P-solubilizing Bacteria as a Panacea to Alleviate Stress Effects of High Soil CaCO_3 content in *Phaseolus Vulgaris* with Special Reference to P-Releasing Enzymes

Atif A. Bamagoos

King Abdul-Aziz University

Hesham F. Alharby

King Abdul-Aziz University

Eman E. Belal

Fayoum University

Mostafa M. Rady

Fayoum University

Mahmoud Ali Abdelfattah (✉ mahmoudalia@yahoo.com)

Fayoum University <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-6337-7978>

Research Article

Keywords: P-solubilizing bacteria, calcareous soil, P fixation, compost, humic acid

Posted Date: February 10th, 2021

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.21203/rs.3.rs-200773/v1>

License: © ⓘ This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License.

[Read Full License](#)

Abstract

Purpose: The present study examines the role of leguminous compost (LC), humic acid (HA), and phosphate-solubilizing bacteria (P-SB) in alleviating the stress effects of high soil CaCO_3 content in *Phaseolus vulgaris*.

Methods: Two pot trials for two consecutive seasons; fall 2019 and summer 2020 were implemented in an open greenhouse. With four replicates specified for each of five treatments, a randomized complete plot design was assigned to each trial.

Results: Inoculation of calcareous soil with P-SB (a 1: 1 mixture of two *Pseudomonas sp.*; *Ps. mallei* and *Ps. cepaceae*) significantly exceeded LC, HA, or even LC+HA for the positive results obtained. P-SB facilitated nutrient solubility (e.g., N, K, Fe, and Mn), including conversion of insoluble phosphorous into a form available in the tested soil due to increased soil enzymatic activities (e.g., phosphatases and phytases). This mechanism, combined with a decrease in soil calcium carbonate content and an increase in cation exchange capacity (CEC) and organic matter (OM) content, increased the availability of various nutrients to plants, including P, in the soil, which contributed to the increased plant output. Adequate P content in plants led to a marked decrease in plant acid phosphatase activity under high content of CaCO_3 .

Conclusions The study concluded that the use of P-SB promotes biological activities, nutrient availability, and thus the productivity of calcareous soils, enabling *Phaseolus vulgaris* plants to withstand stress produced by high CaCO_3 content through the development and/or adoption of potentially effective mechanisms.

1 Introduction

The availability of essential nutrients for plant production, especially phosphorus (P), in defective agricultural lands such as calcareous soils, is very important due to the globally large areas of these lands (Rady et al. 2020). Frequent nutrient applications are required to achieve high crop yields if effective tools are not used to address soil problems, especially nutrient fixation. Like essential nutrients, P is a prime nutrient for plant performance, but unlike N, there is no sizeable atmospheric source that helps provide it biologically (Khan et al. 2009; Ezawa et al. 2002;). As a base nutrient with metabolic, structural, and functional properties, P in its available state is critical to the performance of the plant (Stauffer and Sulewski 2004). A great amount of total P is insoluble in the soil, and therefore cannot be absorbed by plant roots, which leads to its deficiency that limits crop productivity globally (Arcand and Schneider 2006; Yang et al. 2012). The availability of P, especially in calcareous soils, is largely controlled by rates of immobilization and mineralization as biological-mediated processes (Zou et al. 1992). Unlike N, P supply is not easily replenished, so it is necessary to preferably utilize P reserves and rectify chemically bound P (Cordell et al. 2009). Thus, it is quickly restricted into unavailable forms resulting in lower P utilization efficiency regardless of the amount applied to soil (Nautiyal et al. 2000). In the

absence of mechanisms leading to the release of P in the soil, bio-fixation and chemical precipitation would rapidly deplete every supply of available P, except for very little P available for plant uptake (Nautiyal et al. 2000). Therefore, the release of bio-fixed and insoluble forms of P, which are dependent on soil pH is a key particular for elevating its availability (Abd-Alla 1994).

Calcareous soil contains an evident quantity of free excess of CaCO_3 or MgCO_3 , more than 17% CaCO_3 , or more than 7% active CaCO_3 concerning the soil's hydraulic properties (Leytem and Mikkelsen 2005). It represents the predominant type of soil in the semi-arid and arid regions, where Egypt is part of (Brady and Weil 2008; Abdelfattah 2009 & 2013; Rady et al. 2020), and it is widely spread in the Mediterranean regions (Leytem and Mikkelsen 2005). The large quantity of CaCO_3 contained in the calcareous soil causes major problems for agricultural lands (FAO 2016), as it restricts the availability of P and other nutrients (Belal et al. 2019) and controls the chemistry of these soils, causing alkaline reactions. Soils with a high content of CaCO_3 have a high pH value (around 7.5–9.0), causing most of the nutrients to be unavailable to plants, which negatively affects the physical properties of the soil, especially water availability, and adversely affects directly or indirectly, the chemical properties including nutrient availability (e.g., macro; N, P, K, Mg, etc, and micro; Mn, Zn, Cu, Fe, etc.) (Marschner 1995). These soils with high CaCO_3 content and high pH are less productive due to lower organic matter (OM) content and enzyme activities, as well as lower available nutrients (Rady et al. 2020). With the continuous application of P fertilizer in these soils, P is rapidly converted into insoluble forms (Abd Alla 1994). Hence, phosphate-solubilizing microorganisms are needed to help convert the soil P into a form available to plants (Ingle and Padole 2017).

When the total biota decomposes in the environment, they turn into a useful product, although it is not a base source of P, it helps to mobilize it in the soil subsurface. This product is a humic substance (HS), including humic acid (HA). HS has been mentioned to boost soil fertility and reduce the detrimental influences of chemical fertilizers, which are positively reflected in the righteousness performance of plants (Rady 2011; Osman and Rady 2012). HS affects plant performance directly and indirectly (Nardi et al. 2002; Desoky et al. 2018). Directly through processes connected with the uptake and transport into plant tissues (Nardi et al. 2002), and indirectly through the improvement of soil structure and properties, including aggregation, aeration, water-holding capacity, permeability and nutrient availability leading to increased soil fertility (Sharif et al. 2002; Cimrin and Yilmaz 2005). HS also affects the solubility of nutrients by chelation or building complexes (Lobartini et al. 1997). They also interact with P to lessen its bio-fixation and growing its uptake with other nutrients by plant roots (Hua et al. 2008) to improve plant performance under high carbonate content conditions (Asik et al. 2009; Çelik et al. 2008; Katkat et al. 2009). HS have remarkable positive influences on P retention and mobility in the soil, in addition to containing many nutrients that are added to the soil when applied.

The use of compost added widely available nutrients like N, P, and K to the calcareous soil after planting, while the pH decreased slightly (Mohamed et al. 2008). Ashour (2003) found that the use of organic manure for calcareous soil decreased the EC value of the soil paste extract and Abdel-Aziz (2010)

showed that the remarkable availability of N, P, and K can be obtained with the application of organic conditioner (Abou Hussien 2020).

Studies aimed at selecting bacteria capable of dissolving and mineralizing soil P have been carried out to boost the sustainable development of agriculture. This can be achieved by striving to minimize the use of chemical fertilizers and favoring the development of ecologically balanced agricultural environments (Matos 2017). Many soil microorganisms can dissolve unavailable forms of P bound to Ca by organic acids excreted through metabolic activities. These organic acids either dissolve rock phosphate or chelate Ca ions to release P into soil solution (Nautiyal et al. 2000). There is strong evidence that many soil bacteria can convert P into a form available to plants (Khan et al. 2009). Since the middle of the last century and possibly earlier, phosphate-solubilizing bacteria (P-SB) have been used as bio-fertilizers (Kudashev 1956; Krasilnikov 1957). P-SB play an important role in converting insoluble P into a form more available to plants (Sharon et al. 2016). A wide range of microbial species; bacteria, fungi, actinomycetes, and even algae play a base role in solubilizing P, but bacteria are the largest use because they are most effective at dissolving P. Microorganisms secrete organic acids to solubilize P complexes (Goldstein 1995; Sharon et al. 2016) and/or chelate cations, which bind to P ions (PO_4^{3-}) to release P (Vyas and Gulati 2009). Several bacteria can solubilize phosphate, amongst them the *Pseudomonas* sp. (Verma et al. 2001; Garg et al. 2001), which are found in a large number in biological environments and can solubilize the metallic P complexes and release the bioavailable form of P (Rady et al. 2020). Mechanisms by which microorganisms act to solubilize P include the release of organic acid anions, siderophores, protons, hydroxyl anions, CO_2 , and extracellular enzymes or biochemical P mineralization, and release of P during substrate degradation (McGill and Cole 1981). This promotes soil fertility and increases the availability of nutrients including P, thus shortening the period of repair of low-quality soil (Shi et al. 2017). Extensive studies have been implemented to isolate P-SB from different plant rhizospheres (Chung et al. 2005; Acevedo et al. 2014; Wu et al. 2014; Anzuay et al. 2015).

Compared with leguminous compost (LC), humic acid (HA), and humified compost (HA-LC), very little research has investigated the impact of P-SB on nutrient recycling, especially P, after their application to calcareous soils. The present study investigates the potential positive impact of inoculating calcareous soil (19.6% CaCO_3) with P-SB compared to the application of the tested soil with LC, HA, or HA-LC on *Phaseolus vulgaris* plant growth, yield, nutrient contents, including P, and acid phosphatase activity. Soil physicochemical properties, including soil nutrient contents and P-solubilizing enzyme activities, were also investigated. Where *Phaseolus vulgaris* is a crop sensitive to different stress types (Sultana et al. 2014; Bargaz et al. 2016), including calcareous state stress (Rady et al. 2020), was selected for this study.

2 Materials And Methods

2.1 Plant material, growth conditions, and experimental design

Two pot experiments were conducted for two consecutive seasons; fall 2019 and summer 2020. An open greenhouse located in the experimental farm (29°17′06″ N and 30°54′55″ E), Faculty of Agriculture, Fayoum University, Egypt. Climatic conditions were $22.2 \pm 3.0^{\circ}\text{C}$ as average daily temperatures and $66.8 \pm 7.5\%$ as average relative humidity, with an average of 12/12 h for light/darkness for both growing seasons.

Based on health, color, and size, the standard Bronco seed cultivar of common beans (*Phaseolus vulgaris* L.) was secured from Agricultural Research Center (Horticulture Research Institute), Egypt. Sodium hypochlorite solution (1%) was used to sterilize the seed surface for 5 min. Then, distilled water was used to wash the seeds thoroughly several times to exclude the residue of the sterilization solution. After drying in the air for 1 h, the seeds were prepared for sowing using plastic pots with a diameter of 36 cm and a depth of 30 cm. A weight of 12 kg calcareous soil with 19.6% CaCO_3 was allocated to each pot. Based on the methods detailed in Page et al. (1982) and Klute and Dirksen (1986), soil chemical and physical properties were analyzed and are shown in Table 1.

