How well do international clinical guidelines on mental health and substance misuse address their coexistence? a systematic review of scope, quality and inclusivity

Ray Alsuhaibani

University of Birmingham

Douglas Cary Smith

University of Illinois at Urbana Champaign

Richard Lowrie

NHS Greater Glasgow and Clyde

Sumayah Aljhani

Qassim University

Vibhu Paudyal (✉ v.paudyal@bham.ac.uk)

University of Birmingham

Research Article

Keywords: Severe Mental Illness, Substance Use Disorders, Substance Misuse, Coexisting Disorders, Dual Diagnosis

Posted Date: February 23rd, 2021

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.21203/rs.3.rs-226664/v1>

License: This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License. [Read Full License](#)

Version of Record: A version of this preprint was published at BMC Psychiatry on April 23rd, 2021. See the published version at <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12888-021-03188-0>.

Abstract

Objective: It is estimated that up to 75% of patients with severe mental illness (SMI) also have substance use disorder (SUD). The aim of this systematic review is to explore the scope, quality and inclusivity of international clinical guidelines on mental health and/or substance misuse in relation to diagnosis and treatment of such co-existing disorders and considerations for wider social and contextual factors in treatment recommendations.

Method: A protocol (PROSPERO CRD42020187094) driven systematic review was conducted. A systematic search was undertaken using six databases including MEDLINE, Cochrane Library, EMBASE, PsychInfo from 2010 till June 2020; and webpages of guideline bodies and professional societies. Guideline quality was assessed based on 'Appraisal of Guidelines for Research & Evaluation II' (AGREE II) tool. Data was extracted using a pre-piloted structured data extraction form and synthesized narratively. Reporting was based on PRISMA guideline.

Result: A total of 12,644 records were identified. Of these, 21 guidelines were included in this review. Three of the included guidelines were related to coexisting disorders, 11 related to SMI, and 7 guidelines were related to SUD. Seven (out of 18) single disorder guidelines did not adequately recommend the importance of diagnosis or treatment of concurrent disorders despite their high co-prevalence. The majority of the guidelines (n = 15) lacked recommendations for medicines optimisation in accordance with concurrent disorders (SMI or SUD) such as in the context of drug interactions. Social cause and consequence of dual diagnoses such as homelessness and safeguarding and associated referral pathways were sparsely mentioned.

Conclusion: Despite very high co-prevalence, clinical guidelines for SUD or SMI tend to have limited considerations for coexisting disorders in diagnosis, treatment and management. There is a need to improve the scope, quality and inclusivity of guidelines to offer person-centred and integrated care.

Background

It is estimated that up to 75% of patients with severe mental illness (SMI) also have substance use disorder (SUD) (1–4), with one being either the cause or consequence of the other or various social issues leading to both issues at the same time (5,6). Genetic factors for such co-morbidity including variations in how people respond to treatments have also been suggested (7). Coexisting disorders can result in greater incidence of adverse health outcomes, suicide, unplanned hospital admissions (2,8–10) and early mortality (11–13). Increased social consequences include violence, homelessness, involvement with criminal justice system, and relationship breakdowns have also been suggested (14–17).

Assessment and treatment of patients in regards to dual diagnoses presents a challenge for care providers. Parallel and separate care provided for each disorder within the same or different healthcare settings for patients with coexisting disorders are likely to be ineffective. This can lead to fragmentation of care, lack of timely access to treatment, withdrawal from treatment, physical multi-morbidity, and early deaths (9,18,19). The advantage of considering both disorders together is that both SMI and SUD are simultaneously addressed and are given due attention (20). However, practices are often patchy. A study conducted in the United States showed that only 18% of addiction treatment and 9% of mental health programs had sufficient capacity to provide simultaneous services for patients with coexisting disorder (21).

A previous systematic review published in 2010 evaluated SMI and SUD guidelines to investigate whether or not they addressed co-occurring disorders (22). The review considered guidelines published until 2007 and was limited to the inclusion of guidelines published in the National Guideline Clearinghouse database. The aim of this systematic review is to explore the scope, quality and inclusivity of international clinical guidelines on mental health and/or substance misuse in relation to diagnosis and treatment of such co-existing disorders and consideration of wider social and contextual issues in treatment recommendations.

Methodology

Protocol and registration

The study protocol registered in PROSPERO (CRD42020187094). The review was conducted as per PRISMA checklist and statement (23) (Electronic supplementary material 1).

Criteria for considering guidelines for this review

The research for this review focused international guidelines which related to the assessment and treatment of either SUD, SMI or on concurrent disorders. The search was limited to guidelines published from 2010 until June 2020. To make sure that included guidelines represented current practice, guidelines published before 2010 were not considered. The search was restricted to guidelines published in the English language.

Search and selection of guidelines

The research for guidelines was conducted using the following databases: MEDLINE, Cochrane Library, EMBASE, and PsychInfo, Google, Google scholar, Guideline Central; and national clinical guidelines and professional organizations' web pages including National Institute for Health and Care Excellence (NICE) and the American Psychiatric Association (APA) .

The search terms used related to SUD and SMI MeSH terms (electronic supplemental material 2). The screening process was performed in three distinct stages including title, summary or abstract and full texts. The selection of guidelines done independently by two reviewers (RA and VP) and any discrepancies were resolved by consensus.

Search definitions

We considered the Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders (DSM-5) definition of, 'substance use disorder' which is a single term combines both abuse and dependence (24). Such substances include legal drugs such as alcohol, illicit drugs such as heroin and cocaine, and prescription drugs such as oxycodone (25). The SMIs considered in this review were psychosis and other associated types of schizophrenia, as well as bipolar disorder. The terms coexisting disorder, co-occurring disorder, or dual diagnosis are frequently used to describe the existence of both conditions of SMI and SUD simultaneously.

Data extraction

After identification of eligible guidelines, data were extracted using a Microsoft Excel® spreadsheet. Data were extracted in relation to guideline characteristics, targeted patient population and health care providers, screening and management of co-existing disorders including recommendations for treatment adjustments and consideration of monitoring of physical health or drug interactions. Consideration of offending behavior, risks of homelessness, violence, and suicide were also extracted. Data extraction was done by two authors (RA and VP) in duplicate and independently and any disagreements were resolved by further discussion.

Quality assessment

The included guidelines are appraised by using the Appraisal of Guidelines for Research & Evaluation II (AGREE II) tool. The assessment of each guideline is carried out by following the users' instruction manual for AGREE II instruments (26). The assessment for the following domains: 'scope and purpose, stakeholder involvement, rigor of development, clarity of presentation, applicability, and editorial independence' (27). Each of the 23 items is scored 1 to 7 where 1 signals strong disagreement and 7 signals strong agreement and the final score is rated from 0% to 100%. In addition, there are two overall assessments of each guideline. The first one reflects the overall quality of each guideline. The second overall assessment allows assessment of whether or not the guideline is recommended for application in practice. Three distinct choices; namely, 'Yes', 'Yes with modification', or 'No' are utilized in relation to recommendation for use. Score sheet is demonstrated in appendix C. Two reviewers independently assessed the included guidelines.

In order to calculate domain rate, the following equation from AGREE II users' manual was used:

The rate of each domain = (total score of all items within the domain – lowest score of all items within the domain) / (highest score of all items within the domain – lowest score of all items within the domain) x 100

A narrative synthesis was used to analyze the data. Comparisons between guidelines are pre-identified in accordance with the particular objectives of the review.

Results

The search and selection of guidelines

In total, 12,644 records were identified through the searching of various databases. After the exclusion of data de-duplication and both title and abstract screening, 32 guidelines were screened for eligibility. Twenty-one guidelines were included in this study (Fig. 1).

General Characteristics Of The Included Guidelines

Of the 21 included, three guidelines related to coexisting disorders, seven guidelines related to SUD including alcohol use disorder and opioid disorder (Table 1). Eleven guidelines related to SMI (six of them were related to schizophrenia, and five of them were related to bipolar disorder). The aim of each guideline is illustrated in Table 1.

