

Fascin-1 expression in colorectal cancer identifies patients at high risk for early disease recurrence and associated mortality.

Athanasios Tampakis (✉ Athanasios.Tampakis@usb.ch)

Universitatsspital Basel <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-5332-4688>

Ekaterini Christina Tampaki

Laiko Geniko Nosokomeio Athenon

Afrodite Nonni

National and Kapodistrian University of Athens Faculty of Medicine

Ioannis D. Kostakis

Laiko Geniko Nosokomeio Athenon

Alberto Posabella

Universitatsspital Basel

Konstantinos Kontzoglou

Laiko Geniko Nosokomeio Athenon

Markus von Flue

Universitatsspital Basel

Evangelos Felekouras

Laiko Geniko Nosokomeio Athenon

Gregory Kouraklis

Laiko Geniko Nosokomeio Athenon

Nikolaos Nikiteas

Laiko Geniko Nosokomeio Athenon

Research article

Keywords: fascin-1, cytoskeleton, colorectal cancer, cancer stem cells

Posted Date: May 4th, 2020

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.21203/rs.3.rs-20928/v1>

License:   This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License.

[Read Full License](#)

Version of Record: A version of this preprint was published on February 12th, 2021. See the published version at <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12885-021-07842-4>.

Abstract

Background; Fascin is the main actin cross-linker protein that regulates adhesion dynamics and stabilizes cell protrusion, such as filopodia. In human cancer, fascin expression correlates with aggressive clinical features. This study aimed to determine the expression patterns of fascin-1 and assess its prognostic significance in colorectal cancer.

Methods; One hundred eleven specimens of patients with primary resectable colorectal cancer were examined via immunohistochemistry for the expression of fascin-1, and the results were correlated with clinicopathological characteristics and survival data.

Results; Fascin-1 staining displayed strong intensity in the cytoplasm of the colorectal cancer cells and endothelial cells of tumor blood vessels. Moderate and high fascin-1 expression was associated with progressive anatomic disease extent ($p < 0.001$), higher T classification ($p = 0.007$), the presence of lymph node ($p < 0.001$) and distant metastasis ($p = 0.002$), high grade tumors ($p = 0.002$) and vascular invasion ($p < 0.001$). Patients displaying moderate and high fascin-1 expression demonstrated a significantly worse 5-year overall survival [HR; 3.906, (95%CI) = 1.250-12.195] and significantly worse 3-year progression-free survival [HR; 3.448, (95%CI) = 1.401–8.475] independent of other clinicopathological characteristics. Besides, high fascin-1 expression in early-stage cancer only was associated with a dismal prognosis.

Conclusions; High fascin-1 expression in colorectal cancer is an independent negative prognostic factor for survival, increasing the risk for disease recurrence or death almost by sevenfold. Fascin-1 expression could be potentially utilized to identify high-risk patients prone to metastasis already in early-stage disease.

1. Background

Tumor metastasis represents the major cause of cancer-associated mortality¹, with a total of 53.200² new estimated deaths in 2020 among both sexes with regard to colorectal cancer (CRC). Metastasis, however, is a multi-step process wherein tumor cell migration and invasion are critical steps³ so that a primary tumor may spread to a secondary tissue⁴⁻⁶. Cell migration and invasion proceeds when structural remodeling of the actin cytoskeleton occurs, by forming polymers and bundles to cause dynamic changes in cell shapes⁷⁻⁹. Filopodia represent finger-like plasma membrane protrusions whose formation results upon dynamic changes of the actin cytoskeleton¹⁰. Their role is fundamental regarding the regulation of cell shape and motility events¹⁰.

Fascin is the main actin cross-linker in filopodia¹¹. Filopodia formation occurs after fascin binds to 10–30 parallel actin filaments together into straight, compact, and rigid bundles that provide further mechanical stiffness to actin bundles^{10,12}. Previous reports demonstrate that ectopic fascin expression in tumor cells promotes tumor cell migration, invasion, and metastasis tumor cell migration, invasion, and

metastasis¹³. In addition, the upregulation of fascin correlates with the EMT (epithelial to mesenchymal transition) pathway¹⁴.

In this background, the present study aimed to investigate the expression patterns of fascin-1 in CRC and to assess its clinical importance.

