

1 **Title page:**

2 **Title: Postseismic Deformation Following the 2016 Kumamoto Earthquake**

3 **Detected by ALOS-2/PALSAR-2**

4 Author #1: Manabu Hashimoto, Disaster Prevention Research Institute, Kyoto

5 University, Gokasho, Uji, Kyoto 611-0011, Japan, hashimoto.manabu.7e@kyoto-u.ac.jp

6 **Indicate the corresponding author**

7 Corresponding author is Manabu Hashimoto.

8 **Abstract**

9 I have been conducting a study of postseismic deformation following the 2016
10 Kumamoto earthquake using ALOS-2/PALSAR-2 acquired till 2018. I apply
11 ionospheric correction to interferograms of ALOS-2/PALSAR-2. L-band SAR gives us
12 high coherence enough to reveal surface deformation even in vegetated or mountainous
13 area for pairs of images acquired more than 2 years.

14 Postseismic deformation following the Kumamoto earthquake exceeds 10 cm during
15 two years at some spots in and around Kumamoto city and Aso caldera. Westward
16 motion of ~6 cm/yr was dominant on the southeast side of the Hinagu fault, while
17 westward shift was detected on both side of the Futagawa fault. The area of latter
18 deformation seems to have correlation with distribution of pyroclastic flow deposits.

19 Significant uplift was found around the eastern Futagawa fault and on the southwestern
20 flank of Aso caldera, whose rate reaches 4 cm/yr. There are sharp changes across
21 several coseismic surface ruptures such as Futagawa, Hinagu, and Idenokuchi faults.

22 Rapid subsidence between Futagawa and Idenokuchi faults also found. It is confirmed
23 that local subsidence continued along the Suizenji fault, which newly appeared during
24 the mainshock in Kumamoto City. Subsidence with westward shift of up to 4 cm/yr was
25 also found in Aso caldera.

26 Time constant of postseismic decay ranges from 1 month to 600 days at selected points,
27 but that postseismic deformation during the first epochs or two are dominant at point in
28 the Kumamoto Plain. This result suggests multiple source of deformation.

29 Westward motion around the Hinagu fault may be explained with right lateral afterslip
30 on the shallow part of this fault. Subsidence along the Suizenji fault can be attributed to

31 normal faulting on dipping westward. Deformation around the Hinagu and Idenokuchi
32 faults cannot be explained with right-lateral afterslip of Futagawa fault, which requires
33 other sources. Deformation in northern part of Aso caldera might be the result of right
34 lateral afterslip on a possible buried fault.

35

36 **Keywords**

37 Kumamoto earthquake, postseismic deformation, ALOS-2/PALSAR-2, ionospheric
38 correction, InSAR

39 **Main Text**

40 **Introduction**

41 A sequence of large earthquakes struck the city of Kumamoto and its surroundings, the
42 central part of Kyushu, in April 2016, which claimed more than 200 fatalities including
43 disaster-related deaths. This earthquake sequence includes $M_w7.0$ (USGS, 2020) event
44 and several events of $M_w6.0$ or larger. These earthquakes occurred on and around the
45 Futagawa and Hinagu faults, which are right lateral strike-slip faults with a slightly
46 different strike and meet between Kumamoto City and the Aso caldera (Figures 1 and 2)
47 [e.g. Asano and Iwata, 2016]. The Futagawa fault runs eastward with a strike of $N60^\circ E$
48 and reaches the Aso caldera. On the other hand, the Hinagu fault trends in the
49 $N30\sim 40^\circ E$ direction and extends further south of the Yatsushiro city [Geological Survey
50 of Japan, National Institute of Advanced Industrial Science and Technology (hereafter
51 AIST), 2016]. The first shock of $M_w6.5$ is considered to have occurred on the part of
52 the Hinagu fault [Shirahama et al., 2016]. Aftershocks are distributed along these faults,
53 but there is difference in pattern of aftershock distribution in western and eastern parts.
54 In eastern part from the epicenter of April 16 shock, aftershocks are aligned tightly
55 along the Futagawa fault, while they are distributed in the fan-shaped area in its west. It
56 is remarkable that there are few aftershocks south of the Futagawa and Hinagu faults. It
57 is also emphasized that northeastern edge of aftershock distribution exceeds the
58 northeastern rim of the Aso caldera.

59 There are also many reports of surface ruptures off these coseismic faults in the city of
60 Kumamoto and on the western flank of Aso caldera [Goto et al., 2017; Fujiwara et al.,
61 2016; Fujiwara et al., 2017; Kumahara et al., 2016; Toda et al., 2016; AIST, 2017]

62 (Figure 2). Most of them are considered to be non-tectonic origin. Tsuji et al. (2017)
63 and Fujiwara et al. (2017) reported that surface ruptures in the northern part of Aso
64 caldera were generated by horizontal sliding of blocks or lateral spreading due to strong
65 shaking. Goto et al. (2017) showed detailed distribution of surface ruptures in the
66 Kumamoto Plain. One is the westward extension of the Futagawa fault, which they
67 named the Akitsugawa flexure zone, and other is NW trending multiple traces of
68 surface rupture, Suizenji fault zone, in Kumamoto City. They discussed relationship of
69 them to topography and distribution of pyroclastic flow deposits of Aso volcano.
70 Deformation due to these surface ruptures were also detected with InSAR
71 measurements [Fujiwara et al., 2016; Fujiwara et al, 2017] and it is important to
72 examine their temporal evolution following the earthquake sequence.
73 Kumamoto City is famous for its abundant groundwater. A lake, which is located close
74 to the western extension of the Futagawa fault, sudden dried up, which may be
75 associated with movement of Suizenji fault zone that appeared during the Kumamoto
76 earthquake [e.g. Hosono et al., 2018]. Hosono and Masaki (2020) and Hosono et al.
77 (2020) reported hydrochemical changes of groundwater during the postseismic period.
78 Groundwater flow may affect movement on the surface. Therefore, observation of
79 surface movement contributes to the understanding of evolution of groundwater flow
80 system in this area.
81 The Geospatial Information Authority (hereafter GSI) has been monitoring crustal
82 movements with a continuous GNSS network in Japan, called GSI's Earth Observation
83 Network (hereafter GEONET), while the Japan Exploration Agency (hereafater JAXA)
84 has been operating a satellite (the Advanced Land Observing Satellite 2, hereafter
85 ALOS-2) equipped with L-band radar (Phased Array L-band SAR 2, hereafter

86 PALSAR-2). The European Space Agency also operates C-band SAR satellites called
87 Sentinel-1. These sensors detected remarkable coseismic deformation of this earthquake
88 sequence. Many authors processed the data provided by these sensors and presented
89 coseismic fault models. According to these studies, the first shock was a right lateral
90 strike slip event on the Hinagu fault [Fukahata and Hashimoto, 2016; Ozawa et al.,
91 2016; Himematsu et al., 2016; Kobayashi et al., 2016]. On the other hand, both
92 Futagawa and Hinagu faults slipped during the Mw7.0 event, but moment release on the
93 Futagawa fault was dominant.

94 Postseismic deformation usually follows large earthquakes. There are several studies of
95 postseismic deformation following inland earthquakes in Japan mainly using continuous
96 and campaign GNSS data and their origins [e.g. Nakano and Hirahara, 1997; Sagiya et
97 al., 2005; Hashimoto et al., 2007; Ohzono, 2011; Ohzono et al., 2012; Meneses-
98 Gutierrez et al., 2019]. These preceding studies speculated afterslip, viscoelastic
99 relaxation and poroelastic rebound for possible mechanism of postseismic deformation,
100 but they did not incorporate complicated geometry of faults or heterogeneous structure
101 of crust due to the limited spatial resolution. In order to discuss generation mechanism
102 of postseismic deformation, especially in relation to crustal heterogeneities, spatial
103 resolution is important, but the density of GNSS stations are not high enough to detect
104 detailed spatial distribution of postseismic deformation. Therefore, I must exploit
105 synthetic aperture radar (hereafter SAR) images. Peltzer et al. (1998) discussed
106 postseismic deformation following the 1992 Landers, California, earthquake using ERS
107 interferograms and clarified relationship between complicated geometry of coseismic
108 faults and poroelastic response. Geology affects groundwater distribution and flow
109 direction. I wonder if there is correlation between the distribution of pyroclastic flow

110 deposit and postseismic deformation. Moore et al. (2017) already studied postseismic
111 deformation following the Kumamoto earthquake based on GNSS and InSAR data till
112 the end of 2016. They mainly discussed large scale deformation with reference to the
113 viscoelastic structure beneath Kyushu. In this paper, I discuss finer scale deformation
114 that appeared in the vicinity of coseismic surface ruptures, which may convey
115 invaluable information of property of shallow crust and active faults.

116

117 **Tectonic Setting**

118 Central Kyushu is unique in Japan, because there is a large graben structure across the
119 island. Aso and Unzen volcanoes sit right in its middle (Figures 1 and 2). Century long
120 geodetic surveys revealed N-S extension which is considered to tear the island. This
121 idea seemed partly consistent with the existence of E-W trending normal faults [Tada,
122 1984]. Recent continuous GNSS observation, however, does not confirm the dominance
123 of N-S extension [e.g. Nishimura and Hashimoto, 2006]. Now dextral motion is
124 considered to be appropriate across the Futagawa and Hinagu fault system.

