

Loop Based Design and Classification of Planar Scissor Linkages

Gökhan Kiper (✉ gokhankiper@iyte.edu.tr)

Izmir Yuksek Teknoloji Enstitusu <https://orcid.org/0000-0001-8793-724X>

Koray Korkmaz

Izmir Yuksek Teknoloji Enstitusu

Şebnem Gür

Izmir Yuksek Teknoloji Enstitusu

Müjde Uncu

Izmir Yuksek Teknoloji Enstitusu

Feray Maden

Yasar Universitesi

Yenal Akgün

Yasar Universitesi

Cevahir Karagöz

Izmir Yuksek Teknoloji Enstitusu

Original Article

Keywords: Deployable structures, planar scissor linkages, loop based design, topological classification

Posted Date: April 20th, 2020

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.21203/rs.3.rs-23111/v1>

License:  This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License.

[Read Full License](#)

Loop Based Design and Classification of Planar Scissor Linkages

Gökhan Kiper^{1,a}, Koray Korkmaz^b, Şebnem Gür^b, Müjde Uncu^b, Feray Maden^c, Yenal Akgün^c, Cevahir Karagöza

^aDepartment of Mechanical Engineering, İzmir Institute of Technology, 35430 İzmir, Turkey

^bDepartment of Architecture, İzmir Institute of Technology, 35430 İzmir, Turkey

^cDepartment of Architecture, Yaşar University, 35100, İzmir, Turkey

Abstract

Scissor linkages have been used for several applications since ancient Greeks and Romans. In addition to simple scissor linkages with straight rods, linkages with angulated elements were introduced in the last decades. In the related literature, two methods seem to be used to design scissor linkages, one of which is based on scissor elements, and the other is based on assembling loops. This study presents a systematic classification of scissor linkages as assemblies of rhombus, kite, dart, parallelogram and anti-parallelogram loops using frieze patterns and long-short diagonal connections. After the loops are multiplied along a curve as a pattern, the linkages are obtained by selection of proper common link sections for adjacent loops. The resulting linkages are analyzed for their motion and they are classified as realizing scaling deployable, angular deployable or transformable motion. Some of the linkages obtained are novel. Totally 10 scalable deployable, 1 angular deployable and 8 transformable scissor linkages are listed. Designers in architecture and engineering can use this list of linkages as a library of scissor linkage topologies.

Keywords: Deployable structures, planar scissor linkages, loop based design, topological classification

1. Introduction

Scissor linkages have been used for deployment for thousands of years. Folding tripods with a pair of scissor links on each side have been used by the Greeks and Romans starting from the times before Common Era (True and Hamma, 1994). Today, many deployable structures comprising scissor-like elements (SLEs) are used in wide range of applications such as household goods, lifts, architecture and outer-space structures. In the literature, the academic studies on use of scissor linkages as deployable structures are dated back to 1960s, where Piñero (1961) developed a movable theatre composed of rigid bars and cables. Using the principles of SLEs, Piñero (1962; 1965)

¹Corresponding author. Tel.: +90 232 7506777; fax: +90 232 7506701.
E-mail address: gokhankiper@iyte.edu.tr (G. Kiper).

also proposed several structures for pavilions and retractable domes. Piñero's designs required to use some additional elements to lock the system and to provide the necessary stabilization after folding. The disadvantages that are inherent in his designs led other researchers to investigate scissor structures that do not require additional members for stabilization. Zeigler (1976) developed a self-supported dome structure and Clarke (1984) designed a deployable hemispherical dome composed of a novel spatial unit. Although specific configurations of his structure seemed to work fairly well, it allowed only limited geometric shapes and few applications.

The research on deployable structures was expanded by Escrig (1984; 1985) who first presented the geometric conditions for deployability of scissor mechanisms composed of translational and polar SLEs. Escrig also developed new spherical grid structures and different types of deployable scissor structures including quadrilateral expandable umbrella, deployable polyhedral structure and compactly folded cylindrical, spherical and geodesic structures (Escrig and Valcárcel, 1987, 1993; Escrig and Sánchez, 2006). The most notable application of the scissor structures developed by Escrig (1996) was a deployable roof structure for a swimming pool in San Pablo Sports Center in Seville, which consists of two identical rhomboid grid structures with spherical curvature.

Chuck Hoberman (1990) made a remarkable invention on scissor structures with the angulated scissor element (Fig. 1a). The discovery of this element extended the range of application of single degrees-of-freedom (DOF) scissor structures since it allows the structure to radially deploy from a center to the perimeter. Hoberman (1991) created impressive examples of scissor structures by using the angulated elements. Expanding Geodesic Dome, Hoberman Arch, Expanding Sphere, Expanding Icosahedron, Iris Dome and Expanding Helicoid are some of his interesting designs. You and Pellegrino (1997) investigated the conditions on the link lengths for which angulated elements subtend a constant angle and found two conditions leading to two types of generalized angulated elements (GAEs) (Fig. 1b,c). Hoberman's pioneering idea on the angulated element led Kassabian, You and Pellegrino (1999) to make further progress on scissor structures and they discovered multi-angulated elements which comprise links with more than three joints. Based on the principles of multi-angulated elements, they developed a deployable structure mounted on pinned columns. Al Khayar and Lalvani (1998) studied the applications of angulated

elements to polygonal hyperboloids and proposed many types of deployable hyperboloids by using the regular and semi-regular tessellation methods.




