

Preprints are preliminary reports that have not undergone peer review. They should not be considered conclusive, used to inform clinical practice, or referenced by the media as validated information.

3D Soil Corrosivity signature and model of Delta State, Nigeria for corrosion control

Azubuike H. Amadi (Zazubuikehopeamadi@gmail.com) University of Port Harcourt
Joseph A. Ajienka University of Port Harcourt
Onyewuchi Akaranta University of Port Harcourt
Victor D. Ola University of Port Harcourt
Bernard C. Ekeoma Universiti Teknologi Petronas
Kehinde E. Ajayi University of Port Harcourt

Research Article

Keywords: Corrosivity, Soil Resistivity, Soil pH, Vertical Electrical Sounding, Delta State

Posted Date: September 15th, 2022

DOI: https://doi.org/10.21203/rs.3.rs-2055745/v1

License: (c) This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License. Read Full License

Abstract

The challenge of data availability for accurately assessing a location's level of corrosivity has lingered for so long and as such, researchers are constantly seeking factors with great influence that can assist in describing how corrosive a location will be toward buried oil and gas infrastructure. Alternative measures are required for making rapid and realistic investment decisions because accumulating these factors to make perfect sense is sometimes time-consuming and expensive. Using MATLAB mathematical computational analysis, this study capitalizes on this gap to build a 3D corrosivity signature and model for Delta state, Nigeria to aid in rapid and realistic investment decision-making. The soil pH and resistivity were identified as key variables that determine the extent of corrosion in this investigation. Vertical Electrical Soundings were utilized to collect soil resistivity data, which was then combined with the soil pH to create a 3D corrosivity signature and model with a 98% R-square factor. During the study, potential limitations were found, and recommendations were made.

1. Introduction

Industries irrespective of their product line aim to optimize the efficiency of their production process by maximizing output, profit, quality, and safety while minimizing cost and losses. The concept of corrosion is of primary importance in the bid to achieve effective cost and loss control, as corrosion represents a costly problem (economic and structural integrity) for metal-using industries amongst which the oil and gas industry is paramount (Zhang and Pan 2019). Corrosion is the degradation and loss of essential properties in metals as a result of electrochemical or chemical and other reactions of the metallic material surface with the enclosing environment. Refined metals are converted into more stable compounds including metal sulphides, metal oxides, and metal hydroxides during this unfavourable process (Zehra et al. 2022). Cardinal corrosion agents include water, air humidity, oils, acids, chemicals, and environmental conditions such as temperature and pH (Balbo et al. 2022). Uniform corrosion is a term used when the loss of metal occurs evenly over the entire exposed surface while the local disintegration of passive layers protecting the material from the environment as seen in pitting and crevice corrosions is called Localized corrosion (Bharatiya et al. 2019). Unfortunately, it is not feasible to completely prevent corrosion as doing so would mean a complete absence of the corrosion agents which is not practically possible. Therefore, controlling the corrosion occurrence rate has been proffered as the most economical solution to its rising challenges.

This review elucidates the current advances in corrosion control in the oil and gas industry, using the present state, challenges, and adopted mitigation measures for corrosion in the oil and gas industrial sector of Delta State, Nigeria as a yardstick. Delta State is named after the Niger Delta (delta of the Niger River sitting directly on the Gulf of Guinea on the Atlantic Ocean with a large portion of it located in Delta State). Owing to the huge deposits of crude oil in Delta, the state is home to several multinational and locally owned oil and gas companies of which the Warri Refining and Petrochemical Company is notable and contributes majorly to the national petroleum products stock. Delta state is ranked to be among the top three oil-producing states in Nigeria alongside Akwa Ibom State and Rivers State and accounts for

21.56% of the National oil production (Enyoghasim et al. 2019). Pipelines are currently the preferred medium for the transportation of crude and refined oils to different process stations across Nigeria and cover several kilometres underground to avoid contact with external influences. The soil is usually corrosive due to the presence of baneful chemicals and microbes that induces the deterioration of pipes through corrosion. Corrosion is hence a primary in-service defect leading to pipeline failures, thereby emphasizing the need to study its mechanism and control alternatives. The severity of corrosion and losses due to it is better appreciated when examined with respect to the associated costs. In reports by the National Association of Corrosion Engineers (NACE), global annual costs related to corrosion are about \$2.5 trillion which represents 3.4% of the 2013 global gross domestic product (GDP)(NACE 2006). Direct costs are expenses involved in the process of maintaining, repairing, and replacing equipment that has been corroded. The indirect consequences of corrosion include decreases in productivity, environmental effects, injuries, halted transportation, and fatalities. Previous reports suggest that 20–25% savings can be made on the annual direct costs of corrosion with the proper use of corrosion monitoring and control technologies (Ameh et al. 2018).

Major factors influencing soil corrosivity are aeration, pH (acidity), moisture content & resistivity and temperature (Wasim et al. 2018). Much emphasis will be placed on pH & resistivity in this review considering the relatively superior role they play in deciding the corrosivity of soil. The rate of corrosion and soil pH are inversely related. In other words, the lower the soil pH (more acidic), the higher the corrosion rate (Wasim et al. 2018). pH levels of 5 or lower cause pipelines to prematurely pit and experience extremely high rates of corrosion (Wasim et al. 2018). Soil pH depends highly on the amount of rainfall, vegetation type, and soil drainage. Since different metal materials react differently to the pH of a soil, soil pH is thus very significant when corrosion studies are being done. Steel, zinc, iron, and lead are very susceptible to corrosion caused by low soil pH while copper is a bit more resistant as hydrogen ions are generally not involved in the copper corrosion process (Hou et al. 2016). In comparison to other variables, moisture content is the most important factor influencing corrosivity (Ahmad Saupi et al. 2016). Corrosion won't happen if the soil is fully dry because water is one of the three necessary components for electrochemical corrosion (the other two are oxygen and metal). According to experimental data, soils' corrosive potential is increased when their moisture content and the amount of ionic soluble salts present are both increased (Hou et al. 2016). Soil resistivity which measures the extent to which a soil resists electricity and is considered the most comprehensive indicator of a soil's corrosivity essentially has an inverse relationship with corrosivity. Corrosivity increases as soil resistivity decreases (Wasim et al. 2018).

