

# First report of detection of microcystins in farmed Mediterranean mussels *Mytilus galloprovincialis* Thermaikos gulf-Greece, using ELISA and liquid chromatography high-resolution mass spectrometry

**Maria Kalaitzidou**

Aristotle University of Thessaloniki School of Veterinary Medicine: Aristoteleio Panepistemio Thessalonikes Kteniatrike Schole

**Christina Nannou**

Aristotle University of Thessaloniki School of Veterinary Medicine: Aristoteleio Panepistemio Thessalonikes Kteniatrike Schole

**Dinitra Lambropoulou**

Aristotle University of Thessaloniki School of Veterinary Medicine: Aristoteleio Panepistemio Thessalonikes Kteniatrike Schole

**Konstantinos Papageorgiou**

Aristotle University of Thessaloniki School of Veterinary Medicine: Aristoteleio Panepistemio Thessalonikes Kteniatrike Schole

**Alexandros Theodoridis**

Aristotle University of Thessaloniki School of Veterinary Medicine: Aristoteleio Panepistemio Thessalonikes Kteniatrike Schole

**Vangelis Economou**

Aristotle University of Thessaloniki School of Veterinary Medicine: Aristoteleio Panepistemio Thessalonikes Kteniatrike Schole

**Ioannis Giantsis**

Aristotle University of Thessaloniki School of Veterinary Medicine: Aristoteleio Panepistemio Thessalonikes Kteniatrike Schole

**Panagiotis Aggelidis**

Aristotle University of Thessaloniki School of Veterinary Medicine: Aristoteleio Panepistemio Thessalonikes Kteniatrike Schole

**Spyridon Kritas**

Aristotle University of Thessaloniki School of Veterinary Medicine: Aristoteleio Panepistemio Thessalonikes Kteniatrike Schole

**Evanthia Petridou** (✉ [epetri@vet.auth.gr](mailto:epetri@vet.auth.gr))

Aristotle University of Thessaloniki School of Veterinary Medicine: Aristoteleio Panepistemio Thessalonikes Kteniatrike Schole

<https://orcid.org/0000-0002-3532-5724>

---

## Research Article

**Keywords:** microcystins, *Mytilus galloprovincialis*, cyanobacteria, Thermaikos gulf, ELISA, mass spectrometry

**Posted Date:** February 23rd, 2021

**DOI:** <https://doi.org/10.21203/rs.3.rs-239893/v1>

**License:** © ⓘ This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License. [Read Full License](#)

---

**Version of Record:** A version of this preprint was published at Journal of Biological Research-Thessaloniki on March 10th, 2021. See the published version at <https://doi.org/10.1186/s40709-021-00139-4>.

## Abstract

## Background

Microcystins are emerging marine biotoxins, produced by potentially toxic cyanobacteria. Their presence has been reported in aquatic animals in Greek internal waters, while data are few in marine environments. Since the climate change induce eutrophication and harmful algal blooms in coastal marine ecosystems affecting the public health, further research on microcystins' presence in marine waters is required. The aim of this study was to detect the potential presence of microcystins in mussels *Mytilus galloprovincialis* in the largest farming areas in Thermaikos gulf, in Northern Greece, and to investigate their temporal and spatial distribution, adding to the knowledge of microcystins presence in Greek Mediterranean mussels.

## Results

A three years microcystins' assessment was conducted from 2013 to 2016, in farmed Mediterranean mussels *Mytilus galloprovincialis*, in five sampling areas in Thermaikos gulf, in northern Greece, where the 90% of mussels' farming activities is located. The isolation of potentially toxic cyanobacteria was confirmed by molecular methods. An initial screening was performed with a qualitative and quantitative direct monoclonal (DM) ELISA and results above 1ng/g were confirmed for the occurrence of the most common microcystins -RR, -LR and -YR, by Ultra High Performance Liquid Chromatography (UHPLC) coupled with a high- resolution mass spectrometer (HRMS) (Orbitrap analyzer). Microcystin -RR and microcystin -LR were detected, while the intensity of microcystin-YR was below the method detection limit. Most samples that exhibited concentrations above 1ng/g were detected during the warm seasons of the year and especially in Spring. Results indicated an overestimation of the ELISA method, since concentrations ranged between  $0.70 \pm 0.15$  ng/g and  $53.90 \pm 3.18$  ng/g, while the confirmation declared that the levels of microcystins were 6 to 22 times lower.

## Conclusions

Microcystin RR and microcystin LR were detected for the first time in mussel *Mytilus galloprovincialis*, harvested from farms in Thermaikos gulf, in Central Macedonia, in Greece. Their presence was linked to potentially toxic cyanobacteria. Bioaccumulation in digestive gland was observed, while the concentrations in muscles were found extremely low. Samples with levels above 1ng/g were observed mostly during spring, confirming the seasonal distribution of microcystins. The comparison of the results by the ELISA and the LC-Orbitrap MS method indicated an overestimation of concentration by the ELISA method.

## Background

Global climate change, nutrient enrichment of water bodies and eutrophication has induced cyanobacterial blooms worldwide [1]. The abundance of potential toxic cyanobacteria species is prone to the production of secondary metabolites, the cyanotoxins. Microcystins (MCs) are the most common group of cyanotoxins, with high toxicity [2] and they are considered as emerging toxins [3]. They are produced by different genera of freshwater cyanobacteria, such as *Microcystis*, *Anabaena* (*Dolichospermum*), *Nostoc*, *Planktothrix*, *Chroococcus* [4], as well as by marine picoplanktonic species, as *Synechococcus* and *Synechocystis* [5]. Microcystins are monocyclic heptapeptides, having a general structure of cyclo-(D-alanine-R1-DMeAsp-R2-Adda-D-glutamate-Mdha), where R1 and R2 are variable L-amino acids [1]. Up to date more than 279 congeners have been recognized [2]. Adda, [(2S,3S,8S,9S)-3-amino-9-methoxy-2,6,8-trimethyl-10- phenyldeca-4,6-dienoic acid], is a unique amino acid, reported only in microcystins and in a similar group of pentapeptides, the nodularins [6]. The presence of Adda defines the toxicity of microcystins [7]. Microcystins' toxic effects have been reported in humans, domestic and wild animal and in aquatic organisms [7]. The inhibition of the protein phosphatases PP1 and PP2A, has been described as the primary mechanism of toxicity expression, inducing hepatotoxicity, apoptosis, and necrosis of the hepatocytes [2, 8]. Moreover, microcystins have been related to toxic effects in other organs, such as heart [9], kidney [2], intestine [10], lung [11] and brain [12]. Microcystin -LR is considered the most common analogue with the highest toxicity, while microcystin -RR (MC-RR) and microcystin -YR (MC-YR) are coming after [13]. Moreover, it is reported that chronic exposure to MC-LR can lead to primary liver cancer [14, 15], and it is considered as potential carcinogenic (group 2B) [16]. The detection of microcystins has been reported mostly in freshwater, as well as in brackish and marine environments [1]. Moreover, their presence in food web and especially in seafood such as shellfish, fish and crustaceans is considered hazardous for the public health [1]. In Greece, the detection of microcystins have been reported in the lake fish *Cyprinus carpio*, freshwater mussel *Anodonta* sp., in the freshwater European crayfish *Astacus astacus* and in amphibian's *Rana epirotica* skin [17, 18]. Regarding the presence of microcystins in marine aquatic organisms, there is only one report in Mediterranean mussels *Mytilus galloprovincialis* from Amvrakikos gulf, a closed embayment in Ionian Sea [5]. Exports of shellfish and especially *Mytilus galloprovincialis* mussels, contribute significantly to Greek economy. The main farming activity (almost 90%) of *Mytilus galloprovincialis*, is practiced in the Thermaikos gulf areas of Chalastra, Imathia and Pieria in northern Greece [19]. Thermaikos is a semi-

closed gulf, (90 meters maximum depth, surface of 5.100 km<sup>2</sup>), located in the north-west Aegean Sea, in Central Macedonia Greece. It is enriched by four rivers (Axios, Loudias, Aliakmonas and Gallikos), often inducing eutrophication and harmful algal blooms [20, 21]. In this study two methods were applied to detect microcystins in farmed mussels of Thermaikos gulf, ELISA and mass spectrometry. The aim of the study was to investigate the temporal and spatial distribution of the microcystins in mussels *Mytilus galloprovincialis*, in these mussels' farming areas, as well as to compare the afore mentioned detection methods proposed by the scientific literature. The results would contribute to the knowledge of microcystins presence in Greek mussels, to the proposed methodology and to protection of public health.