125 Aso volcano is one of the most active volcanoes in Japan and repeated large eruptions
126 many times including at least 4 caldera forming eruptions. The last caldera forming
127 eruption was the largest so far, whose pyroclastic flow deposits, ASO-4 (~90 ka BP)
128 covers northern and central Kyushu [Ono and Watanabe, 1985] (Figure 2). There are
129 thick pyroclastic flow deposits of Pleistocene to Holocene in the surrounding area of the
130 source faults of the 2016 Kumamoto earthquake sequence (#83, 95, 96, 99, 166 in
131 Figure 2). On the other hand, sedimentary rocks of Holocene are found in the
132 Kumamoto Plain (#1 in Figure 2). Goto et al. (2017) pointed out that the Suizenji fault
133 zone that appeared during the 2016 earthquake sequence in Kumamoto City is located

134 near the foot of terrace deposit of early – middle-late Late Pleistocene.

135

136 **SAR Images and Processing Procedure**

137 I utilized ALOS-2/PALSAR-2 images acquired after the largest earthquake on April 16

138 in the Kumamoto sequence. JAXA made observations with PALSAR-2 for several

139 different directions and modes, but there are not so many images that were acquired

140 from the same orbits and with high frequencies. Among them, I collected strip-map

141 mode images of high spatial resolution of path 23 (P23) of descending orbit and 130

142 (P130) and 131 (P131) of ascending orbits. Table 1 lists information of observed images

143 with their parameters of observations. Figures 1, 2 and 3 illustrates footprints of images

144 used in this study and temporal changes in perpendicular baselines, respectively. P23

145 covers the surrounding area of the Futagawa and Hinagu faults and Aso caldera and are

146 frequently observed. It is because this path covers active volcanoes such as Aso,

147 Kirishima, Sakurajima and Kuchinoerabujima. On the other hand, P131 and P130

148 covers the Kumamoto plain and Aso caldera, respectively, and there is no overlap

149 between P130 and P131. There are 28, 13 and 7 images for P23, P131 and P130,

150 respectively, during the period from April 18, 2016 to December 10, 2018.

151 Perpendicular baselines are shorter than 400 m, which is good enough for

152 interferometry. I did not use ScanSAR images because of their less frequent

153 observations and lower spatial resolution. I did not use other SAR images acquired by

154 other platforms than Sentinel-1, because their shorter wavelength of microwave causes

155 decorrelation in vegetated and mountainous areas and with long temporal separations. I

156 compared result with that of time series analyses of Sentinel-1 images later.

157 I performed 2-pass interferometry for pairs of collected SAR images with Gamma®

158 software [Wegmüller and Werner, 1997]. For descending images (P23), the boundary
159 between northern and southern images runs across the seismogenic zone of the
160 Kumamoto earthquakes. I concatenated them in order to retain continuity of phase
161 according to the procedure by Gamma®. ASTER-GDEM ver.2 is used for the
162 correction of topographic phase and geocoding [Tachikawa et al., 2011]. I fixed the first
163 images acquired after the April 16 earthquake as the reference and made interferograms
164 for the pair of this reference and following images. Owing to L-band, coherence is high
165 enough even for the pair with two-year long separation. L-band SAR used to suffer
166 from ionospheric disturbances and so does the present case. I exploited the technique
167 developed by Gomba et al. (2016), Furuya et al. (2017), Wegmüller et al. (2018) to
168 reduce ionospheric disturbances. We found ionospheric disturbances both in ascending
169 and descending interferograms and sometimes large ramp in corrected interferograms.
170 Therefore, I flattened ionospheric-corrected interferograms and then filtered them
171 before unwrapping. I used the branch-cut technique for unwrapping of filtered
172 interferograms. I stacked unwrapped interferograms for both ascending and descending
173 orbits and converted them to E-W and U-D components.

174

175 Table 1. List of parameters of images used in this study. All images are acquired in
176 strip-map mode with right-looking. Asc. and Desc. are ascending and descending orbits,
177 respectively. Elevation and azimuth toward the satellite are measured from the zenith
178 and clockwise from the north, respectively.

PATH/ FRAME	ORBIT	REFERENCE OBS.	LAST OBS.	NUMBER OF ACQUISITION	ELEVATION	AZIMUTH
P131 F640	Asc.	26/04/2016	28/08/2018	13	47.1°	260.3°
P130 F650	Asc.	16/06/2016	22/03/2018	7	53.8°	259.7°

P23 F2950- 2960	Desc.	18/04/2016	10/12/2018	28	53.8°	100.3°
-----------------------	-------	------------	------------	----	-------	--------

179

180 **Correction of Ionospheric Disturbances**

181 Before discussing the detected surface deformation, it is worth mentioning the
 182 correction of ionospheric disturbances. Ionospheric disturbances that appear in
 183 interferograms of L-band SAR are considered to be related to medium-scale travelling
 184 ionospheric disturbances (MSTID) [e.g. Saito et al., 1998]. There may be seasonality of
 185 MSTID and dependence on local time [Chen et al., 2019]. Observation from ascending
 186 and descending orbits are made around mid-night and noon, respectively. Empirically,
 187 disturbances appear in ascending interferograms in summer, while those in descending
 188 interferograms are recognizable in winter.

189 Figure 4 shows an example of correction of ascending interferograms of April 26 and
 190 June 13, 2016. I observed a large disturbance in the middle of the original interferogram
 191 (Figure 4(a)). Similar disturbances appear in interferograms of higher and lower
 192 frequencies, but there is slight difference between them (Figure 4(b) and (c)). Double
 193 differenced interferogram shows spatial variation (Figure 4(d)), which leads to an
 194 estimate of effect of ionosphere (Figure 4(e)). Taking difference between original and
 195 ionospheric component, I finally obtained ionosphere-corrected interferogram (Figure
 196 4(f)). However, I still recognized significant trend in the azimuth direction. Therefore, I
 197 detrended it by fitting polynomial function in two dimensions and filtered it (Figure
 198 4(g)). I geocoded filtered ionosphere-corrected interferogram to detect surface
 199 deformation (Figure 4(h)). In Figure 4(h), there is still a large disturbance that may be
 200 attributed to tropospheric disturbance in image of June 21, 2016, because I did not find
 201 similar signal in other ionosphere-corrected interferograms (Figure 6).

202 An example of descending interferogram is shown in Figure 5. Empirically, ionospheric
203 disturbances are considered to be not so serious as those in ascending interferograms.
204 However, it is not always right. In original, higher- and lower-frequency interferograms,
205 I recognized more than three cycles of fringes. Double differenced interferogram is
206 almost flat, but I have ionospheric disturbance with fairly long wavelength.
207 Furthermore, ionosphere-corrected interferogram still has large trend of three cycles in
208 the azimuth direction, to which I must apply flattening and filtering techniques.

209

210 **Observed Line-of-Sight Displacements**

211 Owing to repeated acquisitions of ALOS-2/PALSAR-2, I obtained spatio-temporal
212 variation in Line-of-Sight (hereafter LOS) displacements after the occurrence of the
213 Kumamoto earthquake sequence. In this chapter, I discuss characteristics of observed
214 LOS displacements from three different viewpoints; i.e. a) spatial distribution of
215 averaged LOS displacements (Figures 6 – 10), b) profiles of displacements along
216 selected sections (Figure 11), and c) time series of LOS displacement at selected points
217 (Figure 12).

218 Supplementary Figures S1 – S3 show all flattened filtered non-dispersive components
219 of interferograms for P131-F640, P130-F650, and P23-F2950-2960, respectively.

220 Close-ups of unwrapped interferograms around the source region and Aso caldera are
221 shown in Figures 6 – 8, where displacement of GEONET stations during the
222 corresponding period projected onto the LOS directions are also shown. All LOC
223 displacements are referred to GEONET 960700 for the paths 131 and 23, 970833 for the
224 path 130, respectively, considering distance from source faults and coherence around
225 them. Coseismic interferograms are also shown in the top left panels of each figure.

226 Comparison of LOS changes with those at GEONET sites with the same reference is
227 given in Supplementary Figure S4. Average LOS change rates with GEONET average
228 velocities are in Figure 9. Time series of InSAR displacement roughly follow GNSS
229 data at most sites with fluctuations. InSAR displacements in summer tend to depart
230 from that of GNSS, which may be attributed to tropospheric disturbances related to
231 heavy precipitation (Precipitation at Kumamoto is shown in Figure 12(f)).
232 Discrepancies are large at GEONET sites 950456 and 081169. I suspect soil condition
233 or local topography around this site affect the movement.
234 I also compare the present results with that of time series analysis of Sentinel-1 images.
235 I processed Sentinel-1 images during the period from April 20, 2016 to April in 2018
236 using LiCSBAS developed by Morishita et al. (2020). Supplementary Figure S5 shows
237 average LOS displacements of both ascending and descending images. Discrepancies
238 are recognized, but this is attributable to the difference in strategies of analyses. The
239 present result by stacking is the weighted average of changing rate between the first
240 image and others. On the other hand, LiCSBAS calculates average of changing rates of
241 LOS of pairs of consecutive images. Therefore, rapid movement in early stage, if any,
242 may be emphasized in the present result, while LiCSBAS result gives us more slower
243 rates in later stage. Despite of this discrepancy, the same features of spatial distribution
244 are recognizable. The most important issue is low coherence in mountainous area on the
245 southeastern side of the Futagawa and Hinagu faults and on northern flank of Aso
246 caldera in Sentinel-1 images. As already known, L-band SAR of ALOS-2/PALSAR-2
247 gives us higher coherence and can be utilized for the detection of movements.
248 Figure 10 shows quasi-EW and vertical components of average velocity during the
249 period from the first acquisitions to April 2018. E-W and vertical components of

250 average velocity of GEONET stations are also indicated. For conversion to E-W and U-
251 D components, the same GEONET stations (960700 and 970833) were fixed in the
252 overlapped area of ascending and descending images. In the following section of
253 spatial variation of deformation, I mainly discuss E-W and U-D components in Figure
254 10.

