

Study on the influence of composite soil on the slope stability of farmland during in land consolidation

Chuan Zhang (✉ 276764242@qq.com)

China University of Mining Technology; Yunnan Agricultural University <https://orcid.org/0000-0001-8725-3606>

Wujiang Liu

Yunnan Agricultural University

Xiaoshun Li

China University of Mining Technology; China University of Mining and Technology

Song Yang

Yunnan Agricultural University

Chao Xu

Yunnan Agricultural University

Yuexiang Wang

China University of Mining and Technology

Qingsong Duan

Yunnan Agricultural University

Honggang Zheng

Yunnan Agricultural University

Research Article

Keywords: Slope farmland, Land consolidation, Composite soil, Soil shear strength, Slope safety factor

Posted Date: June 21st, 2021

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.21203/rs.3.rs-216321/v1>

License:  This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License.

[Read Full License](#)

Version of Record: A version of this preprint was published at Environmental Earth Sciences on March 1st, 2022. See the published version at <https://doi.org/10.1007/s12665-022-10266-3>.

Study on the influence of composite soil on the slope stability of farmland during in land consolidation

Chuan Zhang^{1,2} · Wujiang Liu² · Xiaoshun Li¹✉ · Song Yang²✉ · Chao Xu² ·
Yuexiang Wang¹ · Qingsong Duan² · Honggang Zheng²

Received: 20 Dec 2020 / Accepted: *** 2021

Abstract

To improve the stability of sloping farmland, the optimal ratio parameter of composite soil was determined. Single and mixed composite soils were prepared with three improved materials of glutinous rice glue, wood fibres and coarse sand for indoor direct shear tests and pot experiments. Based on the different moisture and soil contents, the shear strength, cohesion, and internal friction angle of the composite soil were analyzed, and the proportioning parameters were adjusted according to the suitability of plant growth. Slope stability analysis was performed in combination with the actual case of slope farmland improvement. The research results show that (1) different soil improvement materials are affected by moisture in different sections. The maximum shear strengths of composite soil with glutinous rice glue, wood fibre, and coarse sand correspond to 11%, 14% and 32% of the optimal moisture content respectively. The change in the content of the modified material will affect the soil structure, and the shear strengths of the single composite soils are the highest when the content of the modified material are 1.5%, 5% and 15%. (2) According to the shear strength and the suitability of plant growth, the contents of the glutinous rice glue, wood fibre, and coarse sand of the mixed composite soil were determined by the optimal ratio parameters of 1.5%:2.5%:15%. (3) In this application, the minimum safety factor of mixed (1.5% glutinous rice glue, 2.5% wood fibre, and 15% coarse sand) composite soil is higher than that of single composite soil at slopes of 10°, 15°, and 20°. Under different water contents, the overall stability of the mixed composite soil slope improves.

Keywords Slope farmland · Land consolidation · Composite soil · Soil shear strength · Slope safety factor

Introduction

Soil loss on sloping farmland is a common problem related to land use in hilly areas. Sloping farmland has large slopes, loose soil, and high erodibility. During the rainy season, the soil has high water content and heavy viscosity, which is not conducive to water infiltration; When the water content of the soil is high, the soil will crack and break, and fine grains will gradually be lost, thereby causing the degradation of the slope of the farmland and its ecological function.

✉ Xiaoshun Li (zhangchuan0569@sina.com)

✉ Yang Song (417642930@qq.com)

¹ Research Center for Transition Development and Rural Revitalization of Resource-based Cities in China, China University of Mining and Technology, Xuzhou, 221116, China

² Engineering Research Center of Science and Technology of Land and Resources, Yunnan Agricultural University, Kunming, 650201, China

1 Relevant studies have shown that improvement of soil due to the addition of a certain amount of
2 material has the effects of increasing the shear strength of soil, increasing the moisture content,
3 improving the soil structure, and reducing soil erosion(Xiao et al. 2017; Le et al. 2020; Liu et al.
4 2012;Pan et al. 2003;Sun et al. 2020; Xi et al. 2018). Therefore, in the improvement of sloping
5 farmland, improving the soil structure and stability of sloping farmland is particularly important
6 for plant growth.

