Extraordinary Spin to Orbital Angular Momentum Conversion on Guided Zone Plates

Pablo Acebal (✉ pacebal@umh.es)

Miguel Hernandez University

Luis Carretero

Miguel Hernandez University

Salvador Blaya

Miguel Hernandez University

Research Article

Keywords: Focusing systems, orbital angular momentum, depth of the rings

Posted Date: February 5th, 2021

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.21203/rs.3.rs-190268/v1>

License: © ⓘ This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License.

[Read Full License](#)

Version of Record: A version of this preprint was published at Scientific Reports on April 13th, 2021. See the published version at <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-021-87456-9>.

Extraordinary spin to orbital angular momentum conversion on guided zone plates

Pablo Acebal^{1,*,+}, Luis Carretero¹, and Salvador Blaya¹

¹Department of Materials Science, Optics and Electronic Technology, Miguel Hernández University, Elche (Spain)

*pacebal@umh.es

ABSTRACT

Focusing systems with high numerical aperture can be used to convert spin angular momentum into orbital angular momentum with efficiencies of 50%, while for low numerical apertures this conversion vanishes. In this paper, based on the properties of binary Fresnel zone plates, we propose a structure that is achieved by making an accurate selection of the width and the depth of the rings. This allows us to obtain a large increase in the spin to orbital angular momentum conversion of the resulting focusing fields, and it also has the special characteristic that the obtained conversion is higher for low numerical aperture structures, where standard focusing systems do not work. The ability of the system to perform this extraordinary conversion is demonstrated by FDTD methods and an analytical model developed using a combination of guided mode theory for the structure and Stratton-Chu diffraction theory.

Introduction

Generation and control of the angular momentum (AM) of light has become an important research area in optics. Together with energy and linear momentum, angular momentum is one of the main properties of light and it has important applications in fields such as optical manipulation, telecommunications^{1,2} or photonics. In general, the angular momentum of light can be divided into two different contributions: orbital angular momentum (OAM), related to vortex charge, and spin angular momentum (SAM), related to light polarization. Each one can be measured independently, since, for example, in the interaction with particles each contribution produces different effects (SAM causes the rotation of the particle around its own axis, while OAM produces a rotation around the beam propagation axis). Thus, although total angular momentum is a magnitude that is conserved, there is an interest in studying the angular momentum transfer between both contributions, which produces complex polarization effects. In this sense, Spin to Orbital Angular Momentum conversion has received enormous attention³, and several methodologies have been proposed in order to control it. For example: the interaction of paraxial light with anisotropic media possessing certain azimuthal symmetries⁴⁻⁷; in non-paraxial conditions, the tight focusing of light by a high-numerical-aperture lens⁸⁻¹¹ or Fresnel zone plate¹², scattering by a small particle or apertures¹³, high numerical aperture imaging of small particles¹⁴ and cylindrical dielectric and metallic waveguides¹⁵.

Here, we propose the use of a modified binary Fresnel zone plate to achieve extraordinary spin to orbital angular momentum conversion. The proposed system, the so-called Guided Fresnel Zone Plate (GFZP), is shown in figure 1. We will demonstrate that if we carefully select the dimensions of the rings which constitute the binary plate, it is possible to obtain an extraordinary spin to orbital angular momentum conversion, with efficiencies over 100% in the focus region. The proposed system is based on the characteristics displayed by the transmittance of small apertures¹⁶, which can be treated using waveguide theory. Therefore, in contrast to the standard binary zone plates (see figure 1-b), which have different widths for each ring, in order to have complete control of amplitude and the propagation constants of the guided modes, the width of the different rings is the same, as shown in the schematic figure 1-c.

The paper is organized as follows. The theoretical background section includes three subsections that describe the electromagnetic properties investigated, the transmittance of the GFZP as a function of the propagating modes and finally the propagating fields in a homogeneous and dielectric medium calculated from approximations of the Stratton-Chu diffraction integrals. Results section includes a complete discussion of the results obtained for the proposed GFZP with a wide range of focal distances, including a comparison with FDTD calculations in order to validate the proposed procedure.

Theoretical Background

Electromagnetic properties

The electromagnetic properties analyzed in this study are energy density (U), spin angular momentum (S), orbital angular momentum (L), canonical momentum (P), helicity ($\mathcal{H}$) and Poynting vector ($\mathbb{P}$), which for a homogeneous and dielectric

(a) Proposed guided zone plate

(b) Binary fresnel zone plate

(c) Proposed zone plate

Figure 1. Schematic representation of the proposed system for spin to orbital angular momentum conversion

media can be written as¹⁵:

$$U = \frac{1}{4} (\epsilon \mathbf{E}^* \cdot \mathbf{E} + \mu \mathbf{H}^* \cdot \mathbf{H}) \quad (1)$$

$$\mathbf{S} = \frac{1}{4} \Im \left[\epsilon (\mathbf{E}^* \times \mathbf{E}) + \mu (\mathbf{H}^* \times \mathbf{H}) \right] \quad (2)$$

$$\mathbf{P} = \frac{1}{4} \Im \left[\epsilon \mathbf{E}^* (\nabla \otimes \mathbf{E}) + \mu \mathbf{H}^* (\nabla \otimes \mathbf{H}) \right] \quad (3)$$

$$\mathcal{H} = -\frac{1}{2} \sqrt{\epsilon \mu} \Im \left[\mathbf{H}^* \cdot \mathbf{E} \right] \quad (4)$$

$$\mathbb{P} = \frac{1}{2} \Re \left[\mathbf{E} \times \mathbf{H}^* \right] \quad (5)$$