255

256 **a) Spatial Distribution of Average Rate of Postseismic Deformation**

257 Coseismic deformations are also shown at the top left in Figures 6 -8. Comparing them
258 with following postseismic interferograms, I confirmed that postseismic deformations
259 are concentrated around the source area of the mainshock. However, spatial pattern is
260 significantly different with each other, especially in ascending interferogram (Figure 6).

261 Fujiwara et al. (2016) already showed postseismic deformations in early stage, April –
262 May in 2016, with ALOS-2/PALSAR-2 from both ascending and descending orbits.

263 Interferogram from descending orbit is the same as that used in this study (P23; Second
264 left panel of the top row in Figure 8). They used pairs of images from a different path
265 with high elevation. There is a little difference in obtained spatial pattern of deformation
266 in ascending interferogram, but the features of obtained postseismic deformations are
267 basically the same. In this study I put emphasis on their temporal evolution and
268 deformation that arose afterward.

269 Fujiwara et al. (2016) pointed out several spots of significant LOS changes; (1)
270 deformation along the Futagawa fault, especially near the junction with the Hinagu
271 fault, (2) deformation around the Suizenji fault (they mentioned as the Suizenji Park),
272 (3) deformation in the Ozu town. In Figure 12 of Fujiwara et al. (2016), there are many
273 signals in Aso caldera, but they did not mention in detail. I also recognized the same

274 features and that they were amplified in the following 2.5 years (Figures 6 – 8). They
275 pointed that no clear deformation around the outer rim of Aso caldera, where many
276 surface ruptures were observed in coseismic interferograms. I did not observe clear
277 deformation in later interferograms, neither.

278 The most prominent one is subsidence along the Futagawa fault and its western
279 extension. Fujiwara et al. (2016) measured less than 10 cm displacement near the
280 junction of the Futagawa and Hinagu faults during the first two weeks after the
281 mainshock. Subsidence rate exceeding 6 cm/yr in this zone is recognized during 2.5
282 years despite of loss of coherence in most part (arrow a in Figure 10). Another spot of
283 subsidence is found between the junction and Aso caldera (arrow b). Westward shift is
284 also prevailing in this area. There is a surface rupture along another fault, Idenokuchi
285 fault [Toda et al., 2016]. It is noteworthy that this area of subsidence is bounded by the
286 Futagawa and Idenokuchi faults.

287 Rapid uplift is found on the south side of the Idenokuchi fault (arrow c). In Figure 12 of
288 Fujiwara et al. (2016), there is not notable signal in this area. Uplift is also recognized
289 on the north side of the Futagawa fault (arrow d). A zone of slight subsidence and
290 westward shift (arrow e) is surrounded by this uplift zone on the north side of the
291 Futagawa fault. It is interesting that the boundary between these uplift and subsided
292 zones nearly coincides with northern edge of a Pleistocene pyroclastic flow deposits
293 (dark green line).

294 Westward shift is remarkable on the southeastern side of the Hinagu faults, reaching 6
295 cm/yr (arrow f). I also see eastward motion of < 2 cm/yr around the epicenter. Further
296 west, I observed subsidence in a fan-shaped zone near the coast (arrow g). It is
297 interesting that its southern boundary roughly coincides with the western extension of

298 the Futagawa fault.

299 I also found significant deformation off Futagawa and Hinagu faults, which is the same
300 as that of Fujiwara et al. (2016). The most remarkable one is a NW-SE trending zone of
301 subsidence of ~ 4 cm/yr in the city of Kumamoto (arrow h). Large subsidence was also
302 detected in coseismic interferograms (Upper left panel in Figures 6 and 8) [e.g. Fujiwara
303 et al., 2016]. The zone of this subsidence coincides with the Suizenji fault zone found
304 by Goto et al. (2017). The present results suggest that postseismic deformation also
305 continued around this fault zone during 2.5 years.

306 Several spots of subsidence can be observed in Aso caldera, as well. In the
307 northernmost part of this caldera, coseismic surface ruptures were found [Tsuji et al.,
308 2017; Fujiwara et al., 2017]. I detected significant subsidence along these surface
309 ruptures during the postseismic period (arrow i), implying continuing movement
310 associated with these ruptures. Another remarkable motion was found on the northern
311 flank of central cone of the Aso volcano (arrow j), where westward shift is also
312 dominant here. Its northern boundary seems to be aligned along a line trending NE-SW.
313 Significant eastward motion was found at the central cone of Aso volcano (Figure
314 10(a)). There were small explosions during February to May, 2016, and a significant
315 explosion occurred on October 7 - 8, 2016 [JMA, 2016]. This eastward motion may be
316 attributed to this activity.

317 I also found another small spot of westward shift of ~ 4 cm/yr and slight subsidence
318 north of Ozu Town, about 10 km north of the Futagawa fault (arrow k). This
319 deformation was already pointed out by Fujiwara et al. (2016). This zone trends in the
320 WNW-ESE direction, which corresponds to local trend of valley where Pleistocene
321 sedimentary rocks are sandwiched by igneous rocks. I did not see any sign of such

322 deformation in preseismic interferogram (Figure S5). Therefore, this deformation may
323 have been caused by strong shaking due to the Kumamoto earthquake sequence.

324

325 **b) LOS Displacement Profiles along Selected Sections**

326 It is important to examine temporal variation in deformation for the discussion of
327 mechanism of postseismic deformation. Because timing and frequency of observations
328 are different between descending and ascending orbits, it is impossible to reduce E-W
329 and vertical components at specific epochs. Therefore, I discuss LOS displacements in
330 this section. For this purpose, I prepared two different views of time series of observed
331 deformation. One is the temporal changes along selected profiles. I sampled LOS
332 change from the area within 0.005° on the both sides of a profile and plotted them
333 shifting according to the time of acquisitions of subsequent images. I chose 7 profiles,
334 shown in Figure 9(b), that run through interesting spots of deformation discussed in the
335 previous section, in which I can also grasp the characteristics of spatial distribution of
336 deformation, especially discontinuities in deformation. 5 sections are along meridians,
337 while 2 sections are in the E-W direction. I emphasize that correlation between LOS
338 displacement and topography are not recognized though some sections runs in the areas
339 of rough topography.

340 The section 1 is the westernmost profile of LOS change, which runs off the main strand
341 of Futagawa and Hinagu faults but crosses the area of local LOS increase around the
342 Suizenji fault zone in Kumamoto City (Figure 11(a) and (b)). I can see local LOS
343 increase around 32.8°N in both interferograms (vertical line) and another local
344 deformation a little bit north of 32.7°N in descending interferogram (red arrow in Figure

345 11(b)). The former corresponds to local subsidence in Kumamoto City, while the latter
346 is signal on the western extension of the Futagawa fault, i.e. the Akitsugawa flexure
347 zone of Goto et al. (2017). These observations suggest that postseismic deformation
348 occurred not only in the vicinity of coseismic faults but off the source. I notice two steps
349 looking closely at the LOS change around 32.8°N in descending interferogram,
350 implying at least two possible faults there (below SZ).

351 The section 2 runs just west of the junction of the Futagawa and Hinagu faults (Figure
352 11(c) and (d)). The LOS increase exceeds 30 cm in descending interferogram, the
353 largest in the entire region under study. I observe sharp changes at the northern
354 boundary of this zone of LOS increase (= subsidence) which corresponds to the
355 Akitsugawa flexure zone (vertical line with AF). Southern half of subsidence zone have
356 gradual change in both interferograms, but is limited by the Hinagu fault (vertical line
357 with HF). Comparing the baseline of the last observation (orange lines), discrete shift of
358 far field displacement is noticeable on the both sides.

359 The section 3 is a profile running across a smaller local subsidence between the
360 Futagawa and Idenokuchi faults (Figure 11 (e) and (f)). There is a spike-like pattern of
361 spatial distribution of LOS changes around 32.8°N (between vertical lines with HF and
362 FF). Its width is much narrower than that found in the sections 2 and 4. There is also a
363 shift in the far-field displacement, which is evident in Figure 11(f).

364 The section 4 shows temporal evolution of LOS changes along the meridian passing the
365 spot of large subsidence between the Futagawa and Idenokuchi faults (Figure 11(g) and
366 (h)). I recognize sharp changes across these two fault and large LOS increase (=
367 subsidence) between them (vertical lines with IF and FF). This LOS change exceeded
368 10 cm about 1 year after. It is worth noting that the changes across the Idenokuchi fault

369 is larger and sharper than that across the Futagawa fault especially in descending
370 interferograms (Figure 11(h)), which implies afterslip on the Idenokuchi fault is more
371 active than on the Futagawa fault, if any. I also noted that there is another gradual step
372 north of the Futagawa fault (red arrow next right of FF), suggesting a minor buried slip.
373 There is another discontinuous change around 32.9°N (red arrow further right),
374 corresponding to the area of westward shift north of Ozu Town in Figure 10(a). I should
375 note convex pattern of the LOS change in ascending interferograms (double headed
376 arrow in Figure 11(g)), while LOS change along the profile is almost flat in descending
377 ones. This convex pattern of LOS change becomes noticeable about 200 days after.
378 The section 5 runs across the Aso caldera. A sharp discontinuity is obvious around
379 33.0°N , just south of the northern caldera rim (RP). This point is located a little north of
380 the surface rupture that was formed during the April 16 shock of Mw7.0 [Fujiwara et
381 al., 2016; Fujiwara et al., 2017; Tsuji et al., 2017]. I can notice the differential motion
382 evolved according to elapsed time. There were several step-like pattern of deformation
383 during the first 100 days, but most of them died out and the largest one continued for 2
384 years. LOS changes with relatively short wavelength of ~ 2 km can be seen in ascending
385 interferogram in caldera floor and central cones, while long wavelength deformation is
386 detected with local LOS increase centered around 32.9°N in descending interferogram
387 (red arrow in Figure 11(j)).

