Combined-Complex Decongestive Therapy Reduces Volume And Improves Quality Of Life And Functional Status In Patients With Breast Cancer-Related Lymphedema

Pinar Borman (✉ pinarborman@gmail.com)

Hacettepe University <https://orcid.org/0000-0003-1212-6797>

Aysegul Yaman

Dr Sami Ulus Kadin Dogum Cocuk Sagligi ve Hastaliklari Egitim ve Arastirma Hastanesi

Sina Yasrebi

University of Hacettepe Faculty of Medicine Dept of PMR

Adeviye Pinar Inanli

Hacettepe University Dept of Physical Medicine and Rehabilitation

Research

Keywords: breast cancer, lymphedema, complex decongestive therapy

Posted Date: December 7th, 2020

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.21203/rs.3.rs-20955/v2>

License: © ⓘ This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License.

[Read Full License](#)

Version of Record: A version of this preprint was published at Clinical Breast Cancer on August 1st, 2021. See the published version at <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.clbc.2021.08.005>.

Abstract

Background: The aim of this study was to evaluate the effects of complex decongestive therapy (CDT) in patients with breast cancer-related lymphedema (BCRL), in regard to volume reduction, functional status and quality of life (QoL).

Methods: Fifty patients with unilateral BCRL were included. The demographic variables focusing on lymphedema were recorded. All patients received combined phase 1 CDT including skin-care, manual lymphatic drainage, multilayer bandaging and supervised exercises, five times a week for three weeks, as a total of 15 sessions. Patients were assessed by limb volumes and excess volumes according to geometric approximation derived from serial circumference-measurements of the limb, prior and at the end of third week. The functional disability was evaluated by quick disability of arm, shoulder and hand questionnaire (DASH). Quality of life was assessed by the European Organization for Research and Treatment of Cancer Core Cancer Quality of Life Questionnaire (EORTC-QLQ-C30) and its breast cancer module (EORTC-QLQ-BR23).

Results: Fifty females with mean age of 53.22 ± 11.2 years were included. The median duration of lymphedema was 12 months. There were 22 patients in stage1, 26 in stage2 and 2 patients in stage3. The mean baseline limb and excess volumes were significantly decreased at the end of therapies ($3262 \pm 753 \text{ cm}^3$ vs $2943 \pm 646.6 \text{ cm}^3$ and $31.36 \pm 16.5\%$ vs $19.12 \pm 10.4\%$, $p=0.000$, respectively). The DASH and EORTC-QLQ-C30 and BR23 scores were also decreased significantly ($p<0.05$). The improvements in volumes were related negatively with the duration of lymphedema, and the stage of lymphedema.

Conclusion: In conclusion phase 1CDT in a combined manner performed daily for 3 weeks, greatly reduces the volumes as well as improves the disability and QoL, especially when performed earlier.

Trial Registration: 'retrospectively registered'

Introduction

Lymphedema is defined as a gradual abnormal swelling of a limb and/or the related quadrant of the trunk due to the accumulation of protein-rich fluid in the tissue spaces of the skin. Upper extremity lymphedema is a concerning complication occurred after treatment for breast cancer. It is a chronic disease caused by surgery and/or radiation therapy involving lymph drainage routes of the breast and axillary areas and considered as a potentially serious and debilitating condition (1,2). If left untreated, the presence of macromolecules, proteases and pro-inflammatory molecules may lead to chronic inflammation, fibrosis and hardening of the skin and eventually prone to infections. These symptoms can result in functional disability, psychosocial problems and impaired health related quality of life (HRQoL) in patients with breast cancer-related lymphedema (BCRL) (3-5).

Lymphedema is a chronic condition that cannot be cured but can be managed. These treatments range from simple exercises to different types of microsurgical techniques (7-9). A variety of physiotherapeutic

interventions have been proposed for the control of symptoms and to minimize complication, by reducing upper limb swelling (6,7). Complete decongestive therapy (CDT) is the most popular and gold standard of treatment for patients with lymphedema and comprises two phases. Phase 1 therapy includes education and meticulous skin care, manual lymphatic drainage (MLD), multilayer non-elastic compression bandaging and exercises while phase 2 comprises the continuation of skin care and exercise in addition to self-massage and pressure garments. In the second phase patients may need compression bandaging at night, according to the clinical condition of the patients (7-12).

There are several studies that address the benefits of individual CDT variables with different protocols as phase 1 or phase 2 therapies (7,13-27). Compression has been shown to be effective in the treatment of BCRL (10,28). Reviews indicated the additional benefit of MLD to compression bandaging (29). Exercises were revealed to be useful as a part of CDT in both phases of CDT (8,12,30). But there are limited studies indicating the effects of CDT in a manner with MLD, multilayer bandaging, skin-care and exercises. Some of these studies were retrospective (13) and/or the group sizes were small (4,14-20,31,32). In some studies pneumatic compression pumps were added (17,19,20,24) or exercises were given as a home based program, not supervised by a therapist (17,19,20,25). Most of these studies are lacking QoL and/or functional assessments, as outcome measures (14,15,19,23,26,29). The positive effects of phase 1 CDT on volume reduction, physical function and depression in BCRL patients have shown (23,27) in contrary to the reports that criticized CDT as time consuming and costing (10,26,28). A recent review found some evidence that decongestive treatments were effective for women presenting with BCRL but the most effective combination of treatment elements and the optimal duration of the treatments are still undetermined (28). As seen, there remains a paucity of evidence that supports the combined application of skin care, MLD, multilayer bandaging and supervised lymphedema exercises, as phase 1 therapy in the initial stage of the treatment (25,26). In addition there are few studies evaluating the effects of combined CDT on specific HRQoL and functional status in addition to volume reduction, as a holistic view (4,16,22,31,32).

