

Evolutionary ecology of the visual opsin gene sequence and its expression in turbot (*Scophthalmus maximus*)

Yunong Wang

Institute of Oceanology Chinese Academy of Sciences

Li Zhou

Institute of Oceanology Chinese Academy of Sciences

Lele Wu

Institute of Oceanology Chinese Academy of Sciences

Xiaona Ma

Institute of Oceanology Chinese Academy of Sciences

Shihong Xu

Institute of Oceanology Chinese Academy of Sciences

Tengfei Du

Institute of Oceanology Chinese Academy of Sciences

Xian Li (✉ lixian@qdio.ac.cn)

Institute of oceanology, Chinese Academy of Sciences <https://orcid.org/0000-0003-3201-5680>

Jun Li (✉ junli@qdio.ac.cn)

Institute of Oceanology Chinese Academy of Sciences

Research article

Keywords: turbot, benthic life, adaption, opsin, heterochronic shift

Posted Date: March 26th, 2020

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.21203/rs.3.rs-19116/v1>

License: © ⓘ This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License.

[Read Full License](#)

Abstract

Background As flatfish, turbot undergo metamorphosis as part of their life cycle. In the larval stage, turbot live at the ocean surface, but after metamorphosis they move to deeper water and turn to benthic life. Thus, the light environment differs greatly between life stages. The vision system plays a great role in organic evolution, but reports of the relationship between the visual system and benthic life are rare. In this study, we reported the molecular and evolutionary analysis of opsin genes in turbot, and the heterochronic shifts in opsin expression during development.

Results Our gene synteny analysis showed that subtype RH2C was not on the same gene cluster as the other four green-sensitive opsin genes (RH2) in turbot. It was translocated to chromosome 8 from chromosome 6. Based on branch-site test and spectral tuning sites analyses, E122Q and M207L substitutions in RH2C , which were found to be under positive selection, are closely related to the blue shift of optimum light sensitivities. And real-time PCR results indicated the dominant opsin gene shifted from red-sensitive (LWS) to RH2B1 during turbot development, which may lead to spectral sensitivity transition from red to green.

Conclusions We demonstrated that RH2C may be an important subtype of green opsin gene that was retained by turbot and possibly other flatfish species during evolution. Moreover, E122Q and M207L substitutions in RH2C may contribute to the survival of turbot in the bluish colored ocean. And heterochronic shifts in opsin expression may be an important strategy for turbot to adapt to benthic life.

Background

To survive, all organisms must react to changes in the physical environment, such as temperature, circadian rhythm of light, and humidity [1]. Thus, to frame the evolutionary perspective about the molecular basis of organismal adaption and biology, sensory systems are generally selected as ideal models [2]. Vision is closely related to behaviors such as foraging, mating, parental care, and avoiding predation. As it allows for almost instantaneous transmission of information, vision likely plays a great role in organic evolution [3]. Vision formation involves retinal reception, integration, and higher-order brain processing [4, 5]. Retinal reception is mediated by visual pigments, which consist of one opsin protein, a group of G protein-coupled receptors, and one chromophore (11-cis-retinal, A1, or 11-cis-3, 4-dehydroretinal, A2) [3].

Due to water absorption and scattering, the photic environment of the aquatic system in which fish live changes rapidly with depth, especially in the ocean. In order to adapt to different spectral conditions, teleosts possess five classes of visual opsin genes: 1) RH1 (rhodopsin) for dim light; 2) RH2 (rhodopsin-like opsin) for green; 3) SWS1 (short wavelength-sensitive type 1) for ultraviolet; 4) SWS2 (SWS1-like opsin) for blue; and 5) LWS (long wavelength-sensitive) for red. With the exception of RH1, which is expressed in rod photoreceptors, the other four classes are expressed in cone photoreceptors [3]. The cone opsin genes derived from two rounds of whole genome duplication [6]. It is believed that after

several additional duplication events, diverse opsin repertoires were maintained among different species [7–12]. RH2 and LWS duplication events were most prevalent in ray-finned fish, and tandem duplication seems to have produced more duplicates [13]. Due to substitution in key sites, the multiple opsin subtypes from duplication generally have different spectral peak absorbance (λ_{max}), which helps enrich the visual system [2, 3, 7, 10].

In addition to the adaptive evolution of gene sequences, heterochronic shifts in visual opsin expression are an important mechanism of spectral tuning [14, 15]. For example, single cones in rainbow trout (*Oncorhynchus mykiss*) switch opsin expression from SWS1 to SWS2 during the juvenile period [16]. In winter flounder (*Pleuronectes americanus*), only RH2 was expressed in the premetamorphic retina, whereas RH1, SWS2, and LWS were also expressed in the postmetamorphic retina [17]. Besides ontogenetic changes, plasticity of visual opsin expression in response to different photic environments is an important strategy that allows rapid adaptation to environmental changes. Plastic opsin expression was reported to have a profound effect on Nile tilapia (*Oreochromis niloticus*) and guppy (*Poecilia reticulata*) during development [18, 19]. It was also reported for the adult stage of fish such as red shiner (*Cyprinella lutrensis*) and bluefin killifish (*Lucania goodei*) [20, 21]. Additionally, a recent study showed that opsin coexpression might be a novel mechanism for modulating color vision [22]. However, it is unclear whether the direction and extent of opsin expression plasticity are limited by ontogeny [23].