7 At present, research on the soil properties of soil conditioner has attracted widespread attention
8 from scholars at home and abroad, especially research on the effects of different materials on the
9 soil stability, in the fields of agricultural engineering and geotechnical engineering. In the field of
10 agricultural engineering, some scholars have used a biochar(Peng et al. 2019), carbon-based(Chai
11 et al. 2017), application of soil amendments (ferrous sulfate FES, aluminium sulfate ALS,
12 polyacrylamide PAM) and soil disinfectants (pentachloronitro benzene PCNB) (Ji et al. 2011),
13 combined application of soil amendments(Pan et al. 2008), earthworm manure(Shao et al. 2020),
14 organic materials (straw mulch, biomass charcoal and pig manure) (Zhang et al. 2017) and other
15 soil amendments to study the effect of soil agglomeration on slope farms. Body stability, soil
16 agglomeration, organic carbon (OC) content, soil water holding capacity and effective soil
17 reservoir capacity, soil infiltration rate and water holding capacity, water retention, sand control,
18 and fertilizer control have good control effects, but there are few studies on the effect of soil
19 amendment application on the soil mechanical properties of sloping farmland. Research on the
20 mechanical properties of the soil of sloping farmland mainly focuses on different land use types(Li
21 2017), farming methods (Du et al. 2018), rainfall intensities and slopes (Yuan et al. 2015), soil
22 particles (Fan 2019), soil bulk densities and moisture contents (Zhang et al. 2020), plants (Ding et
23 al. 2017; Pu et al. 2014; Shi et al. 2016) and other influencing factors on the response the of soil
24 shear strength, without considering the effect of soil amendments on the mechanical properties of
25 soil. In the field of geotechnical engineering, some scholars have found that the influence of the
26 ratio of rock blocks on the shear strength of cemented soil-rock mixtures depends on the
27 combination of the skeletal effect of rock blocks and the cementation effect of the mixture (Jin et
28 al. 2017; Tang et al. 2018); for the resistance of soil-rock mixtures, the shear strength largely
29 depends on the characteristics of its internal stone content. With increasing the stone content, the
30 internal friction angle increases obviously, while the cohesive force shows a decreasing trend. The
31 cohesive force mainly depends on the internal fine particles of the components (Xu et al. 2011; Xu
32 et al. 2013; Yang et al. 2016); When using curing agent PX to study the strength of sand
33 solidification, it is observed that curing agent PX will form a gel, which changes the adhesion
34 strength between the soil particles, thereby resulting in an increase in the overall strength, and
35 there is an optimal addition amount (Liu et al. 2017; Guo et al. 2017). When using the SH curing
36 agent to strengthen the loess, it is observed that the curing agent effectively improves the shear
37 strength of the loess. The internal friction angle and cohesive force of the solidified loess increase
38 with the increase in the content, dry density and the age The elongation shows an increasing trend,
39 and it decreases significantly with the increase in the moisture content (Cheng 2014); the addition
40 of coconut shell fibres to clay indicates that the increase in fibre content demonstrates that the
41 principal stress gradually increases when the reinforced soil is damaged (Maliakal et al. 2013);
42 when discrete palm fibres are used, it is observed that the incorporation of fibres reduces the
43 pre-consolidation pressure of the soil, and increases the shear strength and friction angle
44 (Estabragh et al. 2013). The incorporation of fibres makes the soil have a stronger toughness, and

1 the shear strength does not immediately reach the peak decrease, but maintains a certain strength
2 for a period of time and then decreases. Due to the connection of the fibres, the residual strength
3 of the damaged soil is still larger than that of plain soil(He 2018).

4 In summary, on the one hand, scholars have performed much research on the improvement in
5 soil properties on slopes with a single material, while there are few studies on the improvement in
6 soil properties on slopes with multiple materials. On the other hand, the field of agricultural
7 engineering mainly focuses on the improvement in the performance related to soil water and
8 fertilizer retention, but the improvement in the soil stability is ignored, while the relative lack of
9 research on the slope stability of soil and the benefits for plant growth are considered. In addition,
10 the field of geotechnical engineering mainly focuses on the enhanced mechanical properties of soil,
11 and the greater the shear strength, the better mechanical performance, but this is not conducive to
12 plant growth. Therefore, in this paper, three materials, glutinous rice glue, wood fibre, and coarse
13 sand, were separately prepared and combined with different moisture contents and content
14 differences, while direct shear tests on unsaturated composite soil were carried out to study the
15 characteristics of soil shear strength and its changing laws. The optimal proportion parameters of
16 the composite soil were determined, and the improvement of sloping farmland was taken as an
17 example to analyse the stability of the composite soil layer of the farmland. The research results
18 can provide a theoretical basis and application foundation for land improvement projects.

19 **Materials and methods**

20 **Test materials**

21 The soil used for this study is red loam, which is derived from the soil of farmland slopes in the
22 valley area of Binchuan County. Its parent material is slope deposits and alluvial deposits. Due to
23 the short time of soil formation, the degree of maturation is not high, the soil profile experiences
24 substantial leaching. In the mineral layer, the soil fertility is relatively low, and the texture is light
25 (Table 1). Glutinous rice glue (Fig.1-a) is an environmentally friendly adhesive made from
26 glutinous rice starch. It has a wide range of applications and long viscosity. It is produced by
27 Tianyi Wallpaper Co., Ltd. Wood fibre (Fig.1-b) is a natural plant cellulose fibre with an irregular
28 fan-shaped structure, super hydrophilic properties, fast moisture absorption, large moisture
29 absorption, 99% organic content, and 8% ash content. The fibre length is in the range of 3~10 mm,
30 the PH is 6, and the fibre is produced by Southeast Wood Fibre Technology Co., Ltd. The test sand
31 (Fig.1-c) is machine-made sand, which has rock particles with a particle size of less than 4.75 mm
32 that are processed by soil removal, broken by machinery, and sieved. The shape of the particles is
33 generally triangular, rectangular, and square. The surface is rough and angular.

