

Predictive factors for pleural drainage volume after uniportal video-assisted thoracic surgery lobectomy for non-small cell lung cancer: a single-institution retrospective study

Ming-bo Tang

Jilin University First Hospital

Jia-lin Li

Jilin University First Hospital

Su-yan Tian

Jilin University First Hospital

Xin-liang Gao

Jilin University First Hospital

Wei Liu (✉ liuweihospital@126.com)

Jilin University First Hospital <https://orcid.org/0000-0003-0012-6845>

Research

Keywords: predictive factors, pleural drainage volume, uniportal VATS lobectomy, non-small cell lung cancer

Posted Date: April 22nd, 2020

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.21203/rs.3.rs-23289/v1>

License:  This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License.

[Read Full License](#)

Version of Record: A version of this preprint was published on July 8th, 2020. See the published version at <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12957-020-01941-5>.

Abstract

Objective: To identify predictive factors associated with pleural drainage volume (PDV) after uniportal video-assisted thoracic surgery (VATS) lobectomy for non-small cell lung cancer (NSCLC).

Methods: A total of 440 consecutive NSCLC patients who underwent uniportal VATS lobectomy were enrolled in this study between November 2016 and July 2019. Twenty-eight parameters, including patients' clinicopathological characteristics and other potential predictors were collected. Daily drainage volume was summed up as PDV. Univariate analysis and multivariate regression models were fitted to identify independent predictive factors for PDV.

Results: The median PDV was 840 ml during the median drainage duration of 4 days. A strong correlation was observed between PDV and drainage duration (correlation coefficient = 0.936). On univariate analysis, age, forced expiratory volume in 1 second % predicted (FEV1%), left ventricular ejection fraction (LVEF), operation time, serum total protein (TP), and body mass index (BMI) showed a significant correlation with PDV (P value, ≤ 0.001 , ≤ 0.001 , 0.003, 0.008, 0.028 and 0.045, respectively). Patients with smoking history (P = 0.030) or who underwent lower lobectomy (P = 0.015) showed significantly increased PDV than never smokers or those who underwent upper or middle lobectomy, respectively. On multivariate regression analysis, older age (P ≤ 0.001), lower FEV1% (P ≤ 0.001), lower LVEF (P = 0.011), lower TP (P = 0.013), and lower lobectomy (P = 0.016) were independent predictors of increased PDV.

Conclusions: Predictive factors of PDV can be identified. Based on these predictors, patients can be treated with tailored individualized safe chest tube management.

Introduction

Postoperative chest tube placement to drain pleural fluid is an important procedure after thoracic surgery. Pleural drainage volume (PDV) after the operation is directly related to the duration of drainage. A higher PDV will lead to prolonged placement of the chest tube and result in an uncomfortable experience of pain, immobilization, increased risk of infection [1, 2], as well as increased length and cost of hospitalization subsequently [3]. Besides, very early removal of the chest tube in patients with excessive PDV might also cause frequent chest tube replacement because of symptomatic effusion [4].

Thus, the timing of chest tube removal has always been a controversial issue. Empirically, the threshold of daily drainage volume depends on the experience of different surgeons [5]. This threshold widely varies from 100 ml to 500 ml per day [6–8], or it is 2 ml/kg of body weight per day [9, 10]. Occasionally, the daily drainage volume is not considered during chest tube removal [11, 12]. However, the uniform standard may not be the appropriate threshold level for different patients as the ability of the pleura for filtration and reabsorption vastly differs from patient to patient. The total drainage volume accurately reflects such an ability of the pleura. Under this circumstance, a thoracic surgeon should be able to accurately predict the drainage volume for appropriate chest tube management.

To the best of our knowledge, no study has systematically investigated the predictive factors for total PDV after lobectomy. To address this issue, we retrieved the daily drainage records of non-small cell lung cancer (NSCLC) patients who underwent uniportal video-assisted thoracic surgery (VATS) lobectomy and we extensively analyzed the potential predictive factors associated with PDV.