Orbital angular momentum is $\mathbf{L}=\mathbf{r}\times\mathbf{P}$, and total angular momentum is $\mathbf{J}=\mathbf{L}+\mathbf{S}$. Here, $\mathbf{E}$ and $\mathbf{H}$ denote the electric and magnetic fields respectively, while ϵ and μ are the dielectric constant and the magnetic permeability of the medium. Equation 1 and what follows the asterisk denote the complex conjugate, $\Im[\cdot]$ and $\Re[\cdot]$ being the imaginary and real part of the term between square brackets. The symbol $\otimes$ denote the dyadic product, so the operation $\mathbf{W}\nabla\otimes\mathbf{V}$ have the elements $(\mathbf{W}\nabla\otimes\mathbf{V})_i=\sum_j W_j\partial_i V_j$ for i and j being $\{x,y,z\}$. In order to investigate the effect of the designed zone plate on the electromagnetic properties, we will calculate the above mentioned properties along with the normalized values *per photon*¹⁵, which are given by the local density ratios $\mathbf{S}/U$, $\mathbf{L}/U$ or $\mathcal{H}/U$, and the corresponding integral ratios $\langle\mathbf{S}\rangle/\langle U\rangle$, etc.

Zone Plate Transmittance. Guided modes

In this section, we will derive the expressions of the electric and magnetic field at the output of the zone plate, which will be used later in the diffraction integrals. As mentioned above, the transmittance of small apertures can be explained by waveguide theory, so we will consider the transmittance zones of the GFZP as a set of coaxial waveguides, where the propagating modes basically depend on the width of each ring. For binary FZPs, the inner and outer radius of the transparent zones can be determined by equation $r_i=\sqrt{i\lambda f+i^2\lambda^2}$, where λ is the wavelength in the medium and f is the focal length of the zone plate. Therefore, the center of the different transparent zones is given by $r_{0i}=(r_{2i+2}+r_{2i+1})/2$, and the corresponding widths for standard binary FZPs $w_i=(r_{2i+2}-r_{2i+1})$, which implies different values for each ring (as can be seen in the schematic figure 1-b). In the case of the proposed GFZP all the coaxial waveguides have been selected with the same width, so we can control the number and propagating characteristics of the modes allowed for all the waveguides. The characteristic solutions of the waveguides are TE and TM modes, which can be described with the following function and vectors in cylindrical coordinates (r, ϕ, z) :

$$\vartheta = A e^{jk_z z} e^{jm\phi} \left[J_m(k_r r) + D Y_m(k_r r) \right] \quad (6)$$

$$\mathbf{v}_1 = \left\{ \frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial \vartheta}{\partial \phi}, -\frac{\partial \vartheta}{\partial r}, 0 \right\} \quad \mathbf{v}_2 = \left\{ \frac{\partial \vartheta}{\partial r}, \frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial \vartheta}{\partial \phi}, -j \frac{k_r^2}{k_z} \vartheta \right\} \quad (7)$$

Where k_z and k_r are the components of the wavevector, z being the direction of propagation of the guide, while J_m and Y_m denote the corresponding Bessel functions of the first kind and second kind respectively. Therefore, the electric and magnetic fields for the TE modes are $\mathbf{E}_{TE}=\mathbf{v}_1$ and $\mathbf{H}_{TE}=k_z/(\eta k)\mathbf{v}_2$, where the allowed modes obey the following condition as a function of the inner (r_1) and outer (r_2) radius:

$$J'_m(k_r r_1) Y'_m(k_r r_2) - J'_m(k_r r_2) Y'_m(k_r r_1) = 0 \quad (8)$$

So it is possible to obtain k_r of the propagating modes, and therefore k_z through the relation $k^2=k_r^2+k_z^2$. The constant D can be obtained from the boundary condition $E_\phi=0$ at $r=r_1$ or $r=r_2$. In the same way, the electric and magnetic fields for the TM modes are $\mathbf{E}_{TM}=\mathbf{v}_2$ and $\mathbf{H}_{TM}=-k/(\eta k_z)\mathbf{v}_1$, where k_r is obtained from the following condition:

$$J_m(k_r r_1) Y_m(k_r r_2) - J_m(k_r r_2) Y_m(k_r r_1) = 0 \quad (9)$$

In this case the constant D can be obtained from the boundary condition $E_z=0$ at $r=r_1$ or $r=r_2$. Finally, the excited amplitudes A_{mn} for each propagation mode under illumination conditions can be obtained from the continuity condition of the transverse components at the interface, depending on the incident electric and magnetic fields and are given by:

