

Preprints are preliminary reports that have not undergone peer review. They should not be considered conclusive, used to inform clinical practice, or referenced by the media as validated information.

Global functional spectrum of soil bacterial communities

Gabin Piton (Segmail.com)

French National Institute for Agriculture, Food, and Environment (INRAE) https://orcid.org/0000-0002-6036-5787

Steven Allison University of California, Irvine https://orcid.org/0000-0003-4629-7842 Mohammad Bahram University of Tartu Falk Hildebrand Quadram Institute Bioscience Jennifer Martiny University of California, Irvine https://orcid.org/0000-0002-2415-1247 Kathleen K. Treseder

University of California Irvine

Adam Martiny

University of California, Irvine https://orcid.org/0000-0003-2829-4314

Article

Keywords:

Posted Date: August 2nd, 2022

DOI: https://doi.org/10.21203/rs.3.rs-1885110/v1

License: (a) This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License. Read Full License 1

Global functional spectrum of soil bacterial communities

2 Gabin Piton^{1,2}, Steven D Allison^{1,3}, Mohammad Bahram^{4,5}, Falk Hildebrand^{6,7}, Jennifer BH Martiny³,
3 Kathleen K Treseder³, Adam C Martiny^{1,3}

4 Author information

5 *Affiliations*

6	1.	Department of Earth System Science, University of California, Irvine, California, USA
7	2.	Eco&Sols, INRAE-IRD-CIRAD-SupAgro, University Montpellier, Montpellier, France
8	3.	Department of Ecology and Evolutionary Biology, University of California, Irvine, California,
9		USA
10	4.	Department of Ecology, Swedish University of Agricultural Sciences, Uppsala, Sweden
11	5.	Institute of Ecology and Earth Sciences, University of Tartu, Tartu, Estonia
12	6.	Gut Microbes & Health, Quadram Institute Bioscience, Norwich Research Park, Norwich,
13		Norfolk NR4 7UA, UK
14	7.	Digital Biology, Earlham Institute, Norwich Research Park, Norwich, Norfolk NR4 7UA, UK.
15 Abstract		
16	Despite the tremendous importance of soil bacterial communities in biogeochemical cycles, the main	
17	functional variations developed by soil bacteria communities <i>in-situ</i> (as opposed to culture conditions)	
18	across	the globe, and their environmental drivers has yet to be elucidated. Here we use shotgun

metagenomes from all terrestrial biomes to characterize a genomic trait based soil bacteria's functional spectrum, simplifying in two dimensions 53% of the global variation of their functional potential. Using machine learning, we show that soil pH, P content and climate (Precipitation patterns and temperature) predict 78% and 45% of the first and second dimension of the spectrum, respectively. The first dimension captures a continuum from small to large genomes associated with an increase of metabolism and resource acquisition complexity and versatility. Decrease in water stress and increased acidity drives this shift from small- to large-genome. The second dimension shows a continuum from microbial necromass and nutrient usage specialists to reactive degraders of simple carbons with more CAZy, rRNA and sigma factor genes. This shift to reactive bacteria was observed when climate restrains the growing window (low precipitation with high seasonality and extreme temperature) and pH becomes acidic. Overall, our study showed that metabolism versatility, reactivity and stoichiometry dominate soil bacteria functional spectrum across the globe.

31 Introduction

32 Bacteria represent one of the most important biological carbon (C) pools in soil, cycling C and nutrients on a global scale (Fierer 2017). Soil bacterial communities contain enormous genetic diversity which 33 34 remains mostly functionally uncharacterized (Delgado-Baquerizo et al. 2018, Bahram et al. 2018), hindering progress in our understanding of their response to global changes and their biogeochemical 35 36 roles (Wieder et al. 2013, Crowther et al. 2019). A promising approach to describe biodiversity is to define functional spectrums representing the dominant associations between traits (Grime 1977, 37 Southwood 1977, Reich et al. 2003, Wright et al. 2004, Diaz et al. 2016) that capture the main 38 39 organismal tradeoffs underlying adaptations to environments and their effect on ecosystem functioning (Lavorel and Garnier 2002). In plant ecology, functional (phenotypic) traits have been successfully used 40 41 to define global functional spectrum across plant species (Reich et al. 2003, Wright et al. 2004, Diaz et 42 al. 2016). This has provided a general framework to identify systematic shifts in plant strategies along 43 environmental gradients. One can further extend the spectrum up to the community level (Bruelheide et 44 al. 2018) to understand linkages to ecosystem functioning (eg. fast-slow spectrum role in response to light, water and nutrients and consequences for litter decomposition and primary productivity reviewed 45 46 in Reich 2014).

Very recently, Westoby et al. (2021) have started to implement similar approach to describe global spectrum of cultured bacteria isolated from various habitats (fresh and marine waters, soils and sediments, animal and plant hosts, and thermal environments) using their genomic attributes and phenotypic traits measured under culture condition (Madin et al. 2020). This global functional spectrum of cultured bacteria showed a first dimension associated with the functional versatility of bacteria (ie.

52 their capacity to use different resources) that was highly correlated with genome size. The second dimension captured differences in maximum growth rate and was correlated with variation in rRNA 53 54 gene copy number. However, such culture-based studies might miss part of bacteria functional diversity existing in situ in soil environments (Delgado-Baquerizo et al. 2020, Martiny et al. 2019, 2020, Steen et 55 al. 2019) and cannot provide information on the relative importance of each trait under a given 56 environmental condition. Thus, the global functional spectrum across soil bacteria communities (as 57 58 opposed to spectrum across strains) in-situ (as opposed to culture conditions) and its environmental 59 drivers has yet to be elucidated.

60 Community level patterns of functional variation emerge from a combination of organisms' 61 evolutionary history and community organization in response to biotic and abiotic conditions. Following 62 the filtering theory (Keddy 1992, Weiher et al. 2011, Grime and Pierce, 2012), co-occurring organisms 63 of a given community share primary adaptation needed to colonize, establish and persist in their specific environment. Among co-occurring organisms, dominant taxa are assumed to be best adapted to local 64 65 conditions (Ackerly 2003, Shipley et al. 2006) and will have the biggest contribution to the community aggregated traits (CAT). Studies based on CAT showed for instance that soil microbial community 66 functional potential responds to experimental nitrogen addition (Fierer et al. 2012) and that genomic 67 traits like bacteria genome size decrease along a steep local temperature gradient (Sorensen et al. 2019), 68 suggesting shift in adaptive strategy dominating these communities. Thus, metagenomic community 69 70 aggregated traits (Fierer et al. 2014) may offer an in situ characterization of functional variation 71 emerging from the main adaptations to environmental gradients with potential impact on ecosystem 72 functioning. However, a global investigation of these functional variations in soil bacteria communities 73 has yet to be conducted.

In this study we tested the hypothesis that the spectrum of soil bacteria community metagenomic profile is dominated by functional dimensions associated with bacteria community metabolism versatility (minimized in small genomes vs. maximized in large genomes) and metabolism reactivity (fast with high number of rRNA and sigma factor genes vs. slow with opposite trait), associated with environmental gradients capturing resource scarcity (favoring maximized versatility) and variability (favoring fast reactivity). We crossed an exploratory approach mapping the whole metagenomes to the most common functional databases in bacteria biology (KEGG, SEED and eggNOG) with the characterization of genomic traits suggested to link with previously described physiological trait-based spectrums (Malik et al. 2019b, Westoby et al. 2021) and assess the main relationships between these genomic traits and the global metagenomic functional spectrum of soil bacteria communities. Then, we used machine learning to test how the position of bacterial communities along this functional spectrum are linked to environmental conditions related to climate and soil.