Table 1 Basic physical properties of soil samples

Air-dry moisture content /%	Maximum dry density /g·cm ⁻³	Optimum moisture content /%	Liquid limit /%	Plastic limit /%
6.00	1.43	32.00	53.28	24.63



(a) Glutinous rice glue

(b) Wood fibre

(c) Coarse sand

Fig. 1 Three red soil improved materials

Sample preparation

There were three content gradients of 0.5%, 1.5%, and 2.5% for glutinous rice glue; three content gradients of 1%, 2.5%, and 5% for wood fibre; and four content gradients of 5%, 10%, 15%, and 20% for coarse sand. The gradients were mixed with red soil, and plain soil was used as the control group. All samples were designed to make 4 composite soil samples with different moisture contents of 30%, 32%, 34%, and 36%. However, the experiment showed that glutinous rice glue and wood fibre have high water absorption. Too low of a mixing water content is not conducive to the full mixing of soil particles. Therefore, more water is used for mixing, but the composite soil formed by mixing is due to moisture. If the direct shear test is performed directly, the data are inconsistent, the law is not obvious, and the test results are inaccurate. Therefore, the air-drying method is used to control the moisture content of the composite soil and make multiple samples with different moisture contents. The moisture content of the glutinous rice glue composite soil was controlled to 7, which was 3%, 5%, 7%, 9%, 11%, 13%, and 15%, and that of the wood fibre composite soil was 5, which was 7%, 14%, 21%, 28%, and 35%. Glutinous rice glue, wood fibre, coarse sand, and plain soil were made into 21, 15, 16, and 4 different treatments, for a total of 56 treatments, and each treatment was repeated 4 times.

Test method

Studies have shown that if the vertical pressure is more than 400 kPa, the vertical pressure and the shear strength of the soil exhibit a linear relationship. If the vertical pressure is below 100 kPa, the friction between the shear boxes will increase, which will affect the accuracy of the test. Therefore, this article uses the ZJ strain control direct shear instrument produced by Nanjing soil instrument factory Co., Ltd, to perform the shear tests, and the shear rate was 4 r/min. To obtain a complete shear strength curve, the 4 levels of vertical pressure for each processed sample were 100 kPa, 200 kPa, 300 kPa, and 400 kPa. In strict accordance with the norms "Geotechnical Test Method Standards", for the provisions of the fast shear test (Nanjing hydraulic research institute 1999), the Coulomb formula is used to obtain the cohesion and internal friction angle of the composite soil under different conditions:

$$\tau = \sigma \cdot \tan \varphi + c \quad (1)$$

In the formula, τ is The shear strength of the soil (kPa); σ is the vertical pressure (kPa); φ is the angle of internal friction ($^{\circ}$); and c is the cohesion (kPa).

Data analysis and processing

Origin2017 is used to process and analyse the measurement data and draw charts. Geostudio software is a specialized software for slope stability analysis. The simulation in this paper adopts the Morgenstern-Price method to calculate and analyse the slope stability(He et al. 2019). In the model simulation of this article, the slope is set in combination with the actual case. During the simulation, only the internal friction angle and cohesion of each test group under different water content conditions are changed, and the most dangerous slip surface of the same slope under different conditions is calculated for the corresponding minimum safety factor.

Results and analysis

Analysis of shear strength of single composite soil with different water contents


When the moisture content of composite soil changes, its shear strength also changes, and composite soils of different materials exhibit different performances(Table 2 and Fig.2). With increasing water content, the shear strength of plain soil is relatively stable when the water content is in the range of 30~32% and then slowly decreases. The internal friction angle fluctuates slightly, the floating range is between 22.06~22.4°, the change rule is not obvious, and the cohesive force continues to decrease. The shear strength and internal friction angle of glutinous rice glue composite soil shows a trend of first decreasing, then increasing and then decreasing. The cohesion increases slowly at water contents of 3%~7%, and at the 7%~15% stage, it increases rapidly and then decreases rapidly. When the moisture content is 3%, the glutinous rice glue is dehydrated and solidified and mostly exists in the form of solid particles in the composite soil. When the moisture increases, the glutinous rice glue gradually absorbs water and softens becoming "gelatinous", the cementing effect is enhanced, and the cohesive force increases. The internal friction angle is reduced. When the moisture content is 11%, the shear strength, internal friction angle and cohesive force all reach maximum values. The shear strength and cohesive force of wood fibre composite soil showed a significant decline after the moisture content increased to 14%. When the water content is 21%, the internal friction angle reaches a maximum value; when the water content increases to 28%, it shows a rapid decreasing trend. The hysteresis phenomenon of the internal friction angle may be due to the increase in soil moisture, and the structure between the wood fibre and the soil particles and the structure between the soil particles and the soil particles are changed by water, and re-occluding occurs; therefore, the contact area changes, thereby resulting in a certain internal friction angle. The water cut interval increases slightly first, which occurs in the water interval of 14-21% in this study. The shear strength, internal friction angle and cohesive force of coarse sand composite soil first increase and then decrease. When the water content is 32%, the three values are the largest; The internal friction angle and cohesive force are affected by the changes in the influence of moisture are basically the same, and there is no hysteresis(Fig.2). Because the soil amendment and soil particles combine to form a certain strength, the effect of moisture content on the soil will be reduced.

