

Responses of biomass accumulation and nutrient utilization along a phosphorus supply gradient in *Leymus chinensis*

Huijun Li

University of Chinese Academy of Sciences

Gongshe Liu

Chinese Academy of Sciences

Jiandong Sheng

Xinjiang Agricultural University

Wentai Zhang

Xinjiang Agricultural University

Hongmei Zhao

Xinjiang Agricultural University

Yutong Hu (✉ hyt@xjau.edu.cn)

Xinjiang Agricultural University

Hongliang Kang

Northwest A&F University

Xiaoguo Zhou

Xinjiang Agricultural University

Article

Keywords: Biomass allocation, *Leymus chinensis*, N:P ratio, Nutrient utilization, Phosphate fertilizer, Phosphorus utilization efficiency

Posted Date: November 1st, 2022

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.21203/rs.3.rs-2171867/v1>

License: © ⓘ This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License.

[Read Full License](#)

Additional Declarations: No competing interests reported.

Version of Record: A version of this preprint was published at Scientific Reports on April 6th, 2023. See the published version at <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-023-31402-4>.

Abstract

Phosphorus (P) deficiencies are widespread in calcareous soils. The poor availability of nitrogen (N) and P in soils often restricts crop growth. However, the effects of P addition on plant growth and plant nutrient transport changes during the establishment of *Leymus chinensis* fields in Xinjiang are not clear. We investigated the responses of *Leymus chinensis* biomass and nutrient absorption and utilization to changes in soil N and P by adding P (0, 15.3, 30.6, and 45.9 kg ha⁻¹ year⁻¹) with basally applied N fertilizer (150 kg ha⁻¹ year⁻¹). The results showed that (a) Principal component analysis (PCA) of biomass, nutrient accumulation, soil available P, and soil available N during the different periods of *Leymus chinensis* growth showed that their cumulative contributions during the jointing and harvest periods reached 95.4% and 88%, respectively. (b) Phosphorus use efficiency (PUE) increased with the increase of P fertilizer gradient and then decreased and the maximum PUE was 13.14% under P₂ treatment. The accumulation of biomass and nutrients in *Leymus chinensis* can be effectively improved by the addition of P fertilizer at P₂. Different P additions either moderately promoted or excessively inhibited *Leymus chinensis* growth and nutrient utilization.

Introduction

China's natural grassland area is approximately 4 million km², accounting for 41.7% of China's total land area [1-2]. Grassland ecosystems, an important type of natural ecosystem, have high geographical value because they maintain ecological balance, influence the regional economy and affect human history [3-4]. In addition, they also play important roles in windbreak provision, sand fixation, soil improvement, climate regulation and inter-regional biodiversity [3]. Xinjiang is one of the five pastoral areas in China, and its grassland area is second only to that in Inner Mongolia [5] (Fig. 1) (obtained from GlobeLand30 2020; <http://www.globallandcover.com/>). However, in recent years, with the rapid development of animal husbandry and the intrusion of human activities into natural spaces, grassland areas have decreased dramatically, their productivity have been reduced [6]. To quickly restore degraded grasslands, numerous researchers have carried out active and effective restoration strategies. For example, Zhang et al [7-8] found that *Leymus chinensis* (Trin.) Tzvel has more advantages than other grass forages when used for artificial grassland restoration and degraded grassland improvement. *Leymus chinensis* is a perennial rhizomatous grass that is widely distributed in the eastern part of the Eurasian steppe belt, in the eastern part of the Songnen Plain, and on the Inner Mongolia Plateau in China [9]. Many studies have shown that *Leymus chinensis* has good palatability, a strong regeneration capacity, long green-holding periods, and large leaf volumes [10]. Because *Leymus chinensis* is rich in the crude fats, crude proteins, and crude fibres required for the growth of cattle and sheep, it is known as the fine grain of forage [11]. Because of its strong adaptability to different living environments and its salinity, infertility, trampling, cold, and drought resistance, *Leymus chinensis* is a high-quality forage grass, especially for grassland restoration [7, 12], and it has great significance for the management and improvement of grassland ecosystems in China [13].

During agricultural production, fertilizers are consistently used to improve crop yields, but the overuse of chemical fertilizers has led to the introduction of excessive quantities of nutrients and to eutrophication in many countries and regions of the world, which eventually lead to the depletion of nutrients. In particular, phosphorus (P) resources, such as inorganic phosphate (Pi), can be absorbed and used by crops [14–15]. Phosphate is involved in energy transfer and the synthesis of macromolecules in cells. A large number of elements and nutrient resources are required for the growth and development of plants [16–18]. Phosphate not only is a component of many important organic compounds in plants but also participates in different forms in the various metabolic processes active in plants [19–20][21–22], so it is necessary to supplement soils with Pi in appropriate amounts during plant growth [23–24]. However, during agricultural production and the growth of plants in the natural environment, only 10%~20% of the available Pi is utilized during the growing season, and the vast majority of Pi is easily fixed by adsorption to soil particles due to the low mobility of P [25]. In calcareous soils controlled by calcium and magnesium, phosphate ions in the soil solution are in the form of HPO_4^{2-} , which chemically interacts with the exchangeable Ca^+ on soil colloids to produce Ca-P compounds [26–27]. Due to widespread soil Pi deficiencies in the grasslands of northern China, Pi availability is closely related to the growth and development of livestock and forage resources [28–29]. A study by Xu et al [30] on southern grasslands showed that the continued application of calcium-magnesium and P fertilizer to grazed grasslands over two years had a significant effect on forage yield and quality in the region. He et al [31] showed that during the early period of growth, forage grasses took up more Pi than was available in the surface soils, and the mass fraction of soil microbial Pi decreased with an increasing soil available Pi content. Roberts et al [22] showed that in grassland ecosystems, the growth and development of most species are often limited by nutrients, especially P, which tends to be the least active major nutrient in most soils [32].