## Methods

### Sampling area

The three territories with the largest farming activity of *Mytilus galloprovincialis*, Chalastra, Imathia and Pieria were chosen for the investigation. Five sampling points (Kavoura Chalastra – 40° 32'20,12" N 22°44'56,63" E, Klidi Imathia – 40°28'37,03" N 22°39'58,94" E, Makrigialos Pieria – 40°24'57,98" N 22°37'14,93" E, Delta of Axios – 40°31'11,24" N 22°45'44,33" E and estuaries of Gallikos – 40°37'50,85" N and 22°50'46,13" E) were selected in regard to their environmental and economic importance and also due to the potential sources of pollution from industrial, agricultural, veterinary, and urban waste (Fig. 1). These farms applied long line farming system. Mussels *Mytilus galloprovincialis* allowed to grow on the long lines for 15 to 18 months and then seven hundred and fifty (750) mussels were sampled during 2013 and 2016. Two hundred and twenty samples (220) were collected in spring and in summer, two hundred and ten (210) in autumn and one hundred (100) in winter. During the sampling, physical parameters of the water (temperature, salinity, pH and dissolved oxygen) were measured, using a handheld multiparameter instrument (YSI 556, YSI Incorporated, Ohio, USA). The samples were transported in a portable refrigerator at 4 ± 1°C and forwarded within 4 hours to the Laboratory of Microbiology and Infectious Diseases, Faculty of Veterinary Medicine, Aristotle University of Thessaloniki. Before processing the shell was cleaned and dead mussels were rejected. The mean length of the sampled mussels was 5.9 ± 0.50 cm (n = 25) and their mean body weight was 6.1 ± 0.64 g (n = 25).

### Chemicals and reagents

Methanol water and acetonitrile of LC-MS grade, as well as formic acid 99–100% a.r. were purchased from Sigma Aldrich Co. (St. Luis, U.S.A.). HLB OASIS cartridges were used for Solid Phase Extraction (Waters, Milford, MA, USA). For the molecular detection of cyanobacteria QIAamp DNA Mini Kit was purchased by Qiagen (Qiagen, USA), MyTaq™ Red Mix from BIOLINE (Bioline, UK) and NanoDrop (Shimadzu, Japan) was used for the evaluation of the quality and quantity of the extracted DNA. For screening a direct competitive microcystins (ADDA)-DM (direct monoclonal) ELISA kit was purchased from CD Creative Diagnostics (New York, USA). Certified reference materials (CRMs) of microcystin -LR, -RR and -YR were purchased from CIFGA (Lugo, Spain) and uncontaminated mussels' tissue (CRM ZERO MUS) from National Research Council Canada (Newland and Labrador, Canada). The LC separation of the microcystins was carried out on a C18 reverse-phase column ACCLAIM POLAR ADVANTAGE II 3µm 3×150mm with guard column 2/pk and holder-coupler.

### Sample preparation

Sampled mussels were deshelled and then their soft tissues were collected. The digestive glands and the muscles were separated, and one hundred and fifty grams (150g) of each were weighted. The samples were placed in a sieve to drain, homogenized and 5 ± 0.1g were transferred in a 50mL polypropylene centrifuge tube. An aqueous solution of methanol 75% (75:25 v/v methanol:ultrapure water) was used to extract the microcystins from the soft tissues of the mussels, since it is proposed as the most suitable solvent for the recovery of the toxins under investigation [22, 23, 24]. After the extraction, a clean-up procedure using solid phase extraction (SPE) was performed [25]. The extracts were evaporated at a final volume of 2mL. The clean-up procedure was performed in a vacuum manifold system (Alltech® Vacuum Manifold, 2051 Waukegan Rd, Bannockburn, U.S.A), using OASIS HLB (Hydrophilic-Lipophilic Balance) cartridges (200mg/6cc). After the elution, 0.5mL from the fraction was received and dried under nitrogen stream, reconstituted with 2 mL Milli-Q water and analyzed by ELISA. The rest of the cleaned extraction was used for the confirmation by Ultra High Performance Liquid Chromatography - tandem mass spectrometry.

### Microcystins' analysis

#### Detection by ELISA

Initially screening was performed by ELISA [26, 27, 28]. Among several commercial kits, a direct competitive ELISA for microcystins and nodularins, ((2S,3S,4E,6E,8S,9S)- 3-amino-9-methoxy-2,6,8- trimethyl-10-phenyldeca-4,6-dienoic acid, ADDA)-direct monoclonal (DM) ELISA, was chosen [29]. The analysis was performed in triplicate according to the manufacturers' instructions. The reproducibility and the recovery were evaluated by the analysis of a spiked sample of uncontaminated mussel tissue with microcystin -LR (2µg/g). The analysis of the spiked sample was repeated ten times and the obtained recovery was 89%. The limit of detection (LOD) according to manufacturer was set at 0.10 ng/g of microcystin-LR. All standards (0.15ng/g to 5ng/g) were measured in duplicate, as well as control (0.75 ± 0.185 ng/g), calibrator

(standard 0) and the spiked sample. The absorbances in the microplate of the kit were measured at 450nm with a spectrophotometer (DAS model A3, Italy). A standard curve was used to calculate the values and the results were expressed as microcystin- LR equivalents ( $\mu\text{g}/\text{kg}$  wet weight). Samples with concentrations higher than 1 ng/g were further analyzed by LC–Orbitrap HRMS.

## Confirmation by LC–Orbitrap HRMS

The confirmation of the ELISA results was obtained by using certified standards of microcystin -RR (MC-RR), microcystin -LR (MC-LR) and microcystin -YR (MC-YR). The analysis was performed using a high-resolution Q Exactive Focus Orbitrap mass spectrometer equipped with a heated electrospray ion source (HESI) operating in positive ionization mode, coupled to an Ultimate 3000 ultra-high-performance liquid chromatography system (Thermo Scientific, USA). A reverse phase C18 column Acclaim Polar Advantage II 3  $\mu\text{m}$ 3 $\times$ 150 mm in conjunction with a guard column 2/pk and a Holder-Coupler was used to separate the analytes (Thermo scientific, USA). The mobile phases were water (A) and acetonitrile (B), both acidified with 0.1% formic acid. The chromatographic conditions were the following: column temperature 40°C, injection volume 20 $\mu\text{L}$ , flow rate 0.3mL/min and total run time 11min. The gradient elution program is shown in Table 1.