86 Materials and methods

87 Soil sampling and characteristics

In this study we used a global dataset of 127 soils distributed across continents and latitude (SI figure 1) 88 89 collected by Bahram et al. (2018). We selected this dataset for our analysis because of its coverage and 90 its use of a highly standardized protocol: 1) to sample top-soils in spatially independent sites across the 91 globe selected to represent all the most important vegetation types; to analyze their chemistry and their metagenomes (Bahram et al. 2018). All samples were processed using similar standardized protocols 92 for their chemistry (Carbon, Nitrogen, Phosphorus content and pH) and metagenome (See Bahram et al. 93 (2018) for protocol details). We checked the global environmental coverage by comparing variation of 94 95 the main environmental variables (Mean Annual Temperature (MAT), Mean Annual Precipitation (MAP), soil pH and Net Primary productivity (NPP)) in our dataset with global variation from the Atlas 96 97 of the Biosphere (https://nelson.wisc.edu/sage/data-and-models/atlas/maps.php). This showed an almost 98 complete global coverage, with only extreme Mean Annual Temperature (MAT) of very high latitude 99 (below -11.33°C) and Sahelian Africa (above MAT 27.967°C) as well as very high pH (higher than 100 7.757) characterizing some part of North Africa, West Asia and Himalaya missing in our dataset (SI 101 Figure 2). As far as we know, when we conducted this analysis, this dataset was the only available with 102 such precise characterization of soil environment done on the same sample as shotgun metagenomic 103 analysis, making this dataset the most robust for our objective to assess environmental drivers of 104 metagenomic profiles. Nevertheless, potential to extend environmental range by adding all natural 105 (Agricultural and contaminated soil excluded) soil metagenomes available (accession date January 28 2021) from the main sequence repositories MG-RAST (Meyer et al. 2008) and IMG:M (Chen et al.
2019) was also tested. This indicated that adding these data would not have extended environmental
range and would have greatly decreased precision of soil properties characterization (SI Figure 3).

109 *Metagenomic data*

DNA extraction, sequencing, trimming and mapping approaches are detailed in Bahram et al. (2018). In
this study, four community aggregated traits databases were built, corresponding to metagenomic reads
mapping on different functional annotation systems by Bahram et al. (2018). An additional database
was made for this study with genomic traits associated with previously described functional spectrum
(See details below).

115 Characterization of the global metagenomic functional profiles of bacteria communities

Bahram et al. (2018) mapped reads on three commonly used functional databases (KEGG, eggNOG and CAZy). Data were aggregated at the pathway (KEGG), the functional categories (eggNOG) levels and KEGG annotation were used to produce SEED functional modules. CAZy outputs were read annotations to gene families of Glycolysis Hydrolases (GH) and Auxiliary Activities (AA). All reads mapping was done competitively against both prokaryotic and eukaryotic functional databases and best bit score in the alignment and the taxonomic annotation was used to retrieve only reads annotated as bacteria.

In this study, we used output data from these four annotation processes to provide complementary 122 123 classification of functional genes (e.g. eggNOG classes include Motility, Cell envelopes and Defense which are not included in SEED whereas SEED classes include Dormancy and Sporulation, Stress 124 125 response, Virulence, Carbon, Nitrogen and Phosphorus metabolism which are not included in eggNOG). The eggNOG annotation also differed from KEGG and SEED in the construction of orthologous groups 126 127 with eggNOG using non-supervised construction increasing coverage whereas KEGG used supervised 128 construction increasing annotation robustness. To obtain a more precise picture of C acquisition strategy, 129 the CAZy annotates reads abundance were aggregated on the basis of their targeted substrates (Cellulose, Chitin, Glucan, Lignin, Peptidoglycan, Starch/Glycogen, Xylan, Other Animal 130 131 Polysaccharides, Other Plant Polysaccharides, Oligosaccharides) using a curated database (SI Table 1)

based on previous works (Berlemont and Martiny 2015, Nguyen et al. 2018, López-Mondéjar et al. 132 2020). After mapping, for each database, the relative abundance of each gene (or aggregated group of 133 134 genes) was calculated by dividing for each sample the number of reads annotated to the gene (or functional class) by the total number of bacteria-reads annotated for this sample on the same database. 135 Such normalization corrects for variation between samples in the quantity of annotated reads and avoids 136 137 biases induced by contamination and sequencing error (Nayfach and Pollard 2016). It involves that the 138 sum of all relative abundances in a sample equal to 1 and thus, the obtained relative abundances for each 139 gene/functional class inform on how much they are important relative to all the other known functions. 140 This perfectly fits with the aim of our analysis, that is to obtain a signal of trade-off between different functions. 141

142 Characterization of genomic traits associated with previously described bacteria functional spectrum

143 Five genomic traits associated with the previously described bacteria spectrum were calculated in this 144 study to relate our observation with previous observational and theoretical works related to soil bacteria spectrums (Fierer 2017, Malik et al. 2019a, Westoby et al. 2021). Average C acquisition enzyme gene 145 146 copy number was calculated as an indicator of resource acquisition based on the production of 147 extracellular enzymes to depolymerize organic molecules, a central trait in soil bacteria strategy to make 148 resource available (Sinsabaugh and Moorhead 1994, Allison et al. 2010, Malik et al. 2019a). We 149 calculated this genomic trait by normalizing the relative abundance of the sum of GH and AA family 150 (from mapping against CAZy database) by the relative abundance of rpoB gene (COG0085), a well-151 known single copy core gene (Case et al. 2017). Sigma factor copy number was calculated to capture adaptation to sense and react to intermittent stress (Malik et al. 2019a) as their first role is to regulate 152 gene expression in response to a large array of environmental constraints (osmotic, oxidative, nutritional, 153 154 temperature) (Paget 2015, Helmann 2002). This genomic trait was calculated by normalizing the the 155 sum of bacteria-COGs encoding for sigma factor (COG0568 for σD , σS and σH COG1191 for σF , σB , COG1508 for σN and COG1595 for extracytoplasmic function (ECF) sigma factors (Chávez et al. 2020) 156 157 by a single copy core gene (rpoB), as for C-acquisition trait. Normalization to get average gene copy 158 number per genome (as opposed to gene relative abundance) was chosen for C acquisition and Sigma 159 factor traits to make our genomic traits measurement reproducible and our values comparable with future 160 studies. Nevertheless, very similar trends were observed when using both types of normalization (gene 161 copy number per genome vs. relative abundance in the metagenome). For both C acquisition enzyme and sigma factor, we also assessed the correlation between each enzyme class/COG and the final strategy 162 163 indicator (C acquisition enzyme and sigma factor) to assess their relative importance in the final value of these genomic traits. Three additional CATs (genome size, rRNA copy number and GC content) was 164 165 calculated with tools using all sequences as input, after a verification that eukaryotic sequences were 166 negligible (less than 2% of annotated reads for all databases verified for all samples) and therefore the 167 samples mostly captured bacteria. GC content was simply calculated using sequence quality check tool 168 and use as an indicator of stress resistance as it has been associated with adaptation to high rate of DNA damage in the form of double-strand breaks under stationary phase induced by stress like soil desiccation 169 (Weissman et al. 2019). Average genome size was measured using MicrobeCensus (Nayfach and Pollard 170 171 2015) to relate with the versatility dimension described by Westoby et al. (2020). Average rRNA copy number was measured as described in Pereira-Flores et al. (2019) to relate with the fast-slow maximum 172 173 growth rate dimension described by Westoby et al. (2021).