Table 1
Physical and chemical properties of the experimental soil

Parameter	Properties
Clay	50.2 ± 2.4
Silt	29.6 ± 1.6
Sand	20.2 ± 1.4
Soil texture	Clay
pH	8.15 ± 0.41
EC (dS m ⁻¹)	2.30 ± 0.14
Organic matter (%)	0.54 ± 0.03
CaCO ₃ (%)	19.6 ± 1.5
CEC (cmol _c kg ⁻¹)	5.82 ± 0.34
Available macro- and micronutrients (mg kg ⁻¹ soil)	
Available N	8.42 ± 0.51
Available P	3.41 ± 0.16
Available K	14.7 ± 0.96
Available Fe	4.71 ± 0.28
Available Mn	3.34 ± 0.19
Available Zn	2.10 ± 0.13
"dS m ⁻¹ " means decisiemens per meter, "CEC" means cation exchange capacity, "cmol _c kg ⁻¹ " means centimole of cation exchange capacity per kilogram soil, and "mg kg ⁻¹ " means milligram per kilogram.	

For the fall season 2019, five treatments each with four replicates (5 pots for each replicate); A total of 100 pots were assigned to this study. The calcareous soil of 20 pots was left without any supplementation and identified as a control. A mixture of *Pseudomonas cepaceae* and *Ps. mallei* identified as phosphate-solubilizing bacteria (P-SB) was used to inoculate the soil of another 20 pots. The leguminous compost (LC; 10 g kg⁻¹ soil) and humic acid (90.3% net HA; 50 mg kg⁻¹ soil) were added and mixed well with the calcareous soil of 20 pots for each. A humified-compost (HA-LC) was added at a rate of 5 g kg⁻¹ to the soil of the remaining 20 pots. HA-LC was prepared by adding 50 g HA to 2.5 kg LC and mixed well. Before applying the investigated treatments, the soil of each pot (12 kg) was fertilized with 1.2, 2.4, and 3.6 g of potassium sulfate; 48% K₂O, calcium superphosphate; 15% P₂O₅, and ammonium sulfate; 20% N. These treatments were repeated for summer 2020 using the same soil as fall 2019.

Using a randomized complete plot design, the experimental treatments were arranged using 20 pots with four replicates each. Rotation (from place to place) was performed daily for pots of all treatments to ensure fairness in sunlight intensity and light distribution. Ten homogeneous seeds were planted in each pot. After full emergence, only three standard seedlings per pot were maintained by successful thinning. Plants of all treatments were watered daily, plus all necessary agricultural practices were applied as recommended to produce *Phaseolus vulgaris* commercially.

At 48 days after sowing (DAS) and after harvesting, soil samples were collected randomly from 3 pots in each treatment of each growing season to assess the changes in soil properties and soil enzymatic activities. At 48 DAS, plants ($n = 9$) were harvested for growth evaluation; Weights of fresh and dry shoot for each plant. At harvesting, green pod yield and dry seed yield were assessed in the remaining pots.

2.2 Preparation of leguminous compost

Green faba bean shoots (2.50 kg) were mixed with different organic materials such as bulking agents (50 g), potassium humate (100 g), and N-sources such as Egyptian clover plants (1.25 kg) and cattle manure (1.25 g). The proportions specified for the mixtures of the compost were 48% for faba bean shoots, 25% for Egyptian clover, 25% for cattle manure, and 2% for potassium humate. All these mixtures were mixed well for composting in a pilot-plant using the system of turning-pile in trapezoidal piles (the base dimensions were $2 \times 0.75 \times 0.50$ m in length, width, and height, respectively). From May to September the piles were turned every 2 weeks during the bio-oxidative phase. Moisture and temperature were monitored during the composting process. While turning the piles, the moisture level was kept in the range of 40–60% by adding water. The analysis of the obtained compost was as follows: 19.6%, 7.5, 2.1 dS m^{-1} , 115 g kg^{-1} , 33 g kg^{-1} , and 152 g kg^{-1} for organic matter content, pH, EC, N, P, and K, respectively.

2.3 Phosphate-solubilizing bacteria (P-SB) isolation and identification

Pseudomonas cepaceae and *Ps. mallei* were obtained with the help of Nutrient Broth medium (NB). These bacteria were isolated from the plant rhizosphere and identified molecularly in the National Research Center, Egypt. The PCR technique was implemented to identify bacteria using the following oligonucleotide primers: Target species; *Ps. mallei* and *Ps. Cepaceae*, Primers; CVP 23 – 2 and M 23 – 2, 23S rDNA helices containing target position; 78ab and 78ab, Sequence; 5'-CAC CGA AAC TAG CG-3' and 5'-CAC CGA AAC TAG CA-3', Size of PCR product (bp); 526 and 526, and Annealing temperature; 47 and 47°C, respectively. The bacteria (*Ps. cepaceae* and *Ps. mallei*) were tested for their capability of P solubilization and pH reduction. They were identified as P-SB and plant growth-promoting rhizobacteria. Besides, the two bacterial isolates had no anti-activity against one another.

2.3.1 Preparation and application of P-SB

A mixture of a 1: 1 ratio of compost and peat has functioned as a carrier for the P-SB inoculant. Using aluminum foil, this carrier was encapsulated and sterilized with an autoclave. Then, the carrier was

provided with 10% P-SB inoculant, this is, each 10 kg carrier was enriched with 1 L of inoculant. The P-SB inoculant was used or was packed and stored in a drying place until use. For P-SB treatments, calcareous soil was inoculated with bacterial inoculant at 1 g (0.1 mL net P-SB) kg⁻¹ of soil 48 h before sowing.

2.3.2 Soil enzyme activity assay

Samples of the tested soil were collected 48 DAS, as well as at harvest (the end of the experiment), and then the replications were mixed well to clean by passing through a < 2-mm sieve. Assaying the phosphatase activity was performed colorimetrically based on the procedures of Guan (1986). Besides, phytase activity was assayed in suspensions and solutions of soil against a 20 mM acidified InsP6 substrate applying procedures of George et al. (2005) and Giaveno et al. (2010). Then, the concentration of P was determined by applying the procedures of Irving and McLaughlin (1990). As P released during 1 h assaying, calculation of phytase activity was performed as nKat g⁻¹ soil using the following equation:

$$\text{Phytase activity} = \frac{\text{P concentration (mg/L)} \times \text{divide ratio} \times \text{volume (mL)} \times 16.67}{\text{incubation time (1 h)} \times 31}$$

2.4 Assessments of soil properties

From each treatment, soil samples were collected 48 DAS, as well as at harvest from random three pots to assess organic matter (%), CaCO₃ (%), cation exchange capacity, and nutrient; P, N, K, Fe, and Mn contents (Klute and Dirksen 1986; Page et al. 1982).

2.5 Growth and yield determinations

Plant shoots were sampled 48 DAS for the fresh weight ($n = 9$), as well as for dry weight after oven-drying at 70°C until constant weights were obtained. In the green pods marketing stage (62–70 DAS), six plants were used for picking green pods to assess pod weight (g) and total green pods per plant (g). For the dry yield, the remaining 80-day-old plants were used, the pods were picked and left for air-drying for 3 d. Next, the dry pods were used to evaluate dry seeds' weight per plant (g).

2.6 Determination of leaf contents of nutrients

Powdered dry leaf samples from all investigated treatments were used to determine nutrient contents. Total N was assessed using procedures depending on the micro-Kjeldahl technique. P was assessed colorimetrically using stannous chloride-ammonium molybdate reagent (King 1951), after its extraction by sodium bicarbonate (Olsen 1954). K⁺ was assessed using a flame photometer (ELE Flame Photometer, Leighton Buzzard, UK). Fe²⁺, Mn²⁺, Zn²⁺, and Cu²⁺ contents were determined by atomic absorption spectrophotometry (Chapman 1965).

2.7 Acid phosphatase activity assay

To extract the enzyme, sodium acetate-acetic acid buffer at 20 ml was used to grind 1.0 g of fresh material from plant leaves and roots. The extract centrifugation was practiced for 10 min (30,000 ×g,

2°C). The acid phosphatase activity was assayed in the supernatant according to Basford's procedures (1979). Assaying the activity of acid phosphatase enzyme was guided by p-nitrophenol as a standard curve according to Clark (1975).

2.8 Statistical analysis

The procedures of Levene (1961) and Shapiro and Wilk (1965) were used to test the homogeneity of error variances and the normality distribution, respectively. Next, all data underwent two (plant analyses) or three analyses of variance (soil analyses). The difference between every two means was significant at $P \leq 0.05$ with the use of Tukey's HSD test (honestly significant difference). The analysis was implemented statistically with the help of GenStat 17th Ed. (VSN Int. Ltd, Hemel Hempstead, UK).

3 Results

3.1 Soil characterization

The chemical and physical characterization of the investigated calcareous soil data as presented in Table 1 indicates that the textural class is clay (clay percentage exceeds 50%, silt is about 29 and sand is about 20%), pH is more than 8.15 which indicates that the soil is alkaline, salinity is somewhat low within the range of 2.3 dS m^{-1} , organic matter (OM) content is low (about 0.54%), calcium carbonate is high and exceeds 19% which indicate that the soil is calcareous as per Leytem and Mikkelsen (2005), who defined calcareous soil as contains 14–17% or more calcium carbonate content. Cation exchange capacity (CEC) is low (about $5.82 \text{ cmol}_c \text{ kg}^{-1}$). The available N, P, and K as macronutrients and Fe, Mn, and Zn as micronutrients are also included. The soil is classified following the USDA norms and standards as Typic Haplotorrerts (Abdelfattah 2007; Soil Survey Staff 2014; Shahid et al. 2014).

3.2 Effects of the different treatments on soil and plant parameters

The resulted data of the effects of the growing season, sampling time, and soil application with leguminous compost (LC), humic acid (HA), humified compost (HA-LC), or phosphate-solubilizing bacteria (P-SB) on soil and plant parameters including soil enzyme activities, soil properties (available P, OM, CaCO_3 , CEC), soil and plant nutrient contents, plant growth and yield, and acid phosphatase activity in plant leaves and roots, are summarized below (Tables 2–6).