388 The sections 6 and 7 are LOS displacement profiles along two parallels. The section 6
389 runs north of the Futagawa fault and northern part of Aso caldera (Figure 11(k) - (l)). A
390 spike-like change of LOS just east of the caldera rim (left red arrow) is related to
391 coseismic surface rupture, the same signal in section 5. Another notable deformation is
392 rapid LOS increase around 131.2°E in the vicinity of central cone, which is as large as

393 10 cm (right arrow). This change obviously does not correlate with topography. I also
394 recognize difference in level of LOS change between both sides of this zone in both
395 ascending and descending interferograms.

396 The section 7 crosses local LOS increase in Kumamoto City, junction of the Futagawa
397 and Hinagu faults, and western flank of the Aso caldera. I can find a remarkable
398 deformation on the the southeast side of the Futagawa fault in ascending interferogram.
399 This deformation may have been accelerated after the summer of 2016 (double-headed
400 arrow).

401

402 **c) Time Series of LOS Displacement at Selected Points**

403 The other is the time series of LOS changes at selected points, which is easier to
404 understand the decaying history of deformation. We chose 5 points shown in Figure 9.
405 Because acquisitions were made frequently from descending orbit (P23) and was less
406 from ascending orbits, I examine only time series of descending interferograms. I
407 sampled LOS change rates in an area of $0.005^\circ \times 0.005^\circ$ centered at the selected points
408 and took average. In order to estimate characteristic time, I fit an exponential decaying
409 function to observed time series;

$$410 \quad u = a(1 - \exp(-t/\tau)) + b \quad (1),$$

411 where u is LOS displacement, a and b are constants, t is elapsed time in day from April
412 16, 2016, τ is characteristic time. Red curves in each panel are estimated decaying time
413 series. It is important to note that the LOS changes till the end of May 2016 are
414 dominant during two years at most points, implying much faster motion during this
415 period than this approximation. This fast motion may contribute to the difference
416 between average velocities from stacking of ALOS-2/PALSAR-2 and time series

417 analysis of Sentinel-1.

418 Point A is located in the middle of local LOS increase in Kumamoto City. LOS changes
419 rapidly decayed till the fall of 2016, though there is a fluctuation in 2017 - 2018 (Figure
420 12(a)). If I fit exponential decaying function, I obtain characteristic time of only 29
421 days. Total LOS change amounts to ~ 5 cm.

422 Point B is located south of the junction of the Futagawa and Hinagu faults, where
423 westward horizontal motion is dominant around this point (Figure 10(a)). This point
424 also shows rapid decay with time constant of ~50 days and may have reached ~6 cm till
425 the winter in 2016, though scatter is a little bit large (Figure 12(b)).

426 On the other hand, points C ~ E have longer time constant than the previous points.

427 Point C, located in the large subsidence between the Futagawa and Idenokuchi faults,
428 gradually decayed till the beginning of 2017 with time constant of ~230 days (Figure
429 12(c)). In 2017 it is stable at the level of 8 cm increase of LOS, and fluctuated in 2018.

430 Point D is in the middle of uplift area on the western flank of the Aso caldera. During
431 the first two weeks, this point moved rapidly, but suddenly was decelerated (Figure
432 12(d)). Then it continues to move in the same direction (= uplift) with slow decay rate
433 of characteristic time of ~ 980 days.

434 Point E in Aso caldera shows a similar pattern of temporal change to Point C.

435 Characteristic time is almost the same (~ 210 days) (Figure 12(e)). Because these two
436 points are located ~ 20 km away from each other, it may be hard to expect the possible
437 mechanical link.

438 I add daily precipitation at the Japan Meteorological Agency's (JMA) Kumamoto
439 station in Figure 12(f). Kumamoto area suffered from heavy rain mainly in summer
440 during these 3 years, but the correlation with temporal change in LOS change is not

441 clear at all points.

442

443 **Trial of Afterslip Model**

444 There are wide varieties of spatial and temporal characteristics in observed postseismic
445 deformation and it may be difficult to explain them with one mechanism. Because I
446 detected several sharp changes across some coseismic surface ruptures, it is reasonable
447 to examine first to what extent afterslip model can explain observed deformation. For
448 this purpose, I down-sampled average rates of LOS (Figure 9) using the quadtree
449 algorithm (Supplementary Figure S7), and estimated slip on possible faults by inverting
450 them.

451 It is obvious that there are at least four or five distinctive deformations in the vicinity of
452 the Futagawa, Hinagu, Idenokuchi, and Suizenji faults and in the Aso caldera. Because
453 there are too many parameters to simultaneously estimate, it is reasonable to separate
454 areas into their surrounding zones as the first step. In this study, I divided dataset into
455 four, considering distance from possible sources (Supplementary Figure S7). Region (1)
456 is the surrounding area of the Futagawa and Hinagu faults. L-band SAR gives us highly
457 coherent phase data in mountainous regions, but I excluded data south of Midorikawa
458 fault and north of 33°N, considering distance from Futagawa and Hinagu faults. I
459 excluded data from the coast of Ariake and Yatsushiro Seas, because this region might
460 have suffered from subsidence due to compaction of artificial land (Figure 2). I also
461 excluded data in region (2). The region (2) is the vicinity of Suzenji fault. Judging from
462 spatial distribution of LOS displacements, data in about 10 x 10 km² wide area were
463 extracted. These areas are covered with P130 and P23 images. The region (3) is Aso
464 caldera, where images of P130 and P23 cover. I excluded data in the area of vicinity of

465 surface ruptures. Tsuji et al. (2017) pointed out that deformation in the vicinity of
 466 surface ruptures in Aso caldera may be generated by a source as shallow as 50 m. It is
 467 reasonable to exclude them as noise in the following inversion of afterslip.

468 I applied methods of Fukahata and Wright (2008) and its extension to dual faults
 469 (Fukahata and Hashimoto, 2016) to down-sampled LOS data.

470 According to Fukahata and Wright (2008), observed displacement \mathbf{d} ($N \times 1$ vector) can
 471 be expressed by the function of parameters \mathbf{m} ($M \times 1$ vector) and observation error \mathbf{e} as
 472 below;

$$473 \quad \mathbf{d} = f(\mathbf{m}) + \mathbf{e} \quad (2),$$

474 where f is a vector function including Green's function. \mathbf{m} consists of model parameters
 475 of faults \mathbf{p} (location, length, width, strike, dip) and slip on them \mathbf{a} . Thus (2) can be
 476 written

$$477 \quad \mathbf{d} = f(\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{a}) + \mathbf{e} = \mathbf{H}(\mathbf{p})\mathbf{a} + \mathbf{e} \quad (3),$$

478 where \mathbf{H} is $N \times M$ matrix consisting of fault parameters and direction cosine of LOS.

479 Thus, contribution of misfit to the system is

$$480 \quad r_d = [\mathbf{d} - \mathbf{H}(\mathbf{p})]^T \mathbf{E}^{-1} [\mathbf{d} - \mathbf{H}(\mathbf{p})] \quad (4),$$

481 where \mathbf{E} is covariance matrix of observation data.

482 Then smoothness condition is added to this system;

$$483 \quad r_p = \mathbf{a}^T \mathbf{G}(\mathbf{p}) \mathbf{a} \quad (5).$$

484 Finally, solution is obtained by minimizing ABIC in equation (20) in Fukahata and
 485 Wright (2008). Important parameter is α^2 , which is hyperparameter controlled trade-off
 486 between data and *a priori* information (assumption of smoothness). The larger α^2 gives
 487 smoother distribution of slip, but residuals between observed data and theoretical
 488 displacement becomes larger. The minimum ABIC can give us an optimal solution with

489 appropriate α^2 .
490 For regions (2) and (3), I applied Fukahata and Wright's (2008) method, because a
491 single fault is considered to be enough to explain the observed displacements. In order
492 to reduce contribution of Futagawa and Hinagu faults, so that I carefully excluded data
493 close to these faults as much as possible. For the region (1), I used the inversion
494 procedure with dual faults by Fukahata and Hashimoto (2016). They modeled the
495 Futagawa and Hinagu faults to explain coseismic deformation. Even with two faults,
496 there are many degrees of freedom. Therefore, I fixed dip angles of two faults as their
497 estimates; 61° and 74° for Futagawa and Hinagu faults, respectively, but length and
498 width were changed considering spatial distribution of deformation. For the Suizenji
499 fault, I assumed as the same strike as the surface ruptures and tried to estimate dip angle
500 and location. In Aso caldera, there is no clear surface expression of faults, but I relied
501 on spatial pattern of observed deformation. I put the modeled fault between zones of
502 eastward and westward motions in Figure 10(a). By changing the location and dip
503 angle, I tried to find its optimal model. List of model parameters are given in Table 2.
504 Then slightly changing strike and location of these two faults, I tried to find optimal
505 models that minimize ABIC.

506

507 Table 2. Parameters of fault models in this study. Strike is measured clockwise from the
508 north. Xoff and Yoff are offsets of middle point of fault from the reference point.

509 Positive (negative) value means westward (eastward) and southward (northward), for
510 Xoff and Yoff, respectively. Increment of parameters for the Futagawa and Hinagu fault
511 model are 2.5° and 0.25 km for strike and offsets, respectively. For Suizenji and Aso
512 provisional faults, increments are 3° and 0.1 km, for dip and offsets.

