

Single cell RNA sequencing analysis of mouse cochlear supporting cell transcriptomes with activated ERBB2 receptor, a candidate mediator of hearing restoration mechanisms.

Dorota Piekna-Przybylska University of Rochester Medical Center Daxiang Na University of Rochester Medical Center Jingyuan Zhang Harvard Medical School Cameron Baker University of Rochester Medical Center John Ashton University of Rochester Medical Center Patricia White (S Patricia_White@urmc.rochester.edu) University of Rochester Medical Center https://orcid.org/0000-0001-8374-7721

Article

Keywords:

Posted Date: September 7th, 2022

DOI: https://doi.org/10.21203/rs.3.rs-2026937/v1

License: (c) This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License. Read Full License

Abstract

Hearing loss caused by the death of cochlear hair cells (HCs) might be restored through regeneration from supporting cells (SCs) via dedifferentiation and proliferation, as observed in birds. We recently found that in mice, activation of ERBB2 in SCs promoted the differentiation of hair cell-like cells. Here we analyze transcriptomes of neonatal mouse cochlear SCs with activated ERBB2 using single-cell RNA sequencing. ERBB2 induction *in vivo* generated a new population of cells expressing *de novo* SIBLING (small integrin-binding ligand n-linked glycoproteins) proteins and their regulators, particularly Secreted Phosphoprotein 1 (SPP1). In other systems, SIBLINGs promote cell survival, proliferation, and differentiation. ERBB2 signaling induced after noise exposure in young adult mice also up-regulated the SPP1 receptor CD44, and drove formation of stem-like cell aggregates in the organ of Corti. Our results suggest that ectopic activation of ERBB2 signaling in cochlear supporting cells alters the microenvironment, promoting proliferation and cell rearrangements.

Introduction

Lost auditory HCs in adult mammals cannot be regenerated, driving permanent hearing loss. Conversely, lost HCs in birds are regenerated through the proliferation of SCs and new HC differentiation, leading to the restoration of hearing. Limited regenerative capacity in the organ of Corti is also observed in newborn mammals and is associated with a pool of progenitor cells in the cochlear sensory epithelium^{1, 2}. These include a subset of SCs in proximity to HCs, such as inner border cells (IBC), inner pillar cells (IPC), the third-row Deiter cells (DC), and lateral greater epithelial ridge (LGER)^{3–5}. Studies focusing on signaling pathways in mice that drive HC regeneration prior to hearing onset suggest that HC may regenerate through mitotic division followed by differentiation, via Wnt signal activation^{3, 6, 7}. Alternatively, HCs may regenerate from progenitor cells by direct trans-differentiation, by blocking Notch signaling^{8–11}. As maturation progresses, cochlear cells become restricted from both mechanisms of regeneration¹². These findings have been interpreted to mean that even limited regeneration capacity is lost from the adult mammalian cochlea. Nonetheless, they do not rule out the possibility that quiescent adult mammalian cochlear progenitors exist, but require a different microenvironment to manifest their potential.

Hearing restoration in birds occurs regardless of the age. Early studies showed that signaling through phosphoinositide 3-kinase (PI3K) and the epidermal growth factor receptor (EGFR) family are required for inner ear SC proliferation in both chicken and neonatal mice^{13, 14}. Other studies on avian regeneration have implicated VEGF activation, which also signals through PI3K^{15, 16}. In the EGFR family, there are four closely related receptor tyrosine kinases: ERBB1, ERBB2, ERBB3, and ERBB4. These form homo or heterodimers with each other upon binding of the ligand and inducing molecular pathways supporting cells proliferation, migration and survival. We previously showed that signaling mediated by ERBB2, which is the preferred heterodimerization partner for the other three EGFR family members, drives proliferation of SCs in explant cultures and supernumerary hair cell-like formation *in vivo* in the neonatal mouse cochlea¹⁷. Notably, in those studies, lineage tracing revealed that cells expressing constitutively

active (CA) ERBB2 mutant induced these activities in neighboring cells, suggesting the presence of an amplifying signal cascade that recruits adjacent tissue. Consistent with this interpretation, SOX2 protein was broadly down-regulated in the apical turn harboring sparse CA-ERBB2 + cells¹⁷.

To identify ERBB2-mediated signaling pathways associated with the changes in SCs that may facilitate HC regeneration, we performed single cell RNA sequencing (scRNA-seq) on sorted neonatal SCs with and without CA-ERBB2. We performed cluster-specific gene analysis to identify differentially expressed genes (DEGs) in different cell populations. Our goals were to identify clusters of CA-ERBB2 cells that are transcriptionally distinct, assign clusters and DEGs to SC subtypes and identify candidate secondary signaling pathways that could act downstream of ERBB2 signaling to promote local changes. We further sought to confirm if selected downstream mediators were also expressed in the cochlea of young adult mice after damage and CA-ERBB2 activation, and if so, where they were located.

Results

Experimental design to determine transcriptome of cochlear SCs expressing ERBB2.

To perform scRNA-seq analysis of SCs expressing ERBB2, we employed the same genetic mouse model that revealed an indirect effect of ERBB2 activation on the formation of ectopic hair cell-like cells (Supplementary Fig. 1)¹⁷. These mice harbor an inducible mutated *ErbB2* transgene encoding CA-ERBB2. In order to limit activation of CA-ERBB2 to SCs, we used an inducible DNA Cre recombinase under control of the SC gene promoter Fgfr3 (Supplementary Fig. 2)¹⁸. Double heterozygotic mice ("Tet-On" CA-*ErbB2*, *Fgfr3-iCre*) were then bred to homozygous line harboring a "floxed" TA transcription factor, with an IRES-GFP to use as a lineage marker ("ROSA-rtTA-GFP"). The resulting pups with CA-ErbB2 and Fgfr3-iCre (denoted here as "CA-ERBB2") were used to analyze the transcriptome of SCs with induced ERBB2 signaling; whereas pups harboring Fgfr3-iCre were used as "Control". Activation of iCRE was performed by two injections of tamoxifen at P0 and P1, which resulted in GFP expression to label SCs (Supplementary Fig. 1b). CA-ERBB2 expression was induced at P2 by doxycycline injection. The organs of Corti were dissected from P3 pups, and living GFP+ SCs were purified by fluorescence-activated cell sorting (FACS) by excluding cells that had taken up a cell-impermeant dye (Supplementary Fig. 2b). On average, we obtained 55±13 GFP+ cells per cochleae. RNA cDNA libraries were prepared and sequenced from about 300 GFP+ single cells per genotype collected in three independent FACS experiments with 85% cells having less than 20% mitochondrial transcript expression (Supplementary Fig. 3).

New populations of transcriptionally distinct cochlear cells arise in response to ERBB2 signaling.

Quality control metrics revealed similar numbers of transcripts and UMI counts for the two genotypes (Fig. 1a). A preliminary UMAP plot of all of the cells revealed intermingling of CA-ERBB2 and Control cells as well as evidence for their separate differentiation (Fig. 1a, right). Unbiased clustering was then used to group all GFP+ cells prior to assessment of transcriptome changes associated with CA-ERBB2 expression. Based on the pattern of gene expression, FACS-purified GFP+ cells can be grouped into at least 5 clusters (Fig. 1b,c). Two clusters showed significant differences between Control and CA-ERBB2 samples in distribution of cochlear cells: cluster C4, mostly comprised of Control cells, and cluster C3 formed entirely by CA-ERBB2 cells. Significant changes in the cell distribution were also observed within cluster C2, where CA-ERBB2 cells have clearly segregated away from Control cells. There were no differences between Control and CA-ERBB2 in distribution of cochlear cells in clusters C0 and C1. These results suggest heterogeneity in the responses of SCs to intrinsic ERBB2 activation. In a minority of GFP+ cells, ERBB2 activation drove a greater transcriptional response, one that differentiated the activated cells away from the parent population.

To better understand the transcriptional diversity of cochlear SC with induced ERBB2 signaling, we performed unbiased clustering that resulted in distinguishing subpopulations within clusters C0, C1 and C2. Ten unique clusters (S0-S9) were generated with two clusters composed of CA-ERBB2 cells only (Fig. 1c). Notably, in addition to cluster S4 that corresponds to C3 in first unbiased clustering, CA-ERBB2 cells in cluster C2 were distinguished as cluster S7. Most of remaining cells in cluster C2 were Control cells (~80%) and were identified as cluster S2. Another cluster represented mostly by Control cells (~90%) is S5, which corresponds to C4 in the first unbiased clustering. In remaining clusters, distribution of Control and CA-ERBB2 cochlear cells was similar.