Figure 1 a) An angulated element pair with equal link lengths and kink angles, b) An equilateral GAE: $|AE| = |DE|$, $|BE| = |CE|$, $\angle AEC \neq \angle BED$, c) A similar GAE: $|AE|/|DE| = |CE|/|BE|$, $\angle AEC = \angle BED$

Gantes (1996) systematically investigated “snap-through” effect of the scissor structures that occurs at intermediate geometric configurations due to the geometric incompatibilities between the member lengths. He developed geometric design methodologies and determined deployability conditions for different types of scissor structures in order to achieve stable and stress-free states of such systems (Gantes et al., 1993; 1994). Langbecker (1999) studied the foldability conditions of SLEs and presented a systematic method for kinematic analysis of the scissor structures. Using compatible translational SLEs, he also proposed foldable singly-curved barrel vaults and doubly-curved synclastic structures (Langbecker, 2001). Kokawa (1997, 2000) designed an expandable arch composed of scissor pairs and cables and also a retractable loop-dome consisting of 3D multi-angulated SLEs in lamella arrangement.

Van Mele (2008) proposed a deployable roof structure in the shape of barrel vault by using scissor arches composed of angulated elements to cover a tennis arena. Rather than using a single arch that is pinned at one end, the scissor arches are cut in half and two halves are pinned to spectator area that are connected at a central hinge in the closed configuration. Rippmann (2008) developed a new scissor unit that has various intermediate hinge points. By this means, he proposed a structure that can constitute different geometric shapes by switching the locations of the hinge points in his basic scissor unit. Although it seems that the structure provides the form flexibility, in fact,

the system has single DOF. Scissor units have to be dismantled first and then connected again from the beginning to obtain the desired shape configurations.

Petrova (2008) investigated doubly-curved structures with arbitrary curves. She studied all principle kind of curvatures to design more arbitrary surfaces and to obtain necessary free forms for contemporary architecture. She developed a design methodology to generate arbitrary doubly-curved translational surfaces. By using rhombic scissor units, she designed many anticlastic structures in which the shape and curvature of the surface can be set arbitrarily. Besides, she proved the feasibility of such structures and developed prototypes.

Akgün (2010) developed three types of modified scissor-like element (M-SLE) in which additional R joints have been added on various locations of a bar. Based on the M-SLEs, he introduced new adaptable scissor structures that are capable of transforming from flat geometries to various curved shapes without changing the span. Akgün (2010) also proposed an adaptive roof structure for an exhibition hall by using six scissor arches. The proposed structure provides wide range of form flexibility by allowing the transformation from arch shapes to various curved ones. Another transformable structure developed by Akgün is a 4-DoF spatial scissor structure that is composed of 25 spatial scissor-like elements (S-SLEs), 4 modified spatial scissor-like elements (MS-SLEs), 20 hybrid spatial scissor-like elements (HS-SLEs) and 8 special SLEs (Akgün et al., 2011).

Roover et al. (2013) searched for new geometric shapes to reveal the potential applications of angulated elements to innovative geometries. Rather than using simply curved surfaces such as cylindrical or spherical shapes, they started studying with Hoberman's Expanding Helicoid. They developed a design method that any arbitrary continuous surface can be converted into an angulated scissor grid. After testing various surfaces and families of surfaces, they developed a single DOF deployable catenoid structure.

Zhang et al. (2016) developed a methodology for designing scissor linkages for the transformation of a curve shape to another. Bouleau and Guscetti (2016) utilized a simplified version of Zhang et al.'s (2016) method to design a transformable bridge which can transform from a flat configuration to a curved configuration.

In his first patent about angulated elements, Hoberman (1990) described how identical angulated elements are paired to form angulated scissor-pairs. In the patent, the angle of an angulated element is called a "strut angle", the

line connecting the left or right terminals of a pair of elements is called a “normal line” and the angle between two normal lines is called a “normal angle” (Fig. 1a). Although Hoberman (1990) describes an angulated scissor-pair as a module, he calls his mechanisms as “loop assemblies” implying that he constructs the mechanisms by assembling loops (in this case rhombuses). Also, the “normal line”s are normal to the curve to be approximated.