Vertical electrical sounding (VES), the method of interest in this review, is one of the most popular ways to measure the soil resistivity of the ground vs depth. VES is a geophysical method for examining a geological medium that focuses on detecting the electrical field voltage caused by far-off grounded electrodes in order to determine the medium's electrical conductivity (Shendi 2020).

To properly address corrosion issues, it is pertinent to understand the soil activities in a region. Thus, the need for regional corrosivity signature or mapping. In simple terms, this involves the production of

signatures from numerous geographic regions, illustrating the macroscopic variations in environmental corrosivity (atmospheric corrosivity, soil corrosivity, and so on). This review will therefore provide a comprehensive report on the current trends in soil corrosion in Delta state and present a model and a 3D corrosivity signature for corrosion control.

2. Materials And Experimental Method

The variables and materials utilized to develop the corrosivity mapping for Delta State were covered in this section. First, several literary works were used to discuss the value of Delta State's soil resistivity in the corrosivity study. A method for acquiring resistivity data called VES was provided, and comparisons with other methods were done. In order to set the stage for the proposed corrosivity mapping for the area, a critical examination of pH within Delta was followed by a discussion of MATLAB paradigms for exploring subsurface corrosion.

2.1 Soil Resistivity in Delta State

The earth is made up of various materials in different levels of strata. These materials within the earth give it different properties across different locations which makes it serve as a reservoir for excessive charges (Obukoeroro and Uguru 2021) i.e., some of which include electrical properties of conductivity and resistivity. The resistance of soil, which measures how well the soil resists the flow of electricity, can vary significantly depending on moisture, temperature, and chemical content, with typical values between 1 Ω m and 100 Ω m and exceptional values between 1 Ω m and 10,000 Ω m (Oyubu 2015). Soil resistivity is the measurement of the resistance between two opposite ends of a soil cube with a one-meter side dimension (Johnson 2006). The electrical resistivity method has been the most widely used method for delineating formation strata because of its measurement equipment portability, ease of operation, and utility in efficient and cost-effective drilling programs(Chinyem 2013).

Using various measuring techniques, numerous studies have attempted to characterise the range of the soil resistivity across various areas in Delta state (Obukoeroro and Uguru 2021). It is however noted that resistivity differs significantly across the lithologies in the different locations and across depths in all the studies. Factors such as the compaction of the soil, moisture content, and soil nature/texture (clay, sandy, coarseness) contribute to soil resistivity(Igbologe and Okieke 2022). Igbologe(Igbologe and Okieke 2022) agreed with(Oyubu 2015) that soil resistivity varies with the geotechnical and physiochemical properties of the location under study and thus it is bound to find different resistivity values across Delta State as seen in Table 1. It varies vertically as well as horizontally(Unde and Tathe 2020). In fact, soil resistivity varies from season to season in each location i.e., it varies from dry season to rainy season(Unde and Tathe 2020), which can mean that soil resistivity used for safe design in one season can become unsafe in another season(Kushare and Unde 2013).

Table 1 Soil resistivity data studies across Niger Delta

Niger Delta States	Soil resistivity	Location	Ref.
Delta	158.15−820 Ω-m	Delta State Polytechnic, Ozoro	(Obukoeroro and Uguru 2021)
Delta	Location 1–820.06 Ω -m, Location 2–158.3 Ω -m, Location 3–402.18 Ω -m, Location 4–270.2 Ω -m	Different resistivity values measure in the Faculty of Engineering Complex, DELSU, Ozoro Campus.	(Oyubu 2015)
Delta	60-6000 Ω-m	DELSU, Abraka	(Ofomola et al. 2018)
Delta	42–15,000 Ω-m	Sapele Area	(Uchegbulam and Ayolabi 2014)
Delta	29.3–349.9 Ω-m	Ogbe-ljoh resistivity measurement data	(Ohwoghere- Asuma et al. 2020)
Cross River	Layer 1–1.03–183 Ω-m Layer 2–613 – 1,800,000 Ω-m Layer 3–525 – 10,541 Ω-m	Mbat-Odukpani	(Danladi Shehu et al. 2016)
Bayelsa	1,294.60−2,058,753.79 Ω-m	Yenagoa city	(Okiongbo et al. 2011)
Niger Delta	2.4–3,394 Ω-m	Niger Delta	(Okiongbo and Ogobiri 2013)

2.2 Vertical Electrical Sounding

There are two different methods of resistivity surveying that can be used to measure resistivity in a field procedure. They have constant separation and vertical electronic sounding (Okiongbo et al. 2011). The retention of current and potential electrodes in a straight line with the same relative spacing around a fixed central point is the basis of VES (Okiongbo and Ogobiri 2013). The variation of resistivity with depth from a specific point on the ground for nearly horizontal formation layers beneath is determined by electrical sounding. The Schlumberger array is frequently used for VES, with the current electrode dipole moving from one point to another and the potential electrode dipoles remaining fixed. According to (Haldar 2018), the VES method, is less expensive to conduct per unit length and more sensitive to both vertical and lateral electrical structures than other one-dimensional methods like electromagnetic, hence, it is the best geophysical tool for measuring soil resistivity.