Table 1
General characteristic of the guidelines

Guideline title	Organization	Country	Publication year	Target disorders	Aim	For which patient population is this guideline intended for?	For which healthcare provider is this guideline intended for?	Clinical setting for which this is applicable
Coexisting severe mental illness (psychosis) and substance misuse: assessment and management in healthcare settings (39)	NICE	UK	2011	Psychosis + SUD	To provide diagnosis and treatment recommendations for both disorders.	For all patients above 14 years old with both disorders.	For professionals who provide care in all clinical settings.	All clinical settings and medical services that are commissioned by NHS
Coexisting severe mental illness and substance misuse: community health and social care services overview (40)	NICE	UK	2016	Psychosis + SUD	To offer a number of integrated services to meet people's requirements and solve other related problems, such as lack of housing and joblessness.	For patients above 14 years old with both disorders.	All professionals and commissioners, Workers who have direct contact with patients, The criminal justice system, Voluntary organizations and other third-party sectors, Targeted patients and their families and carers.	Community settings
Guidelines on the management of cooccurring alcohol and other drug and mental health conditions in alcohol and other drug treatment settings (33)	Australian government	Australia	2016	Co-occurring alcohol and other drug and mental health conditions	To provide directives in relation to the management of AOD and co-occurring mental health conditions.	Patients with AOD and co-occurring mental health conditions.	AOD workers, including nurses, medical practitioners, psychiatrists, psychologists, counsellors, social workers, and other AOD workers.	AOD treatment settings
Management of schizophrenia (30)	SIGN	UK	2013	Schizophrenia	To provide recommendations for managing schizophrenia.	Adults with schizophrenia	Healthcare providers	Not mentioned specifically
Psychosis and schizophrenia in adults: prevention and management (41)	NICE	UK	2014	Psychosis and schizophrenia	To provide diagnosis and treatment recommendations for psychosis and schizophrenia.	Adults with psychosis and schizophrenia	Health care providers who provide services in primary, community, secondary and tertiary clinical settings.	All clinical settings and medical services that are commissioned by NHS
Guidelines for Biological Treatment of Schizophrenia. Part 3: Update 2015 Management of special circumstances: Depression, Suicidality, substance use disorders and pregnancy and lactation (45)	WFSBP	International	2015	Schizophrenia	To issue guidelines relating to the management of schizophrenia and the assessment of pharmacological agents.	Patients with schizophrenia.	Physicians	Not mentioned specifically

Guideline title	Organization	Country	Publication year	Target disorders	Aim	For which patient population is this guideline intended for?	For which healthcare provider is this guideline intended for?	Clinical setting for which this is applicable
Clinical practice guidelines for the management of schizophrenia and related disorders (32)	RANZCP	Australia and New Zealand	2016	Schizophrenia and related disorders	To provide guidance for the treatment of schizophrenia and to provide care for schizophrenic patients.	For patients with schizophrenia and related disorders.	Clinicians such as psychiatrists and GPs, psychiatry trainees, mental health nurses, clinicians who have contact with this patient group, and policymakers.	Not mention specifically
Evidence-based guidelines for the pharmacological treatment of schizophrenia (47)	BAP	UK	2019	Schizophrenia	To provide recommendations for the management of schizophrenia.	Patients with schizophrenia	Clinicians	Not mention specifically
Practice guideline for the treatment of patients with schizophrenia (43)	APA	US	2020	Schizophrenia	To help clinicians optimize care for their patients and improve quality of care.	Patients with schizophrenia	Clinicians	Not mention specifically
Management of Bipolar Disorder in Adults (BD) (28)	VA/DoD	US	2010	Bipolar disorder	To manage patients with bipolar disorder.	People aged 18 years old and older with bipolar disorder.	Healthcare professionals	Not mention specifically
Bipolar disorder (29)	Singapore MOH	Singapore	2011	Bipolar disorder	To manage patients with bipolar disorder.	Older patients with bipolar disorder	GP and clinicians	Not mention specifically
The assessment and management of bipolar disorder in adults, children and young people in primary and secondary care (38)	NICE	UK	2014	Bipolar disorders	To manage patients with bipolar disorder.	Children, young adults (aged above 13 years old), and adults.	Professionals who provide care in all clinical settings.	All clinical settings and medical services that commission by NHS
Evidence-based guidelines for treating bipolar disorder (48)	BAP	UK	2016	Bipolar disorder	To assess and manage patients with bipolar disorder.	Patients with bipolar disorder.	Practitioners	Not mention specifically
Guidelines for the management of patients with bipolar disorder (36)	CANMAT and ISBD	Canada	2018	Bipolar disorder	To manage patients with bipolar disorder.	Patients with bipolar disorder	Psychiatrists and primary care providers	Not mention specifically
Evidence-based guidelines for the pharmacological management of substance abuse, harmful use, addiction and comorbidity (46)	BAP	UK	2012	SUD	To provide treatment recommendations in order to help clinicians in prescribing medication for patients with SUD alone and those with psychiatric comorbidities.	Young adults and adults with SUD.	Clinicians such as psychiatrists and GPs, professionals in this field, non-specialists, patients and their families.	Not mention specifically
Guidelines for biological treatment of substance use and related disorders, part 1: Alcoholism, first revision (42)	WFSBP	International	2017	Substance use and related disorders	To provide recommendations for the treatment of AUD that help clinicians in clinical decision making and subsequently improvement of care	Adult with AUD	Professionals who provide care for patients with AUD.	Not mention specifically

Guideline title	Organization	Country	Publication year	Target disorders	Aim	For which patient population is this guideline intended for?	For which healthcare provider is this guideline intended for?	Clinical settings for which this is applicable
Drug misuse and dependence UK guidelines on clinical management (34)	gov.uk	UK	2017	Substance misuse	To provide guidance on managing drug abuse and dependency in the UK.	Drug misusers	Healthcare professionals, Regulatory bodies, Targeted patients, and their families and carers.	Drug misuse services
German Guidelines on Screening, Diagnosis and Treatment of Alcohol Use Disorders (35)	DGPPN and DG-Sucht	Germany	2017	Alcohol use disorder	To provide screening, diagnosis, and treatment recommendations for patients with alcohol misuse disorder.	Patients with alcohol misuse disorder and comorbidity psychiatric disorders.	Clinicians	In- and outpatient settings
Alcohol use disorders: diagnosis, assessment and management of harmful drinking and alcohol dependence (37)	NICE	UK	2011	Alcohol use disorder	To provide recommendations for managing patients with alcohol misuse disorder.	Younger children and young adults 10–17 years old with alcohol use disorder.	Professionals who provide care in all clinical settings.	All clinical settings and medical services that commission by NHS
Practice guideline for the Pharmacological Treatment of Patients with Alcohol Use Disorder (44)	APA	US	2018	Alcohol use disorder	To provide recommendations that help in improving the quality of care and quality of life for patients with AUD.	Patients with AUD	Clinicians	Not mention specifically
National Practice Guideline for the Use of Medications in the Treatment of Addiction Involving Opioid Use (31)	ASAM	US	2015	Opioid use disorder	To provide recommendations for managing patients with opioid use disorder.	Patients with opioid use disorder	Physicians; other healthcare providers, medical educators, trainee; and clinical care managers.	Not mention specifically
(AOD) Alcohol and other drug; (APA) American Psychiatric Association; (ASAM) American society of addiction medicine; (AUD) alcohol use disorder; (BAP) British Association of psychopharmacology; (CANMAT and ISBD) Canadian Network for Mood and Anxiety Treatments and International Society for Bipolar Disorders; (DGPPN and DG-Sucht) German Association for Psychiatry, Psychotherapy, and Psychosomatics and the German Association for Addiction Research and Therapy; (gov.UK) United Kingdom guidelines on clinical management; (NICE) National Institute for Health and Care Excellence; (NIH) National health service; (RANZCP) Royal Australian and New Zealand College of Psychiatrists; (SIGN) Scottish Intercollegiate Guidelines Network; (Singapore MOH) Singapore Ministry of Health; (SMI) Severe mental illness; (SUD) Substance use disorder; (UK) United Kingdom; (US) United States; (VA/DoD) Department of Veterans Affairs and The Department of Defense; (WFSBP) World Federation of Societies of Biological Psychiatry								

Most of the included guidelines were produced by NICE in England (n = 5), followed by guidelines produced by British Association of Psychopharmacology in the UK (n = 3). Two of the included guidelines were published by APA in the USA, two of them were produced by the World Federation of Societies of Biological Psychiatry (WFSBP) which developed by a group of experts from different countries, and nine guidelines were published by government departments of health (28–36) (Table 1).

Quality Assessment Of Guidelines

The scores of each guideline against the criteria of the AGREE II tool are displayed in Table 2. In terms of 'scope and purpose', first domain had the highest domain score. Only four guidelines scored below 80% (29,31,33,36) (Table 2). In the second domain, 'stakeholder involvement', the guidelines that were developed by NICE and Scottish Intercollegiate Guidelines Network (SIGN) demonstrated the highest score; 84% and 83%, respectively (30,37–41) (Table 2). The 'Rigour of development' domain scores were generally low (Fig. 2). Fifteen out of 21 included guidelines rated below 70% (Table 2). Most of the guidelines scored higher in 'Clarity of presentation' domain (Fig. 2). The guidelines that were developed by NICE and SIGN obtained the highest scores (30,37–41) (Table 2). Figure 2 shows that the 'Applicability' domain has the lowest domain score. Fifteen guidelines were graded below 50% (Table 2). With regard to the 'Editorial independence' domain, the highest score was reported with the NICE guidelines, this being 83%. The rest of the included guidelines were graded below 80% (Table 2) (Fig. 2).