Later on in a lecture at MIT, Hoberman (2013) described his construction of expanding polygons as an assembly of “hinged rhombs” and calls the “normal lines” as “perpendicular bisectors” of polygonal sides. Although it is not mentioned in the patent, this latter study shows that Hoberman assembles rhombus loops to obtain his linkages. Similar deployable structures are also issued by Liao and Li (2005) and Kiper and Söylemez (2010), but their procedure is not based on assembling loops.

Bai et al. (2014) assembled rhombus, parallelogram, kite and general quadrilateral loops for polygon scaling, where they discuss different ways to assemble these loops as well. Yar et al. (2017) used kite and dart loops and Gür et al. (2017; 2019) used anti-parallelogram loops to obtain planar linkages comprising SLEs, some of which are deployable, whereas some are transformable.

Two main methods to design scissor structures are: 1) assembling scissor units composed of two ternary links, and 2) assembling 4-bar loops. A review of scissor structures based on assembly of scissor units is given by Maden, Korkmaz and Akgün (2011). Recently Maden et al. (2019) published a review paper on scissor structures which examines the literature on both scissor unit based and loop based design methods and summarizes the different terminologies used in the literature. The paper also proposes a new classification for planar scissor structures according to their motion: 1) scaling/dilation type deployable structures (angles are preserved but form is scaled), 2) angular deployable structures (angles are varied in an arc form), 3) other transformable structures (Fig. 2). Scaling deployment contains linear deployment along a line and radial deployment (enlarging circular arc) as special cases. This classification for the transformation types is followed in this study as well.




Figure 2 Deployable v.s. transformable motion: a) scaling/dilation type deployable; b) angular deployable; c) transformable (Maden et al., 2019)

This study classifies and systematically analyzes assembly of suitable loops for planar deployable structures comprising SLEs. According to the authors' best knowledge, this is the first full classification of scissor mechanisms considered as loop assemblies. As most of the assemblies are noted in the literature, there are several novel assemblies listed in this paper. In Section 2 loops are introduced. In Section 3, possible ways of assembling different loops are presented and the geometric transformation properties of the assemblies are examined. Section 4 concludes the paper.

2. Loops

Examining various scissor linkages in the literature, we see that the assemblies comprise either kite (deltoid) or parallelogram loops, or as a more special case rhombuses (Fig. 3). A kite is a quadrilateral with a pair of short adjacent equal sides and a pair of long adjacent equal sides. A parallelogram also comprises two pairs of equal

sides, but equal sides are positioned opposite to each other. A rhombus is an equilateral quadrilateral, which can be considered as an equilateral kite or an equilateral parallelogram.




Figure 3 a) Kite, b) Parallelogram, c) Rhombus

Note that both kites and parallelograms comprise only two different side lengths whereas an arbitrary quadrilateral has four different side lengths. Arbitrary quadrilaterals are issued by Bai et al. (2014), however such loops are rarely seen in applications. The special constraint on the side lengths result in a certain level of symmetry in designing deployable structures. At this point it is natural to ask if there are other quadrilaterals which comprise two short sides of length s and two long sides of length l . The short and long lengths can either be adjacent or opposite to each other. However, the convexity of the loop is important as well. The kite and parallelogram loops in Fig. 1 are convex, but there are also concave versions of them. A concave kite (Fig. 4a) is also called a dart or an arrowhead, while the concave version of a parallelogram is called an anti-parallelogram (Fig. 4b), also called a contra-parallelogram or a crossed parallelogram. Unlike kites and parallelograms, the loop area becomes zero when all link lengths of a dart or an anti-parallelogram are equated.




Figure 4 a) Dart, b) Anti-parallelogram

Considering these quadrilaterals as loops of linkages, a kite loop and a dart loop are different assembly modes of the same loop of a linkage. During the motion of the linkage in order to change the assembly mode of a kite loop into a dart loop without disassembling the loop, or vice-versa, the loop should pass through a singular configuration where the two short links are inline (Fig. 5). For example, the kite loops in the assembly illustrated in Fig. 5 of (Yar et al., 2016) turn into dart loops during the motion of the linkage.




Figure 5 Assembly mode change of a kite loop into a dart loop through the singular configuration

Similarly, a parallelogram and an anti-parallelogram would be the two assembly modes of a loop of a linkage. During the motion of the linkage a parallelogram loop may change into an anti-parallelogram loop if the loop passes through the singular configuration where all links become collinear (Fig. 6).




Figure 6 Assembly mode change of a parallelogram loop into an anti-parallelogram loop through the singular configuration

In a multi-loop linkage, the loops may constrain each other such that some or neither of the loops pass through singular configurations, and hence assembly mode change does not occur. Also assembly mode change may not be possible due to link collisions. A parallelogram loop is less likely to go through assembly mode change, because

the mode change requires all links to be collinear and this results in link collisions unless there is a special constructional design. Therefore, the loop assemblies of rhombuses, kites, darts, parallelograms and anti-parallelograms result in different mechanisms with different motion characteristics. Next section is devoted to systematically list possible loop assemblies composed of the five mentioned loop types.