Table 2Soil resistivity measurement through VES using the Schlumberger Array Method

Region	Tool	Soil Variable	Weakness	Strengths	Ref.
Issele- Azagba, Delta State	VES	Resistivity (441.4 Ω.m - 5657 Ω.m)	Study didn't give PH value of the soil in the regions	Successful use of VES to determine layers and characteristics of the lithologies of the area studied for underground aquifer identification	(Manu et al. 2019)
Niger Delta	Weight Loss Method	Resistivity (54 Ω.m - 62 Ω.m)	The study did not examine how much soil resistivity, as opposed to soil pH, affected the corrosion of buried steel pipes.	The experimental research showed that soil resistivity and pH are both dominating causes of corrosion of the buried pipes.	(Abdullahi et al. 2015)
Niger Delta	VES	Resistivity (5 Ω.m − 481 Ω.m	The temperature of the region was not put into account as a factor that can influence the soil resistivity.	It considered the effect of soil resistivity on buried pipe corrosion at varying soil depth from undulating coastal plain lowland to coastal beach ridges.	(Iserhien- Emekeme 2014)
Kaduna, Nigeria	VES	Resistivity (Avg. 72.13 Ω.m)	Disperse samples from few regions in Kaduna cannot be used to generalise soil resistivity level of the entire state as they are area of more industrial activities than the other.	According to the study, the soil corrosion spectrum is stochastically changeable, generally varies as one descends underground, and is mildly corrosive on average. It ranges from aggressive at depths of less than about 0.5 m to mildly corrosive at about 4.5 m, considering the soil's resistivity.	(lkechukwu et al. 2014; Okiongbo et al. 2019)
Bangalore, India	Wenner- method	Resistivity (345 Ω.m - 568 Ω.m)	The pH values of the moistures in the study area were not considered experimentally since the dissolved salts and temperature have a substantial impact on the soil's resistivity value.	This study focuses on measuring soil resistivity throughout the year for several seasons in order to determine the worst value to utilise in design calculations.	(Okiongbo et al. 2019)

Region	Tool	Soil Variable	Weakness	Strengths	Ref.
North Aceh, Indonesia	Wenner method	Resistivity (10 Ω.m - 200 Ω.m)	Corrosion potential and soil acidity, which must be considered to choose the best sort of protection at each research site, were not considered.	The study was able to demonstrate the role of soil resistivity in identifying possible areas for pipeline corrosion.	(Guma et al. 2015)
Sydney, Australia	LPR	Resistivity (4 Ω.m – 185 Ω.m)	Only electrical current flow was included in the study; as a result, resistivity could not serve as a substitute for the electrolytic ionic diffusion of metal ions.	It demonstrated that air spaces at the soil or metal contact promote differential aeration, which significantly controls corrosion in soil.	(Prabhakar and Deshpande 2014)

2.3 pH in Delta State

The changes that take place over the course of a pipeline's life will affect any corrosion that occurs to it, whether it be internal, external, or atmospheric (Matloub et al. 2018). The biological and chemical processes of the water in the formation are impacted by the pH, one of the environmental elements, which causes variations in the rate of corrosion based on its level and the type of buried metal (Cordes et al. 2016). Materials are naturally vulnerable as they tend to attain thermodynamic equilibrium or stability, which in turn makes them easily attacked by changes in-situ (Pedeferri 2018). Protective oxide layers have a tendency to dissolve, and corrosion rates increase for common steel, iron, and cast iron when the pH falls below around 4 (Wasim et al. 2017).

This reaction varies from metal to metal, though, and however, due to the fact that hydrogen ions are often not engaged in copper corrosion, metals like copper are mostly unaffected by pH (Ngah et al. 2017). Corrosion of copper normally occurs at a rate that is 1/6 that of iron (Yarmolenko 2021). Another amphoteric metal is aluminium, it corrodes at both low and high pH values. However, within a roughly pH range of 5 to 8.5, corrosivity has little effect on it. When the pH is beyond such bounds, the rate of corrosion increases significantly (Boukerche et al. 2014). Another example is lead and the pH rises significantly outside the range of 4–10 (Wasim et al. 2017). An amphoteric metal, zinc is used to galvanize steel to increase its durability. In other words, zinc corrodes in settings with both high pH values (higher than 12) and low pH values (below roughly 5 to 6). While at a slower rate than iron, zinc corrodes in the same types of soils (Vu et al. 2013).

Corrosion is brought on by the reaction's loss of metal atoms. When the oxide is gone, the metal surface comes into direct contact with the acid solution, which speeds up the corrosion reaction compared to

when the pH is higher (Matloub et al. 2018). Therefore, the type of underground pipelines and the amount of dissolved oxygen in the formation water determine how the pH level affects the rate of metal corrosion.

The battle between H + and the dissolved metal for ligands typically intensifies when soil pH drops, decreasing the metals' capacity for adsorption and bioavailability while increasing the mobility of heavy metals. The pH values at Delta state show a general moderate tendency for corrosion of steel pipes as the range is between 5.22 to 7.86. However, most publications discuss carbon dioxide, (Wasim et al. 2017) which is commonly created by soil-based organic matter that is decaying. Yes, this atmosphere is likely to encourage corrosion, but a slow strain rate test without bubbling carbon dioxide (H.B.Xue and Y.F.Cheng 2014) for pipeline steel also revealed some embrittlement because ferrous ions interact with these species, and the pH & carbonate-bicarbonate equilibrium determine the stress corrosion of carbon steel (de Sena et al. 2012).