To date, there have been few studies on *Leymus chinensis* in Xinjiang and few reports on the restoration and improvement of artificial *Leymus chinensis* lands by Pi amendment in Xinjiang. There is an urgent need to develop a greater number of forage and livestock areas in the Xinjiang region due to the high demand for forage grasses and the destruction of the ecological balance of grasses due to overgrazing. Therefore, in this study, the predominantly calcareous soils of the Xinjiang grasslands were selected for studying *Leymus chinensis* growth. The growth characteristics and nutrient utilization of *Leymus chinensis* in response to different P application conditions were quantitatively analysed by pot experiments, and the optimal P application for improving the biomass and phosphorus utilization efficiency (PUE) of *Leymus chinensis* was determined to provide a theoretical basis for future plantings of artificial *Leymus chinensis* grasslands and for the restoration of degraded grasslands.

Materials And Methods

Study site and soil sampling

The experiment was conducted in the College of Resources and Environment of Xinjiang Agricultural University from May to October 2020. The tested soil comes from the experimental station of Xinjiang

Agricultural University, Sanping Farm (43°56'N, 87°35'E, altitude 580 m), which is located at the northern foot of the Tianshan Mountains and the southern edge of the Zhunger Basin. The region belongs to the continental semi-arid climate, the annual climate of aridity and little rain, abundant sunshine and light resources, large temperature difference between day and night, long and cold winters, hot and dry summers, Summer temperatures are highest in July and August when the average temperature reaches 23.0°C. The annual average temperature at 8.7°C, and the average annual precipitation is 199.6 mm, while the average annual evaporation is 2647 mm (xihe-energy.com) Physicochemical properties of experimental soil before the start of the experiment are shown in Table 1.

Table 1
Physicochemical properties of the experimental soil before the start of the experiment.

Soil type	pH	AP (mg kg ⁻¹)	OM (g kg ⁻¹)	AN (mg kg ⁻¹)	AK (mg kg ⁻¹)	TN (g kg ⁻¹)
Calcareous soil	8.18 ± 0.21	13.33 ± 0.23	14.60 ± 0.03	45.14 ± 0.10	187.80 ± 0.21	0.62 ± 0.02
Notes: AP, available P; OM: organic matter; AN, available nitrogen; AK, available K; TN, total nitrogen. Values in the table are mean ± standard error.						

Design Of The Pot Experiments

In this experiment, *Leymus chinensis* (Zhongke No.1) was used as the test material, which was collected from the Hutubi experiment site of Xinjiang Jinfangyuan Grassland Ecotourism Development Co., LTD in 2019. The seeds were sieved before sowing to select fuller seeds, and seeds of poor quality were rejected to minimize the impact of poor seed quality on the test results. The soil was pre-treated, air-dried, de-mixed, and sieved before the pot culturing experiments, and the air-dried soil was weighed into pots (20 cm in diameter, 15 cm height) at 4.2 kg per pot. Weighed fertilizer was mixed thoroughly with the soil and added to the pots, and the seeds were sown after 24 h. The same number of seeds was sown into each pot. The seeds were sown at a depth of 2 cm, and the number of plants in each pot was maintained at 30 by thinning seedlings after they had stabilized. During the experiment, the trial factors were strictly controlled to eliminate errors as much as possible. Soil moisture was maintained according to weather changes and to be consistent between treatments.

The experiments were designed with a gradient of four P applications, treatment 1: P₀, no P treatment; treatment 2: P₁, 15.3 kg ha⁻¹ P; treatment 3: P₂, 30.6 kg ha⁻¹ P; and treatment 4: P₃, 45.9 kg ha⁻¹ P, with 12 replicates for each treatment. N fertilizer was used as the base fertilizer, and the same amount of N fertilizer was applied in each treatment to ensure that the basic plant nutrient requirements for N were met. The N fertilizer was applied at 150 kg ha⁻¹ N, and potassium fertilizer was not added during this experiment because of the high content of available potassium in the soil used in the experiment. The N

fertilizers used in the experiment were urea (46% N) and ammonium dihydrogen P (26.64% P, 12% N), provided by Yunnan.

Sample Collection

In this experiment, soil samples and plant samples were collected during the jointing period (June 19, 2020) and during the harvest period (September 29, 2020) for *Leymus chinensis*. Sampling was carried out via a completely destructive sampling method: the soil was emptied from each pot and mixed, and then an appropriate amount of soil was collected using the quartation and passed through a 60-mesh sieve after natural air-drying. The soil physicochemical indices, such as available P and available N, were then measured. After the soil samples were separated from the roots of the plants, the plant was separated from the root and above ground, rinsed with deionized water and put into a kraft paper bags, and oven-dried at 70 °C for 24 h. The dry weight of each part was measured and recorded, and the samples were crushed with a micro-crusher (FZ102) and used for plant nutrient measurements. Each test was repeated three times.

Measurements

The measurement methods were based on "Soil Agrochemical Analysis" [33].

1) Measurements of the soil physical and chemical properties were conducted as follows. The pH of the air-dried soil was measured potentiometrically at a ratio (v/v) of 1:2.5 in distilled water, and then pH metre (FE22-Standard, Mettler, Shanghai) was used for pH determination. Soil organic matter (OM) was measured by an external heating method using potassium dichromate. Available P (AP) was determined by the sodium bicarbonate-molybdenum-antimony resistance calorimetric method. Soil available K (AK) was determined by ammonium acetate extraction-flame photometry. Available N (AN) was determined by the alkaline hydrolysis diffusion method. Soil total P (TP) was determined by the molybdenum-antimony resistance calorimetric method.

2) Plant nutrients were measured as follows. Plant tissue was digested by the H_2SO_4 - H_2O_2 method; then, plant total phosphorus (PTP) was determined by the vanadium molybdate yellow colorimetric method (Parkinson and Allen, 2009; Wei 2009), and plant total nitrogen (PTN) was determined by the Kjeldahl method [34–35].