Fault	L(km)	H(km)	Reference Point	Dip (optimal)	Strike (optimal)	Xoff(km) (optimal)	Yoff(km) (optimal)
Futagawa	40	0 ~ 14	130.84°E, 32.80°N	61°	235° - 245° (240°)	0	0.0 ~ 1.0 (0.25)
Hinagu	30	0 ~ 14	130.84°E, 32.80°N	74°	205° - 215° (207.5°)	5.0 ~ 7.0 (6.0)	0
Suizenji	20	0 ~ 14	130.70°E, 32.80°N	40° ~ 88° (64°)	140°	-4.5 ~ -3.3 (-3.8)	0
Aso	30	0 ~ 14	131.03°E, 32.94°N	40° ~ 88° (55°)	41°	0	-0.5 ~ -0.2 (-0.4)

513

514 During the course of inversion, covariance matrix is required. Its components are
515 represented as follows assuming gaussian error with zero mean and covariance $\sigma^2\mathbf{E}$;

516
$$E_{ij} = \left[-\frac{\sqrt{(x_i-x_j)^2+(y_i-y_j)^2}}{D} \right] \quad (6),$$

517 where x_i and y_i are easting and northing of site i , D is characteristic correlation distance
518 of errors. $D = 10$ km is often used in many studies. Using covariance matrix with longer
519 correlation length, deformation with short wavelength might be smoothed out. In this
520 study, deformation with shorter wavelength than 10 km is dominant, especially around
521 Suizenji, Futagawa and Hinagu faults. Therefore, I adopted 5 km in this study.

522 Distribution of ABIC is shown in Supplementary Figures S8 - S10. Red circle in Figure
523 S8 and black dots in Figures S9 - S10 indicate optimal models. Overall, optimal models
524 are located close to global minimum. Slight correlation between Xoff and strike for the
525 Hinagu fault is recognized. I selected a model with minimum ABIC for Futagawa and
526 Hinagu fault model, but chose a model with smoother slip distribution than that of
527 minimum ABIC for Suizenji and Aso provisional faults. For model with smaller
528 hyperparameter and minimum ABIC, constraints on slip distribution is weak, which
529 sometimes arises physically unacceptable distribution. Therefore, I selected the second
530 optimal with much smoother distribution of slip.

531 Figure 13 is the compilation of 4 modeled faults with their estimated slip distribution
532 projected onto the surface. Figure 14 shows distribution of estimated slip and its error
533 projected onto a vertical plane along the strike of faults for optimal models. Motion of
534 hanging wall side is shown relative to footwall side. Their theoretical LOS velocities
535 and residuals are shown in Figure 15 and Supplementary Figure S11, respectively.
536 Slips are concentrated in the depth shallower than 10 km for all models. Estimated
537 errors are not larger than 8 cm/yr. Optimal model for the Futagawa and Hinagu faults is
538 very closely located to the surface ruptures. On the Futagawa fault, there are three main
539 areas of large slip with a couple minor patches (Figure 14(a)). Easternmost patch has
540 left lateral slip of ~ 20 cm/yr, which is against coseismic slip; e.g. Fig. 4 in Fukahata
541 and Hashimoto (2016). Normal faulting of up to 12 cm/yr is dominant in central patch.
542 This patch is located about 5 km east of the junction. The Fukahata and Hashimoto's
543 (2016) model shows normal fault component in its eastern part. These left lateral and
544 normal slip arises from westward motion and local subsidence on the north side of the
545 Futagawa fault. Obviously, these motions cannot be created with right lateral slip on
546 this fault. Therefore, it is not considered that westward motion around the eastern tip of
547 Futagawa fault was caused by its afterslip. The westernmost patch with the largest slip
548 is located west of the junction of the Futagawa and Hinagu faults. Right lateral slip
549 exceeds 30 cm/yr. As there is no significance slip in the coseismic model of Fukahata
550 and Hashimoto (2016), this slip may be generated by stress concentration at the edge of
551 coseismic slip. Hinagu fault has two patches of large slip (Figure 14(b)). Northern patch
552 is closely located to the westernmost patch of the Futagawa fault. Its normal faulting
553 may be related to subsidence near the junction of these two faults, which also suggests
554 interaction between two faults. Furthermore, considering geological condition there, this

555 subsidence might be caused by the compaction of soil. The southern patch on the
556 Hinagu fault has right lateral slip of ~20 cm/yr. Its peak is estimated at the depth of ~3
557 km and slip almost reaches the surface. Observed displacements show clear
558 discontinuity (e.g. Figure 9(a)) and creeping of surface ruptures were confirmed in this
559 region [e.g. Shirahama et al., 2017]. Therefore, right lateral afterslip is highly possible
560 on this patch of the Hinagu fault. This model fails to explain subsidence between the
561 Futagawa and Idenokuchi faults (Supplementary Figure S11(a) and (b)). Incorporation
562 of Idenokuchi fault adds more complexities in inversion, which is beyond the present
563 capability of inversion scheme. There might be contribution of compaction of soil in
564 this area. Future work that incorporates these complexities is desirable.

565 Figure 14(c) shows slip distribution of the Suizenji fault, where normal faulting of less
566 than 10 cm/yr was detected. Dip angle was estimated 64° , which is consistent with that
567 used in stress calculation by Goto et al. (2017). Upper margin of this fault corresponds
568 to one of the strands of surface rupture. Slip is concentrated in the depth range of 2 -8
569 km. However, slip in very shallow part is negligible, which causes underestimate of
570 observed displacements (Supplementary Figure S11(c) and (d)).

571 Figure 14(d) is slip distribution of a provisional fault in Aso caldera. Dip angle was
572 estimated 55° southward. I also made similar calculation with northward dipping fault
573 model, but obtained ABIC is larger. Right lateral slip is dominated with its peak at a
574 depth of ~4 km and maximum slip reaches 20 cm/yr. This motion may cause subsidence
575 in northern flank of central cone of Aso and uplift on the southwestern rim of caldera.
576 Subsidence around the central cone cannot be explained by this model, which may be
577 related to volcanic activity of Aso (Supplementary Figure S11(e) and (f)).

578

579 **Discussions**

580 I presented the results of analysis of ALOS-2/PALSAR-2 images acquired after the
581 2016 Kumamoto earthquake sequence. In this section, I point several pros and cons in
582 the present study and problems to be resolved in the future.

583

584 **a) Efficiency of L-band SAR**

585 Thanks to long wavelength of PALSAR-2, coherence is high even for pairs with longer
586 temporal separation than 2 years (Figure 5). The longest separation is 2.7 years (April
587 18, 2016 and December 10, 2018), but high coherence is obtained enough to detect
588 deformation even in mountainous regions. Recently Sentinel-1 images are being used to
589 study crustal deformation because its recurrence is 6 or 12 days and large amount of
590 image of the same area have been already accumulated. However, temporal
591 decorrelation is strong especially in vegetated area [e.g. Morishita et al., 2020], and it is
592 difficult to obtain deformation with a single pair of images with long temporal
593 separation. This is one of the biggest advantages of L-band SAR. I expect continuous
594 accumulation of PALSAR-2 images as long as possible.

595

596 **b) Correction of Ionospheric Disturbances**

597 Ionospheric disturbances were observed in both ascending and descending
598 interferograms, and their correction with Split Beam interferometry was effective
599 especially for ascending interferograms (e.g. Figure 4). It is interesting that distribution
600 of ionospheric disturbance is different between ascending and descending
601 interferograms (Figures 4 and 5). Local time of acquisition is around midnight for
602 ascending orbit, while observations are made around noon from descending orbit. This

603 difference may be the cause of different pattern of ionospheric disturbances that appear
604 in L-band interferograms. Chen et al. (2019) discusses variation of characteristic
605 parameters of MSTID such as period, wavelength and phase velocity observed over
606 Hongkong, and mention that wavelength of MSTID is slightly longer in daytime of
607 spring, autumn, and winter than that in night in spring and summer, though the
608 difference seems marginal.

609 In order to verify the ionospheric correction, people consider use of GNSS TEC.
610 Comparison of ionospheric disturbances by GNSS and InSAR, however, is not
611 straightforward. First, the timing of observation is different, even though recent
612 continuous GNSS observation is made at the interval of 1 sec. Second, incidence angle
613 and azimuth are not the same. Coincidence of LOS of SAR and GNSS satellites might
614 be rare. Finally, distribution of GNSS sites is sparse for this purpose. As shown in
615 Figure 4, wavelength of ionospheric disturbance is much shorter than length of one
616 scene (~70 km) in the azimuth direction. Average spacing of GEONET in Japan is 20 ~
617 25 km. It is hard to reproduce detailed distribution of ionospheric disturbances in
618 interferogram with GNSS data. Therefore, I followed the method by Wegmüller et al.
619 (2018) to verify the results.

620

621 **c) Comparison of Postseismic Deformation with Preceding Inland Earthquakes in** 622 **Japan**

623 I detected postseismic deformation following the 2016 Kumamoto earthquake sequence.
624 The maximum displacement exceeded 20 cm near the junction of the Futagawa and
625 Hinagu faults (Figure 11(d)). I observe several spots of larger LOS changes than 10 cm
626 (Figures 6 - 8). Are these large postseismic displacements special for the Kumamoto

627 earthquake? Observations of postseismic displacements were made for previous inland
628 earthquakes in Japan as listed in Supplementary Table S1. Postseismic displacements
629 are definitely dependent on size of and distance from the mainshock. Therefore, I
630 should compare those with mainshock of similar size to the Kumamoto earthquake. Of
631 course, it is not suitable to strictly compare results because of sparse distribution of
632 GNSS sites around the epicenter, but it may give some insights into characteristics of
633 postseismic deformation.