Table 2
Quality assessment of guidelines

Guideline	Domain1: SCOPE AND PURPOSE	Domain2: STAKEHOLDER INVOLVEMENT	Domain 3: RIGOUR OF DEVELOPMENT	Domain 4: CLARITY OF PRESENTATION	Domain 5: APPLICABILITY	Domain 6 : EDITORIAL INDEPENDENCE	Overall quality	Recommendation of use
NICE 2011 (39)	100.00%	84.00%	73.00%	95.00%	67.00%	83.00%	7	Recommended
NICE 2014 (40)	100.00%	84.00%	73.00%	95.00%	67.00%	83.00%	7	Recommended
RANZCP 2016 (32)	83.00%	72.00%	35.00%	83.00%	33.00%	42.00%	4	Recommended with modification
BAP 2012 (46)	83.00%	61.00%	54.00%	83.00%	42.00%	42.00%	5	Recommended with modification
WFSBP 2017 (42)	83.00%	50.00%	60.00%	67.00%	25.00%	42.00%	4	Recommended with modification
gov.uk 2017 (34)	94.00%	67.00%	60.00%	72.00%	33.00%	33.00%	7	Recommended
DGPPN and DG-Sucht 2017 (35)	83.00%	56.00%	63.00%	78.00%	33.00%	50.00%	4	Recommended with modification
NICE 2011 (37)	100.00%	84.00%	73.00%	95.00%	67.00%	83.00%	7	Recommended
ASAM 2015 (31)	67.00%	72.00%	52.00%	83.00%	38.00%	58.00%	5	Recommended with modification
APA 2018 (44)	89.00%	56.00%	65.00%	83.00%	42.00%	75.00%	6	Recommended
Singapore MOH 2011 (29)	67.00%	56.00%	25.00%	89.00%	38.00%	17.00%	3	Not recommended
VA/DoD 2010 (28)	83.00%	56.00%	58.00%	83.00%	38.00%	17.00%	4	Recommended with modification
CANMAT & ISBD 2018 (36)	67.00%	61.00%	31.00%	61.00%	29.00%	42.00%	3	Not recommended
SIGN 2013 (30)	94.00%	83.00%	71.00%	95.00%	50.00%	50.00%	7	Recommended
WFSBP 2015 (45)	83.00%	50.00%	60.00%	67.00%	25.00%	42.00%	4	Recommended with modification
NICE 2016 (40)	100.00%	84.00%	73.00%	95.00%	67.00%	83.00%	7	Recommended
NICE 2014 (41)	100.00%	84.00%	73.00%	95.00%	67.00%	83.00%	7	Recommended
BAP 2019 (47)	83.00%	61.00%	54.00%	83.00%	33.00%	42.00%	5	Recommended with modification
BAP 2016 (48)	83.00%	61.00%	54.00%	83.00%	33.00%	42.00%	5	Recommended with modification
APA 2020 (43)	89.00%	56.00%	65.00%	83.00%	42.00%	75.00%	6	Recommended
Australian government 2016 (33)	78.00%	56.00%	21.00%	89.00%	38.00%	25.00%	3	Not recommended
(APA) American Psychiatric Association; (ASAM) American society of addiction medicine; (BAP) British Association of psychopharmacology; (CANMAT and ISBD) Canadian Network for Mood and Anxiety Treatments and International Society for Bipolar Disorders; (gov.UK) United Kingdom guidelines on clinical management; (DGPPN and DG-Sucht) German Association for Psychiatry, Psychotherapy, and Psychosomatics and the German Association for Addiction Research and Therapy; (NICE) National Institute for Health and Care Excellence; (RANZCP) Royal Australian and New Zealand College of Psychiatrists; (SIGN) Scottish Intercollegiate Guidelines Network; (Singapore MOH) Singapore Ministry of Health; (VA/DoD) Department of Veterans Affairs and The Department of Defense; (WFSBP) World Federation of Societies of Biological Psychiatry								

Assessment Of Concurrent Problems

All of the included coexisting disorders guidelines emphasized that a comprehensive assessment should be carried out for patients with either SMI or SUD for dual diagnoses (33,39,40). However, five out of eleven SMI guidelines did not highlight the assessment of coexisting disorders (29,30,36,38,41). In addition, one SUD guidelines did not highlight the assessment of coexisting disorders (42) (Table 3).

Table 3
Consideration of concurrent problems

Guideline	Is the link between mental health and misuse of substances mentioned as part of the background?	Does the guideline mention that either SMI or SUD can worsen the outcome of another?	Does the guideline provide recommendations about Screening/Assessment for coexisting disorders?	Does the guideline mention the competence of healthcare professionals in recognition the existence of the other comorbidity (i.e. either substance misuse or mental health problems)?	Does the guideline requests healthcare professionals to seek advice or training from the other service i.e. training from substance misuse service staff to staff in mental health services?	Does the guideline specifically mention not to exclude patients who misuse substance from age-appropriate treatment settings of mental illness due to use of substances?	Does the guideline specifically mention not to exclude patients who have mental illness from age-appropriate treatment setting of substance misuse due to mental health problems?	Who refers patients to a mental health setting or to the substance misuse/alcohol misuse services?	If guidelines need prioritisation
NICE 2011 (coexisting disorders) (39)	Yes	Yes	Mentioned. Suspected patients should be asked about any drugs and alcohol drinking including: its type, quantity, frequency, route of administration, and duration of use.	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Mentioned. All staff who have direct contact with patients, including professionals in primary care and educational settings.	Y
NICE 2016 (coexisting disorders) (40)	Yes	Yes	Mentioned. A Full evaluation for suspected patients.	No	Yes	Yes	No	Mentioned. All staff who have direct contact with patients, including professionals in primary care and educational settings.	N
Australian government 2016 (33)	Yes	Yes	Mentioned. After abstinence, a full assessment of the patient should ideally occur.	Yes	Yes	No	No	Not mentioned	N a
SIGN 2013 (30)	Yes	Yes	Not mentioned	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Not mentioned	N
NICE 2014 (41)	Yes	Yes	Not mentioned	No	Yes	No	No	Mentioned. Primary healthcare professionals	N
WFSBP 2015 (45)	Yes	Yes	Mentioned. Detailed assessment of substance use disorder should be obtained.	No	No	No	No	Not mentioned	N
RANZCP 2016 (32)	Yes	Yes	Mentioned. Any suspicions regarding the use of stimulant drugs should be raised if there are recurrent episodes of psychosis.	Yes	Yes	No	No	Mentioned. Health care professionals and any other professionals involved in providing care for patients, such as GPs and social counsellors.	N
BAP 2019 (47)	Yes	Yes	Mentioned. A detailed assessment of substance use disorder should be obtained.	No	No	No	No	Not mentioned	N

APA 2020 (43)	Yes	Yes	Mentioned. Any initial assessment of a patients with a possible psychotic disorder should include an assessment of their tobacco use and other substance misuse.	Yes	Yes	No	No	Not mentioned	N
VA/DoD 2010 (28)	Yes	Yes	Mentioned. A complete clinical assessment should be obtained.	No	No	No	No	Not mentioned	Y
Singapore MOH 2011 (29)	Yes	Yes	Not mentioned	No	No	No	No	Not mentioned	N
NICE 2014 (38)	Yes	Yes	Not mentioned	No	Yes	No	No	Mentioned. Primary healthcare professionals	N
BAP 2016 (48)	No	Yes	Mentioned. The clinician should assess to what extent does substance misuse contribute to bipolar symptoms.	No	Yes	No	No	Not mentioned	N
CANMAT and ISBD 2018 (36)	Yes	Yes	Not mentioned	Yes	No	No	No	Not mentioned	N
BAP 2012 (46)	Yes	Yes	Mentioned. Substance history, family history, urinalysis and blood tests, as well as an assessment of psychiatric disorder onset, and the misuse of substances should be carried out.	No	No	No	No	Not mentioned	N a
WFSBP 2017 (42)	Yes	Yes	Not mentioned	No	No	No	No	Not mentioned	N a
gov.uk 2017 (34)	Yes	Yes	Mentioned. Identifying any current or previous psychological problems	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Mentioned. GPs	N a
DGPPN and DG-Sucht 2017 (35)	Yes	Yes	Mentioned. The assessment process derived from alcohol use disorder identification test guidelines	No	No	No	No	Not mentioned	Y
NICE 2011 (37)	Yes	Yes	Mentioned. Patients should be referred to a psychiatrist for effective assessment and treatment.	Yes	Yes	No	No	Mentioned. Whole range of healthcare such as a GP.	N a
APA 2018 (44)	Yes	Yes	Mentioned. Patients should be assessed for alcohol use disorder and comorbid mental health disorder.	No	No	No	No	Not mentioned	N
ASAM 2015 (31)	Yes	Yes	Mentioned. A comprehensive assessment of the patient and any ideas related to suicide should be evaluated. The patient's full medical history and a physical examination should also be obtained.	Yes	Yes	No	No	Not mentioned	N a

(AOD) Alcohol and other drug; (APA) American Psychiatric Association; (ASAM) American society of addiction medicine; (AUD) alcohol use disorder; (BAP) British Association of psychopharmacology; (CANMAT and ISBD) Canadian Network for Mood and Anxiety Treatments and International Society for Bipolar Disorders (gov.UK) United Kingdom guidelines on clinical management; (GPs) General practitioners

(DGPPN and DG-Sucht) German Association for Psychiatry, Psychotherapy, and Psychosomatics and the German Association for Addiction Research and Therapy; (NICE) National Institute for Health and Care Excellence; (RANZCP) Royal Australian and New Zealand College of Psychiatrists; (SIGN) Scottish Intercollegiate Guidelines Network; (Singapore MOH) Singapore Ministry of Health; (SMI) Severe mental illness; (SUD) Substance use disorder; (UK) United Kingdom; (US) United States; (VA/DoD) Department of Veterans Affairs and The Department of Defense; (WFSBP) World Federation of Societies of Biological Psychiatry

Three guidelines mentioned that patients with SMI with coexisting SUD who completed their SMI treatment course should not be discharged from a healthcare setting due to their substance misuse (28,35,39). Of the SMI guidelines, four guidelines highlighted the competency need of healthcare providers in each health care setting to consider for the co-existing disorders (30,32,36,43). Three out of seven SUD guidelines similarly covered competency aspects (31,34,37). All coexisting disorder guidelines requested healthcare providers to gain training and expertise from other specialist staff (33,39,40) (Table 3).