Calculation Method

The indicators for P accumulation, P fertilizer partial productivity (PFPP) and PUE for each tissue of *Leymus chinensis* under the different P application conditions were calculated according to the following equations [36–37]:

$$PA = Dw \times Pc (1)$$

$$NA = Dw \times Nc (2)$$

$$PFPP = \frac{Dw}{Pa}$$

3

$$PUE = \frac{PA - P_0}{Pa} \times 100\%$$

4

where PA and NA are the P accumulation and N accumulation (mg pot^{-1}), respectively, Dw is the dry weight of the aboveground and belowground biomass, Pc and Nc are the percentages of P and N contents of the treatments, respectively, PFPP is the phosphorus fertilizer partial productivity; P_0 is the P accumulation of control; Pa is the P application.

Data Analyses

The data of Xinjiang land-use type were obtained from GlobeLand30 2020 (<http://www.globallandcover.com/>). Meteorological data were obtained from the the Xihe Energy Big Data Platform (xihe-energy.com). The differences in soil available P, available N, biomass, and nutrient accumulation in the different organs of *Leymus chinensis* were tested using one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA). All ANOVAs were tested for significance at $P < 0.05$. The test data were compiled using Microsoft Excel 2007 and analysed for significant differences and correlation using SPSS 19.0 (IBM, Chicago, IL) statistical software, and graphical analyses were performed using Origin 2021 (Systat Software, Sanjose, CA). Principal component analysis (PCA) was conducted on biomass, nutrient accumulation, and soil available P and N during the different periods of *Leymus chinensis* growth. The data in the graphs are expressed as the mean ($n = 3$) \pm standard error.

Permission Statement

This is a permission from College of Resources and Environment of Xinjiang Agricultural University to collect samples of *Leymus chinensis* (Zhongke No.1) from the Hutubi experiment site of Xinjiang Jinfangyuan Grassland Ecological Technology Development Co., LTD, were complied with relevant institutional, nation, and international guidelines and legislation and transferring them safely to physicochemical analysis to Xinjiang Agricultural University, College of Resources and Environment. The *Leymus chinensis* (Zhongke No.1) used in the study was identified by National Grass Variety Council. The voucher specimen of the *Leymus chinensis* (Zhongke No.1) is not deposited in the public herbarium.

Results

Responses of biomass accumulation and nutrient allocation to different P treatments

Effect of different P application gradients on biomass

The aboveground biomass, belowground biomass, and total biomass of *Leymus chinensis* showed an increasing and then decreasing trend with increasing P applications during the jointing period and the harvest period and the aboveground biomass, belowground biomass, and total biomass reached a maximum at a 30.6 kg ha⁻¹ P application ($p < 0.05$; Fig. 2). The biomass of each component was lowest at P₀, and there was a significant difference between the P₀ and P₂ treatments. The accumulation of aboveground biomass was greater than that of belowground biomass during the jointing period and harvest period, and in terms of the effect on biomass accumulation, the P application treatments were ordered P₂ > P₃ > P₁ > P₀.

During the jointing period, the aboveground biomass differed significantly between the P₂, P₃, P₁, and P₀ treatments. It increased by 53.75%, 163.75%, and 155% along the P application gradient (P₁, P₂ and P₃) compared with P₀. The accumulation of belowground biomass was significantly different in the P₁, P₂, and P₃ treatments compared with the P₀ treatment, increasing by 12.44%, 22.28%, and 22.28%, respectively. The trend of total biomass accumulation was consistent with that of the accumulation of aboveground biomass, which was significantly different between the P₂ and P₀ treatments and 136.36% higher in the P₂ treatment than in P₀. During the harvest period, the accumulation of aboveground biomass was significantly different between P₀ and each P application, and the accumulation of aboveground biomass increased by 13.80%, 35.29%, and 21.84% along the gradient. P₂ was significantly different compared with P₀, P₁, and P₃, and the accumulation of belowground biomass was significantly different between each P application along the gradient and P₀. The belowground biomass increased by 8.23%, 19.97%, and 15.55% in P₀, P₂, and P₃ compared with P₁; the accumulation of total biomass was significantly different in P₀ compared with P₁, P₂, and P₃; and the accumulation of total biomass increased by 12.26%, 31.05% and 20.10% at each P application along the gradient compared with P₀.

As shown in Fig. 3, the accumulation of aboveground biomass reached 4.64%~9.77% of the overall aboveground biomass accumulation over the reproductive period, while the remaining 95.36%~90.23% of the aboveground biomass accumulation occurred mainly after the jointing period. The accumulation of aboveground biomass occurred mainly during the early period of *Leymus chinensis* growth when it reached 90% at 30.6 ~ 45.9 kg ha⁻¹ P application, and the accumulation of belowground biomass gradually increased with the progression of the reproductive period. The biomass distribution for each group was not greatly affected by the P applications. The root-shoot ratio of *Leymus chinensis* during the harvest period was the lowest at a P application of 30.6 kg ha⁻¹, which was significantly different from

that in P_0 , P_1 , and P_3 ($p < 0.05$), and the root-shoot ratio showed a decreasing and then increasing trend with the increase in P application along the gradient. Compared with that in P_0 , the root-shoot ratio of *Leymus chinensis* under P application (P_1 , P_2 , and P_3) decreased by 5.22%, 10.53%, and 5.26% ($p < 0.05$; Fig. 2b), respectively.

