling method for the ultrasonic assisted SAF processing is proposed. The turbulence kinetic energy at different ultrasonic frequencies are studied. Simulation results show that the ultrasonic vibration can induce cavitation effect in the constrained flow channel and promote the turbulence intensity and uniformity of the abrasive flow. A set of comparative polishing experiments with or without ultrasonic vibration are conducted to explore the performance of the proposed method. It can be found that the ultrasonic assisted SAF method can improve the machining efficiency and uniformity, so as to achieve the purpose of carbon emission reduction.

Key words: soft abrasive flow; ultrasonic vibration; cavitation effect; polishing efficiency, turbulent kinetic energy.

1. Introduction

Precision and ultra-precision mechanical parts play a major role in the development of aerospace industry, national defense industry and microelectronics industry. Finishing technology is a common means to improve the surface quality of parts and reduce the surface roughness of work-piece^[1]. However, the traditional mechanical finishing method is not competent for some structural surfaces with small size and complex structure^{[2][3]}.



1—Tank; 2—Soft abrasive flow; 3—Mixing blade; 4—Motor; 5—Outlet; 6—Pump; 7—Polishing tool;
8—Experiment table; 9—Circular entrance; 10—Turbulent region; 11—Constrained flow channel.

Fig. 1 Schematic of soft abrasive flow processing

The soft abrasive flow (SAF) machining method^[4] is a new method of abrasive flow finishing processing for various structured surfaces, the whole polishing process of the

proposed method is as follows. The SAF characterized by low viscosity is firstly mixed in the tank, then the abrasive flow is continuously pumped into the polishing tool. Due to the restriction of the constrained module, the abrasive flow enters into the constrained flow channel, in which the flow velocity is up to the maximum, and the particle accumulation effect occurs. The work-piece surface locates in the constrained flow channel is continuously impacted by the abrasive particles to achieve the surface polishing, as shown in Fig. 1. However, the SAF processing method is inefficient and time-consuming, and the high-pressure pump used for fluid circulation has high power. Therefore, the whole system consumes large energy, which is not in line with the concept of carbon emission reduction in industrial production^[5].

To resolve the above matter, many research works had been performed. In 2011, Ji et al proposed a design method of sliding constraint module and verified the finishing efficiency by the experiment^[6]. In 2012, Tan et al researched the abrasive particle group distribution, dynamic characteristics and near-wall micro-cutting mechanism in the constrained flow channel, and verified the feasibility and reliability of the SAF polishing method^[7]. In 2012, Ji et al. presented a two-dimensional SAF dynamic model based on the topological structure transformation of level set method (LSM). The model could describe the movement of the particles in turbulent flow and reveal the dynamical variation regulars of phase surface of two-phase abrasive flow^[8]. In 2016, Tan et al. redesigned the constrained flow passage using the fluid collision theory and presented a double-inlet SAF polishing method^[9]. In their study, the fluid turbulent motion was described by the shear stress transport model, and the comparative polishing experiments proved that the double-inlet flow passage can increase the polishing efficiency. In 2018, Ji et al. established a gas-liquid-solid three-phase abrasive flow mechanics model based on computational fluid dynamics-population balance model coupled method and concluded that the effect of bubble collapse can effectively improve the processing efficiency and precision of the SAF method^[10]. In 2021, Man et al. adopted an innovative technique of a cavitation-based gas-liquid-solid abrasive flow polishing process to conclude that controlled polishing with cavitation erosion and abrasion can achieve a much higher quality surface on a large work-piece^[11].

From the above references, it can be inferred that current studies on the SAF method mainly focus on the facets of physical simulation and numerical modeling. The development degree of fluid turbulence is the main factor affecting the finishing effect of the SAF method^[12]. However, the research on strengthening the turbulence intensity of solid-liquid two-phase flow is insufficient. Due to the structure of the constrained flow channel, the turbulence intensity in some local regions is insufficient, which leads to the dead Angle of

processing. In addition, there are some problems such as long processing time, low efficiency and high energy consumption. Therefore, it is necessary to research the dynamic characteristics of the SAF method, acquire the flow law of abrasive flow in the constrained flow channel, and improve the processing efficiency of the SAF method, so as to achieve the purpose of carbon emission reduction. To resolve the above problems, a SAF polishing method using boundary ultrasonic vibration is presented in this paper. As an acoustic emission technology, ultrasonic vibration can generate sound pressure and cavitation energy stably. Apparently, the two-phase ultrasonic assisted SAF polishing process is a complex acoustics-fluid multi-physical issue with high nonlinear features, and the modeling-solving requires large computation load^[13].

