

# Vaginal Misoprostol Versus Intracervical Foley Catheter for Cervical Ripening in Postdate Primigravid Women: a Randomized Clinical Trial

### Nazanin Abdi ( abdinazanin834@gmail.com )

Fertility and Infertility Research Center, Hormozgan University of Medical Sciences, Bandar Abbas

#### **Azin Alavi**

Fertility and Infertility Research Center, Hormozgan University of Medical Sciences, Bandar Abbas

### Forough Pakbaz

Fertility and Infertility Research Center, Hormozgan University of Medical Sciences, Bandar Abbas

#### Hossein Darabi

The Persian Gulf Tropical Medicine Research Center, The Persian Gulf Biomedical Sciences Research Institute, Bushehr University of Medical Sciences, Bushehr

#### Research Article

**Keywords:** postdate pregnancy, misoprostol, Foley catheter, cervical ripening

Posted Date: March 24th, 2021

**DOI:** https://doi.org/10.21203/rs.3.rs-243383/v1

License: © 1 This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License.

Read Full License

**Version of Record:** A version of this preprint was published at BMC Pregnancy and Childbirth on July 27th, 2021. See the published version at https://doi.org/10.1186/s12884-021-04011-0.

## **Abstract**

**Background:** Being one of the most common indications of labor induction, postdate pregnancy can lead to serious maternal and fetal complications. In this study we aimed to compare vaginal misoprostol with intracervical Foley catheter (FC) for cervical ripening in postdate primigravid women.

**Methods:** This randomized clinical trial included 120 primigravid women aged 18-35 years with singleton and postdate pregnancies and Bishop score  $\leq$ 4. Participants were randomized into two equal groups. The first group received 25 µg vaginal misoprostol and the second group had an 18 Fr FC inserted into their cervical canal. Labor induction was performed using oxytocin in both groups if progression of labor or true contractions did not occur within 6 hours of the interventions. In case of nonreassuring fetal heart rate, fetal distress, placental abruption, or prolonged labor, C-section was performed.

**Results:** The frequency of NVD, C-section, meconium-stained amniotic fluid, and neonatal intensive care unit admission did not differ significantly between groups. Placental abruption and uterine tachysystole occurred more frequently in the misoprostol group (15.0 vs. 1.7%, P=0.008 and 21.7 vs. 0.0%, P<0.001, respectively). A significantly higher number of women in the FC group required oxytocin (73.3 vs. 41.7%, P<0.001). Duration of labor was significantly higher in the FC group (P=0.001).

**Conclusions:** Due to the lower rate of placental abruption and uterine tachysystole observed with FC, it appears to be superior to vaginal misoprostol for cervical ripening in postdate primigravid women; however, its longer labor duration and higher oxytocin requirement should be taken into consideration.

**Trial registration:** Iranian Registry of Clinical Trials, IRCT20181218042033N4. Registered 19/04/2020. Retrospectively registered, https://www.irct.ir/trial/47037

## Introduction

Postdate pregnancy, defined as extension of pregnancy to over 40 weeks of gestation, accounts for 5–14% of all deliveries across different studies (1–3). Its etiology is still unknown; however, genetic predisposition, history of postdate pregnancy, fetal anomalies, maternal obesity, male fetus, fetal adrenal insufficiency, placental sulfatase deficiency, and primiparity have been proposed as potential risk factors (3). Postdate pregnancy is associated with an increased possibility of maternal and fetal adverse outcomes including fetal asphyxia, meconium passage and aspiration, low Apgar score, umbilical cord compression, abnormal fetal pulse, macrosomia, dystocia, maternal perineal lacerations, infection, postpartum hemorrhage, instrumental delivery, and cesarean section (C-section) (4–7). Moreover, it has been reported that perinatal mortality significantly increases after 41 weeks compared to 40 weeks (8).