Table 2 Changes in shear strength of single composite soil under different water content conditions


category	Moisture content /%	Vertical pressure /kpa			
		100 kpa	200 kpa	300 kpa	400 kpa
Plain soil	30%	76.81	117.66	158.50	199.34

	32%	75.98	117.22	158.46	199.71
	34%	68.69	109.21	149.73	190.25
	36%	67.16	107.93	148.70	189.48
Glutinous rice glue composite soil	3%	136.44	213.44	315.56	401.84
	5%	99.43	147.46	185.03	234.2
	7%	89.71	119.99	142.04	178.3
	9%	213.49	295.73	377.56	471.64
	11%	268.8	362.34	480.07	593.11
	13%	184.4	230.04	325.9	384.53
	15%	97.33	124.41	179.9	213.2
Wood fibre composite soil	7%	439.64	479.2	534.9	617.24
	14%	372.87	549.89	587.93	601.71
	21%	261.04	242	464.39	508.18
	28%	131.38	200.87	266.56	361.76
	35%	34.11	99.19	109.09	128.35
Coarse sand composite soil	30%	60.56	106.28	152.00	197.72
	32%	71.96	119.23	166.50	213.77
	34%	66.19	111.85	157.51	203.16
	36%	62.49	106.93	151.37	195.81

- Note: In the three single composite soils, the mixing amounts of glutinous rice glue, wood fibre, and coarse sand are 1.5%, 5%, and 5%, respectively.
-




-
-
-
- (a) Plain soil



-
-
-
-
-

1


(b) Glutinous rice glue composite soil



2

3

(c) Wood fibre composite soil



4

5

(d) Coarse sand composite soil

Note: The mixing amount of glutinous rice glue, wood fibre, and coarse sand are respectively 1.5%, 5%, and 5% as examples.

8

Fig. 2 Changes of internal friction angle and cohesion of single composite soil under different water content conditions

9

10 Shear strength analysis of single composite soil with different contents


11 Figure 3 shows that under the vertical pressure range of 100-400 kPa, the shear strengths of
 12 composite soils with different contents, such as glutinous rice glue, wood fibre, and coarse sand,
 13 are greater than that of plain soil. With increasing glue content, the shear strength and internal
 14 friction angle of the glutinous rice glue composite soil first increase and then decrease. When the
 15 glue content is 1.5%, the two values are the largest. Among them, the cohesive force shows a
 16 continuous increasing trend. However, the rate of increase in the high-content stage (1.5~2.5%) is
 17 slower than that in the low-content stage (0.5~1.5%) because the admixture of glue into the soil
 18 can promote the agglomeration of soil particles through the coagulation of the glue and improve
 19 the cohesion and internal friction angle. However, when the amount of glue is too high, the
 20 proportion of soft glue will increase to form a glue network, which separates and wraps the soil
 21 particles, reduces the contact area between the soil particles, and makes the soil form a "soft
 22 plastic" shape. Therefore, the shear strength is reduced. With increasing fibre content, the shear
 23 strength, internal friction angle and cohesive force of wood fibre composite soil show a

1 continuous upward trend. When the fibre content is 5%, the three values are relatively large, and
 2 the maximum value is not reached. As the sand content increases, the shear strength and internal
 3 friction angle of the coarse sand composite soil gradually increase, thereby reaching a maximum
 4 value at a content of 15% and then beginning to show a downward trend. When the sand content
 5 ranges from 5% to 15%, coarse sand can contact the soil particles, and the internal friction angle
 6 will increase; when the sand content exceeds 15%, since the particle size of the coarse sand itself
 7 is much larger than that of the soil particles, the mass and volume of the coarse sand in the
 8 composite soil increase, and the sand particles will interact with each other. It is difficult for the
 9 particles to come into close contact with each other; therefore, a certain void structure is formed,
 10 the internal friction angle begins to decrease, and the cohesive force is negatively related to the
 11 sand content. The greater the sand content is, the lower the cohesive force.

12 **Table 3 Changes in shear strength of single composite soil under different content conditions**
 13 (kpa)

category	Content /%	Vertical pressure /kpa			
		100 kpa	200 kpa	300 kpa	400 kpa
Plain soil	--	75.98	117.22	158.46	199.71
	0.5%	103.24	137.55	183.19	206.29
Glutinous rice glue composite soil	1.5%	268.8	362.34	480.07	593.11
	2.5%	199.95	233.53	235.51	271.98
	1%	122.45	150.66	178.86	207.06
Wood fibre composite soil	2.5%	292.78	381.46	470.15	558.83
	5%	372.87	549.89	587.93	601.71
	5%	71.96	119.23	166.50	213.77
Coarse sand composite soil	10%	73.40	126.37	179.34	232.31
	15%	74.59	130.84	187.10	243.35
	20%	69.69	124.84	180.00	235.16


14 Note: The moisture content of plain soil is 32%; the moisture content of glutinous rice glue, wood fibre, and coarse
 15 sand are 11%, 14%, and 32% respectively.