Table 1  
Gradient elution program during the chromatographic analysis

Time	Mobile phase A%	Mobile phase B%
0.0		
0.0	98.0	2.0
0.1	98.0	2.0
1.5	98.0	2.0
2.0	80.0	20.0
3.0	60.0	40.0
7.4	40.0	60.0
7.5	2.0	98.0
8.0	2.0	98.0
9.0	98.0	2.0
11.0	98.0	2.0

The HESI source parameters were the following: capillary temperature 320°C, spray voltage 3.5 kV, auxiliary gas heater 413 °C, sheath gas flow rate 48 au, auxiliary gas flow rate 11 au, and sweep gas flow rate 2 au. The S-lens RF level was set at 50.0. A full-scan MS acquisition was carried out in a mass range of 400–1,100 ( $m/z$ ). The resolution was set at the maximum available value (70,000) and the spectrum data were obtained using the centroid algorithm. The maximum injection time (IT) was set to auto and the AGC target (automated gain control) to 1e6 ions. For the ddMS2 (data-dependent) fragmentation, a stepped collision energy (30, 50, 70 eV) was applied. The instrumental limit of quantification (LOQ) was 0.017  $\mu\text{g}/\text{L}$  for microcystin-RR, 0.084  $\mu\text{g}/\text{L}$  for microcystin -LR and 1.495  $\mu\text{g}/\text{L}$  for microcystin -YR. Raw data were processed with the aid of Xcalibur software, version 4.1. (Thermo scientific, USA) and the results were reported as total microcystin concentration ( $\mu\text{g}/\text{kg}$  wet weight).

## Molecular detection of bacterial species

The potentially toxic cyanobacteria that were cultured from water samples of the sampling areas as described previously [30], were subjected in DNA isolation using the QIAamp DNA Mini Kit (Qiagen, USA) following the manufacturer’s protocol. The quality and quantity of the extracted DNA was evaluated in a NanoDrop spectrophotometer (Shimadzu, Japan). Approximately 50 ng of extracted DNA were utilized in a PCR using the MyTaq™ Red Mix (Bioline, UK) and the modified primers 27f-CM and 1492r [31] that amplify a part of the 16Sr RNA bacterial genome. PCR regime was as follows: after an initial desaturation step of 3 min at 95°C, 38 cycles of 30 sec at 95°C, 40 sec at 51°C and 50 sec at 72 °C were applied, followed by a final extension step of 7 min at 72°C. PCR products were visualized after electrophoresis in agarose gel stained with ethidium bromide.

## Statistical analysis

Both parametric and non-parametric statistical methods were applied for the statistical evaluation of the data. The assumptions of normality and homogeneity of variances for the microcystins’ concentrations of digestive gland were tested using the Shapiro Wilk and Levene’s test, respectively. In case of normality and variances’ homogeneity, one way ANOVA was performed to evaluate possible mean effects of area and

season on the microcystins' concentrations. In cases where the assumptions of variability and/or normality of the populations' distribution were seriously violated the Kruskal-Wallis non - parametric test was applied to evaluate group differences, while differences between specific groups were evaluated using the Mnn-Whitney U-test. All analysis were conducted using the IBM SPSS statistical software (version 25.0). Significance was declared at p-value  $\leq 0.05$ .

## Results

Microcystins above 1 ng/g were detected by ELISA in 52 samples out of 750. On the other hand, only 15 of them were confirmed by LC–Orbitrap HRMS. The identification was based on the presence of at least one peak corresponding to the pseudomolecular ion of the microcystin, at a retention time ( $t_R$ ) matching to that of the certified standards with a drift < 0.2 min, as well as the determination of the exact mass with a mass error ( $\Delta$ ) < 5 ppm. The number of samples above 1 ng/g for both methods are shown in Table 2. No microcystins were detected during winter (levels lower than the LOD of both methods). Moreover, concentrations higher than 1 ng/g were detected only at the digestive gland samples, on the contrary all muscle samples were below the limit of detection.

Table 2

Temporal and spatial distribution of microcystins in farmed mussels in the sampling areas in Thermaikos gulf, analyzed by ELISA and LC-Orbitrap HRM.

Sampling area	Spring		Summer		Autumn		Winter	
	ELISA	LC-MS	ELISA	LC-MS	ELISA	LC-MS	ELISA	LC-MS
Kavoura	7/60	2/60	4/60	1/60	2/60	Nd	Nd	Nd
Makrigialos	7/60	1/60	5/60	1/60	5/60	2/60	Nd	Nd
Klidi	3/60	1/60	3/60	2/60	5/50	1/50	Nd	Nd
Gallikos river estuaries	3/20	Nd	Nd	Nd	2/20	Nd	Nd	Nd
Axios river estuaries	4/20	3/20	Nd	Nd	2/20	1/20	Nd	Nd
Σύνολο	24/220 (10.9%)	7/220 (3.2%)	12/220 (5.5%)	4/220 (1.8%)	16/210 (7.6%)	4/210 (1.9%)		
Nd: not detected.								
Numerators declare the number of the samples, where microcystins above 1 ng/g were detected.								
Denominators declare the total number of the samples per area and season.								

Also, the presence of cyanobacteria was confirmed molecularly, by the visualization of one clear band of approximately 1400 bp in size in all tested samples.

The quantitative and qualitative analysis with ELISA showed statistically significant difference between areas at the same season and also in the same area in different seasons. The mean values of the concentrations of microcystins obtained during the screening were up to  $53.02 \pm 1.09$  ng/g in Spring (Delta of Axios),  $45.05 \pm 1.20$  ng/g in Summer (Klidi) and  $53.90 \pm 3.18$  ng/g in Autumn (Makrigialos). Regarding the same area at different seasons, the higher concentrations in Kavoura (Chalastra) were detected during Spring ( $28.60 \pm 2.32$  ng/g), in Makrigialos the lowest concentration of microcystins were detected in Summer ( $16.70 \pm 2.49$  ng/g) and in Klidi in Autumn ( $36.28 \pm 2.21$  ng/g). At the sampling areas at the estuaries of Axios and Gallikos rivers the highest concentrations were detected during Spring,  $53.02 \pm 1.09$  ng/g and  $20.4 \pm 3.07$  ng/g, respectively. The temporal and spatial distribution of microcystins' concentrations are given in Table 3.

Table 3  
ELISA screening. Temporal and spatial distribution of microcystin's concentrations of digestive gland in farmed mussels of Thermaikos gulf.

Season	Sampling area				
	Kavoura	Makrigialos	Imathia	Gallikos estuaries	Axios estuaries
	Mean ± SD (ng/g)	Mean ± SD (ng/g)	Mean ± SD (ng/g)	Mean ± SD (ng/g)	Mean ± SD (ng/g)
Spring	28,6 ± 2,32 <sup>Aa</sup>	51,32 ± 1,010 <sup>Ab</sup>	51,8 ± 1,44 <sup>Ab</sup>	20,4 ± 3,07 <sup>Ac</sup>	53,02 ± 1,090 <sup>Ab</sup>
Summer	18,9 ± 2,18 <sup>Aa</sup>	16,7 ± 2,49 <sup>Ba</sup>	45,05 ± 1,200 <sup>Ab</sup>	0,7 ± 0,15 <sup>Bc</sup>	0,8 ± 0,10 <sup>Bc</sup>
Autumn	1,23 ± 0,98 <sup>Ba</sup>	53,9 ± 3,18 <sup>Ab</sup>	36,28 ± 2,210 <sup>Bc</sup>	1,4 ± 0,82 <sup>Ba</sup>	1,5 ± 0,73 <sup>Ba</sup>
Total	20,2 ± 4,70 <sup>a</sup>	42,9 ± 9,47 <sup>b</sup>	48,7 ± 2,51 <sup>b</sup>	9,5 ± 5,13 <sup>c</sup>	22,6 ± 1,85 <sup>a</sup>
SD: standard deviation.					
Values with different small superscript in the same row indicate difference at the 5% significance level.					
Values with different capital superscript in the same column indicate difference at the 5% significance level.					

