Effects of Different Straw Biochar Combined With Microbial Inoculants on Soil Environment of Ginseng

Yuqi Qi

Jilin Agricultural University

Haolang Liu

Jilin Agricultural University

Jihong Wang (✉ wjh489@126.com)

Jilin Agricultural University

Yingping Wang

Jilin Agricultural University

Research Article

Keywords: Microbial agent, Ginseng, Biochar, Soil remediation, Microbiota

Posted Date: February 13th, 2021

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.21203/rs.3.rs-189319/v1>

License: © ⓘ This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License. [Read Full License](#)

Version of Record: A version of this preprint was published at Scientific Reports on July 19th, 2021. See the published version at <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-021-94209-1>.

Abstract

Ginseng is an important cash crop. The long-term continuous cropping of ginseng causes the imbalance of soil environment and the exacerbation of soil-borne diseases, which affects the healthy development of ginseng industry. In this study, ginseng continuous cropping soil was treated with microbial inocula using broad-spectrum biocontrol microbial strain *Frankia* F1. Wheat straw, rice straw and corn straw were the best carrier materials for microbial inoculum. After treatment with microbial inoculum prepared with corn stalk biochar, the soil pH value, organic matter, total nitrogen, available nitrogen, available phosphorus, and available potassium were increased by 11.18%, 55.43%, 33.07%, 26.70%, 16.40%, and 9.10%, the activities of soil urease, catalase and sucrase increased by 52.73%, 16.80% and 43.80%, respectively. A Metagenomic showed that after the application of microbial inoculum prepared from with corn stalk biochar, soil microbial OTUs, Chao1 index, Shannon index, and Simpson index increased by 19.86%, 16.05%, 28.83%, and 3.16%, respectively. Three classes (*Alphaproteobacteria*, *Gammaproteobacteria* and *Sphingobacteria*) were the dominant bacteria in ginseng soil, and their abundance increased by 7.87%, 9.81% and 1.24%, respectively, after treatment with microbial inoculum (corn stalk biochar). Results indicated that the most effective treatment in ginseng soil could be the combined application of corn stalk biochar and *Frankia* F1.

Introduction

Ginseng (*Panax ginseng* Meyer) is a valuable medicinal plant. Its root is used to enhance organ function and prevent various disorders^{1,2,3}. This plant is widely cultivated in China, North Korea, South Korea, Japan, and Russia; the Changbai Mountain area is the major producer of ginseng in the world, accounting for 80% of the total production of ginseng in China and 60–70% of global production⁴. However, long-term, continuous, crop rotation has created favorable conditions for the reproduction and spread of pathogenic fungi that affect ginseng production. This practice has allowed diseases to become increasingly prominent, threatening crop yield and quality⁵. Moreover, ginseng pathogenic fungi are extremely resilient, surviving within infected soil and diseased tissue even through winter⁶. More than 70% of ginseng crops may die if the land is infected, making this disease extremely detrimental to agricultural profits⁷.

At present, biological control has been reported in many crops^{8,9}. Biocontrol practices are the current focus of disease control research because they are environmentally stable, nontoxic, and efficient. Therefore, the application of biological pesticides and the development of microbial fungicides are the inevitable trend in plant disease control. Studies in China and other countries have shown that various antagonistic antifungal agents such as *Chaetomium globosum*¹⁰ and *Bacillus amyloliquefaciens* can inhibit ginseng pathogens¹¹.

Biochar is a kind of stable and highly aromatic solid material produced by pyrolysis and carbonization of biomass at high temperature under oxygen limitation. It has a unique structural characteristics and has attracted wide attention. Its physical structure and chemical properties have good benefits to soil, and it is a potential soil conditioner and adsorbent^{12,13}. Not only can biochar reduce nutrient leaching^{14,15} and improve soil structure, it can also provide nutrients and living niches for soil microorganisms¹⁶, reduce the competition among microbes, protect the beneficial soil microorganisms¹⁷, improve the soil microbial community structure, and enhance soil bacterial diversity^{18,19,20}. Biochar may be an effective way to improve the quality of acid soils²¹. For example, Mao et al.²² pointed out that adding bamboo charcoal and commercial microbial inoculum to pig manure composting caused changes in the relative abundance of *Firmicutes* and *Proteobacteria* in the bacterial community during the high-

temperature period of composting, thus reducing the production of CH₄, N₂O and NH₃ by 69%-80%, 45% and 19%-29%, respectively. Duan et al.²³ found that, compared with the control treatment, the addition of wheat stalk charcoal and microbial inocula (extracted from fresh cow dung) into cow dung compost significantly increased the number and abundance of microorganisms in the compost.

Frankia is a kind of actinomycetes that can form nodules in the roots of non-leguminous plants and perform symbiotic nitrogen fixation²⁴. Plants that can form nodule with *Frankia* symbiosis are collectively called actinomycetes nodule plants. They have strong symbiotic nitrogen fixation ability and are widely distributed. They are important nitrogen suppliers in terrestrial ecosystems, so they have a wide application prospect in agriculture and forestry. Thus, *Frankia* has the potential to be used in nature as a powerful resource²⁵. Other studies have found that *Frankia*, like other actinomycetes, can produce antimicrobial substances that inhibit the growth of microorganisms, such as *Escherichia coli*, *Staphylococcus aureus*, *Candida albicans*, and *Penicillium chrysogenum*²⁶. Lihua²⁷ showed that *Frankia* could improve the nutrient composition, organic matter, total nitrogen, available potassium and available nitrogen contents in *Casuarina casuarina* forest land, with the increase in available nitrogen being the largest. The biocontrol effect of actinomycetes on plant diseases depends on the stability of their colonization in the environment, which is affected by many factors, such as temperature, humidity, soil type, pH, nutritional status, and microbial community diversity of the soil²⁸.

Recently, new generation metagenomics has been applied to comprehensively analyze the structure of the soil microbial community based on updated high-throughput sequencing technology²⁹. This method could rapidly provide accurate high-volume production of sequence data and offer an opportunity to achieve a high throughput and deeper insight into the effects of different treatments on the composition of microbial communities³⁰. The objective of this study was to evaluate the application of different types of straw-derived biochar (corn straw biochar, rice straw biochar, peanut shell biochar, wheat straw biochar, and cotton biochar) in combination with antagonistic *Frankia* F1. We set up four treatments, i.e. CK (control treatment, no fertilizer and biochar), A (wheat straw biochar with microbial inoculum), B (rice straw biochar with microbial inoculum), and C (corn straw biochar with microbial inoculum), to analyze soil physical and chemical properties, fertility, enzyme activity and bacterial richness, evenness, community composition, and structural changes. Extensive studies to identify effective microbial inoculum can accelerate soil ecological recovery, and shorten the interval years during continuous cropping of ginseng; these advances could have far-reaching significance and potential applications in agriculture.

