

# Prevalence of multimorbid degenerative lumbar spinal stenosis with knee and/or hip osteoarthritis: protocol for a systematic review and meta-analysis

James J. Young (✉ [jyoung@health.sdu.dk](mailto:jyoung@health.sdu.dk))

University of Southern Denmark <https://orcid.org/0000-0003-1210-3106>

Jan Hartvigsen

Syddansk Universitet

Rikke K. Jensen

Syddansk Universitet

Ewa M. Roos

Syddansk Universitet

Carlo Ammendolia

University of Toronto

Carsten Bogh Juhl

Syddansk Universitet

---

## Protocol

**Keywords:** Lumbar spinal stenosis, Hip osteoarthritis, Knee osteoarthritis, Multimorbidity, Prevalence, Systematic review protocol

**Posted Date:** August 10th, 2020

**DOI:** <https://doi.org/10.21203/rs.3.rs-20819/v2>

**License:**   This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License.

[Read Full License](#)

---

**Version of Record:** A version of this preprint was published on October 7th, 2020. See the published version at <https://doi.org/10.1186/s13643-020-01478-4>.

# Abstract

**Background:** Lumbar spinal stenosis (LSS) and knee and hip osteoarthritis (OA) are prevalent conditions in the aging population and published literature suggests they share many symptoms and often are present at the same time in patients. However, no prevalence estimates of multimorbid LSS and knee and/or hip OA are currently available. The primary objective of this systematic review is therefore to estimate the prevalence of multimorbid LSS with knee and/or hip OA using radiological, clinical, and combined case definitions.

**Methods:** This systematic review protocol has been designed according to the guidelines from the Cochrane Collaboration and are reported according the Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analysis Protocols. A comprehensive search will be performed in the following databases: MEDLINE, EMBASE, CENTRAL, and CINAHL. Forward citation tracking will be performed in Web of Science. No restriction for publication data and language will be applied in the literature search, but only articles in English will be included. The search strategy will include the following domains: LSS, knee OA, and hip OA. Retrieved citations will be screened by two authors independently. Disagreements will be discussed until consensus, and a third reviewer will be consulted if consensus cannot be reached. Data extraction and assessment of methodological quality will be done by two authors independently, using a standardized data extraction form and a modified Risk of Bias Tool for Prevalence studies. Meta-analysis estimating prevalence with 95% CI will be performed using a random effects model. Meta-regression analyses will be performed to investigate the impact of the following covariates: LSS clinical presentations, sample population, healthcare setting, risk of bias, and other patient characteristics on prevalence estimates for multimorbid LSS and knee and/or hip OA.

**Discussion:** The results of this review will provide the first estimates of the prevalence of multimorbid LSS and hip and knee OA based on various case definitions. The impact of covariates such as LSS clinical presentations, sample population, healthcare setting, risk of bias, and patient characteristics on prevalence estimates will also be presented.

**Systematic review registration:** Submitted to PROSPERO, awaiting registration

## Background

Musculoskeletal health is considered an important prerequisite for healthy aging (1). Unfortunately, musculoskeletal pain is a leading and growing cause of disability (2, 3). Low back pain and osteoarthritis (OA) are among the most disabling of musculoskeletal conditions globally, ranking as the first and twelfth causes of years lived with disability, respectively (4). Individuals are at increased risk of disability when they have two simultaneous chronic conditions (multimorbidity) (5). Chronic pain conditions, such as low back pain and OA, are among the leading causes of the increased prevalence of multimorbidity among older individuals (6), placing a large burden on both patients and healthcare systems.

Lumbar spinal stenosis (LSS) is a lumbar spine condition that occurs with increasing age and is associated with substantial pain and disability in older adults (7). LSS is considered one of the most burdensome spinal conditions (8, 9) and is the leading reason for spinal surgery in the elderly (10). A recent systematic review found the prevalence of symptomatic LSS to be 11% in the general population (11), but almost half of those over the age of 60 experience symptomatic LSS (12). The number of individuals with disability attributed to LSS is expected to rise globally due to the rapidly increasing population over the age of 60 years (13).