3. Loop Assemblies

A deployable or transformable linkage can be obtained by assembling several loops at their vertices. When two loops are assembled at a common vertex, two pairs of adjacent sides are rigidly connected to each other to constitute a pair of links hinged at the common vertex. For instance, for the assembly of two rhombus loops illustrated in Fig. 7a, the adjacent sides of the loops can be connected to each other to obtain two possible types of Watt-type 6-link kinematic chains. In one of the chains, lower side of left loop is connected to the upper side of the right loop and vice versa (Fig. 7b). Due to the resulting shape this connection type shall be named as X-type connection. In the other alternative chain, upper and lower sides of left and right loops are connected to each other (Fig. 7c). Due to the resulting shape this connection shall be named as V-type connection. Typically X-type connections are used in scissor linkages.




Figure 7 a) Assembly of two rhombus loops and b, c) 6-link kinematic chains obtained from this assembly by rigidly connecting two pairs of adjacent sides of the loops

In practice, mostly, identical loops are used in an assembly, but different kinds of loops and/or loops of different size can also be used. For example, Hoberman (1990) uses different sizes of rhombus for the Hoberman Ball. An example for use of combinations of different loops is given by Bouleau and Guscetti (2016) where kite and parallelogram loops are used, whereas Zhang et al. (2016) used rhombus loops in combination with kite and dart

loops. However the design approach in these latter two papers are unit-based design, not loop based design. In this paper we shall work on possible assemblies of identical type of loops, but not necessarily of the same size.

For assembling loops, we will consider a series of loops juxtaposed along a curve, which will be discretized into line segments. For a systematic classification of ways of assembling loops, we shall consider patterns along a line. Afterwards, the line can be dissected into line segments representing a discretized version of a planar curve.

Patterns along a line are called frieze patterns and there are seven distinct such patterns (Conway et al. 2008). Frieze patterns are obtained as combination of translation (T) operation with other four basic isometry operations: identity (I), half turn (or 180° rotation) (R), horizontal reflection about the line (H), vertical reflection about a normal to the line (V) and glide reflection (G) operations (Fig. 8a). In order to get a frieze pattern, first the translation operation is combined with one or two of the other operations and then the obtained figure is indefinitely multiplied on a line. The seven frieze patterns can be listed as TI, TG, TV, TR, TVR, TH and THV. The first four frieze patterns for a general quadrilateral loop is depicted in Fig. 8b. In these patterns, two of the opposite corners of a loop are placed on the line. Unlike the other five patterns, TVR and THV patterns are obtained by repetition of a figure with four copies of the original shape, hence they will not be used in loop patterns. Also horizontal reflection operation results in overlapping loops, which is not desirable, hence TH and THV patterns will not be used in loop patterns. Therefore, only the first four frieze patterns will be used.

Besides the frieze patterns, an alternative way to obtain patterns of quadrilateral loops on a curve is by connecting long and short diagonals of the loop, which we shall name as long/short diagonal (LS) patterns. These type of connections can be seen in (Bai et al., 2014) for rhombus, kite, and parallelogram loops. In general four such possible patterns of quadrilateral loops can be obtained by rotating the loop clockwise (C) or counter-clockwise (CC) or combining the rotation with a horizontal reflection. Amount of rotation depends on the angle between the diagonals of the loops. Accompanied with a translation, the four possible patterns can be listed as TC, TCC, TCH and TCCH patterns as depicted in Fig. 8c.








Figure 8 a) Basic isometry operations, b) the first four frieze patterns, c) long-short diagonal connections

The eight patterns presented in Fig. 8b-c are applied to the five basic loops (rhombus, kite, dart, parallelogram, anti-parallelogram) in order to obtain possible loop assemblies. Since each quadrilateral loop under consideration possess some symmetries, some of the eight patterns turn out to be identical. Once the patterns are obtained, X-type and V-type connection options can be evaluated in order to determine the link geometries. The loop assemblies with X-type connections are listed in the forthcoming discussions in this section. Each pattern also has a V-type connection, but those assemblies result in a motion such that as a diagonal of a loop expands, the diagonals

of adjacent loops contract, so the resulting motions are generally not favorable. Therefore loop assemblies with V-type connections are not classified in this study, but only some examples are presented at the end of the section.

A rhombus loop has horizontal and vertical mirror symmetry, so all four Frieze patterns result in the same pattern. Also all LS patterns result in the same pattern. Table 1 lists the possible two patterns on a line and examples of loop assemblies with X-type connections on a circular arc. As it is well known since Hoberman's (1990) patent, the rhombus loop assemblies with TI pattern can be used for scaling of any curve. In this pattern, all angulated elements are identical. The TC pattern comprises equilateral GAEs and also results in scaling deployment. These scaling assemblies are worked out by Bai et al. (2014).





