

Safety and Efficacy of Intermittent Presumptive Treatment with Sulfadoxine-Pyrimethamine Using Rapid Diagnostic Test Screening and Treatment with Dihydroartemisinin-Piperaquine at the First Antenatal Care Visit (IPTp-SP+): Study Protocol for a Randomized Controlled Trial

Jean-Bertin Bukasa Kabuya (✉ jeanbertinkabuya@yahoo.com)

Tropical Diseases Research Centre <https://orcid.org/0000-0003-0339-9101>

Matthew M. Ippolito

Johns Hopkins University School of Medicine

Jay Sikalima

Tropical Diseases Research Centre

Clifford Tende

Tropical Diseases Research Centre

Davies Champo

Tropical Diseases Research Centre

David Mwakazanga

Tropical Diseases Research Centre

Anna Marie P. Young

Johns Hopkins University School of Medicine

Modest Mulenga

Tropical Diseases Research Centre

Gershon Chongwe

Tropical Diseases Research Centre

Christine Manyando

Tropical Diseases Research Centre

Study protocol

Keywords: Malaria in pregnancy, intermittent presumptive therapy, Zambia, Sulfadoxine-pyrimethamine, Dihydroartemisinin-piperaquine

Posted Date: June 28th, 2021

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.21203/rs.3.rs-229174/v1>

License:  This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License.

[Read Full License](#)

Version of Record: A version of this preprint was published at Trials on November 20th, 2021. See the published version at <https://doi.org/10.1186/s13063-021-05745-0>.

Abstract

Background: Intermittent preventive treatment in pregnancy (IPTp) with sulfadoxine-pyrimethamine (SP) is recommended by the World Health Organization for the prevention of malaria in pregnancy (MIP)-associated adverse outcomes in high burden areas. However, the efficacy of IPTp-SP has decreased in step with increasing parasite drug resistance. Suitable alternative strategies are needed.

Methods: This is a protocol for a phase IIIb open-label, two-armed randomized controlled superiority trial to assess the safety and efficacy of a hybrid approach to IPTp combining screening and treatment with dihydroartemisinin-piperaquine (DP) to the current IPTp-SP regimen at the first antenatal care clinic visit. Pregnant women without HIV infection and without signs or symptoms of malaria will be randomized to either standard IPTp-SP or hybrid IPTp-SP plus screening and treatment (IPTp-SP+). In the IPTp-SP+ arm, participants who screen positive by rapid diagnostic test for *P. falciparum* will be treated with DP at the first antenatal visit while those who screen negative will receive SP per current guidelines. All participants will be administered SP on days 35 and 63 and will be actively followed biweekly up to day 63 and then monthly until delivery. Infants will be followed until 1 year after delivery. The primary endpoint is incident PCR-confirmed MIP at day 42. Secondary endpoints include incident MIP at other time points, placental malaria, congenital malaria, hemoglobin trends, birth outcomes, and incidence of adverse events in infants up to the first birthday.

Discussion: A hybrid approach to IPTp that combines screening and treatment with an artemisinin-based combination therapy at the first visit with standard IPTp-SP is hypothesized to confer added benefit over IPTp-SP alone in a high malaria transmission area with prevalent SP resistant parasites.

Trial Registration {2a and 2b}.

Pan African Clinical Trials Registry, ID: PACTR201905721140808. Registered on May 20, 2019 – Retrospectively, <http://www.pactr.org>

Background {6a}

Malaria poses a major public health risk to pregnant women residing in areas of high *Plasmodium falciparum* transmission (1). Pregnant women face greater risk of infection and infection-related complications compared to other adults (2). In high burden areas, malaria in pregnancy (MIP) is usually asymptomatic and is linked to a variety of adverse outcomes including maternal anemia, maternal and perinatal mortality, congenital infection, fetal growth restriction, low birth weight, pre-term birth, and miscarriage (2–5). The World Health Organization (WHO) therefore recommends intermittent preventive treatment in pregnancy (IPTp) with sulfadoxine-pyrimethamine (SP) to reduce MIP and associated complications in high malaria transmission areas (6).

IPTp relies on contact with patients during scheduled antenatal care clinic (ANC) visits at 4- to 6-week intervals. Treatment doses of antimalarial medication are presumptively administered without prior

malaria laboratory testing to clear any potential existing infection and, for a limited post-treatment duration, to protect against subsequent infection (6). IPTp-SP has been essential in reducing MIP-associated adverse outcomes, with the greatest impact seen when at least three doses of SP are administered throughout a pregnancy (7, 8). However, the efficacy of SP is waning due to expanding drug resistance (9).

Resistance to SP is caused by point mutations in the genes encoding dihydropteroate synthase (*dhps*) and dihydrofolate reductase (*dhfr*) (10). Recent studies show that in areas with high prevalence of *dhps* K540E quintuple mutations IPTp-SP effectiveness is reduced but remains associated with increases in birth weight and maternal hemoglobin compared to no IPTp-SP (11, 12). However, studies from Tanzania and Malawi found that *dhps* A581G sextuple mutations are associated with a greater risk of low birth weight and high peripheral parasitemia, and increased placental infection and inflammation (9, 13). In Zambia, evidence points to increasing SP resistance and a corresponding increase in breakthrough parasitemia (14, 15). The prevalences of quintuple and sextuple mutations were estimated to be 17–61% and 2–3%, respectively, in our northern Zambia study site and were associated with SP failures of 26% and 22% (14, 15).

At present, WHO continues to recommend IPTp-SP in malarious regions where SP resistance remains below certain thresholds while alternative strategies are under investigation (6, 16). This clinical trial protocol tests the safety and efficacy of a hybrid approach that combines screening and treatment with rapid diagnostic test (RDT) and dihydroartemisinin-piperazine (DP) at the first antenatal visit in addition to standard IPTp-SP to reduce the incidence of MIP and improve maternal and birth outcomes in a high-transmission area with moderate to high prevalence of *dhps* K540E quintuple mutations.

Trial Objectives {7} And {12}

This research protocol is designed to assess the safety and efficacy of a revised IPTp schedule that incorporates a screen-and-treat strategy using RDT and DP at the first antenatal care visit (IPTp-SP+). The research objectives and corresponding endpoints are listed in Table 1.