Assignment of SC subtypes to clusters.

To assess which SCs contributed to which clusters, we graphed expression of genes specific to SC subtypes, as described for scRNA-seq of P1 SCs¹⁹ (Supplementary Table 1, Supplementary Fig. 4). At birth, *Fgfr3-iCre* can be expressed in Deiter cells (DC), inner and outer pillar cells (IPC, OPC), outer hair cells (OHC), and Hensen cells (HeC). In our system, *Fgfr3-iCre* expression is sparser, and expression in HC, DC, and HeC is biased towards the apical region, where cells are less differentiated (Supplementary Fig. 2). Here we used the 5-cluster analysis, as we anticipated a similar number of cell types. Results in Figure 2 show that the HC markers *Ccer2*, *Pvalb*, and *Insm1* are clustered together in a subset of C2 (Fig. 2b,c). The HeC marker *Fst* is expressed in C1, whereas *Nupr1* is present in both C1 & C2 (Fig. 2b,d). All five DC markers, *Hes5*, *Pdzk1ip1*, *S100a1*, *Prss23*, and *Lfng*, were most strongly up-regulated in C2 (Fig. 2b,e). PC markers were more ambiguous, but were most up-regulated also in C2 (Fig. 2b,f). C4, which is comprised primarily of Control cells, expressed all markers examined at a moderate level and thus could not be identified. C0 is a mix of CA-ERBB2 and Control cells, and it expresses a handful of sensory-specific markers, which were *S100a1*, *S100b*, and *Cryab*. Its identity is likewise ambiguous. C3 is

composed exclusively of CA-ERBB2 cells and primarily expresses OPC markers (Fig. 2b,f, note *Smagp* levels). However, it also contains identifying markers for LGER1 (LGER group 1), such as *Dcn*, *Ddost*, *Pdia6*, *Rcn3*, and *Sdf2l1*. These are also enriched in C1 and C2 (Fig. 2g).

CA-ERBB2 SCs are transcriptionally distinct from Control SCs.

Induction of ERBB2 signaling in CA-ERBB2 cells may result in activation of genes that normally are not expressed in cochlear SCs. Therefore, to identify DEGs between Control and CA-ERBB2 samples, we performed statistical analysis using both the Wilcoxon rank-sum test and the Likelihood-Ratio (LR) test. In the Wilcoxon rank-sum test, DEGs are identified among genes that have some level of expression in the compared conditions. The LR test allowed for detection of DEGs which expression is undetectable within one condition²⁰. Genes were mapped in the Gene Ontology resource (Supplementary Fig. 5). Sequences that could not be mapped to a corresponding protein record in the PANTHER classification system were designated "unmapped IDs." They mostly represent non-coding RNAs and pseudogenes.

Using Wilcoxon rank-sum test (adj p < 0.05, logFC > 2), 584 DEGs were identified, with 68 genes upregulated and 516 genes down-regulated (Supplementary Data 1). Around half of the genes in each group were unmapped IDs. Almost 90% of DEGs were found in less than 10% of the CA-ERBB2 population. Using the LR test (adj p < 0.05, logFC > 2), 1556 DEGs were identified (Supplementary Data 2). 1049 genes were up-regulated, and 507 genes were down-regulated, and around half of them were protein coding genes (Supplementary Fig. 5). About 64% of the DEGs were found in less than 10% of CA-ERBB2 population. Volcano plots were used to show DEGs for both analyses (Fig. 3a). Note how the LR analysis enables the identification of very significant DEGs like *Wnt10a* (Fig. 3a right).

In both analyses, *Spp1* was among the most up-expressed genes (132-fold change) in about 80% of CA-ERBB2 cells, in comparison to expression of *Spp1* in 55.4% of Control cells. *Spp1* will be more fully described later. *Lama3* was among the most down-regulated genes in CA-ERBB2 cells in both tests. It was detected in 3.4% of CA-ERBB2 cells, compared to 23.2% of Control cells. LAMA3 is a subunit of laminins, which mediate the attachment, migration and organization of cells by interacting with integrin receptors and other extracellular matrix (ECM) components^{21,22}. In the LR test, Kyphoscoliosis peptidase (Ky) gene is top differentially expressed (3530-fold change), but only in about 7.3% of CA-ERBB2 cells, in comparison to 21.5% of Control cells. Ky's proposed function is maintenance of cytoskeleton and neuromuscular junction²³. These data suggest that some CA-ERBB2 cells modify the local environment, potentially affecting the behavior of neighboring cells.

To better understand transcriptional heterogeneity among CA-ERBB2 cells in clusters S4 and S7, we identified up-expressed genes in each cluster described in Figure 1c, and then examined activated pathways against the Gene Ontology (GO) biological process database. For each of 10 clusters, we analyzed gene expression in comparison to remaining clusters. Using the criteria of an adjusted *p*-value

of <0.05 and a difference in levels of expression greater than 2-fold, we found 90 genes up-expressed in cluster S4, and 1102 genes in cluster S7. The expression of thirty top up-expressed genes identified in clusters S4 and S7 in comparison to other clusters is shown in Figure 3b. For the remaining clusters, which are composed of Control and CA-ERBB2 cells (except cluster S5), we found that S0 had 1125 up-expressed genes, S1 – 810, S2 – 731, S3 – 359, S5 – 3722, S6 – 484, S8 – 426, and S9 – 144 (Supplementary Data 3). The top 10 up-expressed genes in each cluster were then used to generate heatmaps (Supplementary Fig. 6).

The analysis revealed that among top up-expressed genes in cluster S4 are DEGs identified in Wilcoxon rank-sum test (*Spp1, CD63, Tmsb4x*, Fig. 3a; Supplementary Data 1), and in the LR test (*Spp1, Timp1, Dmp1, Mmp9, CD63*; Fig. 3a; Supplementary Data 2). According to the heatmap, S4 was not related to any other cluster (Supplementary Fig. 6). In contrast, cluster S7, comprised of CA-ERBB2 cells only, had top up-regulated genes in common with cluster S2, which was mostly Control cells. This suggests that CA-ERBB2 cells of cluster S7 originated from Control cells from cluster S2. Among the top up-expressed genes of S7 are DEGs identified in the LR test (*Plet1os, Rufy4, Plet1, Cutal*) (Fig. 3a; Supplementary Data 2).

With respect to clusters comprised of both CA-ERBB2 and Control cells, some transcriptional relationships were also evident (Supplementary Fig. 6). For example, cluster S6 had similarities to cluster S1, which is in proximity on the UMAP analysis. There were no marker genes up-expressed in cluster S0, S3 and S5. Surprisingly, in cluster S5 composed mostly of Control cells some level of up-regulation was observed for most of the up-expressed genes identified in other clusters, with exception of genes in cluster S8. Finally, clusters S8 and S9 are represented by small number of cells with up-expressed genes generally not found in other clusters, indicating that they are transcriptionally distinct populations. This analysis underscores the diversity of responses by SCs to CA-ERBB2 expression.

CA-ERBB2 cells express genes involved in modulation of extracellular matrix.

Gene set enrichment analysis against GO biological process was performed for up-expressed genes of cluster S4 and S7 to identify molecular pathways responding to ERBB2 activation (Figure 3c). For other clusters, results with listed 10 top GO terms with significant *q*-value <0.05 are in Supplementary Figure 7, and complete list of terms for all clusters is provided in Supplementary Data 4. Among the most enriched annotations, both by adjusted *p*-value and number of genes involved, were annotations of genes linked to modulation of ECM. The distinctive cluster S4, formed entirely by CA-ERBB2 cells, showed various degrees of activation of markers involved in extracellular matrix organization (G0:0030198), and extracellular matrix disassembly (G0:0022617). Cluster S4 had also enriched genes associated with cellular response to cytokine stimulus (G0:0071345), cytokine-mediated signaling pathway (G0:2001044). We note that

clusters S1 and S6 were also distinguished by up-expressed genes involved in extracellular matrix organization and disassembly.