Methods

Soil collection and preparation of related materials

Soil samples were collected in Jilin Province, China (126° 44' 22" E and 42° 39' 51" N). The samples were obtained randomly by dividing a 100 m² field of continuous ginseng cropping into 10 small blocks. In each block, 5 soil samples (>1 kg) were randomly taken from 0 to 20 cm depth. The physicochemical properties of ginseng soil were pH value 4.79, organic matter 14.67 g/kg, total nitrogen 0.81 g/kg, available nitrogen 122.43 mg/kg, available phosphorus 21.93 mg/kg, and available potassium 143.82 mg/kg. The chemicals used in this study were all analytical grade. The *Frankia* F1 strain was provided by the Department of Environmental Engineering, College of Resources and Environment, Jilin Agricultural University, China. We utilized NCBI-BLAST for the gene sequences with higher homologous in the database, and used the MEGA 7.0 software to construct the phylogenetic tree. Ginseng pathogens *Fusarium solani*, *Cylindrocarpon destructans*, *Phytophthora cactorum*, *Alternaria panax*,

Rhizoctonia solani, *Sclerotinia schinseng*, and *Botrytis cinerea* were provided by Plant Pathology Laboratory of College of Agronomy, Jilin Agricultural University, and stored at 4°C on agar slants at low temperature.

Media

The culture media were as follows:

Gao's No. 1 solid medium: soluble starch 20.0 g, KNO₃ 1.0 g, NaCl 0.5 g, K₂HPO₄ 1.0 g, MgSO₄ 0.5 g, FeSO₄ 0.01 g, pH = 7.0, agar 20.0 g, distilled water 1,000 mL.

Gao's No. 1 fluid medium: Gao's No. 1 solid medium without agar.

Potato dextrose agar (PDA) medium: 200 mL potato juice, 20 g glucose, 20 g agar, pH = 7.0. All media were autoclaved at 121°C for 20 min before use.

Verification of *Frankia* F1 against pathogenic fungi of ginseng

Filter paper method⁵³ was used to make bacterial plates of each activated pathogen. Four sterilized filter paper circles with a diameter of 1 cm were placed to the four points 25 mm away from the center of the plate. The filter papers were infused with 20 µL *Frankia* F1 bacterial suspension and the control treatment with 20 µL sterile water. Cultures were incubated at 28°C for 7 days. The presence of fungistatic bands was observed, and the diameter of pathogenic bacteria colonies was measured to calculate the fungistatic rate.

Preparation of microbial inocula

First, *Frankia* F1 was inoculated in 100 mL of Gao's No. 1 fluid medium and incubated at 30°C for 7 days. Corn straw biochar, rice straw biochar, peanut shell biochar, wheat straw biochar, and cotton biochar were selected as candidate materials for the preparation of microbial inocula. The hygroscopicity of the carrier material, the biocompatibility with *Frankia* F1 and the fungistatic activity of the prepared microbial inoculum against pathogenic fungi were determined, and the surface morphology of the candidate material was visualized using a scanning electron microscope (JSM-7800F, Japan).

Next, each of the 5 carrier materials was sterilized in a 50 mL centrifuge tube with 2 g of the material, and then mixed with 10 mL *Frankia* F1 bacterial suspension (1×10⁹ CFU/mL), put in a sterile bottle, dried in oven at low temperature (30 °C), and stored at 4°C and 25 ± 5°C (room temperature). All five types of microbial inocula were prepared in the same way.

Finally, at days 1, 7, 14, 21, and 28, the number of living cells in different types of carrier materials was determined by the plate counting method, and the antibacterial activity was determined by the plate-disk incubation method at 7 d.

Remediation of ginseng soil with microbial inocula

The pot experiment was carried out in a solar greenhouse of Jilin Agricultural University College of Resources, Environment and Environment. The whole experiment lasted 28 days. The effects of microbial inoculum on physicochemical properties, fertility, enzyme activities, and bacterial community structure of continuous cropping ginseng soil were studied. Four treatments were set, featuring microbial inocula prepared with different biochars derived from wheat (treatment A), rice (treatment B), corn stalk (treatment C), and unamended control (CK).

The soil (1 kg per pot) was mixed with a treatment inoculum (10 g) and placed into a pot. Each treatment had five independent repetitions, and a total of 20 planting pots were set up. The soil in each of the planting pot was watered to about 75% water holding capacity by adding distilled water every day by weighing. At the end of the experiment, 3 replicates from each treatment were randomly selected for subsequent analyzes. The samples were equally divided into two parts: one part was frozen at -80°C for DNA extraction and another part was preserved at 4°C for further analysis. The physicochemical properties and enzyme activities of soil were measured by the published methods^{54, 55, 56}.

DNA extraction and PCR amplification

Soil samples were collected from four different treatments, and DNA was extracted from the soil using a PowerSoil DNA separation kit (MoBio Laboratories, Carlsbad, CA, USA) in accordance with the protocol recommended in the instructions. The purity and quality of genomic DNA were determined by 1% agarose gel. Target fragments in the v3-v4 regions of the bacterial 16S rRNA hypervariable region were amplified with universal primers 338F(5'-ACTCCTACGGGAGGCAGCA-3') and 806R(5'-GGACTACHVGGGTWTCTAAT-3'). A 10-digit barcode sequence was added to the 5' end of the positive and negative primers of each soil sample (provided by Owesen, Beijing, China). The PCR amplification was performed by a Mastercycler (Eppendorf, Germany), and the amplification procedure was pre-denaturation at 95°C for 5 min. There were 32 cycles at 95°C for 45 s, 55°C for 50 s and 72°C for 45 s followed by elongation at 72°C for 10 min. Each sample was repeated 3 times. After amplification, PCR products from the same sample were mixed. The PCR products were detected by electrophoresis, and the target band sizes were amplified by 1% agarose gel electrophoresis, and purified by an Agencourt AMPure XP nucleic acid purification kit and sent to Orvison, Beijing, China, for Illumina Miseq high-throughput sequencing.