Tabl	e 3		
Studies on the soil	pH of	Delta	State

Location	pH Range	Average pH	Degree of Corrosivity	Ref.
Abbi	5.82- 6.21	6.02	Moderately corrosive	(Osayande 2016)
Sapele	6.47- 6.53	6.50	Neutral	(Osayande 2016)
Warri	7.02- 7.18	7.10	Neutral	(Osayande 2016)
Agbor	5.05- 5.55	5.30	Moderately corrosive	(Osayande 2016)
Asaba	5.20- 6.10	5.65	Moderate corrosive	(Akpoveta et al. 2011)
Bomadi	5.17- 5.48	5.33	Moderately corrosive	(Osayande 2016)
Ughelli	5.37- 5.50	5.43	Moderately corrosive	(Osayande 2016)
Isoko	5.11- 5.74	5.43	Moderately corrosive	(S. A 2014)
Abraka	7.01- 7.07	7.04	Neutral	(Akpoveta et al. 2011)
Agbor	7.74-	7.86	Neutral	(Akpoveta et al. 2011)
Oghara	5 10-	5.75	Moderately	(Anegbe et al. 2018)
Ovade-Ogharefe	6.40	5.85 5.22	Moderately corrosive	(Irunkwor and Ngerebara
Omavovwe - Agbarba	ovwe - 4.20-			(Martin 1993)
	5.16- 5.28		Moderately corrosive	(martin, 1990)

2.4 3D Signature using MATLAB

MATLAB is a dynamic mathematical computational analytical platform that can generate results in twodimensional and three-dimensional viewpoints (François et al., 2021). Its wide range of flexibility makes it ideal for simulations of real-world settings when the variables causing the changes are known. Table 4 shows corrosion investigations done with MATLAB and highlights flaws that researchers have not addressed yet.

Table 4	
Corrosion signatures using Computational Numerical Analy	ysis

Software	Corrosion	Variables	Strengths	Weakness	Ref.
MATLAB	Erosion	Corrosion rate, speed of agitation, temperature	The 3D signature created a visible interaction between the independent variables which could be easily compared with similar corrosion studies.	The 3D mapping changes with temperature and agitation, therefore it is only a representation of 0.1N HCL attack under agitation and temperature changes	(Abdelhadi et al. 2010)
MATLAB	Stress cracking	XYZ Morphology of corroded concrete sample	The flexibility of viewing corrosion damage from different angles and cross-sectional areas	Analysis on MATLAB was limited to surface effect and the inability of estimating the rate of stress cracking from the signature.	(Xiao et al. 2022)
MATLAB	Stray current corrosion protection	Rail current & Distance, Leakage current & distance, stray current & distance	The impact of NaCl in soil was easily observed from variances in signatures as stray current changes.	2D signatures were used to describe the rail drainage network-earth	(Li et al. 2021)
MATLAB	General corrosion of buried pipelines	Corrosion rate, Chloride, and pH, Corrosion rate, sulfate and pH, Corrosion rate, chloride, and sulfate	The clarity in differences between the impacts of chloride, sulfate, and pH. Models were developed to aid the protection of buried pipelines.	Models are limited to experimental ranges; signatures are not absolute but were rater used to clarify findings.	(Chung et al. 2021)
MATLAB	Concrete surface corrosion	XYZ morphology of eroded and uneroded samples	Clear surface discrepancies, easily color-coded to identify unique layers	Corrosion is time- dependent, but the 3D views in the study were static at a particular time.	(Xiao et al. 2021)

Software	Corrosion	Variables	Strengths	Weakness	Ref.
MATLAB	Corrosion under insulation (buried pipelines)	Temperature, insulation type, and corrosion rate. Corrosion rate, temperature, and environment type	Determined the extent of corrosion under insulation, a pictorial description of changes in 3D, accounted for pipe complexities.	One signature does not represent all conditions, it is not environment- specific, scarcity of data made the presentation limited.	(Mohsin et al. 2019)

For a good 3D corrosivity signature, a linear polynomial regression analysis was conducted on MATLAB and the soil resistivity and soil pH were the variables serving as a function of the corrosion severity F(x,y). The general formula for polynomial regression is represented in Eq. 1, however, this can be expanded as the powers and variables increase during regression.

$$y=\sum_{i=1}^{n+1}p_ix^{n+1-i}$$

1

A pilot test was conducted based on the soil pH and soil resistivity data from works of literature in section 2.1 and 2.3 of this work. Also, Eq. 1 served as a guide for developing the model for the Delta state corrosivity using MATLAB. The 95% confidence boundary and goodness of fit are important conditions during regressions that consider large variables (Abbas et al., 2018), therefore, these boundary conditions were also considered while arriving at the 3D corrosivity signature to guide our inferences.

3 Results And Discussion

In order to confirm the concepts presented in this study, which is to develop a corrosivity 3D signature that would be used as analogue data for decision-making, a pilot test was carried out in Delta state. A 26-point data was obtained, and its soil pH and Soil resistivity were related to numerical corrosivity level based on the proposals of works of literature that have stated that corrosivity might be of different levels. The assumption made was that the extent of corrosivity down the acidic scale is similar to the alkaline scale. Therefore, the soil resistivities of > 10,000 Ω .m, 1,000 > x > 10,000 Ω .m, 100 > x > 1,000 Ω .m, 100 > x > 1000 Ω .m, 100 > x > 100 Ω .m, 100 > x > 100 Ω .m, 100 Ω .m

The signature developed is unique to Delta state to the extent of correctness of data generated, this could serve as an analogue representation of the state's corrosivity and can be compared with that of other states or regions were similar underground activities are done. The spread of corrosivity suggested that soil pH and resistivity have a clear relation to the level of corrosivity and the severity decreases as soil resistivity increases and as soil pH tends to 7. Reviews in this study suggested that the Delta state soil pH over time is within the range of 5.22–7.86 disregarding external effects of human action. This means that the blue shades indicated low corrosivity while the yellow shades imply higher corrosivity experienced mostly around acidic regions (Guma et al. 2015; Wasim et al. 2018). A second-degree polynomial model was further generated to express the relationship between the two variables (soil pH and resistivity) with respect to corrosivity as shown in Eq. 2.