Multimorbidity in the aging population is becoming increasingly recognized as an important health determinant (14–16). Musculoskeletal pain often occurs in more than one bodysite (17–19) and OA has been shown to be frequently associated with other comorbid conditions (20–22), including other musculoskeletal conditions (22). LSS can also coexist with other musculoskeletal conditions, including OA ((23, 24). Hip-spine syndrome has been described in the literature to define coexisting hip and lumbar spine disorders and was originally developed to describe concomitant degenerative spine and hip disease (25). Particularly interesting is the relation between hip OA and degenerative LSS, as these conditions share a similar degenerative etiology and radiographic findings of degeneration are common in both the lumbar spine and hip (12, 26–28). Clinical reports have documented patients with co-occurring LSS and hip OA (24, 29), but the relationship with co-occurring knee OA, another common condition in the aging population (30), is relatively unknown. However, there is evidence suggesting knee OA and low back pain commonly co-exist (22) and low back pain has been identified as a risk factor for the development of knee pain in older adults (31).

As the number of individuals with LSS, knee OA, and hip OA rises, it is likely that many older individuals will experience these conditions comorbidly. In fact, the number of individuals living with multimorbidity is already increasing as a result of the aging global population (14). This may be problematic, as a substantial economic burden has been attributed to multimorbidity in older adults (32) due to functional decline and loss of independence (33). While it is likely that comorbid LSS and knee and/or hip OA will impact disability levels and healthcare costs, a more developed understanding of the magnitude of this growing health concern is required for informed prioritization and management of these individuals. We are unaware of any formal attempts to estimate the prevalence of multimorbid LSS with knee and/or hip OA. Thus, we do not know how often these conditions co-occur and multimorbid prevalence estimates are needed.

## Objectives

The overall objective of this systematic review is to estimate the prevalence of multimorbid degenerative LSS with knee and hip OA, respectively.

The primary outcome will be the prevalence of degenerative LSS defined by a combination of clinical evaluation and imaging with co-occurring i) knee OA and ii) hip OA.

The secondary outcome will be the prevalence of degenerative LSS defined by clinical evaluation and co-occurring i) knee OA and ii) hip OA and the prevalence of degenerative LSS defined by imaging and co-occurring i) knee OA and ii) hip OA.

## Methods

This protocol has been prepared according to the guidelines from the Cochrane Collaboration (34) and reported according to the Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analysis Protocols (PRISMA-P) (35) and the populated version is available in Additional file 1. The systematic review protocol is submitted for registration in the international prospective register of systematic reviews (PROSPERO) and is awaiting a registration number.

## Case definitions

All case definitions for degenerative LSS, knee OA, and hip OA will be included in this study. This includes, imaging and clinical diagnoses, as well as combinations of imaging and clinical diagnoses. Moreover, all clinical diagnoses associated with degenerative LSS (neurogenic claudication, radicular type, and mixed types) will be included.

## Study eligibility criteria

Studies will be included in this systematic review if they meet the following inclusion and exclusion criteria:

### Inclusion criteria

- Study designs including cross-sectional studies, cohort studies, and randomized controlled trials.
- Studies including adults (18 years or older) with LSS and knee and/or hip OA.
- Studies assessing prevalence of co-occurring LSS and knee and/or hip OA or presenting sufficient data for estimating the prevalence.
- Full text papers published in English in peer-reviewed journals.

### Exclusion criteria

- Studies including individuals with low back, knee, or hip pain with other origins (e.g. fracture, tumor, inflammatory disease, infection, and lumbar disc herniation).
- Studies using congenital or non-degenerative forms of LSS.
- Laboratory studies, cadaveric studies, and conference abstracts.

## Search strategy

A comprehensive search for relevant studies was designed in consultation with a health sciences librarian and will be reviewed by a second librarian using the Peer Review of Electronic Search Strategies (PRESS) Checklist. (36, 37) The following bibliographic databases will be searched with no publication date or language limitation, but only articles in English will be included: MEDLINE, EMBASE, CENTRAL and CINAHL. Web of Science will be used for forward citation tracking. Search term groups will be combined covering the following domains: LSS, knee OA, and hip OA. The search terms used for each domain were developed based on previous Cochrane reviews on LSS (38) and knee (39) and hip OA (40). We included search terms related to low back pain in the LSS search domain to increase the sensitivity of the search strategy. Additional file 2 presents the search strategy designed for MEDLINE. A pilot search will be performed using the search terminology to ensure its all-inclusiveness. Automated search updates will be set up in each database to ensure inclusion of the latest publications in the field.