Table 2

Main effects of the growing season, sampling time, and soil application with leguminous compost (LC), humic acid (HA), humified compost (HA-LC), or phosphate-solubilizing bacteria (P-SB) on the activity of soil enzymes

Treatments	Parameters	
	Phosphatase	Phytase
	(mg P ₂ O ₅ 100 g ⁻¹ h ⁻¹)	(nKat g ⁻¹ soil)
Season (S)	**	*
Fall season, 2019	1.31 ± 0.02b	10.68 ± 0.26b
Summer season, 2020	2.40 ± 0.05a	18.48 ± 0.36a
Sampling time (ST)	*	*
At 45 days after sowing	1.69 ± 0.03b	13.35 ± 0.30b
After plant harvesting	2.02 ± 0.04a	15.81 ± 0.35a
Soil Treatments (STR)	*	*
Control	0.72 ± 0.02d	6.33 ± 0.14e
LC	1.80 ± 0.03c	12.75 ± 0.29d
HA	1.90 ± 0.03c	14.96 ± 0.34c
HA-LC	2.29 ± 0.05b	18.51 ± 0.40b
P-SB	2.57 ± 0.05a	20.36 ± 0.45a
Significance		
S × ST	*	*
S × STR	*	*
ST × STR	*	*
S × ST × STR	*	*
*** significant at $P \leq 0.01$ and ** significant at $P \leq 0.05$. Data presented are means ± SE (n = 9). Different letters next to mean values indicate significant differences at $P \leq 0.05$.		

3.2.1 Soil enzymatic activities

For the growing season, phosphatase and phytase activities were significantly increased in soil samples taken in the summer season, 2020 by 83.2 and 73.0%, respectively, compared to their activities in soil samples gathered in the fall season, 2019 (Table 2). Regarding sampling time, soil samples collected after plant harvesting awarded significant increases of 19.5 and 18.4% for phosphatase and phytase

activities, respectively in comparison with those of soil samples gathered at 45 days after sowing. Concerning soil treatments, all the soil applications; LC, HA, HA-LC, or P-SB significantly increased phosphatase and phytase activities compared to control. The best soil treatment was P-SB that significantly exceeded all the other treatments (*e.g.*, LC, HA, and HA-LC) and conferred 256.9 and 221.6%, respectively compared to control. As the main factors showed significant ($P \leq 0.05$) or highly significant ($P \leq 0.01$) differences, all interactions between/among the tested factors were significant ($P \leq 0.05$).

32.2 Soil properties (available P, OM, CaCO_3 , and CEC)

For the growing season, the available phosphorous, organic matter, and CEC were significantly increased in soil samples collected in summer season 2020 compared with those collected in fall 2019 by 122.92, 28.95, 80.14%, respectively (Table 3). However, $\text{CaCO}_3\%$ decreased by 3.78%. With regards to sampling time, the available phosphorous and CEC were significantly increased in soil samples collected after plant harvesting compared with those collected at 45 days after sowing by 40.31 and 48.95% respectively. OM recorded a slight nonsignificant increase, 4.71%. However, CaCO_3 was decreased by 7.94%. Concerning soil treatments, all the soil applications including LC, HA, HA-LC, or P-SB significantly increased the available P, OM, and CEC, compared to the control. The best soil treatment was P-SB that significantly exceeded all the other treatments (*e.g.*, LC, HA, and HA-LC) and conferred 624.34, 88.89, 182.82% for the available P, OM, and CEC respectively, compared to the control. As main factors were showed significant ($P \leq 0.05$) or highly significant ($P \leq 0.01$) differences, growing season interaction with sampling time was highly significant for the available P ($P \leq 0.01$), but all other interactions between/among the tested factors were significant ($P \leq 0.05$), except for the interaction of growing season, sampling time, and soil treatments, which was not significant ($P \leq 0.05$ and $P \leq 0.01$).

Table 3

Main effects of the growing season, sampling time, and soil application with leguminous compost (LC), humic acid (HA), humified compost (HA-LC), or phosphate-solubilizing bacteria (P-SB) on some properties [e.g., available P, organic matter (OM), calcium carbonate (CaCO_3), and cation exchange capacity (CEC)] of the tested calcareous soil

Treatments	Parameters			
	Available P (mg kg^{-1} soil)	OM (%)	CaCO_3 (%)	CEC ($\text{cmol}_c \text{ kg}^{-1}$)
Season (S)	**	*	Ns	*
Fall season, 2019	$9.6 \pm 0.08\text{b}$	$0.76 \pm 0.06\text{b}$	$18.5 \pm 0.16\text{a}$	$8.46 \pm 0.05\text{b}$
Summer season, 2020	$21.4 \pm 0.15\text{a}$	$0.98 \pm 0.07\text{a}$	$17.8 \pm 0.14\text{a}$	$15.24 \pm 0.11\text{a}$
Sampling time (ST)	*	Ns	*	*
At 45 days after sowing	$12.9 \pm 0.10\text{b}$	$0.85 \pm 0.06\text{a}$	$18.9 \pm 0.18\text{a}$	$9.52 \pm 0.07\text{b}$
After plant harvesting	$18.1 \pm 0.14\text{a}$	$0.89 \pm 0.07\text{a}$	$17.4 \pm 0.13\text{b}$	$14.18 \pm 0.09\text{a}$
Soil Treatments (STR)	**	*	*	**
Control	$3.41 \pm 0.02\text{e}$	$0.54 \pm 0.04\text{d}$	$19.6 \pm 0.18\text{a}$	$5.82 \pm 0.03\text{d}$
LC	$11.8 \pm 0.03\text{d}$	$0.86 \pm 0.06\text{c}$	$17.7 \pm 0.18\text{b}$	$11.14 \pm 0.08\text{c}$
HA	$16.9 \pm 0.03\text{c}$	$0.80 \pm 0.06\text{c}$	$17.2 \pm 0.16\text{c}$	$11.22 \pm 0.08\text{c}$
HA-LC	$19.2 \pm 0.05\text{b}$	$0.92 \pm 0.07\text{b}$	$15.5 \pm 0.12\text{d}$	$14.28 \pm 0.10\text{b}$
P-SB	$24.7 \pm 0.05\text{a}$	$1.02 \pm 0.08\text{a}$	$14.6 \pm 0.11\text{e}$	$16.46 \pm 0.12\text{a}$
Significance				
S $\times$ ST	**	*	*	*
S $\times$ STR	*	*	*	*
ST $\times$ STR	*	*	*	*
S $\times$ ST $\times$ STR	*	Ns	*	*
*** significant at $P \leq 0.01$, ** significant at $P \leq 0.05$, and "ns" non-significant. Data presented are means $\pm$ SE (n = 9). Different letters next to mean values indicate significant differences at $P \leq 0.05$.				

3.2.3 Nutrient contents (available N, K, Fe, and Mn)

For the growing season, the available N, K, Fe, and Mn were significantly increased in soil samples collected in summer season 2020 compared with the ones collected in fall 2019 by 124.15, 80.75, 80.59, 86.32%, respectively (Table 4). With regards to sampling time, the available N, K, Fe, and Mn were significantly increased in soil samples collected after plant harvesting compared to the ones collected at 45 days after sowing by 40.57, 15.88, 18.45, and 44.6%, respectively. For soil treatments, all the soil applications including LC, HA, HA-LC, or P-SB significantly increased the available N, K, Fe, and Mn compared with the control. P-SB was the best soil treatment that significantly surpassed all the other treatments (e.g., LC, HA, and HA-LC) and conferred 541.33, 183, 184.93, and 195.21% for the available N, K, Fe, and Mn respectively, compared to the control (Table 4). As main factors were showed significant ($P \leq 0.05$) or highly significant ($P \leq 0.01$) differences, all interactions between/among the tested factors were significant ($P \leq 0.05$), except for the interaction of growing season and soil treatments, which was highly significant ($P \leq 0.01$).

Table 4

Main effects of the growing season, sampling time, and soil application with leguminous compost (LC), humic acid (HA), humified compost (HA-LC), or phosphate-solubilizing bacteria (P-SB) on some nutrient contents of the tested calcareous soil

Treatments	Parameters			
	Available N	Available K	Available Fe	Available Mn
	(mg kg ⁻¹ soil)			
Season (S)	*	*	*	*
Fall season, 2019	23.6 ± 0.19b	21.3 ± 0.13b	6.85 ± 0.04b	4.75 ± 0.03b
Summer season, 2020	52.9 ± 0.37a	38.5 ± 0.27a	12.33 ± 0.0.9a	8.85 ± 0.06a
Sampling time (ST)	*	*	*	*
At 45 days after sowing	31.8 ± 0.25b	27.7 ± 0.19b	8.78 ± 0.06b	5.56 ± 0.04b
After plant harvesting	44.7 ± 0.34a	32.1 ± 0.21a	10.40 ± 0.07a	8.04 ± 0.05a
Soil Treatments (STR)	**	**	**	**
Control	8.42 ± 0.05d	14.7 ± 0.08e	4.71 ± 0.03e	3.34 ± 0.02d
LC	34.1 ± 0.07c	30.1 ± 0.20c	9.72 ± 0.06c	6.39 ± 0.04c
HA	36.7 ± 0.07c	26.3 ± 0.21d	8.38 ± 0.06d	6.54 ± 0.05c
HA-LC	47.4 ± 0.12b	36.0 ± 0.25b	11.56 ± 0.08b	8.40 ± 0.06b
P-SB	54.0 ± 0.15a	41.6 ± 0.30a	13.42 ± 0.10a	9.86 ± 0.07a
Significance				
S × ST	*	*	*	*
S × STR	**	*	*	*
ST × STR	*	*	*	*
S × ST × STR	*	*	*	*
*** significant at $P \leq 0.01$, ** significant at $P \leq 0.05$, and "ns" non-significant. Data presented are means ± SE (n = 9). Different letters next to mean values indicate significant differences at $P \leq 0.05$.				

3.2.4 Growth and yield

For the growing season, the weight of fresh and dry shoot for each plant, and the weight of green pods and dry seeds per plant increased significantly in plant samples collected in summer 2020 compared with the ones collected in fall 2019 by 25.64, 24.47, 14.22, and 17.79%, respectively (Table 5). Concerning soil treatments, all of the soil applications including LC, HA, HA-LC, or P-SB significantly increased the fresh and dry weights of plant shoots but recorded a highly significant increase for the weights of green pods

and dry seeds per plant, compared with the control. P-SB recorded the best soil treatment that significantly exceeded all the other treatments (*e.g.*, LC, HA, and HA-LC) and conferred 134.01% for shoot fresh weight plant⁻¹, 158.33% for shoot dry weight plant⁻¹, 555.08% for green pods weight plant⁻¹, and 709.29% for dry seeds weight plant⁻¹ compared to the control (Table 5). As the main factors showed significant ($P \leq 0.05$) or highly significant ($P \leq 0.01$) differences, all interactions between/among the tested factors were significant ($P \leq 0.05$).