Methods

Patients

The present study enrolled 440 consecutive NSCLC patients who underwent uniportal VATS lobectomy and systematic lymph node dissection between November 2016 and July 2019. All patients were screened according to the following exclusion criteria: co-existence of severe underlying diseases before the operation; lobectomy for more than one lobe; chemo-or radio-therapy prior to surgery; anesthesia and operation time more than 3 hours; detection of extensive pleural adhesion intraoperatively; intraoperative blood transfusion; intraoperative blood loss more than 100 ml; anesthesia or surgical accident; severe postoperative complications; and secondary thoracic catheterization. The Tumor, Node, Metastasis (TNM) staging was in accordance with the International Association for the Study of Lung Cancer (IASLC) staging system (the 8th Edition) [13]. This study was approved by the Ethics Committee of First Hospital of Jilin University. Informed consent for use of the medical data in this study was obtained from the patients.

Perioperative Management

All patients underwent routine preoperative examination and blood tests in our hospital. The enrolled patients underwent uniportal VATS lobectomy through an incision 4–5 cm long over the fifth intercostal space under single lung ventilation. The pulmonary vessels and bronchi were individually dissected, stapled, or ligated, and then they were divided. Incomplete fissures were developed by mechanical staplers. When the lobectomy was completed, systematic lymph node dissection was conducted and specimens were routinely submitted for pathological examination. After confirmation of achievement of pneumostasis and hemostasis, a chest tube was conventionally placed through the incision over the fifth intercostal space apically before chest closure. The tube was connected to a drainage bucket with a negative pressure of 8 cm H₂O suction during the first two days after surgery. Routine chest X-ray was performed on the first day after the operation, and it was reperformed before chest tube removal.

The total PDV was calculated based on the records obtained by a particular doctor every 24 hours. Postoperative management mainly focused on early ambulation, antibiotic prophylaxis, respiratory rehabilitation, and anticoagulation. The chest tube was removed once the following conditions were fulfilled: chest X-ray showed satisfactory lung re-expansion; there was absence of air leak in the chest drainage bucket; there was absence of thick bloody, purulent, or cloudy pleural fluid; and the drainage volume was not more than 200 ml/ on the day of chest tube removal.

Parameters Of Patients

A total of 28 parameters of patients, including clinicopathological characteristics, cardiopulmonary function, liver and kidney function, blood coagulation function, and other routine blood examination indicators, were recorded in this study. The patients' clinicopathological characteristics under consideration included age, gender, body mass index (BMI), smoking history, type of lobectomy, operation time, tumor stage, and pathology. Cardiopulmonary function parameters included forced expiratory volume in 1 second % predicted (FEV1%), carbon monoxide lung diffusion capacity % predicted (DLCO%), left ventricular ejection fraction (LVEF), and left ventricular fractional shortening (LVFS). Liver and kidney function parameters included serum alanine aminotransferase (ALT), serum aspartate aminotransferase (AST), serum total protein (TP), serum albumin (ALB), serum creatinine (CRE), and serum urea nitrogen (BUN). Blood coagulation function parameters included prothrombin time (PT), activated partial thromboplastin time (APTT), thrombin time (TT), and fibrinogen (FIB). Other routine blood examination indicators included red blood cell count (RBC), white blood cell count (WBC), platelet count (PLT), hemoglobin (Hb), blood glucose, and erythrocyte sedimentation rate (ESR).

Statistical Data Analysis

Continuous variables were summarized as mean \pm standard deviation for the normally distributed data, and as median (interquartile range [IQR]) for the non-normally distributed data. In univariate analysis of a continuous variable, Spearman correlation coefficients were calculated between PDV and the continuous variable. For a categorical variable, Mann–Whitney U tests were performed for comparison between two groups and Kruskal-Wallis test was performed for comparison among three or more groups. Variables with a P-value < 0.1 on univariate analysis were entered into multivariate regression analysis with backward selection to identify independent predictors of PDV, wherein categorical variables were converted into dummy variables, such as 0 or 1 for patients with or without smoking history. Values of P < 0.05 were considered statistically significant. All analyses were performed with Statistical Package for Social Sciences (SPSS) version 23.0 (SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL, USA). Graphs were created with the aid of the GraphPad Prism version 8.2.0.