174 Statistical analysis

175 To identify the multivariate axes that best explain the global scale variation in metagenomic community aggregated traits of soil bacteria, we used a multitable co-inertia analysis (MCOA), an exploratory 176 177 analysis that leverages together the information from our 5 databases (genomic traits, eggNOG 178 categories, SEED modules, KEGG pathway, CAZy types). This method identifies co-relationships 179 between the different databases and uses a covariance optimization criterion to summarize in a common structure the information shared by multiple multivariate (eg. omic) tables (Chessel and Hanafi 1996, 180 181 Meng et al. 2014, Piton et al. 2020). All variables (CATs) were log transformed (log X +1) before the 182 analysis to improve normality (Meng et al. 2014) and standardized to a mean of zero and a variance of 183 1.

184 Sample coordinates on the first and second dimension of the MCOA were extracted and used as latent 185 variables representing bacterial community positions in the global functional spectrum. First, linear 186 correlation between bacterial community positions along spectrum dimensions and the relative abundances of bacteria phyla (and classes for Proteobacteria) in the metagenome was assessed. 187 188 Secondly, random forest models were used to identify predictors of these coordinates among potential environmental drivers (soil properties measured on the same sample as metagenome (see Soil sampling 189 and characteristics) and climatic variables extracted from Worldclim2 (BIO1 = Annual Mean 190 191 Temperature, BIO4 = Temperature Seasonality (standard deviation), BIO12 = Annual Precipitation and 192 BIO15 = Precipitation Seasonality (standard deviation)) based on sample geographical coordinates. 193 First, we verified that all selected environmental drivers had spearman correlation coefficients lower 194 than 0.7 to mitigate collinearity problems as recommended in Dormann et al. (2013). Second, a variable 195 selection process was carried out using the method implemented in VSURF R package (Genuer et al. 2015). The number of predictors randomly tested at each node of the random forest tree (mtry) was 196 197 optimized based on randomForest's tuneRF algorithm and the number of trees set to 1000. Third, the 198 random forest models selected following the VSURF selection process were trained using ten-fold cross-199 validation (100 repetitions) implemented in the caret package (Kuhn 2008) and model performance were 200 then assessed based on Root Mean Square Error (RMSE) and R squared. Finally, random forest predictive models were used to project a broad resolution map of the functional spectrum global 201 202 biogeography using environmental maps (1600x1200 pixel) as predictors. For this projection, global 203 soil properties maps (https://daac.ornl.gov/cgi-bin/dsviewer.pl?ds_id=569 and data from Yang et al. (2014) were obtained from ORNL DAAC (http://daac.ornl.gov) NASA data center. Worldclim2 204 205 (https://www.worldclim.org/) was used for climatic variables. To validate the relevance of this broad 206 resolution map to represent average local values, we tested the correlation between local observations 207 and the predicted value of the cell in which the local observation was done.

208 Results

209 The global functional spectrum of soil bacteria communities

A two-dimensional functional spectrum emerged from the co-variations of metagenomic community aggregated traits. Using a multi-table co-inertia analysis (MCOA), the first two dimensions captured 54% of the global soil bacteria genomic variation (Figure 1). The first-dimension (MCOA1, 32%) was

associated with genome size (Figure 1A, Supplementary Figure 4, R² of 0.75 for the correlation of 213 214 MCOA1 with genome size). At one extreme, bacterial communities had small genome encoding for 215 basic growth functions and C acquisition machinery, and were defined as the minimalist (M) profile. On 216 the other extreme, bacterial communities had large genomes with more complex metabolism and 217 resource acquisition strategy, and were defined as the versatile degraders of complex carbons (V) profile. 218 More precisely, metagenomic mapping on the functional databases (eggNOG, KEGG, SEED, CAZy) 219 showed that bacteria community with smaller genomes (M profile) had a higher proportion of gene 220 categories associated with growth metabolism in their annotated genomes (Figure 1, eggNOG: F-221 Nucleotide, H-Coenzyme and E-Amino acid transport and metabolism, D-Cell cycling, J-ribosomes and 222 translation. SEED: DNA and protein metabolisms. KEEG: 4-Arginine & Proline, 13-Cysteine & 223 Methionine, 37-Purine, 41-Ribosome, 12-cofactors and vitamins metabolisms, 36-Proteosome). In 224 these communities, carbon acquisition enzymes involved in last step of organic carbon depolymerization 225 directly providing assimilable carbon from oligosaccharides (eg. beta-glucosidases encoded in GH1, 226 GH2 and GH3 CAZy family dominates oligosaccharides degradation enzyme class) were favored over enzymes targeting polysaccharides. On the opposite end of this first dimension, the more complex 227 228 metabolism and resource acquisition strategy of the large genome C was characterized by an enrichment 229 in accessory genes like genes associated with lignin degrading enzymes (CAZy class), metabolism of derived aromatic compounds (SEED: Metabolism of Aromatic Compounds, KEGG: 6-Aromatics 230 degradation), fungal cell wall degrading enzymes (Chitin and Glucan), as well as virulence genes. 231 These communities were also characterized by higher proportion of genes associated with resistance to 232 antimicrobial compounds or other stresses like membrane and DNA repair related genes (eggNOG: L-233 234 Replication, recombination & repairs, KEGG: 20-Lipid and 21-lipopolysaccharide metabolism) and 235 genes to sense environment and regulate metabolism (sigma factor copy number, KEGG : 48-two component regulatory system). Finally, a large genome was also associated with higher relative 236 237 abundance of energy production related genes (KEGG: 2-ATP synthesis). Thus, covariation patterns 238 along the MCOA1 captured functional variation associated with metabolism and resource acquisition complexity tightly associated with genome size. 239

240 The second dimension (MCOA2) of the bacterial functional spectrum (Figure 1, 21% of the total 241 variation) separated communities according to their metabolism stoichiometry (C- vs. Nutrient-oriented) 242 and their C acquisition enzymes, sigma factors, and rRNA gene copy number (Figure 1, Supplementary 243 Figure 4). On one side of this dimension, bacteria communities showed a specialization of the genomic profile for acquiring and metabolizing energy rich C and for regulating activity, and were defined as the 244 reactive degraders of simple carbons (R) side of the spectrum. On the other side of MCOA2, bacteria 245 246 showed a nutrient oriented metabolism relying on transporters and microbial biomass recycling 247 enzymes, and was defined as the Necromass N-oriented usage side of the spectrum (N). More precisely, 248 C acquisition enzymes gene abundance increased at the R side of the spectrum (Figure 1, Supplementary 249 Figure 4), mostly driven by an increase of CAZy genes encoding for simple substrate targeting 250 Starch/Glycogen and Oligosaccharides classes representing from 57% to 73% of the C acquisition genes. 251 In parallel, sigma factor gene abundance increased (Figure 1, Supplementary Figure 4), firstly driven by 252 extracytoplasmic function (ECF) sigma factors representing from 42 to 61% of sigma factor genes. 253 Associated with higher C acquisition and sigma gene relative abundances, the R side of the second 254 dimension showed a specialization to C metabolism (Figure 1, eggNOG: G-Carbohydrates, SEED: Carbohydrate, KEGG: 45-Sugar metabolism) to process energy (eggNOG : C-Energy production and 255 256 conservation) as well as higher relative abundance of gene categories associated with capacity to 257 regulate activity and build/repair membrane in front of environmental fluctuations (eggNOG: K-258 Transcription and I-Lipid, KEEG: 17-Fatty acid metabolism, 44-Sterol biosynthesis) and secondary 259 metabolism (eggNOG : Q-Secondary metabolites biosynthesis, transport and catabolism, KEGG : 8-260 Biosynthesis of secondary metabolites). At the opposite end of MCOA2, the N profile showed clear 261 specialization for metabolism and transport of mineral and organic nutrients (eggNOG: P-Inorganic ion 262 transport and metabolism, U-Membrane trafficking, SEED: Membrane Transport, Nitrogen and 263 Phosphorus Metabolism. KEGG: transport systems of 26-Mineral & organic ion, 33-Phosphate and 264 amino acid, 32-Peptide and nickel) and their CAZy profile indicated a specialization for acquisition of 265 bacterial necromass compounds (Peptidoglycan) but also to a lower extent fungal biomass (Chitin), cellulose and Xylan. Thus, the second dimension of the spectrum captured variation of metabolism 266 orientation (stoichiometry) and regulation independent of genome size. 267

268 While genome size, C acquisition gene and RNA copy number were tightly linked with only one on of the spectrum, it is noteworthy that GC content and sigma factor showed association with the two 269 270 dimensions (Supplementary Figure 4). Genome GC content showed a negative association with both 271 dimensions indicating a more GC rich genome when bacteria communities get closer to the small genome Minimalist (M) end of the spectrum (R²=0.36) or to a lower extent to the Reactive C-degraders 272 (R) end (R²=0.16). Sigma factor gene abundance was also significantly associated with the two 273 274 dimensions (Supplementary Figure 4), especially with the Reactive C-degraders end of the MCOA2 275 $(R^2=0.44)$ but also with the large genome Versatile (V) end of MCOA1 ($R^2=0.26$). Thus, these genomic 276 traits are able to capture functional variation along one or two dimensions of the spectrum.