Postdate pregnancy is among the most common indications of labor induction whose success depends upon the degree of cervical ripening evaluated by the Bishop score (9). Cervical ripening which is the process of softening and stretching of the cervix, is a prerequisite for labor induction, in that an unripe cervix with a low Bishop score significantly increases the risk of induction failure compared to a

favorable cervix (10). A variety of mechanical and pharmacological methods have been used for this purpose (11). One of the most common mechanical methods of cervical ripening is the insertion of a Foley catheter (FC) into the cervical canal. Intracervical FC contributes to cervical ripening directly by dilation of the cervix and indirectly by stimulation of cytokines, prostaglandin, and oxytocin secretion (12). Due to a 2-fold increased risk of infection with FC, it is contraindicated in pregnant women with apparent infection (13). Although this method is generally endured well by women, it may result in discomfort, pain, anxiety, and mild bleeding; nevertheless, it is mostly considered efficacious and safe (11).

Misoprostol is a synthetic analogue of prostaglandin  $E_1$  (PGE<sub>1</sub>) primarily registered for the treatment and prevention of peptic ulcer disease (14). Its many advantages include cost-effectiveness, stability at room temperature (no need for refrigeration), availability in various forms and dosages, and applicability through different routes such as vaginal, oral, buccal, and sublingual (15, 16). Nonetheless, uterine hyperstimulation, neonatal asphyxia, and higher cesarean delivery can occur with different forms of misoprostol (16, 17).

Given the high prevalence of postdate pregnancy and the associated risks and complications, the need for an effective, inexpensive, and readily available method for cervical ripening in this population, and the inconsistency regarding the findings of previous studies as to which method is superior, we aimed to compare vaginal misoprostol with intracervical FC for cervical ripening in postdate primigravid women.

## **Methods**

# **Participants**

In this randomized clinical trial, we recruited 120 primigravid women aged 18–35 years with postdate pregnancies and Bishop score ≤ 4. Inclusion criteria were singleton living pregnancy and vertex presentation. Exclusion criteria were any evidence of active labor or intrauterine infection, uterine scarring, hypersensitivity to misoprostol, gestational age (GA) > 41 weeks, any systemic comorbidity (preeclampsia, diabetes, cardiovascular disease, kidney disease, asthma, etc.), fetal macrosomia, nonreassuring fetal heart rate in nonstress test (NST), polyhydramnios, intrauterine growth retardation, unfavorable pelvis for normal vaginal delivery (NVD) or any other contraindication for NVD, vaginal bleeding, and placenta previa.

# Study design

GA was determined for all women using the first trimester ultrasound. Participants were randomized into two equal groups using block randomization. Sixty women in the misoprostol group received 25  $\mu$ g vaginal misoprostol and fetal heart rate was closely monitored. An 18 Fr FC was inserted into the endocervical canal of the 60 women in the FC group. Once past the internal os of the uterus, FC was fixed using 30 ml of sterile water injected into the FC balloon. Traction was then applied by taping the end of the catheter to the medial aspect of the women's thigh. If progression of labor or true labor contractions

did not occur within 6 hours of the intervention in both groups, labor induction would be performed using oxytocin. The course of labor was monitored and in case of nonreassuring fetal heart rate, fetal distress, placental abruption, or prolonged labor, C-section was performed; otherwise, natural progression of labor was allowed.

The frequency of NVD, C-section, neonatal intensive care unit (NICU) admission, meconium-stained amniotic fluid (AF), placental abruption, oxytocin requirement, uterine tachysystole, and duration of labor were recorded and compared between groups.

# Data analysis

The Statistical Package for the Social Sciences (SPSS) software (version 25.0, Armonk, NY: IBM Corp.) was used for data analysis. Mean, standard deviation, frequency, and percentages were used to describe the results. Chi-squared and Fisher's exact tests were used to compare frequencies between groups. Student's t-test was used to compare quantitative data between groups. P-values  $\leq$  0.05 were regarded as statistically significant. Risk estimation was done using odds ratio (OR) and 95% confidence intervals (CIs) were calculated. CIs that crossed 1 implied a significant difference between study groups.