The turbot (*Scophthalmus maximus*) is an important aquaculture species with great commercial value. As a flatfish, metamorphosis is a critical part of its life history. In the early stage, it lives at the ocean surface. After metamorphosis, turbot move to deep water and enter a benthic phase. This change in habitat results in a great shift in environmental conditions, and its visual system may change accordingly. However, visual characteristics and the opsin expression pattern of turbot and their relationship to benthic life remain unknown. In the present study, we investigated the selection pressure acting on turbot and eight other teleost species and conducted spectral tuning sites and synteny analyses to evaluate the adaptive evolution of turbot visual opsin genes. We also investigated the heterochronic shifts during development of turbot. Results of this study enrich the understanding of sensory adaption in demersal fish.

Results

Phylogeny and syntenic analysis of turbot visual opsin

Figure 1 shows an unrooted visual opsin phylogenetic tree of turbot and eight other species constructed using the neighbor-joining method. The tree confirmed the identities of turbot opsin genes: *RH1*, *SWS1*, *SWS2*, *RH2A1*, *RH2A2*, *RH2B1*, *RH2B2*, *RH2C*, and *LWS*. Unlike other single-copy opsin genes, turbot have five *RH2* paralogs. Figure 2 shows the results of comparative synteny analyses among six selected teleost species. In general, opsin gene positions were conserved among teleosts. For example, the *RH1* gene was typically positioned between the gene loci of *prickle2a* and *ren*. In addition to *RH2C*, four other *RH2* genes of turbot were located in tandem on chromosome 6. By comparing the syntenic region

containing *RH2C*, we found that although the genomic regions both downstream and upstream were otherwise maintained, *RH2C* was missing in the other five species (Fig. 2B). When we expanded the analysis to all fish genomes that could be analyzed, the *RH2C* loci was still not found. Similarly, *LWS-1*, *LWS-2*, and *LWS-3* of guppy formed a tandem gene cluster, while *LWS-4* was located on chromosome LG21 (Fig. 2C). Additionally, *SWS1* was not present in the tongue sole genome (Fig. 2A).

Natural selection and spectral tuning sites analysis

To evaluate the selective constraints acting at the branch level in teleosts, branch-specific selection analysis was performed. In all cases of opsin genes, the free-ratio model provided a better fit, indicating the heterogeneity of ω values (nonsynonymous/synonymous rate ratio, $\omega = dN/dS$) among branches (Table 1). For the branch-site models, the test was conducted on a particular branch of the tree. The LRT comparisons between model A and the null model revealed several sites under positive selection on nine branches of the tree (red labeled branches in Fig. 1). These sites under episodes of positive selection are listed in Table. 2. Among the lineages selected in the phylogenetic tree, only LWS lacked a positive selection site. Furthermore, positive results were found for all branches of zebrafish except LWS. Among all selected branches, the SWS2 branch of zebrafish had the most sites.

Based on amino acid multiple alignments, we surveyed the main tuning sites involved in spectral sensitivity. Tables 3 and 4 show the results for RH2 and RH1, respectively, and the others are shown in Tables S2–4. Asparagine (N) was present at site 83 in five flatfish species, while the other teleost genomes had aspartic acid (D) in RH1; there were no differences in the other three sites. With the exception of Atlantic halibut, the other four flounders all had changes of glutamic acid (E) to glutamine (Q) at site 122 and methionine (M) to leucine (L) at site 207 in RH2C. For SWS1, sites 46 and 114 of turbot contained phenylalanine (F) and alanine (A), respectively, but serine (S) was present in those locations for the other four flatfish species. Moreover, in the b branch of SWS2 in the tree (Fig. 1), all four species had the same amino acid substitution of valine (V) for threonine (T) at site 99. We also found a turbot-specific amino acid site (122S) in SWS2.

Divergence time of turbot *RH2* genes

The time that the turbot *RH2* genes diverged was estimated by MCMCTree using the soft fossil constraints method, and then we obtained an evolutionary pathway (Fig. 3). We speculated that five *RH2* genes were the product of several duplication events. The proposed first divergence time was 166 (139–193) million years ago (Mya) in the Jurassic, which formed *RH2A* and *RH2B/RH2C*. The third divergence (about 71 Mya) created *RH2B* and *RH2C*. The divergence times of *RH2A1/RH2A2* and *RH2B1/RH2B2* were estimated to be 87 (51–128) and 34 (15–55) Mya, respectively. The divergence of *RH2B1/RH2B2* was the latest one, and it occurred in the Tertiary.

Heterochronic shifts in opsin gene expression

In these experiments, expression levels of visual opsin genes in turbot at different developmental stages were determined by qPCR. Eight of the visual opsin genes were expressed at a low level at 0.5 and 18 months of age, but *RH1* was highly expressed at 18 months of age. From 1 to 9 months of age, *RH1*, *SWS2*, and *SWS1* expression significantly increased and *LWS*, *RH2A1*, *RH2B2*, and *RH2C* expression significantly decreased as turbot grew. No significant change was detected in *RH2A2* and *RH2B1* throughout ontogeny (Fig. 4). Among the *RH2* genes, *RH2A1/RH2B2* and *RH2A2/RH2B1* exhibited the same expression pattern throughout ontogeny, and the pattern for *RH2C* was closer to that of *RH2A2* and *RH2B1*. When we analyzed the proportional opsin gene expression of each cone opsin gene, we found that *LWS*, *RH2B1*, and *RH2C* were the three genes present in the highest proportions. The maximum *LWS* expression level was 53.85% at 1 month of age, while that of *RH2B1* was 63.27% at 9 months of age. Thus, the dominant opsin shifted from *LWS* to *RH2B1* during turbot development (Table. 5).