Primary objectives

The two primary objectives are to compare the effectiveness of IPTp-SP with or without screen-and-treat with DP at first antenatal care contact in treating and preventing MIP, and to describe the safety profiles of IPTp-SP and IPTp-SP + including incidence of maternal and perinatal/infant adverse events until one-year post-partum.

Secondary objectives

The secondary objectives include assessment of the relative efficacies of IPTp-SP vs. IPTp-SP + in reducing maternal anemia, improving perinatal outcomes, and preventing placental and congenital malaria. We

will also compare the relative impacts of IPTp-SP and IPTp-SP + on parasite allele frequencies and haplotypes of SP and piperazine resistance makers among those with incident *P. falciparum* infection.

Methods

Study design {8}

This is a phase IIIb open-label randomized controlled superiority trial of standard IPTp-SP vs. IPTp-SP plus screen-and-treat using DP (IPTp-SP+). Participants randomized to the IPTp-SP arm will receive care according to current national guidelines. Participants who are randomized to the IPTp-SP+ arm will receive standard-of-care with the addition of screen-and-treat using RDT and DP at the first ANC visit.

Study site {9}

The trial will take place in Nchelenge District, Luapula Province, Zambia. The district is located in the northern wetlands of Zambia alongside Lake Mweru, an area of hyperendemic malaria (17). Malaria transmission occurs throughout the year. The predominant vector is *Anopheles funestus* which peaks during the dry season (May-September) while both *An. funestus* and *An. gambiae* are found during the rainy season (18). The population of the district is estimated to be over 296,000 inhabitants consisting mostly of subsistence farmers and fishermen and women. Nchelenge District has one hospital, Saint Paul's General Hospital (SPGH), twelve rural health centers, and two health posts. The trial will recruit and follow obstetric patients and their offspring at two of the rural health centers and SPGH. SPGH is equipped with an operation theater and labor-and-delivery ward for the provision of essential obstetric services.

Study population {9}

Pregnant volunteer will be recruited from routine ANC visits. Inclusion and exclusion criteria are listed in Table 2. All pregnant women presenting to the ANC for the first time of their current pregnancy will be assessed for eligibility according to the following criteria: age ≥ 15 years, estimated gestational age 16-26 weeks, hemoglobin concentration ≥ 7 g/dL, ability to provide informed consent, residence in the study area with intention to deliver at the health center, no evidence of clinical malaria or other acute illness, and ability to tolerate oral medication. Women will be deemed ineligible for any of the following: infection with human immunodeficiency virus (HIV) or unknown HIV status, prior IPTp-SP or other antimalarial use or antimicrobials with antimalarial activity during the current pregnancy, intolerance to either of the study drugs, existing or prior obstetric complications, prior enrollment in the study or concurrent enrollment in another study, concurrent medications with potential for drug-drug interactions or potentiation of cardiac arrhythmia, presence of chronic illness or other factor deemed likely to influence the pregnancy outcome, or other reason which is judged by the investigator to render the individual unsuitable for study participation.

Randomization and masking {16a, b, c and 17a, b}

The following procedures will be used to ensure an unbiased assessment of treatment safety and efficacy. A computer-generated randomization schedule will be generated prior to the start of the study and kept in a locked cabinet accessible only to the study coordinator. The schedule will comprise blocks of varying size to allocate, in a 1:1 ratio, eligible participants to either IPTp-SP or IPTp-SP+. The trial is open-label. However, study investigators, study clinicians, and statisticians are masked to treatment assignment. Investigators will be unmasked after all mothers have delivered. A Data and Safety Monitoring Board (DSMB) will be established to review safety data. Statisticians will remain masked until after the DSMB has approved the final analysis plan and the database is locked. All outcome assessors, including laboratory parasitologists and pathologists, will also be masked until the final database is locked.

Intervention {11a, b, c}

The intervention will consist of IPTp-SP with or without screen-and-treat using DP at the first ANC visit. Participants randomized to the IPTp-SP+ group who test positive by rapid diagnostic test (RDT) will be given a full treatment course of DP (D-ARTEPP® 40 mg/320 mg three tablets daily for three days; Guilin Pharmaceutical) under direct observation by a study nurse (Day 0) or community health worker (Days 1-2). All other participants will be treated with SP at the first visit, including those in the IPTp-SP+ arm with a negative RDT result, and all participants in both groups will be given SP at all subsequent visits (Day 35, Day 63, then monthly until delivery). IPTp-SP will be administered according to national guidelines (G-SCOPE® 1,500 mg sulfadoxine/75 mg pyrimethamine for one dose no fewer than 4 weeks between doses; Guilin Pharmaceutical). Participants will be observed for 1-hour post-dose to monitor for vomiting or other adverse reactions. If vomiting occurs within 30 minutes, the full dose will be readministered. If vomiting occurs after 30 minutes, half of the total dose will be readministered. In the case of persistent vomiting, the participant will be withdrawn from the study and referred for alternative treatment.

Clinical procedures {11d}, {13}, {15}, {26a}

Study procedures are outlined in Table 3. The informed consent will be administered by a study nurse in the local language (Bemba) and/or in English as preferred by the participant. Assent will be obtained from participants <18 years old, and informed consent will be obtained from those ≥18 or the legally authorized representative for minors. If the participant cannot provide a written signature then a thumbprint will be obtained. After collection of demographic data, provision of informed consent, baseline medical and obstetric history and physical examination by a study physician including eligibility assessment, participants will be randomized to either the IPTp-SP or IPTp-SP+ treatment groups. All participants will undergo hemoglobin measurement as part of the screening process. On enrollment, fingerstick blood will be drawn for preparation of dried blood spots (DBS) for subsequent molecular testing. In addition, participants randomized to the experimental group (IPTp-SP+) will undergo RDT.

Treatment with either SP or DP will be administered as described above. Participants will return for follow-up on days 14, 28, 35, 42, 63 and then monthly thereafter until delivery. They will be instructed to report to the clinic for any illness between scheduled visits.

At each scheduled or unscheduled visit, the study clinician will perform an interval history and physical examination, including an updated medication history. During the active follow-up period (up to day 63) DBS will be collected and hemoglobin will be measured. SP will be given on days 35 and 63. Additional doses of SP will be given during monthly visits until delivery with a minimum of 4 weeks between doses and laboratory samples (e.g., blood slide for microscopy, urinary tests, full blood count) will be collected if clinically indicated according to signs and symptoms. Antimalarials or antibiotics with antimalarial activity (e.g. systemic erythromycin, macrolide antibiotics, trimethoprim-sulfamethoxazole or other sulphonamides, tetracyclines, quinolones, clindamycin) will be prohibited during the active follow-up period.