With respect to the distinctive cluster S7 of CA-ERBB2 cells, GO biological process analysis did not reveal terms with *q*-value <0.05 (Figure 3c). However, among terms with significant *p*-value (<0.05), are terms associated with genes *Krt17* and *Plet1* listed as DEGs in the LR test (Fig. 3a; Supplementary Data 2). Epidermis development (GO:0008544) is associated with *Krt17* and *Col7a1* genes. This term is in a parent-child relationship with the term related to HC differentiation (inner ear auditory receptor cell differentiation, GO:0042491). Moreover, *Plet1* is associated with wound healing, the spreading of epidermal cells (both GO:0035313 and GO:0044319), and negative regulation of cell-matrix adhesion (GO:0001953) (Supplementary Data 4).

In clusters S1, S2 and S6, CA-ERBB2 cells were intermingled with Control cells suggesting that they have similar transcriptome. The identified GO terms for these clusters indicate that cochlear cells of P3 mice still undergo changes related to development of the organ of Corti (Supplementary Fig. 7). For example, cells in cluster S1 were enriched of genes associated with sensory organ morphogenesis (G0:0090596), collagen fibril organization (G0:0030199), regulation of endothelial cell migration (G0:0010594), endodermal cell differentiation (G0:0035987), and skeletal system development (G0:0001501). In cluster S2, cells showed up-regulation of genes involved in epithelial cell development (G0:0002064), regulation of transcription involved in cell fate commitment (G0:0060850), and Notch signaling pathway (G0:0007219). In cluster S6, enriched genes are associated with sensory perception of mechanical stimulus (G0:0050954), and sensory perception of sound (G0:0007605).

Cells in cluster S8 were enriched of terms associated with cellular division. Analysis of transcriptomes for cell cycle-specific gene expression confirmed that cells in cluster S8 are in S and G2/M phase (Supplementary Fig. 7 and 8). The analysis also revealed that whereas most cells across the conditions were in G1 phase, clusters S4 and S0 also showed enrichment of cells in phase S and G2/M, indicating some cells prepared for, or underwent cell division (Supplementary Fig. 8). In cluster S8, both CA-ERBB2 cells and Control cells showed markers for phase S and G2/M, however in cluster S0 this population was mostly represented by CA-ERBB2 cells.

Top up-regulated genes in cluster S4 are in close network of predicated protein-protein interactions.

As one goal of this study was to identify candidate secondary signaling pathways that could act downstream of ERBB2 signaling, we focused the next analysis on the new population of CA-ERBB2 cells that formed a transcriptionally distinct cluster S4. Top up-regulated genes *Spp1, Timp1, Mmp9* and *Dmp1*, are found in GO annotations associated with modulation of ECM and cytokine response (Fig. 3c). Using STRING software, we analyzed protein-protein interaction network for SPP1, TIMP1, MMP9 and

DMP1. The results are visualized in Figure 4a, and scores for predicted interactions are summarized in Table 1. The analysis shows that interactions between SPP1, TIMP1, MMP9 and DMP1 have association scores in the high and the highest confidence range (scores above 0.7 and 0.9, respectively). Increasing the network for additional five proteins revealed CD44 as protein with close association (Fig. 4b), with the highest confidence score (above 0.9) calculated for SPP1, TIMP1, and MMP9, indicating likely true associations. Additional five proteins added to the network revealed two proteins that were found as differentially expressed between CA-ERBB2 and Control cells (Fig. 4c). These proteins were CD63 and HPX, and both have association scores in the highest confidence range (above 0.9) with TIMP1; whereas HPX has also association score in the high confidence range (above 0.7) with MMP9.

SPP1 and DMP1 are small integrin binding n-linked glycoproteins (SIBLINGs), which are secreted ligands for CD44 and integrin $\alpha\nu\beta3$ receptors. They promote survival, proliferation, differentiation, and migration in different systems²⁴⁻³². TIMP1 inhibits MMP9, a metallopeptidase that modulates both CD44^{33, 34} and NOTCH signaling³⁵. The CD44 receptor has a role in cell survival and proliferation in cancer development, but a recent finding also highlights its important role in tissue regeneration and wound healing^{28, 36-38}. Notably, CD44 is endogenously expressed on OPCs in mice in adulthood³⁹.

Analysis of UMAP and split violin plots for *Spp1, Timp1, Mmp9* and *Dmp1* indicates that up-expression of these genes is observed in CA-ERBB2 cells for most clusters, with significant enrichment in cluster S4 (Fig. 4e). Control cells express lower levels of *Spp1* and *Timp1* genes, particularly in cluster S1, S5 and S6. For genes *Mmp9* and *Dmp1*, almost no expression is detected in Control cells, except for cluster S9 and S5. To validate the scRNA-seq analysis, we performed reverse transcriptase quantitative polymerase chain reaction (RT-qPCR) on FACS-sorted cochlear GFP+ cells collected from CA-ERBB2 and Control neonatal mice. Results of RT-qPCR analysis are shown in Figure 4f. They confirm that activation of ERBB2 signaling in CA-ERBB2 cells drove significant up-regulated expression of genes *Spp1, Timp1, Mmp9* and *Dmp1*, and also other genes identified as up-expressed in CA-ERBB2 cells of cluster S4 (*Bglap, Bglap2, Ptgis, Cd63, Il12a, Ank*) (Supplementary Fig. 9 and Fig. 3b).

Induction of ERBB2 signaling in deafened adult mice results in upregulation of *Spp1 and Timp1*, activation of CD44 receptor, and formation of cellular clusters in the cochlear duct.

To determine if the SIBLING cluster could be induced at adult stages, we used immunostaining on sections of cochlea from adult young mice after both damage induced hearing loss and CA-ERBB2 induction. Male and female young adult mice with Control and CA-ERBB2 genotype were exposed to traumatic noise as described in the Methods (Fig. 5a). At 3 days post noise exposure (3 DPN), the mice from both genotypes were randomly assigned to 2 groups, and treated with doxycycline (DOX) injection to initiate CA-ERBB2 expression; or with saline injection to use as reference. Mice were euthanized at 5

DPN, and their cochlea were dissected and processed for immunostaining with antibodies specific for SPP1, TIMP1, and the intracellular domain of the CD44 receptor.

As shown in Figure 5b and 5c, SPP1 and TIMP1 were up-expressed in cochlear sections from CA-ERBB2 animals treated with DOX. The fluorescent immunoreactivity for SPP1 corresponded to GFP+, including cells near OHC that correspond to DC, and cells near IHC corresponding to phalangeal cells and border cells. Similarly, TIMP1 was detected in GFP+ cells, although in sections from Control animals the immunoreactivity for TIMP1 was evident also in cells corresponding to inner phalangeal cells and border cells. Staining for the CD44 intracellular domain showed clearly up-regulation of CD44 signaling in GFP+ cells from CA-ERBB2 animals treated with DOX. The CD44 immunoreactivity was also observed in interphalangeal cells, DC and also in cells corresponding to HeC or Claudius cells. Interestingly, CD44 activation in cells corresponding to HeC or Claudius cells was also evident in sections from Control animals. This is consistent with previous report describing CD44 expression to increase in postnatal mice by P7 in the OPCs, Claudius cells and in a small number of GER cells³⁹.

Additional mice treated with traumatic noise, EdU, and doxycycline were euthanized at 7 DPN, and analyzed for GFP expression and additional markers (Fig. 6). Here we found that aggregated GFP+ and GFP-negative cells were located in the endolymphatic duct of CA-ERBB2 cochlea. Phosphorylation of ERBB2 was seen in scattered cells, and did not precisely map onto GFP expression as observed at earlier time points¹⁷. Mitotic figures labeled with EdU were evident in these aggregates (Fig. 6b'), as were scattered cells labeled with activated CASP3 (Fig. 6c'). Scattered cells within aggregates expressed sensory markers, including MYO7 (Fig. 6b') and the SC marker TAK1 (Fig. 6d'). They were largely absent of SOX2 (Fig. 6a') and PVALB (Fig. 6d') expression. Some aggregates were observed in four out of five cochleae with CA-ERBB2 induction. In Control cochleae, only very small clusters of cells were observed floating in the cochlear duct, none of which expressed GFP or incorporated EdU (Fig. 6e, e', arrows).