Table 1. Rhombus Loop Assemblies

| Pattern Type | Pattern | Linkage | Motion Type |
|--------------------------|---|--|-----------------------|
| TI TG TV TR |  |  | Scaling Deployable |
| TC TCC TCH TCCH |  |  | Scaling Deployable |

Kite loop assemblies can be investigated in two distinct subgroups: vertical and horizontal kite loop assemblies. Since a vertical kite has vertical mirror symmetry, TI and TV patterns are identical. TG and TR patterns are also identical due to vertical mirror symmetry (Table 2). The assemblies obtained from TI patterns with X-type

connections go through a transformable motion. When the pattern is constructed on a straight line, the assembly can bend upwards and downwards such that the curve can switch from convex to concave from and vice versa. Such transformable assemblies are issued by Yar et al. (2017). A special case is obtained when the pattern is constructed on a circular arc, in which case the linkage has angular deployable motion (Table 3).

Table 2. Vertical Kite Loop Assemblies

| Pattern Type | Pattern | Linkage | Motion Type |
|--------------|---|--|-----------------------------------|
| TI TV |  |  | Transformable (concave/convex) |
| TG TR |  |  | Scaling Deployable |





| | | | |
|------------------------------------|---|---|---|
| <p>TC TCC TCH TCCH</p> |  |  | <p>Transformable (concave/convex)</p> |
|------------------------------------|---|---|---|




Table 3. Special case: Vertical Kite Loop Assembly on a Circular Arc

| Pattern Type | Pattern | Linkage | Motion Type |
|------------------|---|--|-------------------------------|
| <p>TI TV</p> |  |  | <p>Angular Deployment</p> |

The TG pattern of a vertical kite loop results in a linkage with scaling deployment as also noted by Bai et al. (2014). The TC and TCC patterns of a vertical kite loop are mirror images of each other, hence they are not considered as separate cases. Due to horizontal symmetry of the horizontal kite (rotated version of vertical kite), TCH and TCCH patterns are identical with TC and TCC patterns, respectively. The LS patterns result in a transformable linkage with variable curvature.

A horizontal kite has horizontal mirror symmetry, so TI and TG patterns are identical. TV and TR patterns are also identical due to horizontal mirror symmetry (Table 4). Linkages obtained from TI pattern result in a transformable motion, whereas linkages obtained from TV pattern result in scaling deployment. These scaling deployable assemblies were also examined by Bai et al. (2014). LS patterns are already examined in Table 2.





Table 4. Horizontal Kite Loop Assemblies

| Pattern Type | Pattern | Linkage | Motion Type |
|--------------|---|--|-----------------------|
| TI TG |  |  | Transformable |
| TV TR |  |  | Scaling Deployable |

Vertical dart has vertical mirror symmetry, so TI and TV patterns are identical and also TG and TR patterns are identical (Table 5). Just like the vertical kite loop assemblies the assemblies obtained from TI patterns with X-type connections go through a transformable motion as noted by Yar et al. (2017) and the TG pattern of a vertical dart loop results in a linkage with scaling deployment. To the best knowledge of the authors, the scaling linkages



obtained from TG patterns of vertical dart loops are not noted in the literature before. The long-short diagonal connections of dart loops are kept out of scope in this study, because such assemblies result in too much link collisions.

Table 5. Vertical Dart Loop Assemblies

| Pattern Type | Pattern | Linkage | Motion Type |
|--------------|---|--|-----------------------------------|
| TI TV |  |  | Transformable (concave/convex) |
| TG TR |  |  | Scaling Deployable |





Similar to a horizontal kite, a horizontal dart has horizontal mirror symmetry, so TI and TG patterns are identical (Table 6). TV and TR patterns do not make sense because of too much link collisions, so they are not listed in the table. Linkages obtained from TI pattern result in a transformable motion.





Table 6. Horizontal Dart Loop Assemblies

| Pattern Type | Pattern | Linkage | Motion Type |
|------------------|---|---|---|
| <p>TI TG</p> |  |  | <p>Transformable (concave/convex)</p> |

A parallelogram does not possess neither vertical nor horizontal mirror symmetry, but it has a cyclic symmetry of order two, i.e. it has the same shape after half turns. Therefore, the following pairs of patterns are identical: TI and TR patterns; TG and TV patterns; TC and TCCH patterns; TCC and TCH patterns (Table 7). TI patterns with X-type connections go through a scaling-deployable motion as also noted by Bai et al. (2014). The TG, TC and TCC patterns with X-type connections result in a scaling deployable motion, but if only a unit is taken as a pair of consecutive loops. For a TG pattern, the middle hinge in a two-loop unit remains on the normal line of the corresponding line segment, but it does not remain on the line segment. For TC and TCC patterns, the short and long diagonals of two adjacent loops change with different rates and the middle hinge in a two-loop unit neither remains on the corresponding line segment, nor the normal line. To the best knowledge of the authors, the scaling motion of the TG, TC and TCC patterns of parallelogram loop assemblies are not noted in the literature before.