In this paper, the corresponding multi-physical model is built. Then, the calculation parameters are given, and the polishing process of the proposed method is analyzed by CFD-based calculation results. Finally, a polishing system is developed according to the processing principle involved by the proposed method, and the comparative polishing experiments are conducted to check the effectiveness of the proposed method.

2. Multi-physical models

2.1 Fluid control equations and multi-phase flow model

The soft abrasive flow is a typical viscous fluid, and keeps the continuity in the processing. Therefore, it accords with the continuity equation and Navier-Stokes (N-S) equation^[14]

$$\frac{\partial \mu_i}{\partial x_i} = 0 \quad (1)$$

$$\rho \left(\mu_j \frac{\partial \mu_i}{\partial x_j} + \frac{\partial \mu_i}{\partial t} \right) = \rho K_i - \frac{\partial p}{\partial x_j} + \mu \frac{\partial^2 \mu_i}{\partial x_j \partial x_j} + \frac{\partial \tau}{\partial x_j} \quad (2)$$

where $\mu_i, \mu_j (i, j=1, 2, 3)$ represent the components of velocity vector μ on three coordinate directions, x_i, x_j represent the direction vector on three coordinate directions, p is the fluid pressure, ρ is the fluid density, μ is the kinetic viscosity, K_i is the gravity component, t is the time, and τ is the turbulent Reynolds stress:

$$\tau = -\rho \overline{u'_i u'_j} = \eta \left(\frac{\partial u_i}{\partial x_j} + \frac{\partial u_j}{\partial x_i} \right) \quad (3)$$

where μ'_i, μ'_j are the fluctuation velocity values, and η is the fluid turbulent viscosity.

Accordingly, by solving the continuity equation and N-S equation, the profiles of pressure and velocity in the constrained flow channel can be obtained. Moreover, the soft abrasive flow is a kind of sparse two-phase flow, and the abrasive fraction is less than 15%. In

this hypothesis, the soft abrasive flow should have good fluidity and continuity.

It is assumed that the solid phase abrasive particles are uniformly distributed in the liquid phase in the constrained flow channel. The selection of solid-liquid two-phase flow model mainly depends on the volume fraction of soft abrasive in solution. Then the abrasive fraction is less than 15% and the equivalent diameter is small. As a multi-phase flow model, the Mixture model is suitable for simulating solid-liquid two-phase flow with sparse density^[15]. Therefore, the Mixture model is used as the basic numerical model in this paper.

The Mixture model solves the momentum equation of the two-phase flow, and describes the discrete phase through the relative velocity. The continuous equation of the mixed phase is

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t}(\rho_m) + \nabla \cdot (\rho_m \vec{v}_m) = n\& \quad (4)$$

where ρ_m is the mixture density, \vec{v}_m is the average velocity, $n\&$ is the mass transfer caused by the user-defined quality source or cavitation.

The momentum equation of the mixed phase is

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial}{\partial t}(\rho_m \vec{v}_m) + \nabla \cdot (\rho_m \vec{v}_m \vec{v}_m) &= -\nabla p + \nabla \cdot [\mu_m (\nabla \vec{v}_m + \nabla \vec{v}_m^T)] + \rho_m \vec{g} \\ &\quad + \vec{F} + \nabla \cdot \left(\sum_{k=1}^n \partial_k \rho_k \vec{v}_{dr,k} \vec{v}_{dr,k} \right) \end{aligned} \quad (5)$$

2.2 Turbulence model

The soft abrasive flow polishing is a complex nonlinear mechanic matter, and the disordered turbulent motion makes the work-piece surface obtain relatively uniform surface texture. The soft abrasive flow field can be described by the turbulence models.

Under the condition of larger time-averaged strain rate, standard $k-\varepsilon$ turbulence model might cause negative normal stress. Then, the normal stress item requires one or several mathematical constraints, so as to make the turbulence computation accord with factual fluid motion regulars. To resolve the above problem, the realizable $k-\varepsilon$ model is proposed. It takes the empirical coefficient as a variable involving the turbulent kinetic viscosity and fluid strain rate, and contains a new pair of turbulence viscosity equation and dissipation rate equation^{[16][17]}:

$$\frac{\partial(\rho k)}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial(\rho k u_i)}{\partial x_i} = \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} \left[\left(\mu + \frac{\mu_t}{\sigma_k} \right) \frac{\partial k}{\partial x_j} \right] + G_k - \rho \varepsilon \quad (6)$$

$$\frac{\partial(\rho \varepsilon)}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial(\rho \varepsilon u_i)}{\partial x_i} = \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} \left[\left(\mu + \frac{\mu_t}{\sigma_\varepsilon} \right) \frac{\partial \varepsilon}{\partial x_j} \right] + C_1 E \rho \varepsilon - C_2 \rho \frac{\varepsilon^2}{k + (v \varepsilon)^2} \quad (7)$$

where k is the turbulent kinetic energy, μ_t is the turbulent viscosity coefficient, μ is the turbulent dissipation rate, $\sigma_k, \sigma_\varepsilon$ are the Prandtl number of k and ε respectively, G_k is turbulent kinetic energy caused by average velocity gradient, E is the fluid strain, v is the kinematic viscosity, $C_1 = 1.44$, and $C_2 = 1.92$.