Discussion

Previously, we found that SCs with activated ERBB2 influenced gene expression in their neighbors and promoted the differentiation of hair-cell like cells, suggesting that ERBB2 may initiate a signaling cascade important for HC regeneration¹⁷. Here, single cell transcriptome analysis revealed the formation of new population among a subset of cells with activated ERBB2 signaling, which had differentiated significantly from the parental Control cells. Possibly derived from OPCs, these cells also express LGER-specific genes. They comprise most of the cells expressing *Spp1*, identified in our studies as one of the most highly activated genes in response to ERBB2 signaling. Importantly, in this novel population we see expression of the other three top up-regulated genes, *Mmp9*, *Timp1* and *Dmp1*. Together with *Spp1*, they are associated with a signaling network involving CD44 and integrin αvβ3 receptors. Gene ontology enrichment analysis revealed that these genes modulate both the ECM and cytokine responses, including Notch signaling. We confirmed that SPP1, TIMP1 and CD44 protein are also up-regulated in adult

cochlear SCs after CA-ERBB2 induction. Subsequently, we observed the formation of floating aggregates of cochlear sensory cells. The fate and potential of these cellular aggregates are not known.

SPP1 and DMP1 are secreted glycoproteins that act as ligands for CD44 and integrin αvβ3 receptors. They are members of the small integrin-binding ligand n-linked glycoprotein (SIBLING) family. SPP1 promotes survival, proliferation, differentiation, adhesion, and migration of numerous cell types^{24–30, 32}. While DMP1 function in injury and wound healing has not been yet determined, it is significantly upregulated in a number of cancerous tissues^{40, 41}. SPP1 may function in the neuronal differentiation of auditory neurons during inner ear development⁴². Moreover, it is detected in membranous labyrinth of the adult mammalian cochleae, particularly in the utricle, where it is currently used as a marker of type I HC^{43–46}.

The most significant aspect of up-regulation of SPP1, MMP9 and TIMP1 in response to ERBB2 signaling, is that they promote wound healing and regeneration after injury in other systems^{28, 36–38}. SPP1 is well known to mediate bone and muscle regeneration^{47–51}, and it is also involved in promoting proliferation and regeneration in nervous system^{52–54}. In recent studies, Wang et al. demonstrated that binding of SPP1 with CD44 and integrin $\alpha\nu\beta3$ is important in the Schwann cells function in regenerating nerves, by promoting proliferation and survival after peripheral nerve injury⁵⁵. Another study by Powell and colleagues showed that SPP1 together with MMP9 acts through CD44 receptor to mediate synaptogenesis after central nervous system (CNS) insult⁵⁶.

TIMP1 is an inhibitor of MMP9 and the balance between them is a key regulator of the signaling network in the injured nerve³⁸. The significance of MMP9/TIMP1 balance is not well understood, but it was also demonstrated to regulate healing process of burned fetal skin⁵⁷. An increased MMP9/TIMP1 ratio promotes the degradation of ECM to allow cellular migration, while a reduced MMP9/TIMP1 ratio drives reconstruction of the ECM during healing process⁵⁷. TIMP1 inhibition of MMP9 could explain the widespread downregulation of SOX2 after CA-ERBB2 signaling in vivo¹⁷, as MMP9 is necessary for Notch signaling⁵⁸, and Notch is necessary and sufficient to promote SOX2 expression⁵⁹. TIMP1 may also act as cytokine independently of MMP9 inhibition to promote cell survival and proliferation⁶⁰. In hematopoietic stem and progenitor cells, TIMP1 promotes migration, adhesion and survival by binding to CD63-integrin β1 receptors complex⁶¹. Our scRNA-seq analysis revealed CD63 as DEG and its up-regulation was mostly enriched in cluster S4 formed by CA-ERBB2 cells (Supplementary Data 1 and 2).

Up-regulation of SPP1, MMP9, TIMP1 and DMP1 in response to ERBB2 activation suggests that its downstream signaling involves CD44 receptor and potentially also integrin receptors. The relationship between ERBB2 and CD44 was previously described for maintaining neuron–Schwann cell interactions in early rat neonatal nerves development⁶². In particular, CD44 significantly enhanced neuregulin-induced ERBB2 phosphorylation and ERBB2–ERBB3 heterodimerization. CD44 was also found to interact with ERBB2 receptor^{62, 63}. Importance of CD44 receptor's network in cells survival, proliferation and

regeneration described for Schwann cell and in other tissues, suggests that some of CA-ERBB2 effects involve signaling through CD44 receptor.

Lower flexibility of the epithelium associated with cell-cell junction was proposed as one of the mechanisms responsible for decreased regenerative capacity of the adult mammalian cochlea⁶⁴. Our results indicate that ERBB2 signaling promotes modulation of ECM that would allow increased flexibility in the organ of Corti. The combination of EGF and GSK3 inhibitors were recently reported to deplete E-cadherin in tight junctions of the adult mammalian cochlea⁶⁵. In addition to up-regulated genes associated with ECM disassembly in cluster S4, there is also up-regulated *Plet1* gene in CA-ERBB2 cells from cluster S7, which is associated with negative regulation of cell-matrix adhesion (G0:0001953). *Plet1* is listed in G0 terms associated with spreading of cells and wound healing (G0:0035313, G0:0044319); therefore, its up-regulation would also allow for increased local movements of cells.

Importance of ERBB2-mediated ECM modulation for HCs regeneration is also supported by recent studies indicating that ECM and integrin receptors are induced in early neurosensory development and cell fate determination in the human fetal inner ear⁶⁶. We speculate that enforced activation of signaling pathways through ERBB family receptors might allow for changes in the environment of the organ of Corti that promote the proliferation of SCs and permit the regeneration of HCs. Previous studies have shown little or no stem cell activity in the adult mammalian cochlea^{67, 68}. This contrasts to robust stem-like capacity in isolated cells from the adult utricle^{68, 69}, which correlates with limited regeneration potential in that organ⁷⁰. These data may be interpreted to mean that cochlear stem cells, perhaps resident in GER⁷¹, are lost during maturation. It is also possible that their requirements for survival or for identity maintenance differ. For example, they may require accessory cells to maintain their microenvironmental niche. Alternatively, the forced expression of CA-ERBB2 signaling might promote novel behaviors not otherwise seen in cochlear SCs.

In conclusion, we report that enforced signaling of ERBB2 in a minority of cochlear SCs, possibly OPCs, drives a novel differentiation response in the neonatal mouse cochlea. These cells induce expression of a cluster of genes involved in signaling, wound healing, and migration. We confirm that at least two of these genes, SPP1 and CD44, are also up-regulated in adult cochlear cells after CA-ERBB2 induction. CA-ERBB2 induction also correlates with the generation of cellular aggregates in the cochlear duct. These aggregates contain, but are not limited to, fate-mapped CA-ERBB2 cells. Their potential, effects, and fate remain to be determined.

Methods

Ethical Approval

All experiments using animals were approved in advance by the University Committee on Animal Research (UCAR) at the University of Rochester, protocol number 2010-011, PI Patricia White, and by the Animal Care and Use Review Office (ACURO) of the Department of Defense.

Mice

The following mouse strains were used. Fgfr3-iCre¹⁸ was a kind gift from Dr. Jian Zuo. TetON-CA-ErbB2⁷² and CBA/CaJ, were purchased from Jackson Laboratories. ROSA-floxed-rtTA/GFP⁷³ was a kind gift from Dr. Lin Gan. TetON-CA-ErbB2 mice harbor a mutated *ErbB2* transgene encoding a constitutively active ERBB2 protein (CA-ERBB2), which does not require ligand binding or heterodimerization with other ERBB partners for active signaling. Fgfr3-iCre and TetON-CA-ERBB2 mice were crosses to generate double heterozygous transgenic mice that were subsequently used as breeders. In a second breeding, double heterozygous were crossed with homozygous ROSA-floxed-rtTA/GFP mice.

Genotyping

For scRNA-seq experiments and data validation by RT-qPCR, generated pups were genotyped at P0 to identify triple-transgenic mice that harbor *Fgfr3-iCre*, ROSA-floxed-rtTA/GFP, and TetON-CAERBB2 (named here as CA-ERBB2). Oligonucleotides used in genotyping are provided in Supplementary Table 2. Littermates with *Fgfr3-iCre* and ROSA-floxed-rtTA/GFP were used as Controls.