Participants who present during the follow-up period with any signs or symptoms of malaria will undergo testing by thick smear, and DBS will be collected from those with positive microscopy. The signs and symptoms that we will consider indicative of possible clinical malaria include fever, chills, weakness, fatigue, myalgia, arthralgia, headache, anorexia, nausea, vomiting, abdominal pain, or diarrhea. All confirmed uncomplicated malaria cases will be treated with artemether-lumefantrine according to national guidelines (Coartem™ 20 mg/120 mg four tablets taken at 0, 8, 24, 36, 48, and 60 hours). Participants who develop severe malaria defined according to WHO criteria will be referred to SPGH for inpatient management (19).

On the day of delivery, the participant and infant will be evaluated as soon as possible after delivery. The birthweight will be measured, and an assessment for congenital abnormalities will be done. Obstetric complications including but not limited to hemorrhage, premature rupture of membranes, Cesarean section, and others will be captured as adverse events. Congenital abnormalities, if present, will also be recorded. Thick and thin peripheral blood films, DBS, and hemoglobin measurement will be done on both the participant and infant. In addition, cord blood, placental blood films for thick and thin smear microscopy, placental DBS, and placental biopsy for histopathological analysis will be collected.

Infants will be reassessed at 1, 6, 9 and 12 months postpartum for focused history and physical examination, including interval medication and hospitalization history, growth, and assessment of developmental milestones. At the first monthly visit, hemoglobin measurement and malaria parasitology by thick smear and PCR testing using DBS prepared from heel stick blood will be performed.

Laboratory procedures {13}

Malaria microscopy

Thick blood smears will be stained with 3% Giemsa for 30 minutes and examined by trained microscopists. Parasite densities will be calculated by counting the number of asexual parasites per 200 leukocytes and the parasite density will be estimated assuming 8,000 leukocytes per microliter. A slide will be determined negative after counting 2,000 leukocytes. A thin blood smear will be made for species identification and quantification of high parasitemia (>16,000 parasites/ μ L). Non-falciparum species, gametocytes and other malaria pigments will be reported but not quantified. Each slide will be read

separately by two independent experienced microscopists who will remain masked to treatment assignment.

Point-of-care testing

Malaria point-of-care diagnostic testing will be done via *P. falciparum* HRP2 antigen-based RDT (SD BIOLINE, Abbott, Illinois, USA). Hemoglobin concentrations will be measured using a point-of-care Hb 201 Hemocue® Analyser (Angelholm, Sweden).

Placental histopathology

At the time of delivery, a 1 cm³ biopsy specimen will be obtained from the maternal-facing side of the placenta. Biopsy specimens will be preserved in 10% neutral buffered formalin and embedded in paraffin wax. Pending histological evaluation, tissue will be kept at 4°C. Paraffin sections 4 mm thick will be stained with hematoxylin and eosin stain. Placental biopsies will be classified according to the following definitions: acute infection (parasites present, malaria pigment absent), chronic infection (both parasites and malaria pigment present), past infection (parasites absent, malaria pigment present), or no infection (both parasites and malaria pigment absent) (20).

Molecular assays

DBS collected onto filter paper cards (Whatman® 903 Protein Saver, Sigma-Aldrich) will be allowed to rack-dry overnight, and stored individually in opaque sealable plastic bags with desiccant before subsequent use in PCR assays. PCR detection of parasites will be done using cytochrome b. Recurrent episodes will be genotyped to distinguish recrudescence infections from new infections according to standard WHO protocols will be conducted with nested PCR of *merozoite surface protein-1* and *-2* (*msp1*, *msp2*) and glutamate-rich protein (*glurp*) genes for length polymorphisms (21). Infections will be classified as recrudescence infection if, for each marker, there is at least one identical allele between the initial and recurrent infection. Infections will be classified as reinfections if, for at least one marker, there is a different length polymorphism between the initial and recurrent infection. Infections will be classified as indeterminate in the case of low coverage or missingness due to amplification failure that precludes comparisons at all three genes. Drug resistance markers for artemisinins, SP, piperazine, and related markers for chloroquine and amodiaquine cross-resistance will be evaluated by molecular inversion probe and amplicon deep sequencing based approaches (22, 23).

Plasma piperazine concentrations

Participants who are randomized to the IPTp-SP+ who screen positive and are administered DP treatment will have an additional 2 mL blood collection for measurement of piperazine plasma concentrations on days 0, 14, 28, 35, and 42. Piperazine quantitation will be done using liquid chromatography tandem mass spectrometry as previously described (24). The terminal elimination half-life will be estimated using noncompartmental analysis, and the effect of age, body surface area, body mass index, and gestational

age on drug concentrations will be explored in mixed effects models using WinNonlin software (Certara, Princeton, NJ).

Safety assessments {22}

Drug safety will be monitored at every visit during the course of the study in compliance with International Conference on Harmonization Good Clinical Practice guidelines for adverse events (AEs) and serious adverse events (SAEs) (25). All SAEs will be reported to the sponsor and the ethics committee within 24 working hours of the study staff first becoming aware. Investigators will determine the relationship between safety signals and the study drugs, and define outcomes, according to standard classifications (25).

Sample size calculation {14}

The sample size was determined based on available data and with the objective of achieving a detectable difference of a 50% reduction in the incidence of MIP. In 2019, Nchelenge District recorded 7,791 live births (unpublished data). Prior studies in the same population found PCR prevalence of *P. falciparum* parasitemia to be 22-26% in pregnant women (14, 15). A sample size of 324 pregnant women (n=162 per arm) will afford 80% power to detect a 50% difference of effect between study arms with a two-tailed alpha of 0.05. To allow for loss to follow-up of up to 20%, a total of 392 (n=196 per arm) will be recruited.

Data management {18a} and {19}

Source data will be recorded using paper case report forms (CRFs) which will then be double-entered into an electronic database. The CRFs and database will be routinely checked for accuracy by the investigators during the data collection period. The final database will be locked after resolution of all queries. All paper CRFs will be filed and kept in lockable cabinets in offices accessible only to study personnel. At the conclusion of the study, the files will be transferred to the Tropical Diseases Research Centre where they will be stored for a period of 5 years after study completion.