Effect of different P application treatments on nutrient accumulation in *Leymus chinensis*

P accumulation in the belowground and aboveground parts of *Leymus chinensis* showed a trend of increasing and then decreasing with increasing P application, and the P accumulation in the belowground and aboveground parts of *Leymus chinensis* significantly increased with the application of 30.6 ~ 45.9 kg ha⁻¹ P ($p < 0.05$; Fig. 4a-b). The accumulation of P was greatest for the P_2 and P_3 treatment during the jointing period. Moreover, the accumulation of P in all parts of *Leymus chinensis* significantly increased for P_2 and P_3 compared with P_0 and P_1 . Specifically, the accumulation of P in the aboveground parts increased by 97.33% and 80.94% in P_2 and P_3 , respectively, compared with P_1 , and the accumulation of P belowground significantly increased for P_0 compared with the P_2 and P_3 treatments. The accumulation of aboveground P increased significantly in P_2 and P_3 compared with P_0 , with the largest increase occurring in P_2 . The accumulation of aboveground P increased with increasing P application during the harvest period, reaching a maximum in P_3 . In addition, the accumulation of aboveground P increased significantly in each P application along the gradient compared with P_0 , increasing by 36.44%, 71.83%, and 72.29%, respectively, and the accumulation of belowground P increased significantly for P_2 and P_3 compared with the P_0 and P_1 treatments.

The aboveground, belowground, and total N accumulation of *Leymus chinensis* showed a trend of increasing and then decreasing with the increase in P application during the jointing period, showing the highest values in the P_2 treatment ($P < 0.05$; Fig. 4c), and the aboveground, belowground and total N accumulation increased significantly for each P application treatment compared with P_0 . The belowground accumulation of N increased by 21.98%, 57.81%, and 55.17% for each P application treatment along the gradient compared with P_0 . The accumulations of total N in P_2 and P_3 were significantly higher than that in the low P treatment (P_1) by 76.09% and 67.81%, respectively. The accumulation of aboveground N, belowground N, and total N was not significantly different among the P application treatments during the harvest period. The accumulation of N in each part showed a trend of increasing and then decreasing with increasing P application, and the accumulation of N was highest in P_3 ($p < 0.05$; Fig. 4d). The accumulation of total N increased significantly in P_2 and P_3 , and it increased by 11.98%, 53.53%, and 28.59% in the P application treatments along the gradient compared with P_0 .

The Relationship Between The Observed Indices

The two principal components that could explain the effect of P application on the biomass, nutrients, soil available P, and available N accumulation of *Leymus chinensis* were extracted and plotted using the

criterion of an eigenvalue > 1. These results indicate that P application in the presence of sufficient N fertilization has a positive effect on the biomass, nutrient accumulation, soil available P, and available N accumulation of *Leymus chinensis*. The amount of variation explained by PC1 was 91.5% and that by PC2 was 3.9% for the jointing period, with a cumulative contribution of 95.4%. Therefore, the first two principal components reflected the true influence of P applications on the indicators of *Leymus chinensis* biomass and nutrient accumulation and soil available P and available N ($p < 0.05$; Fig. 5a). For the harvest period, PC1 and PC2 explained 88.0% of the correlation between P application and *Leymus chinensis* biomass accumulation, *Leymus chinensis* N and P accumulation, and soil available P and available N accumulation ($p < 0.05$; Fig. 5b).

Dependence Of Nutrient Uptake Capacity On Soil Nutrient Concentrations

The P accumulation in plants was positively correlated with the accumulation of available P in the soil during each growth period of *Leymus chinensis* ($p < 0.05$; Fig. 6), indicating that the changes in available P accumulation in the soil were closely related to the P uptake in each tissue of *Leymus chinensis*. Under certain conditions, the available P in the soil facilitated P uptake and utilization by *Leymus chinensis*, and this effect was significant. The relationship between P uptake by the aboveground and belowground parts and the amount of available P in the soil was stronger during the jointing period than during the harvest period, and the fit values of $R^2 = 0.888$ and $R^2 = 0.907$ were higher than those of $R^2 = 0.815$ and 0.884 during the harvest period.

As shown in Fig. 7, the differences in N:P ratios between the aboveground and belowground parts and the total plant N:P ratios between treatments were not significant, and the total N:P ratio of the belowground parts and plants of *Leymus chinensis* was less than 10. The N:P ratio of the aboveground parts fluctuated around 10, with values > 10 for P_0 and P_2 (Fig. 7a). In contrast, in the belowground parts of *Leymus chinensis*, the N:P ratio was the lowest, with values less than 5 (Fig. 7b). The N:P ratio of *Leymus chinensis* was close to 10 (Fig. 7c), and the N:P ratio decreased between growth stages in the order of jointing period > harvest period. With an increase in P application, the N:P ratio showed a decreasing trend for *Leymus chinensis* during the harvest period, decreasing by 11.46%, 9.15%, and 18.38% in P_1 , P_2 , and P_3 , respectively, compared with P_0 .

A highly significant linear regression relationship between biomass accumulation and P concentration was fitted for *Leymus chinensis* ($p < 0.05$; Fig. 8a-b). The fitted equations for the aboveground biomass versus plant P concentrations and the belowground biomass versus plant P concentrations during the jointing period for *Leymus chinensis* were $y = 0.019 + 345.744x$ ($R^2 = 0.981$) and $y = 0.057 + 11.207x$ ($R^2 = 0.865$), respectively, and those during the harvest period were $y = 3.156 + 133.003x$ ($R^2 = 0.886$) and $y = 1.625 + 29.733x$ ($R^2 = 0.875$), respectively. Overall, the R^2 values for *Leymus chinensis* P accumulation and aboveground biomass were higher than those for the relationships between the accumulation of belowground biomass and *Leymus chinensis* P accumulation.

Effect Of Different P Applications On Pfpp And Pue

The phosphate fertilizer partial productivity (PFPP) varied considerably during the different periods of *Leymus chinensis* growth, and it showed a decreasing trend with increasing P application during the same growth period, reaching a maximum in the 15.3 kg ha⁻¹ P treatment, with values of 9.44 g g⁻¹ and 178.83 g g⁻¹ for the jointing period and harvest period, respectively (Table 2). During the jointing period, the PFPP decreased by 18.18% and 47.42% in P₂ and P₃, respectively, compared with P₁, while during the harvest period, the PFPP decreased significantly among the treatments and was lowest in P₃, with decreases of 41.01% and 64.24% for P₂ and P₃, respectively, compared with P₁. The PUE increased and then decreased along the P application gradient during the jointing period and the harvest period of *Leymus chinensis*, the PUE for each growth period reached a maximum value at P₂, and the lowest PUE for each growth period of *Leymus chinensis* occurred at P₃ ($p < 0.05$; Table 2). During the jointing period, the difference in PUE along the P application gradient was not significant, and the PUE in P₂ was comparable to that in P₁. The PUE increased by 68.51% between P₂ and P₁ and decreased by 0.46% between P₃ and P₀. In contrast, in the harvest period, the PUE was significantly different between P₂ and P₃.