For the standard $k-\varepsilon$ model, the expression of turbulent viscosity coefficient is

$$\mu_t = \rho C_\mu \frac{k^2}{\varepsilon} \quad (8)$$

where C_μ is a constant. On the contrary, realizable $k-\varepsilon$ model takes C_μ as a variable:

$$C_\mu = \frac{1}{A_0 + A_s U^* k / \varepsilon} \quad (9)$$

From the above formulae, it can be inferred that is a function about the time-averaged strain, coordinate rotating velocity, angular velocity and turbulent parameters. Therefore, the realizable $k-\varepsilon$ model can accurately describe the inertial components of boundary layer fluid. Compared with the standard $k-\varepsilon$ model and the renormalization group (RNG) $k-\varepsilon$ model, it has the mathematical expression for Reynolds stress, which accords with the factual physical features of turbulent flow, adapting for multi-phase flow, shear flow and jet flow. Based on realizable $k-\varepsilon$ model, the flow process of soft abrasive flow in the boundary layer near the work-piece surface can be described.

2.3 Acoustics model

The equations used to describe the propagation of sound in a fluid are derived from the governing equations for fluid flow. Most acoustic phenomena can be accurately described by classical pressure acoustics, in which flows are assumed to be lossless and adiabatic, viscous effects are ignored, and linear isentropic equations of state are used.

Based on the above assumptions, the sound field can be described as a variable, namely pressure p , which is controlled by the wave equation:

$$\frac{1}{\rho_0 c^2} \frac{\partial^2 p}{\partial t^2} + \nabla \cdot \left(-\frac{1}{\rho_0} \nabla p \right) = 0 \quad (10)$$

where t is the time, ρ_0 is the fluid density, c is the sound velocity.

Acoustic problems often involve simple harmonics, such as sine waves. In general, any signal can be extended to harmonic components through its Fourier series. The wave equation can then be solved in the frequency domain for one frequency at a time. The form of harmonic solution is as

$$p(x, t) = p(x) e^{i\omega t} \quad (11)$$

The actual (instantaneous) physical value of the pressure is the real part of the above equation. Based on this hypothetical pressure field, the transient wave equation can be reduced to the well-known Helmholtz equation.

$$\nabla \cdot \left(-\frac{1}{\rho_0} \nabla p \right) - \frac{\omega^2}{\rho_0 c^2} p = 0 \quad (12)$$

The periodic sound pressure fluctuation caused by ultrasonic vibration on the liquid phase can be described based on the above acoustic wave governing equation.

2.4 Cavitation effect and modification of turbulence model

Ultrasonic wave in the flow channel mainly produces the corresponding periodic pressure and the cavitation phenomenon^{[18][19]}. At present, there is no perfect solution to the simulation of ultrasonic cavitation in turbulent flow field. In this paper, the turbulence parameters are modified based on the existing turbulence model for the cavitation effect caused by ultrasonic vibration, so as to carry out more accurate simulation research. The sound power of sound field acts on the soft abrasive flow through sound pressure and its various effects. Sound power is the total energy radiated by sound source in space per unit time. In order to obtain the expression of sound power, it is necessary to derive the average sound energy density of the sound field. The energy provided by the sound vibration in sound field is

$$E_k = \frac{1}{2} \rho_0 \mu^2 V \quad (13)$$

where ρ_0 is the fluid density, and its corresponding potential energy is

$$E_p = - \int_0^P P dV = \frac{V}{2\rho_0 c_0^2} \Delta p^2 \quad (14)$$

The energy density of sound is

$$\varepsilon = \frac{E_p + E_k}{V} = \frac{1}{2} \rho_0 \mu^2 + \frac{1}{2} \frac{\Delta p^2}{\rho_0 c_0^2} \quad (15)$$

When the energy of the sound field is applied to the liquid phase, 4%-35% of the energy is transferred through the cavitation effect. Based on the realizable $k-\varepsilon$ model, the transport equation of turbulent kinetic energy k is modified as

$$\frac{\partial(\rho k)}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial(\rho k u_i)}{\partial x_i} = \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} \left[\left(\mu + \frac{\mu_t}{\sigma_k} \right) \frac{\partial k}{\partial x_j} \right] + G_k - \rho \varepsilon + S_k \quad (16)$$

where S_k is the customized correction term of the model, which is based on the energy transfer generated by the cavitation effect, reflecting the influence of the cavitation effect on the flow field.