2. Methods

2.1 Patients and tissues specimens

A total of 111 clinically annotated surgically removed primary CRC samples was retrospectively identified from the database of patients of the 1st and 2nd Department of Propedeutic Surgery in Laiko General Hospital in Athens, Greece¹⁵. The specimens were collected from the established colorectal tumor tissue bank of the Institute of Pathology of the National and Kapodistian University, Athens Medical School, Laiko General Hospital. The Regional Ethics Committee (ethics committee of the National and Kapodistian University, Athens Medical School, Laiko General Hospital) approved the use of tissue samples for translational research purposes. Written informed patient consent was obtained in advance and the study was conducted following the principles of the Helsinki declaration. Results are presented according to the REMARK (Reporting Recommendations for Tumor Marker Prognostic Studies) guidelines¹⁶.

Patients that underwent a curative surgical resection constituted the study population. Those that manifested with metastatic disease revealed small liver metastases that during surgery were treated with a partial (Wedge/anatomic) liver resection.

2.2 Clinicopathological features

Clinicopathological data were identified from a prospectively collected database. A retrospective analysis followed in a non-stratified and non-matched manner. Annotation included patient age, gender, location, pT/pN classification, tumor grade, histologic subtype, vascular invasion, perineural invasion, the presence of metastasis and the clinical stage of the disease according to UICC (Union for International Cancer Control).

2.3 Immunohistochemistry

Standard procedures were used for the preparation of the formalin-fixed, paraffin-embedded tissue blocks. Staining was done on regular four µm sections of formalin-fixed and paraffin-embedded tumour tissue. Immunohistochemistry was performed on tissue sections with the standard biotin–avidin technique using a monoclonal antibody against fascin-1. The expression of fascin-1 was analyzed by using the fascin-1 mouse monoclonal IgG₁ (kappa light chain) antibody (55K-2:sc-21743) purchased from Santa Cruz Biotechnology, Heidelberg, Germany.

2.4 Evaluation of immunohistochemistry

Histopathological evaluation was performed independently by two experienced pathologists in the field (A.N. and E.P.) and by blinding both pathologists to the clinical data of the patients.

Immunohistochemistry was applied in a three-step method (avidin- biotin-peroxidase) for determining fascin-1 expression in paraffin tissue-embedded sections of 111 CRC specimens as previously described 17–18.

Expression of fascin-1 was semiquantitatively classified. Roc curve and X-tile were used to define cut off points and consequently, the levels of expression of fascin-1. More specifically, using ROC (Receiver Operating Characteristics) curve, a specimen exhibiting fascin-1 staining in less than 10% of the cancer cells was defined as a specimen with low expression of fascin-1. Nevertheless, using X-tile, two cut off points (10% and 35%) revealed significant data regarding survival. Therefore, patients displaying fascin-1 expression between 10%-35% were classified as patients with a moderate expression of fascin-1, whereas patients exhibiting within their specimens fascin-1 expression $\geq 35\%$ were classified as patients with high fascin-1 expression. Staining was evaluated in the cytoplasmic membrane and cytoplasm of tumor cells. The intensity of staining was scored as follows: 0: no staining of the cells, 1: mild staining, 2: intermediate degree of staining, 3: strong staining intensity. A specimen was evaluated as positive for fascin-1 expression when moderate to high expression of the marker was present and exhibited strong staining intensity.

2.5 Statistical analysis

SPSS IBM statistics software (version 21.0) was used in order to perform the statistical analysis of the present study. The use of χ^2 test assessed correlations between fascin-1 expression and the clinicopathological characteristics. Histogram demonstrated whether stability of the age distribution exists. 2-sided chi-square, Fischer's exact test and Log-Rank test evaluated the existence of significance. A *p*-value of less than 0.05 demonstrated the presence of statistical significance.

Regarding survival data, Kaplan-Meier curves assessed the cumulative survival probabilities. Hazard ratios (HR) and 95% confidence intervals (CI) determined the prognostic effects on survival time. Finally, multivariate Cox regression analysis investigated the effect on survival of several clinical parameters related to the fascin-1 expression.

Overall survival (OS) was the length of time in months until the presence of mortality. Time of disease recurrence represented the length of time in months from the operation until the occurrence of the first recurrence manifesting either as local recurrence or distant metastasis. Therefore, progression-free survival (PFS) constituted the time from the operation until the occurrence of disease recurrence or death.

2.6 Outcomes

3-year PFS and 5-year OS were used as the primary outcomes of this study.