Table 2

Nutrient accumulation in the aboveground and belowground parts of *Leymus chinensis* under different P gradients.

Treatment	Jointing period		Harvesting period	
	PFPP(g.g ⁻¹)	PUE (%)	PFPP(g.g ⁻¹)	PUE (%)
P ₀	-	-	-	-
P ₁	9.44a	0.77a	173.83a	10.30ab
P ₂	7.73ab	1.29a	102.53b	13.14a
P ₃	4.97b	0.76a	62.17c	7.46b

Notes: PFPP, phosphate fertilizer partial productivity; PUE, phosphorus utilization efficiency. Different letters in the same column indicate significant differences between treatments at $p < 0.05$. '-' mean not measured.

Discussion

Effect of different P applications on the growth of *Leymus chinensis*

The results of this study showed that the biomass accumulation of *Leymus chinensis* increased significantly under each P application treatment, and the best accumulation of biomass in each fraction was achieved under 30.6 kg ha⁻¹ P application. There was a close relationship between crop biomass and the nutrient content of the soil (Fig. 5). The nutrients required for crop growth come from the

nutrients in the soil, but when the nutrients in the soil are not sufficient to meet the crop growth requirements, they need to be added artificially [38]. For example, crops adapt to nutrient deficiencies by changing the amount of nutrients allocated to each organ, as shown by changes in biomass accumulation and root-to-crown ratios [39].

The results of this study showed that additional P fertilization significantly increased the accumulation of belowground biomass in *Leymus chinensis*, indicating that additional P fertilization improves crop root growth (Fig. 2a-b), which may be due to changes caused by the stimulatory effect of P addition on the plant growth process. P affects crops by participating in the synthesis of macromolecules and by contributing to a variety of important metabolic processes, which in turn affects crop yield [40]. However, the distribution of biomass among the various parts of the crops was not affected by the amount of P applied. Zhang et al [41] showed that the proportion of accumulated biomass in each part of maize does not change with the amount of P applied, and the proportions of accumulated biomass are generally similar among growth periods, while changes in the amount of P applied to affect only the amount of accumulated biomass. This indicates that, within a reasonable range of P applications, supplementing the P in the soil through artificial P amendments can promote the growth and development of the crop. Combined with the observed effect of P applications on the nutrient uptake and P fertilizer utilization in *Leymus chinensis*, these results further clarified that nutrient uptake was better at a P application rate of 30.6 kg ha⁻¹, which in turn promoted the growth of *Leymus chinensis*.

Effect of different P applications on the nutrient uptake and N:P ratios of *Leymus chinensis*

During the growth of *Leymus chinensis*, nutrient uptake by each organ shows a dynamic process, and with the progression of the reproductive period, each organ has different nutrient requirements at different growth periods [29, 42]. The amount of N and P accumulation in each organ of the plant can characterize the ability of the plant to absorb and utilize nutrients in the soil. This study showed that with an increase in P application, both P and N accumulation in *Leymus chinensis* increased and then decreased, and the nutrient accumulation levels reached a maximum value at a P application rate of 30.6 kg ha⁻¹, although there was also an increase under a P application rate of 45.9 kg ha⁻¹. However, the difference was not significant compared with the 30.6 kg ha⁻¹ P treatment, and nutrient accumulation even tended to decrease slightly under high-P conditions, indicating that the addition of P to the soil under low-initial P conditions helped promote biomass accumulation, while under high-P conditions, the growth and development of plants were negatively affected. Studies have shown that the N:P ratio can be an important indicator for evaluating crop limitations of N and P accumulation; crop growth is usually limited by N and P accumulation when N:P < 10 and > 20 and not inhibited when 10 < N:P < 20 [43]. The results of this study showed that in the aboveground parts of *Leymus chinensis*, the N:P ratio was > 10 among all the treatments except for the P₁ and P₃ treatments at harvest time, indicating that *Leymus chinensis* was less affected by N and P accumulation under P application conditions. In contrast, the N:P ratio < 10 in the root system of *Leymus chinensis* indicated that root growth was limited by N and P accumulation, but overall, the N:P ratio was close to 10, indicating that *Leymus chinensis* was not

affected by N and P accumulation. It has also been shown that the average N:P ratio of terrestrial plants under natural conditions is 12 ~ 13 [44]. In contrast, the N:P ratio obtained in this study was 8 ~ 12, which may differ greatly due to the geographical distribution of the terrestrial plants in this study because most of the soils in Xinjiang are calcareous and have low fertility, thus decreasing the N:P of local terrestrial plants. When soil N:P accumulation inhibits the growth of crops, proper manipulation of the soil N:P ratio will further promote the growth and development of crops [45].