For the SAF method, the polishing is achieved by the collision and friction of the abrasive particles on the work-piece surface. In particular, a large amount of turbulent kinetic energy is consumed by the friction collision between the particles and the work-piece surfaces after the ultrasonic vibration. However, the turbulence dissipation caused by the friction is not reflected in the above transport equation of turbulent kinetic energy dissipation. Therefore, the turbulent kinetic energy dissipation equation of the realizable $k-\varepsilon$ model is modified as

$$\frac{\partial(\rho \varepsilon)}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial(\rho \varepsilon u_i)}{\partial x_i} = \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} \left[\left(\mu + \frac{\mu_t}{\sigma_\varepsilon} \right) \frac{\partial \varepsilon}{\partial x_j} \right] + C_1 E \rho \varepsilon - C_2 \rho \frac{\varepsilon^2}{k + (v \varepsilon)^2} + S_\varepsilon \quad (17)$$

where S_ε is the modification of the dissipation equation.

The essence of turbulent dissipation of soft abrasive flow in near wall region is the energy conversion and transfer. The energy transformation is caused by the reaction force when the abrasive particles are micro-cutting the work-piece surface, and the reaction force can be regarded as the friction force of the soft abrasive flow. In addition, the SAF polishing is carried out by the shear stress of abrasive flow on the work-piece surface, so the friction force of fluid can also be expressed by the shear stress. The shear stress of the turbulent soft abrasive flow on the work-piece surface is composed of two parts, one is the viscous shear stress caused by the relative motion of the time-homogeneous flow layer, the other one is the inertial shear stress caused by turbulence pulsation and momentum exchange between upper and lower layers of particles, its expression is

$$\tau = \tau_1 + \tau_2 = \mu \frac{du}{dy} - \rho u^* v^* \quad (18)$$


where μ is the fluid viscosity, u^* , v^* are the instantaneous fluctuating velocity.

To sum up, through the analysis of the turbulent state of the soft abrasive flow in the near wall region, and the turbulence model is modified. Hence, the effect of cavitation on turbulent kinetic energy and the friction caused by the shear force are introduced into the model. Therefore, the modified realizable $k-\varepsilon$ model can completely describe the turbulence dissipation in the SAF method.

3. Numerical simulations

3.1 Numerical model

The soft abrasive flow machining device is mainly composed of abrasive flow inlet, turbulence generating device, constrained flow channel, work-piece surface and abrasive flow outlet, as shown in Fig. 1(7). Among them, the constrained flow channel is the research object in this paper, as shown in Fig. 1(11).



1—Inlet; 2—Turbulence generator; 3—Detection line; 4—Constrained flow channel; 5—Outlet;

6—Monitoring point a; 7—Monitoring point b; 8—Monitoring point c; 9—Ultrasonic vibration;
10—Work-piece surface.

Fig. 2 Numerical model for ultrasonic assisted soft abrasive flow processing

According to the above device, a 3D numerical model for the soft abrasive flow field is set up (shown in Fig. 2), and the physical conditions are as follows: the inlet of the flow channel is a circular passage with a diameter of 10mm; the cylinder is a turbulence generator; the cuboid is the constrained flow channel, and it is 100mm long, 10mm wide, 5mm high. The soft abrasive flow develops into turbulence in the turbulence generating device and enters the constrained flow channel to polish the work-piece surface. The ultrasonic vibration acts on the upper surface of the constrained flow channel, and its function is regular sine function. The lower surface of the constrained flow channel is the work-piece surface. The physical parameters of soft abrasive flow are listed in Table 1.

Table 1 Physical parameters of soft abrasive flow

Parameters	Values
Particle-laden fluid	Water
Fluid viscosity / (Pa·s)	0.001
Fluid density / (kg/m ³)	998.2
Abrasive particle	Al ₂ O ₃
Abrasive particle density / (kg/m ³)	3500
Abrasive particle fraction	10%
Abrasive particle diameter / μm	10

Because the ultrasonic vibration has an important influence on the flow field in the constrained flow channel, this paper analyzes the two-phase SAF method by different ultrasonic frequencies: 20 Hz and 40 Hz. The physical parameters of flow field are shown in Table 2. The pressure discretization is interpolated by the standard algorithm. The scheme of the pressure-velocity coupling is semi-implicit method for pressure-linked equations (SIMPLE), and the finite volume method is used to discretize the momentum, and turbulent kinetic energy according to the first-order upwind scheme.