Treatment Of Coexisting Disorders

All of the guidelines related to SMI or coexisting disorders described the importance of screening and/or treatment for both problems simultaneously (33,39,40). The SMI guidelines often stipulated SUD clinical guidelines and vice versa when recommending treatment of the other co-existing disorder (Table 4). One SUD guideline (44) however, did not explicitly provide recommendation regarding treatment of both disorders.

Table 4
Consideration of treatment adjustments

Guideline	Does the recommendation address the management of coexisting disorders?	What treatment adjustment should be considered? (such as a change of antipsychotic medication in patients who have alcohol use disorder)	Recommendation for monitoring of physical health	Recommendation about drug interactions	psychological and psychosocial interventions
NICE 2011 (coexisting disorders) (39)	No recommendations regarding the benefits of one antipsychotic over another are given. Refers the reader to the NICE guidelines for related disorders.	Mentioned. Use of long-acting injectable antipsychotic medication	Mentioned	Mentioned. Substance misuse practically alcohol may affect the metabolism of medication	Mentioned
NICE 2016 (coexisting disorders) (40)	Not mentioned. Refers the reader to a NICE guideline for the management of coexisting disorders.	Not mentioned	Mentioned	Not included	Not mentioned
Australian government 2016 (33)	Mentioned. Detailed treatment plan for both psychosis and bipolar disorder are provided	Not mentioned	Mentioned	Included	mentioned
SIGN 2013 (30)	Mentioned. The treatment of both disorders requires a joint consultative approach between the services provided from both mental health and substance use settings.	Not mentioned	Mentioned	Not included	Mentioned
NICE 2014 (41)	Mentioned. Monitoring for coexisting conditions particularly in the early phases of treatment.	Not mentioned	Mentioned	Not included	Mentioned
WFSBP 2015 (45)	Mentioned. Consider the addition of clozapine for coexisting disorder.	Mentioned. Patients with a history of non-adherence to their medication should be treated with long-acting depot formulations of antipsychotic medications.	Not mentioned	Not included	Mentioned
RANZCP 2016 (32)	Mentioned. Treatment of comorbid substance use. Urine or saliva drug testing for the presence of substance misuse should also be employed.	Not mentioned	Mentioned	Not included	Mentioned
BAP 2019 (47)	Mentioned. Optimization of antipsychotic medication and one should consider the addition of clozapine for the patients with dual diagnosis.	Not mentioned	Mentioned	Included	Mentioned
APA 2020 (43)	Mentioned. Treatment for both disorders should be provided by the same clinician team. However, if an integrated treatment is unavailable, the treatment plan should address both disorders with communication and collaboration among the clinicians treating the patient.	Not mentioned	Mentioned	Included	Mentioned
VA/DoD 2010 (28)	For the management of substance misuse, the reader should refer to the VA/DoD guideline for other related disorders. Treatment of bipolar disorder should be based on this guideline.	Not mentioned	Mentioned	Included	Mentioned
Singapore MOH 2011 (29)	Mentioned. Patients with both addiction and bipolar disorders should be treated.	Not mentioned	Not mentioned	Not included	Mentioned
NICE 2014 (38)	The reader should refer to the NICE guideline for other related disorders. Moreover, bipolar disorder treatment should be in accordance with this guideline.	Not mentioned	Mentioned	Included	Mentioned
BAP 2016 (48)	For alcohol use disorder, this guideline refers the reader to another BAP guideline. The practitioner should assess to what extent substance misuse contributes to bipolar disorder symptom.	Not mentioned	Mentioned	Included	Mentioned
CANMAT and ISBD 2018 (36)	Mentioned. For patients with both bipolar disorder and substance misuse, lithium can reduce using of substance. Patients with both bipolar disorder and substance misuse may benefit from the use of N-acetylcysteine.	Mentioned. Reduce bipolar disorder symptoms with olanzapine. Reduce cravings for alcohol and cocaine use with aripiprazole.	Mentioned	Not included	Mentioned

Guideline	Does the recommendation address the management of coexisting disorders?	What treatment adjustment should be considered? (such as a change of antipsychotic medication in patients who have alcohol use disorder)	Recommendation for monitoring of physical health	Recommendation about drug interactions	psychological and psychosocial interventions
BAP 2012 (46)	Treatment of bipolar disorder as recommended in other guidelines and the impact of harmful substance use should be assessed.	Mentioned. Add sodium valproate for bipolar disorder patients who are on lithium only, and limit alcohol drinking with Naltrexone. Clozapine should be considered in patients with both schizophrenia and substance misuse.	Mentioned	Not included	Not mentioned
WFSBP 2017 (42)	It is difficult to provide treatment recommendations for managing patients with both schizophrenia and coexisting alcohol use disorder.	Mentioned. Suggest the use of second generation antipsychotics for managing patients with both schizophrenia and coexisting alcohol use disorder. However, evidence recommends the use of clozapine.	Not mentioned	Not included	Mentioned
gov.uk 2017 (34)	Mentioned. Dual focused treatments	Not mentioned	Mentioned	Included	Mentioned
DGPPN and DG-Sucht 2017 (35)	Mentioned. Pharmacological treatment should be based on schizophrenia guidelines.	Mentioned. Treatment of patients with schizophrenia and comorbid alcohol use disorder with atypical antipsychotics (AAP).	Not mentioned	Not included	Mentioned
NICE 2011 (37)	Mentioned. For the treatment of comorbid mental health disorders, the reader is referred to the other related disorder's NICE guideline.	Not mentioned	Mentioned	Included	Mentioned
APA 2018 (44)	Not mentioned.	Not mentioned	Not mentioned	Not included	Not mentioned
ASAM 2015 (31)	Mentioned. Use of mood stabilizers for the treatment of patients with bipolar disorder. Patients with schizophrenia should be treated with suitable antipsychotic therapy along with treatment of opioid use disorder. Patients with a history of non-adherence to their medication should be treated with long-acting depot formulations of antipsychotic medications. Methadone, buprenorphine, or naltrexone for mental status stabilization.	Not mentioned	Mentioned	Included	Mentioned
(AOD) Alcohol and other drug; (APA) American Psychiatric Association; (ASAM) American society of addiction medicine; (AUD) alcohol use disorder; (BAP) British Association of psychopharmacology; (CANMAT and ISBD) Canadian Network for Mood and Anxiety Treatments and International Society for Bipolar Disorders; (gov.UK) United Kingdom guidelines on clinical management; (DGPPN and DG-Sucht) German Association for Psychiatry, Psychotherapy, and Psychosomatics and the German Association for Addiction Research and Therapy; (NICE) National Institute for Health and Care Excellence; (RANZCP) Royal Australian and New Zealand College of Psychiatrists; (SIGN) Scottish Intercollegiate Guidelines Network; (Singapore MOH) Singapore Ministry of Health; (SMI) Severe mental illness; (SUD) Substance use disorder; (UK) United Kingdom; (US) United States; (VA/DoD) Department of Veterans Affairs and The Department of Defense; (WFSBP) World Federation of Societies of Biological Psychiatry					

Only two out of the eleven SMI guidelines mentioned recommendation about treatment adjustments when considering dual diagnoses and treatment (36,45). Similarly, only three of the seven SUD guidelines mentioned recommendation about treatment adjustment (35,42,46) (Table 4). Examples of treatment adjustments included recommendation for the use of long-acting injectable antipsychotic medication in cases where there was a history of non-adherence to medication in place of regular antipsychotic medication (39). In addition, two of the guidelines related to coexisting disorders (33,39), five of SMI guidelines (28,38,43,47,48) and three of the SUD guidelines (34,37,49) considered potential drug interaction in patients with SMI and coexisting SUD (33,39). For example, the NICE (2011) guideline recommends that caution be exercised during the prescribing of medication for patients demonstrating substance misuse particularly that of alcohol, since alcohol will affect the metabolism of other medications and either diminish their efficacy or increase the risk of side effects (39) (Table 4).

