

Distribution of sources of household air pollution: a cross-sectional study in Cameroon

Esong Miranda Baame (✉ emirablesing@yahoo.com)

University of Dschang

André Pascal Goura

M.A. Santé Yaounde Cameroon

Bertrand Hugo Ngahane Mbatchou

Douala General hospital

Berenice Walage

University of Dschang: Universite de Dschang

Herman Styve Yomi Simo

University of Dschang: Universite de Dschang

Romarique Mboumo Medjou

University of Dschang: Universite de Dschang

Martial Pianta Sonkoue

University of Dschang: Universite de Dschang

Cyrielle Douanla Djouda

University of Dschang: Universite de Dschang

Rose Suzie Fowoh Ngnewa

University of Dschang: Universite de Dschang

Milaine Sandra Teugueu Guiagain

University of Dschang: Universite de Dschang

Brice-Donald Kemnang Agokeng

University of Dschang: Universite de Dschang

Olivia Tania Megaptche Homla

University of Dschang: Universite de Dschang

Dan Pope

University of Liverpool

Jerome Ateudjieu

M.A Santé Yaounde, Cameroon

Research article

Keywords: Indoor air pollution, household air pollution, LPG, fuel type, Dschang-Cameroon.

Posted Date: October 7th, 2020

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.21203/rs.3.rs-21688/v2>

License:  This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License. [Read Full License](#)

Version of Record: A version of this preprint was published on February 8th, 2021. See the published version at <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12889-021-10350-6>.

Abstract

Background: Household air pollution (HAP) is a recognised risk factor for many diseases, including respiratory diseases, cardiovascular/circulatory disorders, adverse pregnancy outcomes and cataracts. Population exposure to biomass fuels, including wood, varies among countries and from one fuel source to the other. This study aimed to investigate the different sources of HAP in peri-urban and rural communities in Cameroon.

Methods: A cross-sectional survey was conducted in a representative sample of households from the Dschang Health District (DHD) region. This included 848 homes in which a range of fuels for cooking including biomass (firewood, charcoal, sawdust), kerosene and liquefied petroleum gas (LPG) were used both indoors and outdoors.

Results: Of the study households, 651 (77%) reported exclusive use of firewood and 141 (17%) reported using more than one source of fuel. Exclusive use of firewood was greater in rural communities (94%) than in peri-urban communities (38%). In peri-urban communities, use of multiple fuels including LPG, wood, sawdust and kerosene, was more common (44.75%). A total of 25.03% of households in both peri-urban and rural communities reported using bottled gas (or liquefied petroleum gas (LPG) for cooking. Motivations for choice of fuel included, price, availability (easy access), rapidity, tradition or culture related factors

Conclusion: Wood is the main cooking fuel in both peri-urban and rural communities in the Dschang Health District. Work to help households (especially those who are resource poor) to adopt LPG equipment for cooking, and use in a more exclusive way is required.

Background

Over 3 billion people rely on biomass fuel (BMF) as their main source of domestic energy(1,2). BMF, including wood, charcoal, dung and crop residue, accounts for as much as 95% of fuel usage in lower income countries(3,4). Studies have shown that there is an increase in the risk of respiratory morbidity and chronic obstructive pulmonary diseases among individuals using biomass fuels(1,5,6). The World Health Statistics (2018) estimates that acute lower respiratory infection (ALRI) is one of the leading causes of child mortality in the world, accounting for up to 15% of fatalities among children under five, almost all of them in developing countries (7). The most recent demographic health survey for Cameroon (2018) presented 1% of children under five had symptoms of acute respiratory infections in the two weeks before the survey(8). A recent study carried out in Bamenda regional hospital showed a prevalence of 54.7% acute respiratory infections amongst infants less than five years(9). Household air pollution (HAP) is thought to cause about one-third of ARI cases(1). This makes solid fuels the second most important environmental cause of disease (6,7) and the fourth most important cause of overall excess mortality in developing countries (6). In addition to impacts on mortality, HAP may have long lasting effects on general health and well-being: early exposure to HAP during childhood may stifle lung development, suggesting that the cost of this pollution may continue later in life. In fact, a growing literature indicates that environmental insults at early ages can have long lasting influences on human health and productivity(10).