## **Results**

Table 1 demonstrates the comparison of the study variables between the misoprostol and FC groups. From the 120 primigravid women evaluated in the current study, 95 (79.2%) delivered their babies through NVD and 25 (20.8%) with C-section. The frequency of NVD and C-section were comparable between the misoprostol and FC groups (73.3 vs. 85.0%, P = 0.116 and 26.7 vs. 15.0%, P = 0.116, respectively). Also, the frequency of meconium-stained AF and NICU admission did not differ significantly between groups (P = 0.432 and P = 1.000, respectively). However, a significantly higher rate of placental abruption and uterine tachysystole was observed in the misoprostol group (P = 0.008 and P < 0.001, respectively). On the other hand, significantly more women in the FC group required oxytocin compared to the misoprostol group (P < 0.001). Furthermore, duration of labor was significantly higher in the FC group (P = 0.001).

Women in the misoprostol group had almost a 10.5-fold higher risk of placental abruption and approximately 2-fold higher risk of uterine tachysystole compared to the FC group. Nevertheless, the odds of oxytocin requirement in the misoprostol group was nearly one fourth the odds of oxytocin requirement in the FC group (Table 1).

Table 1
Comparison of the study variables between the misoprostol and FC groups

Variable	Misoprostol group (N = 60)	FC group (N = 60)	Total	P- value	OR	95% Cl
NVD N (%)	44 (73.3)	51 (85.0)	95 (79.2)	0.116*	0.49	0.19- 1.21
C-section N (%)	16 (26.7)	9 (15.0)	25 (20.8)	0.116*	2.06	0.83- 5.12
Placental abruption N (%)	9 (15.0)	1 (1.7)	10 (8.3)	0.008*	10.41	1.28- 85.00
Uterine tachysystole N (%)	13 (21.7)	0 (0.0)	13 (10.8)	< 0.001*	2.28	1.84- 2.82
Meconium-stained AF N (%)	10 (16.7)	7 (11.7)	17 (14.2)	0.432*	1.51	0.54- 4.29
Oxytocin requirement N (%)	25 (41.7)	44 (73.3)	69 (57.5)	< 0.001*	0.26	0.12- 0.56
NICU admission N (%)	3 (5.0)	2 (3.3)	5 (4.2)	1.000†	1.53	0.25- 9.48
Labor duration (minutes) mean ± SD	1425.67 ± 69.98	2023.92 ± 201.57		0.001‡		
Abbreviations: N, number; S vaginal delivery; C-section,						
*Analyzed by Chi-squared	test.					
†Analyzed by Fisher's exact test.						

‡Analyzed by Student's t-test.

## **Discussion**

In the presence of an unfavorable cervix, induction of labor can increase the possibility of prolonged labor and incidence of C-Sect. (18). Therefore, different methods have been used for cervical ripening prior to labor induction. To the best of our knowledge, our research is the second study comparing FC with vaginal misoprostol for cervical ripening in postdate primigravid pregnancies since the study performed by Kandil et al. in 2010 (19).

We found that placental abruption and uterine tachysystole occur less frequently with FC while increasing oxytocin requirement and labor duration compared to vaginal misoprostol. Moreover, the risk of C-section, NICU admission, and AF meconium staining, was lower in the FC group compared to the misoprostol group, but not at a statistically significant level. Kandil et al.'s findings were similar with respect to the higher need for oxytocin augmentation in the FC group; however, contrary to our study, they found that

induction-delivery interval is significantly shorter with FC (19). The discrepancy between the two studies can be explained by the difference in study designs, the sample size, and the demographic characteristics of the participants.

In addition, Kandil et al. reported no NICU admission in either of the groups (19). Furthermore, consistent with our results, Noor et al. found no significant difference in NICU admission between the misoprostol and FC groups (18).

Garba et al. also conducted a study on postdate pregnancies, reporting a significantly shorter inductiondelivery interval in the misoprostol group and comparable maternal and neonatal outcomes in both groups (20); however, women of their study were all multigravida and oxytocin was synchronously infused in the FC group, which makes it different from the current study.

Noor et al. conducted a study on women with term gestation comparing 25 µg vaginal misoprostol given every 4 hours to 16 Fr FC inflated with 50 ml of sterile saline (18). Their results regarding the induction to delivery interval and uterine hyperstimulation were in line with our findings; nevertheless, the rate of NVD was significantly higher in the misoprostol group of their study. Their study included both primigravid and multigravida term pregnancies with different indications for labor induction, while we only recruited primigravid women with postdate pregnancy as an indication for labor induction, which may be the reason for the difference between their results and ours. Also, contrary to our findings, Tuuli et al. reported no significant difference in the total duration of labor in the misoprostol group compared to the FC group (21). The shorter duration of labor in the misoprostol group our study can be justified by the greater effect of misoprostol due to direct delivery to myometrium through cervical canal via the vaginal route.

