

## NOMA Resource Allocation Method Based on Prioritized Dueling DQN -DDPG Network

Yuan Liu Heilongjiang University

#### Yue Li (2017021@hlju.edu.cn)

Heilongjiang University https://orcid.org/0000-0002-8880-9773

Lin Li Heilongjiang University Mengli He Heilongjiang University

#### **Research Article**

**Keywords:** Non-orthogonal Multiple Access (NOMA), Resource Allocation, Dueling DQN, Prioritized Sampling, Depth Deterministic Policy Gradient (DDPG)

Posted Date: December 13th, 2022

DOI: https://doi.org/10.21203/rs.3.rs-2341741/v1

License: (c) (f) This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License. Read Full License 1 2

- 3
- 4

NOMA Resource Allocation Method Based on Prioritized Dueling DQN -DDPG Network

> Yuan Liu, Yue Li \*,Lin Li, Mengli He Electronic Engineering School, Heilongjiang University, Harbin, 150001, China

#### 5 Abstract

6 In the mobile communication system, non-orthogonal multiple access (NOMA) technology is introduced to improve spectrum efficiency. Because the combination of 7 users and the transmission power of each user are very important to the performance 8 9 of NOMA system, the resource allocation technology of NOMA system has been widely studied. In recent years, scholars have introduced deep reinforcement learning 10 network for user grouping and power allocation, which can effectively reduce the 11 computational complexity and improve the system sum rate. However, the traditional 12 algorithm based on DQN network still has the problems of slow convergence speed 13 14 and low training stability, and the uniform sampling method in the sample playback process has the problem of low sampling efficiency. To address these problems, this 15 paper proposes a priority-based user grouping and power allocation method of NOMA 16 system optimized by Dueling DQN-DDPG, which can effectively improve the 17 convergence speed and training stability. Firstly, in the user grouping stage, a user 18 grouping network based on Dueling DQN is proposed. This network considers both 19 the state value and the action value in the whole connection layer. 20 The two values compete with each other, and then they are summed up and re-evaluated. The 21 proposed network can effectively improve the stability of the traditional DQN 22 network training process and speed up the training convergence. Secondly, 23 considering the continuity of power value, DDPG network, which is suitable for 24 dealing with continuous action space, is adopted in the power allocation stage, which 25 can avoid the power quantization error. Finally, the priority sampling based on 26 27 TD-error is combined with Dueling DQN network and DDPG network respectively, which can ensure random sampling and improve the replay probability of important 28 samples. Simulation results show that the priority based Dueling DQN -DDPG 29 algorithm proposed in this paper can greatly improve the convergence speed of 30 sample training. At the same time, this scheme has the advantage of priority sampling, 31 which can improve the learning speed and make the training process more stable. 32 Compared with the traditional DQN algorithm, the convergence speed of the proposed 33 algorithm is nearly doubled, and the training process is more stable, but the 34 computational complexity is only increased by about 15%. 35

#### 36 Keywords

Non-orthogonal Multiple Access (NOMA), Resource Allocation, Dueling DQN,
 Prioritized Sampling, Depth Deterministic Policy Gradient (DDPG).

39

#### 40 **1.Introduction**

With the commercialization of 5G network and the continuous development of 41 6G technology, the requirements of communication quality in various industries are 42 43 increasing. Mobile communication devices need to provide higher data rate, lower 44 communication delay and better reliability. The traditional Orthogonal Multiple Access (OMA) technology cannot meet the current communication needs, and the 45 Non-Orthogonal Multiple Access (NOMA) technology has become an important part 46 of the new generation communication technology development. NOMA is mainly 47 classified into two types: power domain multiplexing and code domain multiplexing. 48 The main principle of power domain multiplexing is to allocate power to different 49 50 users at the transmitter according to the real-time Channel State Information (CSI) of 51 users. Then the user information is superimposed on the same time-frequency resource block by Superposition Coding (SC) technology. At the receiving end, the 52 Successive Interference Cancellation technology is used to detect multi-users in a 53 certain order from the received superimposed signals, correctly demodulate signals to 54 55 eliminate the interference, and finally recover the required information. At the 56 transmitting end of the base station, different signal powers will be allocated to different users, so as to obtain the maximum performance gain of the system and 57 58 achieve the purpose of distinguishing users. NOMA technology based on power reuse can effectively improve spectrum utilization, and provide higher transmission rate, 59 lower delay and better transmission reliability<sup>[1]~[3]</sup>. 60

In recent years, many researchers have devoted themselves to the design and 61 implementation of NOMA technology, and proved the compatibility of power domain 62 NOMA with cooperative communication, relay and MIMO. The problems of user 63 grouping, power allocation and spectrum resource allocation for NOMA have also 64 attracted extensive attention. The system sum rate can be greatly improved, and the 65 accuracy and stability of the system can be improved by using an efficient scheme to 66 group and assign power to the users at the transmitter. Reference [4] pointed out that 67 for a given set of scheduled users, the classical iterative water injection power 68 allocation algorithm can achieve the maximum weighted sum of user throughput. 69 Reference [5] studied the user pairing problem of NOMA system based on fixed 70 power allocation, discussed the influence of user pairing on the sum rate, studied the 71 power allocation scheme of two users pairing and analyzed its performance. In 72 reference [6] and reference [7], the authors considered sub-channel allocation and 73 74 power allocation jointly, but this joint resource allocation problem is usually NP-hard, 75 and it is difficult to obtain an optimal solution with conventional optimization methods. 76

