High-flow nasal cannula versus standard oxygen therapy assisting sedation during endoscopic retrograde cholangiopancreatography in high risk cases (OTHER): study protocol of a randomised multicentric trial

Venkatesan Thiruvenkatarajan (✉ Venkatesan.Thiruvenkatarajan@sa.gov.au)

Queen Elizabeth Hospital <https://orcid.org/0000-0003-4654-9608>

Ashok Dharmalingam

John Hunter Hospital

Gilberto Arenas

Royal Adelaide Hospital

Medhat Wahba

QE Health

Reinhard Steiner

QE Health

Vasanth Rao Kadam

The University of Adelaide

Andre Tran

Flinders Medical Centre

John Currie

QE Health

Roelof Van Wijk

The University of Adelaide

Anthony Quail

John Hunter Hospital

Guy Ludbrook

The University of Adelaide

Study protocol

Keywords: Endoscopic retrograde cholangiopancreatography, oxygen therapy, low flow oxygen cannula, high flow oxygen cannula, hypoxia

Posted Date: March 25th, 2020

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.21203/rs.3.rs-19310/v1>

License: This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License.

[Read Full License](#)

Version of Record: A version of this preprint was published at Trials on May 29th, 2020. See the published version at <https://doi.org/10.1186/s13063-020-04378-z>.

Abstract

Background Endoscopic retrograde cholangiopancreatography (ERCP) is an increasingly common intervention in the treatment of pancreatobiliary disorders. Patients are often elderly with complex comorbidities. While monitored anaesthesia care with sedation is commonly used for most cases, few would require general anaesthesia with an endotracheal tube. Both low flow and high flow nasal cannulas (HFNC) are established ways of delivering supplemental oxygen, but it is unclear whether one technique is better than the other. HFNC seems a promising tool for advanced procedures but evidence to support its application in high-risk ERCP cases is limited. The rate of oxygen desaturation during endoscopy has been reported to be as high as 11-50%, and the method of oxygen delivery for ERCP merits further study.

Methods/design This is a prospective, randomised, multi-centre trial comparing the efficacy of oxygen supplementation through high flow nasal cannula versus low flow nasal cannula during ERCP, in a cohort of patients at risk of adverse respiratory events. A total of 132 patients will be recruited across three sites and randomly assigned to either the low flow or the HFNC group. The primary outcome is the proportion of patients experiencing hypoxia, defined by any event of SpO₂ <90%. The secondary outcomes include parameters centred on oxygenation, requirement of airway manoeuvres, successful completion of procedure, perioperative complications, patient satisfaction, and cost analysis of the consumables. An intention-to-treat principle will be applied while analysing.

Discussion The demand for ERCPs is likely to increase in the future with the aging population. Our study results may lead to improved outcomes and reduce airway related complications in patients undergoing ERCPs. The results will be presented at national and international meetings and published in peer-reviewed journals.

Trial registration: www.ANZCTR.org.au CTRN12619000397112, Registered on March 12, 2019.

Background

Endoscopic retrograde cholangiopancreatography (ERCP) is a common intervention in the treatment of biliary and pancreatic diseases, and the demand for ERCP is increasing. There are several difficulties for the anaesthetist to deal with. It is generally performed in a prone or lateral position under moderate to deep sedation or general anaesthesia [1-3]. In most hospitals ERCP is usually performed outside the operating room. The patients who require this procedure are typically elderly with significant comorbidities. General anaesthesia with an endotracheal tube may be a “safe option” in the prone position in terms of having a secured airway and a lower ERCP failure rate [3], and there may be a reduction in complication rates, but intubation has drawbacks. In addition to the well-known problems associated with insertion of the tube, managing a paralysed intubated patient prone creates its own challenges. Note that there is frequently a prolongation of anaesthetic time concurrent with the use of muscle relaxants.