Reference lists of retrieved articles and reviews will be scrutinized and forward citation tracking of included articles will be performed in Web of Science to identify additional studies. Content experts will be contacted to identify additional studies not captured in the bibliographic database search. PROSPERO will also be searched for ongoing or recently completed systematic reviews. All studies identified by our search strategy will be retrieved and managed using Endnote X9 (Thomson Reuters, Philadelphia, PA, USA) and Covidence systematic review software (Veritas Health Innovation, Melbourne, Australia).

## Study selection

All retrieved records will be scrutinized in a two-stage screening process by two independent reviewers. Reviewers will first screen titles and abstracts according to eligibility criteria in the first stage. The reviewers will be blinded to the other reviewer's selections and disagreements will be discussed until consensus or resolved by a third independent reviewer if necessary. Full-text articles of all studies deemed eligible will be retrieved.

In the second stage, the two reviewers will independently screen the full-text articles against the eligibility criteria. Study authors will be contacted for additional information regarding eligibility criteria if necessary. Citation tracking will be performed for all the included full-text articles. Disagreements will be resolved using consensus meetings or by a third reviewer if consensus cannot be reached. Reasons for excluding full-text studies will be recorded.

## Data extraction

Data from included studies will be extracted by two independent authors using a standardized data extraction form. Disagreements will be resolved by discussion until consensus or by including a third reviewer. Authors of included studies with missing data will be contacted when additional information is

required. The data extraction form will be tested on ten randomly selected studies from the pilot search and amended accordingly.

Data extraction will include:

- First author, publication year, and country.
- Study topic, objectives, and design.
- Time of study, method of data collection, study population, and health care setting.
- Total sample size, participation and response rate, and cohort characteristics (e.g. mean/median age, age range, sex distribution, ethnicity, and socioeconomic status).
- Case definitions and clinical presentations of LSS.
- Case definitions of knee and/or hip OA.
- Prevalence of LSS and knee and/or hip OA.
- Reports of pain severity and disability levels.
- Information for assessment of methodological quality.

## Risk of bias assessment

Two members of the study team will independently assess the risk of bias of the included studies. Assessment of the risk of bias in the included studies will be conducted using a modified version of the risk of bias tool for prevalence studies developed by Hoy et al (41). Modifications were made to the risk of bias tool for the purpose of this study. All items on the original tool that made specific reference to low back pain were altered to lumbar spinal stenosis and knee and hip osteoarthritis, where applicable. Item 1 was altered from “a close representation of the national population” to “a close representation of the target population” as this review is not concerned with national populations. Item 5 was removed as clinical and imaging information can only be collected directly from participants, and thus irrelevant to the aims of this review. An additional response option, “Irrelevant” was added to item 9 for studies that report imaging diagnoses, as imaging findings are not subject to recall limitations. The modifications to items 1, 5, and 9 have been used previously in a prevalence review for LSS (11). Additionally, item 6 was split into two items, 6a and b, to assess the acceptability of the case definition for a. lumbar spinal stenosis and b. knee and hip osteoarthritis. Item 7 was also divided into two items, 7a and b, to assess the reliability and validity of the measurement instrument for a. lumbar spinal stenosis and b. knee and hip osteoarthritis. The modified risk of bias tool is presented in Additional file 3.

The modified risk of bias tool will be used for all included study designs (including randomized controlled trials), as only cross-sectional data from these designs will be used in prevalence estimates. Individual items on the risk of bias tool will be rated as “Yes” for low risk of bias or “No” for high risk of bias or if there is insufficient information in the study to allow judgment of the particular item. An overall risk of

bias (high, moderate, or low) for each study will be determined based on the consensus agreement of all raters, taking into consideration the responses to each item on this tool.

## Evidence synthesis

The selection process will be summarized in a PRISMA flowchart. The results of data extraction and assessment of risk of bias will be summarized in tables. Study and participant characteristics will be reported descriptively. The proportion of participants with LSS reporting co-occurring knee and hip OA will be described as prevalence estimates with 95% confidence intervals. Estimates of the pooled proportions using a random effects model will be calculated for the co-occurrence of LSS with knee and hip OA, respectively. Results for prevalence estimates for multimorbid LSS and knee OA will be presented in Figure 1, while prevalence estimates for multimorbid LSS and hip OA will be presented in a similar figure.

Heterogeneity will be evaluated using the  $I^2$  statistic, and when  $I^2$  is 0%, no *inconsistency* was seen between the results and when  $I^2$  is 100%, there is maximal inconsistency between the results in the included studies. The inconsistency can be considered low if  $I^2$  is less than 40%, moderate between 30–60%, substantial between 50–90%, and considerable between 75–100% (34). Statistical analyses will be performed in Stata 15.1 (StataCorp LLC, College Station, USA).