3. Results

3.1 Baseline descriptive and tumor characteristics of the study population

A total of 111 patients with CRC underwent oncological surgical resection at the second department of Propedeutic Surgery, Laiko General Hospital, National and Kapodistrian University of Athens. The mean age of the study population was 70.9 years (range 42–90 years), and 61.3% were male patients. The primary tumor location was left-sided in 71.2%, with 38.7% representing rectal cancers and right-sided in 28.8% of the patients. The tumor had grown through the muscularis propria and into the subserosa (T3) in 53.2% of the patients. Regarding lymph node metastasis, 53.2% of the patients had no lymph node metastasis, 21.6% presented lymph node metastasis in 1–3 regional lymph nodes, and 25.2% to 4 or more regional lymph nodes. Metastatic disease in terms of resectable liver metastasis was present in 15.3% of the patients. Patients with grade II and III tumors constituted 66.7% and 26.1% of the study population, respectively. Patients with stage I and II disease represented almost half (49.5%) of the study population, whereas patients with stage III and IVA disease were present in 35.1% and 15.3% of the study population, respectively. Vascular, perineural invasion, and mucinous histologic subtype presented in 25.2%, 8.1%, and 8.1% of the CRC specimens, respectively. Baseline characteristics are summarized in Table 1.

3.2 Fascin-1 is strongly expressed in the cytoplasm of the colorectal tumor cells

Fascin-1 expression was observed in the cytoplasm of the cancer cells exhibiting only strong intensity (Fig. 1). Epithelial cells of the adjacent healthy colorectal tissue demonstrated a mild intensity partially. Besides, a moderate to vigorous intensity was observed in the endothelial cells of tumor blood vessels.

According to the cutoff points that were defined, 59.5% of the patients exhibited low expression of fascin-1, 26.1% moderate expression, and 14.4% high expression of fascin-1 (Table 1).

3.3 Correlation of clinicopathological characteristics with the expression of fascin-1

No significant correlations were observed between fascin-1 expression and the presence of perineural invasion ($p = 0.154$) or the presence of mucinous histologic subtype ($p = 0.481$). On the contrary, fascin-1 expression was significantly higher in patients with CRC of clinical stage III and IV compared with stage I and II ($p < 0.001$). Fascin-1 expression was significantly lower in colorectal specimens classified as T1 tumors compared with T2-4 ($p = 0.007$). Lymph node metastasis was significantly associated with patients exhibiting fascin-1 in the CRC specimens ($p < 0.001$). Patients with metastatic disease presented with a significantly higher expression of fascin-1 ($p = 0.021$). Fascin-1 expression correlated with high-grade tumors ($p = 0.002$). Finally, vascular invasion was significantly higher in specimens exhibiting high expression of fascin-1 ($p = 0.001$). Table 2 summarizes the correlation of the expression of fascin-1 with the clinicopathological characteristics.

3.4 Prognostic significance of fascin-1 regarding progression-free and overall survival

Median follow up of the patients in the present cohort was 49 months [range 0 (3 patients lost to follow up) to 99 months]. Patients with a high expression of fascin-1 demonstrated significantly higher rates of disease recurrence or death. More specifically, 3-year PFS (Fig. 2A) was 43.5%, (95%CI) = 29–57 for patients displaying moderate and high expression of fascin-1 versus 82.2%, (95%CI) = 74–92 for patients with low expression of fascin-1 ($p < 0.001$). Regarding OS, a significantly worse 5-year OS was observed in the group of patients exhibiting moderate and high expression of fascin-1 (Fig. 2B) compared to patients with low fascin-1 expression [5-year OS 46.4%, (95%CI) = 39–67, vs 87.1%, (95%CI) = 84–98 $p < 0.001$].

X-Tile software encountered a level of fascin-1 expression of more than 35% (high expression of fascin-1) as a cutoff point for very poor 3-year PFS and 5-year OS. More specifically, 3-year PFS (Fig. 3A) reached only 20%, (95%CI) = 11–53 for patients exhibiting high fascin-1 expression versus 75%, (95%CI) = 65–83 for patients displaying low and moderate fascin-1 expression ($p < 0.001$). A poor 5-year OS (Fig. 3B) was confirmed for patients with high fascin-1 expression reaching only 13.3%, (95%CI) = 12–53 versus 80%, (95%CI) = 75–90 ($p < 0.001$) for patients with low and moderate fascin-1 expression.