Table 5

Main effects of the growing season and soil application with leguminous compost (LC), humic acid (HA), humified compost (HA-LC), or phosphate-solubilizing bacteria (P-SB) on growth and yield of common bean (cv. Bronco) plants grown under calcareous soil conditions

Treatments	Parameters			
	Growth traits		Green and dry yield	
	Shoot fresh weight (g)	Shoot dry weight (g)	Green pods weight plant ⁻¹ (g)	Dry seeds weight plant ⁻¹ (g)
Season (S)	*	*	*	*
Fall season, 2019	23.4 ± 2.1b	3.31 ± 0.24b	40.8 ± 3.3b	9.05 ± 0.68b
Summer season, 2020	29.4 ± 2.5a	4.12 ± 0.29a	46.6 ± 3.9a	10.66 ± 0.85a
Soil Treatments (STR)	*	*	**	**
Control	14.7 ± 1.3d	1.92 ± 0.14d	11.8 ± 1.0d	2.26 ± 0.21e
LC	25.8 ± 2.2c	3.59 ± 0.24c	32.3 ± 2.7c	7.09 ± 0.50d
HA	26.0 ± 2.3c	3.64 ± 0.26c	33.8 ± 2.9c	7.71 ± 0.60c
HA-LC	31.1 ± 2.7b	4.52 ± 0.33b	63.4 ± 5.4b	13.94 ± 1.04b
P-SB	34.4 ± 3.2a	4.94 ± 0.36a	77.3 ± 6.2a	18.29 ± 1.48a
Significance				
S × STR	*	*	*	*
*** significant at $P \leq 0.01$ and * significant at $P \leq 0.05$. Data presented are means ± SE (n = 9). Different letters next to mean values indicate significant differences at $P \leq 0.05$.				

3.2.5 Activity of acid phosphatase enzyme

For the growing season, the activity of the phosphatase enzyme of leaves and roots was significantly decreased in plant samples collected in summer 2020 compared with the ones collected in fall 2019 by 10.58 and 9.11%, respectively (Table 6). For soil treatments, all the soil applications including LC, HA, HA-LC, and P-SB markedly suppressed the activity of phosphatase enzyme of leaves and roots compared

with the control. However, P-SB was the best soil treatment, and significantly surpassed all the other treatments (e.g., LC, HA, and HA-LC) and conferred 61.64% (of leaves) and 64.32% (of roots) for the phosphatase activity compared with the control (Table 6). As the main factors showed significant ($P \leq 0.05$) or highly significant ($P \leq 0.01$) differences, all interactions between/among the tested factors were significant ($P \leq 0.05$).

Table 6

Main effects of growing season and soil application with leguminous compost (LC), humic acid (HA), humified compost (HA-LC), or phosphate-solubilizing bacteria (P-SB) on the activity of acid phosphatase enzyme in leaves and roots of common bean (cv. Bronco) plants grown under calcareous soil conditions

Treatments	Parameters	
	Phosphatase activity in leaves	Phosphatase activity in roots
	($\mu\text{M P-nitrophenol g}^{-1} \text{ leaf h}^{-1}$)	($\mu\text{M P-nitrophenol g}^{-1} \text{ root h}^{-1}$)
Season (S)	*	*
Fall season, 2019	20.8 $\pm$ 0.68a	61.5 $\pm$ 1.3a
Summer season, 2020	18.6 $\pm$ 0.52b	55.9 $\pm$ 1.0b
Soil Treatments (STR)	*	**
Control	31.8 $\pm$ 1.0a	95.0 $\pm$ 2.4a
LC	20.8 $\pm$ 0.7b	61.0 $\pm$ 1.2b
HA	19.4 $\pm$ 0.6b	56.8 $\pm$ 1.2b
HA-LC	14.4 $\pm$ 0.4c	46.9 $\pm$ 0.7c
P-SB	12.2 $\pm$ 0.4d	33.9 $\pm$ 0.5d
Significance		
S $\times$ STR	*	*
“***” significant at $P \leq 0.01$ and “*” significant at $P \leq 0.05$. Data presented are means $\pm$ SE (n = 9). Different letters next to mean values indicate significant differences at $P \leq 0.05$.		

3.2.6 Leaf macro-nutrient contents

For the growing season, N, P, and K contents were markedly elevated in plant samples collected in summer 2020 compared with those collected in fall 2019 by 17.05, 16.22, and 17.73%, respectively (Table 7). Concerning soil treatments, all the soil applications including LC, HA, HA-LC, and P-SB markedly elevated N, P, and K contents compared with the control. However, P-SB was the best soil treatment that significantly surpassed all the other treatments (e.g., LC, HA, and HA-LC) and conferred 87.25, 292.5, and 17.36% for the N, P, and K contents respectively, compared to the control (Table 7). As main factors were showed significant ($P \leq 0.05$) or highly significant ($P \leq 0.01$) differences, interactions between/among the growing season and soil treatments for P and K were significant ($P \leq 0.05$), but not significant for N.

Table 7

Main effects of growing season and soil application with leguminous compost (LC), humic acid (HA), humified compost (HA-LC), or phosphate-solubilizing bacteria (P-SB) on leaf contents of macro-nutrients of common bean (cv. Bronco) plants grown under calcareous soil conditions

Treatments	Parameters		
	N (mg g ⁻¹ DW)	P (mg g ⁻¹ DW)	K (mg g ⁻¹ DW)
Season (S)	*	*	*
Fall season, 2019	21.7 ± 0.5b	2.22 ± 0.11b	22.4 ± 0.5b
Summer season, 2020	25.4 ± 0.6a	2.58 ± 0.13a	26.3 ± 1.0a
Soil Treatments (STR)	*	**	*
Control	14.9 ± 0.3d	0.80 ± 0.02e	17.4 ± 0.4d
LC	24.2 ± 0.5c	1.64 ± 0.12d	23.5 ± 0.6c
HA	24.7 ± 0.6c	2.45 ± 0.13c	23.7 ± 0.7c
HA-LC	26.2 ± 0.6b	2.97 ± 0.15b	26.5 ± 0.9b
P-SB	27.9 ± 0.8a	3.41 ± 0.17a	30.8 ± 1.1a
Significance			
S × STR	Ns	*	*
*** significant at $P \leq 0.01$, ** significant at $P \leq 0.05$, and "ns" non-significant. Data presented are means ± SE (n = 9). Different letters next to mean values indicate significant differences at $P \leq 0.05$.			

3.2.7 Leaf micro-nutrient contents

For the growing season, Cu, Zn, Mn, and Fe contents were markedly increased in plant samples collected in summer 2020 compared with those collected in fall 2019 by 13.19, 9.22, 9.77, and 11.11%, respectively (Table 8). For soil treatments, all the soil applications including LC, HA, HA-LC, and P-SB significantly increased the Cu, Zn, Mn, and Fe contents compared to the control. However, P-SB was the best soil treatment that significantly surpassed all the other treatments (e.g., LC, HA, and HA-LC) and conferred 76.85% for Fe content, 111.03% for Mn content, 135.8% for Zn content, and 166.67% for Cu content compared to the control (Table 8). As the main factors showed either significant ($P \leq 0.05$) or highly significant ($P \leq 0.01$) differences, interactions between/among the growing season and soil treatments for Mn, Zn, and Cu, were significant ($P \leq 0.05$), but not significant for Fe.

Table 8

Main effects of the growing season and soil application with leguminous compost (LC), humic acid (HA), humified compost (HA-LC), or phosphate-solubilizing bacteria (P-SB) on leaf contents of micro-nutrients of common bean (cv. Bronco) plants grown under calcareous soil conditions

Treatments	Parameters			
	Fe (mg kg ⁻¹ DW)	Mn (mg kg ⁻¹ DW)	Zn (mg kg ⁻¹ DW)	Cu (mg kg ⁻¹ DW)
Season (S)	*	*	*	*
Fall season, 2019	288 ± 17b	217 ± 13b	133 ± 6b	90 ± 2b
Summer season, 2020	326 ± 19a	237 ± 14a	146 ± 7a	100 ± 3a
Soil Treatments (STR)	*	*	*	*
Control	216 ± 12d	136 ± 7d	81 ± 2d	48 ± 1d
LC	292 ± 13c	216 ± 11c	130 ± 6c	94 ± 2c
HA	299 ± 16c	230 ± 12c	133 ± 7c	97 ± 3c
HA-LC	346 ± 22b	266 ± 17b	163 ± 9b	111 ± 4b
P-SB	382 ± 28a	287 ± 19a	191 ± 11a	128 ± 5a
Significance				
S × STR	ns	*	*	*
*** significant at $P \leq 0.01$, ** significant at $P \leq 0.05$, and "ns" non-significant. Data presented are means ± SE (n = 9). Different letters next to mean values indicate significant differences at $P \leq 0.05$.				

Table 9. Changes (%) in soil characteristics and plant performance in two seasons (fall season, 2019 and summer season, 2020) relative to the control in *Phaseolus vulgaris* plants under high CaCO₃ stress and soil treatments with LC, HA, LC-HA, and PSB. Three color scale heatmap, yellow as the midpoint of control and parameters with insignificant values compared to control, red for changes below control values, and green for changes over control values.

Parameters	Control	Soil treatments				Season		Sampling date	
		LC	HA	LC-HA	PSB	F-2019	S-2020	45 DAS	APH
Soil phosphatase act.	d	+150.0c	+163.9c	+218.1b	+256.9a	+81.9b	+233.3a	+134.7b	+180.6a
Soil phytase act.	e	+101.4d	+136.3c	+192.4b	+221.6a	+68.7b	+191.9a	+110.9b	+149.8a
Soil P content	e	+24.0d	+395.6c	+463.0b	+624.3a	+181.5b	+527.6a	+278.3b	+430.8a
Soil OM content	d	+59.3c	+48.1c	+70.4b	+88.9a	+40.7b	+81.5a	+57.4a	+64.8a
Soil CaCO ₃ content	a	-9.7b	-12.2c	-20.9d	-25.5e	-5.6a	-9.2a	-3.6a	-11.2b
Soil CEC	d	+91.4c	+92.8c	+145.4b	+182.8a	+45.4b	+161.9a	+63.6b	+143.6a
Soil N content	d	+305.0c	+335.9c	+462.9b	+541.3a	+180.3b	+528.3a	+277.7b	+430.9a
Soil K content	e	+104.8c	+78.9d	+144.9b	+183.0a	+44.9b	+161.9a	+88.4b	+118.4a
Soil Fe content	e	+106.4c	+77.9d	+145.4b	+184.9a	+45.4b	+161.8a	+86.4b	+120.8a
Soil Mn content	d	+91.3c	+95.8c	+151.5b	+195.2a	+42.2b	+165.0a	+66.5b	+140.7a
Shoot fresh weight	d	+75.5c	+76.9c	+111.6b	+134.0a	+59.2b	+100.0a		
Shoot dry weight	d	+87.0c	+89.6c	+135.4b	+157.3a	+72.4b	+114.6a		
Pods weight plant ⁻¹	d	+173.7c	+186.4c	+437.3b	+555.1a	+245.8b	+294.9a		
Seeds weight plant ⁻¹	e	+213.7d	+241.2c	+516.8b	+709.3a	+300.4b	+371.7a		
Leaf phosphatase act.	a	-34.6b	-39.0b	-54.7c	-61.6d	-34.6a	-41.5b		
Root phosphatase act.	a	-35.8b	-40.2b	-50.6c	-64.3d	-35.3a	-41.2b		
Leaf N content	d	+62.4c	+65.8c	+75.8b	+87.2a	+45.6b	+70.5a		
Leaf P content	e	+105.0d	+206.3c	+271.3b	+326.3a	+177.5b	+222.5a		
Leaf K content	d	+35.1c	+36.2c	+52.3b	+77.0a	+28.7b	+51.1a		
Leaf Fe content	d	+35.2c	+38.4c	+60.2b	+76.9a	+33.3b	+50.9a		
Leaf Mn content	d	+58.8c	+69.1c	+95.6b	+111.0a	+59.6b	+74.3a		
Leaf Zn content	d	+60.5c	+64.2c	+101.2b	+135.8a	+64.2b	+80.2a		
Leaf Cu content	d	+95.8c	+102.1c	+131.3b	+166.7a	+87.5b	+108.3a		

LC= leguminous compost, HA= humic acid, LC-HA= humified leguminous compost, F-2019= fall season 2019, S-2020= summer season 2020, DAS= days after sowing, APH= after plant harvesting, act= activity, CaCO₃= calcium carbonate, OM= organic matter, and CEC= cation exchange capacity.