Statistical analysis

The raw data were first screened and the sequences were removed if they were shorter than 200 bp, had a low quality score (≤ 20), contained ambiguous bases, or did not exactly match the primer sequences and barcode tags. Qualified reads were separated using the sample-specific barcode sequences and trimmed with Illumina Analysis Pipeline Version 2.6. Then the dataset was analyzed using vsearch. The sequences were clustered into operational taxonomic units (OTUs) at a similarity level of 97% followed by generating rarefaction curves and calculating the abundance and diversity indices. The Ribosomal Database Project Classifier tool was used to classify all sequences into different taxonomic groups⁵⁷. To examine the similarity between different samples, the clustering analyses and PCA were conducted based on the OTU information from each sample using R⁵⁸. The evolution distances between microbial communities from each sample were calculated using the the taylor coefficient and represented as an Unweighted Pair Group Method with Arithmetic Mean(UPGMA) clustering tree describing the dissimilarity among multiple samples⁵⁹. To compare the membership and structure of communities in different samples, heat maps were generated with the top 20 OTUs using Mothur⁶⁰. The LEF Se component of Galaxy

software was used to analyze the significant differences in soil bacterial community composition and abundance under different treatments⁶¹.

Results

Wide-spectrum verification of *Frankia* F1 against pathogenic fungi of ginseng

The phylogenetic tree of the F1 strain is shown in Figure 1. It has 100% homology with the *Frankia casuarinae* strain CCI3, which has been published in GenBank. The sequence accession number is GU296535. The fungistasis spectrum test of *Frankia* F1 against pathogenic fungi of ginseng (Table 1) showed that *Frankia* F1 had significant inhibitory effects on *Fusarium solani*, *Sclerotinia schinseng*, *Cylindrocarpon destructans*, *Alternaria panax*, and *Rhizoctonia solani* with inhibition rates of 80.23%, 73.91%, 72.12%, 70.87%, and 68.31%, respectively. It also showed some inhibitory effect on *Phytophthora cactorum* and *Botrytis cinerea*. In conclusion, *Frankia* F1 has a broad-spectrum fungistatic effect against ginseng pathogenic fungi under the conditions of the in vitro plate test.

Table 1. Inhibition of activity of *Frankia* F1 against pathogenic fungi of ginseng. All the presented values are means of three replicates. Means were subjected to analysis of variance and were separated by the LSD test. Letters represent the significant differences among the mean values and the “±” is followed by the standard error values of the means.

Pathogenic fungus	Colony diameter(mm)	Fungal inhibition rate(%)
<i>Fusarium solani</i>	22.45±3.12 g	80.23 a
<i>Cylindrocarpon destructans</i>	23.13±4.06 f	72.12 c
<i>Phytophthora cactorum</i>	35.32±3.63 a	63.82 g
<i>Alternaria panax</i>	29.58±2.98 d	70.87 d
<i>Rhizoctonia solani</i>	30.37±2.37 c	68.31 e
<i>Sclerotinia schinseng</i>	25.28±5.10 e	73.91 b
<i>Botrytis cinerea</i>	31.18±3.27 b	66.37 f

Preparation of microbial inocula

Among the five carrier materials, corn straw biochar, rice biochar and wheat straw biochar showed the best properties. At 7 d, the water absorption rates of the three carrier materials were 86.3%, 64.1% and 58.6%, respectively (Table 2), and the antibacterial activities were 80.4%, 72.2% and 64.6%, respectively. When stored at room temperature (25°C±5°C), corn straw biochar had the highest effective number of living bacterial cells of all five types of carrier material. The pore layered structure of corn stalk biochar, rice straw biochar and wheat straw biochar formed a complex three-dimensional structure, indicating that the three kinds of biochar had a highly porous structure (Figure 2a, b, e). Such structure is expected to be beneficial to the adhesion and reproduction of bacteria, and the diffusion of primary and secondary metabolites supporting the normal metabolism of the introduced biocontrol strain. In contrast, cotton biochar (Figure 2c) had relatively sparse pore structures, and peanut

shell biochar (Figure 2d) did not show pore structures suitable for the survival of microorganisms, suggesting bacteria could only attach to the surface of biochar, making for a poor carrier. Based on these results, biochar derived from corn, rice or wheat straw were selected as the most optimal carrier materials for producing microbial inoculum.

Table 2. Adsorption stability of different carrier materials. WA is water absorption, FIR is fungal inhibition rate.

Stalk biochar	WA(%)	FIR%	Effective number of live cells (10 ⁴ cfu/g)				
			1d	7d	14d	21d	28d
Corn	86.3 a	80.4 a	36.6±0.3 a	85.1±1.2 a	105.2±1.5 a	113.8±1.3 a	101.3±1.1 a
Rice	64.1 b	72.2 b	35.5±0.8 b	54.9±0.6 c	76.1±0.9 c	88.7±1.0 b	85.8±0.8 b
Cotton	35.9 d	43.1 d	9.2±0.6 d	21.7±0.6 d	26.3±0.5 d	19.2±0.8 d	18.4±0.5 d
peanut shell	17.7 e	39.7 e	8.6±0.5 e	7.4±0.5 e	6.1±0.3 e	5.6±0.5 e	4.8±0.2 e
Wheat straw	58.6 c	64.6 c	22.4±0.6 c	63.5±0.8 b	87.7±1.2 b	82.2±0.4 c	79.5±0.3 c

Effects of microbial inocula on physicochemical properties and enzyme activities of ginseng soil

At 28 days, microbial inocula significantly altered the characteristics of the soil (Table 3). Compared with the control group, the treatments with wheat straw biochar, rice straw biochar and corn straw biochar increased the pH by 4.43%, 6.55% and 11.18%, and organic matter by 7.43%, 22.10% and 55.43%, respectively. At the same time, there were significant differences between four treatments. The contents of total nitrogen, available nitrogen, available phosphorus, and available potassium in the soil in the corn straw biochar treatment were significantly increased by 33.07%, 26.70%, 16.40%, and 9.10%, respectively, compared with the control group. Microbial inocula had significant effects on the activities of urease, sucrase and catalase in the soil (Table 3), but had no significant effect on the activity of phosphatase. Compared with the control group, the contents of urease, catalase and sucrase activities in the soil in the corn straw biochar treatment were increased significantly (by 52.73%, 16.80% and 43.80%, respectively).

Table 3. Effect of biological control agents on repairing the diseased soil. A treatment is wheat straw biochar preparation of microbial inocula; B treatment is preparation of microbial inocula by rice straw biochar; C treatment is corn stalk biochar preparation of microbial inocula; CK treatment is do not add any substance as blank control. OM is organic matter, TN is total nitrogen, AN is available nitrogen, AP is available phosphorus, AK is available potassium, URE is urease activity; CAT is catalase activity; INV is invertase activity; NPH is neutral phosphatase activity.