Table 2 Physical parameters of flow field

Parameters	Values
Inlet velocity / (m/s)	20
Outlet static pressure / Pa	0
Boundary vibration amplitude / μm	20
Boundary vibration frequency / kHz	0, 20, 40

3.2 Cavitation effect

After loading the ultrasonic vibration, the cavitation effect produced by ultrasonic in the liquid disturbs the distribution of velocity and turbulent kinetic energy intensity in the constrained flow channel, so as to strengthen the diffusion of each phase and promote the distribution of abrasive particles. Therefore, the characteristics of velocity distribution and turbulence intensity distribution in the constrained flow channel are the focus of this paper.

Since the velocity profile can reflect the flow field state of polishing process, the velocity profiles near the work-piece surface of the steady state about different ultrasonic frequencies are acquired, as shown in Fig. 3, where the legend represents the ultrasonic frequencies.



(a) Stationary boundary; (b) $f = 40 \text{ kHz}$.

Fig. 3 Velocity profiles of the constrained flow channel

In Fig. 3, different colors represent different velocity of the abrasive flow. The flow rate of the left inlet is stable at 20m/s, and the right constrained flow channel is the main research object of this paper. After loading ultrasonic vibration, the velocity in the constrained flow channel is enhanced and the distribution is more uniform. Especially at the edge of work-piece surface, its uniformity is improved. In the region of ultrasonic vibration, local

deceleration spots appear randomly in the flow field, as shown in Fig. 3(b). The main reason for the deceleration spots is the ultrasonic cavitation effect caused by the loading ultrasonic vibration, which leads to the local high pressure and high temperature and cause the stagnation of the flow field. From the perspective of velocity distribution, the ultrasonic vibration makes the whole work-piece surface get better uniformity, and it can improve the machining accuracy and efficiency of soft abrasive flow.

3.3 Effect of ultrasonic vibration on turbulent kinetic energy

The turbulent kinetic energy is also a necessary physical parameter to characterize the turbulent performance of the constrained flow channel. Turbulent kinetic energy reflects the magnitude of turbulent pulsation energy. With the increase of turbulent kinetic energy, the abrasive particles collide more frequently with the work-piece surface, and the disordered motion of abrasive particles can improve the machining efficiency and precision. Therefore, the turbulent kinetic energy profile of the stable state in the absence of ultrasonic action is acquired, as shown in Fig. 4, and different colors represent different turbulent kinetic energy.





Fig. 4 Turbulent kinetic energy profile of the constrained flow channel

As the fluid flows from the turbulence generator to the constrained flow channel, the turbulent kinetic energy is reduced because of the molecular viscosity. The turbulent kinetic energy of the fluid near the wall decreases faster due to the viscous resistance of the wall. Apparently, the dissipation of turbulent kinetic energy not only reduces the efficiency of SAF polishing, but also affects the polishing uniformity.

In the transition region between the turbulence generator and the confined flow channel, the turbulent kinetic energy increases sharply due to the change of the flow channel size. However, the turbulent kinetic energy of the transition region is too strong to observe the distribution of the turbulent kinetic energy clearly in the constrained flow channel, and the transition region is not within the scope of processing. Hence, in order to facilitate the comparative analysis of the turbulent kinetic energy of the work-piece surface, the turbulent kinetic energy of the transition region should be filtered out. With respect to the issue, the turbulent kinetic energy profiles of the stable states about different ultrasonic frequencies are obtained, as shown in Fig. 5, where the legend represents the ultrasonic frequencies.



(a) Stationary boundary; (b) $f = 20 \text{ kHz}$; (c) $f = 40 \text{ kHz}$.

Fig. 5 Turbulent kinetic energy profiles for different ultrasonic frequencies

It is easy to see that the turbulent kinetic energy in the core processing region is enhanced after loading the ultrasonic vibration. Accordingly, the higher ultrasonic frequency will cause the stronger turbulent kinetic energy, which proves that the turbulent kinetic energy dissipation can be alleviated by the ultrasonic vibration. In Fig. 5(b) and Fig. 5(c), owing to the higher ultrasonic frequency, the stronger turbulent kinetic energy is distributed in the core processing region, and form a uniform processing surface. The above results show that the enhancement of ultrasonic frequency not only improves the processing efficiency, but also greatly improves the polishing uniformity.