Deep sedation using propofol is perhaps the most commonly employed technique for ERCPs. ERCP generally requires a deeper level of sedation compared to simple gastroscopy, and there is an increased risk of both partial and complete airway obstruction. The goals of deep sedation are: preserving adequate spontaneous ventilation, maintaining cardio-respiratory stability, early recovery, and at all time minimising any hypoxaemia. Hypoxemia and aspiration have occurred when these procedures have been performed under deep sedation, especially in high risk cases [4]. Reasons for desaturation during ERCP under deep sedation have been attributed to reduced cardiopulmonary reserve, advanced age, respiratory depression, duration of the procedure and prone positioning. The incidence of hypoxemia during any endoscopic procedure ranges from 11-50% [5-7], and it is possibly as high as 60% with ERCP [8] (Definitions of hypoxia vary between the studies). Prolonged hypoxia is a major risk factor for peri procedural cardiac arrhythmias and myocardial ischaemia [9-11]. Any methods of avoiding such occurrences is worthy of investigation.

Regardless of the level of sedation, supplemental oxygen is regularly administered to all patients to prevent hypoxia. Conventionally, it is delivered via nasal prongs and/or an extension tubing attached to the mouth guard (The application of the endoscope in the oral cavity precludes the use of normal face masks). The recommended flow rate through the low flow nasal cannula is 2-4 L/min, while the inspired FIO_2 (fractional inspired oxygen concentration) is dependent on the *ventilatory minute volume*, varying between 0.27-0.50 [12, 13]. Patients with high American Society of Anesthesiologists (ASA) status (III) [5, 14], high body mass index (BMI) [5, 15] and those with obstructive sleep apnoea (OSA) [16] are especially at an increased risk of hypoxia during advanced endoscopic procedures such as ERCP, and these patients will obtain most benefit from any improvement in oxygenation techniques. It has been the case that superior oxygen delivery options preserving spontaneous respiration without interfering with the pharyngeal cavity have been limited for these procedures.

High flow nasal cannula (HFNC) is a new approach for improving oxygenation and ventilation that has gained popularity in procedural sedation. It has been demonstrated to provide better oxygenation compared to the venturi face mask and low flow nasal cannula during intravenous sedation for both bronchoscopy and dental procedures [17, 18]. HFNC can provide a maximum flow up to 70 L/min, and delivers a *flow-dependent* positive airway pressure which increases end-expiratory lung volume and thereby improves oxygenation [19]. It has numerous physiological advantages that are not possible through standard (ventilation dependent) low flow delivery systems. These advantages include the ability to create PEEP (up to 5-7.5 cm H₂O), reduce the work of breathing, provide constant FIO_2 up to 100%, provide good humidification, and aid washout of the pharyngeal dead space [19, 20]. In one centre, introducing the option to use HFNC affected anaesthetic practice and decreased the usage of general anaesthesia with an endotracheal tube (for a mixed group of ERCP and endobronchial ultrasound procedures) [21]. This observational study also showed HFNC also decreased the anaesthesia only time.

High-flow nasal oxygen has been described in the critical care setting for respiratory impairment for nearly a decade [20]. It has been successfully employed in various perioperative settings such as preoxygenation and airway management, including awake intubation [22, 23]. In our own institution, we

have used HFNC for endoscopy and ERCP procedures in high risk cases and have found it convenient to use and frequently beneficial. Being administered nasally, there is nil interference with the endoscopic insertion and manipulation in the oropharynx.

The aim of the OTHER (Oxygen Therapy in High risk ERCP) trial is to assess the efficacy and safety of oxygen supplementation achieved through *high flow* nasal cannula compared with *low flow* nasal cannula during ERCP in a cohort of patients at risk of adverse respiratory events. We hypothesise that the application of high flow nasal oxygen will reduce the incidence of major respiratory adverse events and any resulting cardiovascular problems. Measurements will be made of respiratory and haemodynamic parameters, recovery profile, and patients' satisfaction.

Methods/design

Study design and setting

We will conduct this prospective multicentre randomised trial as per the recommendations for interventional trials (SPIRIT, Fig. 1 and Additional file 1) [24]. The final reporting of this trial will be in accordance with the Consolidated Standards of Reporting Trials (CONSORT) statement.

The OTHER trial will be conducted across three Australian hospitals: The Queen Elizabeth Hospital, and Royal Adelaide Hospital, South Australia and John Hunter Hospital, New South Wales. A total of 132 patients will be recruited. ERCP procedures are unique in terms of their presentation. A good proportion of them are undertaken as semi-urgent cases (unlike other pure electively planned cases) as inpatients and they may not get the opportunity to present to a pre-admission clinic. We are planning to recruit these patients at least two hours before the procedure or whenever they are being assessed for anaesthesia. During this time, the patient information sheet will be provided. The recruitment and consenting is done by one of the investigators or by the anaesthetists assessing these patients. The pre-screening process would be based on the inclusion criteria mentioned below.