Gondkar et al. suggested equal efficacy and safety of FC and vaginal misoprostol for labor induction (22). Similarly, Fox et al. found FC and vaginal misoprostol to be equally effective as induction agents (23). Nonetheless, in this meta-analysis of 1603 patients, the rate of uterine tachysystole was significantly higher in patients receiving misoprostol compared with women receiving transcervical FC, which is consistent with our results. The lower rate of tachysystole with FC is particularly important in patients at increased risk of fetal hypoxia, such as those with postdate pregnancy since varying degrees of placental insufficiency may be present in this population.

In accordance with our findings, Jozwiak et al. demonstrated that oxytocin is significantly more often required when FC is used (24). As they suggested, this can be interpreted into the inability of FC to cause contractions. In their opinion, FC can merely ripen the cervix, an advantage for cases of intolerability for contractions including intrauterine growth retardation of oligohydramnios (24).

Some studies have investigated the effect of FC combined with vaginal misoprostol. As a matter of fact, the use of intracervical FC plus vaginal misoprostol has been compared with vaginal misoprostol alone in a very recent meta-analysis. In this study, Lee et al. showed that induction time, uterine tachysystole, and meconium staining decrease with the combination of FC and vaginal misoprostol compared to misoprostol alone with no difference regarding the C-section rate (25).

One limitation of the current study was the impossibility of blinding due to the nature of the interventions, which can make the assessment of outcomes prone to bias. Another limitation of our study was that we did not take infections into account. FC insertion has been reported as a risk factor for chorioamnionitis in a recent meta-analysis (26). Besides, in the only other study performed on postdate primigravid women, prophylactic ampicillin was administered in the FC group to prevent infection (19). Various volumes have been used to fill the FC balloon across different studies and some studies suggest that higher volumes are more effective for labor induction (27, 28). We might have achieved better results regarding the rate of NVD in the FC group if we had used 50 ml of sterile saline for FC balloon inflation instead of 30 ml.

## **Conclusions**

The present study suggests that in postdate pregnant women with viable singleton gestation, FC appears to be superior in terms of lower incidence of placental abruption and uterine tachysystole without increasing the risk of meconium-stained AF, C-section, and NICU admission. However, vaginal misoprostol is associated with shorter labor duration and less oxytocin requirement. Adequately powered studies are required to confirm the findings of the current study.

## **List Of Abbreviations**

- · AF: amniotic fluid
- Cl: confidence interval
- C-section: Cesarean section
- · FC: Foley catheter
- GA: gestational age
- IRCT: Iranian Registry of Clinical Trials
- NST: nonstress test
- NVD: normal vaginal delivery
- OR: odds ratio
- SPSS: Statistical Package for the Social Sciences

## **Declarations**

## Ethics approval and consent to participate

The study received ethics approval from the Ethics Committee of Hormozgan University of Medical Sciences under the ethics code: HUMS.REC.1396.085 and it complies with the statements of the Declaration of Helsinki. It has also been retrospectively registered at the Iranian Registry of Clinical Trials (IRCT) with the registration number: <a href="IRCT20181218042033N4">IRCT20181218042033N4</a> and is accessible at the following website: www.irct.ir.

#### Consent to participate

Written informed consent was obtained from all participants.

#### Consent for publication

Not applicable.

### Availability of data and materials

The datasets used and/or analyzed during the current study are available from the corresponding author on reasonable request.

### **Competing interests**

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

## **Funding**

Hormozgan University of Medical Sciences funded the current study.

#### **Authors' contributions**

Conceptualization: NA

Study validation and supervision: AA

Data analysis and interpretation: HD

Writing and reviewing: FP

All authors have read and approved the manuscript.

## **Acknowledgments**

We sincerely appreciate the dedicated efforts of the investigators, the coordinators, the volunteer patients, and the personnel of Khalij Fars Hospital, Bandar Abbas, Iran.