Table 7. Parallelogram Loop Assemblies




| Pattern Type | Pattern | Linkage | Motion Type |
|--------------|---|--|---|
| TI TR |  |  | Scaling Deployable |
| TG TV |  |  | Scaling Deployable (two loop unit) |

| | | | |
|--------------------|---|--|---|
| <p>TC TCCH</p> |  |  | <p>Scaling Deployable (two loop unit)</p> |
| <p>TCC TCH</p> |  |  | <p>Scaling Deployable (two loop unit)</p> |

Anti-parallelogram loop assemblies are studied by Gür et al. (2019) in detail. An anti-parallelogram loop possesses vertical mirror symmetry, hence the following pairs of patterns are identical: TI and TV patterns; TG and TR patterns; TC and TCC patterns; TCH and TCCH patterns. TI pattern with X-type connections have a transformable motion, whereas TG patterns result in scaling deployable motion as issued by Gür et al. (2017). TG patterns with V-type connections might be considered as special interest and they result in a transformable motion. TC and TCC patterns with X-type connections also result in transformable motion.

Table 8. Anti-parallelogram Loop Assemblies

| Pattern Type | Pattern | Linkage | Motion Type |
|------------------|---|---|---|
| <p>TI TV</p> |  |  | <p>Transformable (concave/convex)</p> |
| <p>TG TR</p> |  |  | <p>Scaling Deployable</p> <p>Transformable (concave/convex)</p> |

| | | | |
|-------------|---|---|-----------------------------------|
| TC TCC |  |  | Transformable (concave/convex) |
| TCH TCCH |  |  | Transformable (concave/convex) |

All possible assemblies with V-type connections are also investigated, but the resulting motions are generally not found to be of practical importance, as specified at the beginning of the section. Besides the TG pattern of the anti-parallellograms depicted in Table 8, one of the rare cases of interest with V-type connections is presented in Figs. 9 and 10, where rhombus loops are assembled in a circle (Figs. 9b and 10b) with TI pattern in order to obtain hexagonal and orthogonal assemblies which are capable of scaling deployment. After the assembly, the outer links are straight rods (angulated elements with 180° kink angle) and the inner links are isosceles angulated elements. It can be seen from the motion that a pair of opposite joints in each rhombus loop move on fixed straight lines, which suggests that all links have the double-slider motion, that is the Cardan motion (see Kiper et al., 2008).





Figure 9 5 configurations of a hexagonal closed loop rhombus assembly with V-type connections and TI pattern






Figure 10 5 configurations of an octagonal closed loop rhombus assembly with V-type connections and TI pattern

4. Conclusions

This study presents a systematic way to list possible scissor linkages obtained by assembling rhombus, kite, dart, parallelogram and anti-parallelogram loops using frieze patterns and long-short diagonal connections. For the dart and kite loops, assemblies of vertical and horizontal loops are evaluated separately. For each obtained linkage, the motion characteristics is specified as being scaling deployable or angular deployable or transformable. The linkages listed in this study may be used as a library of scissor linkage topologies. A summary of the results for X-type connections may be seen in Table 9, where whether a scalable deployable motion or a transformable motion is obtained for the assemblies of a given loop type with a given pattern. Since angular deployment is only obtained for TI pattern of vertical kite loop assembly on a circular arc, it is not presented in Table 9. In Table 9, S stands for scalable deployable and T stands for transformable. Merged adjacent cells and also cells with same superscript (a, b, ... h) correspond to the same assembly. Accordingly, 10 distinct scalable deployable and 8 distinct transformable assemblies are listed.

Table 9. Summary of loop assemblies with X-type connections and their motion types

| Loop \ Pattern | TI | TG | TV | TR | TC | TCC | TCH | TCCH |
|--------------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|--------------------------------------|-----|-----|----------------|
| Rhombus | S | | | | S | | | |
| Vertical kite | T _a | S _b | T _a | S _b | T | | | |
| Horizontal kite | T | | S | | | | | |
| Vertical dart | T _c | S _d | T _c | S _d | Not practical due to link collisions | | | |
| Horizontal dart | T | | | | | | | |
| Parallelogram | S _e | S | | S _e | S _f | S | | S _f |
| Anti-parallelogram | T _g | S _h | T _g | S _h | T | | T | |

Most of the obtained linkages already exist in the literature, but some novel linkages are also obtained. Since scaling linkages are of great importance, especially the vertical dart loop assembly obtained from TG patterns and parallelogram loop assemblies obtained from TG, TC and TCC patterns may be specified as important novel linkages presented in this study.

Dimensional synthesis of these scissor linkages as a general formulation or for specific tasks may be issued in future studies. The novel linkages have potential applications in kinetic architecture, outer-space applications, furniture design and machinery.