Randomisation

Participants will be randomly assigned to either the low flow nasal oxygen of the HFNC group at a ratio of 1:1 (Fig. 2). The randomisation scheme will be generated by the Clinical trials division of the pharmacy department at The Queen Elizabeth Hospital. To ensure equal distribution of the intervention arm, the random block is fixed to a predetermined number known only to the Clinical trials division. The random numbers and the group assignment will be supplied in sealed envelopes and handled only by the principal investigator. A set of 25 envelopes will be dispatched and used at each site, and additional set of envelopes will be dispatched in blocks of 20 once the initial 25 are used. The envelopes will be opened just before commencing ERCP and groups are allocated to the treatment intervention. Participants and the investigators are not blinded to the allocation. Data will be collected only by the investigators.

Inclusion criteria

Adults (aged over 18 years) fulfilling any of these criteria: ASA 3, or 4, obesity (BMI above 30 kg/m²), obstructive sleep apnoea diagnosed either by polysomnography, being treated with CPAP for OSA, suspected OSA based on STOP BANG score >/3*

*STOP BANG is an acronym which abbreviates Snoring, Tiredness, Observed choking/gasping, blood Pressure elevation, BMI increase, Age, Neck size, male Gender.

Exclusion criteria

Participants fulfilling any of the below criteria will be excluded: 1) Deemed “difficult airway” and/or difficult intubation based on clinical judgement and known previous difficult airway; 2) Severe cardio-respiratory compromise or any other indications that necessitate the procedure to be done under general anaesthesia with endotracheal tube; 3) Patients judged to be at significant risk of pulmonary aspiration. Risk assessment will be based on patient history (focussing particularly on risk factors for aspiration) and physical examination. Possible risk factors for aspiration include: Increased gastric content, delayed gastric emptying, including lap band in situ, lack of fasting (less than six hours’ solids and two hours for clear fluid), Increased regurgitation risk: Uncontrolled or symptomatic gastro-oesophageal reflux, oesophageal strictures, Zenker Diverticulum and achalasia, Laryngeal incompetence due to cerebral infarct, head injuries, neuromuscular disorders (Parkinson’s disease, Gullian Barre), muscular dystrophies (cerebral palsy, cranial neuropathies); 4) Emergency surgery and any other criteria warranting general anaesthesia with an endotracheal tube

Intervention and comparison

Patients will be randomly allocated (computer generated randomisation) to either the low flow nasal oxygen (L) group (group L; n=66) or the high-flow nasal oxygen (H) group (group H; n=66). In group L, the procedure will be performed under deep sedation and analgesia, with supplemental oxygen 4 L/min via regular nasal cannula and a further supplemental oxygen source with a flow rate of 4 L/min administered through an extension tubing attached to the mouth guard. In group H, the procedure will be performed under deep sedation and analgesia similar to Group L, with oxygen delivered through a HFNC. This will be accomplished using the Optiflow THRIVE (Transnasal Humidified Rapid Insufflation Ventilatory Exchange) device (Opti-Flow, Auckland, New Zealand). Flow rate through the cannula will be commenced at 30 L/min and fractional inspired oxygen concentration will be set at 100%. The flow will be gradually increased after the administration of sedative agents, and will be maintained at 50 L/min during the procedure. The flow rate may be either increased up to 70 L/min if necessary or decreased to 30 L/min if the patient does not tolerate the higher flow rate. (50 L/min is very well tolerated in even very lightly sedated patients.)

Standard monitoring will be applied including continuous electrocardiogram, blood pressure automatically measured every 3 minutes, transcutaneous capnography, and pulse oximetry. The procedures will be done in either lateral or prone positions. A standard sedation technique will be applied for both groups. Sedation is to be provided by titrated doses of fentanyl 0.5-1.0 mcg/kg as required, and

a propofol target controlled infusion (using the Marsh model) commencing at an initial plasma target of 1.5 to 2.0 mcg/ml and titrated up or down during the procedure, between target values of 1 to 4 mcg/ml based on satisfactory depth of anaesthesia and frequency of ventilation (8 – 14 breaths per min). Administration of further fentanyl top up doses (25 mcg) will be at the anaesthetists discretion. In all cases airway obstruction needs to be avoided.