277 Relationships between bacteria community composition and the global functional spectrum

We next assessed the relationships between the two MCOA dimensions and phyla (or class for 278 Proteobacteria) relative abundances in the metagenome. Taxa positively correlated with the small 279 genome Minimalist end of the first dimension were Bacteroidetes ($R^2=0.35$), Actinobacteria ($R^2=0.32$) 280 and Chloroflexi (R²=0.08) whereas taxa positively associated with large genome Versatile end were 281 282 Acidobacteria ($R^2=0.45$), Planctomycetes ($R^2=0.21$) and Cyanobacteria ($R^2=0.16$) and the Alpha-283 Proteobacteria (R²=0.72) and Gamma-Proteobacteria (R²=0.38) classes. Taxa positively associated with 284 the Reactive C-degraders end of the second dimension were Actinobacteria (R²=0.40), Firmicutes 285 $(R^2=0.09)$ whereas phyla associated with the microbial necromass and nutrient user (N) end were Bacteroidetes ($R^2=0.20$) and the Beta- ($R^2=0.05$) and Delta-Proteobacteria ($R^2=0.08$) classes. Thus, 286 variation of the functional profile covaried with shift in bacteria community composition at the phylum 287 288 level.



290 Figure 1. Global functional spectrum of soil bacteria metagenomes represented by a multiple co-inertia analysis (MCOA) summarizing in a common structure (MCOA Axes 1 and 2) the information shared by 291 292 5 community aggregated trait (CAT) databases. Variable contribution (Arrow-length) to the common 293 structure for the 5 CAT databases: genomic trait (A), CAZy (B), eggNOG (C), SEED (D), and KEGG 294 (E, with light blue labels for nutrient transport, dark blue for other transport KEGG categories, green 295 label for categories associated with translation and ribosomes, red label for nitrogen metabolism, 296 yellow label for secondary metabolism, white label for other metabolic categories, see SI Table3 and 297 text for KEGG pathways corresponding to numbers on the plot). F plot: sample coordinates (small dots) 298 on the common structure and double arrows representing the color scale used in Figure 2 to represent 299 bacterial community position along the first and second dimension of the MCOA. Letters at each extreme 300 of these dimensions represent extreme profiles (see text for details).

301

302 Environmental drivers and geographic distribution of the global functional spectrum

303 We next assessed how environmental gradients separated bacterial communities across the global 304 functional spectrum. We used metagenome coordinates along the dimension 1 and 2 of the MCOA to 305 represent variation across this spectrum using a random forest model for each dimension. Random 306 forests based on environmental factors were able to predict 78% and 45% of the variation along the 307 dimension 1 and 2 respectively (Figure 2A). These models were used to project a global map describing 308 predicted position of the bacteria communities along dimension 1 and 2 based on broad resolution maps 309 of soil and climate variables (Figure 2. C). Predicted values in these broad cells, representing averaged conditions across a large surface, showed high consistency with values observed locally in our samples 310 311 (p<0.001), with a R² of 0.40 and 0.45 for dimensions 1 and 2 respectively (Supplementary Figure 5). 312 Thus, the global functional spectrum was tightly associated with environmental factors.

More precisely, bacterial community functional profiles (coordinates along the two dimensions of the functional spectrum) shifts along environmental gradients were mainly progressive along the two dimensions, while we observed a few threshold effects indicating clear shifts from one extreme profile to another. Bacterial communities shifted toward small genome Minimalist functional profile (low coordinate on Dimension 1, Figure 1), under neutral to alkaline pH, high soil-P, low annual precipitation
but high precipitation seasonality (Figure 2 B). Conversely, the large genome Versatile functional profile
(high coordinate on Dimension 1, Figure 1), was favored when pH ran below 5.6, especially when soilP content was low and when annual precipitations were high and stable (Figure 2B).

321 These environmental controls predicted Minimalist M profile especially under arid climate concentrated 322 under dry tropical and subtropical latitude as well as in central Asia, Mongolia, and northwest China 323 (Figure 3A). Conversely, the Versatile profile was clearly representative of the bacteria communities 324 from equatorial forests (Figures 3A) but was also predicted in some temperate zones in northern Europe, 325 western Canada, New Zealand and south Chile combining high precipitation and low pH. Intermediate 326 coordinates indicating a profile with medium genome size between extreme M and V profile were predicted for most of the other pedoclimatic zones (subequatorial, temperate and high latitude zones) 327 328 covering most of the global surface.

329 Along the second functional dimension, a clear shift to the Reactive C-degraders profile (low coordinate 330 on Dimension 2, Figure 1) was observed when conditions ran below a threshold of pH 4.6 (Figure 2D) 331 as well as when mean annual temperature (MAT) approached its low and high extremes and when annual precipitation was low. Above the threshold of pH 4.6, bacteria communities showed an intermediate 332 333 position between the two extremes of this dimension but got closer to the R profile when precipitation seasonality increased (large coefficient of variation). On the other hand, bacteria communities shift to 334 335 a more N profile (high coordinate on Dimension 2, Figure 1) when soil pH is above 4.6 and climate 336 conditions are stable and not extreme (Figure 2D).

Extreme R profiles were observed under the most aridic subtropical zone of Africa, Australia and North Mexico (Figure 3B) whereas metagenomes shift toward extreme N profile were only observed under cold temperate zone of Europe, Asia and America but also under some equatorial zones (Southeast Asia and Central America), where precipitation is stable across the year (low seasonality) and soil pH not too acid (> 4.6). As for the first dimension of the global bacterial spectrum, it is noteworthy that most of the global surface is characterized by pedoclimatic conditions predicted to favor an intermediate profile between R and N.



Figure 2. Variable importance (mean decrease in mean square error (%MSE) and R squared estimated
using ten-fold cross validation) from most parsimonious random forest models (A). Partial dependence
plots from most parsimonious random forest (B).

344



349

Figure 3. Predicted global distribution of the bacteria spectrum dimension 1 (A) and 2 (B) based on
broad resolution of mean soil and climate conditions across the globe, with area out of the dataset range
excluded.