Interestingly, the subgroup analysis comprised only of patients with clinical stage I and II disease demonstrated a significantly worse 5-year OS (Fig. 4) for patients displaying moderate and high fascin-1 expression when compared to patients with a low fascin-1 expression [48%, (95%CI) = 44–68, vs. 93.5%, (95%CI) = 87–99, $p = 0.007$]

Multivariate analysis using the Cox proportional hazards model identified that the risk of occurrence of disease recurrence or death in the first three years after operation increases by almost 3.5-fold [HR = 3.448, (95%CI) = 1.401–8.475, Table 3] when patients display a moderate to high fascin-1 expression and by 4-fold in patients with high fascin-1 expression [HR = 4.032, (95%CI) = 1.572–10.309, Table 3] regardless of TNM stage, grade of tumor differentiation, vascular invasion, and perineural invasion. Similarly, the related to fascin-1 expression risk of death in the first five years after operation increased by almost 4-fold [HR = 3.906, (95%CI) = 1.250–12.195, Table 4] in patients displaying moderate to high fascin-1 expression and by almost 7-fold [HR = 6.623, (95%CI) = 2.299–19.231, Table 3] in patients with high fascin-1 expression independently of other clinicopathological characteristics.

4. Discussion

The present study demonstrated that fascin-1 expression correlates with aggressive clinical features, poor 3-year-PFS, and 5-year OS regardless of TNM stage, tumor grade, vascular and perineural invasion. Moreover, patients with early-stage CRC encountered poor 5-year OS when fascin-1 displayed moderate to high expression.

Similar results have been supported previously in the literature. A meta-analysis¹⁹ of solid tumors about fascin expression demonstrated an increased risk of mortality in breast, colorectal, and esophageal cancer. More specifically for CRC patients, two studies²⁰⁻²¹ in this meta-analysis¹⁹ referring to advanced disease investigated the correlation between fascin expression and time-to disease progression with a total of 354 CRC patients. The pooled random effects hazard ratio was estimated at 2.12 ($p = 0.05$) for mortality, recurrence, or metastasis. However, a moderate to substantial heterogeneity existed among these two cohort studies ($I^2 = 73\%$, $p = 0.06$). A strong correlation¹⁹ of fascin expression with lymph node (RR estimate of 1.47, $p < 0.001$ for $N = 833$ patients) and distant metastasis (RR estimate of 1.70, $p = 0.004$ for $N = 684$ patients) was, also, revealed. Interesting to note is the arguable smaller range of heterogeneity ($I^2 = 6.4\%$, $p = 0.38$ and $I^2 = 0\%$, $p = 0.83$ respectively) among the included studies of the meta-analysis. Chan et al.²² suggested that there was a stronger correlation with poor overall survival when fascin expression exists in the central region of the tumor rather than the invasive front. Finally, Hashimoto et al.²³ demonstrated the presence of correlation among fascin and Ki-67 in adenomas of the colon, suggesting, therefore, a potential role of fascin in the neoplastic transformation from a low-grade dysplastic lesion to frankly invasive cancer. In our study, fascin-1 expression was significantly higher as the anatomic disease extent progressed.

Although fascin seems to be associated with aggressive clinical features in CRC, the exact mechanisms that mediate fascin dependent cell invasion remain unclear. Studies investigating the role of fascin have established that extracellular signaling pathways regulate its acting-binding properties by acting both through adhesion receptors and receptor tyrosine kinases²⁴. Besides, in mouse xenografts, cells from human ovarian cancer cells displaying fascin expression were more tumorigenic, indicating an association of fascin with the proliferative status of the cancer²⁵. Jayo and colleagues²⁶ suggested a role for fascin as a mechano-transducer of cytoplasmic forces that couples F-actin to the nuclear envelope protein nesprin-2. More specifically, this "coupling" regulates nuclear localization and deformation, which contribute to the migration of cells in confined spaces suggesting, therefore, a fascin-mediated structural remodeling of the cytoskeleton. The latter is further enhanced as Jawhari et al.²⁷ enhance the latter by presenting that fascin positive epithelial cells promote local motility and invasion by altering the organization of actin-based protrusions and focal cell-matrix adhesions.

In the present study, fascin-1 expression was associated with lymph node and distant metastasis and with high-grade tumors. Previous reports demonstrated that high fascin expression correlates with low E-cadherin expression, suggesting, therefore, that as cells progress through the epithelial to mesenchymal transition (EMT), they gain fascin while losing E-cadherin²⁸⁻²⁹. In addition, the wnt activated LEF-TCF transcriptional signaling pathway constitutes a significant promoter of the EMT pathway and regulates fascin expression³⁰. Machesky et al.¹⁴ assumed that when fascin upregulation occurs as part of the EMT pathway, it confers special motility and invasion properties on cancer cells. Furthermore, fascin, via actin stabilization, provides cells powerful invasive properties which enhance their capability to promote metastasis. A stem-cell-like state could potentially explain the aggressive clinical profile of the fascin-1

positive patients. Subsequently, fascin-1 positive cancer cells appear to acquire properties of progenitor cells in colorectal cancer.