Results

The total 440 patients included 268 women and 172 men, and the median age was 60 years. The median PDV was 840 ml during the median drainage duration of 4 days. Further details of the clinicopathologic characteristics are shown in Table 1. The volume of daily drainage on the particular postoperative day (POD) is shown in Fig. 1, and it showed a general decreasing trend from the first day after the operation. A strong correlation was observed between PDV and duration of drainage (correlation coefficient, 0.936; P $\square 0.001$).

Table 1
Clinicopathological characteristics of the enrolled patients

Parameters	
Age (years, IQR)	60 (53–65)
Gender male (n, %)	172 (39.1%)
BMI (kg/m ²)	24.01 ± 3.34
Smoking history (n, %)	130 (29.5%)
TNM staging (n, %)	
IA	241 (54.8%)
IB	99 (22.5%)
IIA	16 (3.6%)
IIB	53 (12.0%)
IIIA	21 (4.8%)
IIIB	5 (1.1%)
IV	5 (1.1%)
Pathology (n, %)	
Adenocarcinoma	385 (87.5%)
Squamous carcinoma	46 (10.5%)
Others	9 (2.0%)
Surgical location (n, %)	
LUL	104 (23.6%)
LLL	79 (18%)
RUL	121 (27.5%)
RML	39 (8.9%)
RLL	97 (22%)
Duration of drainage (days, IQR)	4 (3–5)
PDV (ml, IQR)	840 (570–1370)

IQR: interquartile range; BMI: body mass index; TNM; Tumor, Node, Metastasis; LUL: left upper lobe; LLL: left lower lobe; RUL: right upper lobe; RML: right middle lobe; RLL: right lower lobe.

Univariate correlation analysis showed that age, operation time, and BMI were positively correlated with PDV (P value, \leq 0.001, 0.008, and 0.045, respectively). In contrast, FEV1%, LVEF, and TP showed a negative correlation with PDV (P value, \leq 0.001, 0.003, and 0.028, respectively). The correlations between PDV and each factor with statistical significance are shown in Fig. 2. Using a less stringent cut-off of 0.1 for P-values, LVFS, ALB, APTT, WBC, DLCO%, CRE, and PT were also found to be correlated with PDV (P value, 0.059, 0.076, 0.063, 0.056, 0.063, 0.081, and 0.089, respectively; Table 2). All of the above factors were included in the multiple regression analysis.

Table 2

The correlation coefficient and P value between PDV and factors from continuous variables

Factors	Mean \pm SD or Median (IQR)	Correlation coefficient	P value
Age (years)	60 (53–65)	0.245	\leq 0.001
BMI (kg/m ²)	24.01 \pm 3.34	0.096	0.045
Operation time (min)	132 (108–164)	0.127	0.008
Cardiac-pulmonary function			
FEV1% (%)	96.2 \pm 16.7	-0.229	\leq 0.001
DLCO% (%)	89.1 \pm 16.8	-0.089	0.063
LVFS (%)	32.5 \pm 2.3	-0.090	0.059
LVEF (%)	61.5 \pm 2.8	-0.139	0.003
Liver and kidney function			
AST (U/L)	21.0 (17.9–25.0)	0.008	0.870
ALT (U/L)	17.65 (12.6–24.1)	0.021	0.654
TP (g/L)	68.85 \pm 4.92	-0.105	0.028
ALB (g/L)	40.63 \pm 3.44	-0.085	0.076
CRE (μ mol/L)	64.20 \pm 13.67	0.083	0.081
BUN (mmol/L)	5.72 \pm 1.43	0.035	0.464
Coagulation test			
APTT (seconds)	27.32 \pm 3.36	0.089	0.063
PT (seconds)	10.75 \pm 0.83	0.081	0.089
TT (seconds)	17.41 \pm 1.62	0.014	0.768
FIB (g/L)	2.77 \pm 0.69	-0.011	0.821
Other routine blood tests			
RBC (10^{12} /L)	4.57 \pm 0.45	0.051	0.287

PDV: pleural drainage volume; IQR: interquartile range; BMI: body mass index; FEV1%: forced expiratory volume in 1 second % predicted; DLCO%: carbon monoxide lung diffusion capacity % predicted; LVFS: left ventricular fractional shortening; LVEF: left ventricular ejection fraction; AST: aspartate aminotransferase; ALT: alanine aminotransferase; TP: serum total protein; ALB: serum albumin; CRE: serum creatinine; BUN: blood urea nitrogen; PT: prothrombin time; APTT: activated partial thromboplastin time; TT: thrombin time; FIB: fibrinogen; RBC: red blood cell count; WBC: white blood cell count; PLT: platelet count; Hb: Hemoglobin; ESR: erythrocyte sedimentation rate.