Conventional methods rely on system modeling, and the computational complexity is high. In contrast, deep learning is a powerful tool to solve complex mathematical problems, which shows great advantages. There have been many studies on the combination of NOMA technology and deep learning. In reference [8], considering the user fairness of NOMA, Deep Neural Networks (DNN) are used for decoding. Compared with traditional algorithms, DL can effectively reduce the

computational complexity, so as to efficiently achieve fairness and finally maximize 83 the system sum rate. Reference [9] uses the Attention-Based Neural Network (ANN) 84 to allocate channels to users in NOMA system. Compared with the traditional random 85 allocation and exhaustive search calculation methods, the introduction of neural 86 87 networks can effectively improve the total throughput of the system and reduce the 88 computational complexity. Reference [10] trains DNN to simulate the interior point algorithm for power allocation, the introduction of neural networks can improve 89 computational efficiency. Through the combination of deep learning and 90 reinforcement learning, Deep Reinforcement Learning (DRL) can make full use of the 91 perceptual advantages of deep learning and the decision-making advantages of 92 reinforcement learning, and directly control strategies from high-dimensional raw data 93 94 to provide faster convergence speed, which is more effective for multi-state and action-space systems. Reference [11] proposes a Deep Q-Network (DQN), which is 95 used as an approximator in many fields. Reference [12] proposes a DRL based 96 resource allocation scheme, which formulates the joint channel allocation and user 97 grouping problem as an optimization problem. Compared with other methods, the 98 proposed framework can achieve better system performance. DQN is currently a more 99 commonly used deep reinforcement learning network, which is widely used in the 100 resource allocation of NOMA system, and effectively solves the problem of high 101 102 complexity of resource allocation of traditional NOMA system. However, when using the traditional DON network to train the samples, the training convergence speed is 103 slow and the training process is unstable. Reference [13] provides an improved 104 network based on DQN, the Dueling DQN, whose core idea is to decompose the state 105 value  $Q_{\pi}(s_t, a_{t1})$  into a state value function  $V(s_t)$  and an action advantage function 106  $A(s_t, a_{tl})$  within the neural network. The state value and advantage functions form a 107 competitive network, which can effectively improve the instability of the traditional 108 109 DQN training process and speed up the training convergence. Based on this, this paper proposes Dueling DQN in the resource allocation of NOMA system, which not 110 only solves the problem of high complexity of traditional algorithms in resource 111 allocation, but also solves the problems of low convergence speed and unstable 112 training process of traditional DQN. 113

Since the output of DQN and Dueling DQN can only be discrete, if we use 114 Dueling DQN to complete power allocation task, the continuous user power needs to 115 be quantized, and quantization will bring quantization error. Deep Deterministic 116 Policy Gradient (DDPG) networks can solve this problem<sup>[14]</sup>. This paper uses the 117 Actor-Critic algorithm to solve the power allocation optimization problem in NOMA 118 119 systems. The Actor-Critic algorithm is used to dynamically select the power allocation coefficient, and a parameterized policy is constructed from the Actor network part, 120 which is evaluated by the Critic network. Finally, the Actor network adjusts the power 121 allocation policy according to the feedback of the Critic network part. 122

In addition, empirical replay algorithm is used in Dueling DQN and DDPG network to reduce the correlation between samples and ensure the independent and identically distributed characteristics between samples. However, the current sampling method is uniform sampling, which ignores the importance of samples. In the sampling process, some valuable samples may not be learned, thus reducing the learning rate. The prioritized sampling method based on TD-error can improve the replay probability of important samples<sup>[15]</sup>. Therefore, this paper proposes priority sampling based Dueling DQN and DDPG network to speed up the convergence of training.

Aiming at maximizing the system sum rate in NOMA resource allocation problem, this paper proposes a joint optimal scheme based on Prioritized Dueling DQN-DDPG network, where Dueling DQN performs discrete tasks to complete user grouping, and DDPG network performs continuous tasks to allocate power to each user. On this basis, this paper proposes a prioritized sampling method based on TD-error to improve sampling efficiency and learning rate.

#### 138 2. System model



139

140

Figure 2-1 Transmission Model of NOMA Uplink System

Figure 2-1 shows the transmission model of NOMA uplink system. In this paper, 141 we study the uplink multi-user NOMA system scenario where the Base Station (BS) is 142 located in the center of the cell and the users are randomly distributed near the base 143 144 station. We need to solve the problems of user grouping and power allocation in the cell by maximizing the system sum rate. Assuming that the number of users per cell is 145 K, the users are randomly distributed in various locations in the cell, and the base 146 station and the users are single-antenna configured. Channel decay follows the 147 Rayleigh distribution, with  $z_n$  representing the additive Gaussian white noise with a 148 variance of  $\delta_n^2$ . The total bandwidth of the system B is evenly distributed among N 149 sub-channels, and users in the same sub-channel are non-orthogonal, and the 150 bandwidth of each sub-channel is  $B_s = B/N$ . Since multiple users in a NOMA system 151 can reuse the same resource block, the maximum number of users on each 152 sub-channel is set to M. The power allocated to the user m on the n sub-channel is 153  $P_{m,n}$ ,  $S_{m,n}$  is the allocation index of the sub-channel, and when user m is assigned to 154 sub-channel *n*, then  $S_{m,n} = 1$ , otherwise  $S_{m,n} = 0$ . Then the signal sent on the *n*th 155 156 sub-channel is:

157 
$$x_{n} = \sum_{i=1}^{M} b_{m,n} \sqrt{P_{m,n}} S_{m,n}$$
(1)

158  $g_{m,n}$  is the channel gain of user *m* on the sub-channel *n*. Then at the base station , 159 the expression of the received signal is:

160 
$$y_n = g_{m,n} b_{m,n} \sqrt{P_{m,n}} S_{m,n} + \sum_{i=1, i \neq m}^M g_{i,n} b_{i,n} \sqrt{p_{i,n}} S_{i,n} + z_n$$
(2)