Disease recurrence and metastasis are the primary cause of cancer-associated morbidity and mortality. Approximately by 10% of the patients with stage I and 20% of the patients with stage II colon colonic cancer occurs disease recurrence³¹. The effectiveness of adjuvant chemotherapy by balancing toxicity profiles simultaneously for patients with stage II colonic cancer remains controversial as it has been demonstrated to provide minimal survival benefit and is often considered not to be worth of the toxicity of the drugs³². Furthermore, previous studies that aimed to identify the subgroup of patients with stage II cancer that are at increased risk of recurrence are not vigorous enough³³ to warrant a clear indication of chemotherapy. In the present study, patients exhibiting high fascin-1 expression displayed a poor overall survival even in early-stage cancer. A stem-cell-like phenotype of fascin-1 positive cancer cells could potentially explain the poor overall survival even in early-stage CRC.

There are limitations to the present study. The retrospective nature of the study requires prospective confirmation with a specific protocol of interventions. In addition, immunohistochemical analysis might underestimate the presence of heterogeneity of fascin expression within the tumor. Nevertheless, our findings do represent a solid basis for the formulation of sound working hypotheses, capitalizing on reliable clinical data.

5. Conclusions

In the present study, fascin-1 positive patients displayed aggressive clinical features and demonstrated significantly poor 3-year progression-free survival as well as 5-year overall survival already in early-stage disease. Therefore, fascin-1 could represent a potential target for the development of a tumor profiling test in order to identify patients that are prone to disease recurrence manifested either as local relapse or metastasis. An issue that requires further investigation is whether fascin-1 positive CRC patients with early-stage disease would profit from adjuvant chemotherapy as the current indication is somewhat limited.

Abbreviations

CI.....confidence intervals

CRC.....Colorectal cancer

EMT.....epithelial to mesenchymal transition

HR.....hazard ratios

OS.....overall survival

PFS.....progression free survival

REMARK.....Reporting Recommendations for Tumor Marker Prognostic Studies

ROC.....Receiver Operating Characteristics

UICC.....Union for International Cancer Control

Declarations

Ethics approval and consent to participate

The study was approved by the Ethics Committee of Laiko general Hospital, National and Kapodistrian University of Athens

Consent to publish

No personal data of patients are reported in the present paper

Availability of data and material

Data are available by the corresponding author upon reasonable request

Competing interests

The authors declare no conflict of interest

Funding

No funding is associated with the present paper

Authors' contributions

AT and ECT designed the study. AN performed the immunohistochemistry. AT, AP, GT, KK, NN, GK, IK and MVF interpreted the data. AT wrote the manuscript. All authors have read and approved the manuscript.

Acknowledgements

Not applicable

Authors' information

Athanasios Tampakis; Athanasios.Tampakis@usb.ch, Ekaterini Christina Tampaki; actampaki@yahoo.com, Afroditi Nonni; afnonni@med.uoa.gr, Ioannis Kostakis; i.d.Kostakis@gmail.com, Alberto Posabella; Alberto.Posabella@clarunis.ch, Markus von Flüe; Markus.vonFluee@clarunis.ch, Konstantinos Kontzoglou; kckontz@yahoo.com, Evangelos Felekouras; evangelosf@hotmail.com Nikolaos Nikiteas; nnikit@med.uoa.gr, Gregory Kouraklis; gkouraklis@gmail.com