Participant retention and withdrawal {18b}

To facilitate retention in the study, participants will be issued study visit cards that include the dates of their follow-up visits. We will collect participants' telephone numbers and physical addresses to allow the study team to contact participants in case of missed visits, advising them to report to the clinic for their scheduled visit within the window period of 3 days. Participants will be withdrawn from the study only if they withdraw informed consent.

Statistical Analysis {20a, 20b}

Longitudinal data will be displayed using the nonparametric Kaplan-Meier estimations of the survival function. The primary analysis will be comparison of the 42-day incidence of MIP using multivariate

models to estimate the relative hazard between the IPTp-SP and IPTp-SP+ groups. Testing for proportionality of hazards will be done using formal statistical testing with Schoenfeld residuals as well as visual inspection of the nonparametric survival estimates. For non-proportional hazards an extended Cox model will be applied. The relative hazard will be estimated in parametric models accounting for recurrent events by using a robust variance estimator. Treatment assignment of individuals will be according to intention-to-treat (ITT) for the primary analysis. For the primary safety analysis, we will compare the proportions of serious and non-serious AEs using logistic regression models and relative hazards of AEs using Cox regression methods as above. Secondary analyses of continuous outcomes (hemoglobin concentration, birthweight) will be examined in linear regression models and in logistic regression models for binary outcomes (prevalence of low birth weight, neonatal mortality, placental malaria, congenital malaria, maternal anemia, congenital anemia, drug resistance allele frequency). Prespecified subgroup analyses will be done according to the following strata: primigravidae, multigravidae, gestational age at enrollment, delivery before or after the day 63 visit, treatment dose on a mg/kg basis, positive *P. falciparum* PCR on enrollment, and presence or absence of quintuple and sextuple mutations. We will compare the proportions of treatment failures and prevention failures according to the antimalarial drug given at the first encounter (SP or DP) in a modified ITT analysis using logistic regression. Treatment failures will be defined as recurrent infections that are determined by genotyping to be recrudescence parasites from the initial infection in participants who tested positive by PCR at the initial visit. Prevention failures will be defined as PCR-confirmed parasitemia in participants who initially tested negative by PCR at the first visit, or who tested positive by PCR at the first visit but who experienced reinfection with a new parasite as determined by genotyping.

Ethical approval {24}

This protocol has been approved by the European and Developing Countries Clinical Trial Partnership Ethics Review Committee (ERC) and locally by the Tropical Diseases Research Centre ERC, the Zambia Medicine Regulatory Authority and the National Health Research Ethics Board of the Ministry of Health of the Government of the Republic of Zambia.

Quality assurance and data and safety monitoring board {21a}

Four site visits will be conducted by an independent external monitor who will carry out a minimum of 20% source data verification. A data and safety monitoring board (DSMB) composed of four members will be established. The DSMB will meet quarterly to review progress and provide independent assessments of the quality of the data produced and the safety of study treatments.

Discussion

Rationale for this study

Prevention of malaria during pregnancy is important to maternal and child health in endemic areas, but the spread of drug resistant *P. falciparum* has compromised the effectiveness of the current standard

approach of IPTp-SP (26–30). Clinical trials of alternative strategies are therefore needed. This trial protocol describes a hybrid IPTp-SP approach that combines standard IPTp-SP with screening and treatment using DP at the first ANC visit.

There are four justifications to this approach. First, higher density infections that occur early in gestation around the time of the first ANC visit are believed to pose a greater risk to pregnancy than infections that occur later in pregnancy or are lower in density (31–33). These earlier, higher density infections are often detectable by RDT and are more effectively cleared by curative artemisinin-based combination therapies (ACTs) than by SP, particularly in areas with high quintuple and sextuple mutants. Second, compared to other ACTs, DP provides a period of post-treatment prophylaxis similar to SP owing to piperazine's long half-life (34–36). ACTs with shorter elimination half-lives than DP may be insufficient to bridge the period between ANC visits. Third, screening and treatment during the first visit only—rather than all ANC visits—is believed to minimize programmatic complexity and resource consumption without sacrificing utility. Mathematical models that compared screening and treatment at the first visit only to screening and treatment at every visit predicted only a marginal difference between the two approaches (31). Fourth, drug resistance in *P. falciparum* to antifolates is a graduated, rather than all-or-none, phenomenon. Even in areas of prevalent SP resistance genetic markers, IPTp-SP retains a clinically significant level of effectiveness (11). Some of its effectiveness may be independent of its antimalarial properties. Thus, abandoning SP altogether may not be advisable because it would relinquish a still useful drug while exposing other antimalarials to a greater potential of emerging resistance.

Alternative strategies to standard IPTp-SP

Various alternatives to standard IPTp-SP are under consideration including the hybrid approach we will test in this protocol (16). Alternative strategies evaluated in sub-Saharan Africa have so far included IPTp using alternative antimalarials (e.g. DP, artemether-lumefantrine, mefloquine, azithromycin-chloroquine, amodiaquine), intermittent screening and treatment in pregnancy (ISTp) with SP or other agents at one or more ANC visits, or a combination of these approaches (37–45). Mefloquine (MQ) and DP were shown to be superior to SP for IPTp in reducing incident parasitemia and clinical malaria in areas of widespread SP resistance (40–42). MQ, however, was less well tolerated and DP was associated with lower birth weight compared to SP (41, 42). Prior studies also identified the rapid emergence of purported genetic markers of piperazine resistance within IPTp-DP cohorts (46, 47). ISTp with artemisinin-based combination therapy at the first ANC visit, in which those who screened negative received no drug at all, was associated with a higher proportion of MIP compared to standard IPTp-SP, attributed to *P. falciparum* parasitemia that went undiagnosed and untreated due to the incomplete sensitivity of RDTs used in screening (42–44).