Treatment	A	B	C	CK
pH value	5.42 ± 0.05 c	5.53 ± 0.03 b	5.77 ± 0.08 a	5.19 ± 0.06 d
OM (g/kg)	17.21 ± 0.45 c	19.56 ± 0.47 b	24.90 ± 0.55 a	16.02 ± 0.60 d
TN (g/kg)	1.42±0.06 b	1.33±0.08 c	1.69±0.06 a	1.27±0.05 d
AN (mg/kg)	136.33 ± 9.05 c	132.95 ± 7.48 b	156.20 ± 8.36 a	123.28 ± 7.95 d
AP (mg/kg)	24.38 ± 0.46 b	22.18 ± 0.35 c	25.98 ± 0.41 a	22.32 ± 0.52 c
AK (mg/kg)	160.65 ± 4.83 c	163.87 ± 6.32 b	172.90 ± 5.69 a	158.48 ± 6.56 d
URE (mg/g/d)	25.78 ± 0.49 b	24.12 ± 0.54 bc	30.21 ± 0.56 a	19.78 ± 0.75 d
CAT (g/mL)	1.63 ± 0.04 b	1.57 ± 0.06 c	1.73 ± 0.03 a	1.48 ± 0.05 d
INV (mg/g/d)	18.12 ± 0.63 b	16.42 ± 0.66 c	22.03 ± 0.45 a	15.32 ± 0.37 d
NPH (mg/g/d)	0.42 ± 0.03 ab	0.41 ± 0.02 ab	0.45 ± 0.03 a	0.39 ± 0.04 b

Composition of soil bacterial community

The four soil treatments showed a total of 421,879 effective bacterial sequences and a total of 7,114 OTUs (Figure 3). Compared with the unamended control group, total OTUs for wheat straw biochar, rice straw biochar and corn straw biochar treatments increased by 10.24%, 8.76% and 19.86%, respectively (Table 4). Shannon and Simpson indices reflect the diversity of taxa, and these indices were significantly increased in comparison to those in the control group ($P<0.05$). In order to more clearly observe the differences between treatments, we used the Bray-Curtis test to quantify the sample distances (Figure 4). The results indicated that the differences among different treatments were significant. Compared with the control treatment (CK), the distances were large with the treatments wheat straw biochar and corn straw biochar and small with the rice straw biochar treatment.

Table 4. Effect of different treatments on the α diversity of bacterial community.

Sample	Number	Chao1	Coverage	OTUs	PD-whole-tree	Shannon	Simpson
A	148035±1138	1842.39±98.11	0.987	1787±73 a	94±6	8.89±0.43 b	0.98
B	134853±1281 a	1732.94±112.15c	0.987	1763±101c	81±4cd	7.68±0.37 c	0.96
C	15309±1023cd	1987.33±102.32 a	0.988	1943±89 a	103±5 a	9.43±0.35 a	0.98
CK	123682±1302 b	1712.43±92.37 bc	0.985	1621±79 c	77±5 c	7.32±0.48 d	0.95

Clustering analysis of bacterial community at the class level

In all the soil samples, we detected 39 phyla, 97 classes, 153 orders, 225 families, and 306 genera. The twelve soil samples from four different treatments were divided into two categories (Figure 5). The soil samples from the unamended control were clustered into one branch, whereas the soil samples from the wheat straw biochar, rice straw biochar and corn straw biochar treatments were clustered into another branch. The relative abundance of bacterial community composition was analyzed at the class level, and there were five dominant phyla (abundance of > 2%) whose relative abundance in the soil was significantly different among the treatments. Compared with the control, the relative abundance of *Alphaproteobacteria*, *Gammaproteobacteria* and *Sphingobacteria* was significantly higher, and the relative abundance of *Actinobacteria* and *Thermoleophilia* was significantly lower. In three of the treatments, the relative abundance of class *Actinobacteria* accounted for 18.29% in the wheat straw biochar treatment, almost 16.53% in the rice straw biochar treatment and 15.62% in the corn straw biochar treatment. After the corn straw biochar treatment, the abundance of *Alphaproteobacteria*, *Gammaproteobacteria* and *Sphingobacteria* increased by 7.87%, 9.81% and 1.24%, respectively. In summary, the effects of the treatments wheat straw biochar and rice straw biochar on the improvement of bacterial community were similar, and both of them mainly enhanced the relative abundance of *Alphaproteobacteria* and *Gammaproteobacteria*.

The soil samples from different treatments were clustered by taxa, or similarity of abundance among samples, and the clustered data were used to construct a hierarchical clustering heatmap (Figure 6). The soil bacterial communities treated by wheat straw biochar, rice straw biochar and corn straw biochar were grouped into two groups, one of which was the community with high relative abundance of *Thermoleophilia*, *Sphingobacteria*, *Actinobacteria*, *Alphaproteobacteria*, and *Gammaproteobacteria*.

Significant differences in taxa among the treatment groups

Linear discriminant analysis (LDA), which allows comparisons among the treatment groups, also performs subgroup comparisons within the group comparisons to find taxa with significant differences in abundance among the groups. When $LDA > 4.0$, there were 26 taxa groups with significant differences among wheat straw biochar, rice straw biochar, corn straw biochar and CK at each classification level (Figure 7a), and CK had the most significant differences with 14 taxa. Among the other three treatments, five taxa were significantly different in the wheat straw biochar treatment, and the rice straw biochar treatment had 1. There were many significantly different taxa in the corn straw biochar treatment; at $LDA > 4.0$ it had six and at $LDA > 5.0$ it had one *Saccharibacteria*. These results indicated that *Saccharibacteria* contributed greatly to the significance of differences and was the most important taxa that caused the differences among the four treatments.

LEfSe analysis showed (Figure 7b) that there were 24 significantly different taxa among the four treatments, including three in the wheat straw biochar treatment, whereas rice straw biochar had zero, corn straw biochar had 3, and CK had 18. The number and abundance of different taxa were the highest in the CK treatment. Different taxa treated in the wheat straw biochar treatment were mainly *Xanthomonadaceae*, *Xanthomonadales* and *Gammaproteobacteria*, and in the corn straw biochar treatment were mainly *Sphingomonadaceae*, *Sphingomonadales* and *Alphaproteobacteria*. After treatments wheat straw biochar, rice straw biochar, corn straw biochar and CK, the bacterial taxa with the largest contribution were *Proteobacteria*, *Actinobacteria*, *Chloroflexi*, and *Saccharibacteria*, respectively.