Importance of physical health monitoring were described by all guidelines related to coexisting disorders, nine SMI guidelines, and four of the seven SUD guidelines. These included monitoring and management of diabetes mellitus and hyperlipidemia (Table 4).

Care Pathway And Integrated Care Provision

All of the coexisting disorders guidelines, seven of the SMI guidelines, and three of SUD guidelines mentioned the importance of continuity of care. For example, the Australian government guideline advised that it is important to develop systems in order to facilitate the transition of patients with coexisting disorders by providing them with much-needed services and helping them to address their complex needs (33) (Table 5).

Table 5
Care pathway and integrated care provision

Guideline	Does the guideline mention continuity of care i.e. importance of same health or key worker in managing the substance misuse or mental health/ continuity of care?	Where should integrated services be provided	Is there a mention of the role of emergency department or A&E and what they should do if patients present there?
NICE 2011 (coexisting disorders) (39)	Yes	Secondary care mental health services, CAMHS	Yes
NICE 2016 (coexisting disorders) (40)	Yes	Mental health services	No
Australian government 2016 (33)	Yes	AOD settings	No
SIGN 2013 (30)	No	Not mentioned	No
NICE 2014 (41)	Yes	secondary care settings	No
WFSBP 2015 (45)	No	Not mentioned	No
RANZCP 2016 (32)	Mentions continuity but not link key worker	Dual diagnosis service	No
BAP 2019 (47)	No	Not mentioned	No
APA 2020 (43)	Yes	Not mentioned	No
VA/DoD 2010 (28)	Yes	Urgent/emergent mental health settings	No
Singapore MOH 2011 (29)	Yes	In an integrated specialist treatment centre.	No
NICE 2014 (38)	Yes	Not mentioned	No
BAP 2016 (48)	No	Not mentioned	No
CANMAT and ISBD 2018 (36)	Yes	inpatient hospital or community residential treatment	No
BAP 2012 (46)	No	Not mentioned	No
WFSBP 2017 (42)	No	Not mentioned	No
gov.uk 2017 (34)	Yes	In drug misuse services and mental health services	Yes
DGPPN and DG-Sucht 2017 (35)	No	Inpatient treatment	No
NICE 2011 (37)	Yes	Not mentioned	Yes
APA 2018 (44)	Yes	Not mentioned	No
ASAM 2015 (31)	No	Hospitals	Yes

Guideline	Does the guideline mention continuity of care i.e. importance of same health or key worker in managing the substance misuse or mental health/ continuity of care?	Where should integrated services be provided	Is there a mention of the role of emergency department or A&E and what they should do if patients present there?
(AOD) Alcohol and other drug; (APA) American Psychiatric Association; (ASAM) American society of addiction medicine; (AUD) alcohol use disorder; (BAP) British Association of psychopharmacology; (CANMAT and ISBD) Canadian Network for Mood and Anxiety Treatments and International Society for Bipolar Disorders; (gov.UK) United Kingdom guidelines on clinical management; (DGPPN and DG-Sucht) German Association for Psychiatry, Psychotherapy, and Psychosomatics and the German Association for Addiction Research and Therapy; (NICE) National Institute for Health and Care Excellence; (RANZCP) Royal Australian and New Zealand College of Psychiatrists; (SIGN) Scottish Intercollegiate Guidelines Network; (Singapore MOH) Singapore Ministry of Health; (SMI) Severe mental illness; (SUD) Substance use disorder; (UK) United Kingdom; (US) United States; (VA/DoD) Department of Veterans Affairs and The Department of Defense; (WFSBP) World Federation of Societies of Biological Psychiatry			

Only one of the guidelines pertaining to coexisting disorders mentioned that healthcare providers in the emergency department should regularly ask patients about any potential drug misuse (39). Three of the guidelines related to SUD mentioned the role of the emergency department (31,34,37). Such consideration was missing from SMI guidelines. (Table 5).

Equity Consideration And Person-centered Care

Three guidelines pertaining to coexisting disorders, ten SMI guidelines, and six SUD guidelines described the essential role played by 'significant others' such as families and carers and encouraged their involvement along with any integrated care plans provided to patients (Table 6). All of the three guidelines pertaining to coexisting disorders were explicit in reporting the need for assessment of any children cared for by patients with both disorders, according to safeguarding procedures. However, only three of the SMI guidelines and two of the SUD guidelines provided recommendations about children cared for by patients with both disorders (Table 6).

Table 6
Equity considerations and person-centered care

Guideline	Does the guideline mention the importance of involving family and carers?	Does the guideline mention children cared for by patient with mental health conditions or substance misuse?	Does the guideline mention the importance of engaging with various ethnicities and cultural needs?	Does the guideline mention allaying patient fear about being detained or forcefully put into care or rehabilitation?	Is there consideration for people with physical, sensory or learning disabilities in the guideline?
NICE 2011 (coexisting disorders) (39)	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
NICE 2016 (coexisting disorders) (40)	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Yes
Australian government 2016 (33)	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Yes
SIGN 2013 (30)	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Yes
NICE 2014 (41)	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes
WFSBP 2015 (45)	Yes	No	No	No	No
RANZCP 2016 (32)	Yes	No	Yes	No	No
BAP 2019 (47)	Yes	No	No	No	Yes
APA 2020 (43)	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes
VA/DoD 2010 (28)	Yes	No	No	No	Yes
Singapore MOH 2011 (29)	No	No	No	No	No
NICE 2014 (38)	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Yes
BAP 2016 (48)	Yes	Yes	No	No	No
CANMAT and ISBD 2018 (36)	Yes	No	No	No	Yes
BAP 2012 (46)	Yes	No	No	No	No
WFSBP 2017 (42)	Yes	No	No	No	No
gov.uk 2017 (34)	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Yes
DGPPN and DG-Sucht 2017 (35)	No	No	No	No	No
NICE 2011 (37)	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Yes
APA 2018 (44)	Yes	No	No	No	Yes
ASAM 2015 (31)	Yes	No	No	No	Yes

Guideline	Does the guideline mention the importance of involving family and carers?	Does the guideline mention children cared for by patient with mental health conditions or substance misuse?	Does the guideline mention the importance of engaging with various ethnicities and cultural needs?	Does the guideline mention allaying patient fear about being detained or forcefully put into care or rehabilitation?	Is there consideration for people with physical, sensory or learning disabilities in the guideline?
(AOD) Alcohol and other drug; (APA) American Psychiatric Association; (ASAM) American society of addiction medicine; (AUD) alcohol use disorder; (BAP) British Association of psychopharmacology; (CANMAT and ISBD) Canadian Network for Mood and Anxiety Treatments and International Society for Bipolar Disorders; (gov.UK) United Kingdom guidelines on clinical management; (DGPPN and DG-Sucht) German Association for Psychiatry, Psychotherapy, and Psychosomatics and the German Association for Addiction Research and Therapy; (NICE) National Institute for Health and Care Excellence; (RANZCP) Royal Australian and New Zealand College of Psychiatrists; (SIGN) Scottish Intercollegiate Guidelines Network; (Singapore MOH) Singapore Ministry of Health; (SMI) Severe mental illness; (SUD) Substance use disorder; (UK) United Kingdom; (US) United States; (VA/DoD) Department of Veterans Affairs and The Department of Defense; (WFSBP) World Federation of Societies of Biological Psychiatry					

All of the guidelines pertaining to coexisting disorders, five of the SMI guidelines, and two of the SUD guidelines mentioned the importance of ensuring that healthcare providers who provide care to patients with coexisting disorders should engage with patients from different ethnicities and cultural backgrounds (Table 6). Only the NICE 2011 offered advice to healthcare providers to solve access to care issues in patients (39) (Table 6).

Consideration Of Multiple Social Disadvantage

All of the guidelines pertaining to coexisting disorders, nine of the SMI guidelines, and five of the SUD guidelines considered the assessment of risks of violence, suicide, and self-harm (Table 7). Two of the guidelines pertaining to coexisting disorders highlighted the risk of certain getting involved with criminal justice system and the importance of prevention actions (39,40). Only the SMI guideline by Royal Australian and New Zealand College of Psychiatrists (RANZCP) (32) and three of the SUD guidelines (31,34,37) highlighted the risk of patients being registered in the criminal justice system (Table 7).