353 Discussion

Our results support our hypothesis that the spectrum of soil bacteria community metagenomic profile is dominated by a first functional dimension associated with bacteria community metabolism versatility and a second dimension capturing metabolism reactivity. Our community aggregated trait spectrum is 357 partially consistent with the spectrum across cultured bacterial taxa described by Westoby et al. (2021) 358 describing one dimension associated with versatility (captured by genome size) and one dimension 359 associated with a slow vs fast growth rate (captured by rRNA copies). This suggests that the functional 360 tradeoffs in bacterial tree of life, captured by genomic traits, play a central role in bacteria adaptation and community organization along environmental gradients and scale up to the level of the bacterial 361 community functional profiles. Indeed, ranges of genome size (median=6.8Mb, minimum=5.2Mb and 362 363 maximum=10.3Mb) observed in our community level spectrum matched with patterns reported for soil 364 bacteria isolates by Westoby et al. (2021). This equivalent range and the fact that both studies indicate 365 genome size to capture the first functional dimension suggest a tight connection between taxa and 366 community level spectrums. However, on another hand, rRNA copy variation observed in our study is 367 highly constrained between 1 and 1.5 copies, a range consistent with the study of Gao and Wu (2018), 368 recently reporting that the large majority of soil bacteria have less than 2 rRNA copies, whereas bacteria 369 from other environments can have up to 15 copies. Hence, global dominance of bacteria carrying few 370 copies in soil drive our small range of community level aggregated values, but this does not exclude that 371 some bacteria with more copies might be present in the soil community (eg. Li et al. 2019). This 372 constraint range of rRNA copies for community aggregated traits might explain that Westoby et al. 373 (2021) showed rRNA copy tightly related with the second slow-fast dimension of their taxa level 374 spectrum, whereas our community level study showed other genomic traits (eg. C acquisition enzymes 375 and sigma factor) better capturing a second dimension associated with reactivity rate. Indeed, under the 376 oligotrophic condition of soil, the reactivity of bacteria to new conditions might be more associated with 377 their ability to sense environmental stimuli and regulate their activity and to produce resource acquisition 378 enzymes than just to grow rapidly. Overall, our results describe a two dimensional spectrum at the 379 community levels, consistent with the idea that bacteria versatility and reactivity dominate their bacterial 380 functional spectrum in soil.

We next detailed the functional profiles of bacteria communities across this versatility and reactivity dimensions using metagenome mapping on general functional databases and assess environmental conditions driving these different profiles. At the small genome end of the first dimension, bacteria 384 showed a genome streamlined to the basic growth and resource acquisition functions (DNA and protein metabolism, oligosaccharide acquisition), consistent with patterns previously described across free-385 386 living bacteria genomes (Konstantinidis and Tiedje 2003). Such a minimal versatility profile suggests a high streamlining pressure and/or low fitness benefit to gain new capability (Guieysse and Wuertz 387 2012). pH near neutrality and high soil P content combined with challenging precipitation patterns (low 388 389 precipitation and high seasonality) select this small genome profile. We suggest that survival constraint 390 of their environment due to precipitation pattern might amplify genome streamlining for economic 391 reasons while low abiotic constraint on physiology (neutral pH and high P content) might limit fitness 392 gain associated with gene addition. Consistent with adaptation to stress, this small genome profile 393 showed higher GC content, as observed by Chuckran et al. (2021), which might represent adaptation to 394 minimize damage during stressing stationary phases (Weissman et al. 2019) and C cost (Hellweger et 395 al. 2018). Moving along the first dimension, bacteria communities run to more complex, larger genomes, 396 carrying the machinery in the genome to access resources with low return on investment (eg. Complex 397 carbons like lignin and other plant polysaccharides). Selection of such energetically costly strategy 398 suggest that high return on investment resource (eg. oligosaccharides) are not directly available because 399 of low provisioning or intense competition. Increased versatility associated with this large genome size 400 (Westoby et al. 2021), combined with metabolism regulation capacity (sigma factor genes) might also 401 be beneficial for competitiveness by enabling bacteria to shift between different resources when 402 resources become scarce. Consistent with an intense competition, this profile is selected under stable 403 and wet climate conditions that involve low constraint on survival traits, making competition to access 404 nutritional resource the main selecting force (Grime et al. 1977). This transition from small to large 405 genome profile is also clearly linked with low soil pH, with a steep transition to large genomes observed 406 especially between pH 6.6 and 5.6. This tight association might be directly linked with the difference in 407 pH optimum of soil bacteria that are known to be narrow (Jones et al. 2021), with adaptation to low pH 408 involving a metabolic specialization requiring gene addition. This association might also be linked with 409 the numerous soil characteristics directly or indirectly linked with pH (eg. nutrient availability, aluminum solubility, plant communities and organic carbon inputs). Consistent with this idea, the 410 threshold of 5.6 below which V profile clearly dominates is consistent with the breaking point at which 411

412 toxic Al3+ ion becomes soluble (5.5) with demonstrated consequences for microbial physiology (Jones 413 et al. 2019). Indeed, such acidic conditions are challenging for bacteria who require molecular costly 414 adaptation to maintain cytoplasm homeostasis and cope with Aluminum toxicity (Fernández-Calviño et 415 al. 2011, Auger et al. 2013, Jones et al. 2019). The enrichment of their genome with genes encoding for energy production, DNA and membrane repair and ion transporters that we observed might represent an 416 417 adaptation to these acidic conditions. Overall, our results indicate that genome size and associated 418 metabolic complexity (basic growth function vs. more complex metabolism and resource acquisition 419 increasing versatility) are central components of global functional variation of soil bacteria communities 420 and are mainly associated with soil pH and precipitation patterns.

421 The second dimension of our spectrum depicts functional variation associated with metabolism reactivity independent of genome size and tightly linked with different resource acquisition strategy and 422 423 metabolism stoichiometry (ie. C- vs. Nutrient-oriented). It runs from a C-oriented and regulated R 424 profile to nutrient-oriented bacteria relying on transporters and microbial necromass recycling (N profile). More precisely, when pH is low (below a threshold of 4.6 for which we don't have explanation) 425 and when climatic patterns restrict growing window (extreme temperatures and low precipitations with 426 427 high seasonality), R bacteria combine capacity to sense condition and to grow and acquire C rapidly during favorable periods (eg. sigma factor, energy rich C usage, and high rRNA copies associated with 428 faster growth after resource pulse (Li et al. 2019)). Running to the other side of this dimension, bacteria 429 430 profiles N depict a transporter based resource acquisition profile (Malik et al. 2019a) specialized in 431 acquiring nutrients and in recycling microbial Nitrogen-rich necromass (ie. peptidoglycan and chitin), 432 two attributes expected to optimize competitive resource use efficiency (Malik et al. 2019a, Krause et 433 al. 2014, Malik et al. 2019a). Their environment (neutral pH, medium temperature and precipitation and 434 low seasonality) are favorable for resource acquisition (Sinsabaugh and Shah 2012), biomass turnover 435 and yield (Zheng et al. 2019, Buckeridge et al. 2020) likely making of this transporter based resource 436 acquisition and biomass recycling an efficient strategy. Overall, these results show that metabolism 437 regulation to react rapidly, resource acquisition (depolymerization vs transporter and recycling based) and metabolism stoichiometry (Carbon vs nutrient oriented) are structuring a second dimension in global 438

soil bacterial communities' functional spectrum along a gradient from low to high pH and climaticconstraints.