According to the World Health Organization (WHO) reports, deaths estimated to be related to ambient air pollution globally tripled, from 1.3 million in 2008 to 3.8 million in 2016 (11). More than two million premature deaths each year were related to air pollution. Globally, seven million deaths were attributable to the joint effects of household and ambient air pollution in 2016 (11)(1).

The industrial sector is still developing in Cameroon, so ambient air pollution has not reached health-damaging levels, yet HAP caused an estimated 11,400 premature deaths in Cameroon (12). One of the highest contributors to ambient air pollution in Cameroon is therefore BMF for cooking and space heating.

This study was designed to demonstrate the various cooking fuel types in Cameroon so as to provide real-life information that can guide specific interventions.

Methods

This was a cross-sectional community based study. A pretested questionnaire was administered to heads of households or representatives in randomly selected urban and rural households in the Dschang Health District (DHD) in Cameroon from March to July 2018 to estimate the distribution of sources of household air pollution and characteristics. The DHD is a cosmopolitan district with an estimated population of 221,037 inhabitants in 2018. This district was chosen because of the diversity and big size of its population and ability to compare rural and urban household characteristics. It is made up of 22 Health Areas (HA) classified into urban and rural.

The minimum sample size was estimated assuming a proportion of 50% of the population used biomass (since the prevalence of HAP was unknown, to the best of our knowledge), a 95% confidence level, a 5% relative precision, a cluster effect of 2 and a 20% nonresponse rate.

Multistage stratified random sampling was performed among 22 HA to select 11 HA with equal representation of urban (2/4) and rural (9/18) health areas. Within selected health areas, villages/quarters were selected by simple randomisation from the list of clusters obtained from the National Institute of Statistics. The sample required from each health area was calculated with respect to their representativity in the general population (2018). In villages/quarters, a guide was solicited from the local traditional authorities and the central spot was identified. On the left/right side of the street, one household was targeted after one was skipped till the end of the street. The process was repeated until the expected sample size of households for the village was reached.

A household was defined in this study as one or more persons living together, sharing the same roof and kitchen. All unoccupied buildings were excluded and replaced by the one immediately next to it.

Data collection and management

In targeted households, data was collected from head of households or representative after verbal/signed consent was obtained. Data was collected using a structured questionnaire administered in face to face interview by a trained surveyor. For each household, data was collected on socio demographic information and characteristics of indoor sources of air pollution including fuels used for cooking. Primary cooking fuel was defined as the fuel used mainly by a household for cooking(4). Secondary cooking fuel was defined as the fuel used as a backup for fuel-specific cooking activities by a household.

Resulting forms were verified daily to assess quality and completion. The resulting database was cleaned and analyzed by a statistician using Epi info version 7.2.2 software. Main analysis performed were proportions with a 95% confidence interval and tables were designed using MS-Excel 2013.

Ethical considerations

The proposal of this study was submitted and evaluated by the National Ethics Committee of Human Health Research of Cameroon and approval was given with the reference number **1030**. Prior to this evaluation, authorization was obtained in a signed document from local health authorities of the DHD. For each village/quarter, authorization was obtained from local traditional authorities. Data was collected from consenting households.

Results

Eleven health areas were selected – 2/4 in urban and 9/18 in rural setting - leading to a final sample of 848 households (98% response rate) within 85 villages/quarters. The study sample included 257 urban and 591 rural household. Approximately 80% of respondents were females. The mean age of respondents was 38 (18.8) years, median age was 33 [range: 15 – 97] years. Average household size was 5 (Table 2). Nearly 15% of female respondents had never been to school and 60% of female participants reported peasant farming as their main occupation.

Table 1: Distribution of households reached in targeted health areas with population in 2018 by cluster and setting.

Targeted Health Areas of the study	Urban or Rural	Population size in 2018 (inhabitants)	Clusters (Reached/Expected)	Households reached
<i>Fiala-Foreke (1)</i>	Urban	34,760	20/20	197
<i>Balevouni (2)</i>	Rural	1,856	1/1	12
<i>Nkeuli (3)</i>	Rural	2,691	2/2	19
<i>Fotetsa (4)</i>	Rural	5,128	3/3	28
<i>Maka (5)</i>	Urban	10,804	6/6	60
<i>Fonakeukeu (6)</i>	Rural	5,149	3/3	30
<i>Lepoh (7)</i>	Rural	10,472	6/6	60
<i>Ndoh-Djuttitsa (8)</i>	Rural	13,663	9/9	93
<i>Baleveng (9)</i>	Rural	20,658	12/12	118
<i>Doumbouo (10)</i>	Rural	16,908	10/10	99
<i>Mbeng (11)</i>	Rural	20,508	13/13	132
TOTAL	/	142,597	85/85	848

Table 2: Socio-demographic presentation of the study sample.