16


17

a. Glutinous rice glue composite soil



1
2

b. Wood fibre composite soil



3
4

c. Coarse sand composite soil

5 Note: The moisture content of plain soil is 32%; the moisture content of glutinous rice glue, wood fibre, and coarse
6 sand are 11%, 14%, and 32% respectively.


7 **Fig. 3 Shear strength changes of composite soil with different contents**

8

9 Determination of the optimal ratio parameters of the mixed material composite soil

10 According to section 2.2, when the shear strength of the three glutinous rice glue, wood fibre,
11 and coarse sand materials is the highest, the corresponding optimal contents are 1.5%, 52.5%, and
12 15%, respectively. The optimal content of the three materials is mixed with red soil to form a new
13 mixed composite soil, and the shear strength of these mixtures is compared with the shear strength
14 of a single composite soil(Fig.4). Under different water contents, the shear strength of mixed
15 composite soil is greater than the shear strength of single composite soil with the optimal water
16 content and optimal content of soil, and the shear strength decreases slowly with increasing water
17 content. The internal friction angle of the mixed composite soil first increases and then decreases.
18 This is mainly due to the effect of water on glutinous rice glue. In the water content range of
19 11-14%, the glutinous rice glue is gradually diluted and transforms between the colloidal state and
20 the fluid state, which is caused by the change in the soil structure. The cohesive force of the mixed
21 composite soil shows a continuous decreasing trend. When the moisture content is 11%, the
22 cementation effect of the glutinous rice glue is fully exerted, and the cohesive force is the largest;
23 when the moisture content is 14%, the cohesive force of the mixed composite soil is lower than

1 that of the wood fibre composite soil. This may be because the glutinous rice glue in the soil
 2 occupies a certain proportion, it is diluted by water, and the binding effect of the wood fibres is
 3 affected. Overall, the internal friction angle and cohesion of mixed composite soil are better than
 4 those of single composite soil.



5
 6
 7 Note: The vertical pressure corresponding to the shear strength in a picture is 200kpa.

8 **Fig. 4 Change of shear strength of mixed material composite soil**

9
 10 In the improvement of sloping farmland, in addition to considering the shear strength of the
 11 slope, the suitability of plant growth should be considered. During the experiment (Figure 3-b), it
 12 was found that the content of wood fibre increased, and the shear strength and cohesive force
 13 continued to increase. The contribution rate to the soil consolidation ability was greater than that
 14 of glutinous rice glue and coarse sand, but too high of a wood fibre content would cause soil
 15 compaction, which is not conducive to plant growth. Therefore, the wood fibre content for the
 16 optimal ratio parameters of the shear strength of the abovementioned mixed composite soil was
 17 designed to be 1.5%, 2.5%, 4% and 5% for pot experiments to test the growth suitability of plants,
 18 as shown in Fig.5 (an image was taken when the plant was planted for approximately 3 months,
 19 and the planted herb was *Cynodon dactylon*). According to the test results, the total biomasses of
 20 A:B₁:C, A:B₂:C, A:B₃:C, and A:B₄:C were 153.06 g/m², 158.22 g/m², 37.65 g/m² and 11.42 g/m²,
 21 respectively. A:B₁:C and A:B₂:C significantly increased the total biomass, the value of A:B₂:C was
 22 slightly higher than that of A:B₁:C, and the plants grew well (Table 4).

23 **Table 4 content ratio of each improved material and herbaceous biomass of mixed improved**
 24 **composite soil used for grass planting**

Different mixtures of improved soil used for growing grass	Add the content/%			Biomass(g)
	A:Glutinous rice glue	B:Wood fibre	C:Coarse sand	
1	1.5	1.5	15	153.06
2	1.5	2.5	15	158.22
3	1.5	4	15	37.65
4	1.5	5	15	11.42



1

2 Note: A is glutinous rice glue; B is wood fibre; C is coarse sand.

3 **Fig. 5 Planting conditions of mixed composite soil with different ratios**

4 **Case analysis**

5 **Project area overview**

6 The project area is located in Binchuan County, which belongs to the dry-hot valley of the
 7 Jinsha River of China (Fig. 6). It is located between 100°16'~100°59' east longitude and
 8 25°32'~26°12' north latitude. The altitude is 1410 metres to 1558 metres, and the landform is a
 9 red soil hilly area. It has a semiarid monsoon climate in the southern subtropical zone. The
 10 average rainfall is 560.9 mm, the annual average temperature is 17.9°C, and the frost-free period
 11 is 294 days. This project is a land consolidation demonstration project. The total land area is
 12 426.85 hectares, of which 393.74 hectares are wasteland, 10.61 hectares are erosion bare land, and
 13 22.50 hectares are dry land. After the implementation of the land improvement project, an area of
 14 406.84 hectares of slope-to-terraced conversion was realized, with a slope of 10-20° and a total
 15 slope area of 115.27 hectares.




Fig. 6 Location of the study area of Binchuan county in China

Analysis of the application results

According to the actual situation of the project area and the optimal content ratio parameter of 1.5%:2.5%:15% determined by the three composite materials of glutinous rice glue, wood fibre and coarse sand, the application of terrace embankment slope treatment was performed. Three slopes of 10°, 15°, and 20° were set, and the SIOPE/W slope analysis module in Geostudio software was used to analyse and process the slope safety factors of single composite soil and mixed composite soil (Table 5).