Discussion

Molecular evolution of *RH2* and *RH1*

Through phylogenetic analysis, we characterized the visual opsin genetic component of turbot. Five green opsin genes are present in the turbot genome, and this number is the largest among the sequenced fish species to date, along with Pacific bluefin tuna (*Thunnus orientalis*) [10, 25]. Our gene synteny analysis showed that *RH2C* was not on the same gene cluster as the other four *RH2* genes. In addition, the *RH2C* locus was absent in other teleost species analyzed in this study. Unfortunately, our gene synteny analysis failed to cover all species of fish, including some flatfish such as barfin flounder, spotted halibut, and Japanese flounder. We do not know yet whether their *RH2Cs* are arranged in tandem with other *RH2* genes on the chromosome. Additionally, despite the close genetic relationship of tongue sole, it lacks the *RH2C* locus. We predicted that *RH2C* might have originated from flatfish-specific duplication, after which it was translocated to chromosome 8 from chromosome 6 in turbot. It is generally accepted that gene duplication may generate redundant genes, which is usually followed by degenerative mutations on one member of the pair and even gene loss [13, 26, 27]. Subsequently, some flatfish lineages lost *RH2C*, whereas other species such as turbot retained it.

Although a previous study found no signals of positive selection on visual opsin genes of turbot [25], we detected 10 amino acid sites of *RH2C*, and sites 122 and 207 are related to spectral sensitivity. In barfin flounder, the λ_{\max} of *RH2C* is blue shifted by [approximately](#) 16 nm compared to *RH2B* [28]. Additionally, mutagenesis experiments in coelacanth indicated that both E122Q and M207L cause blue shift of optimum light sensitivities [3, 29, 30]. Thus, we speculated that the λ_{\max} of *RH2A1*, *RH2A2*, and *RH2C* are blue shifted in turbot. Moreover, in turbot multiple copies of *RH2* form green opsins with different λ_{\max} , as is found in zebrafish and medaka [7, 8]. Different spectral peak absorbance is beneficial because it allows the fish to discriminate a wider spectrum of light. It may enhance color vision and contribute to prey detection in the bluish ocean [10]. Based on the loss of *RH2A* function in the genus *Verasper* [11] and our results showing low expression levels of *RH2B2* and pairs of *RH2A*, we deduce that *RH2B1* and *RH2C* are the major *RH2* genes in turbot. Furthermore, the retention of *RH2C* is an adaptation of turbot to the

spectral environment in the deep sea due to its short-shift of λ_{\max} . Additionally, some studies have shown that opsin genes are tied to nuptial and body coloration [31, 32], but further work is required to confirm this function. Regarding the *RH1* genes, all flatfish species studied herein have the substitution of D83N, which has been demonstrated to cause blue shift in cattle and chameleons [33]. However, this site was not positively selected. Therefore, whether this replacement is beneficial for benthic adaptation needs further study. For the other three sites, no differences were found in nine fish species [34-36].

Heterochronic changes in opsin expression

Heterochronic changes in a variety of traits have been reported, including opsin expression [15, 37-40]. A study of cichlids revealed that subfunctionalization of heterochronic changes in expression is critical for preservation of opsin genes [41]. Furthermore, altering opsin expression patterns during ontogeny is an important mechanism for modulating color vision [14]. In order to adapt to different life cycle stages, organisms retain three patterns of heterochronic changes in opsin gene expression. For instance, in cichlid fishes, Nile tilapia showed a normal pattern of opsin gene expression that changes dynamically from a larval gene set to a final adult set. In contrast, cichlids from Lake Victoria had only an adult gene set with little change through time (direct developing pattern), and rock dwellers from Lake Malawi had a reduced rate of change (neotenic pattern) [15]. In the current study, we found a normal pattern of visual opsin expression in turbot. Turbot undergo metamorphosis during growth and development, which is accompanied by changes in the spectral environment: the bright daylight and long wavelength spectrum environment during the pelagic phase and the dim light and short to medium wavelength spectrum environment in the benthic phase. Transformation of light environments in turn might lead to shifts in opsin gene expression and spectral sensitivity [42]. Our results showed that turbot undergo heterochronic shifts in opsin gene expression, which may alter spectral sensitivity and contribute to scotopic vision. Specifically, the increased expression level of *RH1* is beneficial for observation in diminishing luminance when the fish enter deep water. As for cone opsin genes, the highest proportional expression level of *LWS* at the early developmental stages is gradually replaced by *RH2B1* at the later developmental stages during ontogeny. This variation means that the visual sensitivity shifts from red to green during adaptation to the deep sea environment. Downregulation of *LWS* and upregulation of *RH1* expression level were also found during development in barfin flounder (Kasagi et al. 2015). Similarly, no rod photoreceptors were found in retinas of larval winter flounder (*Pseudopleuronectes americanus*), indicating no expression of *RH1*, whereas three types of photoreceptors with different λ_{\max} , including rods, were present in adult retinas. These results indicate increased *RH1* expression and a shift in spectral sensitivity [42]. In our study of turbot, we found low expression of cone opsins and a slight increase in proportional level of *LWS* at 18 months of age. Thus, color vision seems not to be important for turbot in the deep sea, and higher *LWS* expression may occur in preparation for reproduction.

Genetically based versus environmentally triggered variation

The developmental progression in opsin expression is strongly linked to shifts in spectral sensitivity, which is generally considered to be an adaptation strategy to ambient light changes that occur over the

life cycle. It is not yet clear whether heterochronic shifts in opsin expression are genetically determined or environmentally triggered. Generally speaking, opsin expression should not need to change if there are no differences in the environmental spectrum. However, in the present study, we found that the turbot, which has been artificially domesticated for a long time, still showed variation of opsin expression in the same spectral environment during different developmental stages. Thus, it retains the developmental progression of the wild state. Hence, we speculate that the normal pattern of turbot opsin expression is a pre-programmed developmental event, and not environmentally triggered. Cichlids from Lake Malawi show bounded plasticity, which occurs within the genetically controlled expression profile is consistent with our hypothesis [43].