<i>Characteristics</i>	<i>Modalities</i>	<i>Urban</i>	<i>Rural</i>	<i>Total</i>	<i>P value</i>
<i>Reached households (n)</i>	/	257	591	848	
<i>Response rate (%)</i>	/	99.2	100	99.8	
<i>Gender</i>	Female	187 (72.8)	481 (81.4)	668 (78.8)	0.005
<i>[n (%)]</i>	Male	70 (27.2)	110 (18.6)	180 (21.2)	
<i>Age of respondent</i> <i>($\mu \pm SD$)</i>	/	31.1 \pm 12.9	41.5 \pm 20.0	38.3 \pm 18.8	< 0.0005
<i>Average household size</i> <i>($\mu \pm SD$)</i>	/	5.4 \pm 2.8	4.7 \pm 2.4	4.9 \pm 2.6	< 0.0005
<i>Mother's level of education [n (%)]</i>	No school	13 (5.5)	96 (16.3)	109 (13.2)	< 0.0005
	Primary	63 (26.7)	307 (52.0)	370 (44.8)	
	Secondary	112 (47.5)	181 (30.7)	293 (35.5)	
	Higher	48 (20.3)	6 (1.0)	54 (6.5)	
<i>Father's level of education [n (%)]</i>	No school	10 (4.4)	103 (18.0)	113 (14.1)	< 0.0005
	Primary	70 (30.6)	275 (48.2)	345 (43.1)	
	Secondary	92 (40.2)	168 (29.4)	181 (32.5)	
	Higher	57 (24.9)	25 (4.4)	6 (10.2)	
<i>Mother's occupation</i> <i>[n (%)]</i>	Housewife	47 (20.0)	29 (4.9)	76 (9.3)	< 0.0005
	Farming	55 (23.4)	452 (77.4)	507 (61.9)	
	Self-employment	69 (29.4)	73 (12.5)	142 (17.3)	
	Civil servant	29 (12.3)	23 (3.9)	52 (6.3)	
	Student	35 (14.9)	7 (1.2)	42 (5.1)	

Main sources of Household air pollution in the DHD

From this study, 90% of households used firewood and about 75% (630 households) of them exclusively used wood. Almost all households (98%) used wood to meet at least some of their cooking needs in rural HAs. Our results also reveal that 25% household have access to domestic gas for cooking, with a higher proportion in urban areas (55.6%) (Table 3). Figure 1 & 2 show the pictures of a charcoal and firewood fuel source, snapped in two separate households enrolled in the study. P-value less than 0.0005 as presented in table 3 means the difference in proportion between urban and rural settings is statistically significant.

Table 3: Distribution of cooking fuel types in the households of the Dschang Health District

<i>Cooking instruments</i>	<i>Urban [n (%)]</i>	<i>Rural [n (%)]</i>	<i>Total [n (%)]</i>	<i>P value</i>
<i>Firewood only*</i>	97 (37.7)	533 (74.3)	630 (74.3)	< 0.0005
<i>Firewood</i>	191 (74.3)	577 (97.8)	768 (90.6)	< 0.0005
<i>Gas only*</i>	38 (14.8)	10 (1.7)	48 (5.7)	< 0.0005
<i>Gas</i>	143 (55.6)	69 (11.7)	212 (25.0)	< 0.0005
<i>Kerosene stove</i>	14 (5.5)	12 (2.0)	26 (3.1)	0.008
<i>Charcoal</i>	33 (12.8)	6 (1.0)	39 (4.6)	< 0.0005
<i>Saw dust</i>	26 (10.1)	6 (1.0)	32 (3.0)	< 0.0005

* Exclusive use of the fuel type

Approximately 75% of households in the DHD use more than one cooking fuel type (Table 4). However, rural areas are significantly less likely to 'stack' fuels (11%).