Meta-regression analyses investigating the impact of LSS clinical presentations, sample population, healthcare setting, and risk of bias, as well as the covariates age, sex, pain severity, and/or disability levels will be performed. A co-variate able to reduce  $I^2$  and thus the between study variance tau-square) will be regarded as important for the prevalence of co-occurrence.

## Discussion

The discussion will include the strengths and limitations of this review. This review is strengthened by the adherence to recommendations from the Cochrane Handbook (34) and reporting according to the PRISMA-P statement (35). Additionally, separate prevalence estimates by case definition for both LSS and knee/hip OA will be presented to aid in research and clinical interpretations. However, this review is not without limitations. Briefly, the lack of consensus gold standard case definitions for both LSS and OA may limit our ability to draw firm conclusions about the multimorbid prevalence of these conditions. Additionally, we have chosen to operationalize multimorbidity by simply counting the presence of these conditions. Many other multimorbidity frameworks have been proposed (42) and differing definitions can influence multimorbidity prevalence estimates (43).

This review will provide preliminary prevalence estimates of multimorbid LSS and knee and/or hip OA. The results of this review should raise awareness among researchers and clinicians regarding how commonly these conditions coexist and the need to consider multimorbid presentations when assessing and treating patients and evaluating interventions. The generated prevalence estimates should serve as a starting point from which further research can be conducted to better understand the relationship

between co-occurring LSS and knee and/or hip OA. The findings will help determine the need for more rigorous epidemiological studies, as well as inform diagnostic and interventional studies for this patient population. It is our hope that the results of this systematic review and meta-analysis will help policy makers better understand the magnitude of this growing healthcare burden, while also helping clinicians and patients access care pathways better suited to manage these complex multimorbid presentations.

## **Abbreviations**

LSS: Lumbar spinal stenosis; OA: osteoarthritis, PRESS: Peer Review of Electronic Search Strategies Checklist; PRISMA-P: Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analysis Protocols.

## **Declarations**

### **Ethical approval and consent to participate**

Not applicable.

### **Consent for publication**

Not applicable.

### **Availability of data and materials**

Not applicable.

### **Competing interests**

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

### **Funding**

JJY has received PhD funding support from the Danish Foundation for Chiropractic Research and Post-graduate Education, the Ontario Chiropractic Association, the Canadian Memorial Chiropractic College, the National Chiropractic Mutual Insurance Company Foundation, and a faculty scholarship from the University of Southern Denmark. No funding body has any role in the conduct of this study.

## Authors' contributions

JJY, JH, RKJ, ER, and CA conceived the idea, and JJY wrote the protocol with critical revisions from all authors. JJY and CBJ developed the search strategy with assistance from a research librarian. JJY will carry out searches with CBJ, and JJY and co-authors will independently assess studies for inclusion. Data extraction will be done by JJY and co-authors independently. All authors will be involved in the analysis and assessment of methodological quality. JJY will lead the analysis with participation of CBJ. All authors will participate in interpretation and formation of conclusions. JJY will draft the report with critical revision and contribution from all authors. All authors will give their approval prior to submission for publication of the final version of this systematic review article.

## Acknowledgements

Not applicable.

## References

1. Briggs AM, de Carvalho IA. Actions required to implement integrated care for older people in the community using the World Health Organization's ICOPE approach: A global Delphi consensus study. *PloS One*. 2018;13(10):e0205533.
2. Hay SI, Abajobir AA, Abate KH, Abbafati C, Abbas KM, Abd-Allah F, et al. Global, regional, and national disability-adjusted life-years (DALYs) for 333 diseases and injuries and healthy life expectancy (HALE) for 195 countries and territories, 1990–2016: a systematic analysis for the Global Burden of Disease Study 2016. *Lancet*. 2017;390(10100):1260–344.
3. James SL, Abate D, Abate KH, Abay SM, Abbafati C, Abbasi N, et al. Global, regional, and national incidence, prevalence, and years lived with disability for 354 diseases and injuries for 195 countries and territories, 1990–2017: a systematic analysis for the Global Burden of Disease Study 2017. *Lancet*. 2018;392(10159):1789–858.
4. Vos T, Abajobir AA, Abate KH, Abbafati C, Abbas KM, Abd-Allah F, et al. Global, regional, and national incidence, prevalence, and years lived with disability for 328 diseases and injuries for 195 countries, 1990–2016: a systematic analysis for the Global Burden of Disease Study 2016. *Lancet*. 2017;390(10100):1211–59.
5. Griffith LE, Raina P, Levasseur M, Sohel N, Payette H, Tuokko H, et al. Functional disability and social participation restriction associated with chronic conditions in middle-aged and older adults. *J Epidemiol Community Health*. 2017;71(4):381–9.