Under different slopes, the minimum safety factor of the slope for the three single composite soils showed an upward trend before reaching the optimal water content and showed a downward trend after the optimal water content was achieved. At the optimal moisture content, the minimum safety factor of the slope was ranked in the following order: wood fibre composite soil > glutinous rice glue composite soil > coarse sand composite soil. The minimum safety factor of the slope of the mixed composite soil for the three improved materials increased with the increase in water content, it was the largest when the water content was 11%, and then it gradually decreased. When the water content was 14%, the minimum slope of the mixed composite soil was achieved, the safety factor was slightly lower than that of wood fibre composite soil, and it was higher than that of single composite soil under other moisture content conditions. However, when the moisture content increased to 28%, the minimum safety factor of the slope of composite soil was less than that of wood fibre, and the overall stability was better. The composite soil of mixed materials (1.5% glutinous rice glue, 2.5% wood fibre, 15% coarse sand) was applied to the slope surface of the slope of the farmland, which can effectively enhance the stability of the sloping farmland slope (Fig.7).

Table 5 Minimum slope safety factors of composite soil with different content

Slope / °	Moisture content / %	1.5%A:2.5%B:15%C mixed composite soil	1.5% A composite soil	2.5% B Composite soil	15% C composite soil
10 °	5%	20.550	5.671		
	11%	22.749	14.301		
	14%	22.092		23.512	
	28%	16.585		11.652	
	32%	14.160			3.095
	36%	12.224			2.433
15 °	5%	13.649	3.717		
	11%	14.829	9.369		
	14%	14.496		15.335	
	28%	10.874		7.791	
	32%	9.288			2.069
20 °	5%	10.159	2.799		
	11%	11.263	7.060		
	14%	10.899		11.637	
	28%	8.184		5.872	
	32%	6.987			1.539
	36%	6.026			1.197

1 Note: A is glutinous rice glue;B is wood fibre;C is coarse sand.

2



3 (a1) Before slope improvement



4 (a2) After slope improvement

5



6 (b1) Before slope improvement



7 (b2) After slope improvement

8

9 **Fig. 7 Comparison of planting grass before and after application of mixed improved materials**

10 **Conclusion**

11 (1) Different moisture contents have different effects on the three soil improvement materials. When stabilizing the soil, to facilitate the full combination of the amendment and the soil particles, the optimal moisture contents of glutinous rice glue composite soil, wood fibre composite soil, and coarse sand composite soil are 11%, 14% and 32%, respectively. After stabilizing the soil, the modifier and soil particles combine to form a certain strength, and the effect of the changes in water content on the soil is also reduced. Among them, the shear strength of plain soil is relatively stable when the water content is in the range of 30-32% and then slowly decreases, the internal friction angle does not change significantly, and the cohesive force continues to decrease. The internal friction angle does not change significantly, and the cohesive force continues to decrease. The shear strength and internal friction angle of the glutinous rice glue composite soil showed a trend of first decreasing, then increasing and then decreasing. The cohesive force increased slowly at a water content of 3%~7%, increased rapidly at the 7%~15% stage and then decreased rapidly. When the moisture content was 11%, the shear strength, internal friction angle, and cohesive force all reach maximum values; the shear strength and cohesive force of wood fibre composite soil showed a significant decline after the moisture content increased to 14%. When the water content increased to 28%, the internal friction angle showed a rapid decreasing trend; the shear strength, internal friction angle and cohesive force of the coarse sand composite soil first increased and then decreased. When the water content was 32%, the three maximum values were reached.

28 (2) The difference in the content of soil improvement materials will affect the soil structure. Compared with plain soil, adding different improvement materials can increase the shear strength

29

1 of the soil. As the glue content increases, the shear strength and internal friction angle of glutinous
2 rice glue first increase and then decrease. When the glue content is 1.5%, the two values are the
3 largest. When the glue content is too high, the soil particles will be separated and wrapped,
4 thereby reducing the contact area between the soil particles and making the soil form a "soft
5 plastic" shape; with the increase in fibre content, the shear strength and internal friction angle and
6 cohesive force both show a continuous upward trend. When the fibre content is 5%, the three
7 values are larger; as the sand content increases, the shear strength and internal friction angle of the
8 coarse sand composite soil gradually increase. At 15%, the content reaches a maximum value and
9 then begins to show a downward trend. The cohesion and sand content are negatively correlated,
10 and the higher the sand content is, the lower the cohesion.

11 (3) The shear strength of mixed (1.5% glutinous rice glue, 5% wood fibre, and 15% coarse sand)
12 composite soil is greater than the shear strength of the optimal moisture content and optimal
13 content of a single composite soil; combined with plant growth suitability, when the mixing ratio
14 parameters are adjusted, the total biomass of the composite soil with mixed materials (1.5%
15 glutinous rice glue, 2.5% wood fibre, and 15% coarse sand) is the highest, which is suitable for
16 plant growth.