Effect Of Different P Applications On P Fertilizer Utilization

The results of this study showed that the accumulation of aboveground nutrients was higher than that of belowground nutrients throughout the reproductive period of *Leymus chinensis*, which is similar to the results of Marque et al [46]. The accumulation of nutrients in tobacco plants was promoted by P application, and the accumulation of nutrients in the leaves of tobacco plants was higher than that in the roots. During each reproductive period of *Leymus chinensis*, with an increase in P application, the aboveground, belowground and total P accumulation showed an increasing and then decreasing trend, indicating the promotion of nutrient uptake and accumulation in the range of 0 ~ 30.6 kg ha⁻¹ P application, although the accumulation of P also increased under P₃ compared with no P treatment. However, considering economic efficiency and environmental issues, the optimal P application should be approximately 30.6 kg ha⁻¹ P in future experiments. This is similar to the results of Xie et al [47], who showed that the accumulation and uptake of P from soils can be promoted by P treatment. Other studies have shown that the accumulation of P in wheat plants increases with an increase in P application during all fertility periods within a certain range of P applications [48]. The results of this study showed that the uptake of elements such as N, P, and K involves dynamic processes that change during the fertile period, and the highest accumulation of each nutrient and the maximum amount of P accumulation were reached during the harvest period in *Leymus chinensis*. This is contrary to the results of the investigation by Peng et al on the dynamic change patterns of P in *Leymus chinensis* pastures. Their research showed that the P accumulation of *Leymus chinensis* was highest during the spring growing period because of the preferential transport of P to the growth organs of the plants. In the present study, the P accumulation in the aboveground and belowground parts of *Leymus chinensis* increased with the progression of the reproductive period because of P addition, and P accumulation decreased during the harvest period.

The results of this study indicated that the PFPP decreased gradually with increasing P applications (15.3 ~ 45.9 kg ha⁻¹) and was lowest at 45.9 kg ha⁻¹ P, and the difference between the P₃ and P₁ treatments was significant (Table 2). This indicated that the addition of P adversely affected the PFPP. Similar results were found in a study by Fu et al [13], where the PFPP was reduced by P application measures. The recovery efficiency of fertilizers applied to the soil can be reflected in the fertilizer utilization efficiency [49-50]. The results of this study indicated that with the increase in P application, the PUE tended to increase and then decrease, and the PUE of *Leymus chinensis* in the P₁ treatment was higher than that in the P₃ treatment. This illustrated that the PUE can increase with an increase in P

applied within a certain range, but beyond that range, it instead tends to decrease the PUE, even more so than the treatment with low P application. The PUE was even lower than that in the low-P treatment (P_1), and the economic and environmental benefits should be considered during future fertilization processes. This is consistent with the findings of Xing et al.^[36] that excessive application of P resulted in lower yields and smaller economic benefits. Thus, reasonable application of fertilizer is fundamental for ensuring appropriate fertilizer utilization, and an increased application of P fertilizer within a certain range can promote nutrient uptake and accumulation in crops and thus improve the PUE^[51–52].

Conclusions

Our results demonstrated that excessive phosphorus application is not beneficial for the growth of *Leymus chinensis* but will cause resources wastage and a series of negative impacts on the environment. Although the promotion effect was observed at the phosphorus application rate of 30.6 kg ha⁻¹ and 45.9 kg ha⁻¹, the promotion effect was not obvious at the phosphorus application rate of 45.9 kg ha⁻¹. Considering the economic cost, it is proposed to recommend the phosphorus application rate of 30.6 kg ha⁻¹ for fertilization. However, this study was carried out in pots, the conditions of which differ from actual production conditions. In future studies, a large number of field trials should be carried out for further verification, and attention should also be given to combinations that optimize resource utilization and are environmentally friendly.

Declarations

Acknowledgments

We thank the editor and the reviewers for constructive feedback on this manuscript, which has improved the clarity and potential impact of the review.

Authors' contributions

All authors contributed intellectual input, provided study assistance and prepared the manuscript. Yutong Hu conceived the idea and designed the study. Huijun Li and Xiaoguo Zhou collected and analyzed the data with the help of Hongliang Kang. We thank Gongshe Liu, Jiandong Sheng, Wentai Zhang, and Hongmei Zhao who helped guide the manuscript. The first draft of the manuscript was written by Huijun Li and all authors commented on previous versions of the manuscript. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Data availability

The datasets generated and/or analyzed during the current study are available in the GlobeLand30 2020 [<http://www.globallandcover.com/>], and the Meteorological data were obtained from the Xihe Energy Big Data Platform [xihe-energy.com].

Funding

This work was funded by the Xinjiang Uygur Autonomous Region Natural Science Foundation Youth Project (2019D01B19), and the Postdoctoral station of Grass Science, Xinjiang Agricultural University.

Conflict of interest

The authors declare no competing interests and relevant financial or non-financial interests to disclose.

Ethical Approval

Ethics approval was not required for this research.

References

1. Ni, J. *et al.* Modelling the vegetation of China using the process-based equilibrium terrestrial biosphere model BIOME3. *Glob Ecol Biogeogr.* **9**, 463–479, <https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1365-2699.2000.00206.x> (2000).
2. Xin, Y. Z., Jun, Z. Z. & Shi, X. G. Net value of grassland ecosystem services in mainland China. *Land Use Policy.* **79**, 94-101. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.landusepol.2018.07.043> (2018).
3. Fang, J. Y.; Geng, X. Q.; Zhao, X.; Shen, H. H. & Hu, H. F. How many areas of grasslands are there in China (in Chinese)? *Chin Sci Bull.* **63**, 1731–1739. <https://doi.org/10.1360/N972018-00032> (2018).
4. Han, Z. X. *et al.* Grassland ecosystem responses to climate change and human activities within the Three-river headwaters region of China. *Sci Rep.***8**, 9079. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-018-27150-5> (2018).
5. Jiang, P. *et al.* Climate and anthropogenic influences on the spatiotemporal change in degraded grassland in China. *Environ. Eng. Sci.* **38**, 1065-1077, <https://doi.org/10.1089/ees.2020.0541> (2021).
6. Yang, T. *et al.* Divergent responses of plant biomass and its allocation to the altered precipitation regimes among different degraded grasslands in China. *Plant Soil.* **473**, 149–166. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11104-021-05029-1> (2021).
7. Liu, G. S.; Li, X. X.; Qi, Q. M.; Chen, S. Y. & Cheng, L. Q. Evaluation and utilization of *Leymus chinensis* germplasm resources, *Chinese Sci. Bull.***61**(2), 271-281 (2016).
8. Zhang, F. *et al.* *Trichoderma* improves the growth of *Leymus chinensis*. *Biol Fertil Soils.* **54**, 685–696. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00374-018-1292-7> (2018).
9. Taogetao, B. *et al.* Effects of mowing regimes and climate variability on hay production of *Leymus chinensis* (Trin.) Tzvelev grassland in northern China. *Rangeland Journal.* **36**, 593-600 (2014).
10. Liu, G.; Qi, D.; Dong, X.; Liu, H. & Liu, S. *Basic Knowledge of Sheepgrass (Leymus chinensis): An Environmentally Friendly Native Grass for Animals.* Springer, Singapore. pp.1-51. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-981-13-8633-6_1 (2019).