Factors	Mean ± SD or Median (IQR)	Correlation coefficient	P value
WBC (10 ⁹ /L)	5.87 ± 1.49	0.091	0.056
PLT (10 ⁹ /L)	225 (188–265)	-0.010	0.832
Hb (g/L)	139.98 ± 14.77	0.054	0.257
Blood glucose (mmol/L)	5.41 ± 1.43	0.018	0.703
ESR (mm/60 min)	10 (4–20)	0.070	0.142

PDV: pleural drainage volume; IQR: interquartile range; BMI: body mass index; FEV1%: forced expiratory volume in 1 second % predicted; DLCO%: carbon monoxide lung diffusion capacity % predicted; LVFS: left ventricular fractional shortening; LVEF: left ventricular ejection fraction; AST: aspartate aminotransferase; ALT: alanine aminotransferase; TP: serum total protein; ALB: serum albumin; CRE: serum creatinine; BUN: blood urea nitrogen; PT: prothrombin time; APTT: activated partial thromboplastin time; TT: thrombin time; FIB: fibrinogen; RBC: red blood cell count; WBC: white blood cell count; PLT: platelet count; Hb: Hemoglobin; ESR: erythrocyte sedimentation rate.

Patients with smoking history showed a significantly higher PDV compared with never smokers (900 ml vs. 830 ml; $P = 0.030$; Fig. 3a). Among the patients with smoking history, the smoking index showed a positive correlation with PDV ($P = 0.011$; Fig. 3b). There was no statistically significant difference in PDV between male and female patients ($P = 0.167$). Among PDVs after different types of lobectomies, PDV after left lower lobectomy was the highest, while PDV after right middle lobectomy was the lowest. Overall, the PDV levels among patients with different types of lobectomy differed substantially ($P = 0.031$; Fig. 4a). Patients who underwent lower lobectomy had a significantly higher PDV of 910 ml compared to patients who underwent upper or middle lobectomy and showed a PDV of 805 ml ($P = 0.015$; Fig. 4b). Patients who underwent left lobectomy showed a higher PDV than patients who underwent right lobectomy, but the difference was not statistically significant (870 ml vs. 830 ml; $P = 0.152$). In addition, the pathological type did not significantly affect PDV nor did the TNM staging (Table 3).

Table 3
Comparison of PDV based on factors from categorical variables

Factors	PDV (ml, IQR)	P value
Gender		0.167
Male	860 (582.5–1498)	
Female	830 (560–1261)	
Smoking history		0.030
With	900 (640–1493)	
Without	830 (550–1315)	
TNM staging		0.471
IA or IB	830 (555-1327.5)	
IIA or IIB	882.5 (575-1512.5)	
IIIA or IIIB	955 (587.5–1520)	
IV	950 (775–1430)	
Pathology		0.765
Adenocarcinoma	840 (570–1335)	
Squamous carcinoma	920 (482.5–1550)	
Others	750 (422.5–1550)	
Surgical location		0.031
LUL	835 (552.5–1384)	
LLL	920 (610–1510)	
RUL	800 (525–1210)	
RML	660 (430–1020)	
RLL	880 (630–1435)	
Surgical location		0.015
Upper or middle lobe	805 (540–1269)	
Lower lobe	910 (630–1468)	
Surgical location		0.152

PDV: pleural drainage volume; IQR: interquartile range; TNM; Tumor, Node, Metastasis; LUL: left upper lobe; LLL: left lower lobe; RUL: right upper lobe; RML: right middle lobe; RLL: right lower lobe;

Factors	PDV (ml, IQR)	P value
Left lobe	870 (570–1440)	
Right lobe	830 (560–1288)	
PDV: pleural drainage volume; IQR: interquartile range; TNM; Tumor, Node, Metastasis; LUL: left upper lobe; LLL: left lower lobe; RUL: right upper lobe; RML: right middle lobe; RLL: right lower lobe;		

Using the multiple backward regression strategy, age ($P \leq 0.001$), FEV1% ($P \leq 0.001$), LVEF ($P = 0.011$), TP ($P = 0.013$), and lower lobectomy ($P = 0.016$) were included in the final model. Specifically, older age, lower FEV1%, lower TP, lower lobectomy, and lower LVEF were associated with an increase in the total PDV after uniportal VATS lobectomy in NSCLC patients (Table 4).