In NOMA systems, due to interference introduced by the superimposed user, SIC technology is usually used at the receiving end, and the base station will receive multiple different superimposed signals and demodulate them in a certain order. The receiver first demodulates the high-power signal, subtracts it from the mixed signal, and treats the rest as interference. Thus, for users in sub-channel n, the *SINR* can be expressed as:

167 
$$SINR = \frac{b_{m,n}P_{m,n} \left| g_{m,n} \right|^2}{\delta_n^2 + \sum_{i=1,|g_{i,n}|^2 < |g_{m,n}|^2}^M b_{m,n}P_{i,m} \left| g_{i,n} \right|^2}$$
(3)

168 According to Shannon's theorem, the rate of the *m*th user on the sub-channel *n* is:

$$R_{m,n} = B_s \log(1 + SINR) \tag{4}$$

170 The sum rate of the corresponding sub-channel *n* is:

171 
$$R_{n} = \sum_{i=1}^{M} R_{m,n}$$
(5)

172 The system sum rate is:

173 
$$R = \sum_{j=1}^{N} R_{n} = \sum_{i=1}^{M} \sum_{j=1}^{N} R_{m,n}$$
(6)

174 In this paper, the problem is to maximize the system sum rate under the 175 constraints of each user meeting the minimum transmission rate requirements. 176 Optimization problems can be modeled as:

177 
$$\max \sum_{i=1}^{M} \sum_{j=1}^{N} R_{m,n}$$
(7)

#### 178 The constraints of the joint user grouping and power allocation are as follows:

179  $C1: 0 \le P_{m,n} \le P_{\max}$ (8)

$$C2: R_{m,n} \ge R_{\min}$$
(9)

181 where  $P_{\text{max}}$  is the maximum transmit power of the user and  $R_{\text{min}}$  is the minimum 182 data rate of the user. Constraint C1 ensures that the transmit power per user does not 183 exceed  $P_{\text{max}}$ . Constraint C2 guarantees that the rate per user is not less than the 184 minimum signal rate. It is difficult to find a globally optimal solution for this

objective function. Although the global search method can provide the optimal 185 solution by searching all grouping possibilities, the computational complexity is too 186 high to be applied in practice. Therefore, the predecessors utilized DRL to reduce the 187 complexity of the calculation<sup>[16]~[17]</sup>.On this basis, this article proposes a method based 188 on the joint optimization of Prioritized Dueling DQN-DDPG for user grouping and 189 power allocation in NOMA system. The proposed method can increase the system sum 190 191 rate, improve learning efficiency, and solve the problems of slow convergence speed and unstable training. 192

# 193 3. Resource allocation method based on Prioritized Dueling 194 DQN-DDPG



#### **3.1 Resource allocation network architecture**

196 197

Figure 3-1 Resource allocation network based on deep reinforcement learning

General reinforcement learning is mainly composed of five parts: Agent, Action, 198 199 State, Reward, and Environment. Agent represents an agent that makes a corresponding Action based on the input State, and the Environment receives the 200 Action and returns the State and Reward. The agent updates the decision function that 201 produces the action based on the reward. This process is repeated until the Agent can 202 make the optimal Action in any State, that is, the model learning process is completed. 203 The key point of reinforcement learning is that the state, action and return should be 204 one-to-one corresponding to the NOMA system parameters studied, so that the 205 reinforcement learning method can achieve the desired effect<sup>[18]</sup>. 206

According to the structure of reinforcement learning, this paper designs the NOMA system model, as shown in Figure 3-1. NOMA stands for reinforcement learning environment with two agents: One is the Prioritized Dueling DQN, which is responsible for user grouping; the other is the Prioritized DDPG network, which performs power allocation. In this paper, the state space is defined as  $S = \{g_{m,1}, g_{m,2}, ..., g_{m,n}\}$ , the user grouping space is defined as  $A1 = \{b_{1,1}, b_{2,1}, ..., b_{m,n}\}$ , and the power allocation space is defined as  $A2 = \{p_{1,1}, p_{2,1}, ..., p_{m,n}\}$ . Instant rewards

are denoted by  $r_t = R$ , where *R* is the optimization target system sum rate, and  $R_t$  is used to represent the sum of the rewards and rewards obtained<sup>[19]</sup>.

216 
$$R_{t} = r_{t} + \gamma r_{t+1} + \gamma^{2} r_{t+2} \dots = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \gamma^{i} r_{t+i}, \gamma \in [0,1]$$
(10)

217  $\gamma$  is the discount factor, indicating the importance of future rewards and 218 immediate rewards. The value of  $\gamma$  ranges from 0 to 1. The expected value of the 219 cumulative pay off  $R_t$  is defined as the Q value, which is determined by the state 220  $s_t$ . The choice of actions under certain strategies. It is expressed as:

 $Q_{\pi}(s_{t}, a_{t}) = E[r_{t} + \gamma \max Q_{\pi}(s_{t+1}, a_{t+1})]$ (11)

At each Time Slot (TS), Agent1 and Agent2 obtain the channel gain from the 222 NOMA system, select the user combination and power in the action space according 223 to the current channel gain, and return the action result to the NOMA system. Based 224 on the received action, the NOMA system generates instant rewards and channel gains 225 for the next TS, which are then passed to Agent1 and Agent2, respectively. Based on 226 227 the reward, Agent1 and Agent2 update the decision function that selects the action 228 under the current channel gain to complete the interaction. This process is repeated until the Agent can generate the best decision at any channel gain<sup>[20]</sup>. For the DQN 229 user grouping scheme proposed by predecessors, there are problems such as slow 230 convergence speed and unstable training, which lead to system performance loss. In 231 232 order to solve this problem, uplink is improved in this paper. The NOMA system of 233 user grouping and power allocation joint optimization based on Prioritized Dueling DQN-DDPG is shown in Figure 3-1. 234