6. Jindai K, Nielson CM, Vorderstrasse BA, Quiñones AR. Peer Reviewed: Multimorbidity and Functional Limitations Among Adults 65 or Older, NHANES 2005–2012. *Prev Chronic Dis.* 2016;13.
7. Lurie J, Tomkins-Lane C. Management of lumbar spinal stenosis. *BMJ.* 2016;352:h6234.
8. Fanuele JC, Birkmeyer NJ, Abdu WA, Tosteson TD, Weinstein JN. The impact of spinal problems on the health status of patients: have we underestimated the effect? *Spine (Phila Pa 1976).* 2000;25(12):1509–14.
9. Ferreira ML, De Luca K. Spinal pain and its impact on older people. *Best Pract Res Cl Rh.* 2017;31(2):192–202.
10. Deyo RA. Treatment of lumbar spinal stenosis: a balancing act. *Spine J.* 2010;10(7):625–7.
11. Jensen R, Jensen T, Koes B, Hartvigsen J. Prevalence of lumbar spinal stenosis in general and clinical populations: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Eur Spine J.* 2020.
12. Kalichman L, Cole R, Kim DH, Li L, Suri P, Guermazi A, et al. Spinal stenosis prevalence and association with symptoms: the Framingham Study. *Spine J.* 2009;9(7):545–50.
13. World Health Organization. World report on ageing and health: World Health Organization; 2015: Available from: [www.who.int/ageing/events/world-report-2015-launch/en/](http://www.who.int/ageing/events/world-report-2015-launch/en/).
14. National Institute for Health and Care Excellence. Multimorbidity: clinical assessment and management. National Institute for Health and Care Excellence Guidelines. 2016;NG56.
15. United States Department of Health and Human Services, Office of Disease Prevention and Health Promotion. Healthy people 2020. United States Department of Health and Human Services. 2011: Available from [www.healthypeople.gov/](http://www.healthypeople.gov/).
16. Smith SM, Wallace E, O'Dowd T, Fortin M. Interventions for improving outcomes in patients with multimorbidity in primary care and community settings. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev.* 2016(3).
17. Coggon D, Ntani G, Palmer KT, Felli VE, Harari R, Barrero LH, et al. Patterns of multisite pain and associations with risk factors. *Pain.* 2013;154(9):1769–77.
18. Hartvigsen J, Davidsen M, Hestbaek L, Sogaard K, Roos EM. Patterns of musculoskeletal pain in the population: A latent class analysis using a nationally representative interviewer-based survey of 4817 Danes. *Eur J Pain.* 2013;17(3):452–60.
19. Kamaleri Y, Natvig B, Ihlebaek CM, Benth JS, Bruusgaard D. Number of pain sites is associated with demographic, lifestyle, and health-related factors in the general population. *Eur J Pain.* 2008;12(6):742–8.