Table 4
Multivariate regression analysis of PDV

Factors	Regression coefficients	P-value
Age	0.203	≤ 0.001
FEV1%	-0.225	≤ 0.001
LVEF	-0.258	0.011
TP	-0.112	0.013
Lower lobectomy	0.109	0.016
PDV: pleural drainage volume; FEV1%: forced expiratory volume in 1 second % predicted; LVEF: left ventricular ejection fraction; TP: serum total protein		

Discussion

In a physiological state, pleural fluid enters the pleural space through the parietal pleura via a filtering pressure gradient and it is removed via an absorptive pressure gradient through the visceral pleura, together with lymphatic drainage through the stomas of the parietal pleura. Thus, filtration and reabsorption of pleural fluid maintain a dynamic balance through a negative feedback system between visceral and parietal pleurae [14, 15].

However, the physiological state is inevitably perturbed by the systemic response and local changes due to thoracic surgery. A systemic response is usually induced by anesthesia, surgical injuries, inflammation, and stress. Local changes, including intrathoracic tissue injuries and changes such as residual lung over-inflation and alteration of the pulmonary circulation (blood re-distribution, the increase in blood flow, velocity, and hydrostatic pressure) can contribute to the development of pleural effusion [16–18].

Few previous studies aimed to assess the factors affecting PDV after thoracic surgery, Hristova R et al. reported that age, chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD), and lower lobectomy were risk factors

for large drainage volume on the second day after lobectomy [19]. Kosugi et al. proved that reduced creatinine clearance and thoracic duct resection were risk factors for larger PDV after transthoracic oesophagectomy [20]. However, these two studies included various factors that could affect the PDV, such as different surgical procedures, timing of operation, presence of pleural adhesion, and blood transfusion or complications intra-or postoperatively. In order to minimize the potential interference factors, all patients in the present study were analyzed based on the exclusion criteria and they uniformly underwent uniportal VATS lobectomy, although which procedure has been proved could reduce the PDV after operation [21]. It may be an effective way to identify the predictive factors of PDV in patients who are diagnosed with NSCLC and who undergo uniportal VATS lobectomy.

Age is the most representative indicator of the physical condition, and our research showed that it was an independent predictive factor for PDV. This finding was similar to that in the study by Hristova R et al [19]. The primary reason why PDV increases with age is increased microvascular dysfunction and hyperpermeability, which are caused by the destruction of endothelial cell adhesion and interstitial matrix-associated proteins [22].

Our research proved that FEV1% was another indispensable predictor of PDV. FEV1% is considered to be an effective indicator of bronchiole expansion function and pulmonary compliance, and reduction in FEV1% reflects the degree of alveolar hyperinflation and subsequent physiopathological decrease in the pulmonary vascular bed, which can aggravate postoperative microvascular filtration. A previous investigation on the operative patients also suggested a causative relationship between the decrease in compliance and the perturbation in pleuro-pulmonary fluid balance, which might lead to an increase in pleural effusion [17].

LVEF, an index of left ventricular systolic function, was also found to be a predictor of PDV. One possible explanation is that decreased left-sided cardiac function increased the hydrostatic pressure in the pulmonary circulation and pulmonary edema promoted pleural fluid filtration. In addition, secondary pulmonary edema could also aggravate the hypoxia and inflammation of the residual lung, which further increased the PDV [23–25].

The relationship between TP and pleural transudate has been adequately studied in previous researches. Lower serum protein decreased the serum colloid osmotic pressure, which resulted in a higher PDV as a result of increased filtration and decreased reabsorption [15, 26, 27].