The aim of this prospective study was to evaluate the efficacy of combined phase 1 CDT in a group of patients with BCRL, in regard to volume reduction, functional disability and HRQoL.

Methods

Study Sample

Patients with BCRL who had submitted to lymphedema unit of the Physical Medicine and Rehabilitation Department, in a university hospital, were enrolled to the study between January 2014-August 2016. All the patients agreed to participate in the study. Written informed consent was obtained prior to the study from all subjects. Approval for the study was granted by the non-invasive clinical research ethical committee of our University (GO-14-554) and the study was conducted in accordance with the principles of Declaration of Helsinki.

Eligibility

The inclusion criteria were as follows: 1) age being between 18-65, 2) having unilateral breast cancer surgery 3) a volume difference depended to the circumferential measurements between the affected and unaffected upper extremities of more than 10%, 4) having completed chemotherapy and/or radiation therapy 5) complied and fully attended CDT five times per week for 3 weeks. Patients were excluded according to the following criteria: 1) patients with edema before breast cancer treatment, 2) patients with history of contralateral breast cancer, 3) medical history comprising other causes of lymphedema 3) having recurrence or metastasis of breast cancer 4) having contraindicated condition for CDT (renal insufficiency, congestive heart failure, infection, thrombosis, thyroid or abdominal disease, severe vascular disease, etc), 5) having had prior any therapy for lymphedema.

Demographic and Clinical Data

Demographic properties comprising age, gender, body mass index, education, marital status, occupation, regular exercise habit, hand dominancy, lesion site were recorded. Breast cancer treatments (type of surgery, histopathological diagnosis, cancer stage, adjuvant treatments like radiation therapy, chemotherapy, hormonal therapy) were determined in all patients.

Volume measurements and lymphedema Data

The presence of lymphedema was assessed by inter-limb volume difference ($> 10\%$) based on the serial circumferential measurements in both affected and non-affected extremities (33). For circumferential measurements subjects sat straight on a chair with their arms relaxed by their sides and elbows straight. Both arms were measured at each test date. Circumferential measurements were performed by a standard 1 inch retractable tape, starting at the level of ulnar styloid, at 4 cm intervals along the arms and converted to an approximate arm volume to enable estimation of volume (34,35). Calculation of the limb segment volumes (millimeter) was undertaken using a simplified truncated cone formula. Excess limb volume comparing affected and unaffected limbs and difference in excess volume (The excess limb volume is expressed as a percentage of the unaffected limb volume indicating how much larger the affected limb is compared to the unaffected limb) were calculated (35). Every patient was assessed by the same physiotherapist.

Lymphedema characteristics including duration of lymphedema, type of lymphedema (subclinical, reversible, spontaneous irreversible, elephantiasis) initial side (proximal, distal), positivity of Stemmer sign were assessed. The stages of lymphedema are categorized according to International Society of Lymphology (ISL) (33). ISL staging ranges from 0 to III and involves two criteria: the "softness" or "firmness" of the limb (reflecting fibrotic soft tissue changes) and the outcome after elevation. Within stages I through III, severity based upon volume differences is assessed as mild (<20 percent increase), moderate (20 to 40 percent increase), or severe (>40 percent increase). Stage "0" lymphedema is a subclinical or latent condition where swelling is not evident despite impaired lymphatic transport.

Health-Related Quality of life (HRQOL) Assessments

Health-Related Quality of life was assessed by the Turkish version of European Organization for Research and Treatment of Cancer Core Cancer Quality of Life Questionnaire (EORTC QLQ-C30) and its breast cancer specific complementary measure (EORTC QLQ BR23) (36). EORTC-QLQ-C30 is a 30 item self-administered cancer specific questionnaire designed to measure QoL in the cancer population. The assessment is comprised of nine domains (physical, role, cognitive, emotional, social, fatigue, pain, nausea and vomiting) categorized as global health status, functional scale and symptom scales (37). EORTC-QLQ-Br23 is a 23 item self-administered breast cancer specific questionnaire, usually administered with the EORTC-QLQ-C30, designed to measure QoL in breast cancer population at various stages and with patients with differing treatment modalities. The assessment is comprised of eight domains (body image, future perspective, sexuality domains, arm symptoms, breast symptoms and systemic therapy side effects, hair loss) categorized as functional scales and symptom scales (37).

Functional Assessments

Functional disability of the affected extremity was evaluated by quick Disability of Arm, Shoulder and Hand questionnaire (DASH) (38). It is a self-report questionnaire evaluating the patients' symptoms and functional tasks associated with limitations of the arm, shoulder and hand. It is validated for Turkish population with upper limb problems. It contains 11 items and results in a score ranging from 0-100 with higher scores indicating more functional disability (39).