Conclusions

Our results indicate that evolutionary changes in gene sequences and heterochronic shifts in opsin expression are the main ways that turbot adapt to environmental photic variations from the pelagic to the benthic period. Specifically, the positive selection of E122Q and M207L of RH2C is closely related to a blue shift of the spectral peak absorbance, and RH2C may be an important green opsin gene retained by some flatfish species, including turbot, after gene duplication. In addition, spectral sensitivities tuned by heterochronic shifts in opsin expression is another strategy to adapt to different ambient light spectra during the life cycle. And we propose that the heterochrony is pre-programmed.

Methods

Phylogenetic and synteny analysis

To identify the visual opsin gene repertoire of turbot, zebrafish opsin sequences were used as BLASTp query sequences with e-value $< 10^{-10}$, and they were downloaded from the chromosome of the reference genome. Opsin sequences of four flatfish (spotted halibut, *Verasper variegatus*; Japanese flounder, *Paralichthys olivaceus*; barfin flounder, *Verasper moseri*, and Atlantic halibut, *Hippoglossus hippoglossus*) and four freshwater species living in shallow water (zebrafish, *Danio rerio*; medaka, *Oryzias latipes*; guppy; and zebra mbuna, *Maylandia zebra*) were obtained from GenBank. For accession numbers, see previous research [11, 28, 44, 45]. Phylogenetic relationships among the visual opsin nucleotide sequences were inferred using MEGA 7 software [46] by applying the neighbor-joining method [47] and maximum composite likelihood model algorithm [48]. The reliability of tree topology was evaluated by bootstrap analysis with 1000 replications and uniform rates among sites. Genomic synteny [49] and Ensembl genome browsers were used to assess the syntenic regions between turbot and five other teleost genomes (tongue sole, *Cynoglossus semilaevis*; Japanese medaka HNI; zebra mbuna; zebrafish, and guppy).

Branch and branch-site test of selection

To estimate the differences in selection pressure between five flatfish and four freshwater fish, branch-specific models and branch-site models of maximum likelihood were implemented in the CODEML program of PAML4.9i [50]. Tree topologies of each gene were the same as those obtained in section 2.1. First, we compared the one-ratio model and free-ratios model in the branch test of selection [24]. We then compared null Model A against the alternative Model A in the branch-site test to determine whether positive selection was present; different sets of foreground lineages were marked with letters in each gene (Fig. 1) [51]. The likelihood ratio test (LRT) was used to compare all alternative models and their corresponding null models. The Bayes Empirical Bayes method was used to obtain the posterior probability of sites under positive selection [52].

Analysis of spectral tuning sites

Representative spectral tuning sites of amino acids were compared among the opsins of five flatfish and four freshwater species. The sites were referenced from a previous study [10]. The amino acid sequence alignments were accomplished using ClustalX [53]. The number of amino acid sites was standardized to bovine rhodopsin, except for blue opsin, which was standardized to barfin flounder SWS2A.

Estimation of turbot *RH2* divergence times

The divergence time of turbot RH2 genes was estimated by MCMCTREE within PAML4.9i [50]. The neighbor-joining tree of six species (turbot; Atlantic salmon, *Salmo salar*; fugu, *Takifugu rubripes*; common carp, *Cyprinus carpio*; lungfish, *Neoceratodus forsteri*, and rainbow trout) was acquired by MEGA 7 using the same method as described above. The fossil calibrations were adopted from TimeTree [54]. The tree topology and fossil calibrations were set as (((((((S.maximus_RH2B1, S.maximus_RH2B2), S.maximus_RH2C), T.rubripes_RH2), (S.maximus_RH2A1, S.maximus_RH2A2)), (S.salar_RH2, O.mykiss_RH2)) '>1.86<2.27', (C.carpio_RH2-1, C.carpio_RH2-2)) '>2.05<2.55', N.forsteri_RH2). The RootAge was set as '<6.0'.

Quantification of turbot opsin RNA expression

Laval and juvenile turbot were bred at Shenghang Aquatic Science and Technology Company (Weihai, Shandong Province, China). The ages of the individuals used for analysis of heterochronic shifts in opsin gene expression were: 0.5 month (15 days post hatch), 1 month, 4 months, 9 months, and 18 months. All individuals for mRNA expression analysis were euthanized with 300 mg/L of MS-222 (Sigma, Shanghai, China) before being decapitated. The eyes were removed and immediately stored in liquid nitrogen until analyzed.

Total RNA was extracted using the RNA Isolation Kit (Vazyme Biotech Co, Nanjing, China). RNA purity and concentration were examined using a NanoDrop 2000 spectrophotometer (Thermo Scientific, Shanghai, China), and RNA integrity was verified by gel electrophoresis. The PrimeScript RT reagent kit with gDNA Eraser was used to synthesize first-strand cDNA from 0.5 µg of total RNA (TaKaRa, Dalian, China). Using the Bio-Rad CFX Connect™ Real-Time PCR System (Bio-Rad, Hercules, CA, USA), quantitative real-time

PCR (qPCR) was conducted with TB Green Premix Ex Taq (TaKaRa) following the manufacturer's protocol. Melting curves were plotted to confirm amplification specificity. Using the $2^{-\Delta\Delta C_t}$ method, the relative expression level of each opsin gene was normalized to β -actin, which was selected as the internal reference gene after evaluating the expression pattern of eight commonly used housekeeping genes [55]. Table S1 shows the specific primers for qPCR. The PCR mixture (20 μ l) contained 10 μ l of TB Green Premix Ex Taq, 7.6 μ l of PCR-grade water, 1.6 μ l of cDNA, and 0.4 μ l of each of the primers. The qPCR reaction was performed in triplicate with the program of 95 °C for 3 min, followed by 40 cycles at 95 °C for 10 s, 57/58 °C for 30 s, and 72 °C for 30 s. Proportional opsin expression was determined as a fraction by calculating the proportion of each cone opsin (T_i) relative to the total cone opsin expression (T_{all}) as follows:

$$\frac{T_i}{T_{all}} = \frac{\frac{1}{(1 + E_i)^{C_{ti}}}}{\sum \frac{1}{(1 + E_i)^{C_{ti}}}}$$

where E_i represents the PCR efficiency for each pair of primers and C_{ti} is the critical cycle number for each gene [56, 57].