Redundancy Analysis (RDA) of Bacterial Community Structure and Soil Environmental Factors

Soil pH, organic matter (OM), total nitrogen (TN), and dominant taxa in the bacterial community were analyzed by RDA (Figure 8). Organic matter and total nitrogen were the main factors influencing bacterial community composition in ginseng soil after different treatments. In the RDA analysis, the distance between the corn straw biochar treatment and CK was large, and the two treatments occupied separate quadrants, indicating that the soil bacterial community structure of the corn straw biochar and CK treatments was significantly different. Treatments wheat straw biochar and rice straw biochar occupied a common quadrant, indicating the similar bacterial community structure. The correlation analysis showed that Alphaproteobacteria was significantly positively correlated with organic matter and total nitrogen ($P < 0.05$). *Acidobacteria*, *Thermoleophilia*, *Actinobacteria*, and *Spartobacteria* were negatively correlated with organic matter and total nitrogen ($P < 0.05$).

Discussion

Reasons for the problems associated with continuous cropping are extremely complex, involving soil, plants, microorganisms, and many other aspects. Some studies have shown that the change of soil physical and chemical properties and the lack of soil fertility are the main reasons for problems with continuous cropping³¹. Microbial fertilizer is a living-bacteria preparation that may have many functions, such as enhancing substrate fertility, promoting nutrient absorption by plants, and improving disease resistance of crops³². In the present study, the overall remediation effect in soil produced by the microbial inoculum composed of *Frankia* F1 met the agricultural requirements. A *Frankia* F1 strain showed high inhibitory effects on *Fusarium solani*, *Sclerotinia schinseng*, *Cylindrocarpon destructans*, *Alternaria panax*, and *Rhizoctonia solani*, with inhibition rates of 80.23%, 73.91%, 72.12%, 70.87%, and 68.31%, respectively. Out of the five types of biochar tested, three types of high-quality biochar were selected as the carrier for microorganisms. Due to its porous nature, biochar can provide attachment sites and large space for the survival of microorganisms, and can be used as a good carrier for plant probiotics or other microorganisms^{33, 34}. In this study, the biochar prepared with corn straw, wheat straw and rice straw were applied to the soil; they improved soil pH value, organic matter, fertility, and enzyme activity. Yulan et al.³⁵ found that, compared with organic fertilizer application alone, the mixed application of organic fertilizer and microbial inoculum could greatly improve the content of organic matter and available phosphorus in the soil of an abandoned greenhouse. Therefore, the application of microbial inocula can significantly improve the soil nutrient status and increase crop yield. This may be because the application of microbial inocula can significantly enhance soil enzyme activity and promote the transformation of nutrients into available forms³⁶.

To more deeply probe the microbial diversity, we conducted 16S rRNA analysis on the soils. In the ginseng soil tested in our study, the top five classes of bacteria included *Actinobacteria* (26.54%), *Alphaproteobacteria* (14.88%), *Gammaproteobacteria* (6.84%), *Thermoleophilia* (12.38%), *Sphingobacteria* (2.44%). Biochar application with different microbial materials had different effects on various microbial taxa. After the corn straw biochar treatment, the abundance of *Alphaproteobacteria*, *Gammaproteobacteria* and *Sphingobacteria* increased by 7.87%, 9.81% and 1.24%, respectively. *Alphaproteobacteria* and *Gammaproteobacteria* belong to *Proteobacteria*, and they include nitrogen-fixing bacteria that are symbiotic with plants³⁷. *Gammaproteobacteria* often utilize nutrients such as ammonia and methane generated by the decomposition of organic substances^{38, 39}. *Sphingobacteria* are

conductive to the degradation of cellulose in litter. *Actinobacteria* can promote the rapid decay of litter and is an important participant in the decomposition of chitin and lignin⁴⁰.

Four soil treatments generated 421,879 effective bacterial sequences and 7,114 OTUs (Figure 3). The relative abundance Chao1 index and the diversity index of bacterial community increased after wheat straw biochar, rice straw biochar and corn straw biochar treatments in soil (Table 4). This is consistent with the research results of Guangming⁴¹, with the addition of biochar improving the population structure diversity of soil microorganisms in ash desert soil. The promotion effect of biochar on soil bacterial community may be attributed to the following reasons: biochar provides more carbon sources for soil bacteria and improves the environmental conditions for soil bacteria; the complex pore structure of biochar provides a good habitat for the growth of soil bacteria and protects them from being preyed upon by other organisms⁴². *Frankia* F1, which has antifungal effect, was selected as a biocontrol microorganism. It is an actinomycete that can become a symbiont with non-legumes to form root nodules and fix atmospheric nitrogen. It forms vesicles on the stalk at the top of the mycelium. It has a very high nitrogen fixation efficiency and a wide range of host plants across different families. Studies on the influence of biochar on soil bacteria mostly focus on nitrogen bacteria. Many scholars have found that nitrogen bacteria can promote nitrogen fixation and inhibit denitrification⁴³.

In ecology, redundancy analysis is a method to identify the relationship between environment, taxa and vegetation. The bacterial taxa in soils were closely related to the pH value, the total carbon, and the total nitrogen in the soils⁴⁴. Using the redundancy analysis, Jian et al.⁴⁵ concluded that soil organic carbon and total nitrogen were soil indicators in the process of rocky desertification in mountainous karst areas. In this study, redundancy analysis was conducted on bacterial community structure and the soil environmental factors. The RDA results showed that pH value, organic matter and total nitrogen were the main environmental factors affecting the community composition of ginseng soil bacteria. Studies have shown that soil pH, organic carbon and nitrogen are important environmental factors that affect the composition of bacterial communities⁴⁶, and organic matter can increase the activity of soil enzymes and improve soil biological activity⁴⁷ by regulating the capacity of soil microorganisms to utilize carbon sources⁴⁸. Fertilization can not only change soil physical and chemical properties, but also regulate soil enzyme activities and promote soil microorganisms coordinating nutrient cycling in soil.

Biochar is relatively stable, which significantly affects the activities of soil microorganisms. The pore structure of biochar and its adsorption of water and fertilizer can provide a good habitat for microorganisms⁴⁹. Jin et al.⁵⁰ found that the addition of biochar could significantly increase soil microbial biomass nitrogen proportionally with an increase in the amount of biochar. The application of biochar can cause changes in the bacterial community structure in the soil, and the bacterial community structure is significantly correlated with the soil pH value and nutrients^{51, 52}. It is concluded that the proportion of biocontrol bacteria and beneficial bacteria in soil can be controlled by adjusting soil pH value, organic matter and total nitrogen in production practice. In summary, we conclude that the changes in the bacterial compositions in our study are caused by the application of microbial inoculum prepared with microorganisms and biochar.

Declarations

Acknowledgments

This work was supported by Jilin Province major science and technology project, ginseng (American ginseng) farmland quality and high yield key technology integration research demonstration (20200504004YY), and Major Science and Technology Projects in Jilin Province (2018020101018SF).