Complex Decongestive Therapy Protocol

All the patients underwent a standard protocol of CDT comprising skin care, manual lymphatic drainage, short-stretch multilayer bandaging and lymphedema exercises, five sessions per week for a duration of three weeks in the outpatient lymphedema unit, by an experienced certified lymphedema therapist. All patients were educated for lymphedema including skin care and maintenance of healthy body weight and delivered a written lymphedema brochure for general advices in the first day of the treatment.

The MLD consisted of four basic techniques (stationary circle, rotary, pump and scoop techniques) and, was performed in a proximal to distal direction with light skin strokes, with duration of 45 minutes. Cervical area, abdominal area, anterior trunk, and posterior trunk anastomoses were covered in a standard manner. Finally the whole limb was massaged from proximal to distal parts of the extremity (40).

After the MLD, short-stretch multilayer bandages were performed and changed daily except the weekends. A cotton tube stockinet was placed on the arm at first, then finger bandaging was applied. A layer of padding bandage was placed on the hand and wrapped around the arm. Four short stretch bandages (6,8,10,12 cm in width) were sequentially placed around the limb with the first starting at the hand, the second at the wrist, and the third and fourth starting below the elbow. Multiple layer compression bandages were applied such that the most compression was at the distal parts and the compression decreased gradually moving to proximal sites.

All subjects were provided individualized active exercise program 20 minutes per day under the supervision of an experienced physiotherapist, including diaphragmatic breathing exercise, neck and shoulder stretching, hand pumping and non-isometric strengthening of arm muscles, in order to help facilitate lymphatic flow and improve strength and range of motion. The exercises were performed with the bandages.

Outcome Measures

The difference in volumes, excess volumes, HRQoL and functional assessment scores were evaluated at baseline and after the phase 1 CDT in all patients. The primary outcome measures were the reduction in volumes and excess volumes, between the arms while the secondary outcomes included HRQoL and functional scores. The related factors with improvement of the lymphedema were also determined in all patients.

Statistical analysis

Descriptive statistics were used to examine the frequency distributions and calculate the scores of scales and subscales, and defined with mean $\pm$ standard deviation, median and percentage values. The continuous variables have been tested for each group for normal distribution using the Shapiro Wilk test. Student t test or Mann Whitney test were used compare differences in quantitative variables before and after the study. The relationship between improvement of volume differences and HRQoL scores, Lymphedema symptoms and arm disability scores were assessed using Pearson's correlation for parametric data and with spearman's rho (correlation) for nonparametric data. All tests of statistical significance were two sided and considered statistically significant at $p < 0.05$. Analyses were conducted by SPSS 21.0 statistical package.

Results

Between January 2014 and January 2016, a total of 134 females were screened among the patients who were referred to lymphedema rehabilitation unit for the treatment of lymphedema. 73 patients were not found to be eligible according to inclusion and exclusion criteria, 11 patients did not agree to take part in the study. Therefore 50 patients were enrolled to the study.

The demographic and clinical properties are shown in Table 1. The median age was 47 years (range 28-62), and mean of body mass index was 29.8 kg/m². A majority of participants in both groups were married, overweight, mostly graduated from primary and high school, and housewives. Approximately half of subjects had completed high school and university. Concerning breast cancer treatments, the most common type of surgery was modified radical mastectomy followed by radical mastectomy and lumpectomy. The majority of the subjects were treated with chemotherapy, radiation therapy and hormonal therapy.

Table 1. The demographic and clinical variables of the patients

	n=50
Age (years) (mean $\pm$ SD)	53,22 $\pm$ 11,20
BMI (kg/m ²) (mean $\pm$ SD)	29,84 $\pm$ 4,45
Normal n (%)	8 (16%)
Overweight n (%)	33 (66%)
Obese n (%)	9 (18%)
Education -	
Illiterate	1 (%2)
Primary school	25 (%50)
High School	9 (%18)
University	15 (%30)
Marital status- Married	40 (%80)
Single	6 (%12)
Widow	4 (%8)
Occupation - Housewife	30 (%60)
Worker	9 (%18)
Retired	11 (%22)
Exercise habit - Yes	14 (%28)
- No	36 (%72)
Type of surgery -	
radical Mastectomy	1 (%2)
modified radical mastectomy	38 (%78)
lumpectomy	10 (%20)
Breast cancer Stage - 1	6 (%12)
2	23 (%46)
3	13 (%26)
Histopathologic diagnosis-	
Infiltrative ductal	33 (%66)
Infiltrative lobular	5 (%10)
Others	12 (%24)
Adjunctive therapies-	

Chemotherapy	42 (%84)
Radiation therapy	32 (%64)
Hormonal therapy	36 (%72)

BMI: Body mass index

Regarding lymphedema, 54% of the patients had their dominant side affected by lymphedema, while 46% of the patients had their non-dominant side affected. Lymphedema developed after a mean of 4.1 years from surgery. The median duration of lymphedema was 12 months. The mean inter-limb volume difference among women with lymphedema was 31% at baseline. A majority of participants were categorized as grade 2 followed by grade 3 lymphedema (moderate to severe). There were 22 (44%), 26 (52%) and 2 (4%) patients with lymphedema stage 1, 2 and 3 respectively. The lymphedema type was reversible in 42% and spontaneous irreversible in 58% of the patients and most of the patients had grade 2 lymphedema. Seventy percent of patients had symptoms for lymphedema comprising paresthesia and/or heaviness at the involved arm. The lymphedema characteristics are shown in Table 2.