$$f(x,y) = p00 + p10.x + p01.y + p11.x.y + p02.y^{2}$$

2

The coefficients from Eq. 2 at 95% confidence bounds are represented by:

$$p00 = 10.9(5.84, 15.97)$$

 $p10 = -0.000589(-0.0007971, -0.0003809)$
 $p01 = -1.299(-3.008, 0.4094)$
 $p11 = 7.924 \times 10^{-5}(4.922 \times 10^{-5}, 0.0001093)$
 $p02 = -0.002902(-0.147, 0.1412)$

Four statistical tools were used to describe the Goodness of fit of the correlation in Eq. 1 and they are SSE which was 0.218 (22%), R-square factor which was 0.9872 (99%), Adjusted R-square factor which was 0.9846 (98%) and the RMSE which was 0.1044 (10%). The four tools all suggested that the model made a good representation of the data. We could further infer that the R-square factor suggested that there is a strong influence of soil pH and soil Resistivity on the extent of corrosiveness of an environment, regardless, there are possibly other factors that have little impact on the corrosivity (Chinyem 2013; Cordes et al. 2016). Furthermore, the correlations represented on the contour plots and signatures, make it easier for an engineer or operator to know the specifications of materials best fit or coating thickness or composition for underground pipe laying in an environment since Ngah, et al. (2017) have earlier suggested that metals corrosivity are highly influenced by the soil nature (pH and resistivity).

Outlook

Table 5 gives a brief description of challenges and shortcomings with some proposed study pathways to guide further research in related study areas.

Table 5 Study Outlook

Challenges & Shortcomings	Proposed study pathway
Time duration of pipe burial are mostly not mentioned by most researchers. This could help the researcher know the extent of the impact of such pH values on the pipes. Also, most studies do not make complete use of all variables that	Time-dependent corrosion model that the shows degree of temperature and the pH level when the pipes are buried should be considered for further studies.
affect the corrosion of buried pipelines. Limiting the variables being investigated creates more gaps in the study.	Models for corrosion of buried pipelines should accommodate all variables capable of influencing corrosion to ensure a complete study and possibilities of sensitivity analysis for individual variables on the generalised model.
Reviews have shown that there is a paucity in the use of signatures to map an area or identify its unique corrosivity nature.	More studies are needed on the development of corrosivity signatures in 2D or 3D, to generate a database for analogue data which aids in quick decision-making. Furthermore, more variables can be included to improve the representation of the environment, since this study suggests that the model used for developing the signature for Delta state is not a 100% representation (see R-square value).
The accuracy of the tools used for soil resistivity checks declines the farther away the electrodes are from the source. For an extensive survey, a wide range is needed, making operators repeat the measurements repeatedly.	Improved soil resistivity measurement tools are encouraged, to capture a wider range with more accuracy, get measurements while drilling or pipelaying, and consider both the horizontal and vertical discrepancies in soil resistivity.
The relationship between pH and corrosivity is not linear as the corrosivity signature for Delta turned out to be a curve and at various stages of impact based on the soil resistivity variable, contours showing changes in severity were observed, however, the reasons and to what extent were not covered by this study.	The extent of the spread between contours describing corrosivity can further be studied to know the reasons behind the gap and this can be done by having a larger sample and comparison between regions.
Also, soil pH could change over time based on the activities occurring in that location. This means that you can take a reading today and see something different the next day.	It is advisable for pH readings of an environment to be taken during the periods when that region experiences its worse environmental impact, such as the peak of the rainy season, or maximum industry emission
Resistivity differs vertically and horizontally, and hence it is affected by lithology, topography, and even seasonal changes. A design that is safe in the dry season can be unsafe in the wet season.	Soil resistivity does not predict the totality of corrosion severity, therefore must be paired with other variables to get a representative value for a case study.

Challenges & Shortcomings

Both pH and soil resistivity are factors necessary for identifying the severity of corrosion of a material. And since materials used for underground pipelaying could be different in chemical and mechanical properties, the extent to which it is affected by corrosion also differs. Therefore, it is pertinent to identify the level of corrosivity of a region to know the best materials to use during service

Proposed study pathway

Interventions are constantly made to alloy, coat, protect, and improve materials to meet the needs of an environment due to the detrimental actions of corrosion. Most materials are not stable and constantly try to return to their original state (Pedeferri 2018), making them always susceptible to corrosion.

Conclusion

In conclusion, the evaluations show that the corrosivity of an environment is an important factor to consider when making decisions, and that soil resistivity and pH are key predictors of corrosion severity over time. Also, the relation between soil pH, resistivity, and corrosivity is not linear but polynomial, with an R-square factor of 98%. Several studies have also been employed to depict corrosion activities of buried pipelines, with both 2D and 3D viewpoints being used to clarify illustration; nevertheless, 3D stands out due to its static and dynamic nature. The 3D corrosivity signature, created with MATLAB, covered the gap of not having a static representation of an environment in terms of corrosivity, and the signature can be used as analogue data for critical comparisons with other regions, making the material selection for underground facilities and corrosion decision-making easier. In general, the findings suggest that Delta state is a moderately corrosive region. However, to solidify the 3D corrosivity signature of Delta, a more comprehensive assessment of the state (particularly oil-producing regions and areas where underground pipelaying may be viable) is required to develop a standard corrosivity signature for the region. This might be extended beyond Delta state to the entire Niger Delta region, where oil and gas activities are prevalent in Nigeria, and a general corrosivity signature and mapping could be completed for widespread corrosion control and management.

Abbreviations

GDP Gross Domestic Product LGA Local Government Area LPR Linear Polarization Resistance NACE National Association of Corrosion Engineers VES Vertical Electrical Soundings

Declarations

Credit Author Contribution Statement

Azubuike H. Amadi: Conceptualization, writing original draft, Methodology, Validation, Writing-reviewediting, Data curation. Joseph A. Ajienka: Conceptualization, Supervision, Review-editing, Methodology. Onyewuchi Akaranta: Supervision & Review. Victor D. Ola: Writing, review & editing. Bernard C. Ekeoma: Writing & Editing. Kehinde E. Ajayi: Writing & Editing.