Patients who underwent lower lobectomy had higher PDV in our research, and this finding was in accordance with that reported by Hristova R et al. and Kouritas VK et al [19, 28, 29]. Increased PDV after lower lobectomy might be due to larger residual space after removal of the lower lobe than the upper or middle lobe, subsequently causing a greater reduction in the vascular bed and pleural reabsorption area as well as greater over-inflation and blood flow redistribution in the residual lung, which resulted in greater filtration of the pleural fluid.

In any case, the amount of pleural drainage cannot be ignored while judging the timepoint of chest tube removal. This study presents the important factors associated with PDV, which can help in perioperative patient management. These factors could be beneficial for alerting the surgeons of incremental PDV and for increasing the awareness. Before the operation, the patients with high predictive PDV should be administered an individualized correction through preoperative therapy and exercise for an enhanced recovery after surgery. After the operation, these predictors can help identify the patients who can safely undergo early chest tube removal after the operation and can provide evidence for differentiated and individualized chest tube management.

Limitations

Although this study collected clinical data prospectively, it was a retrospective study. In addition, as the dependent variable (PDV) was continuous, we could not perform a logistic regression analysis to define the cut-off value for each independent variable. In our future study, we plan to define the cut-off value for each independent variable.

Conclusions

It is possible to identify the predictive factors associated with an incremental risk of PDV after uniportal VATS lobectomy for NSCLC, and they can be used to tailor individualized safe chest tube management.

Abbreviations

PDV: pleural drainage volume; VATS: video-assisted thoracic surgery; NSCLC: non-small cell lung cancer; IQR: interquartile range; BMI: body mass index; FEV1%: forced expiratory volume in 1 second % predicted; DLCO%: carbon monoxide lung diffusion capacity % predicted; LVFS: left ventricular fractional shortening; LVEF: left ventricular ejection fraction; AST: aspartate aminotransferase; ALT: alanine aminotransferase; TP: serum total protein; ALB: serum albumin; CRE: serum creatinine; BUN: blood urea nitrogen; PT: prothrombin time; APTT: activated partial thromboplastin time; TT: thrombin time; FIB: fibrinogen; RBC: red blood cell count; WBC: white blood cell count; PLT: platelet count; Hb: Hemoglobin; ESR: erythrocyte sedimentation rate; TNM; Tumor, Node, Metastasis; LUL: left upper lobe; LLL: left lower lobe; RUL: right upper lobe; RML: right middle lobe; RLL: right lower lobe

Declarations

Acknowledgement

We thank Dr. Shang-ning Wang for his valuable effort in collecting the data.

Author contributions

MT collected clinical information of patients, performed statistical analysis, and completed the writing of the manuscript; JL assisted in collecting patients' clinical information and performing statistical analysis; ST assisted in revising the statistical methods of the investigation; XG assisted in modifying the manuscript; WL designed the main study and critically revised the manuscript.

Funding

Jilin Province Scientific and Technological Department, International Scientific and Technological Cooperation Project (20190701043GH)

Availability of data and materials

The data used and/or analyzed during the current study were obtained from the Department of Thoracic Surgery, the First Hospital of Jilin University. The data are available from the corresponding author on reasonable request.

Ethical approval and consent to participate

The present study was reviewed and approved by Ethics Committee of The First Hospital of Jilin University (Changchun, China). Informed consent for use of the medical data in this study was obtained from the patients.

Consent for publication

Not applicable.

Competing interests

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

Author details

¹ Department of Thoracic Surgery, The First Hospital of Jilin University, Changchun, Jilin, China, 130021.

²Department of Division of Clinical Research, The First Hospital of Jilin University, Changchun, Jilin, China, 130021


References

1. Gomez-Caro A, Roca MJ, Torres J, Cascales P, Terol E, Castaner J, Pinero A, Parrilla P: **Successful use of a single chest drain postlobectomy instead of two classical drains: a randomized study.** *Eur J Cardiothorac Surg* 2006, **29**:562-566.
2. Okur E, Baysungur V, Tezel C, Sevilgen G, Ergene G, Gokce M, Halezeroglu S: **Comparison of the single or double chest tube applications after pulmonary lobectomies.** *Eur J Cardiothorac Surg* 2009, **35**:32-35; discussion 35-36.