Table 2: The characteristics of lymphedema of the patients

	n=50
Duration of lymphedema (Mean $\pm$ SD)	28.71 $\pm$ 45.16
(Median) (Month)	12
Dominant side involvement	27 (%54)
Initial side - Proximal	19 (%38)
Distal	31 (%62)
Stemmer sign - Positive	29 (%58)
Negative	21 (%42)
Type of lymphedema -	
Subclinic	0
Reversible	21 (%42)
Spontaneous irreversible	29 (%58)
Elephantiasis	0
Stage of lymphedema 1 (mild)	22 (%44)
2 (moderate)	26 (%52)
3 (severe)	2 (%4)

After 3 weeks of CDT treatment, arm volumes were compared with baseline levels. The volumes and excess volume differences, functional and HRQoL scores in regard to baseline and after therapy levels are shown in Table 3. There were significant improvements in volumes, excess volumes, functional scores and QoL scores and sub-scores at the end of therapies. The extremity volumes and excess percentage volumes which were evaluated at baseline and after CDT, were significantly decreased reflecting the improvement in volume reduction. Functional status indicated by DASH scores were significantly improved after CDT. There were also significant improvements in HRQOL indicated by the scores of EORTC-QLQ-C30 and BR23 instruments. All functional subscores of both HRQoL questionnaires and, symptom subscores; except fatigue, appetite loss in EORTC-QLQ-C30 instrument, and except systemic therapy-side effect, breast symptoms and upset-by-hairloss subscores in BR23, as well as global health status scores were significantly improved after 3 weeks-CDT therapy.

We determined a significant negative relationship between the improvement of volume difference and duration of lymphedema ($p=0.016$, $r=-0.34$), as well as stage ($p=0.000$, $r= -0.516$) and type ($p=0.041$, $r=-0.29$) of lymphedema. No correlation was found between improvements in volumes and QoL or functional disability scores.

Table 3: The outcome measures before and after the phase 1 CDT

	Before therapy n=	After therapy n=	p
Volumes (cm³) (mean±SD)	3262±753.0	2943±646,6	.000
Excess volume (%) (mean±SD)	31.36±16.5	19.12±10.4	.000
DASH Score	38.14±19.04	31.26±17.51	.002
EORTC-QLQ-C30			
Functional Scales			
Physical functioning	69.99±17.65	74.06±20.23	.003
Role functioning	75.72±21.57	76.08±28.24	.002
Emotional functioning	69.56±22.37	73.00±25.53	.000
Cognitive functioning	76.81±23.68	76.80±25.69	.000
Social functioning	68.84±28.67	79.71±27.41	.000
Symptom Scales			
Fatigue	43.71±20,20	32.60±23.11	.071
Nausea and vomiting	11.23±23.05	6.15±16.61	.000
Pain	27.53±21.14	20.65±23.61	.002
Dyspnoea	15.94±21.93	12.31±21.49	.001
Insomnia	26.81±33.42	16.66±27.88	.005
Appetite loss	15.21±24.04	10.86±21.14	.786
Constipation	15.94±23.02	14.49±25.96	.000
Diarrhoea	12.59±22.79	5.92±12.88	.090
Financial difficulties	26.08±30.56	23.18±27.09	.000
Global Health Status	58.33±22.36	67.39±23.94	.013
EORTC-QLQ-BR23			
Functional scales			
Body image	69.69±24.87	76.51±23.24	.000
Sexual functioning	11.40±18.46	11.40±19.28	.037
Sexual enjoyment	8.88±19.78	15.55±24.77	.006
Future perspective	48.49±28.61	54.55±28.26	.018
Symptom scales			

Systemic therapy side effects	25.54±16.72	19.46±10.88	.054
Breast symptoms	20.27±18.58	12.49±16.55	.330
Arm symptoms	46.44±23.67	34.33±20.27	.049
Upset by hair loss	20.82±35.35	33.32±43.64	.103

DASH: Disability of arm, shoulder and hand questionnaire, QoL: Quality of life, EORTC QLQ-C30: European Organization for Research and Treatment of Cancer Core Cancer Quality of Life Questionnaire, EORTC QLQBR23: its breast cancer specific complementary measure

Discussion

Lymphedema is one of the most common complications of breast cancer causing disruption of lymphatic vessels due to axillar node dissection and/or radiation therapy (34). CDT causes a reduction of edema by facilitating lymphatic circulation and defined as the gold standard for treatment of this multifactorial morbidity (6-8,10). There is not a standard protocol for CDT. MLD and compression bandaging in the intensive phase may be applied alone, together or combined with pressure pumps or compression garments. The intervals of different procedures can be changed from two times a week to everyday for four weeks (4-9,12-25). The most effective combination of treatment elements and the optimal duration of the treatments are still undetermined in CDT (7,28).