17 (4) Combined with the actual case of slope farmland consolidation, the minimum safety factor of
18 the slope of the mixed (1.5% glutinous rice glue, 2.5% wood fibre, and 15% coarse sand)
19 composite soil is better than the three slopes of 10°, 15° and 20°. The slope of single composite
20 soil has better overall stability than that of composite soil under different water contents.

22 **Declarations**

23 The authors declare that they have no known competing financial interests or personal
24 relationships that could have appeared to influence the work reported in this paper.

26 **Acknowledgements**

27 This research is jointly supported by the Natural Science Foundation of China (grant numbers
28 41867038 and 41662021), and open fund by "Technical Innovation Team for land allocation and
29 ecological consolidation in Southwest diversified regions" of ministry of natural resources of
30 China (grant number YNTD2018KF05). For help with the collection data and revise this article,
31 we would like to thank Professor Jianxin Yu of Yunnan Agricultural University.

33 **Reference**

- 34 Xiao H, Huang J, Ma Q, Wan J, Li L, Peng Q, Rezaeimalek S(2017) Experimental study on the soil mixture to
35 promote vegetation for slope protection and landslide prevention. *Landslides* 14 (1):287-297.
36 <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10346-015-0634-x>
- 37 Le ZZ, Qu ZZ, Wang LP, Gao XY, He Q(2020) Effect of flocculating Yellow river sediment with biochar on
38 water-salt transport and hydraulic characteristic parameters of saline soil. *Journal of Soil and Water
39 Conservation* 34 (03):326-331. <https://doi.org/10.13870/j.cnki.stbxb.2020.03.047>
- 40 Liu HJ, Liu JH, Xu ST, Guo M(2012) Effects of soil amendments on soil moisture and oat yield. *Journal of
41 Irrigation and Drainage* 31(03):125-128. <https://doi.org/10.13870/j.cnki.stbxb.2012.05.034>
- 42 Pan YH, Lei YW, Zhang QW, Liu JG, Xia WS(2003) Effects of soil structure modifiers on soil hydrodynamic

1 parameters. Transactions of the Chinese Society of Agricultural Engineering (04):37-39. [https://doi.org/](https://doi.org/10.3321/j.issn:1002-6819.2003.04.008)
2 10.3321/j.issn:1002-6819.2003.04.008

3 Sun YQ, Wang MY, Zhang L, Chen X, Zhang ZQ, Shi XZ, Xu SX, Sun WX, Ban GJ, Xia ZW(2020)Particle size
4 effect of foamy sand on improving pore structure of clayey yellow soil. Soil 52(3):597-602.
5 <https://doi.org/10.13758/j.cnki.tr.2020.03.025>

6 Xi YQ, Zhao Y, Li SY(2018)Effect of three soil amendments on shear strength of aeolian sand soil. Chinese
7 Journal of Soil Science 55(06):1401-1410. <https://doi.org/10.11766/trxb201802030436>

8 Peng X, Tong X, Hao L, Wu F(2019)Applicability of biochar for limiting interrill erosion and organic carbon
9 export of sloping cropland in a semi-arid area of China. Agriculture, Ecosystems and Environment.
10 <https://doi.org/280:68-7610.1016/j.agee.2019.04.021>

11 Chai GQ, Zhao YN, Huang XC, Zhang YQ, Shi XJ(2017)Different carbon-based improver improve the water
12 storage and moisture conservation ability of purple soil. Journal of Soil and Water Conservation
13 31(001):296-302. <https://doi.org/10.13870/j.cnki.stbcb.2017.01.049>

14 Ji HL, Yan R, Li YD, Fang YM, Yang LZ, Wu YH(2011)Study on the effect of soil amendments on phosphorus
15 loss. Soil 43(02):203-209. <https://doi.org/10.13758/j.cnki.tr.2011.02.004>

16 Pan YH, Lei YW, Gu XY,(2008)Study on practicability of interval mulching combined with soil conditioner on
17 sloping land. Journal of Soil and Water Conservation (03):187-191.
18 <https://doi.org/10.3321/j.issn:1009-2242.2008.03.038>

19 Shao FF, Wu JH(2020)Effects of vermicompost on the characteristics of runoff sediment yield and nitrate nitrogen
20 loss in sloping farmland in loess region. Journal of Soil and Water Conservation 34(01):71-77.
21 <https://doi.org/10.13870/j.cnki.stbcb.2020.01.011>

22 Zhang BX, He FH, Zhu QH, Peng XH(2017)Effect of organic fertilizer on sediment yield in red soil slope. Soil
23 49(06):1237-1242. <https://doi.org/10.13758/j.cnki.tr.2017.06.025>

24 Li X(2017)Analysis of relationship between soil shear strength and influencing factors of different land use types.
25 Master, Shenyang Agricultural University.