Table 4: Distribution of multiple cooking fuel type utilization in the Dschang Health District

<i>Number of cooking fuel sources</i>	<i>Urban [n (%)]</i>	<i>Rural [n (%)]</i>	<i>Total [n (%)]</i>	<i>P value</i>
<i>More than one source of cooking fuel</i>	114 (44.4)	67 (11.3)	630 (74.3)	< 0.0005
<i>More than two sources of cooking fuel</i>	29 (11.3)	4 (0.7)	33 (3.9)	< 0.0005
<i>More than three sources of cooking fuel</i>	8 (3.1)	0 (0.0)	8 (0.9)	< 0.0005

It is important to note that no one was found to use an electric cooker or a micro wave.

Factors influencing the choice of cooking fuel type

Nearly 60% of households choose their fuel type based on affordability and only 18% based on availability (Tables 5 and 6). Availability meant the presence of the fuel type in the surroundings of the household.

Table 5: Distribution of reasons influencing choice of cooking fuel type in the Dschang Health District.

<i>Reasons</i>	<i>Urban [n (%)]</i>	<i>Rural [n (%)]</i>	<i>Total [n (%)]</i>	<i>P value</i>
<i>Affordability</i>	130 (50.6)	375 (63.4)	505 (59.5)	< 0.0005
<i>Availability</i>	27 (10.5)	122 (20.6)	149 (17.6)	
<i>Rapidity</i>	44 (17.1)	31 (5.2)	75 (8.8)	
<i>Culture</i>	8 (3.1)	40 (6.8)	48 (5.7)	
<i>Easy to use</i>	33 (12.8)	17 (2.9)	50 (5.9)	
<i>Cleanliness</i>	10 (3.9)	5 (0.8)	15 (1.8)	
<i>Other reason</i>	5 (1.9)	1 (0.2)	6 (0.7)	

Table 6: Distribution of main reasons of choice per main cooking fuel types in the Dschang Health District.

Reasons	Firewood [n (%)]				Gas [n (%)]				Charcoal [n (%)]			
	U	R	T	P value	U	R	T	P value	U	R	T	P value
Affordability	118 (61.8)	373 (64.5)	491 (63.9)		52 (36.4)	37 (53.6)	89 (42.0)		16 (48.5)	4 (66.7)	20 (51.3)	
Culture	8 (4.2)	40 (6.9)	48 (6.2)		4 (2.8)	3 (4.4)	7 (3.3)		0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	
Rapidity	22 (11.5)	28 (4.8)	50 (6.5)	<0.0005	36 (25.2)	9 (13.0)	45 (21.2)	0.08	5 (15.2)	0 (0.0)	5 (12.8)	0.05
Cleanliness	5 (2.6)	3(0.5)	8 (1.0)		8 (5.6)	2 (2.9)	10 (4.7)		4 (12.1)	0 (0.0)	4 (10.3)	
Easy to use	12 (6.3)	13 (2.2)	25 (3.2)		25 (17.5)	6 (8.7)	31 (14.6)		7 (21.2)	0 (0.0)	7 (17.9)	
Availability	24 (12.6)	120 (20.8)	144 (18.7)		15 (10.5)	11 (15.9)	26 (12.3)		1 (3.0)	2 (33.3)	3 (7.7)	
Other reason	2 (1.1)	1 (0.2)	3 (0.4)		3 (2.1)	1 (1.4)	4 (1.9)		0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	

U= Urban; R=Rural; T=Total

Burden of exposure to sources household of air pollution.

Figure 3 shows the frequency of both lone and multiple cooks in households. In 9 out of 10 households interviewed, women were reported to be the main cook. Children were involved in cooking in more than 60% of the households and men in only 7% of the households. It is to be emphasised that only the mothers in 270 (31.84%) and the children in 42(4.95%) were in charge of the cooking.

Food was cooked an average of one time (1.3 ± 0.5) per day in study households, with no variation between rural and urban areas. More than $\frac{3}{4}$ of households have been using their primary fuel type for more than five years (Table 7). Firewood has been used for the entire lifespan in almost all the households.