Declarations

Availability of data and materials: Not applicable

Competing interests: The authors declare that they have no competing interests

Funding: This project has received funding from the European Union's Horizon 2020 research and innovation programme under the Marie Skłodowska-Curie grant agreement No 689983.



Authors' contributions: GK has written sections 2, 3 and 4 and reviewed section 1. KK is the project coordinator and has contributed to construction of the tables. ŞG has constructed Tables 1, 7 and 8 and her MSc thesis was on this subject. MU has constructed Tables 2-6 and her MSc thesis was on this subject. FM and YA have written section 1 and reviewed the whole paper. CK has constructed Figures 9 and 10 and his MSc thesis was on this subject.

Acknowledgements – The authors would like to thank Prof. Engin Aktas for his contributions to this project.

References

- Akgün Y (2010) A Novel Transformation Model for Deployable Scissor-hinge Structures. PhD thesis, Izmir Institute of Technology
- Akgün Y, Gantes CJ, Sobek W, Korkmaz K, Kalochairetis K (2011) A novel adaptive spatial scissor-hinge structural mechanism for convertible roofs. *Engineering Structures* 33:1365-1376.
- Al Khayer M, Lalvani H (1998) Scissors-action deployables based on space-filling of polygonal hyperboloids. In: Pellegrino S, Guest SD (Eds.), *IUTAM-IASS Symposium on Deployable Structures: Theory and Applications*. Dordrecht: Kluwer Academic Publishers, 1-10
- Bai G, Liao Q, Li D, Wei S (2013) Synthesis of scaling mechanisms for geometric figures with angulated-straight elements. *Proceedings of the Institution of Mechanical Engineers, Part C: Journal of Mechanical Engineering Science*, 227(12):2795-2809
- Bai G, Wei S, Li D, Liao Q, Kong X (2014) A novel synthesis method of polygon-scaling mechanisms. In: *Proc. ASME 2014 International Design Engineering Technical Conferences & Computers and Information in Engineering Conference*, Buffalo, USA, DETC2014-34934
- Bouleau E, Guscetti G (2016) Scissor mechanisms for transformable structures with curved shape: the "Jet d'Eau" movable footbridge in Geneva. In: Adriaenssens S, Gramazio F, Kohler M, Menges A, Pauly M (Eds.), *Advances in Architectural Geometry 2016*, Zürich: vdf Hochschulverlag, 222-239
- Clarke RC (1984) The kinematics of a novel deployable space structure system. In: Nooshin H (Ed.), *Proc. 3rd International Conference on Space Structures*, Guildford: Elsevier Applied Science Publishers, 820-822
- Conway JH, Burgiel H, Goodman-Strauss C (2008) The Seven Types of Frieze Patterns. Chapter 5 in *The Symmetries of Things*, Wellesley, MA: A K Peters, 67-73
- Escrig F (1984) Expandable space frame structures. In: Nooshin H (Ed.), *Proc. 3rd International Conference on Space Structures*. Guildford: Elsevier Applied Science Publishers, 845-850
- Escrig F (1985) Expandable space structures. *International Journal of Space Structures*, 1(2):79-91

- Escrig F, Valcárcel JP (1987) Curved expandable space grids. In: Proc. International Conference on the Design and Construction of Non-Conventional Structures, London, 157-166
- Escrig F, Valcárcel JP (1993) Geometry of expandable space structures. *International Journal of Space Structures*, 8(1&2):71-84
- Escrig F (1996) General survey of deployability in architecture. In: Proc. MARAS'96: 2nd International Conference on Mobile and Rapidly Assembled Structures. Seville: Computational Mechanics Publications, 3-22
- Gantes CJ (1996) Analytical predictions of the snap-trough characteristics of deployable structures. In: Proc. MARAS '96: Second International Conference on Mobile and Rapidly Assembled Structures. Seville: Computational Mechanics Publications, 83-92
- Gantes CJ, Logcher RD, Connor JJ, Rosenfeld Y (1993) Deployability conditions for curved and flat, polygonal and trapezoidal deployable structures. *International Journal of Space Structures*, 8:97-106
- Gür Ş, Korkmaz K, Kiper G (2017) Radially expandable ring-like structure with anti-parallelogram loops. In: Proc. International Symposium of Mechanism and Machine Science ISMMS-2017, Baku, 150-155
- Gür Ş, Korkmaz K, Kiper G (2019) Design of anti-parallelogram loop assemblies. *J IAASS*, 60(3):232-240
- Hoberman C (1990) Reversibly Expandable Doubly-curved Truss Structure. Patent no: US4942700
- Hoberman C (1991) Radial Expansion/Retraction Truss Structures. Patent no: US5024031
- Hoberman C (2013) Mechanical Invention Through Computation - Mechanism Basics. MIT Class 6.S080 Lecture Notes, 37-47.
- Kassabian PE, You Z, Pellegrino S (1999) Retractable roof structures. *Proceedings of the Institution of Civil Engineers - Structures and Buildings*, 134(2):45-56
- Kiper G, Söylemez E, Kişisel AUÖ (2008) A family of deployable polygons and polyhedra. *Mech Mach Theory*, 43(5):627-640
- Kiper G, Söylemez E (2010) Irregular polygonal and polyhedral linkages comprising scissor and angulated elements. In: Proc. 1st IFToMM Asian Conference on Mechanism and Machine Science (CD), Taipei, 455-459
- Kokawa T (1997) Cable scissors arch-marionettic structure. In: Chilton JC, Choo B, Lewis WJ, Popovic O (Eds.), *Structural Morphology: Towards the New Millennium*, University of Nottingham, 107-116
- Kokawa T (2000) Structural idea of retractable loop-dome. *Journal of the International Association for Shells and Spatial Structures*, 41(2):111-116
- Langbecker T (1999) Kinematic analysis of deployable scissor structures. *International Journal of Space Structures*, 14(1):1-15
- Langbecker T, Albermani F (2001) Kinematic and non-linear analysis of foldable barrel vaults. *Engineering Structures*, 23:158-171
- Liao Q, Li D (2005) Mechanisms for scaling planar graphs. *Chinese Journal of Mechanical Engineering*, 41:140-143
- Maden F, Korkmaz K, Akgün Y (2011) A review of planar scissor structural mechanisms: geometric principles and design methods. *Architectural Science Review*, 54(3), 246-257
- Maden F, Akgün Y, Kiper G, Gür Ş, Yar M, Korkmaz K (2019) A critical review on classification and terminology of scissor structures. *Journal of the International Association for Shells and Spatial Structures*, 60(1):47-64