20. Bastick AN, Runhaar J, Belo JN, Bierma-Zeinstra SM. Prognostic factors for progression of clinical osteoarthritis of the knee: a systematic review of observational studies. *Arthritis Res Ther*. 2015;17(1):152.
21. Bierma-Zeinstra S, Waarsing J. The role of atherosclerosis in osteoarthritis. *Best Prac Res Cl Rh*. 2017;31(5):613–33.
22. Calders P, Van Ginckel A, editors. Presence of comorbidities and prognosis of clinical symptoms in knee and/or hip osteoarthritis: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Semin Arthritis Rheum*. 2018;47(6):805–13.
23. Dutton R. A Review of Hip-Spine Syndrome. *Curr Phys Med Rehabil Rep*. 2019;7(3):264–74.
24. Prather H, van Dillen L. A narrative review of the links between the hip and the lumbar spine (hip spine syndrome) as they relate to clinical decision making for patients with lumbopelvic pain. *PM R*. 2019;11:s64–72.
25. Offierski C, MacNab I. Hip-spine syndrome. *Spine (Phila Pa 1976)*. 1983;8(3):316–21.
26. Ishimoto Y, Yoshimura N, Muraki S, Yamada H, Nagata K, Hashizume H, et al. Associations between radiographic lumbar spinal stenosis and clinical symptoms in the general population: the Wakayama Spine Study. *Osteoarthritis Cartilage*. 2013;21(6):783–8.
27. Jordan JM, Helmick CG, Renner JB, Luta G, Dragomir AD, Woodard J, et al. Prevalence of hip symptoms and radiographic and symptomatic hip osteoarthritis in African Americans and Caucasians: the Johnston County Osteoarthritis Project. *J Rheumatol*. 2009;36(4):809–15.
28. Kim C, Nevitt MC, Niu J, Clancy MM, Lane NE, Link TM, et al. Association of hip pain with radiographic evidence of hip osteoarthritis: diagnostic test study. *BMJ*. 2015;351:h5983.
29. Devin CJ, McCullough KA, Morris BJ, Yates AJ, Kang JD. Hip-spine syndrome. *J Am Acad Orthop Surg*. 2012;20(7):434–42.
30. Cross M, Smith E, Hoy D, Nolte S, Ackerman I, Fransen M, et al. The global burden of hip and knee osteoarthritis: estimates from the global burden of disease 2010 study. *Ann Rheum Dis*. 2014;73(7):1323–30.
31. Ito H, Tominari S, Tabara Y, Nakayama T, Furu M, Kawata T, et al. Low back pain precedes the development of new knee pain in the elderly population; a novel predictive score from a longitudinal cohort study. *Arthritis Res Ther*. 2019;21(1):98.
32. Wang L, Si L, Cocker F, Palmer AJ, Sanderson K. A systematic review of cost-of-illness studies of multimorbidity. *Appl Health Econ Hea Pol*. 2018;16(1):15–29.

33. Pearson-Stuttard J, Ezzati M, Gregg EW. Multimorbidity—a defining challenge for health systems. *Lancet Public Health*. 2019;4(12):e599–600.
34. Higgins JPT, Thomas J, Chandler J, Cumpston M, Li T, Page MJ, et al. *Cochrane Handbook for Systematic Reviews of Interventions* version 6.0 (updated July 2019). The Cochrane Collaboration. 2019: Available from [www.training.cochrane.org/handbook](http://www.training.cochrane.org/handbook).
35. Shamseer L, Moher D, Clarke M, Ghersi D, Liberati A, Petticrew M, et al. Preferred reporting items for systematic review and meta-analysis protocols (PRISMA-P) 2015: elaboration and explanation. *BMJ*. 2015;349:g7647.
36. McGowan J, Sampson M, Lefebvre C. An evidence based checklist for the peer review of electronic search strategies (PRESS EBC). *Evid Based Libr Inf Pract*. 2010;5(1):149–54.
37. McGowan J, Sampson M, Salzwedel DM, Cogo E, Foerster V, Lefebvre C. PRESS peer review of electronic search strategies: 2015 guideline statement. *J Clin Epidemiol*. 2016;75:40–6.
38. Ammendolia C, Stuber KJ, Rok E, Rampersaud R, Kennedy CA, Pennick V, et al. Nonoperative treatment for lumbar spinal stenosis with neurogenic claudication. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev*. 2013(8).
39. Fransen M, McConnell S, Harmer AR, Van der Esch M, Simic M, Bennell KL. Exercise for osteoarthritis of the knee. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev*. 2015(1).
40. Fransen M, McConnell S, Hernandez-Molina G, Reichenbach S. Exercise for osteoarthritis of the hip. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev*. 2014(4).
41. Hoy D, Brooks P, Woolf A, Blyth F, March L, Bain C, et al. Assessing risk of bias in prevalence studies: modification of an existing tool and evidence of interrater agreement. *J Clin Epidemiol*. 2012;65(9):934–9.
42. Willadsen TG, Bebe A, Køster-Rasmussen R, Jarbøl DE, Guassora AD, Waldorff FB, et al. The role of diseases, risk factors and symptoms in the definition of multimorbidity—a systematic review. *Scand J Prim Health Care*. 2016;34(2):112–21.
43. Griffith LE, Gilsing A, Mangin D, Patterson C, van den Heuvel E, Sohel N, et al. Multimorbidity Frameworks Impact Prevalence and Relationships with Patient-Important Outcomes. *J Am Geriatr Soc*. 2019;67(8):1632–40.

## Figures