References

1. Seyfried TN, Huysentruyt LC. On the origin of cancer metastasis. *Crit Rev Oncog*. 2013;18:43–73.
2. Siegel RL, Miller KD, Jemal A. Cancer statistics, 2020. *CA Cancer J Clin*. 2020;70:7–30.
3. Condeelis J, Singer RH, Segall JE. The great escape: when cancer cells hijack the genes for chemotaxis and motility. *Annu Rev Cell Dev Biol*. 2005;21:695–718.
4. Weiss L. Metastasis of cancer: a conceptual history from antiquity to the 1990s. *Cancer Metastasis Rev*. 2000;19:1–1, 193–383.
5. Fidler IJ. The pathogenesis of cancer metastasis: the 'seed and soil' hypothesis revisited. *Nat Rev Cancer*. 2003;3:453–8.
6. Valastyan S, Weinberg RA. Tumor metastasis: molecular insights and evolving paradigms. *Cell*. 2011;147:275–92.
7. Jaffe AB, Hall A. Rho GTPases: biochemistry and biology. *Annu Rev Cell Dev Biol*. 2005;21:247–69.
8. Mogilner A, Rubinstein B. The physics of filopodial protrusion. *Biophys J*. 2005;89:782–95.
9. Pollard TD, Cooper JA. Actin, a central player in cell shape and movement. *Science*. 2009;326:1208–12.
10. Mattila PK, Lappalainen P. Filopodia: molecular architecture and cellular functions. *Nat Rev Mol Cell Biol*. 2008;9:446–54.
11. Vignjevic D, Kojima S, Aratyn Y, Danciu O, Svitkina T, Borisy GG. Role of fascin in filopodial protrusion. *J Cell Biol*. 2006;174:863–75.
12. Claessens MM, Bathe M, Frey E, Bausch AR. Actin-binding proteins sensitively mediate F-actin bundle stiffness. *Nat Mater*. 2006;5:748–53.
13. Hashimoto Y, Kim DJ, Adams JC. The roles of fascins in health and disease. *J Pathol*. 2011;224:289–300.
14. Machesky LM, Li A. Fascin. Invasive filopodia promoting metastasis. *Commun Integr Biol*. 2010;3:263–70.
15. Tampakis A, Tampaki EC, Nonni A, Droeser R, Posabella A, Tsourouflis G, Kontzoglou K, Patsouris E, von Flue M, Kouraklis G. Nectin-1 Expression in Colorectal Cancer: Is There a Group of Patients with High Risk for Early Disease Recurrence? *Oncology*. 2019:1–8.
16. McShane LM, Altman DG, Sauerbrei W, Taube SE, Gion M, Clark GM. REporting recommendations for tumor MARKer prognostic studies (REMARK). *Nat Clin Pract Urol*. 2005;2:416–22.
17. Tampakis A, Tampaki E, Nonni A, Patsouris E, von Flue M, Kontzoglou K, Kouraklis G. L1CAM expression in colorectal cancer identifies patients prone to metastasis already in early-stage disease. *Ann Oncol*. 2019;30(Suppl 4):iv60.
18. Tampakis A, Tampaki EC, Nonni A, Tsourouflis G, Posabella A, Patsouris E, Kontzoglou K, von Flue M, Nikiteas N, Kouraklis G. L1CAM expression in colorectal cancer identifies a high-risk group of patients with dismal prognosis already in early-stage disease. *Acta Oncol*. 2020;59:55–9.