634 First, I compare with strike slip events. The first example is the Kobe earthquake in
635 1995 ($M_{JMA}7.3$, $M_w6.9$; all following M_w 's are from USGS (2020)). Nakano and
636 Hirahara (1997) reported postseismic displacements detected by campaign Global
637 Positioning System (hereafter GPS) surveys and early GEONET. They detected about
638 2.5 cm displacement at Iwaya station, northern tip of Awaji Island, which is closely
639 located to the epicenter (~2 km), till the end of 1995. Hashimoto (2017) detected
640 subsidence between two active faults along the NE extension of the source fault of the
641 1995 Kobe earthquake with ERS-1/2, Envisat and ALOS/PALSAR. Its maximum was
642 less than 1 cm/yr, which is one order smaller than that of Kumamoto case. Sagiya et al.
643 (2002) detected only ~3 cm postseismic displacements at the station right above the
644 aftershock area after the 2000 Western Tottori earthquake ($M_{JMA}7.3$, $M_w6.7$) during half
645 year. In case of Kumamoto earthquake, a GEONET site 021071 west of the Hinagu
646 fault recorded 8 cm displacement during 2 years. Considering moment magnitude, it is
647 acceptable that postseismic deformation of the Kumamoto earthquake is larger than
648 Kobe and Tottori events.

649 What about thrust events? Takahashi et al. (2005) observed postseismic displacement of
650 3 cm or larger during about 2 months after the 2004 Niigata Chuetsu earthquake

651 ($M_{JMA}6.8$, $M_w6.6$). For the 2007 Noto Peninsula earthquake of $M_{JMA}6.9$ ($M_w6.7$), only 2
652 cm displacements were observed by campaign GPS surveys by Hashimoto et al. (2008).
653 After the 2007 Chuestu Oki earthquake ($M_{JMA}6.8$, $M_w6.6$), Ohta et al. (2008) detected
654 postseismic displacements less than 2 cm at a GEONET station during ~ 50 days.
655 Although distance from the epicenter is larger than 15 km, distance from the edge of
656 aftershock area is much shorter. Ohzono (2011) showed postseismic deformation of up
657 to 13 cm at a GEONET station located ~11 km from the epicenter during 800 days after
658 the 2008 Iwate-Miyagi Nairiku earthquake of $M_{JMA}7.2$ ($M_w6.9$). Ohzono (2011) also
659 detected ~ 11cm postseismic deformation at their original site 2.5 km from the
660 epicenter. Moment magnitude of earthquakes other than Iwate-Myagi event is much
661 small than the Kumamoto earthquake, though observation periods are short to compare.
662 Iwate-Miyagi earthquake has as large displacement as the Kumamoto earthquake,
663 implying correlation with magnitude of mainshock.
664 Postseismic deformation, however, may be controlled not only by magnitude of
665 mainshock, but geometrical relationship between the source and observation points,
666 local geological conditions, flow of groundwater, etc. These factors should be pursued
667 in the future.

668

669 **d) Possible Correlation with Geological Structure**

670 Considering these different features of postseismic deformations between the
671 Kumamoto earthquake and other inland earthquakes in Japan, it is speculated that there
672 may be different characteristics in the Kumamoto area. Spatial pattern of deformation
673 and distribution of pyroclastic flow deposits seem to be correlated with each other
674 (Figure 10). For example, large LOS increase in the Aso caldera is located in the region

675 covered with igneous rock of Cenozoic Quaternary Holocene. Uplift zone north of the
676 Futagawa fault corresponds to the area of early Late and Late Pleistocene volcanic
677 rocks. Local subsidence is distributed in a narrow zone about 10 km north of the
678 Futagawa fault. This zone corresponds to the area of middle – late Late Pleistocene
679 (Figure 10). These observations imply that the age of igneous and sedimentary rocks
680 might affect the response to coseismic loading. It is important to re-examine postseismic
681 deformation following previous inland earthquakes from this viewpoint.

682

683 **e) Temporal Characteristics of Postseismic Deformation**

684 Postseismic deformation following the 2016 Kumamoto earthquake sequence may have
685 decayed during two years, though it may still continue in some areas (Figure 11(c)).

686 Although observation periods are short for other inland earthquakes discussed above,
687 they may have decayed with short time constant as well. It is noteworthy that the LOS
688 changes during the first epochs or two are dominant in the whole time series and cannot
689 fully be explained with a simple exponential decay. A possible cause of deformation
690 with short time constants is poroelastic rebound or movement of groundwater. As
691 Hosono et al. (2018) reported, water level rapidly dropped in the lake near the Suizenji
692 fault, suggesting fast flow of groundwater.

693 I also found deformations that arose with delay such as concave pattern in Figure 11(g),
694 acceleration of motion on the southeastern side of the Futagawa fault in Figure 11(m).

695 The former is westward motion on the north side of the Futagawa fault in Figure 9(a).

696 These delayed onsets of deformation might not be related to afterslip. Recently, Hosono
697 et al. (2020) proposed a model of flow of groundwater in this area. They performed
698 hydrogeochemical study of groundwater and suggested that precipitated water came

699 down from surface ruptures on the western flank of Aso caldera and flew toward the
700 Kumamoto Plain. They also implied rise of water level on the north side of the
701 Futagawa fault and in the Kumamoto Plain. The uplift detected in the present study
702 might be related to such a phenomenon.

703

704 **f) Deformation in and around Aso Caldera**

705 There are other issues to be solved by the future works. For example, uplift and
706 westward motion on the western flank of the Aso caldera cannot be explained by
707 afterslip on the Futagawa or Idenokuchi faults (Figure 10). At present, I would like to
708 rule out the possibility of magma intrusion or large-scale landslide. This area is about 10
709 km away from central cones. I cannot accept the magmatic activity there. As shown in
710 the preceding section, flow of groundwater may be one of candidates. Large-scale
711 landslide may not be candidate, neither, because uplift is dominant. The InSAR
712 technique, however, has little sensitivity to displacement in N-S direction. There might
713 be possibility that movement dominantly occurred in N-S direction. It may be a good
714 idea to incorporate image acquired with different incidence angles and directions, which
715 help resolve three dimensional displacements.

716

717 **Conclusions**

718 I processed ALOS-2/PALSAR-2 images acquired after the 2016 Kumamoto earthquake
719 sequence with correction of ionospheric disturbances and revealed spatio-temporal
720 variation in LOS changes during 2 years. I could draw conclusions below:
721 1) L-band SAR gives us high coherence enough to reveal surface deformation even in
722 vegetated or mountainous area for pairs of images acquired more than 2 years.

723 2) Ionospheric disturbances are seen both in the ascending and descending images, but
724 spatial characteristics may be different each other.

725 3) Notable features of postseismic deformations are as follows:

726 a) Deformation earthquake exceeds 10 cm during two years at some spots in and around
727 Kumamoto city and Aso caldera.

728 b) Westward motion of ~6 cm/yr was dominant on the southeast side of the Hinagu
729 fault, while westward shift was detected on both side of the Futagawa fault. The area of
730 this westward motion has spatial correlation with distribution of pyroclastic flow
731 deposits.

732 c) Significant uplift of 4 cm/yr was found around the eastern Futagawa fault and on the
733 southwestern frank of Aso caldera.

734 d) Sharp changes were found across several coseismic surface ruptures.

735 e) Rapid subsidence between Futagawa and Idenokuchi faults was also detected.

736 f) Local subsidence continued along the Suizenji fault, which newly appeared during the
737 mainshock in Kumamoto City.

738 g) Subsidence with westward shift of up to 4 cm/yr was also found in Aso caldera.

739 h) Time constant of postseismic decay ranges from 1 month to 600 days at selected
740 points, but that postseismic deformation during the first epochs or two are dominant at
741 point in the Kumamoto Plain.

742 4) Trial of inversion of afterslip on possible faults showed that westward motion around
743 the Hinagu fault may be explained with right lateral afterslip on the shallow part of this
744 fault. Subsidence along the Suizenji fault can be attributed to normal faulting on dipping
745 westward. Deformation around the Hinagu and Idenokuchi faults, however, cannot be
746 explained with right-lateral afterslip of Futagawa fault. Deformation in northern part of

747 Aso caldera might be the result of right lateral afterslip on a possible buried fault. Other
748 factors such as effect of ground water, geological structure etc. must be incorporated to
749 fully understand the observed deformation in the future.
750

751 **Declarations**

752 **Ethics approval and consent to participate**

753 *Not applicable*

754 **Consent for publication**

755 *Not applicable*

756 **List of abbreviations**

ALOS-2	Advanced Land Observing Satellite 2
PALSAR-2	Phased Array L-band SAR 2
SAR	Synthetic Aperture Radar
InSAR	SAR Interferometry
USGS	United States Geological Survey
AIST	Geological Survey of Japan, National Institute of Advanced Industrial Science and Technology
GSI	Geospatial Information Authority
GNSS	Global Navigation Satellite System
GEONET	GSI's Earth Observation Network
JAXA	Japan Aerospace Exploration Agency
ERS	European Remote Sensing satellite
ASTER-GDEM	Advanced Spaceborne Thermal Emission and Reflection radiometer - Global Digital Elevation Model
MSTID	Medium-Scale Travelling Ionospheric Disturbances
LOS	Line of Sight

LiCSBAS	Looking Inside the Continents from Space + Small BAseline Subset
JMA	Japan Meteorological Agency
ABIC	Akaike Bayesian Information Criterion
TEC	Total Electron Content
GPS	Global Positioning System
Envisat	Environmental Satellite
PIXEL	PALSAR Interferometry Consortium to Study our Evolving Land surface
EQ-SAR WG	Earthquake SAR analysis Working Group
GMT	Generic Mapping Tools

757

758

Availability of data and materials

759

Results of analyses except original SAR images will be provided upon

760

request. These will be posted on a proper repository such as KURENAI.