Author Contributions

Y.Q. and H.L. have designed the study. Y.Q., H.L. and J.W. collected the sample and performed the experimental assay. Y.Q., H.L., J.W. and A.W. performed the computational analysis, Y.Q. and H.L. drafted the manuscript. J.W. supervised the study and revised the manuscript. A.W. completed the correction and format check of the manuscript.

Competing Interests

The authors declare no competing interests.

References

1. Briskin, D. P . Medicinal Plants and Phytomedicines. Linking Plant Biochemistry and Physiology to Human Health. *Plant Physiology* **124**, 507-514, DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1104/pp.124.2.507> (2000).
2. Shibata S . Chemistry and cancer preventing activities of ginseng saponins and some related triterpenoid compounds. *Journal of Korean Medical Science* **16**, 28-37, DOI: <https://doi.org/3346/jkms.2001.16.S.S28> (2001).
3. Yuan H D , Kim J T , Kim S H , et al. Ginseng and Diabetes: The Evidences from In Vitro, Animal and Human Studies. *Journal of Ginseng Research* **36**, 27-39, DOI: <https://doi.org/10.5142/jgr.2012.36.1.27> (2012).
4. Li C , Yan Z , Zhang L , et al. Research and implementation of good agricultural practice for traditional Chinese medicinal materials in Jilin Province, China. *Journal of Ginseng Research* **38**, 227-232, DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jgr.2014.05.007> (2014)
5. Liu, M., Li, S., Xing, Y., & Ma, F. Identification of ginseng rust Rot fungus. *Journal of Plant Pathology* **3**, 183-185, DOI: <http://doi/10.13926/j.cnki.apps.1984.03.012> (1984)
6. Liu, Z., Chen, X., & Han, Y. Research on Ginseng Rust Rot Pathogen Under Natural Overwintering Conditions. *Northern Horticulture* **3**, 160-163, DOI: <http://doi/10.11937/bfyy.201703037> (2017).
7. Wang Q , Sun H , Xu C , et al. Analysis of rhizosphere bacterial and fungal communities associated with rusty root disease of Panax ginseng. *Applied Soil Ecology* **138**, 245-252, DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apsoil.2019.03.012> (2019).
8. Rafael López-Cruz, Juan Arturo Ragazzo-Sánchez, Montserrat Calderón-Santoyo. Microencapsulation of Meyerozyma guilliermondii by spray drying using sodium alginate and soy protein isolate as wall materials: a biocontrol formulation for anthracnose disease of mango. *Biocontrol Science and Technology* **30**, 1116-1132, DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1080/09583157.2020.1793910> (2020).
9. Moparthi S , Bradshaw M . Fungicide efficacy trials for the control of powdery mildew (Podosphaera cerasi) on sweet cherry trees (Prunus avium). *Biocontrol Science and Technology* **30**, 659-670, DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1080/09583157.2020.1755616> (2020).

10. Zhou, C. Y., Xu, S. Q., Yan, M. X., Cui, L. L., Hua, L. L., & Wang, Y. P. Identification and optimization of fermentation conditions of antagonistic endophytic fungi in a single plant of *Panax ginseng*. *Henan Agricultural Science* **49**, 104-110, DOI: <https://doi.org/15933/j.cnki.1004-3268.2020.02.013> (2020).
11. Sun, Z., Yang, L. M., Han, M., Han, Z. M., Yang, L., Cheng, L., Yang, X., & Lv, Z. L. . Biological control ginseng grey mold and plant colonization by antagonistic bacteria isolated from rhizospheric soil of *Panax ginseng* Meyer. *Biological Control* **138**, 104048, DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biocontrol.2019.104048> (2019).
12. Kambo H S , Dutta A . A comparative review of biochar and hydrochar in terms of production, physico-chemical properties and applications. *Renewable & Sustainable Energy Reviews* **45**, 359-378, DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rser.2015.01.050> (2015).
13. Lehmann J , Gaunt J , Rondon M . Bio-char Sequestration in Terrestrial Ecosystems - A Review. *Mitigation and Adaptation Strategies for Global Change* **11**, 403-427, DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11027-005-9006-5> (2006).
14. Uzoma K C , Inoue M , Andry H , et al. Effect of cow manure biochar on maize productivity under sandy soil condition. *Soil Use and Management* **27**, DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1475-2743.2011.00340.x> (2011).
15. Baiamonte G , Pasquale C D , Marsala V , et al. Structure alteration of a sandy-clay soil by biochar amendments. *Journal of Soils&Sediments*, DOI: <https://doi.org/1007/s11368-014-0960-y> (2015)
16. Lehmann, Johannes. Bio-energy in the black. *Frontiers in Ecology & the Environment* **5**, 381-387, DOI: [https://doi.org/10.1890/1540-9295\(2007\)5\[381:BITB\]2.0.CO;2](https://doi.org/10.1890/1540-9295(2007)5[381:BITB]2.0.CO;2) (2007).
17. Yanli Ding, Jie Liu, Yingying Wang. Effects of biochar on soil microbial ecology. *Chinese Journal of Applied Ecology* **24**, 3311-3317, DOI: <https://doi.org/CNKI:SUN:YYSB.0.2013-11-039> (2013).
18. Solaiman Z M , Blackwell P , Abbott L K , et al. Direct and residual effect of biochar application on mycorrhizal root colonisation, growth and nutrition of wheat. *Soil Research* **48**, 546-554, DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1071/SR10002> (2010).
19. Zheng J , Chen J , Pan G , et al. Biochar decreased microbial metabolic quotient and shifted community composition four years after a single incorporation in a slightly acid rice paddy from southwest China. *Science of the Total Environment* **571**, 206-217, DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2016.07.135> (2016).
20. Gul S , Whalen J K , Thomas B W , et al. Physico-chemical properties and microbial responses in biochar-amended soils: Mechanisms and future directions. *Agriculture Ecosystems & Environment* **206**, 46-59, DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.agee.2015.03.015> (2015).
21. Weiming Zhang, Wenfu Chen, Jun Meng, Liang Jin, Wei Guo, Hongliang Zhao. Utilization potential, industrial model and development strategy of straw biochar in Northeast China. *Scientia Agricultura Sinica* **52**, 2406-2424, DOI: <https://doi.org/10.3864/j.issn.0578-1752.2019.14.003> (2019)
22. Mao, Hui, Lv, et al. Improvement of biochar and bacterial powder addition on gaseous emission and bacterial community in pig manure compost. *Bioresource Technology* **258**, 195-202, DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biortech.2018.02.082> (2018).
23. Yumin, Duan, Sanjeev, et al. Positive impact of biochar alone and combined with bacterial consortium amendment on improvement of bacterial community during cow manure composting. *Bioresource Technology*, DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biortech.2019.02.026> (2019).
24. Ghodhbane-Gtari F , Beauchemin N , Bruce D , et al. Draft Genome Sequence of *Frankia* Strain CN3, an Atypical, Noninfective (Nod-) Ineffective (Fix-) Isolate from *Coriaria nepalensis*. *Genome Announcements*, **1**, e0008513, DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1128/genomeA.00085-13> (2013).