As for a further study on the relation of ultrasonic vibration and flow field, the three monitoring points near the work-piece surface are selected, as shown in Fig. 2(6) ~ Fig. 2(8). Subsequently, the turbulent kinetic energy time-varied waves of the three monitoring points are obtained, as shown in Fig. 6, where the legend represents the x coordinate of each point. From the figure, it can be inferred that the turbulent kinetic energy of each monitoring point is affected by the ultrasonic vibration, and its turbulent kinetic energy intensity is positively correlated with the ultrasonic frequency. Moreover, each monitoring point has a smooth transition region in which the turbulent kinetic energy reaches a stable value and does not change with time. The smooth transition region divides the entire curve into two parts, the front part is called region A, and the back part is called region B. The increase of turbulent kinetic energy in region A is mainly caused by the ultrasonic vibration of flow channel. Then in the smooth transition region, the turbulent kinetic energy dissipation in the constrained flow channel is consistent with the turbulent kinetic energy generated by the ultrasonic vibration. After the smooth transition region, the soft abrasive flow passes through the turbulence generator into the constrained flow channel. At this point, under the combined

action of the ultrasonic vibration and the turbulent abrasive flow, the turbulent kinetic energy of the flow field continues to rise and finally reaches a stable state.




Fig. 6 Turbulent kinetic energy time-varied waves for different monitoring points

By comparing the three monitoring points, it is easy to see that the turbulent kinetic energy of the point a almost remains unchanged in region A, while the turbulent kinetic energy of the point c increases most rapidly. Apparently, the turbulent kinetic energy increases faster with the increase of ultrasonic frequency. The above results show that the turbulent kinetic energy of each point in region A is related to its location, and the effect of ultrasonic vibration is more obvious when the monitoring point is farther away from the turbulence generator.

However, the variation of the turbulent kinetic energy of each point in region B is opposite to that in region A, and the turbulent kinetic energy of point a rises rapidly in region B with the largest increase amplitude. The increase of turbulent kinetic energy in region B is mainly caused by the abrasive flow from the turbulence generator. The above results show that the turbulent kinetic energy of each point in region B is also related to its location, and the effect of the abrasive flow from the turbulence generator is more obvious when the monitoring point is closer to the turbulence generator.

In order to study further the relation of ultrasonic vibration and flow field, the detection line near the work-piece surface are selected, as shown in Fig. 2(3). Then the turbulent kinetic energy waves of the stable states along the detection line about different ultrasonic frequencies are extracted, as is shown in Fig. 7. With the increase of x coordinate, the energy dissipation caused by the viscous resistance of the flow channel wall reduces the turbulent kinetic energy of the flow field. In the absence of ultrasonic vibration, the turbulent kinetic energy decreases rapidly. Especially in the latter half of the constrained flow channel, the turbulent kinetic energy tends to zero. This phenomenon of energy dissipation not only reduces the machining efficiency of the SAF method, but also affects the uniformity of the work-piece surface. By comparing the three simulation curves, it can be inferred that the turbulent kinetic energy of the whole flow channel is enhanced after loading ultrasonic

vibration. The turbulent kinetic energy of $f = 40 \text{ kHz}$ is twice that of $f = 20 \text{ kHz}$, and the curve of $f = 40 \text{ kHz}$ has the best uniformity. So that the machining efficiency and uniformity depend on the ultrasonic frequency, and they have a positive correlation.





Fig. 7 Turbulent kinetic energy waves for different ultrasonic frequencies

The above phenomenon is with important reference value for the ultrasonic assisted SAF processing technologies, i.e. the ultrasonic vibration method might improve machining efficiency of the SAF method.

4. Polishing experiments and result discussion

4.1 Experimental setup

In order to verify the correctness and effectiveness of the flow field strengthening method in this paper, it is necessary to carry out the machining experiment. With respect to the issue, an ultrasonic assisted machining device for the SAF method is built in this paper, as shown in Fig. 8. The ultrasonic vibrator transmits the ultrasonic vibration to the constrained flow channel through the constraint module, and the soft abrasive flow in the flow channel will polish the test sample.



1—Ultrasonic transducer; 2—Ultrasonic vibrator; 3—Cover plate; 4—Constraint module; 5—Base and constraint flow channel; 6—Test sample.

Fig. 8 Turbulent kinetic energy waves for different ultrasonic frequencies


In this paper, two rectangular metal test samples with the same material and roughness are selected for comparison. One of them is processed by the SAF processing without ultrasonic excitation, while the other one is processed by the ultrasonic assisted SAF processing. The test samples are 100mm long, 10mm wide, 5mm high, and the initial surface roughness is $1\mu\text{m}$.

Fig. 8(6) shows the position of measuring points on the work-piece surface, there are nine measuring points and they are located at the entrance, middle and exit of the work-piece surface. The surface roughness of each region is the average roughness of the three points in the corresponding region. In this paper, the processing experiment is carried out for 24 hours, and the samples are measured every two hours.

4.2 Polishing results and discussion

It is well that the surface roughness is a necessary physical parameter to characterize the polishing effect. Therefore, the surface roughness time-varied waves of the three regions are acquired, as shown in Fig. 9.