The microcystins that were identified by LC–Orbitrap HRMS were microcystin -RR and microcystin -LR in traces. The identification of the compounds was based on the detection of the molecular ion(s) that were the monocharged and bicharged ions of the initial molecule (Table 4) as well as the isotopic pattern matching, while the confirmation was based on the existence of a characteristic fragment. In the case of microcystins, the typical fragment corresponds to ADDA, with a theoretical accurate mass 135.0806. Figure 2 represents the steps for the identification and confirmation. It is noteworthy that the toxicity of microcystins is mainly attributed to the presence of the uncommon amino acid ADDA. No microcystin -YR was detected in all samples. The ( $t_R$ ) of the certified standards were 6.63 min for MC-RR, 7.56 min for MC-LR and 7.46 min for MC-YR (Table 4). Figure 3 shows the chromatograms of the certified standards, used in the method. Concentration of the confirmed samples were lower than the ones found by ELISA (Table 5). The highest levels were observed in mussels harvested near the Delta of Axios river in May and in Klidi (Imathia) in June (Fig. 4, 5). In the first case concentrations in the digestive gland were 6.25 ng/g of MC-RR and 1.35 ng/g of MC-LR and in the second 1.08 ng/g of MC-RR and 0.15 ng/g of MC-LR. Regarding the comparison between the two methods, an overestimation was observed by ELISA.

Table 4  
LC–Orbitrap MS/MS data for the studied microcystins.

Microcystins	Molecular Formula	t <sub>R</sub> (min)	Monocharged Ion [M + H] <sup>+</sup>				Bicharged Ion [M + H] <sup>2+</sup>			
			Theor. Accurate mass	Exp. Accurate Mass	Δ (ppm)	RDBE	Theor. Accurate mass	Exp. Accurate Mass	Δ (ppm)	RDBE
<b>MC-RR</b>	C <sub>49</sub> H <sub>75</sub> N <sub>13</sub> O <sub>12</sub>	6.63	1038.5731	1038.5743	1.1554	17.5	<b>519.7902</b>	519.7910	1.609	18
<i>Fragment</i>	C <sub>9</sub> H <sub>11</sub> O						135.0806	135.0807	0.7403	4.5
<b>MC-LR</b>	C <sub>49</sub> H <sub>74</sub> N <sub>10</sub> O <sub>12</sub>	7.56	<b>995.5560</b>	995.5572	1.151	17.5	498.28166	498.28170	0.0803	17
<i>Fragment</i>	C <sub>9</sub> H <sub>11</sub> O		135.0806	135.0808	1.4806	4.5				
<b>MC-YR</b>	C <sub>52</sub> H <sub>72</sub> N <sub>10</sub> O <sub>13</sub>	7.46	<b>1045.5353</b>	1045.5372	1.8380	21.5	523.2713	523.2725	0.5813	21
<i>Fragment</i>	C <sub>9</sub> H <sub>11</sub> O		135.0806	135.0808	1.4806	4.5				
<i>Ions from which the fragments were generated are shown in bold</i>										

Table 5  
Microcystins' concentration in the digestive gland and in the flesh of farmed mussels *Mytilus galloprovincialis* in Thermaikos gulf.

Concentrations		
Sampling areas	Digestive gland	Flesh
	Mean ± SD (ng/g).	Mean ± SD (ng/g).
Kavoura	1,3 ± 4,22 <sup>a</sup>	Nd
Makrigialos	1,0 ± 3,88 <sup>a</sup>	Nd
Klidi	1,05 ± 3,950 <sup>a</sup>	Nd
Gallikos estuaries	0,13 ± 0,016 <sup>b</sup>	Nd
Axios estuaries	7,6 ± 0,58 <sup>c</sup>	Nd
Nd: not detected at levels higher than limit of detection (LOD).		
SD: standard deviation.		
Values with different superscript indicate difference at the 5% significance level.		

## Discussion

The obtained results revealed the presence of microcystins in farmed mussels *Mytilus galloprovincialis*, in Thermaikos gulf for first time, while concentrations above 1 ng/g were found in digestive gland. Their detection was linked to the presence of potentially toxic cyanobacteria. Microcystins in mussels and other seafood have been detected globally [32]. Microcystins -LR and -RR are considered the most common [33], while MC-LR has been described as the toxin most detected in mussels, with MC-RR and MC-YR following [13, 34]. In a survey in freshwater bivalves *Sinanodonta woodiana*, *Sinanodonta arcaiformis*, and *Unio douglasiae* from a wetland in South Korea [35], it was found that the concentrations of MC-RR, MC-LR and MC-YR, were 11.2 to 70.1 µg/g dry weight in the muscles and 168.9 to 869 ng/g dry weight in the digestive gland. The same microcystins were detected in a similar study in freshwater mussels (*Cristaria plicata*, *Hyriopsis cumingii* and *Lamprotula lea*) in lake Taihu in China [36], at high concentrations mostly in the digestive gland, reaching up to 38,478.1 ng/g dry weight. Also, MC-RR, MC-LR and MC-YR were detected for the first time in lake Dau Tieng in Vietnam, in mussels *Corbicula* sp. and *Ensis sp.* at concentrations 1.54 ± 0.21 and 3.15 ± 0.65 µg MC/g dry weight, respectively [37]. Another study in North Baltic Sea, showed that the green mussels *Mytilus edulis* examined by ELISA, accumulated microcystins up to 21.50 ± 60 ng/g, expressed as equivalents of microcystins and nodularins. At the same study it was reported that these toxins were detected in the liver of the fish *Platichthys flesus*, at concentrations up to 99 ± 5 ng/g; hence no toxins were detected in the muscle [38]. A similar study [39] in mussels harvested in the North-East Pacific Ocean of British Columbia, reports the presence of MC-LR at levels up to 600 ng/g, as measured by LC/MS, and also in mussels imported from Canada and the Netherlands.

Microcystins in Greece have been detected mostly in freshwater mussels linked to the occurrence of cyanobacterial blooms [5, 17]. In the freshwater mussel *Anotonda* sp. in Lake Kastoria, microcystins have been detected at the level of 3.271 ng/g equivalents of MC-LR dry weight, by the protein phosphatase 1 inhibition assay test (PP1IA) [17]. Regarding the Mediterranean mussels *Mytilus galloprovincialis*, there is only one report in Amvarkikos gulf, in Western Greece, where microcystins were detected after a *Synechococcus* sp. and *Synechocystis* sp. bloom [5]. The concentrations of the toxins were 45 ± 2 to 141.5 ± 13.5 ng/g equivalents of MC-LR (ELISA), values that according to the researchers were above the Tolerable Daily Intake (TDI), as it is set by the World Health Organization (WHO).