4 Discussion

Nutrients are very important for different crop plants to provide optimum growth and productivity. Among them, P is the main nutrient with key functional roles. It helps legume plants fix N and positively affects plant growth and development. It is also an essential constituent of many biomolecules (e.g., DNA, RNA, ATP, and phospholipids), in addition to its role in stabilizing the thylakoid membrane needful for chlorophyll molecule biosynthesis and positioning (Schachtman et al. 1998; Rodríguez and Fraga, 1999; Rady et al. 2020). However, there is an ongoing problem related to nutrients, especially P with calcareous soils. The calcareous soil tested in the current study has undesirable properties, poor structure, low fertility, and nutritional imbalance. It also has a high CaCO₃ content and a high pH value, along with a low cation exchange capacity (CEC) and organic matter (OM) content, thus low available nutrient contents (Table 12). These unwanted characteristics always accompany less productive or unproductive soils (Aboukila et al. 2018; Rady et al. 2020). Thus, *Phaseolus vulgaris*, as a crop sensitive to various stressors become an unproductive crop when grown in such soils (Sultana et al. 2014; Bargaz et al. 2016), including high CaCO₃ content (Rady et al. 2020). Thus, effective tools must be applied to reform the harsh conditions of the soil tested in this study and make insoluble nutrients (including P) soluble, and available to plants.

The research strategy pursued in this study is to use four tools (*e.g.*, humic acid; HA, leguminous compost; LC, humified compost; HA + LC, and phosphate-solubilizing bacteria; P-SB) to apply them to the tested calcareous soil (19.6% CaCO₃). They all succeeded in releasing the nutrients, especially P, to be available for uptake by the plant, but the treatment of inoculating the soil with P-SB was the best.

By adding OM such as HA or compost to defective (calcareous) soil, it tends to repair the soil (Aboukila et al. 2018; Belal et al. 2019; Manirakiza and Şeker 2020) by improving its physical (*e.g.*, soil water retention capacity, rate of infiltration, and particle aggregation), chemical (*e.g.*, nutrients, CaCO₃, EC_e, pH, CEC, and OM), and biological (*e.g.*, microorganisms) characteristics (Brady and Weil 2008). Many characteristics [*e.g.*, nutrients (P, N, K, Fe, and Mn), CEC, OM, CaCO₃, enzyme (phosphatase and phytase) activities] that were tested in this study were markedly improved with HA or LC application to the soil compared to those obtained with the control (Tables 2, 3, 4 and 9). These positive soil outcomes contributed to a marked decrease in leaf and root acid phosphatase activity (due to the increase in P content that meets the need of the plant), and a considerable increase in *Phaseolus vulgaris* plant growth, nutrient contents (*e.g.*, N, K, Fe, Mn, Zn, and Cu), especially P and green pods and dry seeds yields (Tables 4, 5, 6 and 9).

Increased yields under the stress of high soil CaCO₃ content may be due to the beneficial influence of HA on ameliorating growth and activation of biochemical processes (*e.g.*, photosynthesis, chlorophyll content, and respiration) of plants (Hegazi 2004), which contribute to all yields of *Phaseolus vulgaris* plants (Tables 3, 5 and 9). These positive findings obtained with HA on defective soil are in parallel with those of Brady and Weil (2008), and Belal et al. (2019). Brady and Weil (2008) stated, in general, that humus as a colloid containing cations of the essential nutrients in a readily exchangeable form exemplifies 50–90% of the capacity to uptake cations in the mineral topsoil. Seyedbagheri (2010) using calcareous soils, stated that HA improves the organic-clay complexes reactions, which contribute to the formation of stable humus that ameliorates the physical, chemical, and biological functions of these soils. In the soil, HA helps cover clay domains with various active organic acids that have been liberated from HA. Then, these clay domains form coarse aqueous-stable aggregates segregated by a coarse pore procedure, which increases the permeability of the soil thus helping to easily leach the excess soluble salts to diminish the EC_e value (Belal et al. 2019). HA can increase soil biological activity (beneficial bacteria), which can efficiently contribute to restoring calcareous soils. The increase in bacterial activities by HA leads to produce certain organic acids and plant hormones (*e.g.*, cytokinins and indole acetic acid). The hormones induce the roots and root hair proliferation to raise nutrient-absorbing surfaces. Also, the organic acids solubilize organic and inorganic forms of beneficial elements (especially P), thus increasing plant growth and different yields (Abou Zied et al. 2005; Belal et al. 2019). The perceived increase in the nutrient contents available in calcareous soil tested in this study with HA application may be due to the observed increase in soil CEC, CaCO₃, and OM contents, as well as enzyme (phosphatase and phytase) activities that help increase available P (Tables 2, 3 and 9). When added to the calcareous soil, HA improves soil biology conditions, which encourage easy release and mobility of nutrients into the soil in forms more available to plants (Mahmoud et al. 2011). Belal et al. (2019) attributed the improvement of biological activity of the calcareous soil with HA treatment to bioactive substances

released to promote the nutrient solubility in the soil from both its native and additive sources and to keep these solubilized nutrients in forms more available to plants. The promoted impact of HA on phytonutrient contents (Tables 3, 4 and 9) may be attributed to improved root system development (David et al. 1994) and boosted cell plasma membrane permeability (Ulukan 2008). The effect of a greater improvement of smaller molecular sizes of HA on uptake of plant nitrates (Calvo et al. 2014) comes from their transfer into the cell plasma membrane, where they efficiently affect nutrient assimilations (Quilty and Cattle 2011). Khaled and Fawy (2011) also reported that HA may interact with the structures of phospholipids in cell plasma membranes as a nutrient carrier, demonstrating anti-stress impacts under different conditions of abiotic stresses (Kulikova et al. 2005), such as the high CaCO_3 content (19.6%) under study.

Similar to our findings (Tables 3, 4, and 9), Aboukila et al. (2018) and Manirakiza and Şeker (2020) indicated that calcareous soils treated with compost display a marked rise in the OM and nutrient; Zn, Mn, Fe, and K contents due to the compost's high content of these OM and nutrients, which are subsequently released into the soil through bacterial decomposition (Fischer and Glaser 2012). Manirakiza and Şeker (2020) reported increased soil nutrient and OM contents, which they attributed to the richness of the compost in nutrients and organic carbon. Ghosh et al. (2015) and Naeem et al. (2018) showed a rise in the soil contents of OM and N compounds after composting because of the compost's richness in organic carbon and N and the acceleration of ammonification and nitrification rates after excretion of exudates from plant roots. The content of N compounds has also been reported to increase after adding compost to reduce leaching (Yao et al. 2012). Like our data (Tables 2–6, and 9), the available P increases significantly after adding compost to the soil. The compost's richness in available P that is liberated from the compost into the soil through a process called "mineralization" could explain this finding (Aboukila et al. 2018; Manirakiza and Şeker 2020). Also, the sorption of Fe^{3+} , Al^{3+} , Ca^{2+} , Mg^{2+} , and K^+ , especially acidic cations (Fe^{3+} and Al^{3+}), after adding the compost increases the available P in the soil solution (Xu et al. 2014). This study presents an increase in available P attributable to low CaCO_3 content, and high OM content, CEC, and phosphatase and phytase activities in soil, which helped increase the solubility of P along with other nutrients after LC addition (Tables 2–6 and 9).

A synergistic affirmative influence on nutrient contents of plants has been reported after adding compost to soil (Liu et al. 2012). Our findings (Tables 2–5 and 9) are supported by Manirakiza and Şeker (2020) who reported enhanced plant growth traits due to soil treatment with compost, which can be explained by improved soil structure, fertility, and water retention after the release of nutrients from applied compost, and the synergism among nutrients and increased their retention (Sohi et al. 2009). This study presents a higher pH (8.15 ± 0.41 ; Table 1) of the tested calcareous soil which falls outside the recommended range for optimal nutrient availability, and thus the nutrient availability for plants is very low (Jones and Jacobsen 2005). However, in this study, compost use increased nutrient availability and uptake, which increased the nutrient contents (e.g., Cu, Zn, Mn, Fe, K, and N), especially P, in the plant (Tables 3, 4 and 9) and was positively reflected in the *Phaseolus vulgaris* growth and yield components (Table 5). The findings of Doan et al. (2015) and Manirakiza and Şeker (2020) are similar to ours. As demonstrated by

this study (Tables 3, 4 and 9), the use of compost resulted in a marked rise in plant content of P and other nutrients (Cu, Zn, Mn, Fe, K, and N), which is due to improved soil fertility (Manirakiza and Şeker 2020). In calcareous soils, the significant binding of Ca-P decreased P availability and uptake, and thus decreased the P content in plants as demonstrated with the control in this study (Tables 3–5 and 9). However, the use of compost significantly increased the availability and uptake of P and P content and other nutrient contents in plants, which may be due to improved soil fertility (Tables 2–6 and 9). Jones and Jacobsen (2005) indicated that the capacity of nutrient uptake depends on the density of the root system and the nutrient content in the soil solution. Agegnehu et al. (2016) pointed out that nutrient uptake is enhanced in maize plants because of raised nutrient availability by lowering the soil pH after application of compost. In calcareous soils, P is presented as a critical factor, like other essential nutrients, for plant performance. Compost increases the uptake of nutrients (Mn, Cu, Zn, Fe, Ca, K, P, and N) by crop plants grown in calcareous soil, and indicates that nutrient solubility is likely attributable to plant root-secreted organic compound, which promotes the availability of nutrients to plants (Nur et al. 2014; Inal et al. 2015).