Several studies have reported the benefits of CDT in patients suffering BCRL, especially regarding a reduction in the excessive volume (14-23,26,27,31). Improvement in lymphedema through CDT has been reported with wide volume reduction ranges from 22% to 73% (10,12,13,17,26). Hwang et al (13) retrospectively investigated the effect of CDT in 59 patients with BCRL and reported a volume reduction from 41.9% to 28.8%, as from severe lymphedema to moderate lymphedema. Gradalski et al (26) compared two-weeks of phase1 CDT with compression bandaging alone and bandaging with MLD and obtained 47% edema volume reduction in both groups. Haghigat et al (25) reviewed the effect of 10-15 sessions of phase-1 CDT on volume assessed by water displacement and pain VAS. They determined 43% volume reduction in their patient group. The lymphedema stage and assignments to components of CDT, were not uniform and standardized in most of the studies. Some studies evaluated the additional effects of pneumatic compression pumps to CDT (17, 19,20,24). The sessions also differed in whether the compression between sessions supplied by bandaging or compression garment (22,41). Sometimes the exercise component of CDT was included, sometimes it was not (10,18, 28, 32). In our study we have performed MLD, multilayer short-stretch bandaging and supervised lymphedema exercises along with meticulous skin care, five times a week for 3 weeks. The initial intensive phase is performed daily until maximal volume reduction and normalization of tissue texture is achieved. Then the patients were enrolled to the second phase of CDT and were prescribed compression bandages. Majority of our patients had mild to moderate lymphedema and we determined a volume reduction from 31% to 19% in

our patient group. It appears that the degree of reduction may be different according to the method of assessing lymphedema and may be due in part to differences in study protocols.

Lymphedema is a potentially debilitating problem and can produce significant impairments on functional status and HRQoL. There are few studies in the literature assessing the effects of CDT in regard of functional disability or HRQoL (4,16-18,21,23). Atalay et al (23) indicated the positive effects of 20 session phase-1 CDT on physical function and depression levels in their study group. Karadibak et al (21) assessed the effects of 36-session CDT in patients with BCRL during 12 weeks of treatment and concluded that CDT could decrease edema and increase quality of life assessed by FACT-B4. Pekyavaş et al (16) investigated the effects of CDT and kinesio-taping and found that CDT was effective on volume reduction and QoL assessed by SF36, especially when combined with kinesio-taping. Kim et al (18) utilized CDT in addition to active resistive exercises and determined small effects of additional exercises on CDT in regard to HRQoL assessed by SF36. Noh et al (17) conducted a study in 35 patients with BCRL and reported a volume reduction from 20.9 % to 16.3%, related with HR-QoL assessed by SF36. The latter two studies did not include specific functional measurements and used physical functional scores of SF36 instrument (17,18). As seen; in most of the studies the HRQoL was assessed by generic instruments like SF-36 (16-18). Evidence from previous studies and systematic reviews concluded that CDT was effective and had a positive impact on QoL in patients with varying severities of lymphedema whether early or late onset (4,10,11,16-18,21,22,24). Due to variations in duration and components of CDT and different outcome measures, it is difficult to compare our results with the previous similar studies. In our study we had only one group of BCRL patients with a median duration of 12 months and comprising mostly stage 2 lymphedema, and we demonstrated significant improvements both in functional disability and HRQoL measures in addition to volume reduction.

Review of the literature indicated limited number of studies evaluating the effects of combined CDT on HRQoL and functional status measures in addition to volume reduction, in the literature (22,27,31,32). Dayes et al (22) compared phase1 CDT with self-management and emphasized the effects of CDT in volume reduction but reported similar improvements in functional status and QoL in both groups. Hwang et al (13) retrospectively determined phase-1 CDT, followed by 2 years of self-management in patients with BCRL. Sezgin Özcan et al (31) evaluated the effects of phase-1 CDT on volume reduction and functional disability and HRQoL by volume/excess volume, DASH and SF36 respectively. They reported the results of 37 patients and suggested CDT as an effective treatment for lymphedema reduction and as well as QoL and functional improvement. Yeşil et al (27) investigated the effects of 20 sessions of combined phase-1 CDT to their 60 patients in regard to volumes and HRQoL assessed by EORTC-Q30, and suggested CDT as an effective and safe method to achieve not only a significant volume reduction but also good outcomes in HRQoL. Do et al (32) compared the effectiveness of CDT alone and resistance exercises in addition to CDT in 44 patients with BCRL. Their results indicated that both groups got improvement in regard to volume reduction, functional disability assessed by DASH and overall HRQoL assessed by EORTC-QOL-C30. Our study results are substantiated by previous studies that; we performed CDT in a combined manner in order to evaluate the effects of combined-CDT in regard to not only the volume reduction by volumes and excess volumes, but also functional status and disease-specific

HRQoL assessed by DASH and EORTC-Q30 and breast cancer specific instrument BR23 respectively. We determined a significant reduction in volumes and excess volumes. In addition we have found significant improvements in functional status and HR-QoL scores which indicate the positive effects of CDT on disability and HRQoL. Therefore our results are consistent, but more detailed than the limited published studies in patients with BCRL.