To assess significance of the change in opsin expression between different stages, the least significant difference (LSD) post hoc test with 95% confidence level was used. Data were analyzed using SPSS 23.0 software. Relative expression data are shown as the mean \pm standard deviation, and proportional expression data are shown as averages.

Declarations

Ethics approval and consent to participate

All experiments were performed in accordance with the relevant national and international guidelines and approved by the Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee, Institute of Oceanology, Chinese Academy of Sciences.

Funding

This work was supported by the National Key R&D Program of China (Grant no. 2017YFB0404000), National Natural Science Foundation (Grant no. 41976122), Key R&D Program of Shandong Province (Grant no. 2018GHY115031), Key R&D Program of Guangdong Province (Grant no. 2019B020215001) and China Agriculture Research System (CARS-47).

Acknowledgements

We thank International Science Editing (<http://www.internationalscienceediting.com>) for editing this manuscript. Thanks to Dr. Hao Song for his comments on the article.

Availability of data and materials

All NCBI accession numbers used in this study are listed in Additional file 2. The datasets used and analysed during the current study are available from the corresponding author on reasonable request.

Competing interests

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

Consent for publication

Not applicable.

Author Contributions

Wang, Y., Li, X. and Li, J. designed the study; Zhou, L., Wu, L., Ma, X., Xu, S. and Du, T. participated in sampling. Wang, Y conducted all analysis; Wang, Y., Li, X. and Li, J. contributed to writing the paper.

References

1. Davies WI, Collin SP, Hunt DM. Molecular ecology and adaptation of visual photopigments in craniates. *Mol Ecol.* 2012;21:3121-58.
2. Hauser FE, Chang BSW. Insights into visual pigment adaptation and diversity from model ecological and evolutionary systems. *Curr Opin Genet Dev.* 2017;47:110-20.
3. Yokoyama S. Molecular evolution of color vision in vertebrates. *Gene.* 2002;300:69-78.
4. Endler JA. Signals, Signal Conditions, and the Direction of Evolution. *Am Nat.* 1992;139: S125-53.
5. Valen R, Karlsen R, Helvik JV. Environmental, population and life-stage plasticity in the visual system of Atlantic cod. *J Exp Biol.* 2018;221:UNSP jeb165191.
6. Nordstrom K, Larsson TA, Larhammar D. Extensive duplications of phototransduction genes in early vertebrate evolution correlate with block (chromosome) duplications. *Genomics.* 2004;83:852-72.
7. Chinen A, Hamaoka T, Yamada Y, Kawamura S. Gene Duplication and Spectral Diversification of Cone Visual Pigments of Zebrafish. *Genetics.* 2003;163:663-75.
8. Matsumoto Y, Fukamachi S, Mitani H, Kawamura S. Functional characterization of visual opsin repertoire in Medaka (*Oryzias latipes*). *Gene.* 2006;371:268-78.
9. Watson CT, Gray SM, Hoffmann M, Lubieniecki KP, Joy JB, Sandkam BA, et al. Gene Duplication and Divergence of Long Wavelength-Sensitive Opsin Genes in the Guppy, *Poecilia reticulata*. *J Mol Evol.* 2011;72:240-52.
10. Nakamura Y, Mori K, Saitoh K, Oshima K, Mekuchi M, Sugaya T, et al. Evolutionary changes of multiple visual pigment genes in the complete genome of Pacific bluefin tuna. *PNAS.*

2013;110:11061-6.