Table 7: Duration of usage of principal fuel type

Fuel types	Duration	Urban		Rural		Total		P value
		n (%)	(95% CI)	n (%)	(95% CI)	n (%)	(95% CI)	
Firewood	<= 5 yrs	14 (7.3)	(4.1 – 12.0)	9 (1.6)	(0.8 – 2.9)	23 (3.0)	(2.0 – 4.5)	<0.0005
	> 5 yrs	177 (92.7)	(88.0 – 95.9)	569 (98.4)	(97.1 – 99.2)	746 (97.0)	(95.5 – 98.0)	
Gas	<= 5 yrs	40 (28.0)	(20.8 – 36.1)	10 (14.5)	(7.2 – 25.0)	50 (23.6)	(18.0 – 29.9)	0.03
	> 5 yrs	103 (72.0)	(63.9 – 79.1)	59 (85.5)	(75.0 – 92.8)	162 (76.4)	(70.1 – 82.0)	
Charcoal	<= 5 yrs	6 (18.2)	(7.0 – 35.5)	1 (16.7)	(0.4 – 64.1)	7 (17.5)	(7.5 – 33.5)	0.93
	> 5 yrs	27 (81.8)	(64.5 – 93.0)	5 (83.3)	(35.9 – 99.6)	32 (82.0)	(66.5 – 92.5)	
Kerosene	<= 5 yrs	4 (28.6)	(8.4 – 58.1)	1 (8.3)	(0.2– 38.5)	5 (19.2)	(6.5 – 39.3)	0.19
	> 5 yrs	10 (71.4)	(41.9 – 91.6)	11 (91.7)	(61.5 – 99.8)	21 (80.8)	(60.6 – 93.4)	
Saw dust	<= 5 yrs	4 (15.4)	(4.4 – 34.9)	1 (16.7)	(0.4 – 64.1)	5 (15.6)	(5.3– 32.8)	0.94
	> 5 yrs	22 (84.6)	(65.1 – 95.6)	5 (83.3)	(35.9 – 99.6)	27 (84.4)	(67.2 – 94.7)	

Discussion

This study describes the different primary fuel types used by households in a highly populated district in Cameroon. The use of firewood turned to be more dominant comparatively to the use of other fuels, though available. The population preferred to use wood since they found it easy to get, that is either from their farms or comparatively cheaper (pay as you go) with respect to other sources of fuel such as gas since getting gas entails disbursing large amounts of money for an initial kit (gas burner, gas cylinder and accessories).

Sources of household air pollution

Results of this study reveal that firewood is the main biomass fuel type used in the Dschang Health District (90%) with a significant difference between the rural and urban settings. Other fuel types including domestic gas, kerosene, charcoal and sawdust, are also found in households with slight disparities between rural and urban yet 75% of the population strictly rely on firewood for cooking. Approximately 75% of households of the DHD use more than one source of fuel however, this proportion was very low in the rural settings (11.3%).

A study published in Cameroon in 2018 showed 70% of households using solid fuel for cooking with 90% at rural level(13). Another published study conducted in another city of the same region (Bafoussam, which is the regional capital) had much lower reported solid fuel use (48%); the difference can be explained by the fact that it was conducted exclusively in an urban area with a smaller sample of household. It is therefore confirmed that rural households of the DHD in Cameroon have a higher reliance on solid fuels for cooking than urban households.

Other fuel types including domestic gas, kerosene, charcoal and sawdust are available but household access is still very limited (less than 5% at community level) for cooking; electricity is strictly used for no other purposes other than lighting. So, almost all the people living in this area have permanent high risk of developing HAP related diseases.

Interventions targeting increasing population access to non-solid fuel type with consideration of rural and urban disparities can reduce the risk of developing these diseases.

Factors influencing the choice of fuel type

Interviewed head of households or representatives cited a number of factors influencing the choice of their cooking fuel type; affordability (59.5%) and availability (17.6%) were the main reasons. Other concerns were speed of cooking, ease of use, tradition, cleanliness and health and safety. This is in agreement with other studies carried out in low-income areas of India (3–5,14,15). The population preferred to use wood since they found it easy to get, that is either from their farms or comparatively cheaper (pay as you go) with respect to other sources of fuel such as gas since getting gas entails disbursing large amounts of money for an initial kit (gas burner, cylinder and accessories).

To whom the burden of exposure to sources household of air pollution.