From the Fig. 9(a), the following regulars can be acquired. It takes about 16 hours for the surface roughness to reach $0.1\mu\text{m}$ at the entrance of the sample without the ultrasonic vibration, and then the surface roughness increase slightly. The motion track of abrasive particles is single due to the weak turbulent kinetic energy, so the surface roughness is increased by micro-cutting in a single direction. However, it only takes about 10 hours for the surface roughness to reach $0.1\mu\text{m}$ with the ultrasonic vibration, and the surface roughness remains constant after reaching the minimum value. The result indicates that ultrasonic cavitation enhances the turbulence intensity and makes the motion track of the abrasive particles complex and changeable, thus avoiding continuous cutting in a single direction.



(a) Entrance; (b) Middle; (c) Exit.

Fig. 9 Surface roughness time-varied waves for different regions

From the Fig. 9(b), the regulars at the middle of the sample can be described. The surface roughness of the sample with the ultrasonic vibration reach the minimum value about 4 hours earlier than that without ultrasonic vibration. The material removal efficiency is

significantly improved, and the stability of the SAF processing is maintained. The Fig. 9(c) shows the variation of turbulent kinetic energy of the sample exit. After 18 hours of processing, the surface roughness of the sample with ultrasonic vibration remains at about $0.1\mu\text{m}$, and the processing effect of ultrasonic vibration is still better than that without ultrasonic vibration.

The above results fully prove that the surface roughness of the sample with ultrasonic vibration decreases significantly faster at any position, and the polishing efficiency of the SAF method is improved by loading ultrasonic vibration.





Fig. 10 Surface roughness time-varied waves

Subsequently, to analyze the overall uniformity of the ultrasonic assisted SAF processing method, the surface roughness time-varied waves of each region are shown in Fig. 10. As can be seen from the figure, the decrease rate of the surface roughness at the entrance is significantly faster than that at the other two positions, because the turbulence intensity is the strongest at the entrance. Moreover, in combination with the results in Fig. 9, it can be inferred that although the surface roughness of the exit decreases at the slowest rate, the ultrasonic vibration can increase the processing efficiency appropriately.



(a) $t = 0$ h; (b) $t = 8$ h; (c) $t = 24$ h; (d) $t = 0$ h; (e) $t = 8$ h; (f) $t = 24$ h.

Fig. 11 Surface topographies of the sample inlets

Fig. 11(a) ~ Fig. 11(c) shows the surface topographies of the sample inlets without ultrasonic vibration magnified by 500 times, and Fig. 11(d) ~ Fig. 11(f) shows the surface topographies with ultrasonic vibration. As shown in the figure, the sample surfaces become more smooth after 8 hours of processing. By comparing Fig. 11(b) with Fig. 11(e), it is obvious that the ultrasonic assisted SAF method presents better processing effect.

After 24 hours of processing, some surface textures parallel to the flow direction of the soft abrasive flow appear on the surface of the sample in Fig. 11(c), which is consistent with the increase of the surface roughness in the later stage in Fig. 9(a). The above situation is not obvious in Fig. 11(f), which indicates that ultrasonic vibration can effectively inhibit the secondary processing of the sample by abrasive particles, and the uneven machining effect of the sample surface caused by the uneven distribution of turbulent kinetic energy can be improved.

5. Conclusions

To acquire the ultrasonic assisted mechanism and flow field profile regulars of the SAF processing, a two-phase 3D fluid mechanic modeling-solving method is proposed. The corresponding research works have been performed, and the main conclusions are as follows.

(1) Ultrasonic vibration can induce the cavitation effect and enhance the turbulence intensity of soft abrasive flow in the constrained flow channel. By the increment of ultrasonic frequency, the turbulence intensity of flow increases.

(2) The influence of ultrasonic vibration on the middle and outlet of the confined flow passage is more significant.

(3) At the late stage of the SAF polishing, the abrasive particles continuously cut the work-piece surface in a single direction, which improves the surface roughness of the work-piece. The above problem can be improved obviously by ultrasonic vibration.

(4) Ultrasonic assisted soft abrasive flow method can improve the machining efficiency and uniformity, so as to achieve the purpose of carbon emission reduction.

Availability of data and materials

The datasets used or analysed during the current study are available from the corresponding author on reasonable request.

Acknowledgments

Not applicable.

Author Contributions

YN: Conceptualization, methodology, investigation, validation, writing - original draft, writing - review & editing. YT: Conceptualization, methodology, supervision, project administration. DT: Conceptualization, validation, visualization, investigation, formal analysis, writing—review & editing. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Authors' Information

Yesha Ni, is currently a PhD candidate at College of Mechanical Engineering, Zhejiang University of Technology, China. His research interest is intelligent manufacturing.

Yunfeng Tan, is currently a PhD candidate at College of Mechanical Engineering, Zhejiang University of Technology, China.