There are contradictory reports about the relation of volume reduction, and HRQoL or functional measurements. Some earlier studies have shown that, a reduction in the size of the upper extremity, was associated neither with the quality of life nor with the upper extremities function (haghigat, mondry). Mondry et al (42) investigated the effects of 2–4 week of CDT on HRQoL in patients with BCRL and demonstrated that the degree of the decrease in girth had a significant correlation with the reduction in pain VAS scores, but not with the increase in QoL. In another study, Kim et al (18) also showed that during the maintenance phase of CDT, QoL significantly improved and in contrast with some studies, it was correlated with the reduction in limb volume. Our results were similar to some previous studies that we could not indicate a relationship between improvement in volumes and HRQoL and functional disability scores. Instead we determined a significant relation with duration, stage and grade of lymphedema and improvements in volumes, consistent with previous literature (43,44). Vignes et al (43) disclosed that the chronicity of lymphedema was a predictive factor of therapeutic response when the response was measured in the absolute value of reduction in lymphedema volumes. Forner Cordero et al (44) determined that stage of lymphedema and compliance to bandages were found to be predictive factors of response to treatment. Our results along with the literature suggest the importance of early identification and initiation of CDT in management of BCRL. Knowledge of potential factors that influence CDT outcome is important and would help clinicians to develop care plans. Intensive early treatment for patients with BCRL is essential for the reduction of edema. As a result of CDT, reduction in lymphedema volume will lead to improvement in functional status and HRQoL.

In our study fatigue and appetite loss and diarrhea scores in symptom subscale of EORTC-Q30 and systemic therapy side effects, breast symptoms and upset by hair loss subscores in EORTC-BR23 instruments were not significantly changed after CDT, as expected. These symptoms are related with chemotherapy and/or radiation therapy in breast cancer patients. As all patients had completed chemo and/or radiation therapies to be eligible for the study, we did not expect significant changes in the mean values of these subscores.

The main limitations of our study are the short term, uncontrolled and observational study design, but previous long term studies showed a similarity between volumes at post CDT and at 24 months suggesting that volumes at post CDT may be used to predict a long term outcome (12,13,25). Long term results were out of our focus, further studies may be planned in order verify the long term continuing effects of this treatment. Another potential limitation was the use of circumferential tape measurements to calculate arm volumes. Previous work has found strong correlation between calculated and direct volume measures (35,45). Despite standardized procedures for circumferential measurements, variance in arm volumes may be high. Potentially more reliable and expensive measures such as perometer were

not available in our unit during this study. But the point that each measurement taken in a patient was done by the same physiotherapist may make the measurements clinically acceptable and strong. Another limitation may be that most of the patients had grade 2 lymphedema which may not allow generalization of the study results to patients with severe lymphedema. But strengths of our study include the prospective (not retrospective) nature, comparable size of study group, the combined contribution of skin-care, MLD, multilayer bandaging and supervised exercises, to achieve good outcomes regarding to both functional disability and specific HRQoL, in addition to volume and excess volume differences.

Conclusion

To the best of our knowledge, our study is the first one in the literature that evaluates the efficacy of phase1 CDT, regarding to not only the volume and excess volumes but also the specific functional and HRQoL variables. Our findings demonstrated that CDT in a combined manner resulted with a marked decrease in the affected limb volumes and excess volumes, as well as improved upper extremity function and HRQoL. Also this study indicated that the duration, stage and grade of lymphedema correlated with the improvement in volume which means that the earlier the treatment begins the greater is the success of the therapy.

In conclusion phase 1 CDT in a combined manner performed daily for 3 weeks, can greatly reduce the volume as well as improve the disability and impaired HRQoL, especially when performed earlier. We hope our results will contribute to setting treatment approaches in clinical practice and the knowledge from this study can be implemented into the early decongestive treatment of patients with BCRL, as a combined manner.

List Of Abbreviations

BCRL: Breast cancer-related lymphedema

CDT: Complex decongestive therapy

MLD: Manual lymphatic drainage

QoL: Quality of life

ISL: International Society of Lymphology

EORTC QLQ-C30: European Organization for Research and Treatment of Cancer Core Cancer Quality of Life Questionnaire, EORTC QLQ BR23: its breast cancer specific complementary measure

DASH: Quick disability of arm, shoulder and hand questionnaire

Declarations

Ethics approval and consent to participate: Ethical approval was taken from Hacettepe University ethical committee (HU-2014-201) and consents to participate was taken from each patient.

Consent for publication: Not applicable

Availability of data and materials: The datasets used and/or analysed during the current study are available from the corresponding author on reasonable request.