Noise exposure

For scRNA-seq data validation in young adults exposed to noise, both male and female mice were used equally. Mice harboring the *Fgfr3-iCre* and *CA-Erbb2* transgenes have been backcrossed to CBA/CaJ for at least four generations, whereas the ROSA-rtTA-GFP line is congenic on C57BL6/J. Thus, the experimental mice are hybrid CBA/C57 mice, whose noise damage characteristics are similar to a pure CBA/CaJ response⁷⁴. Three-week-old mice were genotyped and weaned. Tamoxifen injections (75 mg/kg) were performed at P21, P22 and P23. At P28 their hearing was tested by auditory brainstem response (ABR) and distortion product acoustic emissions (DPOAE)⁷⁵. The mice were then divided into DOX exposed and control conditions. Mice experienced a noise exposure of 110 dB of 8-16 kHz octave band noise for 2 hours, as described previously⁷⁵. The next day (1 DPN), mice received hearing tests to confirm severe noise-induced hearing loss evident by threshold shift for at least 25 dB across five frequencies (8, 12, 16, 24 and 32 kHz). Two days later (3 DPN), the DOX group were injected with DOX (100 mg/kg), followed 30 minutes later by an injection of furosemide (400 mg/kg) ⁷⁶. The control group received a saline injection, followed by furosemide. Two days after these injections (5 DPN), the mice were euthanized and their cochleae fixed overnight in fresh 4% paraformaldehyde.

Cell dissociation and FACS sorting

The iCRE activity was induced by tamoxifen injections (75 mg/kg) at P0/P1 to label supporting cells with GFP and rtTA. Doxycycline injections (100 mg/kg) were performed at P2 to drive CA-ERBB2 expression in GFP+ supporting cells. Cochlea from P3 pups were collected and incubated with 1 mL AccutaseÒ solution (Innovative Cell Technologies) for 15 minutes at 37°C. To ensure a reproducible digestion, 2-4 cochlea were treated per tube. The Accutase solution was removed and cochlea were gentle washes in ice cold Ca2+, Mg2+ - free HBSS buffer. Organs in each tube were dissociated by trituration for 2-3 minutes. Cells were then filtered with a 40 µm cell strainer (BD Biosciences) and kept on ice until sorted. For the exclusion of dead cells and debris from the samples during sorting, a single-cell suspension was stained with DAPI. Sorting was performed at 4°C in a FACSAria II cell sorter (BD Biosciences) using a 130 µm nozzle. Scatter discrimination was used to eliminate doublets and samples were negatively selected with DAPI to remove dead cells and debris. For scRNA-seq, single GFP+ cells were captured into 96-well plate with 11.5 ml lysis buffer and RNase Inhibitor without the CDS IIA Oligo (Takara Bio, Mountain View, CA), and stored at -80°C until used for construction of cDNA libraries. On average, 6 pups per genotype were processed for sorting single GFP+ cells into one 96-well plate. For data validation by RT-qPCR, GFP+ cells were captured into single tube with 100 ml lysis buffer containing Proteinase K and DNase (Bio-Rad). The sorted cells were then incubated for 10 min. in room temperature, followed by 5 min. at 37°C, and 5 min. at 75°C. The samples were stored at -80°C until RT-gPCR analysis.

Construction of single-cell RNA-seq libraries

Single-cell RNA-seq libraries were constructed according to the SMART-Seq Single PLUS protocol (Takara Bio, Mountain View, CA). Frozen plates were thawed on ice. Next, cDNA was generated with the addition of the 3' SMART-Seq CDS Primer II A and SMARTScribe II RT reagents. cDNA was amplified via 20 cycles of PCR, purified, and assessed for quantity and quality by Qubit dsDNA assay (ThermoFisher, Waltham, MA) and Fragment Analyzer (Agilent, Santa Clara, CA) analysis, respectively. For each well, 1 ng amplified cDNA was fragmented and prepared for library construction with the addition of stem-loop adapters. Final library amplification and indexing was performed through 16 cycles of PCR amplification. Following bead purification, individual library profiles were assessed by Fragment Analyzer and quantified by Qubit dsDNA assay. Libraries were diluted, pooled in equimolar amounts, and sequenced on a NovaSeq S1 flowcell (Illumina, San Diego, CA) to generate an average of 10 million reads per cell.

Data preprocessing, clustering, and visualization

Raw reads generated from the Illumina basecalls were demultiplexed using bcl2fastg version 2.19.1. Quality filtering and adapter removal are performed using FastP version 0.20.1 with the following parameters: "-length_reguired 35 --cut_front_window_size 1 --cut_front_mean_guality 13 --cut_front -cut_tail_window_size 1 --cut_tail_mean_quality 13 --cut_tail -y -r". Processed/cleaned reads were then mapped to the Mus musculus reference genome (GRCm38 + Gencode-M25 Annotation) using STAR_2.7.6a with the following parameters: "-twopass Mode Basic --runMode alignReads --outSAMtype BAM SortedByCoordinate – outSAMstrandField intronMotif –outFilterIntronMotifs RemoveNoncanonical -outReads UnmappedFastx". Gene level read guantification was derived using the subread-2.0.1 package (featureCounts) with a GTF annotation file (Gencode M25) and the following parameters: "-s 2 -t exon -g gene_name". The data generated by the sequencing was used to create a Seurat object using the Seurat package in R (v4.0.5 and v4.1.2). Quality control metrics were performed using standard Seurat (v4.0.4 and v4.1.0) protocols and included the identification of unique genes ("features") per cell, the total number of molecules detected ("reads"), and the percent of mitochondrial genome contamination. The data was normalized. Clusters were identified with the Louvain method, using PCA and UMAP to reduce dimensionality and plot the cells. Ten clusters were identified using a dimensionality reduction of 1:30 within the Nearest-neighbor graph construction and UMAP generation, as well as a resolution of 2 within clustering. In addition to performing sample filtering and clustering, Seurat handled differential expression to determine genes up-regulated within clusters and across condition groups. enrichR (v3.0) was used to perform gene set enrichment on DEGs⁷⁷. A final report was rendered using Rstudio and rmarkdown (v2.10). Identity of genes was analyzed in the Gene Ontology resource (http://geneontology.org/)⁷⁷. GO biological process analysis was performed for up-expressed genes identified in each cluster. Since there were to many up-expressed genes for some of the clusters we limited the number of markers coming from specific clusters based off of log fold change. The log fold change threshold for cluster S7 was 4, for cluster S0 was 4, and for cluster S5 was 6. The log fold change threshold for the remaining clusters remained at 2. The protein interaction network modules were visualized using STRING network online analysis (http://string-db.org)⁷⁸.

RT-qPCR analysis

Cell lysates prepared after sorting GFP+ cells into single tube were used in RT-qPCR analysis using SingleShot Two-Step RT-qPCR reagents (Bio-Rad) according to manufacture instruction. Each qPCR reaction was performed on cells lysates corresponding to 10 cells. Three technical replicates were used for each analyzed gene. Wells with primers but without cDNA sample were utilized for negative controls, while cell lysates not treated with reverse transcriptase were used as a control of genomic DNA contamination. qPCR reactions were performed using Bio-Rad CFX Thermal Cycler and the threshold of cycles (Ct) values was calculated with Bio-Rad CFX Manager Software. $\Delta\Delta$ Ct method was used for calculating relative gene expression. *Eef1a1* and *Tubb4a* were used as reference genes to calculate differences in gene expression between Control and CA-ERBB2 cells⁷⁹. The Ct values of technical replicates were averaged and expression of the gene in CA-ERBB2 sample and Control sample was normalized to reference gene (REF) expression level within the same sample to determine Δ Ct (Ct _(gene) – Ct _(REF)). For each gene, analysis of relative gene expression was performed from at least three biological samples (three independent FACS sortings). The Δ Ct for each biological replicate was exponentially transformed to the Δ Ct expression (2^{- Δ Ct}) before averaging and determining the standard deviation (SD). The mean was then normalized to the expression of gene in Control sample to find $\Delta\Delta$ Ct expression in CA-ERBB2 sample. Oligonucleotides used in RT-qPCR are provided in Supplementary Table 2.

Immunochemistry

Fixed cochleae were decalcified in 100 mM EDTA in a saline solution at 4°C for 3 days. For cryosectioning, tissues were immersed in 30% sucrose in PBS overnight, embedded in OCT, frozen in liquid nitrogen, and cryosectioned at 20 microns. Sections were mounted on slide glass and kept at -30°C until use. Immunostaining of cryostat cochlear sections was performed to examine the expression of selected genes. The sections were permeabilized and blocked in PBS with 0.5% Triton X-100 and 5% donkey serum (TDB buffer) for 1 h at 4°C, followed by incubation with primary antibodies in TDB at 4°C overnight. The sections were rinsed briefly 4 times in PBS, then incubated with secondary antibodies in TDB at 4°C overnight. The sections were rinsed briefly 4 times in PBS. The following primary antibodies were used: chicken anti-GFP (1:100; Abcam; AB_300798), mouse anti-PVALB (1:1000, EMD Millipore; MAB1572), goat anti-OPN (1:100; R&D Systems; AF808), goat anti-TIMP1 (1:100; R&D Systems; AF980), rabbit anti-CD44 (1:100; Abcam; AB157107). Secondary antibodies were purchased from Jackson Immunoresearch. Imaging was performed using Confocal Microscope Olympus FV1000.