$$A_{mn} = \frac{1}{2N_{mn}} \left(\iint_{\Sigma} \left((\mathbf{h}_{mn}^* \times \mathbf{E}_i) \cdot \hat{\mathbf{z}} \right) \rho d\phi d\rho - \iint_{\Sigma} \left((\mathbf{e}_{mn}^* \times \mathbf{H}_i) \cdot \hat{\mathbf{z}} \right) \rho d\phi d\rho \right) \quad (10)$$

$$N_{mn} = \iint_{\Sigma} \left((\mathbf{h}_{mn}^* \times \mathbf{e}_{mn}) \cdot \hat{\mathbf{z}} \right) \rho d\phi d\rho \quad (11)$$

Where $\mathbf{e}_{mn}$ and $\mathbf{h}_{mn}$ are the transverse components of the different modes and Σ indicates the dimensions of the waveguide.

Let us consider a right circularly polarized plane wave ($\mathbf{E}_i=e^{j(kz+\phi)}\{1,j,0\}$ and $\mathbf{H}_i=e^{j(kz+\phi)}/\eta\{-j,1,0\}$) with working wavelength in vacuum of $\lambda_0=1.064\mu\text{m}$ illuminating a binary zone plate with 15 rings with a fixed width of $w_i=2\delta=0.5\mu\text{m}$.

Figure 2. Amplitude and phase for the components of the electric and magnetic fields of the guided modes

Under these conditions, three modes can be propagated in each coaxial guide (TE_{11} , TE_{12} and TM_{11}), but only the two TE modes are efficiently excited through the plane wave illumination. Figure 2 illustrates one waveguide (all of them have the same behaviour), showing the amplitude and phase of electric and magnetic field components as a function of the displacement with respect to the center of the guide at the beginning of the GFZP (all the components include a topological charge of 1 as the plane wave illumination). As can be seen, both modes are orthogonal, since TE_{11} has radial component for the electric field with a constant amplitude of approximately 1, while TE_{12} presents only azimuthal component for the electric field, with a spatial average amplitude around 1. Both components present a phase difference of $\pi/2$, so the electromagnetic field retains the polarization properties of the incident plane wave at the entry of the zone plate. However, the propagation constant is different for the two modes, so depending on the thickness of the GFZP, the polarization will change at the output of the waveguide, and consequently, the properties of the electromagnetic fields propagating in the surrounding dielectric media.

At this point, we can analyze the fields at the output of the waveguide in the circular basis, which can be expressed as function of the corresponding fields in the cylindrical basis as:

$$F_d^\pm = \frac{e^{\pm j\phi}}{\sqrt{2}} (F_{rd} \pm jF_{\phi d}) \quad (12)$$

Where F denote the electric and magnetic components of the circular basis (F^+ , F^- and F_z). Since the width of the waveguides is less than half of the wavelength, for the diffraction integrals we are going to consider that the transmittance of the waveguide has a homogeneous distribution with the radial coordinate, so we use the spatial average as the amplitude for the components of the electric and magnetic fields. The values for average amplitude, initial phase and propagation constants of the different components are shown in table 1. As can be seen, for the electric and magnetic field components, the amplitude and the initial phase difference are the same as the illumination conditions, but there is a phase difference of approximately π between the propagation constants of the different modes, which will cause the polarization to vary with the depth of the zone plate.

Therefore, the electric and magnetic field components in the circular basis at the output of the GFZP with depth d can be expressed as:

$$E_d^\pm = \frac{e^{(1\pm 1)j\phi}}{\sqrt{2}} e^{jk_{z1}d} (|E_{r0}| \mp |E_{\phi 0}| e^{j\Delta k_z d}) \sum_i \Theta[r - (r_{0i} - \delta)] \Theta[(r_{0i} + \delta) - r] \quad (13)$$

$$H_d^\pm = -j \frac{e^{(1\pm 1)j\phi}}{\sqrt{2}} e^{jk_{z1}d} (|H_{r0}| \mp |H_{\phi 0}| e^{j\Delta k_z d}) \sum_i \Theta[r - (r_{0i} - \delta)] \Theta[(r_{0i} + \delta) - r] \quad (14)$$

	Amplitude	Phase (z=0)	$k_z (\mu\text{m}^{-1})$
E_{r0}	1.067 V/m	0	7.85325
$E_{\phi 0}$	1.17 V/m	$\pi/2$	4.71026
E_{z0}	0	0	—
H_{r0}	0.00376 A/m	$-\pi/2$	4.71026
$H_{\phi 0}$	0.00247 A/m	0	7.85325
H_{z0}	0.0033 A/m	0	4.71026

Table 1. Phase, propagation constant and spatial average of the amplitude for the components of electric and magnetic fields at the beginning of the waveguide.

$$H_{zd} = |H_{z0}| e^{jk_z z} \sum_i \Theta[r - (r_{0i} - \delta)] \Theta[(r_{0i} + \delta) - r] \left(2\Theta[r - r_{0i}] - 1 \right) \quad (15)$$

Where $\Delta k = k_{z2} - k_{z1}$, and Θ denote the Heaviside function, which is used to limit the transparent zones and also in the case of the H_z component determines parity with respect to the center of the waveguides. In these expressions, we have taken into account that the propagating modes are the same in all transparent areas.