11. Kasagi S, Mizusawa K, Takahashi A. Green-shifting of SWS2A opsin sensitivity and loss of function of RH2-A opsin in flounders, genus *Verasper*. *Ecol Evol*. 2018;8:1399-410.
12. Liu DW, Wang FY, Lin JJ, Thompson A, Lu Y, Vo D, et al. The Cone Opsin Repertoire of Osteoglossomorph Fishes: Gene Loss in Mormyrid Electric Fish and a Long Wavelength-Sensitive Cone Opsin That Survived 3R. *Mol Biol Evol*. 2019;36:447-57.
13. Rennison DJ, Owens GL, Taylor JS. Opsin gene duplication and divergence in ray-finned fish. *Mol Phylogenet Evol*. 2012;62:986-1008.
14. Cheng CL, Flamarique IN. New mechanism for modulating colour vision. *Nature*. 2004;428:279.
15. Carleton KL, Spady TC, Streebman JT, Kidd MR, McFarland WN, Loew ER. Visual sensitivities tuned by heterochronic shifts in opsin gene expression. *Bmc Biol*. 2008;6:22.
16. Cheng CL, Flamarique IN. Chromatic organization of cone photoreceptors in the retina of rainbow trout: single cones irreversibly switch from UV (SWS1) to blue (SWS2) light sensitive opsin during natural development. *J Exp Biol*. 2007;10:4123-35.
17. Mader MM, Cameron DA. Photoreceptor differentiation during retinal development, growth, and regeneration in a metamorphic vertebrate. *J Neurosci*. 2004;24:11463-72.
18. Hornsby MAW, Sabbah S, Robertson RM, Hawryshyn CW. Modulation of environmental light alters reception and production of visual signals in Nile tilapia. *Journal of Experimental Biology*. 2013;216:3110-22.
19. Ehlman SM, Sandkam BA, Breden F, Sih A. Developmental plasticity in vision and behavior may help guppies overcome increased turbidity. *J Comp Physiol A*. 2015;201:1125-35.
20. Fuller RC, Claricoates KM. Rapid light-induced shifts in opsin expression: finding new opsins, discerning mechanisms of change, and implications for visual sensitivity. *Mol Ecol*. 2011;20:3321-35.
21. Chang CH, Yan HY. Plasticity of opsin gene expression in the adult red shiner (*Cyprinella lutrensis*) in response to turbid habitats. *PLoS One*. 2019;14:e0215376.
22. Dalton BE, Loew ER, Cronin TW, Carleton KL. Spectral tuning by opsin coexpression in retinal regions that view different parts of the visual field. *P Roy Soc B-Biol Sci*. 2014;281:20141980.
23. Harer A, Karagic N, Meyer A, Torres-Dowdall J. Reverting ontogeny: rapid phenotypic plasticity of colour vision in cichlid fish. *Royal Society Open Science*. 2019;6:190841.
24. Yang ZH. Likelihood Ratio Tests for Detecting Positive Selection and Application to Primate Lysozyme Evolution. *Mol Biol Evol*. 1998;15:568-73.
25. Figueras A, Robledo D, Corvelo A, Hermida M, Pereiro P, Rubiolo JA, et al. Whole genome sequencing of turbot (*Scophthalmus maximus*; Pleuronectiformes): a fish adapted to demersal life. *DNA Res*. 2016;23:181-92.
26. Force A, Lynch M, Pickett FB, Amores A, Yan HY, Postlethwait J. Preservation of Duplicate Genes by Complementary, Degenerative Mutations. *Genetics*. 1999;151:1531-45.

27. Lynch M, Force A. The Probability of Duplicate Gene Preservation by Subfunctionalization. *Genetics*. 2000;154:459-73.
28. Kasagi S, Mizusawa K, Murakami N, Andoh T, Furufuji S, Kawamura S, et al. Molecular and functional characterization of opsins in barfin flounder (*Verasper moseri*). *Gene*. 2015;556: 182-91.
29. Yokoyama S, Zhang H, Radlwimmer F, Blow N. Adaptive evolution of color vision of the Comoran coelacanth (*Latimeria chalumnae*). *PNAS*. 1999;96:6279-84.
30. Yokoyama S, Tada T. Adaptive evolution of the African and Indonesian coelacanths to deep-sea environments. *Gene*. 2000;261:35-42.
31. Wang FY, Chung WS, Yan HY, Tzeng CS. Adaptive evolution of cone opsin genes in two colorful cyprinids, *Opsariichthys pachycephalus* and *Candidia barbatus*. *Vision Res*. 2008;48:1695-704.
32. Montenegro J, Mochida K, Matsui K, Mokodongan DF, Sumarto BKA, Lawelle SA, et al. Convergent evolution of body color between sympatric freshwater fishes via different visual sensory evolution. *Ecol Evol*. 2019;9:6389-98.
33. Yokoyama, S. Molecular Evolution of Vertebrate Visual Pigments. *Prog Retin Eye Res*. 2000;19:385-419.
34. Hope AJ, Partridge JC, Dulai KS, Hunt DM. Mechanisms of wavelength tuning in the rod opsins of deep-sea fishes. *P Roy Soc B-Biol Sci*. 1997;264:155-63.
35. Yokoyama S, Takenaka N. The molecular basis of adaptive evolution of squirrelfish rhodopsins. *Mol Biol Evol*. 2004;21:2071-8.
36. Yokoyama S, Tada T, Zhang H, Britt L. Elucidation of phenotypic adaptations_ Molecular analyses of dim-light vision proteins in vertebrates. *PNAS*. 2006;105:13480-5.
37. Hanken J, Hall BK. Variation and Timing of the Cranial Ossification Sequence of the Oriental Fire-Bellied Toad, *Bombina orientalis* (Amphibia, Discoglossidae). *J Morphol*. 1984;182:245-55.
38. Schmidt A, Roth G. Differentiation Processes in the Amphibian Brain with Special Emphasis on Heterochronies. *Int Rev Cytol*. 1996;169:83-150.
39. Mabee PM, Olmstead KL, Cabbage CC. An Experimental Study of Intraspecific Variation, Developmental Timing, and Heterochrony in Fishes. *Evolution*. 2000;54:2091.
40. Linksvayer TA, Wade MJ. The Evolutionary Origin and Elaboration of Sociality in the Aculeate Hymenoptera: Maternal Effects, Sib-Social Effects, and Heterochrony. *Q Rev Biol*. 2005;80:317-36.
41. Spady TC, Parry JWL, Robinson PR, Hunt DM, Bowmaker JK, Carleton K L. Evolution of the Cichlid Visual Palette through Ontogenetic Subfunctionalization of the Opsin Gene Arrays. *Mol Biol Evol*. 2006;23:1538-47.
42. Evans BI, Harosi FI, Fernald RD. Photoreceptor spectral absorbance in larval and adult winter flounder (*Pseudopleuronectes americanus*). *Visual Neurosci*. 1993;10:1065-71.
43. Hofmann CM, O'Quin KE, Smith AR, Carleton KL. Plasticity of opsin gene expression in cichlids from Lake Malawi. *Mol Ecol*. 2010;19:2064-74.