Dapeng Tan, is currently a professor at College of Mechanical Engineering, Zhejiang University of Technology, China. His research interests are digital manufacturing and intelligent manufacturing.

Funding

Supported by National Natural Science Foundation of China (No. 52175124), Natural Science Foundation of Zhejiang Province (No. LZ21E050003), and Fundamental Research Funds for the Zhejiang Universities (No. RF-C2020004).

Competing Interest

The authors declare no competing financial interests.

References:

- [1] WANG Y Y, ZHANG Y L, TAN D P, et al. Key technologies and development trends in advanced intelligent sawing equipments. *Chinese Journal of Mechanical Engineering*, 2021, 34(1): 30.
- [2] KAMAL K K, RAVIKUMAR N L, PIYUSHUMAR B T. Performance evaluation and rheological characterization of newly developed butyl rubber based media for abrasive flow machining process[J]. *Journal of Material Processing Technology*, 2009, 209(4): 2212-2221.
- [3] WANG J X, GAO S B, TANG Z J, et al. FAN Jing. A context-aware recommendation system for improving manufacturing process modeling. *Journal of Intelligent Manufacturing*, In Press, 2021, DOI: 10.1007/s10845-021-01854-4.

- [4] GE J Q, JI S M, TAN D P. A gas-liquid-solid three-phase abrasive flow processing method based on bubble collapsing[J]. *International Journal of Advanced Manufacturing Technology*, 2018, 95: 1069-1085.
- [5] ZENG X, JI S M, JIN M S, et al. Investigation on machining characteristic of pneumatic wheel based on softness consolidation abrasives[J]. *International Journal of Precision Engineering and Manufacturing*, 2014, 15(10): 2031–2039.
- [6] JI S M, CHI Y W, TAN D P, et al. Flow field characteristics of soft abrasive flow in multiform restraint flow passages[J]. *Transactions of the Chinese Society of Agricultural Engineering*, 2011, 27(11): 71-77.
- [7] JI S M, ZHONG J Q, TAN D P, et al. Research of distribution and dynamic characteristic of particle group in the structural flow passage[J]. *Key Engineering Materials*, 2012, 499: 271-276.
- [8] JI S M, WENG X X, TAN D P, Analytical method of softness abrasive two-phase flow field based on 2D model of LSM, *Acta Physica Sinica*, 2012, 61(1): 31-37.
- [9] TAN D P, JI S M, FU Y Z, An improved soft abrasive flow finishing method based on fluid collision theory, *Int J Adv Manuf Technol*, 2016, 85: 1261–1274.
- [10] JI S M, Ge J Q, Tan D P, et al. Three-phase abrasive flow polishing and distribution characteristics of bubble collapse[J]. *Optics and Precision Engineering*, 2018, 26(2): 388-398.
- [11] GE M, JI S M, TAN D P, Erosion analysis and experimental research of gas-liquid-solid soft abrasive flow polishing based on cavitation effects, *International Journal of Advanced Manufacturing Technology*, 2021, 114: 3419-3436.
- [12] GE J Q, LI C, GAO Z Y. Softness abrasive flow polishing method using constrained boundary vibration[J]. *Powder Technology*, 2021, 382: 173-187.
- [13] LI L, LU J F, FANG H, YIN Zi-chao, et al. WAN Yue-hua. Lattice Boltzmann method for fluid-thermal systems: status, hotspots, trends and outlook. *IEEE Access*, 2020, 8: 27649–27675.
- [14] TAN D P, NI Y S, ZHANG L B, Two-phase Sink Vortex Suction Mechanism and Penetration Dynamic Characteristics in Ladle Teeming Process, *Journal of Iron and Steel Research, International*, 2017, 24(7): 669-677.
- [15] LI L, TAN D P, YIN Z C, et al. Investigation on the multiphase vortex and its fluid-solid vibration characters for sustainability production. *Renewable Energy*, 2021, 175: 887-909.
- [16] LI Lin, TAN D P, WANG Tong, et al. Multiphase coupling mechanism of free surface vortex and the vibration-based sensing method. *Energy*, 2021, 216: 119136.
- [17] FAN X H, TAN D P, LI Lin, et al. Modeling and solution method of gas-liquid-solid three-phase flow mixing[J]. *Acta Physica Sinica*, 2021, 70: 124501.

- [18] ZHENG S H, YU Y K, QIU M Z, et al. A modal analysis of vibration response of a cracked fluid-filled cylindrical shell. *Applied Mathematical Modelling*, 2021, 91: 934-958.
- [19] PAN Y, JI S M, TAN D P. Cavitation based soft abrasive flow processing method [J]. *International Journal of Advanced Manufacturing Technology*, 2020, 109(9): 2587-2602.