Table 7
Inclusivity in relation to consideration of homelessness and contextual factors

Guideline	Does the guideline mention that concurrent problems can increase risk of self-harm, suicide, violence, injury or offending behaviour?	Is the risk of criminal justice system/offending/prison for those affected mentioned?	Does the guideline recommend providing health care for prison offender in rehabilitation centre	Is the risk of homelessness for those affected mentioned?	Does the guideline provide suggestions for healthcare professionals to refer patients to housing assistance or homelessness services if patients are found at risk of homelessness	Does the screening mentions patient history of sexual or other forms of abuse?	Is there mention of or consideration about stigma and discrimination in healthcare setting?	Does the guideline mention the importance of working with voluntary, charity or No?
NICE 2011 (coexisting disorders) (39)	Yes	Yes	Yes, in case of diverted treatment	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
NICE 2016 (coexisting disorders) (40)	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	Yes
Australian government 2016 (33)	Yes	No	No	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	No
SIGN 2013 (30)	Yes	No	No	Yes	Yes	No	No	No
NICE 2014 (41)	No	No	No	No	No	No	Yes	Yes
WFSBP 2015 (45)	Yes	No	No	Yes	Yes	No	No	No
RANZCP 2016 (32)	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	Yes
BAP 2019 (47)	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	No
APA 2020 (43)	Yes	No	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	No
VA/DoD 2010 (28)	Yes	No	No	No	No	Yes, but as risk factor for suicide in patients with bipolar disorder	No	No
Singapore MOH 2011 (29)	Yes	No	No	No	No	No	No	No
NICE 2014 (38)	Yes	No	No	No	No	Yes	Yes	No
BAP 2016 (48)	Yes	No	No	No	No	No	No	No
CANMAT and ISBD 2018 (36)	Yes	No	No	No	No	No	No	No
BAP 2012 (46)	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	No
WFSBP 2017 (42)	Yes	No	No	No	No	No	No	No
gov.uk 2017 (34)	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes

Guideline	Does the guideline mention that concurrent problems can increase risk of self-harm, suicide, violence, injury or offending behaviour?	Is the risk of criminal justice system/offending/prison for those affected mentioned?	Does the guideline recommend health care for prison offender in rehabilitation centre	Is the risk of homelessness for those affected mentioned?	Does the guideline provide suggestions for healthcare professionals to refer patients to housing assistance or homelessness services if patients are found at risk of homelessness	Does the screening mentions patient history of sexual or other forms of abuse?	Is there mention of or consideration about stigma and discrimination in healthcare setting?	Does the guideline mention the importance of working with voluntary, charity or No?
DGPPN and DG-Sucht 2017 (35)	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	No
NICE 2011 (37)	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
APA 2018 (44)	Yes	No	No	No	No	No	No	No
ASAM 2015 (31)	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	No	No	No
(AOD) Alcohol and other drug; (APA) American Psychiatric Association; (ASAM) American society of addiction medicine; (AUD) alcohol use disorder; (BAP) British Association of psychopharmacology; (CANMAT and ISBD) Canadian Network for Mood and Anxiety Treatments and International Society for Bipolar Disorders; (gov.UK) United Kingdom guidelines on clinical management; (DGPPN and DG-Sucht) German Association for Psychiatry, Psychotherapy, and Psychosomatics and the German Association for Addiction Research and Therapy; (NICE) National Institute for Health and Care Excellence; (RANZCP) Royal Australian and New Zealand College of Psychiatrists; (SIGN) Scottish Intercollegiate Guidelines Network; (Singapore MOH) Singapore Ministry of Health; (SMI) Severe mental illness; (SUD) Substance use disorder; (UK) United Kingdom; (US) United States; (VA/DoD) Department of Veterans Affairs and The Department of Defense; (WFSBP) World Federation of Societies of Biological Psychiatry								

All of the guidelines pertaining to coexisting disorders, four of the SMI guidelines (30,32,43,45), and two of the SUD guidelines (34,39) attempted to inform the healthcare providers about the risk of homelessness as being a negative social outcome for individuals affected by SMI or SUD. However, only the Australian government mentioned the risk of homelessness in patients with coexisting disorders, but did not provide further recommendations about how such patients could receive support (33) (Table 7). Assessment of the history of any kind of abuse suffered by the patient, including sexual abuse were only rarely considered (28,33,34,37,38,43) (Table 7).

Issue of stigma and discrimination from healthcare providers were covered well by guidelines for co-existing disorders but less so by either SMI or SUD guidelines (Table 7).

Two of the guidelines pertaining to coexisting disorders, two of the SMI guidelines, and two of the SUD guidelines seemed to encourage seeking support from voluntary organizations (32,34,37,39–41) (Table 7).

Discussion

This study provides an up-to-date assessment of the scope, quality and inclusivity of international clinical guidelines on mental health and/or substance misuse in relation to diagnosis and treatment of such co-existing disorders and consideration of wider social and contextual issues in treatment recommendations. The overall quality of the included guidelines rated from a high to moderate quality. The 'scope and purpose' and 'clarity of presentation' domains were well addressed by the included guidelines. Previous systematic reviews have also demonstrated that clinical guidelines often score high in these domains (50–52). For the 'Stakeholder involvement', it was noticed that there was a lack of incorporation of patient or public preferences in the guidelines development process. The 'applicability' domain was rated low amongst all the guidelines.

This review has demonstrated that there is a shortage in the number of guidelines that are produced to address concurrent problems. More importantly any existing single disorder guidelines should incorporate coexisting disorders in diagnosis and treatment recommendations. These guidelines need to be consistent with current evidence, and in providing care for patients' multiple disadvantages including wider social and contextual factors such as homelessness, involvement with criminal justice system.

Implication Of Practice And Research

Until recently, most of the guidelines and recommendations addressed a single disorder; namely, either SMI or SUD. The result of this review suggests that a greater number of guidelines are required in order to cover dual diagnoses given the high overlap of the concurrent disorders.

Most single disorder guidelines included in this review did emphasize the importance of assessment of dual diagnosis. However, treatment adjustment for dual diagnoses was rarely described. Barriers of access to medicines, adherence issues requiring long acting depot injections, and drug interactions (including interactions with drug and substance of abuse) are key issues that require further considerations in single disorder guidelines.

There needs to be better emphasis on the integrated and inclusive care to be offered to the patients with dual diagnosis. The need for liaison with emergency department, primary care, drug and alcohol services and hospital and specialist treatment centers also require further emphases. There is also scope to enhance cultural and ethnic specific issues in treatment recommendations.

It is well documented in the evidence that the treatment of coexisting disorders multifaceted and requires the continued assessment of many social and contextual issues of a patient. Social and contextual factors were not however uniformly addressed in the included guidelines. While risk of homelessness in patients with SMI, SUD or dual diagnosis was commonly described, further information to health providers to support prevention actions were often missing. It is imperative to signpost patients to housing assistance, volunteer sectors and social benefits system in order to prevent homelessness including repeat cycle of homelessness. Adequate evidence exist on the overlap between homelessness, SUD, SMI and dual diagnosis (53). Persons who are homeless or risk facing homelessness often find accessing services difficult and future guidelines should consider addressing access issues better (54–56). These include perceived stigma and discrimination in healthcare setting. Some guidelines described risks of homelessness with dual diagnoses. There are various barriers which patients experiencing homelessness and SUD must overcome in order to obtain housing due to their criminal record and economic status, all of which make them more susceptible to being submerged in their current negative environment and seem to increase the risk of relapse (57,58).

Only a limited number of guidelines considered the continuity of care of offenders in community settings. Accordingly, this is an important factor to be considered and needs to be addressed during the production of any new guidelines.

There needs to be better emphases on the integrated and inclusive care to be offered to the patients with dual diagnosis. Liaison with emergency department, primary care, drug and alcohol services and hospital and specialist treatment centers require further emphases. There is also scope to enhance cultural and ethnic specific issues in treatment recommendations. Roles of community based services such as community pharmacy and voluntary sectors should be better stipulated in the guidelines (59–61).

Future research is need needed to cover healthcare professional, patient, carer and payer's perspectives to identify ways to strengthen the guidelines and limitations and improve patient experiences of care and outcomes. It is also imperative to compare practices against the guideline recommendations. For example, research suggest that patients prescribed antipsychotic medicines are often poorly followed up for their cardiovascular and metabolic health in contrary to the recommendations from the guidelines (62). Guideline development procedures should learn and share best practices being adopted in other countries.

Study Strengths And Limitations

This is the first systematic review to discuss coexisting disorders and aspects of their different complex needs. A comprehensive search was undertaken using databases and professional body web pages. Validated appraisal tool (AGREE II) was used for quality assessment. However, our search was restricted to English language guidelines only. In addition, we did not assess any supplementary patient screening, risk assessment and patient placement criteria that were not included or appended within the published guidelines.

Conclusion

Treatment guidelines for management of either SUD or SMI have tend to have limited considerations of coexisting disorders. There is a need for the guidelines to be more inclusive in order to enable better diagnosis and treatment and cover social cause and consequences of concurrent disorders.