Competing interests: The authors declare that they have no competing interests

Funding: None

Authors' contributions: PB designed the study and written the study, AY has performed the clinical examinations and measurements and contributed to writing. SY has analyzed and interpreted the patient data, API performed the CDT therapies

Acknowledgements: Not applicable

References

1. Rockson SG. Lymphedema after breast cancer treatment. *N Engl J Med*. 2018 Nov 15;379(20):1937-1944.
2. Keast DH, Despatis M, Allen JO, Brassard A. Chronic oedema/lymphedema: under recognized and under treated. *Int Wound J*. 2015;12(3):328-33.
3. Fu MR, Ridner SH, Hu SH, Stewart BR, Cormier JN, Armer JM. Psycho-social impact of lymphedema: A systematic review of literature from 2004-2011. *Psycho-Oncology Syst Review*. 2013; 22(7):1466-1484.
4. Park JE, Jang H, Seo KS. Quality of life, upper extremity function and the effect of Lymphedema treatment in breast cancer related lymphedema patients. *Ann Rehabil Med*. 2012;36:240-47.
5. Paskett ED. Symptoms: Lymphedema. *Adv Exp Med Biol*. 2015;862:101-13.
6. Mokhatri-Hesari P, Montazeri A. Health-related quality of life in breast cancer patients: Review of reviews from 2008 to 2018. *Health Qual Life Outcomes* 2020;18:338.
7. Davies C, Levenhagen K, Ryans K, Perdomo M, Gilchrist L. Interventions for breast cancer-related lymphedema: Clinical Practice Guideline from the academy of oncologic physical Therapy of APTA. *Phys Ther* 2020;100:1-17.
8. Zuther JE, Norton S. Pathology. Lymphedema Management, The comprehensive guide for practitioners. 3rd ed. Thieme Verlag KG, Germany, Stuttgart, 2013, p:45-126
9. Miranda Garcés M, Mirapeix R, Pons G, Sadri A, Masià J. A comprehensive review of the natural lymphaticovenous communications and their role in lymphedema surgery. *J Surg Oncol*. 2016 Mar;113(4):374-80.

10. Lasinski BB, McKillip Thrift K, Squire DC, Austin MK, Smith KM, Wanchai A, et al. A systematic Review of the evidence for complete decongestive Therapy in the treatment of Lymphedema from 2004 to 2011. *PMR*. 2012;4:580-601.
11. Lasinski BB. Complete Decongestive Therapy for treatment of Lymphedema. *Seminars Oncol Nursing*. 2013;29(1):20-27.
12. Hamner JB, Fleming MD. Lymphedema Therapy reduces the volume of edema and pain in patients with breast cancer. *J Surgical Oncol*. 2007;14(6):1904-1908.
13. Hwang JM, Hwang JH, Kim TW, Lee SY, Chang HJ, Chu IH. Long term effects of complex decongestive therapy in breast cancer patients with arm lymphedema after axillary dissection. *Ann Rehabil Med*. 2013;2013;37(5):690-7.
14. Lee JH, Shin BW, Jeong HJ, Kim GC, Kim DK, Sim YJ. Ultrasonographic evaluation of Therapeutic effects of complex decongestive therapy in breast cancer related Lymphedema. *Ann Rehabil Med*. 2013;37(5):683-689.
15. Randheer S, Kadambari, D, Srinivasan K, Bhuvaneswari V, Bhanumathy M, Salaja R. Compressive decongestive therapy in postmastectomy lymphedema: An Indian perspective. *Indian J Cancer* . 2011;48(4):397-402.
16. Pekyavaş NÖ, Tunay VB, Akbayrak T, Kaya S, Karataş M. Complex decongestive therapy and taping for patients with postmastectomy lymphedema: a randomized controlled study. *Europ J Oncol Nurs*. 2014;18:585-590.
17. Noh S, Hwang JH, Yoon TH, Chang HJ, Chu IH , Kim JH. Limb differences in the therapeutic effects of complex decongestive therapy on edema, quality of life and satisfaction in lymphedema patients. *Ann Rehabil Med*. 2015;39(3):347-59.
18. Kim SJ, Yi CH, Kwon OY. Effect of complex decongestive therapy on edema and the quality of life in breast cancer patients with unilateral lymphedema. *Lymphology*. 2007;40(3):143-51.
19. Moattari M, Jaafari B, Taki A, et al. The effect of combined decongestive therapy and pneumatic compression pump on lymphedema indicators with breast cancer related lymphedema. *Iran Red Crescent Med J*. 2012;14(14):210-17.
20. Uzkeser H, Karatay S, Erdemci B, Koc, Senel K. Efficacy of manual lymphatic drainage and intermittent pneumatic compression pump use in the treatment of lymphedema after mastectomy: a randomized controlled trial. *Breast Cancer*. 2015;22(3):300-7.
21. Karadibak D, Yavuzsen T, Saydam S. Prospective trial of intensive decongestive physiotherapy for upper extremity lymphedema. *J Surg Oncol* .2008;97(7):572-7.
22. Dayes IS, Whelan TJ, Julian JA, Parpia S, Pritchard KI, D'Souza DP, Kigman L, et al. Randomized clinical trial of decongestive Lymphatic therapy for the treatment of Lymphedema in women with breast cancer. *J Clin Oncol*. 2013;31(30):3758-3763.
23. Atalay OT, Özkır A, Başakçı-Çalık B, Bskan E, Taşkın H. Effect of phase I complex decongestive physiotherapy on physical functions and depression levels in breast cancer-related lymphedema. *J Phys Ther Sci*. 2015;27:865-70.