Precedent for hybrid models of IPTp-SP

A combined approach of ISTp at the first ANC visit and IPTp-SP at subsequent visits was implemented as national policy in Tanzania for surveillance purposes in 2014, referred to as “single screen and treat in pregnancy” (48). Women are screened using an RDT at their first ANC visit, and those who screen positive

are treated with either quinine (for women in their first trimester) or an artemisinin-based combination therapy (for women in their second or third trimester) while those who screen negative receive standard IPTp-SP starting from the second trimester. This hybrid strategy was recently evaluated in mathematical models by Walker et al. (31). The authors modeled five different MIP prevention strategies: IPTp-SP, IPTp-DP, ISTp-DP, and two hybrid strategies of IPTp-SP combined with ISTp-DP either at the first visit only or at every visit. In modeled scenarios that assumed a high frequency of quintuple resistance, the two hybrid strategies performed near equally to each other, while IPTp-DP performed the best and IPTp-SP and ISTp-DP performed the poorest (31). The modeled predictions of ISTp and IPTp have been borne out in clinical trials (42, 43), while hybrid strategies have been less closely studied to date.

Limitations

There are limitations to this study design. A number of previous trials of IPTp included pregnant women who had symptoms of malaria at the time of enrollment. We will exclude women who are symptomatic at the time of their first ANC visit because they must undergo routine clinical evaluation, which includes testing for malaria, and therefore cannot be withheld from malaria screening as would be required if they were randomized to the control group. We will also exclude women who received an antimalarial drug during the current pregnancy to control for contamination bias. These exclusions will reduce the generalizability of the study. Due to the nature of the experimental intervention—ISTp-DP added to standard IPTp-SP—there will be participants who are randomized to the intervention arm but who will receive identical care to that of the comparator arm apart from a single instance of RDT screening. That is, participants randomized to the IPTp-SP + arm but who screen negative will receive IPTp-SP. This is anticipated to attenuate the observed protective effect of the intervention on the subsequent incidence of MIP due to the mixing of participants who receive DP with those who receive SP at the first ANC visit. However, this has been accounted for in the sample size calculation, and has the advantage of mimicking the real-world application of the strategy to test its effectiveness. We will also account for this by conducting a subgroup analysis comparing IPTp-SP to IPTp-SP + among participants with a positive *P. falciparum* PCR at the first visit.

Generating evidence to inform guidelines

Alternative chemopreventive strategies for MIP are urgently needed given the widespread prevalence of SP resistant *P. falciparum* in sub-Saharan Africa. This trial will test a strategy of adding screening and treatment with DP at the first antenatal visit to the standard IPTp-SP to reduce the incidence of MIP and thereby protect against malaria-attributable complications of pregnancy and child development.

Trail Status

This is protocol version 2.2 dated 28 October 2019. Recruitment began on 29 April 2019 ended on 19 June 2020 with data collection still ongoing. This protocol was not submitted for publication during the active enrollment period due to delays caused by the coronavirus pandemic and medical emergency with prolonged recovery of one of our senior team members.

Abbreviations

ACT

Artemisinin-based combination therapy; AE:Adverse event; ANC:Antenatal care clinic; DBS:Dried blood spot; *dhfr*:Dihydrofolate reductase; *dhps*:Dihydropteroate synthase; DP:Dihydroartemisinin-piperaquine; DSMB:Data and Safety Monitoring Board; ERC:Ethics Review Committee; HIV:Human immunodeficiency virus; IPTp:Intermittent preventive treatment in pregnancy; IPTp-SP:Intermittent preventive treatment in pregnancy with sulfadoxine-pyrimethamine; ISTp:Intermittent screening and treatment in pregnancy; MIP:Malaria in pregnancy; MQ:Mefloquine; RDT:Rapid diagnostic test for *P. falciparum* malaria; SAE:Serious adverse event; SP:Sulfadoxine-pyrimethamine; TDRC:Tropical Diseases Research Centre; WHO:World Health Organization

Declarations

Acknowledgements

We thank the participants and their families, members of the Data Safety and Monitoring Board, the study monitor, and TDRC staff who have contributed to the realization of this project.

Authors' contributions {5a}

JBK is the principal investigator and conceived the study, led the proposal and protocol development, and drafted the manuscript. MMI contributed to the study design and manuscript preparation. JS, CT, DM, and DC assisted with the preparation of the study protocol and critically reviewed the manuscript. AMY contributed to manuscript writing and guideline adherence. MM and GC provided project supervision and critically reviewed the manuscript. CM is the overall project supervisor, and contributed to the study design, development of the proposal and the protocol, and critically reviewed the manuscript. All authors read and approved the final version of the manuscript.

Funding

This trial is funded by the European and Developing Countries Clinical Trial Partnership (grant reference TMA2016CDF-1584). The funding source played no role in the drafting, review, or approval of the manuscript.

Availability of data and materials {29} and {31c}

Data will be deposited in a secure data sharing repository accessible upon reasonable request and after agreement by the TDRC directorate.

Ethics approval and consent to participate

This protocol has been approved by the European and Developing Countries Clinical Trial Partnership Ethics Review Committee, the Tropical Diseases Research Centre Ethics Review Committee, the Zambia Medicine Regulatory Authority, and the National Health Research Ethics Board of the Ministry of Health of the Government of the Republic of Zambia. All study participants will undergo written informed consent.

Consent for publication

All authors consent to publication.

Competing interests {28}

JBK is an EDCTP fellow. The other authors declare that they have no competing interests.