235

#### 3.2 User grouping based on Dueling DQN

This paper uses Prioritized Dueling DQN to complete the user grouping task. 236 DQN is one of the deep reinforcement learning algorithms. It combines neural 237 network with Q learning algorithm, uses the powerful representation ability of neural 238 network, takes input record as the state in reinforcement learning, and serves as the 239 input of neural network model (Agent). Then the neural network model outputs the 240 241 corresponding value (Q) of each action to get the action to be executed. However, in many deep reinforcement learning tasks, the value functions corresponding to actions 242 in different states are not the same, or in some states, the value functions are unrelated 243 to actions. According to the above ideas, Wang et al.<sup>[13]</sup> proposed the Dueling network 244 model to replace the network model in the DQN. The core idea of Dueling DQN is to 245 decompose the state value  $Q_{\pi}(s_t, a_{t1})$  into the state value function  $V(s_t)$  and the action 246 advantage function  $A(s_t, a_{t1})$ . In this paper, Dueling DQN is applied to the user 247 248 grouping stage of NOMA system. The main idea is that Dueling DQN considers different state values and advantage functions in different states, which can quickly 249 250 select the current optimal action in the sample training process.

251

#### **3.2.1 Dueling DQN based user grouping network**

This section introduces the user grouping framework base on Dueling DQN, in NOMA system. As shown in Figure 3-2, Dueling DQN contains two sub-networks, Q-network and target Q-network. Q-network is used to generate the estimated Q value of the selected action, and target Q-network is used to generate the target Q value of the training neural network. In the NOMA system, the current environment is first initialized to obtain the initial state  $s_t$ , which is fed into the estimated Q-network of the Dueling DQN. Taking  $s_t$ , as input, this paper adopts the  $\varepsilon$ -greedy strategy to select *a*<sub>t1</sub> as new user combination, namely:

 $a_{t1} = \arg\max_{a_{t1} \in A1} (s_t, a_t; \theta, \beta, \alpha)$ (12)

This means that the  $\zeta$  probability is to randomly select the action from the action space A1 as the user combination, or the user combination with the highest estimated Q value with a probability of  $(1-\varepsilon)$ . Finally, all user combinations  $a_{t1}$  and power  $a_{t2}$ (setting the power allocation action to  $a_{t2}$ ) are returned to the NOMA system. Based on the chosen action, the NOMA system generates the immediate reward and the status information  $s_{t+1}$  at the next moment, which is then stored in memory,  $(s_t, a_{t1}, r_t, s_{t+1})$ . To ensure that all samples in the sample pool can be sampled, we set the new sample as the highest priority and store this sample tuple in the experience

the new sample as the highest priority and store this sample tuple in the experience pool. We use the sampling probability to calculate the sample weight, and train the target Q value in the network to be generated using the Q-network, namely:

271 
$$y_{i} = r_{i} + \gamma \max_{a_{(i+1)!} \in A1} Q_{\pi}\left(s_{i+1}, a_{(i+1)!}; \theta^{-}, \beta, \alpha\right)$$
(13)

The purpose of the training process is to make the prediction error between the estimated Q value and the real Q value infinitely close to 0. Therefore, in this paper, the prediction error is defined as a loss function, namely:

275 
$$LOSS = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} w_i \left( y_i - Q(s_i, a_{i1}; \theta, \beta, \alpha) \right)^2$$
(14)

Finally, the loss function is used to update and estimate the weights of the Q-network. Then, after a certain number of iterations, the weight parameters of the target Q-network are updated with the weight parameters of the estimated network. Where  $w_i$  is the importance sampling weight of the sample<sup>[21]~[23]</sup>.



Dueling DQN

280 281

260

Figure 3-2 User grouping framework based on Dueling DQN

#### Algorithm 1: User grouping algorithm based on Dueling DQN

(1) Initialize the memory *D*, store the maximum value of the experience sample to *N*, and the weight update interval *W*. Initialize the prediction Q-network and weight  $\theta$  of all Dueling DQN units, the target Q-network and weight  $\theta^- = \theta$ , and initialize parameters  $\beta$  and  $\alpha$ .

(2) Initialize state  $s_1$ , action  $a_{t1}$  and ambient noise  $z_n \circ$ 

Repeat The time step in the empirical trajectory, from t=1 to T<sub>o</sub>

(3) The Dueling DQN network chooses action  $a_{t1} \in Al$  according to the  $\varepsilon$  - greedy strategy,

and otherwise chooses  $a_{t1} = \arg \max_{a_{t1} \in A1} (s_t, a_{t1}; \theta, \beta, \alpha)$ , and get the return reward  $r_t$  and the

next state  $S_{t+1}$ 

(4) Save the  $(s_t, a_{t1}, r_t, s_{t+1})$  to the memory.

(5) Sample data  $(s_t, a_{t1}, r_t, s_{t+1})$  by priority size from the memory.

(6) The target value of each state is calculated, and the value of Q is updated by the reward  $r_t$ 

after the action is performed by the target network Q.

(7) The weight parameter  $\theta$  of Dueling DQN is updated by minimizing the loss function formula.

(8) Every W interval, update the weight  $\theta^-$  of the target network with the prediction network weight  $\theta$ .

(9) END

#### 282 **3.2.2 Dueling DQN network structure**

283 The architecture of the Dueling DQN model in the user grouping algorithm is shown in Figure 3-3 (a). For comparison, the traditional DQN model architecture is 284 given in Figure 3-3(b). Compared with DQN, Dueling DQN first divides the fully 285 connected layer into two branches. The first path is the output state value( $V(s_t)$ ), 286 which represents the value of the static state environment itself. The next path outputs 287 the action advantage value( $A(s_t, a_{tl})$ ), which represents the additional value of 288 selecting an action. Finally, through full connection, it is merged into the action value 289 290  $Q_{\pi}(s_t, a_{t1})$ . The state value function is unrelated to the action. In contrast, the action 291 advantage function is related to the action, and it is the average reported degree of goodness of the action, which is related to the state, and can solve the Reward-bias 292 problem. Based on the above competing network structure, the agent can finally learn 293 a more realistic value  $V(s_t)$  in the environmental state without the influence of 294 action<sup>[13]</sup>.</sup>295



302

298 299

300 301

296 297

304 Action advantage function  $A(s_t, a_{tl})$  can be expressed as:

306 Where  $\theta$  is the convolution layer parameter;  $\beta$  and  $\alpha$  are the fully connected layer 307 parameters of the two branches.