Stratton-Chu Diffraction Theory

According to Stratton and Chu¹⁷, the electromagnetic field diffracted by an aperture can be obtained from the following diffraction formulae, which depends on the electric and magnetic field at the output plane of the object ($\mathbf{E}_d$ and $\mathbf{H}_d$ respectively)

$$\mathbf{E} = -\frac{1}{4\pi} \left[\frac{1}{j\omega\epsilon} \oint \nabla \psi \mathbf{H}_d \cdot d\mathbf{l} + \int_{\Sigma} \left(j\omega\mu(\mathbf{n} \times \mathbf{H}_d) \psi + (\mathbf{n} \times \mathbf{E}_d) \times \nabla \psi + (\mathbf{n} \cdot \mathbf{E}_d) \nabla \psi \right) d\Sigma \right] \quad (16)$$

$$\mathbf{H} = \frac{1}{4\pi} \left[-\frac{1}{j\omega\mu} \oint \nabla \psi \mathbf{E}_d \cdot d\mathbf{l} + \int_{\Sigma} \left(j\omega\epsilon(\mathbf{n} \times \mathbf{E}_d) \psi - (\mathbf{n} \times \mathbf{H}_d) \times \nabla \psi - (\mathbf{n} \cdot \mathbf{H}_d) \nabla \psi \right) d\Sigma \right] \quad (17)$$

Where $R = \sqrt{(x - x_d)^2 + (y - y_d)^2 + (z - z_d)^2}$ denotes the distance between the point of observation (x,y,z) and the points in the exit plane (x_d, y_d, z_d), while ψ is given by

$$\psi = \frac{e^{jkR}}{R} \quad (18)$$

Where the observation plane is not too close to the exit plane, the gradient of ψ function can be approximated to:

$$\nabla \psi \approx -jk \frac{e^{jkR}}{R^2} \left\{ (x - x_d), (y - y_d), (z - z_d) \right\} \quad (19)$$

Due to the circular symmetry of the problem, it is more convenient to work with diffraction integrals in the circular basis, so we define the orthogonal components for electric field as $E^- = 1/\sqrt{2}(E_x - jE_y)$, $E^+ = 1/\sqrt{2}(E_x + jE_y)$, and in the same way for the magnetic field H^- and H^+ . It is also appropriate to use polar coordinates rather than Cartesian for the integrals, so we use the following relations $x_d = r \cos(\phi)$, $y_d = r \sin(\phi)$, $z_d = 0$, $x = \rho \cos(\theta)$ and $y = \rho \sin(\theta)$. Therefore, the diffraction integrals, assuming that the contribution of the line integral vanishes, can be expressed as:

$$E^{\pm} = \iint_{\Sigma} \frac{e^{jkR}}{4\pi R^2} k \left[-jz E_d^{\pm} \mp \eta R H_d^{\pm} + j \frac{E_{zd}}{\sqrt{2}} \left(e^{\pm j\phi} r - e^{\pm j\theta} \rho \right) \right] r dr d\phi \quad (20)$$

$$E_z = \iint_{\Sigma} -jk \frac{e^{jkR}}{4\pi R^2} \left[E_{zd} z + \frac{E_d^+}{\sqrt{2}} \left(e^{-j\phi} r - e^{-j\theta} \rho \right) + \frac{E_d^-}{\sqrt{2}} \left(e^{j\phi} r - e^{j\theta} \rho \right) \right] r dr d\phi \quad (21)$$

$$H^{\pm} = \iint_{\Sigma} \frac{e^{jkR}}{4\pi R^2} k \left[-jzH_d^{\pm} \pm \frac{R}{\eta} E_d^{\pm} + j \frac{H_{zd}}{\sqrt{2}} \left(e^{\pm j\phi} r - e^{\pm j\theta} \rho \right) \right] r dr d\phi \quad (22)$$

$$H_z = \iint_{\Sigma} -jk \frac{e^{jkR}}{4\pi R^2} \left[H_{zd} z + \frac{H_d^+}{\sqrt{2}} \left(e^{-j\phi} r - e^{-j\theta} \rho \right) + \frac{H_d^-}{\sqrt{2}} \left(e^{j\phi} r - e^{j\theta} \rho \right) \right] r dr d\phi \quad (23)$$