3. Molins L, Fibla JJ, Perez J, Sierra A, Vidal G, Simon C: **Outpatient thoracic surgical programme in 300 patients: clinical results and economic impact.** *Eur J Cardiothorac Surg* 2006, **29**:271-275.
4. Talmor M, Hydo L, Gershenwald JG, Barie PS: **Beneficial effects of chest tube drainage of pleural effusion in acute respiratory failure refractory to positive end-expiratory pressure ventilation.** *Surgery* 1998, **123**:137-143.
5. Utter GH: **The rate of pleural fluid drainage as a criterion for the timing of chest tube removal: theoretical and practical considerations.** *Ann Thorac Surg* 2013, **96**:2262-2267.
6. Bjerregaard LS, Jensen K, Petersen RH, Hansen HJ: **Early chest tube removal after video-assisted thoracic surgery lobectomy with serous fluid production up to 500 ml/day.** *Eur J Cardiothorac Surg* 2014, **45**:241-246.
7. Gottgens KW, Siebenga J, Belgers EH, van Huijstee PJ, Bollen EC: **Early removal of the chest tube after complete video-assisted thoracoscopic lobectomies.** *Eur J Cardiothorac Surg* 2011, **39**:575-578.
8. Younes RN, Gross JL, Aguiar S, Haddad FJ, Deheinzelin D: **When to remove a chest tube? A randomized study with subsequent prospective consecutive validation.** *J Am Coll Surg* 2002, **195**:658-662.
9. Davis JW, Mackersie RC, Hoyt DB, Garcia J: **Randomized study of algorithms for discontinuing tube thoracostomy drainage.** *J Am Coll Surg* 1994, **179**:553-557.
10. Waldhausen JH, Cusick RA, Graham DD, Pittinger TP, Sawin RS: **Removal of chest tubes in children without water seal after elective thoracic procedures: a randomized prospective study.** *J Am Coll Surg* 2002, **194**:411-415.
11. Olgac G, Cosgun T, Vayvada M, Ozdemir A, Kutlu CA: **Low protein content of drainage fluid is a good predictor for earlier chest tube removal after lobectomy.** *Interact Cardiovasc Thorac Surg* 2014, **19**:650-655.
12. Nakanishi R, Fujino Y, Yamashita T, Oka S: **A prospective study of the association between drainage volume within 24 hours after thoracoscopic lobectomy and postoperative morbidity.** *J Thorac Cardiovasc Surg* 2009, **137**:1394-1399.
13. Goldstraw P, Chansky K, Crowley J, Rami-Porta R, Asamura H, Eberhardt WE, Nicholson AG, Groome P, Mitchell A, Bolejack V: **The IASLC Lung Cancer Staging Project: Proposals for Revision of the TNM Stage Groupings in the Forthcoming (Eighth) Edition of the TNM Classification for Lung Cancer.** *J Thorac Oncol* 2016, **11**:39-51.
14. Miserocchi G: **Mechanisms controlling the volume of pleural fluid and extravascular lung water.** *Eur Respir Rev* 2009, **18**:244-252.
15. Zocchi L: **Physiology and pathophysiology of pleural fluid turnover.** *Eur Respir J* 2002, **20**:1545-1558.
16. Brunelli A, Beretta E, Cassivi SD, Cerfolio RJ, Detterbeck F, Kiefer T, Miserocchi G, Shrager J, Singhal S, Van Raemdonck D, Varela G: **Consensus definitions to promote an evidence-based approach to management of the pleural space. A collaborative proposal by ESTS, AATS, STS, and GTSC.** *Eur J Cardiothorac Surg* 2011, **40**:291-297.