In Greece, microcystins have been also detected in other aquatic animals' tissues. A research in fish from the lakes Kastoria, Iliki, Kerkini and Pamvotis, and Gallikos river, showed that microcystins were accumulated in the fish *Cyprinus carpio*, *Carassius gibelio*, *Silurus aristotelis* and *Perca fluviatilis* and in the amphibian *Rana epirotica*. The samples were analyzed by ELISA and the toxins' concentrations were 20 to 1,440 ng/g dry weight in the muscles and 25 to 5,400 ng/g dry weight in visceral tissue [17]. Microcystins were also detected in fish *Carassius gibelio* in lake Pamvotis. It was reported that the toxins were accumulated mostly in liver samples (124.4 ng/g) and less in muscle samples (7.1 ± 2.5 ng/g), analyzed by ELISA [40]. Similar study was conducted in *Cyprinus carpio* tissues in lake Karla in Thessaly. It was observed that the highest concentrations were accumulated in liver (732 ± 350 ng/g), while kidneys (362 ± 207 ng/g) and muscles (362 ± 207 ng/g) were following [41].

Our study indicates that microcystins can be bioaccumulated in mussels, a finding that is in accordance with the literature [42, 43, 44, 45, 46]. The fact that mussels are filter feeders, enables them to bioaccumulate environmental pollutants [47], like microcystins [48]. A study in the brackish waters of Curonian lagoon in Lithuania, revealed that mussels *Dreissena polymorpha* (zebra mussels), accumulated microcystins at high concentrations, up to 139 ng/g dry weight analyzed by ELISA and 284 ng/g dry weight by PPIA. The toxins were detected even at low abundances of potentially toxic cyanobacteria periods. It was assumed that the bioaccumulation in mussels could be explained by a secondary contamination by resuspended microcystins' residues in sediment particles [48]. Moreover, cyanobacteria are considered food for mussels, leading to the uptake of intracellular cyanotoxins. Although the detection of hepatotoxins in mussels at high levels due to the uptake of intracellular and free toxins, should be more clarified.[38]

According to our study, the target organ of microcystins bioaccumulation seems to be the digestive gland. Several studies have reported the bioaccumulation of microcystins in the digestive gland of freshwater, brackish and marine mussels, at concentrations higher than of other organs [35, 36, 42, 43]. Moreover, during an experimental contamination, pearl mussels (*Hyriopsis cumingii*) from an aquaculture of Yueshan village, Ezhou city, in China, were exposed to *Microcystis aeruginosa* 905 for 15 days. After the analysis by HPLC-UV, it was found that MC-LR was accumulated in hepatopancreas at the highest level of  $55.78 \pm 6.73 \mu\text{g/g}$  dry weight, while the concentrations in other organs were  $27.88 \pm 2.22 \mu\text{g/g}$  dry weight in gonads,  $5.66 \pm 0.55 \mu\text{g/g}$  dry weight in gills and  $5.17 \pm 0.87 \mu\text{g/g}$  dry weight in muscles [50].

Except from bioaccumulation, the biomagnification of microcystins in aquatic animals has been a field of study for many researchers, leading to different opinions. According to some studies, no biomagnification is observed, or it is not documented sufficiently [36, 37, 51, 52]. Moreover, biomagnification has been observed more in lipophilic marine biotoxins rather than in hydrophilic ones, as microcystins [48]. On the other hand, biomagnification was reported in farmed and wild bivalves (mussels, oysters and clams), where concentrations of microcystins up to 107 times higher than the ones in water were reported [53]. Also, it has been reported that biomagnification of cyanotoxins in aquatic animals could be explained by their evolution in relation to cyanobacteria [54], leading to their ability to develop defense mechanisms against the cyanotoxins [55]. Through this the aquatic animals could bioaccumulate toxins at high levels and thus be carriers in the food chain, contributing to biomagnification. In a recent meta-analysis of field studies, biomagnification and biodilution of microcystins in aquatic foodwebs (zooplankton, mollusks, fish, decapods, turtles and birds) was assessed [56]. The biomagnification factor (BMF) for microcystins was calculated by the researchers as the ratio between their concentration detected in those organisms and their diet. Biodilution was sufficient. Zooplankton showed potential for microcystins' biomagnification, influencing their concentrations in the liver of zooplanktivorous fishes and carnivorous jellyfish, where high values of BMF were observed. There seemed to be a proportional increase between the duration of consumers' exposure to diet, having high microcystins' concentrations. It was concluded that the exposure to high populations of potential toxic cyanobacteria of aquatic animals, especially those that are part of the human food chain, could be related to biomagnification.

In our study, results above 1 ng/g were observed mostly during warm seasons and especially in May. This could be explained by the increase of sunlight, the high temperatures in the water and the enrichment of Thermaikos gulf with nutrients from the adjacent rivers. A survey in Greek internal waters showed that the highest levels of MC-LR were observed during the warm months of the year [57]. Microcystin -RR, and microcystin -LR have also been detected at 79.4% and 73.5% respectively in lakes in Greece during warm seasons [17]. In another study by ELISA in lake Koronia, microcystins were detected at higher levels during spring [58]. Moreover, mussels *Mytilus galloprovincialis* in Amvrakikos gulf accumulated the highest concentrations of microcystins in the same season [5]. Same findings have been reported in other Mediterranean countries. In Italy, a survey in lakes Garda, Como, Iseo, Lugano and Maggiore revealed that the highest concentrations of microcystins and anatoxin-a were observed during warm seasons and mostly in May and September [59]. Additionally, in South-East Adriatic, in mussels *Mytilus galloprovincialis* analyzed by ELISA, microcystins were detected up to 256 ng/g. Moreover, they were detected up to 2.3 ng/g in clams *Chamelea gallina* [60]. A similar survey in Portuguese recreational waters showed that the highest levels of microcystins were recorded during spring and summer, and mostly from April to September [61]. Moreover, it has been reported that the global climate change and the increase of the temperature in water environments induce potentially toxic cyanobacterial blooms and accumulation of microcystins in aquatic animals [1, 7].

According to our results a spatial distribution of microcystins in the same area, in different seasons was detected. This could be explained by the different levels of enrichment in the coastal zones by the runoffs of the rivers, carrying nutrients. Axios is near Kavoura in Chalastra, Aliakmonas is near Makrigialos in Pieria and Loudias is near Klidi in Imathia. Their annual runoffs are 158 up to 279 m<sup>3</sup>/s, 73 up to 137 m<sup>3</sup>/sand 5 to 10 m<sup>3</sup>/s, respectively. Large agriculture activity is located in the adjacent areas with the transferred sediments, which are rich in nitrates and phosphates, are up to 500 t/km<sup>2</sup> [62]. The highest amounts of runoffs in Kavoura, Imathia and the estuaries are observed during spring [62], while in Makrigialos the lowest are observed during summer [63].

Regarding the comparison between ELISA and LC-Orbitrap HRMS, our results indicate an overestimation by ELISA. In particular, during spring the samples above 1 ng/g were 24 by ELISA and 7 by LC-Orbitrap HRMS, in summer 12 and 4 respectively and in autumn 16 and 4, respectively. Moreover, the concentrations obtained by ELISA were 6 to even 22 times higher. According to the manufacturer's instructions the microcystins' standards in ELISA were MC-LR, MC-RR, MC-YR, MC-LF, MC-LW, and the desmethylated [D-Asp3] MC-RR and [Dha7] MC-LR. On