Now, we can use the guided modes calculated in the previous section as the initial fields for the diffraction problem. Analytic solutions of diffraction integral in each transparent zone can be obtained after some approximations involving the R function. On the one hand, for the coefficient R, which is not in the complex exponential function, we use $R \approx R_{0i} = \sqrt{r_{0i}^2 + z^2}$ for each transparent zone, where r_{0i} denotes the center of the rings that compose the zone plate. On the other hand, the R in the exponential function is approximated with series expansion at $\rho=0$ truncated in the first term:

$$R = \sqrt{\rho^2 + r^2 - 2\rho r \cos(\theta - \phi) + z^2} \approx \sqrt{r^2 + z^2} - \frac{r\rho}{\sqrt{r^2 + z^2}} \cos(\theta - \phi) \quad (24)$$

With these approximations, it is possible to integrate over the ϕ variable with the expansion in Bessel functions of the exponential of $\cos(\theta - \phi)$. In the case of the r variable, we use a similar approximation to that of R, assuming that $r \approx r_{0i}$ except for the case of the exponential that is assumed to be:

$$e^{jk\sqrt{r^2 + z^2}} \approx e^{jkR_{0i}} e^{jk \frac{r_{0i}}{R_{0i}} (r - r_{0i})} \quad (25)$$

Therefore, analytical integration over the r variable in the different transparent zones can be done. The resulting electric and magnetic fields are given by:

$$E^- = -jz\Gamma_{0,0}^{(1)} E_d^- + \eta\Gamma_{0,0}^{(0)} H_d^- \quad (26)$$

$$E^+ = e^{2j\theta} \left(jz\Gamma_{2,0}^{(1)} E_d^+ + \eta\Gamma_{2,0}^{(0)} H_d^+ \right) \quad (27)$$

$$E_z = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} e^{j\theta} \left(- \left(E_d^- + E_d^+ \right) \Gamma_{1,1}^{(1)} + j\rho \left(\Gamma_{0,0}^{(1)} E_d^- - \Gamma_{2,0}^{(1)} E_d^+ \right) \right) \quad (28)$$

$$H^- = -\frac{1}{\eta} \Gamma_{0,0}^{(0)} E_d^- - jz\Gamma_{0,0}^{(1)} H_d^- + \frac{H_{zd}}{\sqrt{2}} \left(j\Lambda_{0,1}^{(1)} - \rho\Lambda_{1,0}^{(1)} \right) \quad (29)$$

$$H^+ = e^{2j\theta} \left(-\frac{1}{\eta} \Gamma_{2,0}^{(0)} E_d^+ + jz\Gamma_{2,0}^{(1)} H_d^+ - \frac{H_{zd}}{\sqrt{2}} \left(j\Lambda_{2,1}^{(1)} + \rho\Lambda_{1,0}^{(1)} \right) \right) \quad (30)$$

$$H_z = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} e^{j\theta} \left(- \left(H_d^- + H_d^+ \right) \Gamma_{1,1}^{(1)} + j\rho \left(\Gamma_{0,0}^{(1)} H_d^- - \Gamma_{2,0}^{(1)} H_d^+ \right) - \sqrt{2} z \Lambda_{1,0}^{(1)} H_{zd} \right) \quad (31)$$

Where the Γ and Λ functions are:

$$\Gamma_{\alpha,\beta}^{(\zeta)} = \sum_i e^{jkR_{0i}} J_{\alpha} \left[k \frac{r_{0i}}{R_{0i}} \rho \right] r_{0i}^{\beta} R_{0i}^{\zeta} \sin \left[k \frac{r_{0i}}{R_{0i}} \delta \right] \quad (32)$$

$$\Lambda_{\alpha,\beta}^{(\zeta)} = -2j \sum_i e^{jkR_{0i}} J_{\alpha} \left[k \frac{r_{0i}}{R_{0i}} \rho \right] r_{0i}^{\beta} R_{0i}^{\zeta} \left(1 - \cos \left[k \frac{r_{0i}}{R_{0i}} \delta \right] \right) \quad (33)$$

Where the resulting electromagnetic fields propagating in the free space depend on two design parameters given by the GFZP, the focal point f, which determines r_{0i} , and depth d.

Results and discussion

In this section, we are going to show the different electromagnetic properties for the fields generated with the GFZP as a function of design parameters, such as the focal length and structure depth, paying special attention to the extraordinary spin-to-orbital angular momentum conversion. The effect of the guiding characteristics of the structure is studied for two different values of the structure depth: one with $d=0.1\mu\text{m}$, which is about ten times lower than the wavelength, the so-called short guided Fresnel zone plate (SGFZP); and the other with $d=1\mu\text{m}$, which we call the long guided Fresnel zone plate (LGFZP). A wide range of focal lengths is also analyzed to study the ability of the structure to perform the SAM to OAM conversion in the near or far field. In order to validate the approximations made in the analytical model, we also compare the results obtained with numerical simulations using the FDTD method (Meep program¹⁸) for various cases with values of focal distance from 3λ to 9λ (which implies a numerical aperture AN range from 1.22 for $F=3\lambda$ to 0.82 for $F=9\lambda$), where the metal selected to form the zone plate is gold, BK7 glass for the substrate and water for the propagating medium.