25. Jung-Tai L , Sung-Ming T . The nitrogen-fixing *Frankia* significantly increases growth, uprooting resistance and root tensile strength of *Alnus formosana*. *African Journal of Biotechnology* **17**, 213-225, DOI: <https://doi.org/5897/AJB2017.16289> (2018).
26. Du, D., Yuan, F., Li, R., Wang, Y., & Cui, G. A study on the classification and identification of a *Frankia Acta Microbiologica Sinica* **25**, 197-203, DOI: <https://doi.org/10.13343/j.cnki.wsxb.1985.03.003> (1985).
27. Lihua Kang, Sucui Li, Yaoqiang Peng, Yulin Liu, Huacheng Chen, Chengcheng Luo. Field study on inoculation of *Casuarina casuarina* with *Franklinella calcium alginata*. *Forest Research* **01**, 42-46, DOI: <https://doi.org/10.13275/j.cnki.lykxyj.2000.01.006> (2000).
28. Larkin R P. Characterization of soil microbial communi- ties under different potato cropping systems by microbial population dynamics, substrate utilization, and fatty acid profiles. *Soil Biology and Biochemistry*, **35**, 1451-1466, DOI: [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0038-0717\(03\)00240-2](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0038-0717(03)00240-2) (2003).
29. Shi, L., Du, N., Shu, S. et al. *Paenibacillus polymyxa* NSY50 suppresses *Fusarium* wilt in cucumbers by regulating the rhizospheric microbial community. *Sci Rep* **7**, 41234. <https://doi.org/10.1038/srep41234> (2017).
30. Shen Z , Ruan Y , Chao X , et al. Rhizosphere microbial community manipulated by 2 years of consecutive biofertilizer application associated with banana *Fusarium* wilt disease suppression. *Biology&Fertility of Soils* **51**, 1-10. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00374-015-1002-7> (2015).
31. Janet G. Atandi, Solveig Haukeland, George M. Kariuki, Danny L. Coyne, Edward N. Karanja, Martha W. Musyoka, Komi K.M. Fiaboe, David Bautze, Noah Adamtey. Organic farming provides improved management of plant parasitic nematodes in maize and bean cropping systems. *Agriculture, Ecosystems & Environment* **247**, 265-272, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.agee.2017.07.002> (2017).
32. Tao Wang, Weihua Qiao, Yuqi Li, Yansong Ao. Effects of crop rotation and microbial fertilizer on soil physical and chemical properties and biological activity of cucumber continuous cropping. *Chinese Journal of Soil Science* **42**, 578-583, DOI: <https://doi.org/10.19336/j.cnki.trtb.2011.03.013> (2011).
33. Daquan, Sun, Jun, et al. Effect of volatile organic compounds absorbed to fresh biochar on survival of *Bacillus mucilaginosus* and structure of soil microbial communities. *Journal of Soils & Sediments*, DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11368-014-0996-z> (2015).
34. Warnock D D , Lehmann J , Kuyper T W , et al. Mycorrhizal responses to biochar in soil – concepts and mechanisms. *Plant & Soil* **300**, 9-20, DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11104-007-9391-5> (2007).
35. Yulan Luo, Gong Tian, Dongmei Zhang, Ruijun Hao, Cihua Wang. Effects of microbial agents on soil nutrients and nitrate nitrogen accumulation in terracotta greenhouse. *Chinese Agricultural Science Bulletin* **31**, 224-228, DOI: <https://doi.org/CNKI:SUN:ZNTB.0.2015-13-046> (2015).
36. Shuli Yin, Liping Zhang, Genwei Zhang, Yali Huang, Guanhui Cui, Ran Liang, Pufan Duan, Huicai Cheng, Yaohua Ma. Effects of complex ecological microbial agents on the number and enzyme activity of cucumber rhizosphere soil. *Chinese Journal of Microbiology* **32**, 23-27, DOI: <https://doi.org/10.3969/j.issn.1005-7021.2012.01.005> (2012).
37. Dedysh S N , Ricke P , Liesack W . NifH and NifD phylogenies: an evolutionary basis for understanding nitrogen fixation capabilities of methanotrophic bacteria. *Microbiology* **150**, 1301, DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jcp.2003.11.016> (2004).
38. Pratt C. Michael T. Madigan, John M. Martinko, Jack Parker. Getting the bug for microorganisms 8th edn Brock Biology of Microorganisms (1997) Prentice Hall 0 13 571 2254 986. **7**, 375-376, DOI: [https://doi.org/10.1016/s0962-8924\(97\)83479-4](https://doi.org/10.1016/s0962-8924(97)83479-4) (1997).