Funding

This research was funded by World Bank through the World Bank Africa Center of Excellence in Oilfield Chemical Research (ACE-CEFOR), University of Portharcourt, Nigeria.

Declaration of Competing Interest

No conflict of interest

Acknowledgments

The authors acknowledge the World Bank Africa Center of Excellence in Oilfield Chemicals Research, University of Port Harcourt for support in achieving the aims of this study. We also acknowledge the supervisory effort of Prof. Joseph A. Ajienka and Prof. Onyewuchi Akaranta for their guidance toward the success of this research.

Abbreviations

- GDP Gross Domestic Product
- LGA Local Government Area
- LPR Linear Polarization Resistance
- NACE National Association of Corrosion Engineers
- VES Vertical Electrical Soundings

References

- 1. Abbas, M. H., Norman, R., Charles, A. (2018). Neural network modelling of high pressure CO2 corrosion in pipeline steels. Process Safety and Environmental Protection, *119*, 36–45. https://doi.org/10.1016/J.PSEP.2018.07.006
- 2. Abdelhadi, A. N., Abbasi, G. Y., Saeed, F. (2010). Modeling and Analysis of the Corrosion Behavior of Iron in Aerated 0.1N HCl, AT Various Temperatures and Speed of Agitation using ANOVA and

MATLAB. Int. J. Electrochem. Sci, 5, 1665–1674. www.electrochemsci.org

- Abdullahi, M. G., Toriman, M. E., Gasim, M. B. (2015). The Application of Vertical Electrical Sounding (VES) for Groundwater Exploration in Tudun Wada Kano State, Nigeria. Journal of Geology & Geophysics, 4(1), 1–3. https://doi.org/10.4172/2329-6755.1000186
- Ahmad Saupi, S. R., Abdul Haris, N. I. H., Masri, M. N., Sulaiman, M. A., Abu Bakar, M. B., Mohamad Amini, M. H., Mohamed, M., Nik Yusuf, N. A. A. (2016). Effects of Soil Physical Properties to the Corrosion of Underground Pipelines. Materials Science Forum, *840*, 309–314. https://doi.org/10.4028/WWW.SCIENTIFIC.NET/MSF.840.309
- Akpoveta, O., Osakwe, S., Okoh, B., Otuya, B. (2011). Physicochemical Characteristics and Levels of Some Heavy Metals in Soils around Metal Scrap Dumps in Some Parts of Delta State, Nigeria. Journal of Applied Sciences and Environmental Management, *14*(4). https://doi.org/10.4314/jasem.v14i4.63258
- Ameh, E. S., Ikpeseni, S. C., Lawal, L. S. (2018). A Review of Field Corrosion Control and Monitoring Techniques of the Upstream Oil and Gas Pipelines. Nigerian Journal of Technological Development, *14*(2), 67–73. https://doi.org/10.4314/njtd.v14i2.
- Anegbe, B., Okuo, J. M., Atenaga, M., Ighodaro, A., Emina, A., Oladejo, N. A. (2018). Distribution and Speciation of Heavy Metals in Soils around Some Selected Auto Repair Workshops in Oghara, Delta State, Nigeria. International Journal of Environment, Agriculture and Biotechnology, *3*(2), 574–584. https://doi.org/10.22161/ijeab/3.2.35
- Balbo, A., Ritter, S., Seifert, H.-P. (2022). Impact of Chloride on the Environmentally-Assisted Crack Initiation Behaviour of Low-Alloy Steel under Boiling Water Reactor Conditions. *Corrosion and Materials Degradation 2022, Vol. 3, Pages 178–191, 3*(2), 178–191. https://doi.org/10.3390/CMD3020010
- Bharatiya, U., Gal, P., Agrawal, A., Shah, M., Sircar, A. (2019). Effect of Corrosion on Crude Oil and Natural Gas Pipeline with Emphasis on Prevention by Ecofriendly Corrosion Inhibitors: A Comprehensive Review. Journal of Bio- and Tribo-Corrosion 2019 *5:2*, *5*(2), 1–12. https://doi.org/10.1007/S40735-019-0225-9
- Boukerche, I., Djerad, S., Benmansour, L., Tifouti, L., Saleh, K. (2014). Degradability of aluminum in acidic and alkaline solutions. Corrosion Science, *78*, 343–352. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.corsci.2013.10.019
- 11. Chinyem, F. I. (2013). Hydrogeophysical Investigation of Asaba Area, Delta State, Nigeria. Indian Journal of Science and Technology, *6*(5), 4453–4458. www.indjst.org
- Chung, N. T., So, Y. S., Kim, W. C., Kim, J. G. (2021). Evaluation of the Influence of the Combination of pH, Chloride, and Sulfate on the Corrosion Behavior of Pipeline Steel in Soil Using Response Surface Methodology. Materials 2021, *Vol. 14, Page 6596, 14*(21), 6596. https://doi.org/10.3390/MA14216596
- 13. Cordes, E. E., Jones, D. O. B., Schlacher, T. A., et al. (2016). Environmental impacts of the deep-water oil and gas industry: A review to guide management strategies. Frontiers in Environmental Science,