Electromagnetic energy density and energy flux

Figure 3. a) Normalized electromagnetic energy in the focal plane for SGFZPs (continuous lines and filled circles) and LGFZPs (dashed lines and unfilled circles) with different focal lengths estimated through the proposed model (lines) and FDTD (circles). b) Axial component of the Poynting vector in the focal plane for a SGFZP (continuous line and filled circles) and a LGFZP (dashed line and unfilled circles) with focal length of 7λ estimated through the proposed model (lines) and FDTD (circles).

The results obtained for the normalized electromagnetic energy density U in the focal plane are shown in figure 3-a for SGFZPs and LGFZPs with four different focal distances, calculated with the analytical model and the numerical FDTD method. For the SGFZP ($d=0.1\mu\text{m}$) we can observe a behaviour that is similar to the characteristic airy disk of focusing systems, with a full width at half maximum (FWHM) of about $0.46\mu\text{m}$, similar to the experimental values of reference¹⁹ for chromium FZPs with depths between 0.06 and $0.09\mu\text{m}$. Also it is important to note the good correlation between the analytical model presented and the results of the FDTD. An interesting result is obtained for the LGFZPs ($d=1\mu\text{m}$), where the electromagnetic energy presents an annular distribution, whose maximum is shifted to a higher radius as the focal distance increases. As in the previous case, a good correlation has been observed between our model and the numerical FDTD results.

The strong influence of the depth of the structure on the focused electromagnetic energy as a consequence of the guiding characteristic is also observed for the other electromagnetic properties, resulting in very interesting behaviours for practically all of them. This is the case for the axial component of the Poynting vector, whose behaviour in the focal plane is shown in Figure 3-b for one SGFZP and one LGFZP with a focal length of 7λ . The axial component of the Poynting vector P_z for the SGFZP shows the typical distribution of focusing systems, since the energy flows in the propagation direction with the same spatial distribution as electromagnetic energy. However, for the LGFZP, we observe that the energy flows in the direction of propagation in the region of the maximum values of U (annular distribution), but there is a small region near the axis where the P_z takes negative values, i.e., the energy flows opposite to the propagation direction, in the same way as described in reference²⁰ for the focusing of circularly polarized optical vortex beams with topological charge 2 using Richards and Wolf formalism. The ratio between the negative flux in the axis and the maximum positive flux of the annular distribution increases as the focal length decreases, and for focal lengths greater than 23λ the effect disappears, so the energy flux in the propagation direction become positive for all the positions.

Helicity and Angular Momentum

Figure 4. a) Local density ratio of helicity in the focal plane for a SGFZP (continuous line and filled circles) and a LGFZP (dashed line and unfilled circles) with focal length of 7λ estimated through the proposed model (lines) and FDTD (circles). b) Axial component of the SAM in the focal plane for a SGFZP (continuous line and filled circles) and a LGFZP (dashed line and unfilled circles) with focal length of 7λ estimated through the proposed model (lines) and FDTD (circles).

Finally, we are going to analyze the effect on two important electromagnetic properties: electromagnetic helicity, which is a measure of the chirality of the field, and in our case, it also has a strong influence on the depth of the GFZP; and the axial component of the angular momentum, which can be divided into SAM and OAM contributions, where the conversion between them is strongly modified by the depth of structure. These properties are well defined for the incident beam, since the right circularly polarized plane wave presents an average value per photon of helicity $\mathcal{H}/U=1$. In the same way, the local density ratios for the axial component of the total and the spin angular momentum of the incident field are $S_z/U=J_z/U=1$, since $L_z/U=0$. Figure 4-a shows the local density ratio of helicity in the focal plane for the SGFZP and LGFZP with a focal length of 7λ . On the one hand, for the SGFZP the value of $\mathcal{H}/U$ is about 1 for all the range of positions, so in this case the structure preserves the helicity of the incident fields. On the other hand, a complete flip of the $\mathcal{H}/U$ value is obtained for the fields generated with the LGFZP, where the value is around -1 for all the positions, so the structure generates a complete inversion of the chirality of the fields.

Figure 5. Evolution of SAM and OAM in the focal plane generated by SGFZP and LGFZP as a function of the focal length of the zone plate (points indicate the results of FDTD).

Figure 4-b shows the behaviour of the axial component of the SAM S_z for both structures, SGFZP and LGFZP. As for helicity, the axial component of the SAM retains the same sign as the incident field for the SGFZP structure, which corresponds to the behavior of Fresnel zone plates or standard focusing systems. However, the LGFZP structure generates a change in the sign of S_z in the annular region where the energy is mainly focalized (see figure 3), being only slightly positive in the region near the axis. Therefore, as a consequence of the conservation of the angular momentum J_z , this change in the sign of S_z will cause a large increase in the SAM to OAM conversion. We also want to point out that for both helicity and S_z there is a good correlation between the proposed model and the results of the FDTD, as in the case of the other electromagnetic properties.