441 This community level spectrum across environmental gradients can contain a signal of the strategy 442 variation across organisms dominating these communities (eg. for plants in Bruelheide et al. 2018). Here 443 we discuss how our community level spectrum might capture such signals for soil bacteria relying on 444 the Competitive-Stress Tolerant-Ruderal frameworks (Grime 1977, Krause 2014, Fierer 2017). 445 Functional attributes of the Versatile degraders of complex carbons described in this study match with 446 several features previously proposed for competitor strategies like large genome and high catabolic 447 diversity (Fierer et al. 2017) and their stable environment is theoretically expected to select for 448 competitiveness (Grime et al. 1977) suggesting that dominance of such strategy might have imprinted 449 the community V profile. Minimalist bacteria community profile does not especially match with traits 450 previously proposed to represent stress tolerant strategies (Westoby et al. 2021, Fierer et al. 2017) but 451 their environment is likely constrain their physiology (water stress and C-limitation), inviting for more investigation on the role of genome simplification in stress tolerance (eg. Weissman et al. 2019, 452 Simonsen et al. (2022)). Reactive degraders of simple carbons (ie. R profile) described here shared 453 several genomic features proposed for ruderal strategy like responsiveness and rRNA copy number 454 455 (Krause et al. 2014, Fierer et al. 2017) and also environmental properties expected to select this strategy 456 (high precipitation seasonality). Finally, the microbial necromass and nutrient user N profile showed 457 genomic features that have been proposed to be associated with the transporter based resource 458 acquisition strategy of Malik et al. (2019), which can be seen as an alternative competitor strategy 459 different from depolymerization and versatility based competitor profile. The microbial necromass 460 recycling genomic signature of this N profile also invites more investigation of this function in bacteria strategy. Overall, our community spectrum brings some new elements in genomic features potentially 461 462 playing a key role in bacteria adaptation and community organization across global soil gradients.

463 This study provides for the first time a global picture of the soil bacteria community functional spectrum 464 but also highlights three challenges. First, the genomic spectrum relies on sequences successfully 465 assigned on different databases and we observed that mapping coverage on eggNOG, KEGG and SEED 466 databases decreases along the first dimension of our spectrum running from small simple genome to 467 more complex large genome (Sup Figure 6). This highlights that genes associated with large and more 468 complex genomes, especially characteristics of equatorial forest, are less represented in databases and need more investigation. We can expect that increasing representation of these complex genomes in 469 databases might accentuate even more the trend from basic to complex metabolism captured in our 470 spectrum as genes associated with basic metabolism are likely the best represented in current databases. 471 472 Second, it is noteworthy that environmental factors identified here as the main drivers of the global 473 spectrum (ie. pH and precipitation pattern) also affect plant community functioning globally (eg. Le Bagousse-Pinguet et al. 2017, Bruelheide et al. 2018). The central role of plant compounds degradation 474 475 enzymes in structuring our global spectrum calls for deeper investigation of the links with plant 476 community properties to fully elucidate how bacterial community functional variations are shaped 477 worldwide. Third, it is important to note that our global projection (Figure 3) aims at giving a picture of 478 the general biogeographic patterns in bacteria community profiles but values predicted for these broad 479 cells can be dissociated from the local situation of soils far from average regional (cell) condition (Sup 480 Figure 5). Indeed, soil pH and moisture not only vary globally but can also greatly vary at local scale because of bedrock or land use history variations (eg. Malik al. 2018). Thus, transposition of our global 481 scale spectrum at local scale using our global projection needs to be considered with caution. Despite 482 483 these challenges, our study demonstrates how metagenomic approaches can provide significant advance 484 in our understanding of microbial communities functioning.

485 Acknowledgements

We thank Leho Tedersoo and Peer Bork who conceived and supervised the acquisition of the global dataset used in this study with Mohammad Bahram and Falk Hildebrand. We also wish to thank all their collaborators who contribute to this global data acquisition effort. We also thank Alyse Larkin and Lucas Ustick for their guidance in the bioinformatic analysis conducted in this study. This work was supported by the U.S. Department of Energy, Office of Science, Office of Biological and Environmental Research grants DE-SC0016410 and DE-SC0020382. FH was supported by the European Research Council H2020 StG (erc-stg-948219, EPYC) and the Biotechnology and Biological Sciences research Council 493 (BBSrC) Institute Strategic Program Gut Microbes and Health BB/r012490/1 and its constituent project
494 BBS/e/F/000Pr10355.

495 Authors contributions

- 496 Data collection was designed and supervised by M.B. Initial bioinformatics analysis to obtain
- 497 functional genes abundance tables (eggNOG, KEGG, SEED, CAZy) was designed and performed by
- 498 F.H. Idea of this new analysis was conceived by G.P. with inputs from A.M., S.A, J.M. and K.T. New
- 499 quantification of genomic traits and data analysis was performed by G.P. First draft and following
- 500 editing was conducted by G.P. with inputs from all co-authors.

501 References

- Ackerly, D. D. 2003. Community assembly, niche conservatism, and adaptive evolution in changing
 environments. International Journal of Plant Sciences 164:S165–S184.
- Auger, C., S. Han, V. P. Appanna, S. C. Thomas, G. Ulibarri, and V. D. Appanna. 2013. Metabolic
 reengineering invoked by microbial systems to decontaminate aluminum: implications for
 bioremediation technologies. Biotechnology advances 31:266–273.
- 507 Bahram, M., F. Hildebrand, S. K. Forslund, J. L. Anderson, N. A. Soudzilovskaia, P. M. Bodegom, J.
- 508 Bengtsson-Palme, S. Anslan, L. P. Coelho, H. Harend, and others. 2018. Structure and function of the
- 509 global topsoil microbiome. Nature 560:233–237.
- Berlemont, R., and A. C. Martiny. 2015. Genomic potential for polysaccharide deconstruction in
 bacteria. Appl. Environ. Microbiol. 81:1513–1519.
- 512 Bruelheide, H., J. Dengler, O. Purschke, J. Lenoir, B. Jiménez-Alfaro, S. M. Hennekens, Z. Botta-Dukát,
- 513 M. Chytr, R. Field, F. Jansen, and others. 2018. Global trait–environment relationships of plant
 514 communities. Nature Ecology & Evolution 2:1906–1917.
- 515 Buckeridge, K. M., Mason, K. E., McNamara, N. P., Ostle, N., Puissant, J., Goodall, T., ... & Whitaker,
- 516 J. (2020). Environmental and microbial controls on microbial necromass recycling, an important
- 517 precursor for soil carbon stabilization. *Communications Earth & Environment*, 1(1), 1-9.

- Chávez, J., D. P. Devos, and E. Merino. 2020. Complementary tendencies in the use of regulatory
 elements (transcription factors, sigma factors, and riboswitches) in bacteria and archaea. Journal of
 bacteriology 203:413–20.
- 521 Chen, I.-M. A., K. Chu, K. Palaniappan, M. Pillay, A. Ratner, J. Huang, M. Huntemann, N. Varghese,
 522 J. R. White, R. Seshadri, and others. 2019. IMG/M v. 5.0: an integrated data management and
 523 comparative analysis system for microbial genomes and microbiomes. Nucleic acids research 47:D666–
 524 D677.
- 525 Chessel, D., and M. Hanafi. 1996. Analyses de la co-inertie de K nuages de points. Revue de statistique
 526 appliquée 44:35–60.
- 527 Chuckran, P. F., B. A. Hungate, E. Schwartz, and P. Dijkstra. 2021. Soil, ocean, hot spring, and host528 associated environments reveal unique selection pressures on genomic features of bacteria in microbial
 529 communities. bioRxiv.
- Cotrufo, M. F., M. D. Wallenstein, C. M. Boot, K. Denef, and E. Paul. 2013. The Microbial EfficiencyMatrix Stabilization (MEMS) framework integrates plant litter decomposition with soil organic matter
 stabilization: do labile plant inputs form stable soil organic matter? Global Change Biology 19:988–
 995.
- Crowther, T. W., J. Van den Hoogen, J. Wan, M. A. Mayes, A. Keiser, L. Mo, C. Averill, and D. S.
 Maynard. 2019. The global soil community and its influence on biogeochemistry. Science
 365:eaav0550.
- 537 Delgado-Baquerizo, M., A. M. Oliverio, T. E. Brewer, A. Benavent-González, D. J. Eldridge, R. D.
 538 Bardgett, F. T. Maestre, B. K. Singh, and N. Fierer. 2018. A global atlas of the dominant bacteria found
 539 in soil. Science 359:320–325.
- 540 Diaz, S., J. Kattge, J. H. Cornelissen, I. J. Wright, S. Lavorel, S. Dray, B. Reu, M. Kleyer, C. Wirth, I.
- 541 C. Prentice, and others. 2016. The global spectrum of plant form and function. Nature 529:167.