4(SEP). https://doi.org/10.3389/fenvs.2016.00058

- 14. Danladi Shehu, A., Abdulrahman, A., George, V. E. (2016). *Assessment of the extent of soil corrosivity using vertical electrical sounding: a case study of Mbat-Odukpani*, Cross River, Nigeria. *17*(1).
- de Sena, R. A., Bastos, I. N., Platt, G. M. (2012). Theoretical and Experimental Aspects of the Corrosivity of Simulated Soil Solutions. *ISRN Chemical Engineering*, 2012, 1–6. https://doi.org/10.5402/2012/103715
- 16. Enyoghasim, M. O., Anochiwa, L., Agbanike, F. T., Uwazie, I. U., Kalu, E. U., Onwuka, O. K., Okwor, S. A., Ogbonnaya, I. O. (2019). Oil exploration and exploitation in Nigeria and the challenge of sustainable development: An assessment of the Niger Delta. International Journal of Energy Economics and Policy, 9(4), 369–380. https://doi.org/10.32479/IJEEP.7812
- François, S., Schevenels, M., Dooms, D., Jansen, M., Wambacq, J., Lombaert, G., Degrande, G., de Roeck, G. (2021). Stabil: An educational Matlab toolbox for static and dynamic structural analysis. Computer Applications in Engineering Education, *29*(5), 1372–1389. https://doi.org/10.1002/CAE.22391
- 18. Guma, T., Mohammed, S., Taminu, A. (2015). Effect soil resistivity in potential corrosion in underground pipelines area. Int. J. Sci. Eng. Res., *3*(12), 7−10.
- 19. Haldar, S. K. (2018). Exploration Geophysics. In *Mineral Exploration* (2nd ed., pp. 103–122). Elsevier. https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-12-814022-2.00006-X
- 20. H.B.Xue, Y.F.Cheng. (2014). Electrochemical corrosion behavior of X80 pipeline steel in a near-neutral pH solution. Materials and Corrosion, *61*(9), 756–761.
- Hou, Y., Lei, D., Li, S., Yang, W., Li, C. Q. (2016). Experimental Investigation on Corrosion Effect on Mechanical Properties of Buried Metal Pipes. *International Journal of Corrosion*, 2016. https://doi.org/10.1155/2016/5808372
- Igbologe, O., Okieke, U. (2022). Evaluation of the Earth's Electrical Properties of the Undeveloped Area at the Delta State University of Science and Technology, Ozoro, Nigeria. Advances in Research, 6(5), 1–10. https://doi.org/10.9734/air/2022/v23i230325
- 23. Ikechukwu, S. A., Ugochukwu, H. N., Ejimofor, R. A., Obioma, E. (2014). Correlation between Soil Properties and External Corrosion Growth rate of Carbon Steel. International Journal of Applied Engineering, 2(10), 38–47. www.theijes.com
- 24. Irunkwor, T. C., Ngerebara, O. D. (2018). *Soil Physico-Chemical Charateristics And Metalic Corrosion In Parts Of The Niger Delta. 3*, 63–66.
- Iserhien-Emekeme, R. E. (2014). Vertical Electrical Sounding of Water-Bearing Sub-Surface of Issele-Azagba in Southern Nigeria. Natural Resources, *05*(12), 772–781. https://doi.org/10.4236/nr.2014.512066
- 26. Johnson, N. (2006). *Earthing Manual Section E3 Soil Resistivity Measurement*. https://pdfcoffee.com/manual-soil-resistivity-wenner-method-pdf-free.html
- 27. Kushare, B. E., Unde, M. G. (2013). Impact of seasonal variation of soil resistivity on safety of substation grounding system. *Fifth International Conference on Advances in Recent Technologies in*

Communication and Computing (ARTCom 2013), 173–182. https://doi.org/10.1049/cp.2013.2199

- 28. Li, Y., Jiao, M., Wang, Y. (2021). Effect of Soil Salt Content on Stray Current Distribution in Urban Rail Transit. IEEE Access, *9*, 168433–168443. https://doi.org/10.1109/ACCESS.2021.3132630
- Manu, E., Agyekum, W. A., Duah, A. A., Tagoe, R., Preko, K. (2019). Application of vertical electrical sounding for groundwater exploration of Cape Coast municipality in the Central Region of Ghana. Arabian Journal of Geosciences, *12*(6), 1–11. https://doi.org/10.1007/s12517-019-4374-4
- 30. Martin, B. A. (1993). Telluric effects on a buried pipeline. Corrosion, 49(4), 343-350.
- Matloub, F. K., Sulaiman, M. M., Shareef, Z. N. (2018). Investigating the effect of PH and salt concentration on cathodic protection of pipe-lines. International Journal of Mechanical Engineering and Technology, 9(5), 474–480.
- 32. Mohsin, K. M., Mokhtar, A. A., W Tse, P. (2019). A fuzzy logic method: Predicting corrosion under insulation of piping systems with modelling of CUI 3D surfaces. International Journal of Pressure Vessels and Piping, *175*, 103929. https://doi.org/10.1016/J.IJPVP.2019.103929
- 33. NACE. (2006, December 1). Design, Fabrication, and Inspection of Storage Tank Systems for Concentrated Fresh and Process Sulfuric Acid and Oleum at Ambient Temperatures. GlobalSpec. https://standards.globalspec.com/std/1005100/NACE%20SP0294
- Ngah, A. S., Braide, S., Dike, C. C. (2017). Physico-Chemistry of Elechi Creek in the Upper Bonny Estuary, Rivers State, Nigeria. Journal of Geoscience and Environment Protection, 05(08), 181–197. https://doi.org/10.4236/gep.2017.58015
- Obukoeroro, J., Uguru, H. E. (2021). Evaluating the geotechnical and electrical properties of soil samples around Delta State Polytechnic, Ozoro, Nigeria. Applied Journal of Physical Science, *3*(1), 9–17. https://doi.org/10.31248/AJPS2021.042
- 36. Ofomola, M. O., Iserhien-Emekeme, R. E., Okocha, F. O., Adeoye, T. O. (2018). Evaluation of subsoil competence for foundation studies at site III of the Delta State University, Nigeria. Journal of Geophysics and Engineering, *15*(3), 638–657. https://doi.org/10.1088/1742-2140/aaa073
- 37. Ohwoghere-Asuma, O., Iserhien-Emekeme, R., Aweto, K. E., Ofomola, M. O. (2020). Geophysical investigation of resistivity and groundwater quality in Ogbe-Ijoh coastal area of the western Niger Delta of Nigeria. Applied Water Science, *10*(2), 70. https://doi.org/10.1007/s13201-020-1144-0
- 38. Okiongbo, K. S., Akpofure, E., Amakiri, A. R. C. (2019). Spatial Variability of Soil Resistivity for Assessing Corrosion Risk and Intensity in the Niger Delta. International Journal of Applied Environmental Sciences, 14(1), 37–51. http://www.ripublication.com
- Okiongbo, K. S., Akpofure, E., Odubo, E. (2011). Determination of Aquifer Protective Capacity and Corrosivity of Near Surface Materials in Yenagoa City, Nigeria. Research Journal of Applied Sciences, Engineering and Technology, *3*(8), 785–791. https://doi.org/10.5297/SER.1201.002
- Okiongbo, K. S., Ogobiri, G. (2013). Predicting Soil Corrosivity along a Pipeline Route in the Niger Delta Basin Using Geoelectrical Method: Implications for Corrosion Control. Engineering, 05(03), 237–244. https://doi.org/10.4236/eng.2013.53034