The SAM to OAM conversion is finally analyzed through the corresponding integral ratios of the axial component of the spin and orbital angular momentum in the focal plane, which can be considered the values per photon. In this way, figure 5 shows the integral ratios $\langle S_z \rangle / \langle U \rangle$ and $\langle L_z \rangle / \langle U \rangle$ for the two structures analyzed, SGFZP and LGFZP, over a wide range of focal lengths, the points being the values obtained with FDTD, which shows a good correlation with the model proposed. In the case of SGFZP, a high SAM to OAM conversion is observed for the lower focal lengths, with a maximum conversion factor of about 50% for $F=3\lambda$. In contrast, when the focal length increases the value of $\langle S_z \rangle / \langle U \rangle$ approaches 1 and the value of $\langle L_z \rangle / \langle U \rangle$ tends towards zero; so a very low SAM to OAM conversion is observed for SGFZP working in the far field. This result coincides with the characteristics of the SAM to OAM conversion observed in focusing systems^{8–11}, which reaches values near 50% only for high numerical aperture systems. For the designed LGFZP, the $\langle S_z \rangle / \langle U \rangle$ becomes negative for all the focal lengths, starting from -0.5 for the lower values, and decreasing asymptotically to -1 when the focal length of the structure increases. Therefore, the OAM integral ratio has a value of about 1.5 for $F=3\lambda$, which corresponds to a conversion factor of 150%, and increases asymptotically to 2 as the focal length increases; so conversion factors near 200% can be obtained for far field focusing structures. Therefore, the designed GFZP structures generate an extraordinary SAM to OAM conversion, much greater than the one described for standard high numerical aperture focusing systems, and with the special characteristic that the conversion factor is greater for higher focal distances, while the conversion for the other focusing systems vanishes.

Conclusions

We have studied the ability of modified binary Fresnel zone plates to change the electromagnetic properties of the incident fields, including an extraordinary spin to orbital angular momentum conversion. This change in the electromagnetic properties was analyzed with FDTD methods and an analytical model was developed using a combination of guided mode theory for the transmittance of the GFZP as a function of the width and depth of the rings, and Stratton-Chu diffraction theory for the free space propagation after the structure. The results show that with the adequate selection of the dimensions great changes in the electromagnetic properties can be obtained. Thus a total inversion of the helicity of fields can be observed, along with SAM to OAM conversion ratios over 150% for high numerical aperture, greater than the conversion factor of 50% observed for other focusing systems. Moreover, the conversion factor for the designed structure reaches values near 200% for higher values of focal distances, while the standard focusing systems shows very low conversion levels.

References

1. Ren, H., Li, X., Zhang, Q. & Gu, M. On-chip noninterference angular momentum multiplexing of broadband light. *Science* **352**, 805–809, DOI: [10.1126/science.aaf1112](https://doi.org/10.1126/science.aaf1112) (2016).
2. Bozinovic, N. *et al.* Terabit-scale orbital angular momentum mode division multiplexing in fibers. *Science* **340**, 1545–1548, DOI: [10.1126/science.1237861](https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1237861) (2013).
3. Bliokh, K. Y., Rodriguez-Fortuno, F. J., Nori, F. & Zayats, A. V. Spin-orbit interactions of light. *Nat Photonics* **9**, 796–808, DOI: [10.1038/nphoton.2015.201](https://doi.org/10.1038/nphoton.2015.201) (2015).
4. Ciattoni, A., Cincotti, G. & Palma, C. Angular momentum dynamics of a paraxial beam in a uniaxial crystal. *Phys Rev E* **67**, DOI: [10.1103/PhysRevE.67.036618](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevE.67.036618) (2003).
5. Marrucci, L., Manzo, C. & Paparo, D. Optical spin-to-orbital angular momentum conversion in inhomogeneous anisotropic media. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **96**, 163905, DOI: [10.1103/PhysRevLett.96.163905](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.96.163905) (2006).
6. Brasselet, E., Murazawa, N., Misawa, H. & Juodkazis, S. Optical vortices from liquid crystal droplets. *Phys Rev Lett* **103**, DOI: [10.1103/PhysRevLett.103.103903](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.103.103903) (2009).
7. Devlin, R. C., Ambrosio, A., Rubin, N. A., Mueller, J. P. B. & Capasso, F. Arbitrary spin-to-orbital angular momentum conversion of light. *Science* **358**, 896–900, DOI: [10.1126/science.aao5392](https://doi.org/10.1126/science.aao5392) (2017).
8. Nieminen, T. A., Stilgoe, A. B., Heckenberg, N. R. & Rubinsztein-Dunlop, H. Angular momentum of a strongly focused gaussian beam. *J. Of Opt A-Pure Appl Opt* **10**, DOI: [10.1088/1464-4258/10/11/115005](https://doi.org/10.1088/1464-4258/10/11/115005) (2008).
9. Zhao, Y., Edgar, J. S., Jeffries, G. D. M., McGloin, D. & Chiu, D. T. Spin-to-orbital angular momentum conversion in a strongly focused optical beam. *Phys Rev Lett* **99**, DOI: [10.1103/PhysRevLett.99.073901](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.99.073901) (2007).
10. Zhao, Y., Shapiro, D., McGloin, D., Chiu, D. T. & Marchesini, S. Direct observation of the transfer of orbital angular momentum to metal particles from a focused circularly polarized gaussian beam. *Opt Express* **17**, 23316–23322, DOI: [10.1364/OE.17.023316](https://doi.org/10.1364/OE.17.023316) (2009).
11. Meng, P., Man, Z., Konijnenberg, A. P. & Urbach, H. P. Angular momentum properties of hybrid cylindrical vector vortex beams in tightly focused optical systems. *Opt. Express* **27**, 376417, DOI: [10.1364/OE.27.035336](https://doi.org/10.1364/OE.27.035336) (2019).