- 542 Diaz, S., S. Lavorel, F. de Bello, F. Quétier, K. Grigulis, and T. M. Robson. 2007. Incorporating plant
 543 functional diversity effects in ecosystem service assessments. Proceedings of the National Academy of
 544 Sciences 104:20684–20689.
- 545 Dormann, C. F., J. Elith, S. Bacher, C. Buchmann, G. Carl, G. Carré, J. R. G. Marquéz, B. Gruber, B.
- Lafourcade, P. J. Leitao, and others. 2013. Collinearity: a review of methods to deal with it and a
 simulation study evaluating their performance. Ecography 36:27–46.
- 548 Escalas, A., L. Hale, J. W. Voordeckers, Y. Yang, M. K. Firestone, L. Alvarez-Cohen, and J. Zhou.
- 549 2019. Microbial functional diversity: From concepts to applications. Ecology and evolution 9:12000–
 550 12016.
- 551 Fernández-Calviño, D., J. Rousk, P. C. Brookes, and E. Bååth. 2011. Bacterial pH-optima for growth
- track soil pH, but are higher than expected at low pH. Soil Biology and Biochemistry 43:1569–1575.
- Fierer, N. 2017. Embracing the unknown: disentangling the complexities of the soil microbiome. Nature
 Reviews Microbiology 15:579–590.
- Fierer, N., A. Barberán, and D. C. Laughlin. 2014. Seeing the forest for the genes: using metagenomics
 to infer the aggregated traits of microbial communities. Frontiers in microbiology 5.
- 557 Fierer, N., Lauber, C. L., Ramirez, K. S., Zaneveld, J., Bradford, M. A., & Knight, R. 2012. Comparative
- 558 metagenomic, phylogenetic and physiological analyses of soil microbial communities across nitrogen
- 559 gradients. *The ISME journal*, *6*(5), 1007-1017.
- Fierer, N., M. A. Bradford, and R. B. Jackson. 2007. Toward an ecological classification of soil bacteria.
 Ecology 88:1354–1364.
- 562
- Gao, Y., and M. Wu. 2018. Free-living bacterial communities are mostly dominated by oligotrophs.
 bioRxiv:350348.

- Garnier, E., J. Cortez, G. Billès, M.-L. Navas, C. Roumet, M. Debussche, G. Laurent, A. Blanchard, D.
 Aubry, A. Bellmann, and others. 2004. Plant functional markers capture ecosystem properties during
 secondary succession. Ecology 85:2630–2637.
- Genuer, R., J.-M. Poggi, and C. Tuleau-Malot. 2015. VSURF: an R package for variable selection using
 random forests. The R Journal 7:19–33.
- 570 Green, J. L., B. J. Bohannan, and R. J. Whitaker. 2008. Microbial biogeography: from taxonomy to
 571 traits. science 320:1039–1043.
- 572 Grime, J. P. 1977. Evidence for the existence of three primary strategies in plants and its relevance to

573 ecological and evolutionary theory. The American Naturalist 111:1169–1194.

- Guieysse, B., & Wuertz, S. (2012). Metabolically versatile large-genome prokaryotes. *Current Opinion in Biotechnology*, *23*(3), 467-473.
- Hellweger, F. L., Y. Huang, and H. Luo. 2018. Carbon limitation drives GC content evolution of a
 marine bacterium in an individual-based genome-scale model. The ISME journal 12:1180–1187.
- 578 Helmann, J. D. 2002. The extracytoplasmic function (ECF) sigma factors.
- Jones, D. L., E. C. Cooledge, F. C. Hoyle, R. I. Griffiths, and D. V. Murphy. 2019. pH and exchangeable
 aluminum are major regulators of microbial energy flow and carbon use efficiency in soil microbial
 communities. Soil Biology and Biochemistry:107584.
- Jones, B., Goodall, T., George, P. B., Gweon, H. S., Puissant, J., Read, D. S., ... & Griffiths, R. I. (2021).
- Beyond Taxonomic Identification: Integration of ecological responses to a soil bacterial 16S rRNA gene
 database. *Frontiers in microbiology*, *12*.
- Konstantinidis, K. T., & Tiedje, J. M. (2004). Trends between gene content and genome size in
 prokaryotic species with larger genomes. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, 101(9),
 3160-3165.

- 588 Krause, S., X. Le Roux, P. A. Niklaus, P. M. Van Bodegom, J. T. Lennon, S. Bertilsson, H.-P. Grossart,
 589 L. Philippot, and P. L. Bodelier. 2014. Trait-based approaches for understanding microbial biodiversity
 590 and ecosystem functioning. Frontiers in Microbiology 5.
- Kuhn, M. 2008. Building predictive models in R using the caret package. Journal of statistical software28:1–26.
- Lajoie, G., and S. W. Kembel. 2019. Making the most of trait-based approaches for microbial ecology.Trends in microbiology.
- Lauber, C.L., Hamady, M., Knight, R., Fierer, N., 2009. Pyrosequencing-based assessment of soil pH
- as a predictor of soil bacterial community structure at the continental scale. Applied and Environmental
 Microbiology 75, 5111–5120.
- 598 Laughlin, D.C., Abella, S.R., Covington, W.W., Grace, J.B., 2007. Species
- Lavorel, S., and E. Garnier. 2002. Predicting changes in community composition and ecosystem
 functioning from plant traits: revisiting the Holy Grail. Functional ecology 16:545–556.
- Le Bagousse-Pinguet, Y., Gross, N., Maestre, F. T., Maire, V., de Bello, F., Fonseca, C. R., ... &
 Liancourt, P. (2017). Testing the environmental filtering concept in global drylands. Journal of Ecology,
 105(4), 1058-1069.
- Li, J., R. L. Mau, P. Dijkstra, B. J. Koch, E. Schwartz, X.-J. A. Liu, E. M. Morrissey, S. J. Blazewicz,
- J. Pett-Ridge, B. W. Stone, and others. 2019. Predictive genomic traits for bacterial growth in culture
 versus actual growth in soil. The ISME journal 13:2162–2172.
- 607 López-Mondéjar, R., V. Tláskal, T. Vetrovsk, M. Štursová, R. Toscan, U. N. da Rocha, and P. Baldrian.
- 608 2020. Metagenomics and stable isotope probing reveal the complementary contribution of fungal and
- bacterial communities in the recycling of dead biomass in forest soil. Soil Biology and Biochemistry
- **610** 148:107875.