26 Du MC, Li JT, Li SL, Li JQ(2018)Effects of different tillage methods on soil pore structure and penetration
27 strength of cultivated land in South China Slope. Journal of Guangzhou University (Natural Science)
28 17(06):74-80. <https://doi.org/10.3969/j.issn.1671-4229.2018.06.014>

29 Yuan ST, Liu XY, Wen CJ, Pan MC(2015)Effects of rainfall and slope on soil erosion intensity of cultivated land
30 on the eastern slope of Yunnan. Journal of Anhui Agricultural Sciences 43(09):234-237.
31 <https://doi.org/10.3969/j.issn.0517-6611.2015.09.083>

32 Fan XJ(2019)Simulation of soil phosphorus loss on weathered granite slope with different erosion intensity. Master,
33 Zhejiang University.

34 Zhang JL, Shi DM, Liu Y, Ren YH, Pu CJ(2020)Effect of soil bulk density and water content on shear strength of
35 cultivated layer on purple soil slope. Journal of Soil and Water Conservation 34(03):162-167,174.
36 <https://doi.org/10.13870/j.cnki.stbcb.2020.03.025>

37 Ding WB, He WJ, Shi DM, Jiang GY, Jiang P, Chang SG(2017)Effect of wetting and drying on soil shear strength
38 of biological ridge on purple soil slope. Acta Prataculturae Sinica 26(06):56-67.
39 <https://doi.org/10.11686/cyxb2016298>

40 Pu YL, Xie DT, Ni JP, Wei CF, Lin CW(2014)Effect of hedgerow pattern on soil shear strength and scouring
41 resistance of sloping farmland in purple soil area. Scientia Agricultura Sinica 47(05):934-945.
42 <https://doi.org/10.3864/j.issn.0578-1752.2014.05.010>

43 Shi DM, Jiang P, He WJ, Ding WB, Wang SS, Peng XD(2016)Response of soil shear strength to wetting and
44 drying on biological ridge of purple soil slope. Transactions of the Chinese Society of Agricultural Engineering

1 32(24):139-146. <https://doi.org/10.11975/j.issn.1002-6819.2016.24.018>

2 Jin L, Zeng Y, Xia L, Ye Y(2017)Experimental and numerical investigation of mechanical behaviors of cemented
3 soil-rock mixture. *Geotechnical and Geological Engineering* 35(1):337-354. [https://doi.org/](https://doi.org/10.1007/s10706-016-0109-4)
4 [10.1007/s10706-016-0109-4](https://doi.org/10.1007/s10706-016-0109-4)

5 Tang JY, Xu DS, Liu HB(2018)Influence of gravel content on shear behavior of soil-rock mixture. *Rock and Soil*
6 *Mechanics* 39(01):93-102. <https://doi.org/10.16285/j.rsm.2017.1527>

7 Xu WJ, Xu Q, Hu RL(2011)Study on the shear strength of soil-rock mixture by large scale direct shear test.
8 *International Journal of Rock Mechanics and Mining Sciences* 48(8):1235-1247.
9 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijrmms.2011.09.018>

10 Xu WJ, Zhang HY(2013)Research status and development trend of soil-rock mixture. *Advances in Science and*
11 *Technology of Water Resources* 33(1):80-88. <https://doi.org/10.3880/j.issn.1006-7647.2013.01.019>

12 Yang JH, Dong JY, Huang ZQ, Zheng ZG, Qi D(2016)Experimental study on shear strength characteristics of
13 compoundments under different gravel content. *Chinese Journal of Geotechnical Engineering* 38(S2):161-166.
14 <https://doi.org/10.11779/CJGE2016S2026>

15 Liu JF, Su YH(2017)Analyses of the Strength Characteristics of Solidified Desert Aeolian Sandy Soil. *Journal of*
16 *Highway and Transportation Research and Development (English Edition)* 11(2):32-36.
17 <https://doi.org/10.1061/JHTRCQ.0000564>

18 Guo GS, Zhang Y, Du S(2017)Grassroots-level shear strength experimental study of aeolian sand with cement.
19 *Science Technology & Engineering* (15):322-326. <https://doi.org/10.3969/j.issn.1671-1815.2017.15.053>

20 Cheng JM(2014)Experimental study on new hardener technology for strengthening loess slope. *Taiyuan University*
21 *of Technology*. (In Chinese)

22 Maliakal T, Thiyyakkandi S(2013)Influence of Randomly Distributed Coir Fibres on Shear Strength of Clay.
23 *Geotechnical and Geological Engineering* 31(2):425-433. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10706-012-9595-1>

24 Estabragh A R, Bordbar A T, Javadi A A. A Study on the Mechanical Behavior of a Fibre-Clay Composite with
25 Natural Fibre[J]. *Geotechnical and Geological Engineering*, 2013, 31(2):501-510.

26 He YL(2018)Research on shear strength of fibre reinforced soil and stability of embankment slope. *Jilin University*.
27 <https://doi.org/CNKI:CDMD:2.1018.214863>

28 Nanjing Hydraulic Research Institute(1999)Industry standard of the People's Republic of China: Standard of
29 geotechnical test method GB/T50123-1999. Beijing: China Planning Publishing House. (In Chinese)

30 He B, Song S(2019)Analysis of Slope Stability and Selection of Support Scheme Based on Geostudio Theory.
31 *Geology and Exploration* 55(05):1329-1335. <https://doi.org/10.12134/j.dzykt.2019.05.021>