12. Shu, J., Pu, J. & Liu, Y. Angular momentum conversion of elliptically polarized beams focused by high numerical-aperture phase fresnel zone plates. *Appl. Phys. B-lasers Opt.* **104**, 639–646, DOI: [10.1007/s00340-011-4454-y](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00340-011-4454-y) (2011).
13. Schwartz, C. & Dogariu, A. Conservation of angular momentum of light in single scattering. *Opt Express* **14**, 8425–8433, DOI: [10.1364/OE.14.008425](https://doi.org/10.1364/OE.14.008425) (2006).
14. Bliokh, K. Y. *et al.* Spin-to-orbital angular momentum conversion in focusing, scattering, and imaging systems. *Opt Express* **19**, 26132–26149, DOI: [10.1364/OE.19.026132](https://doi.org/10.1364/OE.19.026132) (2011).
15. Picardi, M. F., Bliokh, K. Y., Rodriguez-Fortuno, F. J., Alpegiani, F. & Nori, F. Angular momenta, helicity, and other properties of dielectric-fiber and metallic-wire modes. *Optica* **5**, 1016–1026, DOI: [10.1364/OPTICA.5.001016](https://doi.org/10.1364/OPTICA.5.001016) (2018).
16. Kindler, J., Banzer, P., Quabis, S., Peschel, U. & Leuchs, G. Waveguide properties of single subwavelength holes demonstrated with radially and azimuthally polarized light. *Appl. Phys. B-lasers Opt.* **89**, German Phys Soc, Quantum Opt & Photon Sect, DOI: [10.1007/s00340-007-2874-5](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00340-007-2874-5) (2007).
17. Stratton, J. A. & Chu, L. J. Diffraction theory of electromagnetic waves. *Phys. Rev* **56**, 99–107 (1939).
18. Oskooi, A. *et al.* Meep: A flexible free-software package for electromagnetic simulations by the fdtd method. *Comput. Phys. Commun.* **181**, 687–702 (2010).
19. Kotlyar, V. V. *et al.* Tight focusing of laser light using a chromium fresnel zone plate. *Opt. Express* **25**, 19662–19671, DOI: [10.1364/OE.25.019662](https://doi.org/10.1364/OE.25.019662) (2017).
20. Kotlyar, V. V., Nalimov, A. G. & Stafeev, S. S. Energy backflow in the focus of an optical vortex. *Laser Phys.* **28**, 126203, DOI: [10.1088/1555-6611/aae02f](https://doi.org/10.1088/1555-6611/aae02f) (2018).

Author contributions statement

P.A. conceived the fundamental idea, developed the guided modes part and the calculations of the electromagnetic properties for FDTD and diffraction cases and write the article, L.C. developed the part of diffraction theory and analyzed the numerical results and S.B. developed the part of numerical FDTD simulations. All authors reviewed the manuscript.

Figures

(a) Proposed guided zone plate

(b) Binary fresnel zone plate

(c) Proposed zone plate

Figure 1

Schematic representation of the proposed system for spin to orbital angular momentum conversion

Figure 2

Amplitude and phase for the components of the electric and magnetic fields of the guided modes

Figure 3

a) Normalized electromagnetic energy in the focal plane for SGFZPs (continuous lines and filled circles) and LGFZPs (dashed lines and unfilled circles) with different focal lengths estimated through the proposed model (lines) and FDTD (circles). b) Axial component of the Poynting vector in the focal plane for a SGFZP (continuous line and filled circles) and a LGFZP (dashed line and unfilled circles) with focal length of $7l$ estimated through the proposed model (lines) and FDTD (circles).

Figure 4

a) Local density ratio of helicity in the focal plane for a SGFZP (continuous line and filled circles) and a LGFZP (dashed line and unfilled circles) with focal length of $7l$ estimated through the proposed model (lines) and FDTD (circles). b) Axial component of the SAM in the focal plane for a SGFZP (continuous line and filled circles) and a LGFZP (dashed line and unfilled circles) with focal length of $7l$ estimated through the proposed model (lines) and FDTD (circles).

Figure 5

Evolution of SAM and OAM in the focal plane generated by SGFZP and LGFZP as a function of the focal length of the zone plate (points indicate the results of FDTD).