39. Lv X , Yu J , Fu Y , et al. A Meta-Analysis of the Bacterial and Archaeal Diversity Observed in Wetland Soils. *Scientific World Journal*, DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1155/2014/437684> (2014).
40. Zhao Y , Wu F , Yang W , et al. Variations in bacterial communities during foliar litter decomposition in the winter and growing seasons in an alpine forest of the eastern Tibetan Plateau. *Canadian Journal of Microbiology* **62**, 1, DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1139/cjm-2015-0448> (2015).
41. Jin Xu, Rui Wang, Fangfang Deng, Guohua Cao, Guobing Wang. Effects of biochar application on soil physical and chemical properties and enzyme activities of poplar plantation in Dongtai coastal area. *Journal of Fujian Agriculture and Forestry University* **49**, 348-353, DOI: [https://doi.org/10.13323/j.cnki.j.fafu\(nat.sci.\).2020.03.010](https://doi.org/10.13323/j.cnki.j.fafu(nat.sci.).2020.03.010) (2020).
42. Lehmann J , Rillig M C , Thies J , et al. Biochar effects on soil biota - A review. *Soil Biology and Biochemistry* **43**, 1812-1836, DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.soilbio.2011.04.022> (2011).
43. Nugroho S G , Lumbanraja J , Suprpto H , et al. Three-year measurement of methane emission from an Indonesian paddy field. *Plant&Soil* **181**, 287-293, DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1007/BF00012063> (1996).
44. Sauze J , Jérme Ogée, Maron P A , et al. The interaction of soil phototrophs and fungi with pH and their impact on soil CO₂, CO₁₈₀ and OCS exchange. *Soil Biology and Biochemistry* **115**, 371-382, DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.soilbio.2017.09.009> (2017).
45. Jian Long, Hongkai Liao, Juan Li, Caiyun Chen. Research on the relationship between soil and rocky desertification in typical karst mountain area based on redundancy analysis. *Environmental Science* **33**, 2131-2138, DOI: <https://doi.org/CNKI:SUN:HJKZ.0.2012-06-058> (2012).
46. Zeng J , Lou K , Zhang C J , et al. Primary Succession of Nitrogen Cycling Microbial Communities Along the Deglaciated Forelands of Tianshan Mountain, China. *Frontiers in Microbiology* **7**, 1353, DOI: <https://doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2016.01353> (2016).
47. Yueyu Sui, Xiaoguang Jiao, Chongsheng Gao, Wei Cheng, Xingyi Zhang, Xiaobing Liu. Study on the relationship between soil organic matter content and soil microbial biomass and soil enzyme activity. *Chinese Journal of Soil Science* **40**, 1036-1039, DOI: <https://doi.org/CNKI:SUN:TRTB.0.2009-05-015> (2009).
48. Xiaoguang Jiao, Chongsheng Gao, Yueyu Sui, Xingyi Zhang, Guangwei Ding. *Scientia Agricultura Sinica* **44**, 3759-3767, DOI: <https://doi.org/10.3864/j.issn.0578-1752.2011.18.007> (2011).
49. Lingdan Yao, Guanghuan Cheng, Lixiao Wang, Huanyu Chen, Liping Lou. Effects of biochar application on soil microorganisms. *Environmental Chemistry* **34**, 697-704, DOI: <https://doi.org/10.7524/j.issn.0254-6108.2015.04.2014072802> (2015).
50. Jin Rui, Liu Kexing, Ai Shaoying, Li Linfeng, Tang Mingming, Wang Yanhong, Li Chao, Ning Jianfeng. Effects of biochar on soil properties, cadmium uptake and physiological characteristics of Chinese cabbage. *Journal of Southern Agriculture* **47**, 1480-1487, DOI: <https://doi.org/10.3969/jissn.2095-1191.2016.09.1480> (2016).
51. Zheng J , Chen J , Pan G , et al. Biochar decreased microbial metabolic quotient and shifted community composition four years after a single incorporation in a slightly acid rice paddy from southwest China. *Science of the Total Environment* **571**, 206-217, DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2016.07.135> (2016).
52. Huifen Zheng, Honghui Wu, Boq Wengi, Jing Ye, Yurong Zeng, Yixiang Wang. *Soil and Fertilizer Science* **02**, 68-74, DOI: <https://doi.org/10.11838/sfsc.1673-6257.18244> (2019).
53. Wenrong Shan, Junxia Li, Meilin Liu. Inhibition of Verticillium wilt in cotton by filter paper method. *Chinese Agricultural Science Bulletin* **26**, 285-289, DOI: <https://doi.org/10.3969/j.issn.1000-632X.2010.08.007> (2010).

54. Ridvan Kızılkaya, Tayfun Aşkın, Betül Bayraklı, Mustafa Sağlam. Microbiological characteristics of soils contaminated with heavy metals. *European Journal of Soil Biology* 40, 95-102, DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ejsobi.2004.10.002> (2004).
55. Lee S H , Lee S , Kim D Y , et al. Degradation characteristics of waste lubricants under different nutrient conditions. *Journal of Hazardous Materials* **143**, 65-72, DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1016/J.JHAZMAT.2006.08.059> (2007).
56. Zhang Y M. Changes in enzyme activities of spruce (*Picea balfouriana*) forest soil as related to burning in the eastern Qinghai-Tibetan Plateau. *Applied Soil Ecology* **30**, 215-225, DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1016/J.APSOIL.2005.01.005> (2005).
57. JR Cole, Q Wang, E Cardenas, J Fish, B Chai, RJ Farris, AS Kulam-Syed-Mohideen, McGarrell D. M., T Marsh, GM Garrity. The Ribosomal Database Project: improved alignments and new tools for rRNA analysis. *Nucleic Acids Res***37**, 141-145, DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gkn879> (2009).
58. Wang Y , Sheng H F , He Y , et al. Comparison of the levels of bacterial diversity in freshwater, intertidal wetland, and marine sediments by using millions of illumina tags. *Applied & Environmental Microbiology* **78**, 8264, DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1128/AEM.01821-12> (2012).
59. Jiang X T , Peng X , Deng G H , et al. Illumina Sequencing of 16S rRNA Tag Revealed Spatial Variations of Bacterial Communities in a Mangrove Wetland. *Microbial Ecology* **66**, 96-104, DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00248-013-0238-8> (2013).
60. Jami E , Israel A , Kotser A , et al. Exploring the bovine rumen bacterial community from birth to adulthood. *Isme Journal* **7**, 1069-1079, DOI: <https://doi.org/1038/ismej.2013.2> (2013).
61. Segata Nicola, Izard Jacques, Waldron Levi, et al. Metagenomic biomarker discovery and explanation. *Genome Biology* **12**, R60, DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1186/gb-2011-12-6-r60> (2011).

Figures

Figure 1

Phylogenetic tree constructed by Frankia F1 based on 16S rRNA gene sequence

Figure 2

Electron micrographs of different straw biochar. Letter in the picture stands for: (a) corn stalk biochar (1500× magnification); (b) Rice straw biochar (1500× magnification); (c) cotton straw biochar (1500× magnification); (d) Peanut shell biochar (1500× amplification); (e) Wheat straw biochar (1500× magnification).

Figure 3

OTUs number of bacterial communities in each treatment.

Figure 4

Heatmap of each treatment of ginseng soil. Bray Curtis algorithm was used to calculate the distance between the two samples and obtain the distance matrix. The distance among samples is represented by a color gradient.

Figure 5

Analysis of relative abundance of bacterial community in ginseng soil at the class

Figure 6

Abundance thermogram and cluster map of the top 20 samples at the class level. Here, only the heatmap of OTUs of TOP20 and their gate levels are shown. The horizontal axis represents samples at different points, the vertical axis represents OTUs of different points, and the depth of color represents the abundance.

Figure 8

Redundancy analysis of soil bacteria community and soil physicochemical factors. Environmental factors including the pH value, soil organic matter (OM) and soil total nitrogen (TN).