 $A(s_t, a_{t_1}) \cong A(s_t, a_{t_1}; \theta, \alpha)$ 

(16)

In practice, action dominance is generally set as a separate action dominance function minus the average of all action advantage functions in a certain state. Therefore, the final action Q value of the user grouping in this paper is expressed as:

311 
$$Q_{\pi}(s_t, a_{t1}; \theta, \beta, \alpha) = V\left(s_t; \theta, \beta\right) + \left(A(s_t, a_{t1}; \theta, \alpha) - \frac{1}{|A|} \sum_{a_{t1}'} A(s_t, a_{t1}'; \theta, \alpha)\right)$$
(17)

The advantage of this expression is that it can ensure that the relative ranking of the dominant functions of each action in this state is stable, and can reduce the range of Q value, remove the excess degrees of freedom, and then improve the stability of the algorithm. Compared with the traditional DQN network structure, Dueling DQN decomposes the Q value into the form of value function  $V(s_t)$  and advantage function  $A(s_t,a_{t1})$ , which makes training easier and converges faster. As the number of actions

increases, the advantage becomes more obvious. The state value function depends 318 only on the state and is independent of the behavior, so it's easier to train; In the same 319 state, multiple behaviors can share the same value  $V(s_t)$ . The difference between 320 different behaviors is only in the dominance function. The convergence of this part 321 can also be independent of the value function, so that the relative differences between 322 323 behaviors can be learned independently. Moreover, the advantage function is introduced to avoid the problem of unstable results caused by the large magnitude of 324 Q values and the very small difference between Q values. 325

326

#### 3.3 Power allocation based on DDPG network

Deep reinforcement learning methods such as DQN and Dueling DQN use deep 327 neural networks to approximate Q-valued functions, which can effectively solve 328 complex problems with high dimensions of state space and action space. But it is only 329 suitable for dealing with discrete action spaces. This is because DQN needs to find the 330 action with the largest Q value, and if the action is an infinite number of consecutive 331 values, then iterative optimization needs to be performed within TS in a performance 332 penalty. Therefore, DQN cannot be directly applied to the continuous action space. 333 DDPG is a model-free, off-line learning method based on deterministic policy 334 gradients. It follows the Actor-Critic architecture and can effectively deal with 335 problems with continuous action Spaces by using a deep neural network 336 approximation strategy. Wang et al.<sup>[24]</sup> proposed two frameworks (i.e., DDRA and 337 CDRA) to maximize the energy efficiency of NOMA systems, where DDRA is based 338 on DDPG networks and CDRA is based on multiple DQN<sup>[13]</sup>. The results show that 339 the time complexity of the two frameworks is similar, but the performance of DDPG 340 network is better than that of DON network. This is because in multi-DON, the user 341 power is quantized, resulting in the loss of some important information. DDPG 342 network is similar to DQN, using deep neural networks and uniform sampling. It is 343 344 also a deterministic policy gradient network where behavior is uniquely determined in one state. Moreover, DDPG can handle sequential action tasks without quantifying the 345 transmission power. Therefore, in this section, the power allocation network based on 346 DDPG is designed on the basis of sub-channel assignment in Dueling DQN. DDPG 347 can be easily extended to larger and more complex mobile communication systems. 348 Compared with the discrete method, the continuous resource allocation method 349 proposed in this chapter can achieve better system sum rate, and has stronger 350 processing power for large-scale user access<sup>[25]-[28]</sup>. Figure 3-4 shows the network 351 352 structure of DDPG.





#### Figure 3-4 DDPG network structure

#### **355 3.4 Priority experience playback mechanism**

The priority experience playback mechanism is not randomly sampling, but sampling according to the importance of each sample in the experience pool, which can find the samples required for training more effectively<sup>[15]</sup>. In priority experience playback, the Temporal-difference error (TD-error) of each sample is used as the evaluation criterion for sampling, and the TD-error formula for the samples in the user grouping is as follows:

367

$$\delta_i = y_i - Q(s_i, a_{i1}; \theta, \beta, \alpha)$$
(18)

363 Where  $\delta_i$  is the TD-error of sample *i*. If the absolute value of TD-error of a 364 sample is larger, its probability of being sampled is higher. The TD-error of a sample 365 determines the probability of being sampled. The priority sampling probability of 366 samples can be expressed as:

$$P(i) = \frac{P_i^k}{\sum j P_j^k} \tag{19}$$

where  $P_i$  represents the priority of the sample, it is calculated according to the 368 TD-error of the sample,  $P_i = |\delta_i| + \varepsilon_0$ .  $P_i > 0$ ,  $\varepsilon_0 > 0$ . By setting the priority of the samples, 369 samples with high probability will be added to the learning process frequently and 370 samples with small TD-error may never be trained. In order to ensure that samples 371 with lower priority can also be drawn as training samples, it is assumed that  $\varepsilon_0$  is a 372 positive value to ensure that the sample priority is always greater than 0. k determines 373 374 the degree of priority, when k=0, it indicates uniform sampling, and when k=1indicates greedy strategy sampling. k does not change the monotonicity of priority and 375 is used to increase or decrease the priority of TD-error experience. 376

As the priority experience replay algorithm frequently replayed empirical samples with high TD-error, it resulted in a change in the data distribution of the samples, and the training will be biased or over fitting, in order to reduce the bias, priority experience replay uses the importance sampling weight method to correct the bias. The importance sampling weight of the sample is defined as:

382 
$$w_i = \left(\frac{1}{N}\frac{1}{P(i)}\right)^{\sigma}$$
(20)

where N is the number of samples, P(i) is the sample probability,  $\sigma$  is used to adjust the degree of deviation, and  $\sigma = 1$  indicates that the deviation is completely eliminated.