761

Competing interests

762

There are no competing interests.

763

Funding

764

This research was supported by Operating expense grant to Kyoto

765

University.

766

Authors' contributions

767

The author performed all analysis of SAR images, inversion of slip

768

distributions, interpretation and preparation of manuscript.

769

Acknowledgements

770 ALOS-2/PALSAR-2 images used in this study were provided by the
771 Japan Aerospace Exploration Agency through the activities of Pixel
772 under the Joint Use/Joint Research Program of Earthquake Research
773 Institute, University of Tokyo and the Earthquake SAR Analysis
774 Working Group of the Geospatial Information Authority, Japan.
775 Ownership and copyright of ALOS-2/PALSAR-2 images belong to
776 JAXA. GEONET coordinates were provided by GSI. I appreciate two
777 anonymous reviewers for their helpful comments to improve the
778 manuscript. I express my thankfulness for the members of PIXEL and
779 EQ-SAR WG, GSI and JAXA. I utilized scripts developed by Dr. Haruo
780 Horikawa to prepare Geological maps of Seamless Geological Map of
781 AIST with GMT. Dr. Yukitoshi Fukahata permitted me to use his
782 inversion code with two faults. Dr. Mako Ohzono provided data of
783 postseismic deformation of the Iwate-Miyagi earthquake. Dr. Shinji Toda
784 provided digital data of coseismic surface ruptures. Dr. Yu Morishita
785 introduced me LiCSBAS. I thank all of them. Illustrations are prepared
786 with Generic Mapping Tools ver. 4 and 5.4.3 [Wessel et al, 2013].

787 **Authors' information**

788 The author is a full professor of Disaster Prevention Research Institute,
789 Kyoto University and has been studying crustal deformation associated
790 with earthquakes and volcanic activities, etc. He has been a member of
791 Geodetic Society of Japan over 30 years.

792

793

794 **References**

- 795 Asano, K., Iwata, T. (2016). Source rupture processes of the foreshock and mainshock
796 in the 2016 Kumamoto earthquake sequence estimated from the kinematic waveform
797 inversion of strong motion data, *Earth Planets Space*, 68:147, doi:10.1186/s40623-016-
798 0519-9.
- 799 Chen, G., Zhou, C., Liu, Y., Zhao, J., Tang, Q., Wang, X., Zhao, Z. (2019). A statistical
800 analysis of medium-scale traveling ionospheric disturbances during 2014–2017 using
801 the Hong Kong CORS network, *Earth Planets and Space*, 71:52. doi:10.1186/s40623-
802 019-1031-9.
- 803 Fujiwara, S., Yarai, H., Kobayashi, T., Morishita, Y., Nakano, T., Miyahara, B., Nakai,
804 H., Miura, Y., Ueshiba, H., Kakiage, Y., Une, H. (2016) Small-displacement linear
805 surface ruptures of the 2016 Kumamoto earthquake sequence detected by ALOS-2 SAR
806 interferometry, *Earth Planets Space*, 68:160, doi:10.1186/s40623-016-0534-x.
- 807 Fujiwara, S., Morishita, Y., Nakano, T., Kobayashi, T., Yarai, H. (2017) Non-tectonic
808 liquefaction-induced large surface displacements in the Aso Valley, Japan, caused by the
809 2016 Kumamoto earthquake, revealed by ALOS-2 SAR, *Earth Planet. Sci. Lett.*, 474,
810 457–465, doi: 10.1016/j.epsl.2017.07.001.
- 811 Fukahata, Y., Hashimoto, M. (2016) Simultaneous estimation of the dip angles and slip
812 distribution on the faults of the 2016 Kumamoto earthquake through a weak nonlinear
813 inversion of InSAR data, *Earth Planets Space*, 68:204, doi:10.1186/s40623-016-0580-4,
814 2016.
- 815 Furuya M., Suzuki T., Maeda J., and Heki K., (2017). Midlatitude sporadic-E episodes
816 viewed by L-band split-spectrum InSAR, *Earth Planets and Space*, 69:175.
817 doi:10.1186/s40623-017-0764-6.

818 Geological Survey of Japan, AIST (ed.), (2005). Active fault database. Oct. 4, 2016
819 version. Geological Survey of Japan, National Institute of Advanced Industrial Science
820 and Technology, https://gbank.gsj.jp/activefault/index_e.html.

821 Geological Survey of Japan, AIST (ed.), (2015). Seamless digital geological map of
822 Japan 1: 200,000. May 29, 2015 version. Geological Survey of Japan, National Institute
823 of Advanced Industrial Science and Technology,
824 <https://gbank.gsj.jp/seamless/index.html?lang=ja&>.

825 Gomba, G., Parizzi, A., De Zan, F., Eineder, M., Bamler, R., (2016). Toward operational
826 compensation of ionospheric effects in SAR interferograms: the split-spectrum method.
827 IEEE Trans. Geosci. Remote Sens. 54, 1446–1461.

828 Goto, H., Tsutsumi, H., Toda, S., Kumahara, Y. (2017) Geomorphic features of surface
829 ruptures associated with the 2016 Kumamoto earthquake in and around the downtown
830 of Kumamoto City, and implications on triggered slip along active faults. Earth Planets
831 Space, 69, 26, doi:10.1186/s40623-017-0603-9.

832 Hashimoto, M., Takahashi, H., Doke, R., Kasahara, M., Takeuchi, A., Onoue, K., Hosono,
833 Y., Fukushima, Y., Nakamura, K., Ohya, F., Honda, R., Ichiyanagi, M., Yamaguchi, T.,
834 Maeda, T., Hiramatsu, Y. (2008) Postseismic displacements following the 2007 Noto
835 peninsula earthquake detected by dense GPS observation. Earth, Planets and
836 Space, 60:139–144. doi:10.1186/BF03352775.

837 Hashimoto, M. (2017) Ground deformation in the Kyoto and Osaka area during recent
838 19 years detected with InSAR, in “M. Hashimoto (ed.), International Symposium on
839 Geodesy for Earthquake and Natural Hazards (GENAH), International Association of
840 Geodesy Symposia 145”, Springer, 155- 164. DOI:10.1007/1345_2016_222.

841 Himematsu Y, Furuya M (2016) Fault source model for the 2016 Kumamoto

842 earthquake sequence based on ALOS-2/PALSAR-2 pixel-offset data: evidence for
843 dynamic slip partitioning. *Earth Planets Space* 68:169. doi:10.1186/s40623-016-0545-7
844 (Erratum to this article has been published in *Earth, Planets and Space* 68:196).

845 Hosono, T., Yamada, C., Shibata, T., Tawara, Y., Shimada, J. (2018) Coseismic change
846 in groundwater level after the 2016 Kumamoto earthquake, presented in the 2018
847 General Assembly of Japan GeoScience Union, AHW24-04,
848 [https://confit.atlas.jp/guide/event-img/jpgu2018/AHW24-](https://confit.atlas.jp/guide/event-img/jpgu2018/AHW24-04/public/pdf?type=in&lang=ja)
849 [04/public/pdf?type=in&lang=ja](https://confit.atlas.jp/guide/event-img/jpgu2018/AHW24-04/public/pdf?type=in&lang=ja) (in Japanese).

850 Hosono, T., Masaki, Y. (2020) Post-seismic hydrochemical changes in regional
851 groundwater flow systems in response to the 2016Mw 7.0 Kumamoto earthquake, *J.*
852 *Hydrology*, 580, 124340, doi:10.1016/j.jhydrol.2019.124340.

853 Hosono, T., Yamada, T., Manga, C., M., Wang, C.-Y., Tanimizu, M. (2020) Stable
854 isotopes show that earthquakes enhance permeability and release water from mountains,
855 *Nature Communications*, 11:2776, 1-9. doi:10.1038/s41467-020-16604-y.

856 Iinuma, T., Ohta, Y., Miura, S., Tachibana, K., Matsushima, T., Takahashi, H., Sagiya,
857 T., Ito, T., Miyazaki, S., Doke, R., Takeuchi, A., Miyao, K., Hirao, A., Maeda, T.,
858 Yamaguchi, T., Takada, M., Iwakuni, M., Ochi, T., Meilano, I., Hasegawa, A. (2008)
859 Postseismic slip associated with the 2007 Chuetsu-oki, Niigata, Japan, Earthquake (M
860 6.8 on 16 July 2007) as inferred from GPS data, *Earth Planets Space*, 60, 1087–1091.

861 Japan Meteorological Agency (2016) Volcanic activity of Aso Volcano in 2016.
862 [http://www.data.jma.go.jp/svd/vois/data/tokyo/STOCK/monthly_v-](http://www.data.jma.go.jp/svd/vois/data/tokyo/STOCK/monthly_v-act_doc/fukuoka/2016y/503_16y.pdf)
863 [act_doc/fukuoka/2016y/503_16y.pdf](http://www.data.jma.go.jp/svd/vois/data/tokyo/STOCK/monthly_v-act_doc/fukuoka/2016y/503_16y.pdf) (accessed on July 24, 2020).

864 Japan Meteorological Agency (2020) Past Meteorological Data, Download,
865 <https://www.data.jma.go.jp/gmd/risk/obsdl/index.php> (accessed on March 29, 2020).

866 Kobayashi, T. (2017) Earthquake rupture properties of the 2016 Kumamoto earthquake
867 foreshocks (M_j 6.5 and M_j 6.4) revealed by conventional and multiple-aperture
868 InSAR. *Earth Planets Space* 69:7. doi:10.1186/s40623-016-0594-y.