References

1. World Health Organization. World Malaria Report. Geneva: WHO Global Malaria Programme; 2020.
2. van Geertruyden JP, Thomas F, Erhart A, D'Alessandro U. The contribution of malaria in pregnancy to perinatal mortality. *Am J Trop Med Hyg.* 2004;71(2 Suppl):35–40.
3. Desai M, ter Kuile FO, Nosten F, McGready R, Asamoah K, Brabin B, et al. Epidemiology and burden of malaria in pregnancy. *Lancet Infect Dis.* 2007;7(2):93–104.
4. Steketee RW, Nahlen BL, Parise ME, Menendez C. The burden of malaria in pregnancy in malaria-endemic areas. *Am J Trop Med Hyg.* 2001;64(1–2 Suppl):28–35.
5. World Health Organization. A strategic framework for malaria prevention and control during pregnancy in the African region. Geneva: WHO; 2004.
6. World Health Organization. Intermittent Preventive Treatment of Malaria in Pregnancy Using Sulfadoxin-Pyrimethamine (IPTp-SP). Geneva: WHO; 2012.
7. Kayentao K, Garner P, van Eijk AM, Naidoo I, Roper C, Mulokozi A, et al. Intermittent preventive therapy for malaria during pregnancy using 2 vs 3 or more doses of sulfadoxine-pyrimethamine and risk of low birth weight in Africa: systematic review and meta-analysis. *JAMA.* 2013;309(6):594–604.
8. Rogerson SJ, Unger HW. Prevention and control of malaria in pregnancy - new threats, new opportunities? *Expert Rev Anti Infect Ther.* 2017;15(4):361–75.
9. Harrington WE, Mutabingwa TK, Muehlenbachs A, Sorensen B, Bolla MC, Fried M, et al. Competitive facilitation of drug-resistant *Plasmodium falciparum* malaria parasites in pregnant women who receive preventive treatment. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A.* 2009;106(22):9027–32.
10. Minja DT, Schmiegelow C, Mmbando B, Bostrom S, Oesterholt M, Magistrado P, et al. *Plasmodium falciparum* mutant haplotype infection during pregnancy associated with reduced birthweight, Tanzania. *Emerg Infect Dis.* 2013;19(9).
11. Desai M, Gutman J, Taylor SM, Wiegand RE, Khairallah C, Kayentao K, et al. Impact of Sulfadoxine-Pyrimethamine Resistance on Effectiveness of Intermittent Preventive Therapy for Malaria in

- Pregnancy at Clearing Infections and Preventing Low Birth Weight. *Clin Infect Dis.* 2016;62(3):323–33.
12. van Eijk AM, Larsen DA, Kayentao K, Koshy G, Slaughter DEC, Roper C, et al. Effect of Plasmodium falciparum sulfadoxine-pyrimethamine resistance on the effectiveness of intermittent preventive therapy for malaria in pregnancy in Africa: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Lancet Infect Dis.* 2019;19(5):546–56.
 13. Gutman J, Kalilani L, Taylor S, Zhou Z, Wiegand RE, Thwai KL, et al. The A581G Mutation in the Gene Encoding Plasmodium falciparum Dihydropteroate Synthetase Reduces the Effectiveness of Sulfadoxine-Pyrimethamine Preventive Therapy in Malawian Pregnant Women. *J Infect Dis.* 2015;211(12):1997–2005.
 14. Tan K, Katalenich B, Mace K, Nambozi M, Taylor S, Meshnick S. Efficacy of sulphadoxine-pyrimethamine for intermittent preventive treatment of malaria in pregnancy, Mansa, Zambia. *Malaria Journal.* 2014;132(27).
 15. Siame MN, Mharakurwa S, Chipeta J, Thuma P, Michelo C. High prevalence of dhfr and dhps molecular markers in Plasmodium falciparum in pregnant women of Nchelenge district, Northern Zambia. *Malar J.* 2015;14:190.
 16. World Health Organization. Malaria Policy Advisory Committee to the WHO: conclusions and recommendations of eighth biannual meeting. *Malar J.* 2016;15:117.
 17. Pinchoff J, Chaponda M, Shields TM, Sichivula J, Muleba M, Mulenga M, et al. Individual and Household Level Risk Factors Associated with Malaria in Nchelenge District, a Region with Perennial Transmission: A Serial Cross-Sectional Study from 2012 to 2015. *PLoS One.* 2016;11(6):e0156717.
 18. Nambozi M, Kabuya JB, Hachizovu S, Mwakazanga D, Mulenga J, Kasongo W, et al. Artemisinin-based combination therapy in pregnant women in Zambia: efficacy, safety and risk of recurrent malaria. *Malar J.* 2017;16(1):199.
 19. World Health Organization. Severe Malaria. *Trop Med Int Health.* 2014;19(7).
 20. Ismail MR, Ordi J, Menendez C, Ventura PJ, Aponte JJ, Kahigwa E, et al. Placental pathology in malaria: a histological, immunohistochemical, and quantitative study. *Hum Pathol.* 2000;31(1):85–93.
 21. World Health Organization. Methods and techniques for clinical trials on antimalarial drug efficacy: genotyping to identify parasite populations. Amsterdam: WHO Global Malaria Programme; 2007.
 22. Aydemir O, Janko M, Hathaway NJ, Verity R, Mwandagalirwa MK, Tshefu AK, et al. Drug-Resistance and Population Structure of Plasmodium falciparum Across the Democratic Republic of Congo Using High-Throughput Molecular Inversion Probes. *J Infect Dis.* 2018;218(6):946–55.
 23. Mideo N, Bailey JA, Hathaway NJ, Ngasala B, Saunders DL, Lon C, et al. A deep sequencing tool for partitioning clearance rates following antimalarial treatment in polyclonal infections. *Evol Med Public Health.* 2016;2016(1):21–36.
 24. Aziz MY, Hoffmann KJ, Ashton M. LC-MS/MS quantitation of antimalarial drug piperazine and metabolites in human plasma. *J Chromatogr B Analyt Technol Biomed Life Sci.* 2017;1063:253–8.