Figure 3-5 shows the priority-based sampling model.



387 388

Figure 3-5 Prioritized Dueling DQN on Large clusters

The above mentioned priority based experience replay mechanism is used both in the Dueling DQN and DDPG network. The following figure shows the user grouping and power allocation structure model based on Prioritized Dueling DQN-DDPG.





Figure 3-6 User grouping and power allocation of Prioritized Dueling DQN-DDPG

395

#### 396 4. Results and discussion

In this paper, the performance of the proposed Prioritized Dueling DQN -DDPG resource allocation is simulated in the uplink NOMA system. The base station is located in the center of the cell, and the users are randomly distributed in the cell. Specific parameters are shown in Table 1.

Table 1 Simulation parameter setting	
Parameter	Numerical
The number of users	4
Radius of neighborhood	500m
Path loss factor	3
Number of samples	64
Noise power density	-110dBm/Hz
The minimum power	3dBm
Total system bandwidth	10MHz
Discount factor $\gamma$	0.9
Greedy choice strategy probability $\varsigma$	0.9
Algorithm learning rate	0.001

Different learning rates will affect the convergence speed and stability of Dueling DQN training, and this paper first determines that the learning rate of the algorithm is 0.001 through parameter selection. Figure 4-1 shows the convergence of the proposed algorithm at different learning rates.



406 407

401

Figure 4-1 Comparison of learning efficiency parameters

In this paper, the NOMA system resource allocation algorithm of Prioritized 408 Dueling DON and DDPG proposed is denoted as Prioritized Dueling DON-DDPG. In 409 order to verify the effectiveness of the proposed algorithm, this paper makes a 410 comparison between DQN-DDPG, Dueling DQN-DDPG and Prioritized Dueling 411 DQN-DDPG. In DQN-DDPG method, the user grouping is completed according to 412 DQN and the power allocation is finished according to DDPG. In Dueling 413 DQN-DDPG method, Dueling DQN performs user grouping and DDPG performs 414 power allocation. Prioritized Dueling DQN-DDPG is put forward in this paper, where 415 Prioritized Dueling DQN makes user grouping and Prioritized DDPG makes power 416 allocation. This paper compares the system sum rate performance, training 417

418 convergence speed, and training stability of the above algorithms. It can be observed

that the Prioritized Dueling DQN-DDPG is superior to several other algorithmsrespectively.



#### 421 422

Figure 4-2 Comparison of different algorithm systems sum rate

#### 423 **4.1 Convergence of the proposed algorithm**

424 For the convergence performance of the proposed algorithm in this paper, figure 4-2 shows the comparison between the convergence performance of the proposed 425 Prioritized Dueling DQN-DDPG, Dueling DQN-DDPG and DQN-DDPG methods. 426 As the system sum rate gradually increases, the algorithm proposed in this paper is 427 428 close to convergence when the number of iterations is 50, while the DQN-DDPG 429 tends to converge when the number of iterations is nearly 200. By comparison, the convergence speed of Dueling DQN-DDPG proposed is significantly faster than that 430 of DQN-DDPG, and the convergence speed is more than doubled. It can effectively 431 reduce the training time and make the training process more stable. It can be observed 432 that the convergence speed of the Prioritized Dueling DQN-DDPG is significantly 433 faster than that of the Dueling-DDPG, because the prioritized experience replay stores 434 the prioritized learning experience in the experience pool, and guides the optimization 435 of model parameters by extracting samples with high TD-error, which improves the 436 learning efficiency. In addition, prioritized experience replay not only focuses on 437 samples with high TD-error to help speed up the training process, but also involves 438 samples with low TD-error to increase the diversity of training. Therefore, it is 439 440 concluded that the convergence speed of the Prioritized Dueling DQN-DDPG has a very significant improvement compared with the Dueling DQN-DDPG. 441

442

#### 4.2 Average sum rate performance of the proposed algorithm

Figure 4-2 shows the experimental results for system sum rate. All the experimental results are averaged every 600 TS to achieve a smoother and clearer comparison. Prioritized Dueling DQN-DDPG algorithm has obvious advantages over the other two algorithms. Compared with DQN-DDPG algorithm, the proposed algorithm improves the system sum rate by 0.5%. There are two reasons. First, the network structure of Dueling DQN has more advantages than that of DQN, and it can learn more real Q value according to the value function and advantage function.
Therefore, the system sum rate of Dueling DQN-DDPG is improved compared with
DQN-DDPG. At the same time, Prioritized Dueling DQN-DDPG sets priority for
some valuable samples that are beneficial to network training, so as to improve the
system sum rate.