Application of calcareous soil with HA + LC significantly exceeded both HA and LC applied alone for the investigated soil properties, growth and different yields of common bean plants and the plant content of different nutrients, especially P (Tables 2–6 and 9). These significant findings from HA + LC treatment compared to HA and LC separately applied are attributed to the synergistic and positive integrative effects of both HA and LC as elucidated above.

The treatment of soil inoculation with phosphate-solubilizing bacteria (P-SB; *Pseudomonas cepaceae* and *Pseudomonas mallei*) significantly exceeded all other treatments (HA, LC, and HA + LC) for the examined soil properties, growth, and different yields of *Phaseolus vulgaris* plants and the plant content of different nutrients, especially P (Tables 2–6 and 9).

To release P in a bioavailable form (orthophosphate), the metallic P complex can be solubilized by *Pseudomonas* sp. through many specific mechanisms. The production of organic acids, Fe-siderophore, and soil enzymes such as phosphatase and phytase are some of these bacterial mechanisms, which are base functions in solubilizing the organic forms of P so that they are absorbable to plant roots (Rady et al. 2020).

In this study, P release in favor of plant roots could easily be achieved by inoculating the tested calcareous soil by P-SB, which effectively increased soil phytase and phosphatase activities, CEC, OM, available nutrients, and greatly reduced the soil pH value and CaCO₃ content. Thus, P-SB can make unwanted calcareous soils productive.

In the calcareous soil tested in this study, P-SB (a mixture from *Pseudomonas cepaceae* and *Ps. mallei*) simplified the conversion of insoluble P to be available to *Phaseolus vulgaris* plants, a mechanism that contributed to the increased P content in the plant, which in turn contributed to increasing plant productivity (Tables 4, 5 and 9). The findings of Shi et al. (2017) and Rady et al. (2020) confirm the

results of this study. This enhanced effect of P-SB strains was due to their effective phospholysis (P release) ability through the increased phytase and phosphatase enzyme activities in the soil as an efficient mechanism, resulting in increased availability of P to plant roots (Tables 2 and 9). The data of this study indicate that inoculation of calcareous soil with P-SB is a key determinant of its fertility. This positive finding can be elucidated based on higher available nutrients, including P, and OM, as well as lower CaCO_3 content obtained by P-SB treatment (Tables 3 and 9). These positive results were reflected in higher growth and different yield components of common bean plants (Tables 3 and 9).

Synergistically, *Pseudomonas* sp. work on the production of phosphatases (Tables 2 and 9) by some processes (e.g., immobilization and mineralization) to convert organic P into inorganic form throughout the plant life cycle, so that *Pseudomonas* sp. growth can be optimized continuously (Fitriatin et al. 2014). Another effective mechanism, various organic acids are both qualitatively and quantitatively secreted, mainly as a gene dependent, in soil by P-SB strains (Zhen et al. 2016). These organic acids compete with P ions for P adsorption sites, resulting in higher P release in favor of plants. P-SB enhance the calcareous soil productivity and increase its capacity for microorganisms, phytase and phosphatase enzyme activities (biological activity), and nutrient contents including available P (biochemical activity) in this soil (Tables 2, 3 and 9).

P-SB enhance P utilization efficiency through direct and/or indirect way. The direct way is organic acids exudation and P-hydrolyzing phosphatase enzymes to improve P pool bioavailability. The indirect one is the production of certain hormones, and toxin-resistance, and antifungal compounds, as well as other biologically active molecules. They can all support a robust shoot/root system set up under environmental constraints (Shi et al. 2017), including increasing the CaCO_3 content of the soil under study. Organic acids contribute to solubilizing P often by decreasing the soil pH value and chelating cation properties, as shown in inoculation of calcareous soil with P-SB (Rady et al. 2020). Behera et al. (2017) stated that acidification of the microbial cell perimeter, as a potential mechanism, induces P anion release by substituting H^+ and Ca^{2+} . Alori et al. (2017) reported some other conceivable mechanisms for P solubilization in calcareous soil including proton release after NH_4 assimilation by microbial cells, production of H_2SO_4 and HNO_3 (inorganic acids), and specific enzymes (Tables 2 and 9), which act on amphiphilic fatty substances. Along with the microbial solubility of P, microorganisms also mineralize the organo-P, playing a major role in cycling the P to be available to plants. Alori et al. (2017) added that P-releasing enzymes (phytases and phosphatases) produced by P-SB broadly control the mineralization of P. Besides, other features deserve agricultural attention such as the production of plant hormones and antifungal compounds, and regulation of the main pathways included in plant metabolism to enhance the ability of plants to withstand environmental stresses (Sharma et al. 2013).

The increased availability of nutrients, including P, through P-SB application to the soil enhanced the performance and nutrient content (including P) of *Phaseolus vulgaris* plants. This allowed *Phaseolus vulgaris* to possess the advantage of staying green (data are not shown), increasing the seed filling period under stress. This finding is obtained due to the plant's ability to efficiently uptake nutrients from

calcareous soil (Tables 4 and 9). This allows plants to fulfill meristematic activities including cell expansions due to adequate provision of water against stress resulting from the increase in soil CaCO_3 content under study. The worthy increase in the content of K^+ ion (Tables 4 and 9) acted in its ionic state as a powerful osmoprotectant. Recently, Rady et al. (2020) reported that increased solubilized P in calcareous soil due to its inoculation with P-SB (Tables 2 and 9) is reflected positively in the P content of *Phaseolus vulgaris* plants (Tables 5 and 9). This report (Rady et al. 2020) added that the plants' high nutritional content-enabled them to have a potent antioxidant defense system against the harsh conditions of a high CaCO_3 state.

In this study, inoculation of calcareous soil with P-SB helps to provide plants with enough P, decreasing root, and leaf acid phosphatase activity (Tables 2 and 9). This finding can be attributed to the P content that is reached to meet plant needs. The findings of Rady et al. (2020) confirm the findings of this study, indicating that increased plant content of P induces decreases in acid phosphatase activities in common bean leaves and roots. The authors attributed this finding to that when the soil contains sufficient available P (with P-SB) for uptake by plants, it restricts acid phosphatase activity in the plant and increases P mineralization in the soil. Additionally, phosphatase activity in plant root system tends to increase along with a decrease in shoot P content, and under P deficiency, the activity of root and shoot phosphatase increases (Romer and Fahning 1998; Kaya 2002). Eligible plants, with sufficient P through the application of P-SB, with several potential mechanisms to be developed and/or adopted to boost their tolerance to stress induced by high CaCO_3 content. For instance, the high plant K^+ ion content confers an osmoprotectant mechanism against water loss to keep sufficient leaf water content to help the plant perform well under the harsh conditions of high CaCO_3 stress.

The results obtained in the summer season of 2020 significantly exceeded the results obtained in the fall season of 2019 in terms of soil properties, growth and yields of *Phaseolus vulgaris* plants, and plant content of different nutrients, especially P (Tables 2–6 and 9). This may be attributed to the same soil used in the summer of 2020 for the fall season of 2019, which awarded an opportunity to release excess nutrients from the soil due to the increased decomposition of LC and HA added in the previous season, in addition to the more solubility of P and other nutrients that occurred by P-SB.

5 Conclusions

This study shows that inoculating the calcareous soil with phosphate-solubilizing bacteria (a 1: 1 mixture of two *Pseudomonas* sp.; *Ps. mallei* and *Ps. cepaceae*) markedly exceeded the soil treatment with humic acid, leguminous compost, or humic acid + leguminous compost in enhancing the growth and productions of common bean plants under stress induced by high soil calcium carbonate (CaCO_3) content. Phosphate-solubilizing bacteria facilitated the solubility of phosphorus (P) and other nutrients (e.g., Mn, Fe, K, and N) by increasing the enzymatic activities of the soil (e.g., phosphatase and phytase), along with an increase in the soil cation exchange capacity and organic matter content along with a lower CaCO_3 content, resulting in augmented nutrient availability in the soil for plant roots. This led to

adequate P content in *Phaseolus vulgaris* plant, leading to a marked decrease in acid phosphatase activity in plant leaves and roots. P-mediated growth promotion under high CaCO₃ stress was attributed to the improvement of soil biological activities, phytase and phosphatase activities, available nutrient contents including P; mechanisms by which phosphate-solubilizing bacteria enabled *Phaseolus vulgaris* plants to boost their tolerance to the stress of high CaCO₃ content. Therefore, future research works into this concern will be useful.

Declarations

Compliance with Ethical Standards

Conflict of Interest The authors declare that they have no conflict of interest.

Funding

The authors declare that they have not received any kind of fund to achieve this study.

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

The authors greatly acknowledge and thank Dr. Colin Pain, MED Soil Research Group and Professor of Soil Science at Seville University, Spain for reviewing the draft manuscript and providing constructive comments.

References

- Abd-Alla MH (1994) Phosphatases and the utilization of organic P by *Rhizobium leguminosarum* biovar viceae. Lett. Appl. Microbiol. 18, 294-296
- Abdel-Aziz THM (2010) Studies on the use of some soil conditioner in reclaimed desertic soil. Ph. D. Thesis, Fac. of Agric., Banha Univ. Egypt
- Abdelfattah MA, Shahid SA. 2007. A Comparative Characterization and Classification of Soils in Abu Dhabi Coastal Area in Relation to Arid and Semi-Arid Conditions using USDA and FAO Soil Classification Systems. Arid Land Research and Management 21(3): 245 – 271.
<https://doi.org/10.1080/15324980701426314>
- Abdelfattah MA (2009) Land Degradation Indicators and Management Options in the Desert Environment of Abu Dhabi, United Arab Emirates. Soil Survey Horizons J., Soil Science Society of America 50:3-10
- Abdelfattah MA (2013) Pedogenesis, land management and soil classification in hyper-arid environments: results and implications from a case study in the United Arab Emirates. Soil Use and Management J. 29 (2):279–294. doi:10.1111/sum.12031

Abou Hussien EA, Nada, WM, Elgezery MKh (2020) Influence of Sulphur Compost Application on Some Chemical Properties of Calcareous Soil and Consequent Responses of *Hordeum Vulgare* L. Plants. Egypt. J. Soil. Sci. Vol. 60, No. 1, pp. 67- 82

Abou Zied MMA, Habashy, NR, Wahdan AAA (2005) Utilization of some organic polymers and humic acids for improving a sandy soil productivity of peanut and their residual effects on the next crop of faba bean. Fayoum Journal of Agricultural Research & Development 19(2):42–55