11. Peng, X. J. *et al.* Improved drought and salt tolerance of *Arabidopsis thaliana* by transgenic expression of a novel DREB gene from *Leymus chinensis*. *Plant Cell Rep.* **30**(8), 1493-1502. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00299-011-1058-2> (2011).
12. Li, L. *et al.* Physiological and biochemical characterization of sheepgrass (*Leymus chinensis*) reveals insights into photosynthetic apparatus coping with low-phosphate stress conditions. *J. Plant Biol.* **59**(4), 336-346. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s12374-016-0117-1> (2016)
13. Fu, Y. *et al.* Effect of water stress on ecosystem photosynthesis and respiration of a *Leymus chinensis* steppe in Inner Mongolia. *Sci China Ser D.***49**, 196–206. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11430-006-8196-3> (2006).
14. Macdonald, G. K. *et al.* Agronomic phosphorus imbalances across the world's croplands. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA.* **108**(7), 3086-3091. <https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1010808108> (2011).
15. Gilbert, N. Environment: The disappearing nutrient. *Nature.* **461**(7265), 716-718. <https://doi.org/10.1038/461716a> (2009).
16. Roberts, T. L. & Johnston, A. E. Phosphorus use efficiency and management in agriculture. *Resour Conserv Recy.* **105**, 275-281. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.resconrec.2015.09.013> (2015).
17. Assuero, S.G.; Mollier, A. & Pellerin, S. The decrease in growth of phosphorus-deficient maize leaves is related to a lower cell production. *Plant, Cell & Environment.* **27**(7). <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-3040.2004.01194.x> (2004).
18. Wang, Y.; Chi, S. Y.; Ning, T. Y.; Tian, S. Z. & Zeng, J. L. Coupling effects of irrigation and phosphorus fertilizer applications on phosphorus uptake and use efficiency of winter wheat. *J Integr Agric.* **12**(2), 263-272. [https://doi:10.1016/S2095-3119\(13\)60225-7](https://doi:10.1016/S2095-3119(13)60225-7) (2013).
19. Li, L. *et al.* Comparative study reveals insights of sheepgrass (*Leymus chinensis*) coping with phosphate-deprived stress condition. *Front Plant Sci.* **10**, 170. <https://doi/10.3389/fpls.2019.00170> (2019).
20. Cornish, P. S. Research directions: Improving plant uptake of soil phosphorus, and reducing dependency on input of phosphorus fertiliser. *Crop Pasture Sci.* **60**(2), 190-196. <https://doi.org/10.1071/CP08920> (2009).
21. Hasan, M. M. *et al.* Regulation of phosphorus uptake and utilization: transitioning from current knowledge to practical strategies. *Cell Mol Biol Lett.* **21**, 7. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s11658-016-0008-y> (2016).
22. Roberts, J.A.; Evan, D.; Mcmanus, M.T. & Rose, J. 'omics' approaches towards understanding plant phosphorus acquisition and use. *Annual Plant Reviews.* **48**, 65–97. <https://doi/10.1002/9781119312994.apr0518> (2018).
23. Grant, C. A.; Flaten, D. N.; Tomasiewicz, D. J & Sheppard. S. C. The importance of early season phosphorus nutrition. *Can. J. Plant Sci.***81**(2), 211-224. <https://doi/10.4141/P00-093> (2001).
24. Elser, J. J. Phosphorus: a limiting nutrient for humanity? *Curr Opin Biotechnol.* **23**(6), 833-838. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.copbio.2012.03.001> (2012).

25. Gong, H. *et al.* Toward the sustainable use of mineral phosphorus fertilizers for crop production in China: From primary resource demand to final agricultural use. *Sci Total Environ.* **804**, 150183. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2021.150183> (2022).
26. Huang, C. Y. & Xu, J. M. *Soil Science*. 3rd ed.; Chinese Agriculture Press: Beijing, China. pp. 202-207 (2010).
27. Brady, N. C. & Weil, R. R. *The Nature and Properties of Soils*. Macmillan Company, America. pp. 643-654 (2016).
28. Fu, L. Q. & Zhu, T. C. Phosphorus budgets and cycling in *Leymus chinensis* grassland. *Chinese J Plant Ecol.* **18**(3), 290-296 (1994).
29. Peng, Y. M.; Cui, X. Y.; Ma H. L. & Cheng, D. Study on dynamic changes of phosphorus in *Leymus chinensis* grassland. *China Herbivore Science.* **6**:35-36 (2004).
30. Xu, S. C. *et al.* Study of the pasture improvement by Ca Mg P application. *Pratacultural Science.* **S1**, 13-17 (2000).
31. He, Z. & Zhu, J. Transformation kinetics and potential availability of specifically-sorbed phosphate in soils. *Nutrient Cycling in Agroecosystems.* **51**, 209–215. <https://doi.org/10.1023/A:1009749619865> (1998).
32. George, T. S. *et al.* Organic phosphorus in the terrestrial environment: a perspective on the state of the art and future priorities. *Plant Soil.* **427**(1-2):191-208. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11104-017-3391-x> (2018).
33. Bao, S. D. *Soil and Agro-chemistry Analysis*. 3rd ed. China Agriculture Press: Beijing, China (2000)
34. Poorter, H. & Navas, M. L. Plant Growth and Competition at Elevated CO₂: On Winners, Losers and Functional Groups. *New Phytologist.* **157**(2), 175-198, <https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1469-8137.2003.00680.x> (2003).
35. Wu, W. *et al.* Investigation of ecological factors controlling quality of flue-cured tobacco (*Nicotiana tabacum* L.) using classification methods. *Ecological Informatics.* **16**, 53-61. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecoinf.2013.04.008> (2013).
36. Xing, D.; Li, S. W.; Xia, B. & Wen, H. D. Effects of phosphorus fertilization on yield of winter wheat and utilization of soil nitrogen. *Chinese J Ecol.* **26**(2), 437-442. <https://doi:10.13287/j.1001-9332.20141223.009> (2015).
37. Bhatta, B. B. *et al.* Improvement of phosphorus use efficiency in rice by adopting image-based phenotyping and tolerant indices. *Front Plant Sci.* **12**: 717107. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fpls.2021.717107> (2021).
38. Smith, F. W. The phosphate uptake mechanism. *Plant Soil.* **245**(1), 235-244. <https://doi.org/10.1023/A:1020660023284> (2002).
39. Li, F. L. *et al.* Vertical fine-root distributions in five subalpine forest types shifts with soil properties across environmental gradients. *Plant Soil.* **456**(1), 129-143, <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11104-020-04706-x> (2020).