25. International Conference on Harmonization Good Clinical Practice. Integrated Addendum to ICH E6(R1): Guideline for good clinical practice. 2020.
26. Chico RM, Chandramohan D. Intermittent preventive treatment of malaria in pregnancy: at the crossroads of public health policy. *Trop Med Int Health*. 2011;16(7):774–85.
27. Nosten F, McGready R. Intermittent presumptive treatment in pregnancy with sulfadoxine-pyrimethamine: a counter perspective. *Malar J*. 2015;14:248.
28. Arinaitwe E, Ades V, Walakira A, Ninsiima B, Mugagga O, Patil TS, et al. Intermittent preventive therapy with sulfadoxine-pyrimethamine for malaria in pregnancy: a cross-sectional study from Tororo, Uganda. *PLoS One*. 2013;8(9):e73073.
29. Harrington WE, Mutabingwa TK, Kabyemela E, Fried M, Duffy PE. Intermittent treatment to prevent pregnancy malaria does not confer benefit in an area of widespread drug resistance. *Clin Infect Dis*. 2011;53(3):224–30.
30. Moussiliou A, De Tove YS, Doritchamou J, Luty AJ, Massougboji A, Alifrangis M, et al. High rates of parasite recrudescence following intermittent preventive treatment with sulphadoxine-pyrimethamine during pregnancy in Benin. *Malar J*. 2013;12:195.
31. Walker PGT, Cairns M, Slater H, Gutman J, Kayentao K, Williams JE, et al. Modelling the incremental benefit of introducing malaria screening strategies to antenatal care in Africa. *Nat Commun*. 2020;11(1):3799.
32. Williams JE, Cairns M, Njie F, Laryea Quaye S, Awine T, Oduro A, et al. The Performance of a Rapid Diagnostic Test in Detecting Malaria Infection in Pregnant Women and the Impact of Missed Infections. *Clin Infect Dis*. 2016;62(7):837–44.
33. Tuikue Ndam N, Tornyigah B, Dossou AY, Escriou G, Nielsen MA, Salanti A, et al. Persistent *Plasmodium falciparum* Infection in Women With an Intent to Become Pregnant as a Risk Factor for Pregnancy-associated Malaria. *Clin Infect Dis*. 2018;67(12):1890–6.
34. Tarning J, Ashley EA, Lindegardh N, Stepniewska K, Phaiphun L, Day NP, et al. Population pharmacokinetics of piperquine after two different treatment regimens with dihydroartemisinin-piperquine in patients with *Plasmodium falciparum* malaria in Thailand. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother*. 2008;52(3):1052–61.
35. PREGACT Study Group. Peki D, Ampromfi AA, Tinto H, Traore-Coulibaly M, Tahita MC, et al. Four Artemisinin-Based Treatments in African Pregnant Women with Malaria. *N Engl J Med*. 2016;374(10):913–27.
36. Ahmed R, Levy EI, Maratina SS, de Jong JJ, Asih PB, Rozi IE, et al. Performance of four HRP-2/pLDH combination rapid diagnostic tests and field microscopy as screening tests for malaria in pregnancy in Indonesia: a cross-sectional study. *Malar J*. 2015;14:420.
37. Luntamo M, Kulmala T, Cheung YB, Maleta K, Ashorn P. The effect of antenatal monthly sulphadoxine-pyrimethamine, alone or with azithromycin, on foetal and neonatal growth faltering in Malawi: a randomised controlled trial. *Trop Med Int Health*. 2013;18(4):386–97.

38. Kimani J, Phiri K, Kamiza S, Duparc S, Ayoub A, Rojo R, et al. Efficacy and Safety of Azithromycin-Chloroquine versus Sulfadoxine-Pyrimethamine for Intermittent Preventive Treatment of Plasmodium falciparum Malaria Infection in Pregnant Women in Africa: An Open-Label, Randomized Trial. *PLoS One*. 2016;11(6):e0157045.
39. Tagbor H, Bruce J, Agbo M, Greenwood B, Chandramohan D. Intermittent screening and treatment versus intermittent preventive treatment of malaria in pregnancy: a randomised controlled non-inferiority trial. *PLoS One*. 2010;5(12):e14425.
40. Kakuru A, Jagannathan P, Muhindo MK, Natureeba P, Awori P, Nakalembe M, et al. Dihydroartemisinin-Piperaquine for the Prevention of Malaria in Pregnancy. *N Engl J Med*. 2016;374(10):928–39.
41. Gonzalez R, Mombo-Ngoma G, Ouedraogo S, Kakolwa MA, Abdulla S, Accrombessi M, et al. Intermittent preventive treatment of malaria in pregnancy with mefloquine in HIV-negative women: a multicentre randomized controlled trial. *PLoS Med*. 2014;11(9):e1001733.
42. Desai M, Gutman J, L'Lanziva A, Otieno K, Juma E, Kariuki S, et al. Intermittent screening and treatment or intermittent preventive treatment with dihydroartemisinin–piperaquine versus intermittent preventive treatment with sulfadoxine–pyrimethamine for the control of malaria during pregnancy in western Kenya: an open-label, three-group, randomised controlled superiority trial. *The Lancet*. 2015;386(10012):2507–19.
43. Madanitsa M, Kalilani L, Mwapasa V, van Eijk AM, Khairallah C, Ali D, et al. Scheduled Intermittent Screening with Rapid Diagnostic Tests and Treatment with Dihydroartemisinin-Piperaquine versus Intermittent Preventive Therapy with Sulfadoxine-Pyrimethamine for Malaria in Pregnancy in Malawi: An Open-Label Randomized Controlled Trial. *PLoS Med*. 2016;13(9):e1002124.
44. Tagbor H, Cairns M, Bojang K, Coulibaly SO, Kayentao K, Williams J, et al. A Non-Inferiority, Individually Randomized Trial of Intermittent Screening and Treatment versus Intermittent Preventive Treatment in the Control of Malaria in Pregnancy. *PLoS One*. 2015;10(8):e0132247.
45. Clerk CA, Bruce J, Affipunguh PK, Mensah N, Hodgson A, Greenwood B, et al. A randomized, controlled trial of intermittent preventive treatment with sulfadoxine-pyrimethamine, amodiaquine, or the combination in pregnant women in Ghana. *J Infect Dis*. 2008;198(8):1202–11.
46. Nayebare P, Asua V, Conrad MD, Kajubi R, Kakuru A, Nankabirwa JI, et al. Associations between Malaria-Preventive Regimens and Plasmodium falciparum Drug Resistance-Mediating Polymorphisms in Ugandan Pregnant Women. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother*. 2020;64(12).
47. Conrad MD, Mota D, Foster M, Tukwasibwe S, Legac J, Tumwebaze P, et al. Impact of Intermittent Preventive Treatment During Pregnancy on Plasmodium falciparum Drug Resistance-Mediating Polymorphisms in Uganda. *J Infect Dis*. 2017;216(8):1008–17.
48. Kitojo C, Gutman JR, Chacky F, Kigadye E, Mkude S, Mandike R, et al. Estimating malaria burden among pregnant women using data from antenatal care centres in Tanzania: a population-based study. *Lancet Glob Health*. 2019;7(12):e1695-e705.