the other hand, the LC–Orbitrap HRMS analysis focused on the most common microcystins MC-LR, MC-RR and MC-YR. Although ELISA is considered a suitable screening method due to its high sensitivity, detection of multi analogues of microcystins, rapid results, low cost and lack of ethical issues [26, 27, 28, 29], false positive results due to matrix effects cannot be excluded. Similar observations have also been reported in other studies. In a study in bovine's drinking water, *Microcystis aeruginosa* cells were added at the abundance of  $10^5$  cells/mL for 28 days. The microcystins' concentrations in the liver were 0.92 µg MC-LR equivalents/g fresh weight measured by ELISA, although no toxins were detected by HPLC/GC-MS [64]. It was concluded that the levels were 1000 times higher due to matrix effect. In another study during an expansion of a cyanobacterial bloom in farms of *Mytilus galloprovincialis* in Adriatic Sea, [60] the MC-LR equivalents were 256 ng/g (ELISA) in mussels' tissues. Although only the desmethylated derivative desMe-MC-RR was confirmed by LC/ESI-Q-ToF-MS/MS at the level of 39 ng/g. In other mussel samples an overestimation was also observed (1.5 to 6.5 times higher). It was mentioned that ELISA is a useful screening tool, but results should be confirmed by chromatographic analytical methods tandem to mass spectrometry. In another study in McMurdo Ice Shelf station in Antarctica, researchers from New Zealand examined water samples and cyanobacterial mats [65]. The concentrations of microcystins were up to 8 times higher by ELISA than LC-MS. This overestimation could lead to unnecessary bans in drinking water, so the results should be confirmed by mass spectrometry. A similar study evaluated the results obtained by ELISA and LC-MS/MS [66]. It was proposed that ELISA could be used for screening, since it provides rapid results. Still, it was reported that the nonlinear calibration curve could lead to false positive results, since small differences in absorbances might be translated into big concentration differences. The same authors report that LC-MS/MS provides higher specificity and sensitivity and concluded that in any case the abundance of cyanobacteria and the water treatment should be considered. Also, microcystins' concentrations have been assessed in nontoxic cyanobacterial food supplements, peals and capsules [67]. It was reported that no statistically significant differences were observed in capsules, since the concentrations were 43 to 410 ng/capsule and 40 to 425 ng/capsule by ELISA and LC/MS, respectively. In peals the levels by ELISA were lower, 200 to 960 ng/peal, when by LC/MS they were 280 to 1,310 ng/peal. So, an underestimation at the level of 27% was observed by ELISA. This was explained by the different sensitivity of the LC-MS method in some microcystins' analogues. Finally, in a recent study [68] water samples from 31 water ecosystems in Michigan, U.S.A., were examined by both methods, ELISA and LC-MS for microcystins, during July, August, September and October, when cyanobacterial blooms often occur. It was reported that no statistically significant differences were observed in July and August. On the other hand, an overestimation by ELISA was noticed in September and October. This was attributed to cross-reactivity with microcystins' degradation products and to the quantification using nonlinear calibration curve.

## Conclusions

In our study microcystin -RR and microcystin -LR were detected for first time in mussel *Mytilus galloprovincialis*, harvested from farms in Thermaikos gulf, in Central Macedonia, in Greece. The presence of potentially toxic cyanobacteria in those areas was confirmed molecularly. Bioaccumulation in digestive gland was observed, while the concentrations in muscles were extremely low. Samples with levels above 1 ng/g were observed mostly during spring, confirming the seasonal distribution of microcystins. Moreover, a spatial distribution among sampling areas was noticed, explained by the fluctuations of the rivers' runoffs and the nutrients' enrichments. The analysis by ELISA and LC–Orbitrap HRMS, revealed overestimation of the first method. In any case the use of ELISA as screening method is recommended to be followed by chromatographic analytical methods and mass spectrometry as confirmatory methods.

## Declarations

Ethics approval and consent to participate: Not applicable

Consent for publication :Not applicable

Availability of data and materials: The datasets used and/or analyzed during the current study are available from the corresponding author on reasonable request.

Competing interests: The authors declare that they have no competing interests

Funding: no funding

Acknowledgements: Not applicable

Authors' contributions

KM: Writing- Review- Editing. NCh : Contribution to implementation of liquid chromatography high-resolution mass spectrometry. LD: Supervision of implementation of liquid chromatography high-resolution mass spectrometry. PK: Contribution to the Sampling-Editing. ThA: Statistical Analysis - Data Curation. EcV: Contribution to ELISA test implementation-Editing. GI: Contribution to molecular analysis, AgP: Supervision. KS: Supervision. PEV: Supervision-Writing-Review. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

## References

1. Preece E, Hardy J, Moore BC, Bryan M. A review of microcystin detections in Estuarine and Marine waters: Environmental implications and human health risk. *Harmful Algae*. 2017;61:31-45.
2. Xu S, Yi X, Liu W, Zhang C, Massey IY, Yang F, Li Tian L. A Review of Nephrotoxicity of Microcystins. *Toxins*. 2020;12(11):693.
3. Gerssen A and Gago-Martínez A. Emerging Marine Biotoxins. *Toxins (Basel)*. 2019;11(6):314.
4. Baralla E, Varoni MV, Sedda T, Pasciu V, Floris A, Demontis MD. Microcystins Presence in Mussels (*M. galloprovincialis*) and Water of Two Productive Mediterranean's Lagoons (Sardinia, Italy). *BioMed Research International*. 2017; 1-7.
5. Vareli K, Zarali E, Zacharioudakis GSA, Vagenas G, Varelis V, Pilidis G, Briasoulis E, Sainis I. Microcystin producing cyanobacterial communities in Amvrakikos Gulf (Mediterranean Sea, NW Greece) and toxin accumulation in mussels (*Mytilus galloprovincialis*). *Harmful Algae*. 2012;15:109–118.
6. Bouaïcha N, Miles CO, Beach DG, Labidi Z, Djabri A, Benayache NY, Nguyen-Quang T. Structural Diversity, Characterization and Toxicology of Microcystins. *Toxins*. 2019;11:714.
7. Pham T, L and Utsumi M. An overview of the accumulation of microcystins in aquatic ecosystems. *Journal of Environmental Management*. 2018; 213:520-529.
8. MacKintosh C, Beattie KA, Klumpp S, Cohen P, Codd GA. Cyanobacterial microcystin-LR is a potent and specific inhibitor of protein phosphatases 1 and 2A from both mammals and higher plants. *FEBS Letters*. 1990;264:187–192.
9. Alosman M, Cao L, Massey IY, Yang F. The lethal effects and determinants of microcystin-LR on heart: a mini review. *Toxin Reviews*. 2020;1–10.
10. Wu J, Huang H, Yang L, Zhang X, Zhang S, Liu H, Wang Y, Yuan L, Cheng X, Zhuang D. Gastrointestinal toxicity induced by microcystins. *World Journal of Clinical Cases*. 2018;6:344–35.
11. Li X, Xu L, Zhou W, Zhao Q, Wang Y. Chronic exposure to microcystin-LR affected mitochondrial DNA maintenance and caused pathological changes of lung tissue in mice. *Environmental Pollution*. 2016;210:48–56.
12. Hinojosa MG, Gutiérrez-Praena D, Prieto AI, Guzmán-Guillén R, Jos A, Cameán AM. Neurotoxicity induced by microcystins and cylindrospermopsin: A review. *Science of the Total Environment*. 2019;668:547–565.
13. Guptas N, Pant C, Vijayaraghavan R, Lakshmana Rao. Comparative toxicity evaluation of cyanobacterial cyclic peptide toxin microcystin variants (LR, RR, YR) in mice. *Toxicology*. 2003;188(2–3):285-296.
14. Ueno Y, Nagata S, Tsutsumi T, Hasegawa A, Watanabe MF, Park HD, Chen GC, Chen G, Yu SZ. Detection of microcystins, a blue-green algal hepatotoxin, in drinking water sampled in Haimen and Fusui, endemic areas of primary liver cancer in China, by highly sensitive immunoassay. *Carcinogenesis*. 1996;17(6):1317-1321.
15. Svirčev Z, Baltić V, Gantar M, Juković M, Stojanović D, Baltić M. Molecular aspects of microcystin-induced hepatotoxicity and hepatocarcinogenesis. *Journal of Environmental Science and Health, Part C: Environmental Carcinogenesis and Ecotoxicology Reviews*. 2010;28(1):39-59.
16. International Agency for Research on Cancer. IARC Monographs on the Evaluation of carcinogenic risks to humans ingested Nitrate and Nitrite and Cyanobacterial peptide toxins. 2010;95.
17. Gkelis S, Lanaras T, Sivonen K. The presence of microcystins and other cyanobacterial bioactive peptides in aquatic fauna collected from Greek freshwaters. *Aquatic Toxicology*. 2006;78:32–41.
18. Papadimitriou T, Armeni E, Stalikas C, Kagalou I, Leonardos I. Detection of microcystins in Pamvotis lake water and assessment of cyanobacterial bloom toxicity. *Environmental Monitoring and Assessment*. 2012;184:3043-3052.
19. Konstantinou ZI, Kombiadou K, Krestenitis YN. Effective mussel-farming governance in Greece: Testing the guidelines through models, to evaluate sustainable management alternatives. *Ocean & Coastal Management*. 2015;118:247-258.
20. Nikolaidis NP, Karageorgis AP, Kapsimalis V, Marconis G, Drakopoulou P, Kontoyiannis H, Krasakopoulou E, Pavlidou A, Pagou K. Circulation and nutrient modeling of Thermaikos Gulf, Greece. *Journal of Marine Systems*. 2006;60(1–2):51–62.
21. Theodorou JA, Moutopoulos DK, Tzovenis I. Semi-quantitative risk assessment of Mediterranean mussel (*Mytilus galloprovincialis* L.) harvesting bans due to harmful algal bloom (HAB) incidents in Greece. *Aquaculture Economics & Management*. 2020;24(3):273-293.
22. Fastner J, Flieger I, Neumann U. Optimized extraction of microcystins from field samples - a comparison of different solvents and procedures. *Water Research*. 1998;32(10):3177-3181.
23. Greer B, McNamee SE, Boots B, Cimarelli L, Guillebault D, Helmi K, Marcheggiani S, Panaiotov S, Breitenbach U, Akcaalan R, Medlin LK, Kittler K, Elliott CT, Campbell K. A validated UPLC–MS/MS method for the surveillance of ten aquatic biotoxins in European brackish and freshwater systems. *Harmful Algae*. 2016;55:31-40.