DATA AVAILABILITY

All data supporting the findings of this study are available within the article and its Supplementary Information files. Single-cell gene expression data have been deposited in the Gene Expression Omnibus data repository under accession code: GSE202850.

Declarations ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We gratefully acknowledge Dr. Anne Luebke, who maintains the URMC Small Animal Auditory Testing Core; Dr. Jian Zuo for the Fgfr3-iCre mouse strain; Dr. Lin Gan for the ROSA-floxed rtTA/GFP mouse strain, and Dr. Amy Kiernan for advice on experimental interpretation. This data was supported by grants from

the United States Department of Defense DOD RH190035 (P.W.) and the National Institute of Health R01 DC014261 (P.W.).

ETHICS DECLARATIONS

Competing interest

The authors declare no competing interests.

References

- 1. Zhang, S., *et al.* Hair cell regeneration from inner ear progenitors in the mammalian cochlea. Am J Stem Cells **9**, 25–35 (2020).
- 2. Atkinson, P.J., Huarcaya Najarro, E., Sayyid, Z.N. & Cheng, A.G. Sensory hair cell development and regeneration: similarities and differences. Development **142**, 1561–1571 (2015).
- 3. Shi, F., Kempfle, J.S. & Edge, A.S. Wnt-responsive Lgr5-expressing stem cells are hair cell progenitors in the cochlea. J Neurosci **32**, 9639–9648 (2012).
- 4. Chai, R., *et al.* Dynamic expression of Lgr5, a Wnt target gene, in the developing and mature mouse cochlea. J Assoc Res Otolaryngol **12**, 455–469 (2011).
- 5. Kubota, M., Scheibinger, M., Jan, T.A. & Heller, S. Greater epithelial ridge cells are the principal organoid-forming progenitors of the mouse cochlea. Cell Rep **34**, 108646 (2021).
- 6. Shi, F., *et al.* beta-Catenin is required for hair-cell differentiation in the cochlea. J Neurosci **34**, 6470–6479 (2014).
- 7. Chai, R., *et al.* Wnt signaling induces proliferation of sensory precursors in the postnatal mouse cochlea. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A **109**, 8167–8172 (2012).
- 8. Yamamoto, N., *et al.* Inhibition of Notch/RBP-J signaling induces hair cell formation in neonate mouse cochleas. J Mol Med (Berl) **84**, 37–45 (2006).
- 9. Korrapati, S., Roux, I., Glowatzki, E. & Doetzlhofer, A. Notch signaling limits supporting cell plasticity in the hair cell-damaged early postnatal murine cochlea. PLoS One **8**, e73276 (2013).
- 10. Bramhall, N.F., Shi, F., Arnold, K., Hochedlinger, K. & Edge, A.S. Lgr5-positive supporting cells generate new hair cells in the postnatal cochlea. Stem Cell Reports **2**, 311–322 (2014).
- 11. Mizutari, K., *et al.* Notch inhibition induces cochlear hair cell regeneration and recovery of hearing after acoustic trauma. Neuron **77**, 58–69 (2013).
- 12. Brown, R. & Groves, A.K. Hear, Hear for Notch: Control of Cell Fates in the Inner Ear by Notch Signaling. Biomolecules **10** (2020).

- 13. Witte, M.C., Montcouquiol, M. & Corwin, J.T. Regeneration in avian hair cell epithelia: identification of intracellular signals required for S-phase entry. Eur J Neurosci **14**, 829–838 (2001).
- 14. White, P.M., Stone, J.S., Groves, A.K. & Segil, N. EGFR signaling is required for regenerative proliferation in the cochlea: conservation in birds and mammals. Dev Biol **363**, 191–200 (2012).
- 15. Wan, L., Lovett, M., Warchol, M.E. & Stone, J.S. Vascular endothelial growth factor is required for regeneration of auditory hair cells in the avian inner ear. Hear Res **385**, 107839 (2020).
- 16. Matsunaga, M., *et al.* Initiation of Supporting Cell Activation for Hair Cell Regeneration in the Avian Auditory Epithelium: An Explant Culture Model. Front Cell Neurosci **14**, 583994 (2020).
- Zhang, J., *et al.* ERBB2 signaling drives supporting cell proliferation in vitro and apparent supernumerary hair cell formation in vivo in the neonatal mouse cochlea. Eur J Neurosci 48, 3299– 3316 (2018).
- 18. Cox, B.C., Liu, Z., Lagarde, M.M. & Zuo, J. Conditional gene expression in the mouse inner ear using Cre-loxP. J Assoc Res Otolaryngol **13**, 295–322 (2012).
- 19. Kolla, L., *et al.* Characterization of the development of the mouse cochlear epithelium at the single cell level. Nat Commun **11**, 2389 (2020).
- 20. McDavid, A., *et al.* Data exploration, quality control and testing in single-cell qPCR-based gene expression experiments. Bioinformatics **29**, 461–467 (2013).
- 21. Belkin, A.M. & Stepp, M.A. Integrins as receptors for laminins. Microsc Res Tech 51, 280–301 (2000).
- 22. Hamill, K.J., Paller, A.S. & Jones, J.C. Adhesion and migration, the diverse functions of the laminin alpha3 subunit. Dermatol Clin **28**, 79–87 (2010).
- 23. Hedberg-Oldfors, C., *et al.* A new early-onset neuromuscular disorder associated with kyphoscoliosis peptidase (KY) deficiency. Eur J Hum Genet **24**, 1771–1777 (2016).
- Flores, M.E., Norgard, M., Heinegard, D., Reinholt, F.P. & Andersson, G. RGD-directed attachment of isolated rat osteoclasts to osteopontin, bone sialoprotein, and fibronectin. Exp Cell Res 201, 526–530 (1992).
- 25. Sodek, J., Ganss, B. & McKee, M.D. Osteopontin. Crit Rev Oral Biol Med 11, 279–303 (2000).
- Ashkar, S., *et al.* Eta-1 (osteopontin): an early component of type-1 (cell-mediated) immunity. Science 287, 860–864 (2000).
- 27. Rangaswami, H., Bulbule, A. & Kundu, G.C. Osteopontin: role in cell signaling and cancer progression. Trends Cell Biol **16**, 79–87 (2006).
- Denhardt, D.T., Noda, M., O'Regan, A.W., Pavlin, D. & Berman, J.S. Osteopontin as a means to cope with environmental insults: regulation of inflammation, tissue remodeling, and cell survival. J Clin Invest **107**, 1055–1061 (2001).
- 29. Kazanecki, C.C., Uzwiak, D.J. & Denhardt, D.T. Control of osteopontin signaling and function by posttranslational phosphorylation and protein folding. J Cell Biochem **102**, 912–924 (2007).
- 30. Jain, A., Karadag, A., Fohr, B., Fisher, L.W. & Fedarko, N.S. Three SIBLINGs (small integrin-binding ligand, N-linked glycoproteins) enhance factor H's cofactor activity enabling MCP-like cellular

evasion of complement-mediated attack. J Biol Chem 277, 13700-13708 (2002).