454

#### 4.3 Computational complexity analysis

This section analyzes the computational complexity of the proposed algorithm. 455 Based on the computer program runtime (computer configuration: 64-bit operating 456 system, x64-based processor), the time complexity of the Prioritized Dueling 457 DQN-DDPG increases by about 15% compared to the DQN-DDPG. This is because 458 that Dueling DQN divides the output into two parts at the fully connected layer, 459 decomposes the Q value into a value function and a dominance function, and then 460 461 adds the two parts, so some calculation steps are added when training samples, and the Prioritized algorithm based on timing error is introduced, resulting in an increase 462 in computational complexity. But during the training process, the convergence speed 463 of the algorithm has improved significantly. Table 2 is time complexity comparison of 464 the two methods. Figure 4-3 shows the time complexity of the three methods. 465

466

Table 2 Time complexity comparison of the two methods

-	· ·	
DQN-DDPG	Prioritized Dueling	Time complexity increased by
	DQN-DDPG	percentage
2355.0431316 Seconds	2724.8576722 Seconds	15.703%
2074.8412441 Seconds	2376.3507379 Seconds	14.531%
2021.6223315 Seconds	2280.1505739 Seconds	12.788%
2042.1567555 Seconds	2304.2756512 Seconds	12.835%
2006.6152422 Seconds	2290.4872706 Seconds	14.146%
2020.9810086 Seconds	2323.9442205 Seconds	14.990%
2031.1689703Seconds	2305.3912113 Seconds	13.500%
2011.4987920 Seconds	2276.6919056 Seconds	13.159%
2103.3444909 Seconds	2434.7927646 Seconds	15.758%
2043.8314553 Seconds	2370.6670829 Seconds	15.991%
	DQN-DDPG 2355.0431316 Seconds 2074.8412441 Seconds 2021.6223315 Seconds 2042.1567555 Seconds 2006.6152422 Seconds 2020.9810086 Seconds 2031.1689703Seconds 2011.4987920 Seconds 2103.3444909 Seconds 2043.8314553 Seconds	DQN-DDPG         Prioritized Dueling DQN-DDPG           2355.0431316 Seconds         2724.8576722 Seconds           2074.8412441 Seconds         2376.3507379 Seconds           2021.6223315 Seconds         2280.1505739 Seconds           2042.1567555 Seconds         2304.2756512 Seconds           2006.6152422 Seconds         2290.4872706 Seconds           2020.9810086 Seconds         2305.3912113 Seconds           2031.1689703Seconds         2305.3912113 Seconds           2011.4987920 Seconds         2276.6919056 Seconds           2103.3444909 Seconds         2434.7927646 Seconds           2043.8314553 Seconds         2370.6670829 Seconds

467



Figure 4-3 Comparison of time complexity

469 470

468

### 471 **5. Conclusion**

This paper aims at solving the problems of slow convergence speed and unstable 472 training of DON under the constraint of ensuring the minimum transmission rate of 473 each user and ensuring the system sum rate maximization. A resource allocation 474 475 method for NOMA system with Prioritized Dueling DQN-DDPG joint optimization is proposed. Prioritized Dueling DQN is designed with the current channel state 476 information as input and the sum rate as the optimization objective, so that it can 477 output the optimal user grouping policy. The algorithm uses priority experience replay 478 instead of previous randomly distributed experience replay, and uses TD-error to 479 evaluate the importance of samples. Thus, the optimal strategy can be selected more 480 481 quickly. Simulation results show that when Dueling DQN is used for user grouping, 482 the training convergence speed is significantly accelerated and the training process is relatively stable. The proposed combined priority sampling algorithm can replay 483 valuable samples with high probability, improve the learning rate and make the 484 training more stable. In the power allocation part, the Prioritized DDPG network is 485 used to output the power of all users simultaneously. In addition, compared with the 486 487 common DQN-DDPG, the convergence speed of the proposed joint algorithm is nearly doubled, and the complexity is only increased by 15% 488

489

#### 490 **Declarations**

- 491 Ethics approval and consent to participate
- 492 Not applicable.
- 493 **Consent for publication**

The picture materials quoted in this paper have no copyright requirements, and the source has been indicated.

- 496 Availability of data and materials
- 497 Please contact author for data requests.
- 498 Competing interests
- 499 The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

#### 500 Authors' Contributions

501 YL\* proposed the framework of the whole algorithm; YL performed the 502 simulations, analysis and interpretation of the results. YL, LL and ML have 503 participated in the conception and design of this research, and revised the manuscript. 504 All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

#### 505 Acknowledgements

506 Not applicable.

#### 507 Authors' information

#### 508 Affiliations

509 Electronic Engineering School, Heilongjiang University, Harbin, 150001, China
510 'Yuan Liu, Yue Li, Lin Li, Mengli He

#### 511 **Corresponding author**

512 Correspondence to Yue Li, Email:2017021@hlju.edu.cn.

#### 513 Abbreviations

- 514 OMA:Orthogonal multiple access
- 515 NOMA: Non-orthogonal multiple access
- 516 CSI:Channel State Information
- 517 SIC: Successive interference cancellation
- 518 BS: Base station
- 519 DNN: Deep Neural Networks
- 520 ANN: Attention-Based Neural network
- 521 RL:reinforcement learning
- 522 DRL: Deep reinforcement learning
- 523 SC: Superposition coding
- 524 TS: Time slot
- 525 DQN: Deep Q network
- 526 Dueling DQN:Dueling Deep Q network
- 527 DDPG: Deep deterministic policy gradient network
- 528 TD-error: Temporal-difference error