44. Helvik JV, Drivenes O, Naess TH, Fjose A, Seo HC. Molecular cloning and characterization of five opsin genes from the marine flatfish Atlantic halibut (*Hippoglossus hippoglossus*). Visual Neuroscience. 2001;18:767-80.
45. Laver CRJ, Taylor JS. RT-qPCR reveals opsin gene upregulation associated with age and sex in guppies (*Poecilia reticulata*)-a species with color-based sexual selection and 11 visual-opsin genes. BMC Evol Biol. 2011;11:81.
46. Kumar S, Stecher G, & Tamura K. MEGA7: Molecular Evolutionary Genetics Analysis Version 7.0 for Bigger Datasets. Mol Biol Evol. 2016;3:1870-4.
47. Saitou N, Nei M. The Neighbor-joining Method: A New Method for Reconstructing Phylogenetic Trees. Mol Biol Evol. 1987;4:406-25.
48. Tamura K, & Nei M. Estimation of the Number of Nucleotide Substitutions in the Control Region of Mitochondrial DNA in Humans and Chimpanzees. Mol Biol Evol. 1993;10:512-26.
49. Nguyen NTT, Vincens P, Crollius HA, Louis A. Genomicus 2018: karyotype evolutionary trees and on-the-fly synteny computing. Nucleic Acids Res. 2018;46:816-22.
50. Yang ZH. PAML 4: phylogenetic analysis by maximum likelihood. Mol Biol Evol. 2007;24:1586-91.
51. Zhang JZ, Nielsen R, Yang ZH. Evaluation of an improved branch-site likelihood method for detecting positive selection at the molecular level. Mol Biol Evol. 2005;22:2472-9.
52. Yang Z H, Wong WSH, Nielsen R. Bayes empirical bayes inference of amino acid sites under positive selection. Mol Biol Evol. 2005;22:1107-18.
53. Larkin MA, Blackshields G, Brown NP, Chenna R, McGettigan PA, McWilliam H, et al. Clustal W and Clustal X version 2.0. Bioinformatics. 2007;23:2947-8.
54. Kumar S, Stecher G, Suleski M, Hedges SB. TimeTree: A Resource for Timelines, Timetrees, and Divergence Times. Mol Biol Evol. 2017;34:1812-9.
55. Dang W, Sun L. Determination of internal controls for quantitative real time RT-PCR analysis of the effect of *Edwardsiella tarda* infection on gene expression in turbot (*Scophthalmus maximus*). Fish Shellfish Immun. 2011;30:720-8.
56. Fuller RC, Carleton K L, Fadool JM, Spady TC, Travis J. Population variation in opsin expression in the bluefin killifish, *Lucania goodei*: a real-time PCR study. J Comp Physiol A. 2004;190:147-54.
57. Harer, A, Torres-Dowdall J, Meyer A. Rapid adaptation to a novel light environment: The importance of ontogeny and phenotypic plasticity in shaping the visual system of Nicaraguan Midas cichlid fish (*Amphilophus citrinellus spp.*). Mol Ecol.2017;26:5582-93.

Tables

Table 1. Statistics of branch-specific model analysis of turbot and other teleost species.

gene	lnL(1 ω model)	lnL(free ω model)	Likelihood ratio test	P Values
LWS	-4964.165032	-4922.267355	83.795354	<0.001
SWS1	-4253.724995	-4240.774669	25.900652	0.0391
SWS2	-8001.473925	-7970.454002	62.039846	<0.001
RH2	-10370.70927	-10296.73427	147.949996	<0.001
RH1	-3734.012013	-3705.674753	56.67452	<0.001

The likelihood ratio test is to determine whether the free ω model fits the data significantly better than the one ω model. The ω for each branch are not shown. lnL, ln likelihood.

Table 2. Parameter estimates of branch-site models and predicted positively selected sites.

opsin	clade	lnL		LRT	P	Positively selected sites
		Null	modelA			
RH2	a	-10169.10	-10169.10	0	1	-
	b	-10166.54	-10164.23	4.62	0.0315	19(0.881)31(0.628)95(0.945)109(0.882)122(0.511)169(0.501)207(0.966)266(0.604)273(0.949)320(0.578)
	c	-10169.10	-10169.10	0	1	-
	d	-10167.62	-10165.03	5.16	0.0230	105(0.612) 217(0.993)
	e	-10168.60	-10168.58	0.03	0.8636	-
	f	-10166.92	-10162.26	9.32	0.0022	49(0.944)95(0.733)270(0.982)307(0.907)14(0.875)33(0.514)50(0.946)65(0.770)84(0.948)151(0.523)195(0.951)197(0.845)198(0.600)217(0.945)239(0.528)254(0.952)286(0.502)290(0.594)297(0.581)321(0.757)322(0.966)323(0.990)325(0.979)328(0.649)329(0.770)330(0.772)35(0.769)126(0.674)196(0.858)210(0.879)235(0.911)236(0.959) 281(0.773)
	g	-10148.85	-10136.84	24.01	<0.001	35(0.769)126(0.674)196(0.858)210(0.879)235(0.911)236(0.959) 281(0.773)
RH1	a	-3629.453	-3625.696	7.51	0.0061	35(0.769)126(0.674)196(0.858)210(0.879)235(0.911)236(0.959) 281(0.773)
	b	-3632.72	-3632.72	0	1	-
	c	-3632.72	-3632.72	0	1	-
	d	-3630.82	-3630.82	4E-06	1	-
SWS2	a	-7840.16	-7831.84	16.63	<0.001	2(0.572)5(0.605)10(0.815)14(0.801)15(0.575)21(0.841)22(0.579)25(0.507)27(0.805)42(0.552)44(0.889)45(0.598)51(0.605)55(0.623)87(0.570)88(0.617)91(0.567)95(0.613)99(0.510)123(0.556)125(0.539)156(0.601)162(0.619)166(0.598)244(0.600)257(0.600)269(0.670)279(0.604)283(0.853)301(0.685)315(0.708)328(0.858)329(0.818)332(0.577)335(0.596)339(0.533)341(0.545)346(0.659)349(0.687)
	b	-7851.02	-7848.07	5.88	0.0152	38(0.560)99(0.904)276(0.687) 299(0.983)
	c	-7852.17	-7850.19	3.97	0.0463	18(0.894)
	d	-7852.21	-7852.21	0	1	-
	e	-7852.13	-7852.10	0.06	0.8135	-
	f	-7852.21	-7851.96	0.50	0.4798	-
	SWS1	a	-4194.06	-4194.06	0	1
b		-4194.59	-4193.82	1.54	0.2146	-
c		-4189.36	-4185.92	6.87	0.0087	8(0.895)9(0.860)10(0.788)11(0.765)16(0.704)27(0.895)56(0.678)70(0.888)125(0.746)145(0.751)185(0.881)194(0.766)263(0.792)342(0.512)
LWS	a	-4828.06	-4828.06	0	1	-
	b	-4828.06	-4828.06	0	1	-
	c	-4828.06	-4828.06	0	1	-
	d	-4828.06	-4828.06	0	1	-