- 31. Wu, H., *et al.* Dentin matrix protein 1 (DMP1) signals via cell surface integrin. J Biol Chem **286**, 29462–29469 (2011).
- Karadag, A., Fedarko, N.S. & Fisher, L.W. Dentin matrix protein 1 enhances invasion potential of colon cancer cells by bridging matrix metalloproteinase-9 to integrins and CD44. Cancer Res 65, 11545– 11552 (2005).
- 33. Visse, R. & Nagase, H. Matrix metalloproteinases and tissue inhibitors of metalloproteinases: structure, function, and biochemistry. Circ Res **92**, 827–839 (2003).
- 34. Grunwald, B., Schoeps, B. & Kruger, A. Recognizing the Molecular Multifunctionality and Interactome of TIMP-1. Trends Cell Biol **29**, 6–19 (2019).
- 35. Garg, P., *et al.* Matrix metalloproteinase-9 functions as a tumor suppressor in colitis-associated cancer. Cancer Res **70**, 792–801 (2010).
- 36. Michopoulou, A., *et al.* A novel mechanism in wound healing: Laminin 332 drives MMP9/14 activity by recruiting syndecan-1 and CD44. Matrix Biol **94**, 1–17 (2020).
- 37. Kyriakides, T.R., *et al.* Mice that lack matrix metalloproteinase-9 display delayed wound healing associated with delayed reepithelization and disordered collagen fibrillogenesis. Matrix Biol **28**, 65–73 (2009).
- 38. Kim, Y., *et al.* The MMP-9/TIMP-1 axis controls the status of differentiation and function of myelinforming Schwann cells in nerve regeneration. PLoS One **7**, e33664 (2012).
- 39. Hertzano, R., *et al.* CD44 is a marker for the outer pillar cells in the early postnatal mouse inner ear. J Assoc Res Otolaryngol **11**, 407–418 (2010).
- 40. Chaplet, M., *et al.* Dentin matrix protein 1 is expressed in human lung cancer. J Bone Miner Res **18**, 1506–1512 (2003).
- 41. Fisher, L.W., Jain, A., Tayback, M. & Fedarko, N.S. Small integrin binding ligand N-linked glycoprotein gene family expression in different cancers. Clin Cancer Res **10**, 8501–8511 (2004).
- 42. Kim, H.J., *et al.* Patterns of gene expression associated with Pten deficiency in the developing inner ear. PLoS One **9**, e97544 (2014).
- Lopez, C.A., *et al.* Osteopontin expression detected in adult cochleae and inner ear fluids. Hear Res 85, 210–222 (1995).
- 44. Sakagami, M. Role of osteopontin in the rodent inner ear as revealed by in situ hybridization. Med Electron Microsc **33**, 3–10 (2000).
- 45. McInturff, S., Burns, J.C. & Kelley, M.W. Characterization of spatial and temporal development of Type I and Type II hair cells in the mouse utricle using new cell-type-specific markers. Biol Open 7 (2018).
- 46. Jan, T.A., *et al.* Spatiotemporal dynamics of inner ear sensory and non-sensory cells revealed by single-cell transcriptomics. Cell Rep **36**, 109358 (2021).

- 47. Zhu, M., *et al.* Osteopontin sequence modified mesoporous calcium silicate scaffolds to promote angiogenesis in bone tissue regeneration. J Mater Chem B **8**, 5849–5861 (2020).
- Uaesoontrachoon, K., *et al.* Osteopontin and skeletal muscle myoblasts: association with muscle regeneration and regulation of myoblast function in vitro. Int J Biochem Cell Biol 40, 2303–2314 (2008).
- 49. Zanotti, S., *et al.* Osteopontin is highly expressed in severely dystrophic muscle and seems to play a role in muscle regeneration and fibrosis. Histopathology **59**, 1215–1228 (2011).
- 50. Pagel, C.N., Wasgewatte Wijesinghe, D.K., Taghavi Esfandouni, N. & Mackie, E.J. Osteopontin, inflammation and myogenesis: influencing regeneration, fibrosis and size of skeletal muscle. J Cell Commun Signal **8**, 95–103 (2014).
- 51. Maeda, Y., *et al.* CXCL12 and osteopontin from bone marrow-derived mesenchymal stromal cells improve muscle regeneration. Sci Rep **7**, 3305 (2017).
- 52. Ellison, J.A., *et al.* Osteopontin and its integrin receptor alpha(v)beta3 are upregulated during formation of the glial scar after focal stroke. *Stroke* **29**, 1698–1706; discussion 1707 (1998).
- 53. Hedtjarn, M., Mallard, C. & Hagberg, H. Inflammatory gene profiling in the developing mouse brain after hypoxia-ischemia. J Cereb Blood Flow Metab **24**, 1333–1351 (2004).
- 54. Liu, X., *et al.* Effect of Spp1 on nerve degeneration and regeneration after rat sciatic nerve injury. BMC Neurosci **18**, 30 (2017).
- 55. Wang, J.B., *et al.* SPP1 promotes Schwann cell proliferation and survival through PKCalpha by binding with CD44 and alphavbeta3 after peripheral nerve injury. Cell Biosci **10**, 98 (2020).
- 56. Powell, M.A., Black, R.T., Smith, T.L., Reeves, T.M. & Phillips, L.L. Matrix Metalloproteinase 9 and Osteopontin Interact to Support Synaptogenesis in the Olfactory Bulb after Mild Traumatic Brain Injury. J Neurotrauma 36, 1615–1631 (2019).
- 57. Yu, G., *et al.* Exogenous peripheral blood mononuclear cells affect the healing process of deepdegree burns. Mol Med Rep **16**, 8110–8122 (2017).
- 58. Zhao, Y., *et al.* Matrix metalloproteinase 9 induces endothelial-mesenchymal transition via Notch activation in human kidney glomerular endothelial cells. BMC Cell Biol **17**, 21 (2016).
- 59. Pan, W., *et al.* Ectopic expression of activated notch or SOX2 reveals similar and unique roles in the development of the sensory cell progenitors in the mammalian inner ear. J Neurosci **33**, 16146–16157 (2013).
- 60. Ries, C. Cytokine functions of TIMP-1. Cell Mol Life Sci 71, 659-672 (2014).
- 61. Wilk, C.M., *et al.* The tissue inhibitor of metalloproteinases-1 improves migration and adhesion of hematopoietic stem and progenitor cells. *Exp Hematol* **41**, 823–831 e822 (2013).
- 62. Sherman, L.S., Rizvi, T.A., Karyala, S. & Ratner, N. CD44 enhances neuregulin signaling by Schwann cells. J Cell Biol **150**, 1071–1084 (2000).
- 63. Bourguignon, L.Y., *et al.* Interaction between the adhesion receptor, CD44, and the oncogene product, p185HER2, promotes human ovarian tumor cell activation. J Biol Chem **272**, 27913–27918 (1997).

- 64. Burns, J.C., *et al.* Reinforcement of cell junctions correlates with the absence of hair cell regeneration in mammals and its occurrence in birds. J Comp Neurol **511**, 396–414 (2008).
- 65. Kozlowski, M.M., Rudolf, M.A. & Corwin, J.T. EGF and a GSK3 Inhibitor Deplete Junctional E-cadherin and Stimulate Proliferation in the Mature Mammalian Ear. J Neurosci **40**, 2618–2632 (2020).
- 66. Johnson Chacko, L., *et al.* Transcriptome-Wide Analysis Reveals a Role for Extracellular Matrix and Integrin Receptor Genes in Otic Neurosensory Differentiation from Human iPSCs. Int J Mol Sci 22 (2021).
- 67. Oshima, K., *et al.* Differential distribution of stem cells in the auditory and vestibular organs of the inner ear. J Assoc Res Otolaryngol **8**, 18–31 (2007).
- 68. Senn, P., *et al.* Progenitor Cells from the Adult Human Inner Ear. Anat Rec (Hoboken) **303**, 461–470 (2020).
- 69. Li, H., Liu, H. & Heller, S. Pluripotent stem cells from the adult mouse inner ear. Nat Med **9**, 1293–1299 (2003).
- 70. Burns, J.C. & Stone, J.S. Development and regeneration of vestibular hair cells in mammals. Semin Cell Dev Biol **65**, 96–105 (2017).
- 71. Udagawa, T., *et al.* Lineage-tracing and translatomic analysis of damage-inducible mitotic cochlear progenitors identifies candidate genes regulating regeneration. PLoS Biol **19**, e3001445 (2021).
- 72. Xie, W., Chow, L.T., Paterson, A.J., Chin, E. & Kudlow, J.E. Conditional expression of the ErbB2 oncogene elicits reversible hyperplasia in stratified epithelia and up-regulation of TGFalpha expression in transgenic mice. Oncogene **18**, 3593–3607 (1999).
- 73. Belteki, G., *et al.* Conditional and inducible transgene expression in mice through the combinatorial use of Cre-mediated recombination and tetracycline induction. Nucleic Acids Res **33**, e51 (2005).
- 74. Milon, B., *et al.* The impact of biological sex on the response to noise and otoprotective therapies against acoustic injury in mice. Biol Sex Differ **9**, 12 (2018).
- 75. Zhang, J., *et al.* ERBB2 is a Key Mediator in Hearing Restoration in Noise-Deafened Young Adult Mice. *bioRxiv* (2021).
- 76. Walters, B.J. & Zuo, J. A Sox10(rtTA/+) Mouse Line Allows for Inducible Gene Expression in the Auditory and Balance Organs of the Inner Ear. J Assoc Res Otolaryngol **16**, 331–345 (2015).
- 77. Xie, Z., et al. Gene Set Knowledge Discovery with Enrichr. Curr Protoc 1, e90 (2021).
- Szklarczyk, D., *et al.* The STRING database in 2021: customizable protein-protein networks, and functional characterization of user-uploaded gene/measurement sets. Nucleic Acids Res 49, D605-D612 (2021).
- 79. Park, J.S., *et al.* Identification of a Circadian Clock in the Inferior Colliculus and Its Dysregulation by Noise Exposure. J Neurosci **36**, 5509–5519 (2016).