List Of Abbreviations

SMI: Severe mental illness

SUD: Substance use disorder

NICE: National Institute for Health and Care Excellence

APA: American Psychiatric Association

US: United States

UK: United Kingdom

GPs: General practitioners

DSM-5: Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders-5

BAP: British Association of psychopharmacology

AUD: Alcohol use disorder

PRISMA: Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses

PROSPERO: International Prospective Register of Systematic Reviews

SIGN: Scottish Intercollegiate Guidelines Network

AGREE II: Appraisal of Guidelines for Research & Evaluation II

AOD: Alcohol and other drug

WFSBP: World Federation of Societies of Biological Psychiatry

DGPPN and DG-Sucht: German Association for Psychiatry, Psychotherapy, and Psychosomatics and the German Association for Addiction Research and Therapy

ASAM: American society of addiction medicine

Singapore MOH: Singapore Ministry of Health

VA/DoD: Department of Veterans Affairs and The Department of Defense

CANMAT and ISBD: Canadian Network for Mood and Anxiety Treatments and International Society for Bipolar Disorders

RANZCP: Royal Australian and New Zealand College of Psychiatrists

Gov.uk: United Kingdom guidelines on clinical management

CAMHS: Child and adolescent mental health services

Declarations

Ethics approval and consent to participate

Not applicable

Consent for publication

Not applicable

Availability of data and materials

All data generated or analyzed during this study are included in this published article [and its supplementary information files].

Competing interests

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

Funding

This research did not receive any specific grant from funding agencies in the public, commercial, or not-for-profit sectors.

Authors' contributions

This work related to RA's Master of Science in Toxicology study. DS, SA, and VP were the supervisors to the study. RL contributed through expert advice, critical review and significant input in the write up. RA led the write up to which all authors contributed through editing and recommendations. All authors agreed to the final version of the manuscript.

Acknowledgements

Not applicable

Data availability

All data associated with the manuscript has been reported in the manuscript and supplemental materials.

Conflicts of Interests

No authors have any conflicts of interests to disclose.

References

1. Carrà G, Bartoli F, Brambilla G, Crocamo C, Clerici M. Comorbid addiction and major mental illness in Europe: a narrative review. *Subst Abus.* 2015;36(1):75–81.
2. Fantuzzi C, Mezzina R. Dual diagnosis: A systematic review of the organization of community health services. *Int J Soc Psychiatry.* 2020;66(3):300–10.
3. McCreadie RG. Use of drugs, alcohol and tobacco by people with schizophrenia: case–control study. *Br J Psychiatry.* 2002;181(4):321–5.

4. Temmingh HS, Williams T, Siegfried N, Stein DJ. Risperidone versus other antipsychotics for people with severe mental illness and co-occurring substance misuse. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev* 2018 22;1(1):CD011057..
5. Elliott I. Poverty and mental health: A review to inform the Joseph Rowntree Foundation's Anti-Poverty Strategy. LondonMental Heal Found [Internet]. 2016;(August):1–6. Available from: <http://www.patient.co.uk/doctor/Poverty-and-Mental-Health.htm>. Accessed 07 Feb 2021.
6. Wade D, Harrigan S, Edwards J, Burgess PM, Whelan G, McGorry PD. Substance misuse in first-episode psychosis: 15-month prospective follow-up study. *Br J Psychiatry*. 2006;189(3):229–34.
7. National Institute on Drug Abuse. <https://www.drugabuse.gov/drug-topics/publications/drug-facts>. Accessed 07 Feb 2021
8. Antai-Otong D, Theis K, Patrick DD. Dual diagnosis: Coexisting substance use disorders and psychiatric disorders. *Nurs Clin*. 2016;51(2):237–47.
9. Brunette MF, Mueser KT, Drake RE. A review of research on residential programs for people with severe mental illness and co-occurring substance use disorders. *Drug Alcohol Rev*. 2004;23(4):471–81.
10. Lambert-Harris C, Saunders EC, McGovern MP, Xie H. Organizational capacity to address co-occurring substance use and psychiatric disorders: assessing variation by level of care. *J Addict Med*. 2013;7(1):25–32.
11. Hjørthøj C, Østergaard MLD, Benros ME, Toftdahl NG, Erlangsen A, Andersen JT, et al. Association between alcohol and substance use disorders and all-cause and cause-specific mortality in schizophrenia, bipolar disorder, and unipolar depression: a nationwide, prospective, register-based study. *The Lancet Psychiatry*. 2015;2(9):801–8.
12. Lynskey MT, Kimber J, Strang J. Drug-related mortality in psychiatric patients. *The Lancet Psychiatry*. 2015;2(9):767–9.
13. Fridell M, Bäckström M, Hesse M, Krantz P, Perrin S, Nyhlén A. Prediction of psychiatric comorbidity on premature death in a cohort of patients with substance use disorders: a 42-year follow-up. *BMC Psychiatry*. 2019;19(1):150.
14. Fekadu W, Mihiretu A, Craig TKJ, Fekadu A. Multidimensional impact of severe mental illness on family members: systematic review. *BMJ Open*. 2019;9(12).
15. Lukasiewicz M, Blecha L, Falissard B, Neveu X, Benyamina A, Reynaud M, et al. Dual diagnosis: prevalence, risk factors, and relationship with suicide risk in a nationwide sample of French prisoners. *Alcohol Clin Exp Res*. 2009;33(1):160–8.
16. Polcin DL. Co-occurring substance abuse and mental health problems among homeless persons: Suggestions for research and practice. *J Soc distress homeless*. 2016;25(1):1–10.
17. Schütz C, Choi F, Jae Song M, Wesarg C, Li K, Krausz M. Living With Dual Diagnosis and Homelessness: Marginalized Within a Marginalized Group. *J Dual Diagn*. 2019;15(2):88–94.
18. Ducharme LJ, Knudsen HK, Roman PM. Availability of integrated care for co-occurring substance abuse and psychiatric conditions. *Community Ment Health J*. 2006;42(4):363–75.
19. Murthy P, Chand P. Treatment of dual diagnosis disorders. *Curr Opin Psychiatry*. 2012;25(3):194–200.
20. Stewart SH, Conrod PJVO-19. State-of-the-Art in Cognitive-Behavioral Interventions for Substance Use Disorders: Introduction to the Special Issue. *J Cogn Psychother* [Internet]. (3):195–2005. Available from: <https://connect.springerpub.com/content/sgrjcp/19/3/195>
21. McGovern MP, Lambert-Harris C, Gotham HJ, Claus RE, Xie H. Dual diagnosis capability in mental health and addiction treatment services: an assessment of programs across multiple state systems. *Administration and Policy in Mental Health and Mental Health Services Research*. 2014 Mar 1;41(2):205-14
22. Perron BE, Bunker A, Bender K, Vaughn MG, Howard MO. Treatment guidelines for substance use disorders and serious mental illnesses: do they address co-occurring disorders? *Subst Use Misuse*. 2010;45(7–8):1262–78.
23. Moher D, Liberati A, Tetzlaff J, Altman DG, The PRISMA Group (2009). Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses: The PRISMA Statement. *PLoS Med* 6(7): e1000097. doi:10.1371/journal.pmed1000097.
24. American Psychiatric Association. Diagnostic and statistical manual of mental disorders (DSM-5®). American Psychiatric Association.2013. <https://www.psychiatry.org/psychiatrists/practice/dsm>. Accessed 07 Feb 2021.
25. McLellan AT. Substance misuse and substance use disorders: why do they matter in healthcare? *Trans Am Clin Climatol Assoc*. 2017;128:112.
26. Brouwers MC, Kho ME, Browman GP, Burgers JS, Cluzeau F, Feder G, et al. AGREE II: Advancing guideline development, reporting and evaluation in health care. *CMAJ*. 2010;182(18).
27. Brouwers MC, Kerkvliet K, Spithoff K, Consortium ANS. The AGREE Reporting Checklist: a tool to improve reporting of clinical practice guidelines. *Bmj*. 2016;352:i1152.
28. Department of Veterans Affairs, Department of Defense. VA/DoD clinical practice guideline for management of bipolar disorder in adults. 2009;1–176. Available from: http://www.healthquality.va.gov/guidelines/MH/bd/bd_305_full.pdf. Accessed 07 Feb 2021
29. Mok YM, Chan HN, Chee KS, Chua T-E, Lim BL, Marziyana AR, et al. MOH Clinical Practice Guidelines: Bipolar Disorder. *Singapore Med J* 2011; 52(12) : 914
30. Scottish Intercollegiate Guideline Network. Management of schizophrenia key to evidence statements and grades of recommendations SIGN 131. Available from: <http://sign.ac.uk/pdf/sign131.pdf>. Accessed 07 Feb 2021.
31. Comer S, Cunningham C, Fishman MJ, Gordon FA, Kampman FK, Langleben D, et al. National practice guideline for the use of medications in the treatment of addiction involving opioid use. *Am Soc Addict Med*. 2015;66.
32. Castle DJ, Galletly CA, Dark F, Humberstone V, Morgan VA, Killackey E, et al. The 2016 royal australian and New Zealand college of psychiatrists guidelines for the management of schizophrenia and related disorders. *Med J Aust*. 2017;206(11):501–5.