lnL, ln likelihood; LRT, likelihood ratio test; -, no positively selected sites.

Table 3. Comparison of representative spectral tuning sites among teleost RH2 opsins.

Tuning site		97	122	207	292
spotted halibut	RH2B	S	E	M	A
	RH2C	T	Q	L	A
barfin flounder	RH2B	S	E	M	A
	RH2C	T	Q	L	A
Atlantic Halibut	RH2	S	E	M	A
Japanese flounder	RH2A1	S	Q	M	A
	RH2A2	T	Q	M	A
	RH2B	S	E	M	A
turbot	RH2C	S	Q	L	A
	RH2A1	S	Q	L	A
	RH2A2	T	Q	M	A
	RH2B1	S	E	M	A
	RH2B2	S	E	M	A
cichlids	RH2C	S	Q	L	A
	RH2A α	S	E	M	A
	RH2A β	S	E	M	A
medaka	RH2B	S	Q	M	A
	RH2A	S	Q	M	S
	RH2B	S	E	M	A
guppy	RH2C	S	Q	M	A
	RH2-1	S	E	M	A
	RH2-2	S	Q	L	A
zebrafish	RH2-1	C	Q	M	A
	RH2-2	C	Q	M	A
	RH2-3	T	Q	M	A
	RH2-4	T	E	M	A

Marked in red are two positively selected sites of RH2C.

Table 4. Comparison of representative spectral tuning sites among teleost RH1 opsins.

Tuning site	83	122	261	292
spotted halibut	N	E	F	A
Barfin flounder	N	E	F	A
Atlantic Halibut	N	E	F	A
Japanese flounder	N	E	F	A
turbot	N	E	F	A
medaka	D	E	F	A
guppy	D	E	F	A
cichlids	D	E	F	A
zebrafish	D	E	F	A

Table 5. Proportional expression of cone opsin genes of turbot at different stages.

Age(month)	LWS	RH2B1	RH2C	Other
0.5	47.98 ^a	28.13 ^a	16.12 ^a	7.77 ^a
1	53.85 ^a	26.14 ^a	13.29 ^a	6.71 ^{ab}
2.5	47.43 ^a	34.13 ^a	14.51 ^a	3.93 ^b
4	24.71 ^b	53.39 ^b	17.35 ^a	4.55 ^b
9	14.64 ^c	63.27 ^b	18.74 ^a	3.35 ^{ab}
18	29.22 ^b	57.19 ^b	11.22 ^b	2.37 ^b

Different letters represent statistically significant differences

between stages ($P < 0.05$).

Additional Files

Additional file 1: Table S1-4.

Additional file 2: NCBI accession numbers used in this study.

Figures




Figure 1

Phylogenetic relationships of the turbot opsin genes and other teleost opsin genes based on the neighbor-joining method. The bootstrap test (1000 replicates) scores are shown on the nodes. Branches marked in red or green were selected for branch-site models analysis: red indicates that several sites under positive selection were detected, and green indicates the opposite. Different letters marked near the branches represent the settings of the different foreground branches introduced in section 2.2.




Figure 2

Synteny analyses of visual opsin genes between turbot and five other teleost species (tongue sole, medaka, zebra mbuna, zebrafish, and guppy). (A) SWS1, (B) RH2, (C) LWS and SWS2, (D) RH1. Different gene families are represented by colored pentagons, and the direction of the pentagon indicates gene orientation. The dashed lines indicate that two adjacent genes in that species are not directly linked.




Figure 3

An inferred evolutionary pathway of turbot RH2 genes. The number on each node of the dendrogram (left) represents a duplication event, whereas the right side shows the changes in RH2 gene orientations during evolution.




Figure 4

Visual opsin expression of turbot at different stages. Gene expression was measured by quantitative Real-Time PCR (qPCR) with the TB Green Premix Ex Taq assay, and mRNA expression levels of each gene were averaged over several individuals: 0.5 month (15 days post hatching (dph), $n > 30$), 1 month (30 dph, $n > 30$), 2.5, 4, 9, and 18 months ($n = 3$). Different letters represent statistically significant differences between stages ($P < 0.05$).

Supplementary Files

This is a list of supplementary files associated with this preprint. Click to download.

- [Additionalfile2.docx](#)
- [Additionalfile1.doc](#)