869 Kumahara, Y., Goto, H., Nakata, T., Ishiguro, S., Ishimura, D., Ishiyama, T., Okada, S.,
870 Kagohara, K., Kashihara, S., Kaneda, H., Sugito, N., Suzuki, Y., Takenami, D., Tanaka,
871 K., Tanaka, T., Tsutsumi, H., Toda, S., Hirouchi, D., Matsuta, N., Moriki, H., Yoshida,
872 H., Watanabe, M. (2016) Distribution of surface rupture associated with the 2016
873 Kumamoto earthquake and its significance. Japan Geoscience Union Meeting 2016,
874 MIS34-05.

875 Meneses-Gutierrez, A., Nishimura, T., Hashimoto, M. (2019). Coseismic and
876 postseismic deformation of the 2016 Central Tottori earthquake and its slip model.
877 *Journal of Geophysical Research: Solid Earth*, 124, 2202–2217.
878 doi:10.1029/2018JB016105.

879 Moore, J. D. P., Yu, H., Tang, C.-H., Wang, T., Barbot, S., Peng, D., Masuti, S.,
880 Dauwels, J., Hsu, Y.-J., Lambert, V., Nanjundiah, P., Wei, S., Lindsey, E., Feng, L.,
881 Shibazaki, B., (2017) Imaging the distribution of transient viscosity after the 2016 Mw
882 7.1 Kumamoto earthquake, *Science* 356 (6334), 163-167, doi: 10.1126/science.aal3422.

883 Nakano, T., Hirahara, K. (1997) GPS observations of postseismic deformation for the
884 1995 Kobe earthquake, *Japan. Geophys. Res. Lett.*, 24(5):503-506,
885 doi:10.1029/97GL00375.

886 Morishita, Y., Milan Lazecky, M., Wright, T. J., Weiss, J.R., Elliott, J. R., Andy
887 Hooper, A. (2020) LiCSBAS: An open source InSAR time series analysis package
888 integrated with the LiCSAR automated Sentinel-1 InSAR Processor, *Remote Sens.*, 12,
889 424; doi:10.3390/rs12030424.

890 National Institute of Advanced Industrial Science and Technology, (2017) Surveys of
891 detailed location and configuration to reveal behavioral segments of active fault and
892 study of history of their activity and slip rate, in “Report of the Integrated Studies of
893 Active Fault based on the 2016 Kumamoto Earthquake”, edited by the Research and
894 Development Bureau, MEXT, and the Kyushu University, 5-185,
895 [https://www.jishin.go.jp/main/chousakenkyuu/kumamoto_sogochousa/h28/h28kumamo](https://www.jishin.go.jp/main/chousakenkyuu/kumamoto_sogochousa/h28/h28kumamoto_sogochousa_3_1.pdf)
896 [to_sogochousa_3_1.pdf](https://www.jishin.go.jp/main/chousakenkyuu/kumamoto_sogochousa/h28/h28kumamoto_sogochousa_3_1.pdf) (in Japanese).

897 Nishimura, S., Hashimoto, M. (2006) A model with rigid rotations and slip deficits for
898 the GPS-derived velocity field in Southwest Japan, *Tectonophysics*, 421, 187–207,
899 doi:10.1016/j.tecto.2006.04.017.

900 Ohta, Y., Ohzono, M., Miura, S., Iinuma, T., Tachibana, K., Takatsuka, K., Miyao, K.,
901 Sato, T., Umino, N. (2008a) Coseismic fault model of the 2008 Iwate-Miyagi Nairiku
902 earthquake deduced by a dense GPS network, *Earth Planets Space*, 60, 1197–1201.

903 Ohta, Y., Miura, S., Iinuma, T., Tachibana, K., Matsushima, T., Takahashi, H., Sagiya,
904 T., Ito, T., Miyazaki, S., Doke, R., Takeuchi, A., Miyao, K., Hirao, A., Maeda, T.,
905 Yamaguchi, T., Takada, M., Iwakuni, M., Ochi, T., Meilano, I., and Hasegawa, A.
906 (2008b) Coseismic and postseismic deformation related to the 2007 Chuetsu-oki,
907 Niigata Earthquake, *Earth Planets Space*, 60, 1081–1086.

908 Ohzono, M. (2011) Deformation process around the high-strain rate zone along the Ou-
909 backbone range, northeastern Japan, based on geodetic data (in Japanese), Ph.D.
910 Dissertation, Tohoku University, March 2011.

911 Ohzono, M., Ohta, Y., Iinuma, T., Miura, S., Muto, J. (2012) Geodetic evidence of
912 viscoelastic relaxation after the 2008 Iwate-Miyagi Nairiku earthquake. *Earth Planets*
913 *Space* 64:759-764. doi: 10.5047/eps.2012.04.001.pdf.

914 Okada, Y., 1985, Surface deformation due to shear and tensile faults in a half-space,
915 Bull. Seism. Soc. Am., 75, 1135-1154.

916 Ono, K., Watanabe, K. (1985) Geological map of Aso Volcano. Geological Map of Vol-
917 canoes. Geol. Surv. Jpn., Tsukuba.

918 Ozawa, T., Fujita, E., Ueda, H. (2016) Crustal deformation associated with the 2016
919 Kumamoto Earthquake and its effect on the magma system of Aso volcano. Earth
920 Planets Space 68:186. doi:10.1186/s40623-016-0563-5.

921 Peltzer, G., Rosen, P., Rogez, F., and Hudnut, K., (1996) Postseismic rebound in fault
922 step-overs caused by pore fluid flow, Science, 273, 1202-1204,
923 doi:10.1126/science.273.5279.1202.

924 Rohatgi, A. (2020) WebPlotDigitizer version 4.3,
925 <https://automeris.io/WebPlotDigitizer/> (accessed on July 23, 2020).

926 Sagiya, T., Nishimura, T., Hatanaka, Y., Fukuyama, E., Ellsworth, W. L. (2002) Crustal
927 movements associated with the 2000 western Tottori earthquake and its fault model. J.
928 Seismol. Soc. Jpn., 54:523-534. doi:10.4294/zisin1948.54.4_523 (in Japanese with
929 English abstract).

930 Sagiya, T., Ohzono, M., Nishiwaki, S., Ohta, Y., Yamamurao, T., Kimata, F., Sasaki,
931 M. (2005) Postseismic deformation following the 2004 mid-Niigata prefecture
932 earthquake around the southern part of source region. J. Seismol. Soc. Jpn., 58:359-369.
933 doi:10.4294/zisin1948.58.3_359 (in Japanese with English abstract).

934 Shirahama, Y., Yoshimi, M., Awata, Y., Maruyama, T., Azuma, T., Miyashita, Y.,
935 Mori, H., Imanishi, K., Takeda, N., Ochi, T., Otsubo, M., Asahina, D., Miyakawa, A.
936 (2016) Characteristics of the surface ruptures associated with the 2016 Kumamoto
937 earthquake sequence, central Kyushu, Japan. Earth Planets Space 68:191,

938 doi:10.1186/s40623-016-0559-1.

939 Tachikawa, T., Hato, M. Kaku, M., Iwasaki, A. (2011) The characteristics of ASTER
940 GDEM version 2, IGARSS, July 2011.

941 Tada, T. (1984) Spreading of the Okinawa Trough and its relation to the crustal
942 deformation in Kyushu. *J. Seismol. Soc. Jpn.* 37, 407–415 (in Japanese with English
943 abstract).

944 Takahashi, H., Matsushima, T., Kato, T., Takeuchi, A., Yamaguchi, T., Kohno, Y.,
945 Katagi, T., Fukuda, J., Hatamoto, K., Doke, R., Matsu'ura, Y., Kasahara, M. (2005) A
946 dense GPS observation immediately after the 2004 mid-Niigata Prefecture earthquake,
947 *Earth Planets Space*, 57, 661–665.

948 Toda S, Kaneda, H, Okada, S, Ishimura, D, Mildon, Z.K. (2016) Slip-partitioned
949 surface ruptures for the Mw 7.0 16 April 2016 Kumamoto, Japan, earthquake. *Earth*
950 *Planets Space* 68:188. doi:10.1186/s40623-016-0560-8.

951 Tsuji, T., Ishibashi, J., Ishitsuka, K., Kamata, R., (2017) Horizontal sliding of kilometre-
952 scale hot spring area during the 2016 Kumamoto earthquake. *Sci. Rep.*7, 42947.
953 <http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/srep42947>.

954 U.S. Geological Survey (2020), Significant Earthquake Archive, Earthquake Hazard
955 Program, <https://earthquake.usgs.gov/earthquakes/browse/significant.php> (accessed on
956 July 23, 2020).

957 Wegmüller, U., and Werner, C. (1997) Gamma SAR processor and interferometry
958 software. In *Proceedings of 3rd ERS Symposium, Space Service Environment (Spec.*
959 *Publ. 414)*, 3, pp. 1687–1692. ESA: Florence, Italy, 1997.

960 Wegmüller, U., Werner, C., Frey, O., Magnard, C., Strozzi, T. (2018) Reformulating the
961 Split-Spectrum Method to Facilitate the Estimation and Compensation of the

- 962 Ionospheric Phase in SAR Interferograms. *Procedia Computer Science*, 138:318-325.
- 963 Wessel, P., Smith, W. H. F., Scharroo, R., Luis, J., Wobbe F., (2013) Generic Mapping
964 Tools: Improved Version Released, *EOS Trans. AGU*, 94(45), p. 409-410,
965 2013. [doi:10.1002/2013EO450001](https://doi.org/10.1002/2013EO450001).