Outcome measures

Primary outcome

The occurrence of hypoxia, defined by any event of SpO₂ (oxygen saturation measured by pulse oximetry) <90% of any duration: Proportion of patients experiencing desaturation below 90%

Secondary outcomes

- Number of events of desaturation < 90%
- Lowest recorded SpO₂ during the procedure
- Transcutaneous CO₂(carbon-di-oxide). Maximum value recorded and average value during the case
- Requirement of minor airway manoeuvres: jaw lift/jaw thrust, nasopharyngeal airway insertion.
- Requirement of major airway manoeuvres: bag mask ventilation, endotracheal intubation
- Arrhythmia (any change in cardiac rhythm observed on the electrocardiogram)
- Total fentanyl dose
- Requirement of antispasmodic agent
- Total duration of procedure (starting from sedation until leaving the suite)
- Duration under sedation/anaesthesia: Measured from the time of induction till eye opening
- Successful completion of the procedure: Yes/No
- Early complications - elicited as patients leave Recovery
 - Dry mouth/nose/throat: Binary outcome (constant pain or discomfort in the mouth/nose/throat)
 - Sensation of abdominal bloating: Y/N
- Patients' satisfaction score on leaving recovery: 5 points numerical rating scale:
 - Very satisfied (5), Somewhat satisfied (4), Neither satisfied nor dissatisfied (3), Somewhat dissatisfied (2), Very dissatisfied (1)
- Cost analysis of the consumables: low flow vs High flow

Sample size calculation

A 21.4% percent incidence of hypoxia (SPO₂<90% for 15 seconds) was noted in an Australian study during ERCP with propofol sedation in older patients (20). Assuming a 16% reduction in hypoxic events by employing the HFNC technique, (given the rate of hypoxia as 21.4% in the sedation group and an

estimated 5.4% in the HFNC group), with a power of 80% and an alpha error of 0.05, a total of 132 patients would be required (66 in each arm).

Statistical analysis

Mean and standard deviation values will be estimated for continuous outcomes while frequency and percentage will be computed for binary outcomes. 95% Confidence intervals around the point estimate will be calculated where appropriate for the primary and secondary outcomes. Descriptive statistics will be used to present the results. P-value <0.05 to be considered significant.

Strengths and limitations of this study

Our study is the first multi-centre randomised controlled trial comparing low flow versus high flow nasal oxygen therapy for improving oxygenation in high risk patients for Endoscopic retrograde cholangiopancreatography. There are standardised and objective endpoints. Also patient reported outcome measures are explored. The application of transcutaneous carbon dioxide measurements helps to overcome the limitation of expired carbon dioxide monitoring during ERCP. The nature of the study precludes blinding of the participants and the anaesthetists, which may contribute to bias and influence the results

Quality control and data monitoring

The investigators and anaesthetic nursing staff will undergo special training on using and troubleshooting the transcutaneous CO₂ equipment. Only data on respiratory, haemodynamic and perioperative outcome endpoints will be collected, it will be de-identified when entered in to excel sheet for analysis. No blood or tissue samples will be collected. The original data collection will be kept for cross checking. The data forms will be securely placed in our department's locked filing cabinets. Any serious adverse events across any sites will be notified within 24 hours to the principal investigator.

The electronic data will be stored in the department's computers with password protection. After the analysis, only the principal investigator will have access to the data. A strict privacy policy will be maintained by all investigators to protect confidentiality throughout the trial process. This study will be performed in accordance with the Standard Protocol Items: Recommendations for Interventional Trials [SPIRIT] recommendations.

Ethics and dissemination

This study has been approved by the Central Adelaide Local Health Network Human Research Ethics Committee (Version 4, dated 31/10/2018; Approval date 25/09/2018. Ethics approval number: Q20180807) as well as by the Hunter New England Local Health District Research governance (NSW REGIS No: 2019PID00554, SSA reference No: 2019/STE0047). This study was registered a month after the first patient was recruited at www.ANZCTR.org.au CTRN12619000397112 on March 12, 2019. and registered at Australian New Zealand Clinical Trials Registry (ANZCTR). ANZCTR Registration number:

ACTRN12619000397112. The results of the study will be disseminated through peer-reviewed publications and national/international conference presentations. Protocol amendments will be immediately notified to all centres.