- 611 Madin, J. S., D. A. Nielsen, M. Brbic, R. Corkrey, D. Danko, K. Edwards, M. K. Engqvist, N. Fierer, J.
- L. Geoghegan, M. Gillings, and others. 2020. A synthesis of bacterial and archaeal phenotypic trait data.
 Scientific Data 7:1–8.
- Malik, A. A., J. B. H. Martiny, E. L. Brodie, A. C. Martiny, K. K. Treseder, and S. D. Allison. 2019a.
- 615 Defining trait-based microbial strategies with consequences for soil carbon cycling under climate616 change. The ISME Journal 14:1–9.
- 617 Malik, A. A., J. Puissant, K. M. Buckeridge, T. Goodall, N. Jehmlich, S. Chowdhury, H. S. Gweon, J.
- M. Peyton, K. E. Mason, M. van Agtmaal, and others. 2018. Land use driven change in soil pH affects
 microbial carbon cycling processes. Nature communications 9:3591.
- 620 Malik, A. A., T. Swenson, C. Weihe, E. Morrison, J. B. Martiny, E. L. Brodie, T. R. Northen, and S. D.
- Allison. 2019b. Physiological adaptations of leaf litter microbial communities to long-term drought.BioRxiv:631077.
- Martiny, A. C. 2019. High proportions of bacteria are culturable across major biomes. The ISME Journal
 13:2125–2128.
- 625
- Martiny, A. C. 2020. The "1% culturability paradigm" needs to be carefully defined. The ISME journal
 14:10–11.
- 628
- Meng, C., B. Kuster, A. C. Culhane, and A. M. Gholami. 2014. A multivariate approach to the
 integration of multi-omics datasets. BMC bioinformatics 15:1–13.
- 631 Meyer, F., D. Paarmann, M. D'Souza, R. Olson, E. M. Glass, M. Kubal, T. Paczian, A. Rodriguez, R.
- 632 Stevens, A. Wilke, and others. 2008. The metagenomics RAST server–a public resource for the
 633 automatic phylogenetic and functional analysis of metagenomes. BMC bioinformatics 9:1–8.
- Nayfach, S., and K. S. Pollard. 2015. Average genome size estimation improves comparative
 metagenomics and sheds light on the functional ecology of the human microbiome. Genome biology
- **636** 16:1–18.

- Nayfach, S., and K. S. Pollard. 2016. Toward accurate and quantitative comparative metagenomics. Cell
 166:1103–1116.
- Nguyen, S. T., H. L. Freund, J. Kasanjian, and R. Berlemont. 2018. Function, distribution, and
 annotation of characterized cellulases, xylanases, and chitinases from CAZy. Applied microbiology and
 biotechnology 102:1629–1637.
- Okie, J. G., A. T. Poret-Peterson, Z. M. Lee, A. Richter, L. D. Alcaraz, L. E. Eguiarte, J. L. Siefert, V.
 Souza, C. L. Dupont, and J. J. Elser. 2020. Genomic adaptations in information processing underpin
 trophic strategy in a whole-ecosystem nutrient enrichment experiment. Elife 9:e49816.
- Paget, M. S. 2015. Bacterial sigma factors and anti-sigma factors: structure, function and distribution.
 Biomolecules 5:1245–1265.
- Pereira-Flores, E., F. O. Glöckner, and A. Fernandez-Guerra. 2019. Fast and accurate average genome
 size and 16S rRNA gene average copy number computation in metagenomic data. BMC bioinformatics
 20:1–13.
- Pérez-Ramos, I. M., C. Roumet, P. Cruz, A. Blanchard, P. Autran, and E. Garnier. 2012. Evidence for
 a "plant community economics spectrum" driven by nutrient and water limitations in a Mediterranean
 rangeland of southern France. Journal of Ecology 100:1315–1327.
- Piton, G., N. Legay, C. Arnoldi, S. Lavorel, J. C. Clément, and A. Foulquier. 2020. Using proxies of
 microbial community-weighted means traits to explain the cascading effect of management intensity,
 soil and plant traits on ecosystem resilience in mountain grasslands. Journal of Ecology 108:876–893.
- Pold, G., L. A. Domeignoz-Horta, E. W. Morrison, S. D. Frey, S. A. Sistla, and K. M. DeAngelis. 2020.
 Carbon Use Efficiency and Its Temperature Sensitivity Covary in Soil Bacteria. mBio 11.
- Reich, P. B., I. Wright, J. Cavender-Bares, J. Craine, J. Oleksyn, M. Westoby, and M. Walters. 2003.
- 659 The evolution of plant functional variation: traits, spectra, and strategies. International Journal of Plant
- 660 Sciences 164:S143–S164.

- Shipley, B., D. Vile, and É. Garnier. 2006. From plant traits to plant communities: a statistical
 mechanistic approach to biodiversity. science 314:812–814.
- 663 Simonsen, A. K. (2022). Environmental stress leads to genome streamlining in a widely distributed
- species of soil bacteria. *The ISME journal*, *16*(2), 423-434.
- Sinsabaugh, R. L., & Shah, J. J. F. (2012). Ecoenzymatic stoichiometry and ecological theory. *Annual Review of Ecology, Evolution and Systematics*, *43*(313), 2012.
- Slonczewski, J. L., M. Fujisawa, M. Dopson, and T. A. Krulwich. 2009. Cytoplasmic pH measurement
 and homeostasis in bacteria and archaea. Advances in microbial physiology 55:1–317.
- 669 Sorensen, J. W., Dunivin, T. K., Tobin, T. C., & Shade, A. (2019). Ecological selection for small
- 670 microbial genomes along a temperate-to-thermal soil gradient. *Nature microbiology*, *4*(1), 55-61.
- Southwood, T. R. 1977. Habitat, the templet for ecological strategies? Journal of animal ecology46:337–365.
- Starke, R., D. Morais, T. Vetrovsk, R. Lopez Mondejar, P. Baldrian, and V. Brabcová. 2020. Feeding
 on fungi: genomic and proteomic analysis of the enzymatic machinery of bacteria decomposing fungal
 biomass. Environmental Microbiology 22:4604–4619.
- 676 Steen, A. D., A. Crits-Christoph, P. Carini, K. M. DeAngelis, N. Fierer, K. G. Lloyd, and J. C. Thrash.
- 677 2019. High proportions of bacteria and archaea across most biomes remain uncultured. The ISME678 journal 13:3126–3130.
- 679
- Violle, C., M.-L. Navas, D. Vile, E. Kazakou, C. Fortunel, I. Hummel, and E. Garnier. 2007. Let the
 concept of trait be functional! Oikos 116:882–892.
- 682 Wallenstein, M. D., and E. K. Hall. 2012. A trait-based framework for predicting when and where
- microbial adaptation to climate change will affect ecosystem functioning. Biogeochemistry 109:35–47.
- 684 Weissman, J. L., W. F. Fagan, and P. L. Johnson. 2019. Linking high GC content to the repair of double
- strand breaks in prokaryotic genomes. PLoS genetics 15:e1008493.

- Westoby, M., M. R. Gillings, J. S. Madin, D. A. Nielsen, I. T. Paulsen, and S. G. Tetu. 2021. Trait
 dimensions in bacteria and archaea compared to vascular plants. Ecology Letters.
- Wieder, W. R., G. B. Bonan, and S. D. Allison. 2013. Global soil carbon projections are improved by
 modelling microbial processes. Nature Climate Change 3:909–912.
- 690 Wright, I. J., P. B. Reich, M. Westoby, D. D. Ackerly, Z. Baruch, F. Bongers, J. Cavender-Bares, T.
- 691 Chapin, J. H. Cornelissen, M. Diemer, and others. 2004. The worldwide leaf economics spectrum.692 Nature 428:821.
- Ku, X., J. P. Schimel, P. E. Thornton, X. Song, F. Yuan, and S. Goswami. 2014. Substrate and
 environmental controls on microbial assimilation of soil organic carbon: a framework for Earth system
 models. Ecology letters 17:547–555.
- Yang, X., W.M. Post, P.E. Thornton, and A. Jain. 2014. Global Gridded Soil Phosphorus Distribution
 Maps at 0.5-degree Resolution. Data set. Available on-line [http://daac.ornl.gov] from Oak Ridge
 National Laboratory Distributed Active Archive Center, Oak Ridge, Tennessee, USA.
 <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.3334/ORNLDAAC/1223</u>
- Zheng, Q., Hu, Y., Zhang, S., Noll, L., Böckle, T., Richter, A., & Wanek, W. (2019). Growth explains
 microbial carbon use efficiency across soils differing in land use and geology. *Soil Biology and Biochemistry*, 128, 45-55.

703

Supplementary Files

This is a list of supplementary files associated with this preprint. Click to download.

 $\bullet \ Supplementary Information Global functional spectrum of soil bacteria.pdf$