- Petrova P (2008) An anti-clastic, double-curved SELs structure. In: Proc. 1st Symposium on Architectural Geometry, Advances in Architectural Geometry, Vienna, 111-114
- Piñero EP (1961) Project for a mobile theatre. *Architectural Design*, 12:154-155
- Piñero EP (1962) Expandable space framing. *Progressive Architecture*, 43(6):154-155
- Piñero EP (1965) Three Dimensional Reticular Structure. Patent no: US3185164
- Rippmann M (2008) *Curtain Wall: Building Design Semesterarbeit*. Stuttgart: Universität Stuttgart-ILEK.
- Roovers K, Mira LA, De Temmerman N (2013) From surface to scissor structure. In: Proc. First Conference Transformables 2013, Seville: Starbooks, 275-280
- True M, Hama K. (Eds.) (1994) *A Passion For Antiquities: Ancient Art from the Collection of Barbara and Lawrence Fleischman*. Exhibition Catalog, Malibu: The J. Paul Getty Museum, 320-323
- Van Mele T (2008) *Scissor-Hinged Retractable Membrane Roofs*. PhD thesis, Vrije Universiteit Brussel
- Yar M, Korkmaz K, Kiper G, Maden F, Akgün Y, Aktaş E (2017) A novel planar scissor structure transforming between concave and convex configurations. *International Journal of Computational Methods and Experimental Measurements*, 5(4):442-450
- You Z, Pellegrino S (1997) Foldable bar structures. *International Journal of Solids and Structures and Buildings*, 34(15):1825-1847
- Zeigler T (1976) Collapsible Self-Supporting Structures. Patent no: US3968808
- Zhang R, Wang S, Chen X, Ding C, Jiang L, Zhou J, Liu L (2016) Designing planar deployable objects via scissor structures, *IEEE Transactions on Visualization and Computer Graphics*, 22(2):1051-1062

Figures




Figure 1

a) An angulated element pair with equal link lengths and kink angles, b) An equilateral GAE: $|AE| = |DE|$, $|BE| = |CE|$, $\angle AEC \neq \angle BED$, c) A similar GAE: $|AE|/|DE| = |CE|/|BE|$, $\angle AEC = \angle BED$




Figure 2

Deployable v.s. transformable motion: a) scaling/dilation type deployable; b) angular deployable; c) transformable (Maden et al., 2019)




Figure 3

a) Kite, b) Parallelogram, c) Rhombus




Figure 4

a) Dart, b) Anti-parallelogram




Figure 5

Assembly mode change of a kite loop into a dart loop through the singular configuration



Figure 6

Assembly mode change of a parallelogram loop into an anti-parallelogram loop through the singular configuration




Figure 7

a) Assembly of two rhombus loops and b, c) 6-link kinematic chains obtained from this assembly by rigidly connecting two pairs of adjacent sides of the loops




Figure 8

a) Basic isometry operations, b) the first four frieze patterns, c) long-short diagonal connections




Figure 9

5 configurations of a hexagonal closed loop rhombus assembly with V-type connections and TI pattern




Figure 10

5 configurations of an octagonal closed loop rhombus assembly with V-type connections and TI pattern