19. Tan VY, Lewis SJ, Adams JC, Martin RM. Association of fascin-1 with mortality, disease progression and metastasis in carcinomas: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *BMC Med.* 2013;11:52.
20. Oh SY, Kim YB, Suh KW, Paek OJ, Moon HY. Prognostic impact of fascin-1 expression is more significant in advanced colorectal cancer. *J Surg Res.* 2012;172:102–8.
21. Puppa G, Maisonneuve P, Sonzogni A, Masullo M, Chiappa A, Valerio M, Zampino MG, Franceschetti I, Capelli P, Chilosi M, Menestrina F, Viale G, Pelosi G. Independent prognostic value of fascin immunoreactivity in stage III-IV colonic adenocarcinoma. *Br J Cancer.* 2007;96:1118–26.
22. Chan C, Jankova L, Fung CL, Clarke C, Robertson G, Chapuis PH, Bokey L, Lin BP, Dent OF, Clarke S. Fascin expression predicts survival after potentially curative resection of node-positive colon cancer. *Am J Surg Pathol.* 2010;34:656–66.
23. Hashimoto Y, Skacel M, Lavery IC, Mukherjee AL, Casey G, Adams JC. Prognostic significance of fascin expression in advanced colorectal cancer: an immunohistochemical study of colorectal adenomas and adenocarcinomas. *BMC Cancer.* 2006;6:241.
24. Hashimoto Y, Skacel M, Adams JC. Roles of fascin in human carcinoma motility and signaling: prospects for a novel biomarker? *Int J Biochem Cell Biol.* 2005;37:1787–804.
25. Hu W, McCrea PD, Deavers M, Kavanagh JJ, Kudelka AP, Verschraegen CF. Increased expression of fascin, motility associated protein, in cell cultures derived from ovarian cancer and in borderline and carcinomatous ovarian tumors. *Clin Exp Metastasis.* 2000;18:83–8.
26. Jayo A, Malboubi M, Antoku S, Chang W, Ortiz-Zapater E, Groen C, Pfisterer K, Tootle T, Charras G, Gundersen GG, Parsons M. Fascin Regulates Nuclear Movement and Deformation in Migrating Cells. *Dev Cell.* 2016;38:371–83.
27. Jawhari AU, Buda A, Jenkins M, Shehzad K, Sarraf C, Noda M, Farthing MJ, Pignatelli M, Adams JC. Fascin, an actin-bundling protein, modulates colonic epithelial cell invasiveness and differentiation in vitro. *Am J Pathol.* 2003;162:69–80.
28. Brieger J, Duesterhoeft A, Brochhausen C, Gosepath J, Kirkpatrick CJ, Mann WJ. Recurrence of pleomorphic adenoma of the parotid gland—predictive value of cadherin-11 and fascin. *APMIS.* 2008;116:1050–7.
29. Zou J, Yang H, Chen F, Zhao H, Lin P, Zhang J, Ye H, Wang L, Liu S. Prognostic significance of fascin-1 and E-cadherin expression in laryngeal squamous cell carcinoma. *Eur J Cancer Prev.* 2010;19:11–7.
30. Vignjevic D, Schoumacher M, Gavert N, Janssen KP, Jih G, Lae M, Louvard D, Ben-Ze'ev A, Robine S. Fascin, a novel target of beta-catenin-TCF signaling, is expressed at the invasive front of human colon cancer. *Cancer Res.* 2007;67:6844–53.
31. Boland CR, Goel A. Prognostic Subgroups among Patients with Stage II Colon Cancer. *N Engl J Med.* 2016;374:277–8.
32. Marshall JL. Risk assessment in Stage II colorectal cancer. *Oncology (Williston Park).* 2010;24:9–13.
33. Benson AB 3rd, Schrag D, Somerfield MR, Cohen AM, Figueredo AT, Flynn PJ, Krzyzanowska MK, Maroun J, McAllister P, Van Cutsem E, Brouwers M, Charette M, Haller DG. American Society of

Tables

Table 1; Descriptive characteristics of the study population

| Characteristics | N or mean | (% or range) |
|-----------------------------------|-----------|--------------|
| Age, years (median, mean) | 73, 70.9 | 42-90 |
| Sex | | |
| Female (%) | 43 | 38.7 |
| Male (%) | 68 | 61.3 |
| Anatomic site of the tumor | | |
| Right-sided (%) | 32 | 28.8 |
| Left-sided (%) | 79 | 71.2 |
| Rectal cancers | 43 | 38.7 |
| T stage | | |
| T1 (%) | 14 | 12.6 |
| T2 (%) | 23 | 20.7 |
| T3 (%) | 59 | 53.2 |
| T4 (%) | 15 | 13.5 |
| N stage | | |
| N0 (%) | 59 | 53.2 |
| N1 (%) | 24 | 21.6 |
| N2 (%) | 28 | 25.2 |
| M | | |
| M0 | 94 | 84.7 |
| M1a (liver) | 17 | 15.3 |
| Tumor grade | | |
| G1 (%) | 8 | 7.2 |
| G2 (%) | 74 | 66.7 |
| G3 (%) | 29 | 26.1 |
| UICC | | |
| Stage IA (%) T1N0 | 26 | 23.4 |
| Stage IIA (%) T3N0 | 26 | 23.4 |
| Stage IIB-C (%) T4N0 | 3 | 2.7 |
| Stage III (%) >N0 | 39 | 35.1 |
| Stage IVA (%) >M1 | 17 | 15.3 |
| Vascular invasion (V) | | |
| No (%) | 83 | 74.8 |
| Yes (%) | 28 | 25.2 |
| Perineural invasion (Pn) | | |
| No (%) | 102 | 91.9 |
| Yes (%) | 9 | 8.1 |
| Mucinous Subtype | | |
| No (%) | 102 | 91.9 |
| Yes (%) | 9 | 8.1 |
| Fascin-1 expression | | |
| Low (<10%) | 66 | 59.5 |
| Moderate (≥10% and <35%) | 29 | 26.1 |
| High (≥35%) | 16 | 14.4 |

Table 2; Correlation of fascin-1 expression with clinicopathological characteristics.