Aboukila EF, Nassar IN, Rashad M, Hafez M, Norton JB (2018) Reclamation of calcareous soil and improvement of squash growth using brewers' spent grain and compost. J Saudi Soc Agric Sci 17: 390–397

Acevedo E, Galindo-Castañeda T, Prada F, Navia M, Romero HM (2014) Phosphate-solubilizing microorganisms associated with the rhizosphere of oil palm (*Elaeis guineensis* Jacq.) in Colombia. Appl Soil Ecol 80(8): 26-33. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apsoil.2014.03.011>

Agegehu G, Bass AM, Nelson PN, Bird MI (2016) Benefits of biochar, compost and biochar–compost for soil quality, maize yield and greenhouse gas emissions in a tropical agricultural soil. Science of the Total Environment 543:295–306. doi: 10.1016/j.scitotenv.2015.11.054

Alori ET, Glick BR, Babalola OO (2017) Microbial phosphorus solubilization and its potential for use in sustainable agriculture. Front Microbiol 8:971. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2017.00971>

Anzuay MS, Frola O, Angelini JG, Ludueña LM, Ibañez F, Fabra A, Taurian T (2015) Effect of pesticides application on peanut (*Arachis hypogaea* L.) associated phosphate solubilizing soil bacteria. Appl Soil Ecol 95: 31-37. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apsoil.2015.05.003>

Arcand MM, Schneider KD (2006) Plant- and microbial based mechanisms to improve the agronomic effectiveness of phosphate rock: A review. Anais Da Academia Brasileira De Ciências 78 (4): 791-807. <https://doi.org/10.1590/S0001-37652006000400013>

Ashour IA (2003) Organic manure as soil conditioner for calcareous soil irrigated with saline water. Annals of Agric. Sci. Moshtohor, 4 (1), 413 - 426.

Asik BB, Turan MA, Celik H, Katkat AV (2009) Effects of humic substances on plant growth and mineral nutrients uptake by wheat (*Triticum Durum*, L. Salihli cv.) under conditions of salinity. Asian Journal of Crop Science 1 (2):87–95.

Bargaz A, Nassar RMA, Rady MM, Gaballah MS, Thompson SM, Brestic M, Schmidhalter U, Abdelhamid MT (2016) Improved salinity tolerance by phosphorus fertilizer in two *Phaseolus vulgaris* recombinant inbred lines contrasting in their P-efficiency. J Agron Crop Sci 202(6):497–507

Behera BC, Yadav H, Singh SK, Mishra RR, Sethid BK, Dutta SK et al (2017) Phosphate solubilization and acid phosphatase activity of *Serratia* sp. isolated from mangrove soil of Mahanadi river delta, Odisha. Ind

Belal EE, El Sowfy DM, Rady MM (2019) Integrative soil application of humic acid and sulfur improves saline calcareous soil properties and barley plant performance. *Commun Soil Sci Plant Anal* 50(15): 1919–1930.

Besford RT (1979) Phosphorus Nutrition and Acid Phosphatase Activity in The Leaves of Seven Plant Species. *J Sci Food Agric* 30:281–285

Brady NC, Weil RR (2008) The nature and properties of soils. Upper Saddle River, NJ: Pearson Prentice Hall

Calvo P, Nelson L, Kloepper JW (2014) Agricultural uses of plant biostimulants. *Plant and Soil* 383 (1):3–41

Çelik H, Katkat AV, Aşık BB, Turan MA (2008) Effects of soil application of humus on dry weight and mineral nutrients uptake of maize under calcareous soil conditions. *Archives of Agronomy and Soil Science* 54(6):605–614. doi:10.1080/03650340802294303

Chapman H (1965) Cation-exchange capacity. *Methods of Soil Analysis: Part 2 Chemical and Microbiological Properties* 9, 891-901

Chung H, Park M, Madhaiyan M, Seshadri S, Song J, Cho H, Sa T (2005) Isolation and characterization of phosphate solubilizing bacteria from the rhizosphere of crop plants of Korea. *Soil Biol Biochem* 37 (10): 1970-1974. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.soilbio.2005.02.025>

Cimrin KM, Yilmaz I (2005) Humic acid applications to lettuce do not improve yield but do improve phosphorus availability. *Acta Agriculturae Scandinavica, Section B – Soil and Plant Science* 55:58–63

Clark RB (1975) Characterisation of Phosphatase of Intact Maize Roots. *J Agri Food Chem* 23:458–460

Cordell D, Drangert JO, White S (2009) The story of phosphorus: global food security and food for thought. *Global Environ. Chang.* 19, 292-305

David PP, Nelson PV, Sanders DC (1994) A humic acid improves growth of tomato seedling in solution culture. *Journal of Plant Nutrition* 17:173–184

Desoky EM, Merwad AM, Rady MM (2018) Natural biostimulants improve saline soil characteristics and salt stressed-sorghum performance. *Communications in Soil Science and Plant Analysis* 49 (8):967–83. doi:10.1080/00103624.2018.1448861

Doan TT, Henry-Des-Tureaux T, Rumpel C, Janeau JL, Jouquet, P (2015) Impact of compost, vermicom[1]post and biochar on soil fertility, maize yield and soil erosion in Northern Vietnam: A three-

year mesocosm experiment. *The Science of the Total Environment* 514:147–54. doi: 10.1016/j.scitotenv.2015.02.005

Elfiati D (2005) The effect of phosphate solubilizing microorganisms on plant growth. Department of Forestry, Agricultural Faculty, University of Sumatera Utara. Medan. e-USU Repository. 10p

El-Gamal BAH (2015) Effect of some soil amendments on soil conditions and plant growth. Ph. D. Thesis, Fac. of Agric., Menoufia Univ., Egypt

Ezawa T, Smith SE, Smith FA (2002) P metabolism and transport in AM fungi. *Plant Soil* 244:221-230

FAO (2016) FAO soils portal: management of calcareous soils. Accessed April 01, 2016. <http://www.fao.org/soils-portal/soil-management/management-of-some-problem-soils/calcareous-soils/ar/>

Fischer D, Glaser B (2012) Synergisms between compost and biochar for sustainable soil amelioration. In *Management of organic waste*, ed. Sunil Kumar, 168-198. In Tech. ISBN: 978-953-307-925-7. doi: 10.5772/31200

Fitriatin BN, Yuniarti A, Turmuktini T, Ruswandi FK (2014) The effect of phosphate solubilizing microbe producing growth regulators on soil phosphate, growth and yield of maize and fertilizer efficiency on ultisol. *Eur J Soil Sci* 3:101–107

Garg SK, Bhatnagar A, Kalla A, Narula N (2001) In vitro fixation, phosphate solubilization, survival and nutrient release by *Azotobacter* strains in aquatic system. *Bioresour Technol* 80: 101-109

George TS, Richardson AE, Simpson RJ (2005) Behaviour of plant-derived extracellular phytase upon addition to soil. *Soil Biol Biochem* 37:977–988

Ghosh S, Ow LF, Wilson B (2015) Influence of biochar and compost on soil properties and tree growth in a tropical urban environment. *International Journal of Environmental Science and Technology* 12 (4):1303–10. doi: 10.1007/s13762-014-0508-0

Giaveno C, Celi L, Richardson AE, Simpson RJ Barberis E (2010) Interaction of phytases with minerals and availability of substrate affect the hydrolysis of inositol phosphates. *Soil Biol Biochem* 42:491–498

Goldstein AH (1995) Recent progress in understanding the molecular genetics and biochemistry of calcium phosphate solubilization by gram negative bacteria. *Biol. Agric. Hortic.* 12, 185-193.

Guan SY (1986) Soil enzyme and its research methods. Beijing: Agriculture Press; p. 206–239 (in Chinese with English Abstract)

Hegazi IMA (2004) Maximizing wheat production in sandy soil by using some natural soil amendments. *Egyptian Journal of Applied Sciences* 19 (4):214–226.

- Hua QX, Li JY, Zhou JM, Wang HY, Du CW, Chen XQ (2008) Enhancement of phosphorus solubility by humic substances in ferrosols. *Pedosphere* 18:533–538. doi:10.1016/S1002-0160(08)60044-2
- Inal A, Gunes A, Sahin O, Taskin M, Kaya E (2015) Impacts of biochar and processed poultry manure, applied to a calcareous soil, on the growth of bean and maize. *Soil Use and Management* 31 (1):106–13. doi: 10.1111/sum.12162
- Ingle KP, Padole DA (2017) Phosphate Solubilizing Microbes: An Overview. *Int'l J Curr Microbiol App Sci* (2017) 6(1): 844-852
- Irving GCJ, McLaughlin MJ (1990) A rapid and simple field test for phosphorus in Olsen and Bray No. 1 extracts of soil 1. *Commun Soil Sci Plant Anal* 21:2245–2255
- Jones C, Jacobsen J (2005) Plant nutrition and soil fertility. *Nutrient Management Module 2* (11):1-11
- Katkat AV, Celik H, Turan MA, Asik JBB (2009) Effect of soil and foliar application of humic substances on dry weight and mineral uptake of wheat under calcareous soil conditions. *Australian Journal of Basic and Applied Sciences* 3 (2):1266–1273
- Kaya C (2002) Effect of supplementary phosphorus on acid phosphatase enzyme activity and membrane permeability of zinc-toxic tomato plants. *J Plant Nutr* 25(3):599–611
- Kelling KA (2004) Nonconventional soil additives: Products, companies, ingredients, and claims. NCR-103 Committee on Nontraditional Soil Amendments and Growth Stimulants 2004. <http://alfi.soils.wisc.edu/extension/hottopics/nonconventional.pdf>
- Khaled H, Fawy HA (2011) Effect of different levels of humic acids on the nutrient content, plant growth and soil properties under conditions of salinity. *Soil & Water Research* 6 (1):21–29. doi:10.17221/SWR
- Khan AA, Jilani G, Akhtar MS, Naqvi SMS, Rasheed M (2009) Phosphorus Solubilizing Bacteria: Occurrence, Mechanisms and their Role in Crop Production. *J. agric. biol. sci.* 1 (1):48-58 (2009). A biannual publication of PMAS Arid Agriculture University Rawalpindi, Pakistan
- King EJ (1951) *Micro-Analysis in Medical Biochemistry*; J. & A. Churchill.
- Klute A, Dirksen C (1986) Hydraulic conductivity and diffusivity. Laboratory methods. *Methods of Soil Analysis-Part 1. Phys Mineralogical Methods* 9:687–734
- Krasilnikov NA (1957) On the role of soil micro-organism in plant nutrition. *Microbiologiya* 26:659-72.
- Kudashev IS (1956) The effect of phosphobacterin on the yield and protein content in grains of Autumn wheat, maize and soybean. *Doki. Akad. Skh. Nauk.* 8:20-23.
- Kulikova NA, Stepanova EV, Koroleva OV (2005) Mitigating activity of humic substances: Direct influence on biota. In *Use of humic substances to remediate polluted environments: From theory to practice*, NATO

science series IV: Earth and environmental series, ed. I. V. Perminova, 285–309. USA: Kluwer Academic Publishers. ISBN: 978-1402032509