Discussion

The increasing demand for ERCPs on a cohort of patients with multiple co-morbidities along with time constraints in optimising these patients when it is attempted on a semi-urgent basis, has generated an enormous interest in the quest for exploring better airway management strategies. HFNC is one such device that has been attempted across a variety of settings requiring sedation. Besides the primary outcome assessing the occurrence of hypoxia, secondary outcomes of airway intervention and patient reported scales are also measured.

The risks are very negligible from these two nasal cannulas. They have been in use for many years as standard methods of delivering oxygen. The patients will be asked for symptoms of dryness of mouth or throat or nose after the procedure as part of outcome measures. If dryness develops, it normally settles within few hours. These outcome measures are aimed at detecting some of the known side effects of oxygen delivery. The HFNC can cause abdominal distension, particularly in children after prolonged use. At the end of this procedure, endoscopists routinely evacuate any insufflated gas from the stomach and this will mitigate any such risk in this group. There are no other specific safety issues concerning HFNC for participants and administering staff.

The results may lead to improved outcomes and reduce airway related complications in patients undergoing ERCPs. In our opinion, the results will also deliver meaningful information on the role of HFNC assisted sedation in any setting.

Trial Status

The recruitment commenced in February 2019 and the trial is expected to be completed by September 2020. The protocol version is 4, dated 31/10/2018.

Abbreviations

ERCP: Endoscopic retrograde cholangiopancreatography; HFNC: High flow nasal cannula; FIO₂: fractional inspired oxygen concentration; ASA: American Society of Anesthesiologists; BMI: body mass index; OSA: obstructive sleep apnoea; OTHER: Oxygen Therapy in High risk ERCP; SpO₂: oxygen saturation measured by pulse oximetry; CO₂: carbon-di-oxide; THRIVE: Transnasal Humidified Rapid Insufflation Ventilatory Exchange

Declarations

Acknowledgements

Not applicable

Authors' Contributions

VT: principal investigator, conceived and designed the study, primary author of the manuscript. AD: principal investigator at John Hunter Hospital, GA: principal investigator at Royal Adelaide Hospital, MW,RS,VRK: co-investigators at The Queen Elizabeth Hospital, JC: co-author and contributed to the design and writing the manuscript, AT: co-author, will be involved in data collection and analysis, RVW: co-author, contributed to critical approval of the manuscript, approved the final version and supervision of the study, AQ: co-author, contributed to critical approval of the manuscript, approved the final version and supervision at John Hunter Hospital, GL: co-author, trial set-up and design, critical appraisal of the protocol and supervision of the trial

Funding The OTHER trial is investigator initiated and received a grant from Fisher & Paykel Healthcare Limited, 15 Maurice Paykel Place, East Tamaki, Auckland 2013, New Zealand for publication of the protocol and to cover the cost incurred with the consumables of transcutaneous CO2 monitoring. The study protocol has undergone peer-review by the funding body. The funding body (Fisher & Paykel) did not contribute to trial design and will not be involved in data collection, analysis, interpretation and publishing the results.

Availability of data and materials

As no datasets were generated or analysed for this trial at this stage, data sharing is not applicable. The Principal Investigator VT will have access to the final trial dataset.

Ethics approval and consent to participate

This study has been approved by the Central Adelaide Local Health Network Human Research Ethics Committee (Version 4, dated 31/10/2018; Approval date 25/09/2018. Ethics approval number: Q20180807) as well as by the Hunter New England Local Health District Research governance (NSW REGIS No: 2019PID00554, SSA reference No: 2019/STE0047). Written informed consent applies to all participants.

Consent for publication

Not applicable.

Competing interests

None declared.

Patient consent for publication Not required

Author details

¹ Staff Specialist Anaesthetist, The Queen Elizabeth Hospital, Woodville, South Australia, Australia, Clinical Senior Lecturer, Discipline Acute Care Medicine, The University of Adelaide, South Australia, Australia

² Staff Specialist, Department of Anaesthesia, John Hunter Hospital, New Lambton Heights, New South Wales, Australia.