24. Turner AD, Waack J, Lewis A, Edwards C, Lawton L. Development and single-laboratory validation of a UHPLC-MS/MS method for quantitation of microcystins and nodularin in natural water, cyanobacteria, shellfish, and algal supplement tablet powders. *Journal of chromatography B*. 2017;1074–1075:111-123.
25. Kalaitzidou M, Papageorgiou K, Theodoridis A, Economou V, Filiouis G, Kritas S, Angelidis P, Petridou E. Experimental Exposure of the Mediterranean Mussels *Mytilus galloprovincialis* to Potentially Toxic Cyanobacteria (*Synechocystis*) and Detection of Microcystins. *Acta Veterinaria Eurasia*. 2021; 47: 10-18.
26. Meriluoto J and Codd GA. TOXIC Cyanobacterial Monitoring and Cyanotoxin Analysis. *ACTA ACADEMIAE ABOENSIS, SER. B*. 2005;65(1);31.
27. Gurbuz F, Metcalf JS, Codd GA, Karahan AG. Evaluation of Enzyme-Linked Immunosorbent Assays (ELISAs) for the Determination of Microcystins in Cyanobacteria. *Environmental Forensics*. 2012;13:105–109.
28. Environmental Protection Agency. Cyanobacteria and Cyanotoxins: Information for Drinking Water Systems. Office of Water. 2014;EPA-810F11001.
29. Preece EP, Moore BC, Swanson ME, Hardy FJ. Identifying best methods for routine ELISA detection of microcystin in seafood. *Environmental Monitoring and Assessment*. 2015;187(12).
30. Kalaitzidou M, Filiouis G, Petridou E, Economou V, Theodoridis A, Aggelidis P. Isolation of toxic marine cyanobacteria and detection of microcystins in Thermaikos Gulf in Central Macedonia in Greece. In: *Proceedings of the 7<sup>th</sup> International Conference on Information and Communication Technologies in Agriculture, Food and Environment*, Kavala, Greece. (2015); 832-841.
31. Frank JA, Reich CI, Sharma S, Weisbaum JS, Wilson BA, Olsen GJ. Critical evaluation of two primers commonly used for amplification of bacterial 16S rRNA genes. *Applied and environmental microbiology*. 2008;74(8):2461-2470.
32. Baptista MS, Vasconcelos RGW, Ferreira PC, Almeida CMR, Vasconcelos VM. Assessment of the non-protein amino acid BMAA in Mediterranean mussel *Mytilus galloprovincialis* after feeding with estuarine cyanobacteria. *Environmental Science and Pollution Research*. 2015;22(16):12501–12510.
33. Dawson RM. The toxicology of microcystins. *Toxicon*. 1998;36(7):953-962.
34. Díez-Quijada L, Prieto AI, Guzmán-Guillén R, Jos A, Cameán AM. Occurrence and toxicity of microcystin congeners other than MC-LR and MC-RR: A review. *Food and Chemical Toxicology*. 2019;125:106–132.
35. Kim YD, Kim WJ, Shin YK, Lee DH, Kim YG, Kim JK, Rhee JS. Microcystin-LR bioconcentration induces antioxidant responses in the digestive gland of two marine bivalves *Crassostrea gigas* and *Mytilus edulis*. *Aquatic Toxicology*. 2017;188:119-129.
36. Chen J and Xie P. Microcystin accumulation in freshwater bivalves from Lake Taihu, China, and the potential risk to human consumption. *Environmental Toxicology and Chemistry*. 2007;26(5):1066–1073.
37. Pham TL, Shimizu K, Dao TS, Motoo U. First report on free and covalently bound microcystins in fish and bivalves from Vietnam: assessment of risks to humans. *Environmental Toxicology*. 2017;36(9):1-19.
38. Sipilä VO, Kankaanpää HT, Flinkman J, Lahti K, Meriluoto JAO. Time-Dependent Accumulation of Cyanobacterial Hepatotoxins in Flounders (*Platichthys flesus*) and Mussels (*Mytilus edulis*) from the Northern Baltic Sea. *Environmental Toxicology*. 2001;16(4):330–336.
39. Chen DZX, Boland MP, Smillie MA, Klix H, Ptak C, Andersen RJ, Holmes CFB. Identification of protein phosphatase inhibitors of the microcystin class in the marine environment. *Toxicon*. 1993;31(11):1407-1414.
40. Papadimitriou T, Kagalou I, Bacopoulos V, Leonardos I. Accumulation of microcystins in water and fish tissues: An estimation of risks associated with microcystins in most of the Greek Lakes. *Environmental Toxicology*. 2009;25(4):418–427.
41. Mitsoura A, Kagalou I, Papaioannou N, Berillis P, Mente E, Papadimitriou T. The presence of microcystins in fish *Cyprinus carpio* tissues: a histopathological study. *International Aquatic Research*. 2013;5(8):1-16.
42. Ibelings BW and Chorus I. Accumulation of cyanobacterial toxins in freshwater "seafood" and its consequences for public health: a review. *Environmental Pollution*. 2007;150(1):177-192.
43. Vasconcelos VM. Uptake and depuration of the heptapeptide toxin microcystin-LR in *Mytilus galloprovincialis*. *Aquatic Toxicology*. 1995;32:227-237.
44. Amorim A and Vasconcelos V. Dynamics of microcystins in the mussel *Mytilus galloprovincialis*. *Toxicon*. 1999;37(7):1041-1052.
45. Morais J, Augusto M, Carvalho AP, Vale M, Vasconcelos VM. Cyanobacteria hepatotoxins, microcystins: bioavailability in contaminated mussels exposed to different environmental conditions. *European Food Research and Technology*. 2008;227:949–952.
46. Yokoyama A and Park HD. Depuration Kinetics and Persistence of the Cyanobacterial Toxin Microcystin-LR in the Freshwater Bivalve *Unio douglasiae*. *Environmental Toxicology*. 2003;18(1):61–67.
47. Schäfer S, Buchmeier G, Claus E, Duester L, Heininger P, Körner A, Mayer P, Paschke A, Rauert C, Reifferscheid G, Rüdell H, Schlechtriem C, Schröter-Kermani C, Schudoma D, Smedes F, Steffen D, Vietoris F. Bioaccumulation in aquatic systems: methodological approaches,