Tables

Table 1 is available in the supplementary files section.

Figures



Figure 1

Unbiased Seurat clustering of cochlear SC transcriptomes from Control and CA-ERBB2 samples. **a**, Violin plots showing similar distributions observed for cells from Control and CA-ERBB2 samples in the number of detected transcripts per cell and in UMI counts per cell used in generating sequencing libraries. On the right, preliminary UMAP plot showing distribution of CA-ERBB2 cells and Control cells. Populations of CA-ERBB2 cells and Control cells.

ERBB2 cells that shifted away from Control cells are depicted by dotted line. **b**,Initial unbiased clustering showing differences between Control cells and CA-ERBB2 cells in the number of clusters and in distribution of cells within the clusters. On right, bar graph showing proportion of Control and CA-ERBB2 cells found in each cluster. **c**, A second unbiased clustering distinguishes subpopulations within clusters C0, C1 and C2, generating ten unique clusters (S0-S9) with two clusters formed by CA-ERBB2 cells only (S4 and S7) and two clusters mostly composed of Control cells (S2 and S5). On right, bar graph showing proportion of Control and CA-ERBB2 cells found in each cluster mostly composed of Control cells (S2 and S5). On right, bar graph showing proportion of Control and CA-ERBB2 cells found in each cluster. Statistical analyses were *performed* with Seurat package in R version 4.1.2.



Figure 2

UMAP plots and violin plots for marker gene transcripts identifying cochlear cells. **a**,Schematic crosssection of the cochlear duct showing the position of different cell types. IHC, inner hair cells; OHC, outer hair cells; GER, greater epithelial ridge; IBC, inner border cells; IPH, interphalangeal cells; IPC, inner pillar cells; OPC, outer pillar cells; DC, Deiter cells; HeC, Hensen cells. **b**,Cluster plot from Fig. 1b, with putative cell type designations. **c**, Three HC markers are presented: *Ccer2, Pvalb*, and *Insm1*. **d**, Two HeC markers are shown: *Fst* and *Nupr1*. **e**, The following marker genes were used to identify Deiter cells: *Hes5*, *Pdzk1ip1*, *S100a1*, *Prss23*, *Lfng*. **f**, Five markers for IPC and OPC are shown: *Cryab*, *Emid1*, *Npy*, *S100b*, and *Smagp*. **g**, Lateral GER cells express *Dcn*, *Ddost*, *Pdia6*, *Rcn3*, *Sdf2l1*. The most informative markers were chosen for display. Cochlear supporting cell identity associated with analyzed marker gene is indicated on UMAP plot. Expression of the HeC and OPC marker gene *Fam159b*, and the IHC marker gene *Acbd7* were not detected.



Figure 3

Analysis of DEGs in CA-ERBB2 cells and top up-expressed genes identified in cluster S4 and S7. a,

Volcano plots showing the distribution of gene expression fold changes and *p* values for DEGs identified in Wilcoxon rank-sum test (left) and Likelihood-Ratio test (right). Top up- and down-regulated genes with mapped IDs in the PANTHER classification system are indicated in the Wilcoxon rank-sum test volcano plot. Selected genes of interest are indicated in the Likelihood-Ratio test volcano plot. Complete list of genes is provided in Supplementary Data 1 and 2. **b**, Dot plots showing the expression distribution of the top 30 genes of cluster S4 and S7 among all clusters. Complete list of up-expressed genes is provided in Supplementary Data 3. **c**, Gene Ontology (GO) term enrichment analysis for up-expressed genes of cluster S4 and S7. Bar charts shows the top 10 enriched GO terms of biological process for S4 and S7, along with corresponding *p*-values (< 0.05). An asterisk (*) next to a *p*-value indicates the term also has a significant *q*-value (<0.05). The *y*-axis represents biological process, and the horizontal axis represents the number of genes, which are listed on the left side of the graph. Complete list of terms is provided in Supplementary Data 4.





Figure 4

STRING protein-protein interaction network of four top up-regulated genes in cluster S4. a, Protein-protein interaction network connectivity among SPP1, TIMP1, MMP9 and DMP1. In (**b**) and (**c**) protein-protein networks are shown with increasing number of closely associated genes. An edge was drawn with colored lines representing a type of evidence used in predicting the associations. A purple line indicates experimental evidence; a light green line indicates text-mining evidence; a light blue line indicates database evidence; a black line indicates co-expression evidence; and a light violet line indicates protein homology. The score interactions are summarized in Table 1. In (**d**), UMAP plot with marked cluster S4 enriched in cells expressing *Spp1*, *Timp1*, *Mmp9* and *Dmp1* in response to induction of ERBB2 signaling. In (**e**), UMAP plots and split violin plots showing up-regulated expression of *Spp1*, *Timp1*, *Mmp9* and *Dmp1* in CA-ERBB2 compared to Control cells in different clusters. **f**, RT-qPCR validation of scRNA-seq analysis performed on GFP+ FACS-sorted cells from P3 cochleae. The level of gene expression in CA-

ERBB2 sample is presented as $\Delta\Delta$ Ct value (± SD) relative to Control (CTRL) normalized against the expression of the two reference genes *Eef1a1*(E) and *Tubb4a* (T) (n=3). Significance (**p*<0.05; ** *p*<0.01) was determined by unpaired *t*-test (one-tailed) analysis.



Figure 5

SPP1 and TIMP1 together with CD44 signaling are up-regulated in cochlear cells two days after CA-ERBB2 induction in noise exposed young adults. a, Timeline of noise exposure (NE), GFP activation (TAM), CA-ERBB2 activation (DOX), and hearing tests (HT) in young adult mice. Mice were exposed to 110 dB octave band noise for 2 hours, and 3 days later injected with doxycycline (DOX) to induce CA-ERBB2 expression. HT were performed 1 DPN to confirm hearing loss. Immunostaining of cochlea sections revealed detection of SPP1 (b), and TIMP1 (c) together with activated CD44 receptor in CA-ERBB2 animals. Immunodetection for hair cells (PVALB, red), and cells competent to express CA-ERBB2 (GFP, green), are shown in panels 1 and 2. Immunodetection of activated CD44 receptor (blue) is shown in panels 1 and 3. Immunodetection of SPP1 and TIMP1 are shown in panel 1, 3 and 4 (gray).





Four days after CA-ERBB2 induction, aggregates of sensory cells can be found within the cochlear duct.

Brightfield low power images are used to show the position of the cellular aggregates, while immunostaining reveals specific markers. **a** and **a'**, GFP (green) and pERBB2 (magenta) are present in an aggregate attached to the spiral limbus, which has little SOX2 (white). **b** and **b'**, A similarly localized aggregate harbors GFP+ cells (green) and rare MYO7+ cells (white), with EdU+ mitotic figures (magenta) in cells lacking GFP. **c** and **c'**, An aggregate near the stria vascularis contains GFP+ cells (green), rare apoptotic cells marked with anti-activated CASP3 (magenta), and compacted epithelial cells revealed by phalloidin staining (white). **d** and **d'**,Another aggregate near the stria vascularis harbors cells with GFP (green) and neighboring cells positive for the supporting cell marker TAK1 (magenta), but no PVALB+ hair cells. **e** and **e'**, A Control mouse lacking the CA-ERBB2 transgene harbors only small clusters of cells (yellow arrow) without GFP expression.

Supplementary Files

This is a list of supplementary files associated with this preprint. Click to download.

- SupplementaryData1copy.xlsx
- SupplementaryData2copy.xlsx
- SupplementaryData3copy.xlsx
- SupplementaryData4copy.xlsx
- SupplementaryMaterialDPP082922.docx
- Table.docx