³ Staff Specialist Anaesthetist, The Royal Adelaide Hospital, Adelaide, and Clinical Senior Lecturer, Discipline Acute Care Medicine, The University of Adelaide, South Australia, Australia

⁴ Staff Specialist Anaesthetist and Clinical Associate Professor, The Queen Elizabeth Hospital, Woodville, South Australia, Australia, Clinical Senior Lecturer, Discipline Acute Care Medicine, The University of Adelaide, South Australia, Australia

⁵ Medical Intern, Flinders Medical Centre, Bedford Park, South Australia

⁶ Senior Visiting Medical officer, The Queen Elizabeth Hospital, Woodville, South Australia, Australia,

⁷ Head of Department of Anaesthesia, The Queen Elizabeth Hospital, Woodville and Clinical Associate Professor of Anaesthesia, Discipline Acute Care Medicine, The University of Adelaide, South Australia, Australia

⁸ Professor, Human Physiology Anaesthesia and Intensive Care, School of Medicine and Public Health, The University of Newcastle, New South Wales, Australia

⁹ Professor of Anaesthesia, Discipline Acute Care Medicine, The University of Adelaide, South Australia, Australia

References

1. Ashton CE, McNabb WR, WILKINSON M, R. LEWIS R: Endoscopic retrograde cholangiopancreatography in elderly patients. *Age and ageing* 1998, 27(6):683-688.
2. Martindale S: Anaesthetic considerations during endoscopic retrograde cholangiopancreatography. *Anaesthesia and intensive care* 2006, 34(4):475-480.
3. Raymondos K, Panning B, Bachem I, Manns M, Piepenbrock S, Meier P: Evaluation of endoscopic retrograde cholangiopancreatography under conscious sedation and general anesthesia. *Endoscopy* 2002, 34(09):721-726.
4. Chen W-X, Lin H-J, Zhang W-F, Gu Q, Zhong X-Q, Yu C-H, Li Y-M, Gu Z-Y: Sedation and safety of propofol for therapeutic endoscopic retrograde cholangiopancreatography. *Hepatobiliary & pancreatic diseases international: HBPD INT* 2005, 4(3):437-440.

5. Coté GA, Hovis RM, Ansstas MA, Waldbaum L, Azar RR, Early DS, Edmundowicz SA, Mullady DK, Jonnalagadda SS: Incidence of sedation-related complications with propofol use during advanced endoscopic procedures. *Clinical Gastroenterology and Hepatology* 2010, 8(2):137-142.
6. de Paulo GA, Martins FP, Macedo EP, Gonçalves MEP, Mourão CA, Ferrari AP: Sedation in gastrointestinal endoscopy: a prospective study comparing nonanesthesiologist-administered propofol and monitored anesthesia care. *Endoscopy international open* 2015, 3(01):E7-E13.
7. Qadeer MA, Lopez AR, Dumot JA, Vargo JJ: Risk factors for hypoxemia during ambulatory gastrointestinal endoscopy in ASA I–II patients. *Digestive diseases and sciences* 2009, 54(5):1035.
8. Daşkaya H, Uysal H, Çiftçi T, Baysal B, İdin K, Karaaslan K: Use of the gastro-laryngeal tube in endoscopic retrograde cholangiopancreatography cases under sedation/analgesia. *Turk J Gastroenterol* 2016, 27(3):246-251.
9. Bell G, Morden A, Bown S, Coady T, Logan R: Prevention of hypoxaemia during upper-gastrointestinal endoscopy by means of oxygen via nasal cannulae. *The Lancet* 1987, 329(8540):1022-1024.
10. Holm C, Christensen M, Rasmussen V, Schulze S, Rosenberg J: Hypoxaemia and myocardial ischaemia during colonoscopy. *Scandinavian journal of gastroenterology* 1998, 33(7):769-772.
11. Johnston S, McKenna A, Tham T: Silent myocardial ischaemia during endoscopic retrograde cholangiopancreatography. *Endoscopy* 2003, 35(12):1039-1042.
12. Bateman N, Leach R: Acute oxygen therapy. *Bmj* 1998, 317(7161):798-801.
13. McDonald CF: Low-flow oxygen: How much is your patient really getting? *Respirology* 2014, 19(4):469-470.
14. Berzin TM, Sanaka S, Barnett SR, Sundar E, Sepe PS, Jakubowski M, Pleskow DK, Chuttani R, Sawhney MS: A prospective assessment of sedation-related adverse events and patient and endoscopist satisfaction in ERCP with anesthesiologist-administered sedation. *Gastrointestinal endoscopy* 2011, 73(4):710-717.
15. Wani S, Azar R, Hovis CE, Hovis RM, Cote GA, Hall M, Waldbaum L, Kushnir V, Early D, Mullady DK: Obesity as a risk factor for sedation-related complications during propofol-mediated sedation for advanced endoscopic procedures. *Gastrointestinal endoscopy* 2011, 74(6):1238-1247.
16. Coté GA, Hovis CE, Hovis RM, Waldbaum L, Early DS, Edmundowicz SA, Azar RR, Mullady DK, Jonnalagadda SS: A screening instrument for sleep apnea predicts airway maneuvers in patients undergoing advanced endoscopic procedures. *Clinical Gastroenterology and Hepatology* 2010, 8(8):660-665. e661.
17. Lucangelo U, Vassallo FG, Marras E, Ferluga M, Beziza E, Comuzzi L, Berlot G, Zin WA: High-flow nasal interface improves oxygenation in patients undergoing bronchoscopy. *Critical care research and practice* 2012, 2012.
18. Sago T, Harano N, Chogyoji Y, Nunomaki M, Shiiba S, Watanabe S: A nasal high-flow system prevents hypoxia in dental patients under intravenous sedation. *Journal of Oral and Maxillofacial Surgery* 2015, 73(6):1058-1064.