24. Haghighat S, Lotfi Tokaldany M, Yunesian M, Akbari ME, Nazemi F, Weiss J. Comparing two treatment methods for post mastectomy lymphedema: complex decongestive therapy alone and in combination with intermittent pneumatic compression. *Lymphology*. 2010;43(1):25-33.
25. Haghighat S, Lotfi Tokaldany M, Maboudi AA, Karami M, Bahadori A, Weiss J. Predictive factors of response to phase 1 complete decongestive therapy in upper extremity lymphedema following breast carcinoma in Iran. *Lymphology*. 2013;46(2):97-104.
26. Gradalski T, Ochalek K, Kurpiewska J. Complex decongestive lymphatic therapy with or without Vodder II manual lymph drainage in more severe chronic postmastectomy upper limb lymphedema: A randomized noninferiority prospective study. *J Pain Symptom Manag*. 2015;50:750-757.
27. Yeşil H, Eyigor S, Caramat I, Işık R. Effects of complex decongestive therapy on quality of life, depression, neuropathic pain and fatigue, in women with breast cancer-related lymphedema. *Turk J Phys Med Rehab* 2017;63(4):329-334.
28. Jeffs E, Ream E, Taylor C, Bick D. Clinical effectiveness of decongestive treatments on excess arm volume and patient-centered outcomes in women with early breast cancer-related arm lymphedema: a systematic review. *JB I Database System Rev Implement Rep*. 2018 Feb;16(2):453-506.
29. Huang T, Tseng S, Lin C, et al. Effects of manual lymphatic drainage on breast cancer-related lymphedema: a systematic review and meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials. *World J Surg Oncol*. 2013;11:15.
30. Singh B, Disipio T, Peake J, Hayes SC. Systematic review and meta-analysis of the effects of exercises for patients with cancer-related lymphedema. *Arch Phys Med Rehabil* 2016;97(2):302-315.
31. Sezgin Özcan D, Dalyan M, Ünsal-Delialioğlu S et al. Complex decongestive therapy enhances upper limb functions in patients with breast cancer-related lymphedema. *Lymphat Res Biol* 2018;16:446-452
32. Do JH, Kim W, Cho YK, Lee J, Song EJ, et al. Effects of resistance exercises and complete decongestive therapy on arm function and muscular strength in breast cancer-related lymphedema. *Lymphology* 2015;48(4):184-196.
33. International Society of Lymphology (ISL). The diagnosis and treatment of peripheral lymphedema. Consensus document of the ISL. *Lymphology*. 2013;42:1-11.
34. Ancukiewicz M, Russell TA, O'Toole J, et al. Standardized method for quantification of developing lymphedema in patients treated for breast cancer. *Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys*. 2011;79:1436-1443.
35. Karges JR, Mark BE, Stikeleather SJ, et al. Concurrent validity of upper extremity volume estimation: Comparison of calculated volume derived from girth measurements and water displacement volume. *Phys Ther*. 2003;83(2):134-145
36. Demirci S, Eser E, Özşaran Z, et al. Validation of the Turkish version of EORTC QLQ C30 and BR23 modules in breast cancer patients. *Asian Pac J Cancer Prev*. 2011;12(5):1283-7.
37. Aaronson N, Ahmedzai S, Bullinger M, Crabeels D, Estapé J, Filiberti A, Flechtner H, Frick U, Hürny C, Kaasa S, Klee M, Mastilica M, Osoba D, Pfausler B, Razavi D, Roife PBC, Schraub S, Sullivan M, Takeda F for the EORTC Study Group on Quality of Life. The EORTC Core Quality of Life

questionnaires Interim results of an international field study. Effect of Cancer on Quality of Life. Chapter 14.

38. Beaton DE, Wright JG, Katz JN. Development of the QuickDASH: comparison of three item-reduction approaches. *J Bone Joint Surg Am*. 2005;87(5):1038-1046.
39. Koldas Doğan S, Ay S, Evcik D, Başer O. Adaptation of Turkish version of the questionnaire 'Quick disability of the arm, shoulder and hand' (Quick DASH) in patients with carpal tunnel syndrome. *Clin Rheumatol*. 2011;30(2):185-91.
40. Foldi E, Foldi M. Manual lymph drainage (Foldi method). In: Lee BB, Bergan J, Rockson SG (eds). *Lymphedema*. London, Springer Verlag, 2011:237-243.
41. Melam RG, Buragadda S, Alhusaini AA, Arora N. Effect of complete decongestive therapy and home program on health-related quality of life in post mastectomy lymphedema patients. *BMC Women's Health* 2016; 16: 23.
42. Mondry TE, Riffenburgh RH, Johnstone PA. Prospective trial of complete decongestive therapy for upper extremity lymphedema after breast cancer therapy. *Cancer J*. 2004 Jan-Feb;10(1):42-8.
43. Vignes S, Porcher R, Arrault M, Dupuy A. Factors influencing breast cancer related lymphedema volume after intensive decongestive physiotherapy. *Support Care Cancer*. 2011 Jul;19(7):935-40
44. Forner Cordero I, Munoz Langa J, Forner Cordero A, De Miguel- Jimeno JM. Predictive factors of response to congestive therapy in patients with breast cancer related lymphedema. *Ann Surg Oncol*. 2010;17(3):744-51.
45. Tan CW, Coutts F, Bulley C. Measurement of lower limb volume: Agreement between the vertically orientated perometer and a tape measure method. *Physiotherapy* .2013;99(3):247-51.