- 41. Osayande, P. E. (2016). *Biophysical Properties of Selected Areas of Delta State and Their Suitability Assessment for Coconut*, Raphia and Oil Palms Cultivation. *6*(10), 32–38.
- Oyubu, A. O. (2015). Soil Resistivity and Soil PH Profile Investigation: A Case Study of Delta State University Faculty of Engineering Complex. International Journal of Scientific & Engineering Research, 6(10), 583–589. https://thescipub.com/pdf/ajeassp.2010.704.709.pdf
- 43. Pedeferri, P. (2018). *Corrosion Science and Engineering*. Springer International Publishing. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-97625-9
- 44. Prabhakar, C., Deshpande, R. A. (2014). Evaluation of soil resistivity and design of grounding system for hydroelectric generating station in a hilly terrain - A case study. *Proceedings of the 2014 International Conference on Advances in Energy Conversion Technologies - Intelligent Energy Management: Technologies and Challenges, ICAECT 2014*, 104–107. https://doi.org/10.1109/ICAECT.2014.6757070
- 45. S. A, O. (2014). Evaluation of Physicochemical Characteristics of Soils in the Flood Disaster Affected Areas of Isoko Region of Delta State, Nigeria. IOSR Journal of Applied Chemistry, 7(5), 24–31. https://doi.org/10.9790/5736-07512431
- 46. Shendi, E. A. H. (2020). Vertical electrical sounding in the investigation of subsurface gravel deposits in arid environments—a case study, El-Salhayia plain, West Ismailia area, Egypt. Arabian Journal of Geosciences 2020 13:17, 13(17), 1–6. https://doi.org/10.1007/S12517-020-05877-8
- 47. Uchegbulam, O., Ayolabi, E. A. (2014). Application of Electrical Resistivity Imaging in Investigating Groundwater Pollution in Sapele Area, Nigeria. Journal of Water Resource and Protection, *06*(14), 1369–1379. https://doi.org/10.4236/jwarp.2014.614126
- Unde, M., Tathe, V. (2020). Soil Resistivity Measurement and Interpretation Technique. International Journal of Engineering Research and Applications, *10*(5), 25–30. https://doi.org/10.9790/9622-1005012530
- 49. Vu, T. N., Volovitch, P., Ogle, K. (2013). The effect of pH on the selective dissolution of Zn and Al from Zn-Al coatings on steel. Corrosion Science, *67*, 42–49. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.corsci.2012.09.042
- 50. Wasim, M., Li, C. Q., Robert, D., Mahmoodian, M. (2017). Corrosion behaviour of pipes in soil and in simulated soil solution. *Corrosion and Prevention 2017, 2017-Novem*, 1–12.
- 51. Wasim, M., Shoaib, S., Mubarak, N. M., Inamuddin, Asiri, A. M. (2018). Factors influencing corrosion of metal pipes in soils. Environmental Chemistry Letters 2018 *16:3*, *16*(3), 861–879. https://doi.org/10.1007/S10311-018-0731-X
- 52. Xiao, J., Long, X., Qu, W., Li, L., Jiang, H., Zhong, Z. (2022). Influence of sulfuric acid corrosion on concrete stress-strain relationship under uniaxial compression. Measurement, *187*, 110318. https://doi.org/10.1016/J.MEASUREMENT.2021.110318
- 53. Xiao, J., Xu, Z., Murong, Y., Wang, L., Lei, B., Chu, L., Jiang, H., Qu, W. (2021).Effect of Chemical Composition of Fine Aggregate on the Frictional Behavior of Concrete–Soil Interface under Sulfuric Acid Environment. *Fractal and Fractional 2022, Vol. 6, Page 22, 6*(1), 22. https://doi.org/10.3390/FRACTALFRACT6010022

- 54. Yarmolenko, M. V. (2021). Copper, Iron, and Aluminium Electrochemical Corrosion Rate Dependence on Temperature. *Corrosion: Fundamentals and Protection Mechanisms*.
- 55. Zehra, S., Mobin, M., Aslam, J. (2022). An overview of the corrosion chemistry. Environmentally Sustainable Corrosion Inhibitors, 3–23. https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-323-85405-4.00012-4
- 56. Zhang, F., Pan, J. (2019). Recent Development of Corrosion Protection Strategy Based on Mussel Adhesive Protein. Frontiers in Materials, *6*, 207. https://doi.org/10.3389/FMATS.2019.00207/BIBTEX

Figures



Figure 1

2: 3D signature of Delta state corrosivity



Figure 2

3: Contour plot showing Delta state corrosivity



Figure 3

4: 2D representation of the change in corrosivity with respect to soil pH and resistivity