| Colorectal cancer N=111 | Fascin-1 | | | | P |
|----------------------------|----------------|-------------------------|------------------------------|-------------------------|------------------|
| | Low expression | | Moderate and High expression | | |
| | N | % within characteristic | N | % within characteristic | |
| Stage | | | | | |
| I | 21 | 80.7 | 5 | 19.3 | (I+II vs III+IV) |
| II | 23 | 79.3 | 6 | 20.7 | <0.001 |
| III | 18 | 46.2 | 21 | 53.8 | |
| IV | 4 | 23.5 | 13 | 76.5 | |
| T | | | | | |
| 1 | 14 | 92.9 | 1 | 7.1 | |
| 2 | 13 | 56.5 | 10 | 43.5 | (T1 vs T2-4) |
| 3 | 37 | 62.7 | 22 | 37.3 | 0.007 |
| 4 | 3 | 20 | 12 | 80 | |
| N | | | | | |
| 0 | 47 | 79.7 | 12 | 20.3 | (N0 vs N1,2) |
| 1 | 10 | 41.7 | 14 | 58.3 | <0.001 |
| 2 | 9 | 32.1 | 19 | 67.9 | |
| M | | | | | |
| no | 62 | 66.0 | 32 | 34.0 | 0.002 |
| yes | 4 | 23.5 | 13 | 76.5 | |
| Grade | | | | | |
| 1 | 7 | 87.5 | 1 | 12.5 | (1+2 vs 3) |
| 2 | 49 | 66.2 | 25 | 33.8 | 0.002 |
| 3 | 10 | 34.2 | 19 | 65.5 | |
| V | | | | | |
| no | 57 | 68.7 | 26 | 31.3 | 0.001 |
| yes | 9 | 32.1 | 19 | 67.9 | |
| Pn | | | | | |
| no | 63 | 61.8 | 39 | 38.2 | 0.154 |
| yes | 3 | 33.3 | 6 | 66.7 | |
| Mucinous | | | | | |
| no | 62 | 60.8 | 40 | 39.2 | 0.481 |
| yes | 4 | 44.4 | 5 | 55.6 | |

Table 3; Multivariate analysis for progression free survival using cox proportional hazard models

| Multivariate Analysis (PFS) | Sig. | HR | 95,0% CI for Exp(B) | |
|-----------------------------|-------|-------|---------------------|--------|
| | | | Lower | Upper |
| T | 0,010 | 8,264 | 1,650 | 41,667 |
| N | 0,007 | 3,413 | 1,401 | 8,264 |
| M | 0,027 | 2,994 | 1,134 | 7,937 |
| G | 0,230 | 0,437 | 0,113 | 1,686 |
| V | 0,550 | 0,719 | 0,243 | 2,123 |
| Pn | 0,559 | 0,688 | 0,196 | 2,415 |
| Fascin-1 (10%) | 0,007 | 3,448 | 1,401 | 8,475 |
| Fascin-1 (35%) | 0,004 | 4,032 | 1,572 | 10,309 |

Table 4; Multivariate analysis for overall survival using cox proportional hazard models

| Multivariate analysis (OS) | Sig. | HR | 95,0% CI for Exp(B) | |
|----------------------------|--------|-------|---------------------|--------|
| | | | Lower | Upper |
| T | 0,054 | 4,587 | 0,974 | 21,739 |
| N | 0,004 | 5,291 | 1,706 | 16,393 |
| M | 0,023 | 3,623 | 1,196 | 10,989 |
| G | 0,920 | 1,072 | 0,280 | 4,098 |
| V | 0,504 | 1,477 | 0,470 | 4,630 |
| Pn | 0,856 | 0,888 | 0,246 | 3,205 |
| Fascin-1 (10%) | 0,019 | 3,906 | 1,250 | 12,195 |
| Fascin-1 (35%) | <0,001 | 6,623 | 2,299 | 19,231 |

Figures




Figure 1

Intense cytoplasmic expression of fascin-1 in tumor colorectal cells (X200)




Figure 2

Kaplan Meier curve depicting progression free survival (A) and overall survival (B) in months for patients with low expression versus moderate and high expression of fascin-1




Figure 3

Kaplan Meier curve depicting progression free survival (A) and overall survival (B) in months for patients with high expression of fascin-1 expression versus patients displaying low and moderate expression of fascin-1




Figure 4

Kaplan Meier curve illustrating overall survival in months for patients with early stage cancer and low vs moderate and high expression of fascin-1