Mothers and children were mostly those under this heavy load of HAP. Because of their customary involvement in cooking, especially women's exposure is much higher than men's (3,14,16–18) with children either been carried on the back during cooking hours or laid to sleep on kitchen beds during the cooking process.

These women and children have been exposed to IAP almost all their lives, since almost every HH (92.7 for Urban vs 98.4 for Rural) has been using firewood as their cooking fuel for more than 5years and only 24% of households have used LPG as their source of cooking fuel for the same duration. This is confirmed by data from the National Demographic Health Survey which presented a prevalence of 28.1 percent of acute respiratory infections in children under five in 2014(19). Showing that the continuous exposure of the population to HAP is not leaving their health indifferent. As such, studies to evaluate their effective degree of HAP exposure and interventions to aiding the population to switch from solid fuels to cleaner sources of fuel is imperative.

Strength and Limitations

This study is not without limits which however do not alter the credibility of the data presented. The principal limits are: information bias due to the fact that data collection procedures relied solely on the declaration of participants; Questions on Health Outcomes of HAP could be considered.

Conclusion

This study brings out the use of wood for cooking as the main source of HAP for both urban and rural health areas. The exclusive use of wood was greater in rural communities than in peri-urban communities. The choice of fuel type was mainly price and availability related.

As such, interventions to help households (especially those who are resource poor) to adopt LPG equipment for cooking, and use in a more exclusive way is required. Education could help address some of the concerns over the use of LPG. More studies should be carried out on HAP in other regions of Cameroon so that a true picture of the nation's state as concerns household air pollution be exposed, to bring out the relationship or association between indoor air pollution and respiratory related diseases and other health impacts, and to measure the degree of exposure to indoor air pollution.

List Of Abbreviations

DHD: Dschang Health District

HA: Health Area

HH: Household

HD: Health District

IAP: indoor Air Pollution

ARI: Acute Respiratory Infection

Declarations

Ethics approval and consent to participate

The proposal of this study was submitted and evaluated by the National Ethics Committee of Human Health Research of Cameroon (reference number **1030**). Prior to this evaluation, authorization was obtained from local health authorities of the DHD and for each village/quarter, authorization was obtained from local traditional authorities. Data was collected from consenting households and personal data are not published in this study.

Consent for publication

Not applicable

- **Availability of data and material**

The database of this study is not available online but can be shared on request from the author.

- **Competing interests**

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

- **Funding**

The study was funded by the principal investigator, who was involved in the design of the study and collection, analysis, and interpretation of data and in writing the manuscript.

- **Authors' contributions**

Conception and design of the study and data collection tools: MBE and JA;

Data collection and entry: MBE, WB, HSYS, BDKA, OTMH, CDD, RSFN, MSTG;

Database cleaning and data analysis: APG;

Drafting and editing the manuscript: EMB, APG, DP, BHNM, MPS

All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Acknowledgements

The authors hereby thank all:

The health and traditional authorities for giving them access into their communities;

Interviewees for their patience and collaboration during the data collection.