33. Marel C, Mills KL, Kingston R, Gournay K, Deady M, Kay-Lambkin F, et al. Co-occurring alcohol and other drug and mental health conditions in alcohol and other drug treatment settings. https://extranet.who.int/ncdccs/Data/AUS_B9_Comorbidty-Guidelines-2016.pdf. Accessed 07 Feb 2021
34. Drug misuse and dependence: UK guidelines on clinical management . 2021 Available from:
35. [https://www.gov.uk/government/publications/drug-misuse-and-dependence-uk-guidelines-on-clinical-](https://www.gov.uk/government/publications/drug-misuse-and-dependence-uk-guidelines-on-clinical-management)
36. [management](https://www.gov.uk/government/publications/drug-misuse-and-dependence-uk-guidelines-on-clinical-management)
37. Preuss UW, Gouzoulis-Mayfrank E, Havemann-Reinecke U, Schäfer I, Beutel M, Hoch E, et al. Psychiatric comorbidity in alcohol use disorders: results from the German S3 guidelines. *Eur Arch Psychiatry Clin Neurosci*. 2018;268(3):219–29.
38. Yatham LN, Kennedy SH, Parikh S V., Schaffer A, Bond DJ, Frey BN, et al. Canadian Network for Mood and Anxiety Treatments (CANMAT) and International Society for Bipolar Disorders (ISBD) 2018 guidelines for the management of patients with bipolar disorder. *Bipolar Disord*. 2018;20(2):97–170.
39. NICE. Alcohol-use disorders: diagnosis, assessment and management of harmful drinking (high-risk drinking) and alcohol dependence. Available <https://www.nice.org.uk/guidance/cg115>. Accessed 07 Feb 2021.
40. NICE. Bipolar disorder: assessment and management. <https://www.nice.org.uk/guidance/cg185/resources/bipolar-disorder-assessment-and-management-pdf-35109814379461> . Accessed 07 Feb 2021.
41. National Institute for Health and Care Excellence (NICE). Psychosis with Coexisting Substance Misuse: Assessment and Management in Adults and Young People (NICE Clinical Guidelines, No. 120). 2: Psychosis with coexisting substance misuse. Available from: https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/books/NBK109783/pdf/Bookshelf_NBK109783.pdf. Accessed 07 Feb 2021.
42. NICE. Coexisting existing severe mental illness and substance misuse: community health and social care services. <https://www.nice.org.uk/guidance/ng58> . Accessed 07 February 2021.
43. National Collaborating Centre for Mental Health. Psychosis and schizophrenia in adults: treatment and management. <https://www.nice.org.uk/guidance/cg178>. Accessed 07 February 2021.
44. Soyka M, Kranzler HR, Hesselbrock V, Kasper S, Mutschler J, Möller HJ. Guidelines for biological treatment of substance use and related disorders, part 1: Alcoholism, first revision. *World J Biol Psychiatry*. 2017;18(2):86–119.
45. Keepers GA, Anzia JM, Benjamin S, Lyness JM, Mojtabai R, Servis M, et al. The American Psychiatric Association practice guideline for the treatment of patients with schizophrenia Guideline .<https://www.psychiatry.org/psychiatrists/practice/clinical-practice-guidelines>. Accessed 07 Feb 2021
46. Reus VI, Laura Fochtmann CJ, Oscar Bukstein M, Evan Eyler MA, Donald Hilty MM, Horvitz-Lennon M, et al. The American Psychiatric Association Practice Guideline For The Pharmacological Treatment of Patients With Alcohol Use Disorder. Available from: <https://psychiatryonline.org/doi/pdf/10.1176/appi.books.9781615371969>. Accessed 07 Feb 2021.
47. Hasan A, Falkai P, Wobrock T, Lieberman J, Glenthøj B, Gattaz WF, et al. World Federation of Societies of Biological Psychiatry (WFSBP) Guidelines for Biological Treatment of Schizophrenia Part 3: Update 2015 Management of special circumstances: Depression, Suicidality, substance use disorders and pregnancy and lactation. *World J Biol Psychiatry*. 2015;16(3):142–70.
48. Lingford-Hughes AR, Welch S, Peters L, Nutt DJ. BAP updated guidelines: Evidence-based guidelines for the pharmacological management of substance abuse, harmful use, addiction and comorbidity: Recommendations from BAP. *J Psychopharmacol*. 2012;26(7):899–952.
49. Barnes TRE, Drake R, Paton C, Cooper SJ, Deakin B, Ferrier IN, et al. Evidence-based guidelines for the pharmacological treatment of schizophrenia: Updated recommendations from the British Association for Psychopharmacology. *J Psychopharmacol*. 2020;34(1):3–78.
50. Goodwin GM, Haddad PM, Ferrier IN, Aronson JK, Barnes TRH, Cipriani A, et al. Evidence-based guidelines for treating bipolar disorder: Revised third edition recommendations from the British Association for Psychopharmacology. *J Psychopharmacol*. 2016;30(6):495–553.
51. Kampman K, Jarvis M. American Society of Addiction Medicine (ASAM) national practice guideline for the use of medications in the treatment of addiction involving opioid use. *J Addict Med*. 2015;9(5):358–67.
52. Keating D, McWilliams S, Schneider I, Hynes C, Cousins G, Strawbridge J, et al. Pharmacological guidelines for schizophrenia: a systematic review and comparison of recommendations for the first episode. *BMJ Open*. 2017;7(1):e013881.
53. Johnston A, Hsieh S-C, Carrier M, Kelly SE, Bai Z, Skidmore B, et al. A systematic review of clinical practice guidelines on the use of low molecular weight heparin and fondaparinux for the treatment and prevention of venous thromboembolism: Implications for research and policy decision-making. *PLoS One*. 2018;13(11):e0207410.
54. Zhang P, Lu Q, Li H, Wang W, Li G, Si L, et al. The quality of guidelines for diabetic foot ulcers: A critical appraisal using the AGREE II instrument. *PLoS One*. 2019;14(9):e0217555.
55. Bowen M, Marshall T, Yahyouche A, Paudyal V, Marwick S, Saunders K, et al. Multimorbidity and emergency department visits by a homeless population: A database study in specialist general practice. *Br J Gen Pract*. 2019;69(685):E515–25.
56. Gunner E, Chandan SK, Yahyouche A, Paudyal V, Marwick S, Saunders K, et al. Provision and accessibility of primary healthcare services for people who are homeless: A qualitative study of patient perspectives in the UK. *Br J Gen Pract*. 2019;69(685):E526–36.
57. Paudyal V, Maclure K, Forbes-McKay K, McKenzie M, McLeod J, Smith A SD. 'If I die, I die, I don't care about my health': perspectives on self-care of people experiencing homelessness. *Health Soc Care Community* [Internet]. 2020;28(1):160–72. Available from: <https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/31490598/>
58. Paudyal V, MacLure K, Buchanan C, Wilson L, Macleod J, Stewart D. 'When you are homeless, you are not thinking about your medication, but your food, shelter or heat for the night': behavioural determinants of homeless patients' adherence to prescribed medicines. *Public Health*. 2017;148:1–8.
59. Moxley VBA, Hoj TH, Novilla MLB. Predicting homelessness among individuals diagnosed with substance use disorders using local treatment records. *Addict Behav*. 2020;102:106160.

60. Padgett DK, Stanhope V, Henwood BF, Stefancic A. Substance use outcomes among homeless clients with serious mental illness: comparing housing first with treatment first programs. *Community Ment Health J.* 2011;47(2):227–32.
61. Paudyal V, Gibson Smith K, MacLure K, Forbes-McKay K, Radley A, Stewart D. Perceived roles and barriers in caring for the people who are homeless: a survey of UK community pharmacists. *Int J Clin Pharm.* 2019;41(1):215–27.
62. Jagpal P, Saunders K, Plahe G, Russell S, Barnes N, Lowrie R, et al. Research priorities in healthcare of persons experiencing homelessness: Outcomes of a national multi-disciplinary stakeholder discussion in the United Kingdom. *Int J Equity Health.* 2020;19(1):1–7.
63. Jagpal P, Barnes N, Lowrie R, Banerjee A, Paudyal V. Clinical Pharmacy Intervention for Persons Experiencing Homelessness: Evaluation of Patient Perspectives in Service Design and Development. *Pharmacy.* 2019;7(4):153.
64. Ali RA, Jalal Z, Paudyal V. Barriers to monitoring and management of cardiovascular and metabolic health of patients prescribed antipsychotic drugs: a systematic review. *BMC Psychiatry.* 2020;20(1).

Figures

Figure 1

PRISMA* diagram of guidelines selection process. *Figure format adapted from: Moher D, Liberati A, Tetzlaff J, Altman DG, The PRISMA Group (2009). Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses: The PRISMA Statement. *PLoS Med* 6(7): e1000097. doi:10.1371/journal.pmed1000097.

Figure 2
 Combined AGREEII assessment of guidelines

Supplementary Files

This is a list of supplementary files associated with this preprint. Click to download.

- [Electronicsupplementarymaterialsforreview08FEB21.docx](#)