- monitoring and assessment. *Environmental Sciences Europe*. 2015;27(1):1-10.
48. Gutiérrez-Praena D, Jos A, Pichardo S, Moreno IM, Cameán AM. Presence and bioaccumulation of microcystins and cylindrospermopsin in food and the effectiveness of some cooking techniques at decreasing their concentrations: A review. *Food and Chemical Toxicology*. 2013;53:139-152.
  49. Paldavičienė A, Zaiko A, Mazur-Marzec H, Razinkovas-Baziukas A. Bioaccumulation of microcystins in invasive bivalves: A case study from the boreal lagoon ecosystem. *Oceanologia*. 2015;57(1):93-101.
  50. Yang Z, Wu H, Li Y. Toxic effect on tissues and differentially expressed genes in hepatopancreas identified by suppression subtractive hybridization of freshwater pearl mussel (*Hyriopsis cumingii*) following microcystin-LR challenge. *Environmental Toxicology*. 2010;27(7):393–403.
  51. Ibelings BW, Bruning K, de Jonge J, Wolfstein K, Pires LMD, Postma J, Burger T. Distribution of Microcystins in a Lake Foodweb: No Evidence for Biomagnification. *Microbial Ecology*. 2005;49 (4):487–500.
  52. Pires LMD, Karlsson KM, Meriluoto JAO, Kardinaal E, Visser PM, Siewertsen K, VanDonk E, Ibelings BW. Assimilation and depuration of microcystin-LR by the zebra mussel, *Dreissena polymorpha*. *Aquatic Toxicology*. 2004;69(4):385-396.
  53. Miller MA, Kudela RM, Mekebri A, Crane D, Oates SC, Tinker MT, Staedler M, Miller WA, Toy-Choutka S, Dominik C, Hardin D, Langlois G, Murray M, Ward K, Jessup DA. Evidence for a Novel Marine Harmful Algal Bloom: Cyanotoxin (Microcystin) Transfer from Land to Sea Otters. *PLoS ONE*. 2010;5(9):1-11.
  54. White SH, Duivenvoorden LJ, Fabbro LD. A decision-making framework for ecological impacts associated with the accumulation of cyanotoxins (cylindrospermopsin and microcystin). *Lakes & Reservoirs: Research and Management*. 2005;10(1):25–37.
  55. Liras V, Lindberg M, Nystrom P, Annadotter H, Lawton L, Graf B. Can ingested cyanobacteria be harmful to the signal crayfish (*Pacifastacus leniusculus*)? *Freshwater Biology*. 1998;39(2):233–42.
  56. Kozłowski-Suzuki B, Wilson AE, da Silva Ferrão-Filho A. Biomagnification or biodilution of microcystins in aquatic foodwebs? Meta-analyses of laboratory and field studies. *Harmful Algae*. 2012;18:47-55.
  57. Cook CM, Vardaka E, Lanaras T. Toxic Cyanobacteria in Greek Freshwaters, Occurrence, Toxicity, and Impacts in the Mediterranean Region. *Acta Hydrochimica et Hydrobiologica*. 2004;32(2):107–124.
  58. Papadimitriou T, Kagalou I, Bacopoulos V, Leonardos I. Accumulation of microcystins in water and fish tissues: An estimation of risks associated with microcystins in most of the Greek lakes. *Environmental Toxicology*. 2010;25(4):418–427.
  59. Cerasino L and Salmaso N. Co-occurrence of anatoxin-a and microcystins in Lake Garda and other deep perialpine lakes. *Advances in Oceanography and Limnology*. 2020;11(1):11-21.
  60. De Pace R, Vita V, Bucci MS, Gallo P, Bruno M. Microcystin Contamination in Sea Mussel Farms from the Italian Southern Adriatic Coast following Cyanobacterial Blooms in an Artificial Reservoir. *Journal of Ecosystems*. 2014;2014:1-11.
  61. Menezes C, Churro C, Dias E. Risk Levels of Toxic Cyanobacteria in Portuguese Recreational Freshwaters. *Toxins (Basel)*. 2017;9(10):327.
  62. Poulos SE, Chronis GT, Collins MB, Lykousis V. Theraikos Gulf Coastal System, NW Aegean Sea: an overview of water/sediment fluxes in relation to air–land–ocean interactions and human activities. *Journal of Marine Systems*. 2000;25(1):47-76.
  63. Baltas EA and Mimikou MA. Climate Change Impacts on the Water Supply of Thessaloniki. *Water Resources Development*. 2005;21(2):341–353.
  64. Orr PT, Jones GJ, Hunter RA, Berger K. Exposure of beef cattle to sub-clinical doses of *Microcystis aeruginosa*: toxin bioaccumulation, physiological effects and human health risk assessment. *Toxicon*. 2003;41(5):613–620.
  65. Mountfort DO, Hollanda P, Sprosen J. Method for detecting classes of microcystins by combination of protein phosphatase inhibition assay and ELISA: comparison with LC-MS. *Toxicon*. 2005;45:199–206.
  66. Guo YC, Lee AK, Yates RS, Liang S, Rochelle PA. Analysis of Microcystins in Drinking Water by ELISA and LC/MS/MS. *Journal AWWA*. 2017;109(3):13-25.
  67. Bruno M, Fiori M, Mattei D, Melchiorre S, Messineo V, Volpi F, Bogialli S, Nazzari M. ELISA and LC-MS/MS methods for determining cyanobacterial toxins in blue-green algae food supplements. *Natural Product Research*. 2006;20(9):827–834.
  68. Birbeck JA, Westrick JA, O'Neill GM, Spies B, Szlag DC. Comparative Analysis of Microcystin Prevalence in Michigan Lakes by Online Concentration LC/MS/MS and ELISA. *Toxins*. 2019;11:13.

## Figures




Figure 1

Sampling area of the study, in Thermaikos gulf, in Central Macedonia




Figure 2

Visualization of the applied steps for the identification and confirmation of microcystin –RR.




Figure 3

Extracted ion chromatograms of a standard mixture containing microcystin-RR, -RL and -YR (certified reference material) at a concentration of 50 µg/L




Figure 4

Chromatogram of farmed mussel near the estuaries of Delta of Axios river. Retention time of microcystin-LR is 7.58min and of microcystin-RR 6.61min.

RT: 0.00-11.00




Figure 5

Chromatogram of farmed mussel in the area of Imathia. Retention time of microcystin-LR is 7.9min and of microcystin-RR 6.61min.