Levene H (1961) Robust tests for equality of variances. Contributions to probability and statistics. Essays in honor of Harold Hotelling 1961, 279-292

Leytem AB, Mikkelsen RL (2005) The nature of phosphorus in calcareous soils. Better Crops (Intl Plant Nutr Inst) 89(2):11–13

Liu J, Schulz, Brandl S, Miehtke H, Huwe B, Glaser B (2012) Short-term effect of biochar and compost on soil fertility and water status of a Dystric Cambisol in NE Germany under field conditions. Journal of Plant Nutrition and Soil Science 175 (5):698–707. doi: 10.1002/jpln.201100172

Lobartini, JC, Orioli GA, Tan KH (1997) Characteristics of soil humic acid fractions separated by ultra-filtration. Communications in Soil Science and Plant Analysis 28:787–796

Mahmoud MM, Hassanien AHA, Mansour SF, Khalefa AM (2011) Effect of soil and foliar application of humic acid on growth and productivity of soybean plants grown on a calcareous soil under different levels of mineral fertilizers. Journal of Soil Sciences and Agricultural Engineering, Mansoura 2 (8):881–90

Manirakiza N, Şeker C (2020) Effects of compost and biochar amendments on soil fertility and crop growth in a calcareous soil. Journal of Plant Nutrition, <https://doi.org/10.1080/01904167.2020.1806307>

Marschner H (1995) Mineral nutrition of higher plants, 559–579. 2nd. New York: Academic Press

Matos ADM, Gomes ICP, Nietsche S, Xavier AA, Gomes WS, Nrtto JADS, Pereira MCT (2017) Phosphate solubilization by endophytic bacteria isolated from banana trees. Anais da Academia Brasileira de Ciências (2017) 89(4): 2945-2954

McGill WB, Cole CV (1981) Comparative aspects of cycling of organic C, N, S and P through soil organic matter. Geoderma 26:267–268

Mohamed WS, Sherif MA, Youssef IA (2008) Effect of some natural organic and inorganic materials on some soil properties and growth in sandy calcareous soil. Minia J. of Agric., Res., 28 (2), 331-349

Nada WMA (2011) Wood compost process engineering, properties and its impact on extreme soil characteristics. Ph.D. Thesis, Faculty of Mathematics and Natural Sciences, Potsdam University, Germany

Naeem MA, Khalid M, Aon M, Abbas G, Amjad G, Murtaza B, Khan WUD, Ahmad N (2018) Combined application of biochar with compost and fertilizer improves soil properties and grain yield of maize. Journal of Plant Nutrition 41 (1):112–22. doi: 10.1080/01904167.2017.1381734

- Nardi S, Pizzeghello D, Muscolo A, Vianello A (2002) Physiological effects of humic substances on higher plants – A review. *Soil Biology and Biochemistry* 30 (5&6):621–634
- Nautiyal CS, S Bhadauria, Kumar P, Lal H, Mondal R, Verma D (2000) Stress induced phosphate solubilization in bacteria isolated from alkaline soils. *FEMS Microbiology Letters* 182 (2000) 291-296
- Nur MSM, Islami T, Handayanto E, Nugroho WH, Utomo WH (2014) The use of biochar fortified compost on calcareous soil of East Nusa Tenggara, Indonesia: 2. Effect on the yield of maize (*Zea mays* L) and phosphate absorption. *American Eurasian Journal of Sustainable Agriculture* 8 (5):105–111
- Olsen SR (1954) Estimation of available phosphorus in soils by extraction with sodium bicarbonate; US Department of Agriculture
- Osman AS, Rady MM (2012) Ameliorative effects of sulphur and humic acid on the growth, antioxidant levels, and yields of pea (*Pisum sativum* L.) plants grown in reclaimed saline soil. *The Journal of Horticultural Science & Biotechnology* 87 (6):626–32. doi:10.1080/14620316.2012.11512922
- Page AI, Miller RH, Keeny DR (1982) Methods of soil analysis. In: Part II. Chemical and microbiological methods (2nd ed., pp. 225–246). Madison, WI: Amer Soc Agron
- Quilty J, Cattle S (2011) Use and understanding of organic amendments in australian agriculture: A review. *Soil Research* 49 (1):1–26. doi:10.1071/SR10059
- Rady MM, El-Shewy AA, Seif El-Yazal MA, Abd El-Gawwad IFM (2020) Integrative application of soil P-solubilizing bacteria and foliar nano p improves *Phaseolus vulgaris* plant performance and antioxidative defense system components under calcareous soil conditions. *Journal of Soil Science and Plant Nutrition* 20:820–839. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s42729-019-00168-y>
- Rady MM (2011) Effects on growth, yield, and fruit quality in tomato (*Lycopersicon esculentum* Mill.) using a mixture of potassium humate and farmyard manure as an alternative to mineral-N fertiliser. *The Journal of Horticultural Science & Biotechnology* 86:249–54. doi:10.1080/14620316.2011.11512757
- Ray von Wandruszka (2006) Phosphorus retention in calcareous soils and the effect of organic matter on its mobility. *Geochemical Transactions* 2006, 7:6
- Rodríguez H, Fraga R (1999) Phosphate solubilizing bacteria and their role in plant growth promotion. *Biotechnol Adv* 17:31–339
- Romer W, Fahning J (1998) Uptake and utilisation of phosphorus by three inbred lines of *Lulium multiflorum* L. and their hybrids. *Zeitschrift fur Pflanzenernahrung und Boden kunte* 161(1):35–39
- Schachtman DP, Reid RJ, Ayling SM (1998) Phosphate uptake by plants from soil to cell. *Plant Physiol* 116:447–453

Seyedbagheri M (2010) Influence of humic products on soil health and potato production. *Potato Research* 53 (4):341–49. doi:10.1007/s11540-010-9177-7

Shahid SA, Abdelfattah MA, Wilson MA, Kelley JA, Chiaretti JV 2014. *United Arab Emirates Keys to Soil Taxonomy*. Springer Science+ Business Media, B.V., Berlin. ISBN 978-94-007-7419-3

<http://www.springer.com/environment/soil+science/book/978-94-007-7419-3>.

Shapiro SS, Wilk MB (1965) An analysis of variance test for normality (complete samples). *Biometrika* 52, 591-611

Sharif M, Khattak RA, Sarir MS (2002) Effect of different levels of lignitic coal derived humic acid on growth of maize plants. *Communications in Soil Science and Plant Analysis* 33 (19):3567–80. doi:10.1081/CSS-120015906

Sharma SB, Sayyed RZ, Trivedi MH, Gobi TA (2013) Phosphate solubilizing microbes: sustainable approach for managing phosphorus deficiency in agricultural soils. *Springer Plus* 2:587. <https://doi.org/10.1186/2193-1801-2-587>

Sharon JA, Hathwaik LT, Glenn GM, Imam SH, Lee CC (2016) Isolation of efficient phosphate solubilizing bacteria capable of enhancing tomato plant growth. *Journal of Soil Science and Plant Nutrition*, 2016, 16 (2), 525-536

Shi XK, Ma JJ, Liu LJ (2017) Effects of phosphate-solubilizing bacteria application on soil phosphorus availability in coal mining subsidence area in Shanxi. *J Plant Interact* 12(1):137–142

Sohi S, Lopez-Capel E, Krull E, Bol R (2009) Biochar, climate change and soil: A review to guide future research. *CSIRO Land and Water Science Report* 5:17–31

Soil Survey Staff (2014) *Keys to Soil Taxonomy*, 12th Edition. United States Department of Agriculture (USDA), Natural Resources Conservation Service (NRCS)

Stauffer MD, Sulewski G (2004) Fósforo essencial para a vida. In: *Fósforo na agricultura brasileira*. Piracicaba: Potafós, p. 1-12

Sultana R, Choudhary AK, Pal AK, Saxina KB, Prasad BD, Singh R (2014) Abiotic stresses in major pulses: current status and strategies. In: Gaur RK, Sharma P (eds) *Approaches to plants stress and their management*. Springer, India, pp 173–190. <https://doi.org/10.1007/978-81-322-1620-9>

Ulukan H (2008) Effect of soil applied humic acid at different sowing times on some yield components in wheat (*Triticum spp.*) hybrids. *International Journal of Botany* 4 (2):164–175. doi:10.3923/ijb.2008.164.175

- Verma SC, Ladha JK, Tripathi AK (2001) Evaluation of plant growth promoting and colonization ability of endophytic diazotrophs from deep water rice. *J Biotechnol* 91: 127-141
- Vyas P, Gulati A (2009) Organic acid production in vitro and plant growth promotion in maize under controlled environment by phosphate-solubilizing fluorescent *Pseudomonas*. *BMC Microbiol.* 9, 174
- Wu Z, Peng Y, Guo L, Li C (2014) Root colonization of encapsulated *Klebsiella oxytoca* Rs-5 on cotton plants and its promoting growth performance under salinity stress. *Eur J Soil Biol* 60: 81-87. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ejsobi.2013.11.008>
- Xu G, Sun J, Shao H, Chang SX (2014) Biochar had effects on phosphorus sorption and desorption in three soils with differing acidity. *Ecological Engineering* 62:54–60. doi: 10.1016/j.ecoleng.2013.10.027
- Yang PX, Ma L, Chen MH, Xi JQ, He F, Duan CQ, Mo MH, Fang DH, Duan YQ, Yang FX (2012) Phosphate solubilizing ability and phylogenetic diversity of bacteria from P-rich soils around Dianchi Lake drainage area of China. *Pedosphere* 22 (5): 707-716. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S1002-0160\(12\)60056-3](https://doi.org/10.1016/S1002-0160(12)60056-3)
- Yao Y, Gao B, Zhang M, Inyang M, Zimmerman AR (2012) Effect of biochar amendment on sorption and leaching of nitrate, ammonium, and phosphate in a sandy soil. *Chemosphere* 89 (11):1467–71. doi: 10.1016/j.chemosphere.2012.06.002
- Zhen L, Bai T, Dai L, Wang F, Tao J, Meng S et al. (2016) A study of organic acid production in contrasts between two phosphate solubilizing fungi: *Penicillium oxalicum* and *Aspergillus niger*. *Sci Rep* 6: 25313
- Zou K, Binkley D, Doxtader KG (1992) New method for estimating gross P mineralization and mobilization rates in soils. *Plant Soil* 147, 243-250

Supplementary Files

This is a list of supplementary files associated with this preprint. Click to download.

- [SupplementaryData.docx](#)