17. Salito C, Bovio D, Orsetti G, Salati M, Brunelli A, Aliverti A, Miserocchi G: **Effect of lung resection on pleuro-pulmonary mechanics and fluid balance.** *Respir Physiol Neurobiol* 2016, **221**:35-40.
18. Miserocchi G, Beretta E, Rivolta I: **Respiratory mechanics and fluid dynamics after lung resection surgery.** *Thorac Surg Clin* 2010, **20**:345-357.
19. Hristova R, Pompili C, Begum S, Salati M, Kefaloyannis M, Tentzeris V, Papagiannopoulos K, Brunelli A: **An aggregate score to predict the risk of large pleural effusion after pulmonary lobectomy.** *Eur J Cardiothorac Surg* 2015, **48**:72-76.
20. Kosugi S, Kanda T, Yajima K, Ishikawa T, Sakamoto K: **Risk factors influencing the pleural drainage volume after transthoracic oesophagectomy.** *Eur J Cardiothorac Surg* 2013, **43**:1116-1120.
21. Li J, Qiu B, Scarci M, Rocco G, Gao S: **Uniportal video-assisted thoracic surgery could reduce postoperative thorax drainage for lung cancer patients.** *Thorac Cancer* 2019, **10**:1334-1339.
22. Oakley R, Tharakan B: **Vascular hyperpermeability and aging.** *Aging Dis* 2014, **5**:114-125.
23. Miserocchi G, Passi A, Negrini D, Del Fabbro M, De Luca G: **Pulmonary interstitial pressure and tissue matrix structure in acute hypoxia.** *Am J Physiol Lung Cell Mol Physiol* 2001, **280**:L881-887.
24. Kroegel C, Antony VB: **Immunobiology of pleural inflammation: potential implications for pathogenesis, diagnosis and therapy.** *Eur Respir J* 1997, **10**:2411-2418.
25. Wiener-Kronish JP, Goldstein R, Matthay RA, Biondi JW, Broaddus VC, Chatterjee K, Matthay MA: **Lack of association of pleural effusion with chronic pulmonary arterial and right atrial hypertension.** *Chest* 1987, **92**:967-970.
26. Broaddus VC, Light RW: **What is the origin of pleural transudates and exudates?** *Chest* 1992, **102**:658-659.
27. Eid AA, Keddissi JI, Kinasewitz GT: **Hypoalbuminemia as a cause of pleural effusions.** *Chest* 1999, **115**:1066-1069.
28. Kouritas VK, Zissis C, Bellenis I: **Variation of the postoperative fluid drainage according to the type of lobectomy.** *Interact Cardiovasc Thorac Surg* 2013, **16**:437-440.
29. Kouritas VK, Hatzoglou C, Gourgoulialis KI, Molyvdas PA: **Pleural electrophysiology variations according to location in pleural cavity.** *Interact Cardiovasc Thorac Surg* 2009, **9**:391-394.

Figures



Median volume (ml): 200 253 220 210 200 185 170 150 123

Figure 1

Daily drainage volume is shown in the form of a graph. The box plots represent IQRs. The lower and upper bars show the 10th percentile and the 90th percentile, respectively; the circles represent the outliers. The median drainage volumes on each POD are also presented. POD: postoperative day; IQR: interquartile range




Figure 2

Correlations between PDV and each factor with statistical significance. (a) Age, (b) FEV1%, (c) LVEF, (d) Operation time, (e) TP, (f) BMI. PDV: pleural drainage volume; FEV1%: forced expiratory volume in 1 second % predicted; LVEF: left ventricular ejection fraction; TP: serum total protein; BMI: body mass index





Figure 3

The comparison of PDV between patients with and without smoking history, and the correlation between the smoking index and PDV in patients with smoking history. (a) The median PDV of patients with smoking history was higher than that of never smokers (900 ml vs. 830 ml; $P = 0.030$). (b) The smoking index showed a positive correlation with PDV in patients with smoking history, and the correlation coefficient (ρ) was 0.221. PDV: pleural drainage volume





Figure 4

PDV in patients with each type of lobectomy and the comparison between lower lobectomy and upper lobectomy. (a) The median PDV of patients who underwent LUL, LLL, RUL, RML, and RLL was 835 ml, 920 ml, 800 ml, 660 ml, and 880 ml, respectively ($P = 0.031$). (b) Patients who underwent lower lobectomy showed a higher PDV compared with those who underwent upper lobectomy (910 ml vs. 805 ml; $P =$

0.015). PDV: pleural drainage volume; LUL: left upper lobe; LLL: left lower lobe; RUL: right upper lobe; RML: right middle lobe; RLL: right lower lobe