40. Mubeen, K. *et al.* Integrated phosphorus nutrient sources improve wheat yield and phosphorus use efficiency under sub humid conditions. *PLOS One*. **16**(10), e0255043. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0255043> (2021).
41. Zhang, W. *et al.* Contrasting patterns of accumulation, partitioning, and remobilization of biomass and phosphorus in a maize cultivar. *Crop J*. **10**(1), 254-261, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cj.2021.02.014> (2022).
42. Li, H. J. *et al.* Growth and photosynthetic physiological characteristics of *Leymus chinensis* in response to applications of phosphorus at differing intensities. *Pratacultural Science*. **38**(10), 2041-2049 (2021).
43. Güsewell, S. N:P ratios in terrestrial plants: variation and functional significance. *New Phytol*. **164**(2), 243-266. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1469-8137.2004.01192.x> (2010).
44. Magnus, F. *et al.* Terrestrial plants require nutrients in similar proportions. *Tree Physiol*. **24**(4), 447-460. <https://doi.org/10.1093/treephys/24.4.447> (2004).
45. Magill, A. H. *et al.* Long-term nitrogen additions and nitrogen saturation in two temperate forests. *Ecosystems*. **3**(3), 238-253, <https://doi.org/10.1007/s100210000023> (2000).
46. Marques, D. J. *et al.* Variation in the dynamic of absorption and efficiency of phosphorus use in tomato. *Sci. Rep.* **12**(1) <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-022-08337-3> (2022).
47. Xie, C. S. *et al.* The phosphorus fractions and alkaline phosphatase activities in sludge. *Bioresour. Technol*. **102**(3), 2455-2461. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biortech.2010.11.011> (2011).
48. Noonari, S. *et al.* Effect of different levels of phosphorus and method of application on the growth and yield of wheat. *Natl. Sci. Rev.* **8**(7)305-314, <https://dx.doi.org/10.4236/ns.2016.87035> (2016).
49. Jalali, M.; Farahani, E.A. & Jalali, M. Simulating phosphorus leaching from two agricultural soils as affected by different rates of phosphorus application based on the geochemical model PHREEQC. *Environ. Monit. Assess.* **194**(3), 1-16, <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10661-022-09828-6> (2022).
50. Wang, H. D. *et al.* Coupling effects of water and fertilizer on yield, water and fertilizer use efficiency of drip-fertigated cotton in northern Xinjiang, China, *Field Crops Res*. **219**, 169-179, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fcr.2018.02.002> (2018).
51. Li, J. M. *et al.* High starch accumulation mechanism and phosphorus utilization efficiency of duckweed (*Landoltia punctata*) under phosphate starvation. *Ind Crops Prod.* **167**(2), 113529. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.indcrop.2021.113529> (2021).
52. Xin, L. Chemical fertilizer rate, use efficiency and reduction of cereal crops in China, 1998–2018. *J. Geogr. Sci.* **32**(1), 65-78. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11442-022-1936-2> (2022).

Figures




Figure 1

Types of land cover in Xinjiang




Figure 2

Effects of different P gradients on biomass accumulation during the (a) jointing period and (b) harvesting period. TB, total biomass; AB, aboveground biomass; BB, belowground biomass; P₀, no P; P₁, 15.3 kg ha⁻¹; P₂, 30.6 kg ha⁻¹; P₃, 45.9 kg ha⁻¹. Different letters indicate significant differences among treatments at *P* < 0.05. The error bars indicate standard error.




Figure 3

Effects of different P applications on biomass allocation. Aggregate biomass distribution during (a) the jointing period and (b) the harvesting period.




Figure 4

Nutrient accumulation aboveground and belowground under different P gradients. Plant P accumulation during the (a) jointing period and (b) harvest period. Plant N accumulation during the (c) jointing period and (d) harvest period. The different small letters mean the significant difference among treatments at $p < 0.05$. The error bars indicate standard error.




Figure 5

PCA of nutrient accumulation and biomass under different P applications. Notes: AN: available nitrogen; AP: available phosphorus; AB: Aboveground biomass; BB: Belowground biomass; BPC: Belowground phosphorus content; APC: Aboveground phosphorus content; BNC: Belowground nitrogen content; APC: Aboveground nitrogen content.




Figure 6

Dependence of plant P accumulation and soil available P during the (a) jointing period and (b) harvest period. R^2 is given.




Figure 7

Relationship between P application gradient and N:P ratios in (a) aboveground biomass, (b) belowground biomass, and (c) total biomass.




Figure 8

Fitted relationships between P accumulation and biomass in plants. The relationships for plant biomass during the (a) jointing period and (b) harvesting period.