References

1. Fullerton DG, Semple S, Kalambo F, Suseno A, Malamba R, Henderson G, et al. Biomass fuel use and indoor air pollution in homes in Malawi. *Occup Environ Med*. 2009 Nov;66(11):777–83.
2. Langbein J. Firewood, smoke and respiratory diseases in developing countries—The neglected role of outdoor cooking. *PLoS One* [Internet]. 2017 Jun 28 [cited 2019 May 8];12(6). Available from: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC5489158/>
3. Agrawal S, Yamamoto S. Effect of indoor air pollution from biomass and solid fuel combustion on symptoms of preeclampsia/eclampsia in Indian women. *Indoor Air*. 2015 Jun;25(3):341–52.
4. Manish A, Desai KRS. Indoor smoke from solid fuels Assessing the environmental burden of disease at national and local levels [Internet]. World Health Organization Protection of the Human Environment Geneva 2004; 2004. Available from: https://www.who.int/quantifying_ehimpacts/publications/en/Indoorsmoke.pdf
5. Gupta D, Agarwal R, Aggarwal AN, Maturu VN, Dhooria S, Prasad KT, et al. Guidelines for diagnosis and management of chronic obstructive pulmonary disease: Joint ICS/NCCP (I) recommendations. *Lung India*. 2013 Jan 7;30(3):228.
6. Bruce N, Perez-Padilla R, Albalak R. Indoor air pollution in developing countries: a major environmental and public health challenge. *Bull World Health Organ*. 2000;78(9):1078–92.
7. Forum of International Respiratory Societies. The Global Impact of Respiratory Disease [Internet]. European Respiratory Society; 2017. Report No.: 2nd edition. Available from: https://www.who.int/gard/publications/The_Global_Impact_of_Respiratory_Disease.pdf
8. National Institute of Statistics C. 2018 Demographic and Health Survey [Internet]. Cameroon: National Institute of Statistics; 2019. Available from: <https://dhsprogram.com/pubs/pdf/SR266/SR266.pdf>
9. Tazinya AA, Halle-Ekane GE, Mbuagbaw LT, Abanda M, Atashili J, Obama MT. Risk factors for acute respiratory infections in children under five years attending the Bamenda Regional Hospital in Cameroon. *BMC Pulm Med* [Internet]. 2018 Jan 16 [cited 2020 Sep 16];18. Available from: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC5771025/>
10. Santus P, Russo A, Madonini E, Allegra L, Blasi F, Centanni S, et al. How air pollution influences clinical management of respiratory diseases. A case-crossover study in Milan. *Respir Res*. 2012;13(1):95.
11. World Health Organisation. WORLD HEALTH STATISTICS 2018 [Internet]. WHO; 2018. Available from: <https://apps.who.int/iris/bitstream/handle/10665/272596/9789241565585-eng.pdf?ua=1>
12. Cameroon M of PH. HEALTH SECTOR STRATEGY 2016-2027 [Internet]. 2016. Available from: https://www.minsante.cm/site/sites/default/files/HSS_english_0.pdf
13. Pope D, Bruce N, Higgerson J, Hyseni L, Stanistreet D, Mbatchou B, et al. Household Determinants of Liquefied Petroleum Gas (LPG) as a Cooking Fuel in SW Cameroon. *EcoHealth*. 2018 Dec;15(4):729–43.
14. Behera D, Balamugesh T. Indoor air pollution as a risk factor for lung cancer in women. *J Assoc Physicians India*. 2005 Mar;53:190–2.
15. Pye A, Ronzi S, Mbatchou Ngahane BH, Puzzolo E, Ashu AH, Pope D. Drivers of the Adoption and Exclusive Use of Clean Fuel for Cooking in Sub-Saharan Africa: Learnings and Policy Considerations from Cameroon. *Int J Environ Res Public Health* [Internet]. 2020 Aug [cited 2020 Sep 16];17(16). Available from: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC7459573/>
16. Ranabhat CL, Kim C-B, Kim C-S, Jha N, Deepak KC, Connel FA. Consequence of Indoor Air Pollution in Rural Area of Nepal: A Simplified Measurement Approach. *Front Public Health* [Internet]. 2015 [cited 2019 Jul 6];3. Available from: <https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fpubh.2015.00005/full>
17. Lu C-Y, Kang S-Y, Liu S-H, Mai C-W, Tseng C-H. Controlling Indoor Air Pollution from Moxibustion. *International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health*. 2016 Jun 20;13:612.
18. Cameroon - ministerial outcome.pdf [Internet]. [cited 2019 Jul 21]. Available from: <https://wedocs.unep.org/bitstream/handle/20.500.11822/21238/Cameroon%20-%20ministerial%20outcome.pdf?sequence=3&isAllowed=y>
19. National Institute of Statistics. 2014 Demographic and Health Survey [Internet]. National Institute of Statistics; 2015. Available from: https://mics-surveys-prod.s3.amazonaws.com/MICS5/West%20and%20Central%20Africa/Cameroon/2014/Final/Cameroon%202014%20MICS_French.pdf

Figures



Figure 1

Picture of a charcoal fuel sources, taken in a household enrolled in the study.



Figure 2

Picture of a firewood fuel source at household level. This picture was snapped in a household enrolled in the study.




Figure 3

Distribution (%) of persons in charge of cooking in households of the Dschang Health District.

Supplementary Files

This is a list of supplementary files associated with this preprint. Click to download.

- [QUESTIONNAIRE.pdf](#)
- [STROBEchecklistv4combinedPlosMedicine.pdf](#)