#### 529 **References**

530 [1] The 5G mobile communication: the development trends and its emerging key techniques [J] .SCIENTIA-SINICA Information, 2014, 44 (5): (551-563.). 531 532 [2] YU X H, PAN Z W, GAO X Q, et al. Development trend and some key technologies of 5G mobile communication [J]. Science China Information Science, 2014,44 533 534 (5): 551-563.(YOU XH, PAN Z W, GAO X Q, et al). [3] Goto J, Nakamura O, Yokomakura K, et al. A Frequency Domain Scheduling for 535 Uplink Single Carrier Non-orthogonal Multiple Access with Iterative Interference 536 537 Cancellation[C].2014 IEEE 80th Vehicular Technology Conference (VTC2014-Fall). IEEE, 538 2014: 1-5. 539 [4] Sun Y, Ng W K, Ding Z, et al. Optimal Joint Power and Subcarrier Allocation for 540 Full-Duplex Multicarrier Non-Orthogonal Multiple Access Systems[J]. IEEE Transactions on 541 Communications, 2017, 65(3):1077-1091. [5] LI Xiaoyu, MA Wenping, LUO Lianfei, ZHAO Feifei. Power allocation of NOMA 542 system in Downlink [J]. Systems Engineering and Electronics, 2018,40(07):1595-1599. 543 544 [6] J.Shi,W.Yu,Q.Ni,W.Liang,Z.Li and P.Xiao.Energy Effcient Resource Allocation in 545 Systems[J].IEEE Hybrid Non-Orthogonal Multiple Accrss Transactions on 546 Communications, 2019, 67 (5): 3496-3511. [7] F. Fang, J. Cheng, and Z. Ding. Joint energy effcient subchannel and power 547 548 optimization for a downlink NOMA heterI ogeneous network [J]. IEEE Trans. Veh. Technol. ,2019,68 (2): 1351-1364. 549 550 [8] Yang N, Zhang H, Long K, et al. Deep Neural Network for Resource Management in NOMA Networks[J]. IEEE Transactions on Vehicular Technology, 2019, 69(1): 876-886. 551 552 [9] He C, Hu Y, Chen Y, et al. Joint power allocation and channel assignment for 553 NOMA with deep reinforcement learning [J]. IEEE Journal on Selected Areas in Communications , 2019, 37(10): 2200-2210. 554 [10] Shamna K F, Siyad C I, Tamilselven S, et al. Deep Learning Aided NOMA for User 555 556 Fairness in 5G[C]. 2020 7th International Conference on Smart Structures and Systems 557 (ICSSS). IEEE, 2020: 1-6. [11] V. Mnih et al. Human-Level Control Through Deep Reinforcement Learning[J]. 558 Nature, 2015,518(7540): 529-533. 559 560 [12] W. Ahsan, W. Yi, Z. Qin et al., Resource allocation in uplink NOMA-IoT networks: 561 a reinforcement-learning approach. IEEE Trans. Wirel. Commun. 20(8), 5083-50 562 [13] Z. Wang, T. Schaul, M. Hessel. Dueling network architectures for deep 563 reinforcement learning[J]. 2015:1995-2003. [14] Zhang S, Li L, Yin J, et al. A dynamic power allocation scheme in power -domain 564 NOMA using actor-critic reinforcement learning[C]. 2018 IEEE/CIC International 565 566 Conference on Communications in China (ICCC). IEEE, 2018:719-723. [15] T. Schaul, J. Quan, I. Antonoglou et al., Prioritized experience replay, in 567 568 Proceedings of International Conference Learning, Representations (2015) 569 [16] C. He, Y. Hu, Y. Chen et al., Joint power allocation and channel assignment for 570 NOMA with deep reinforcement learning. IEEE J. Sel. Areas Commun. 37(10), 2200-2210 571 (2019)[17] T.P. Lillicrap, J.J. Hunt, A. Pritzel et al., Continuous control with deep 572

573	reinforcement learning, in ICLR (2015)
574	[18] Q. Le, VD. Nguyen, O.A. Dobre et al., Learning-assisted user clustering in
575	cell-free massive MIMO-NOMA networks. IEEE Trans. Veh. Technol. (2021).
576	[19] X. Liu, X. Zhang, NOMA-based resource allocation for cluster-based cognitive
577	industrial internet of things. IEEE Trans. Ind. Inform. 16(8), 5379–5388 (2019).
578	[20] Y. Zhang, X. Wang, Y. Xu. Energy-efcient resource allocation in uplink NOMA
579	systems with deep reinforcement learning, in Proceedings of International Conference on
580	Wireless Communications and Signal Processing (WCSP) (2019), p. 1-6.
581	[21] L. Salaün, M. Coupechoux, C.S.J.I.T.O.S.P. Chen, Joint subcarrier and power
582	allocation in NOMA: optimal and approximate algorithms. IEEE Trans. Signal Process. 68,
583	2215–2230 (2020).
584	[22] He, Y. Hu, Y. Chen et al., Joint power allocation and channel assignment for
585	NOMA with deep reinforcement learning[C]. IEEE J. Sel. Areas Commun. 37(10),
586	2200-2210 (2019)
587	[23] X. Wang, Y. Zhang, R. Shen et al., DRL-based energy-efficient resource allocation
588	frameworks for uplink NOMA systems. IEEE Internet Things J. 7(8), 7279–7294 (2020).
589	[24] X. Wang, R. Chen, Y. Xu et al., Low-complexity power allocation in NOMA
590	systems with imperfect SIC for maximizing weighted sum-rate. IEEE Access 7, 94238-
591	94253 (2019).
592	[25] Xiao L, Li Y, Dai C, et al. Reinforcement learning-based NOMA power allocation
593	in the presence of smart jamming[J]. IEEE Transactions on Vehicular Technology, 2017,
594	67(4): 3377 -3389.
595	[26] W. Saetan, S. Thipchaksurat. Power allocation for sum rate maximization in 5G
596	NOMA system with imperfect SIC: a deep learning approach, in Proceedings of the 4th
597	International, Conference on Information Technology (2019), p. 195-198.
598	[27] F. Meng, P. Chen, L. Wu et al., Power allocation in multi-user cellular networks:
599	deep reinforcement learning approaches. IEEE Trans. Wirel. Commun. 19(10), 6255-6267
600	(2020).
601	[28] F.H. Costa Neto, D. Costa Araujo, M. Pontes Mota, T. Macieland A. L. F. De
602	Almeida, Uplink Power Control Framework Based on Reinforcement Learning for 5G
603	Networks[C]. in IEEE Transactions on Vehicular Technology, doi: 10. 1109/TVT(2021).
604	