Tables

Table 1 Outcomes {12}	
Objectives	Outcomes/endpoints
Primary objectives	
To compare the effectiveness of IPTp-SP versus IPTp-SP+ for treating and preventing maternal <i>P. falciparum</i> infection	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Relative hazard of <i>P. falciparum</i> infection diagnosed by PCR at day 42 after randomization (primary outcome) • Relative hazard of <i>P. falciparum</i> infection diagnosed by PCR or microscopy at days 14, 28, 35, 42, 63, delivery, and 1-month post-partum in infants • Proportion who experience at least one episode of <i>P. falciparum</i> infection by day 14, 28, 35, 42, or 63 • Proportion with treatment or prevention failure at day 42 stratified according to study drug (SP or DP) • Median time to first episode of MIP • Acute, chronic, and prior placental infection at delivery <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Medication-related adverse events and serious adverse events until 1-year post-partum (primary outcome)
To compare the safety and tolerability of IPTp-SP versus IPTp-SP+	
Secondary objectives	
To compare the effectiveness of IPTp-SP versus IPTp-SP+	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Mean birth weight and prevalence of low birth weight (within 72 hours post-partum), neonatal mortality (within 28 days post-partum),

for reducing complications of MIP	congenital malaria diagnosed by PCR, placental malaria diagnosed by histopathology using placental biopsies, maternal anemia (hemoglobin changes at days 14, 28, 42 and 63), congenital anemia, Incidences of pregnancy losses, congenital <i>P. falciparum</i> infection
To compare selection for drug resistant parasites between infected individuals in the IPTp-SP and IPTp-SP+ treatment groups	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Relative allele frequencies of genotypic markers of drug resistance in malaria parasites
To measure piperazine exposure and duration	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Terminal elimination half-life of piperazine determined by noncompartmental analysis of drug concentrations at 0, 14, 28, 35 and 42 days
MIP, malaria in pregnancy. PCR, polymerase chain reaction. IPTp-SP, intermittent prevent treatment in pregnancy with sulfadoxine-pyrimethamine. IPTp-SP+, intermittent prevent treatment in pregnancy with sulfadoxine-pyrimethamine plus screen-and-treat with dihydroartemisinin-piperazine at first occasion. SP, sulfadoxine-pyrimethamine.	

Table 2 Inclusion and exclusion criteria
Inclusion criteria
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Ability and willingness to provide informed consent*
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Gestational age of 16 to 26 weeks at enrollment
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Asymptomatic on presentation, with or without a positive RDT test result**
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Age \geq15 years
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Residence within the study catchment area and no intent to move out of the study catchment area before delivery or to deliver outside of the catchment area
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Willingness to adhere to all study requirements including to deliver at the health facility
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Ability to take oral medication
Exclusion criteria
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Infection with HIV at enrollment or unknown HIV status***
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • History of IPTp-SP or other antimalarial drug use during the current pregnancy
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • History of intolerance or allergic reaction to any of the study drugs
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • History of known pregnancy complications or bad obstetric history including pre-existing illness likely to cause complication of pregnancy such as repeated abortions, stillbirths or eclampsia
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Hemoglobin concentration $<$7 g/dL
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Any significant illness at the time of screening that requires hospitalization, including severe malaria
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Prior enrollment in the study or concurrent enrollment in another study
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Treatment with antimicrobials with antimalarial activity within the prior 2 weeks (e.g. clindamycin, azithromycin, tetracycline, clarithromycin, levofloxacin)
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Concurrent use of medications with potential for drug-drug interaction or potentiation of cardiac arrhythmia****

- History or presence of major illnesses likely to influence pregnancy outcome including hypertension, diabetes mellitus, asthma, epilepsy, renal disease, liver disease, fistula repair, heart disease, or active tuberculosis.

HIV, human immunodeficiency virus. IPTp-SP, intermittent preventive treatment with sulfadoxine-pyrimethamine. RDT, rapid diagnostic test for *P. falciparum*. *For participants <17 years old consent will be obtained from the parent or legal guardian and verbal assent will be obtained from the participant. **Asymptomatic defined as absence of fever (temperature <37.5 °C) at baseline and fewer than three of the following symptoms: fever in the past 24 h, headache, weakness/fatigue, myalgia, arthralgia. ***HIV voluntary counseling and testing will be included. We will exclude those on current cotrimoxazole prophylaxis or antiretroviral treatment. ****The list of agents includes pentamidine, antiarrhythmic agents (e.g. amiodarone, sotalol), antihistamines (e.g. promethazine), systemic antifungals (ketoconazole, fluconazole, itraconazole), diuretics (e.g. hydrochlorothiazide, furosemide), antipsychotics (haloperidol, thioridazine) antidepressants (imipramine, citalopram, escitalopram), antiemetics (domperidone, chlorpromazine, ondansetron).

Table 3 Schedule of events													
Event	Antenatal period								Postpartum period				
	<i>Study day</i>								<i>Study month</i>				
	0	1-2	14	28	35	42	63 ¹	Unsch.	Birth	1	6	9	12
Informed consent/oral assent													
Medical history													
Physical examination, including height, weight, temperature													
Fetal viability													
Focused history and examination													
Adverse event evaluation													
IPTp-SP ²													
RDT ³													
Treatment with DP ⁴													
DBS for PCR, genotyping													
Malaria microscopy ⁵													
Hemoglobin measurement													
Blood sample for PK ⁴													
Delivery													
Placental biopsy, cord blood													
Infant assessment including history and exam, weight, heel stick													

¹Monthly visits continue until delivery

²Includes participants randomized to standard IPTp-SP, participants randomized to IPTp-SP+ who test negative at the first visit, and all participants in both groups at days 35, 63 and then monthly until delivery

³Limited to participants randomized to IPTp-SP+

⁴Limited to participants randomized to IPTp-SP+ who test positive by RDT at the first visit

⁵Only participants with signs or symptoms of malaria will undergo microscopy

DBS, dried blood spot. *DP*, dihydroartemisinin-piperaquine. *IPTp-SP*, intermittent preventive treatment in pregnancy with sulfadoxine-pyrimethamine. *PCR*, polymerase chain reaction. *PK*, pharmacokinetics. *RDT*, rapid diagnostic test for *P. falciparum* infection.

Figures




Figure 1

Study flow diagram. ANC, antenatal care. DP, dihydroartemisinin-piperazine. IPTp-SP, intermittent preventive treatment in pregnancy with sulfadoxine-pyrimethamine with (+) or without screen-and-treat. RDT, rapid diagnostic test for *P. falciparum* infection.

Supplementary Files

This is a list of supplementary files associated with this preprint. Click to download.

- [IPTpSPSPIRITChecklistforrandomisedstudies.doc](#)