19. Gotera C, Lobato SD, Pinto T, Winck J: Clinical evidence on high flow oxygen therapy and active humidification in adults. *Revista portuguesa de pneumologia* 2013, 19(5):217-227.
20. Nishimura M: High-flow nasal cannula oxygen therapy in adults. *Journal of intensive care* 2015, 3(1):15.
21. Schumann R, Natov NS, Rocuts-Martinez KA, Finkelman MD, Phan TV, Hegde SR, Knapp RM: High-flow nasal oxygen availability for sedation decreases the use of general anesthesia during endoscopic retrograde cholangiopancreatography and endoscopic ultrasound. *World journal of gastroenterology* 2016, 22(47):10398.
22. Badiger S, John M, Fearnley R, Ahmad I: Optimizing oxygenation and intubation conditions during awake fibre-optic intubation using a high-flow nasal oxygen-delivery system. *BJA: British Journal of Anaesthesia* 2015, 115(4):629-632.
23. Patel A, Nouraei SR: Transnasal Humidified Rapid-Insufflation Ventilatory Exchange (THRIVE): a physiological method of increasing apnoea time in patients with difficult airways. *Anaesthesia* 2015, 70(3):323-329.
24. Chan A-W, Tetzlaff JM, Gøtzsche PC, Altman DG, Mann H, Berlin JA, Dickersin K, Hróbjartsson A, Schulz KF, Parulekar WR: SPIRIT 2013 explanation and elaboration: guidance for protocols of clinical trials. *Bmj* 2013, 346:e7586.

Figures

	Enrolment	Allocation	Post-allocation		
TIMEPOINT	<i>Pre-allocation</i>	Preoperative	<i>t₁</i>	<i>t₂</i>	<i>t₃</i>
ENROLMENT:					
Eligibility screen	X				
Informed consent	X				
Allocation		X			
INTERVENTIONS:					
<i>[High flow nasal cannula]</i>			X		
<i>[Low flow nasal cannula]</i>			X		
ASSESSMENTS:			X		
<i>ASA status</i>	X				
<i>BMI</i>	X				
<i>Known or suspected OSA</i>	X		X		
<i>Incidence of hypoxia</i>			X		
<i>Other gas exchange parameters</i>			X		
<i>Airway maneuvers</i>			X		
<i>Subjective outcome measures</i>				X	
<i>Patient satisfaction score</i>				X	

Figure 1

SPIRIT flow diagram: the schedule of enrolment, interventions and assessments. *t₁* is at the time of allocation and during the procedure, *t₂* is post anaesthesia care unit. ASA: American Society of Anaesthesiologists; BMI: body mass index; OSA: obstructive sleep apnoea

Figure 2

Study flow chart. ERCP: Endoscopic retrograde cholangiopancreatography; BMI: body mass index; OSA: obstructive sleep apnoea; ASA: American society of anesthesiologists classification; PACU: post anaesthesia care unit

Supplementary Files

This is a list of supplementary files associated with this preprint. Click to download.

- [Spiritchecklist.docx](#)