## References

- 1. Maoz O, Wainstock T, Sheiner E, Walfisch A. Immediate perinatal outcomes of postterm deliveries. The Journal of Maternal-Fetal & Neonatal Medicine. 2019;32(11):1847-52.
- 2. Galal M, Symonds I, Murray H, Petraglia F, Smith R. Postterm pregnancy. Facts, views & vision in ObGyn. 2012;4(3):175.

- 3. Arif A, Khan NR, Zeb L. Mode of delivery and fetal outcome in patients with prolonged pregnancy undergoing elective induction at 41 & 41+ weeks. Journal of Postgraduate Medical Institute (Peshawar-Pakistan). 2015;29(4).
- 4. Gahwagi MMM, Benali F, Bettamer NM, Zubi AS. To Determine the Effects of Labor Induction on Maternal and Fetal Outcome in Postterm Pregnancies (41 Weeks Plus). International journal of clinical medicine. 2017;8(02):98.
- 5. Dobariya PV, Shah PT, Ganatra HK. Fetomaternal outcome in pregnancy beyond 40 weeks. Int Repord contracept obstet gynaecol. 2017;6:527-31.
- 6. American College of O, Gynecologists. Practice bulletin no. 146: Management of late-term and postterm pregnancies. Obstetrics and gynecology. 2014;124(2 Pt 1):390.
- 7. Dashe JS, Bloom SL, Spong CY, Hoffman BL. Williams obstetrics: McGraw Hill Professional; 2018.
- 8. Cotzias CS, Paterson-Brown S, Fisk NM. Prospective risk of unexplained stillbirth in singleton pregnancies at term: population based analysis. Bmj. 1999;319(7205):287-8.
- 9. Wormer KC, Williford AE. Bishop Score. StatPearls [Internet]: StatPearls Publishing; 2019.
- 10. Schoen C, Navathe R, editors. Failed induction of labor2015: Elsevier.
- 11. Goonewardene M, Kumara DMA, Ziard MH, Bhabu B. Intra cervical Foley catheter vs oral misoprostol for pre induction cervical ripening of postdated pregnancies. Sri Lanka Journal of Obstetrics and Gynaecology. 2014;36(3).
- 12. Battarbee AN, Palatnik A, Peress DA, Grobman WA. The association between cervical exam after ripening with foley balloon catheter and outcomes of nulliparous labor induction. American journal of perinatology. 2018;35(10):1001-5.
- 13. Heinemann J, Gillen G, Sanchez-Ramos L, Kaunitz AM. Do mechanical methods of cervical ripening increase infectious morbidity? A systematic review. American journal of obstetrics and gynecology. 2008;199(2):177-88.
- 14. Collins PW. Misoprostol: discovery, development, and clinical applications. Medicinal research reviews. 1990;10(2):149.
- 15. Ten Eikelder M, van Baaren GJ, Oude Rengerink K, Jozwiak M, de Leeuw JW, Kleiverda G, et al. Comparing induction of labour with oral misoprostol or Foley catheter at term: cost-effectiveness analysis of a randomised controlled multi-centre non-inferiority trial. BJOG: An International Journal of Obstetrics & Gynaecology. 2018;125(3):375-83.
- 16. Tang J, Kapp N, Dragoman M, De Souza JP. WHO recommendations for misoprostol use for obstetric and gynecologic indications. International Journal of Gynecology & Obstetrics. 2013;121(2):186-9.
- 17. Rahman H, Pradhan A, Kharka L, Renjhen P, Kar S, Dutta S. Comparative evaluation of 50 microgram oral misoprostol and 25 microgram intravaginal misoprostol for induction of labour at term: a randomized trial. Journal of Obstetrics and Gynaecology Canada. 2013;35(5):408-16.
- 18. Noor N, Ansari M, Ali SM, Parveen S. Foley catheter versus vaginal misoprostol for labour induction. International journal of reproductive medicine. 2015;2015.

- 19. Kandil M, Emarh M, Sayyed T, Masood A. Foley catheter versus intra-vaginal misoprostol for induction of labor in post-term gestations. Archives of gynecology and obstetrics. 2012;286(2):303-7.
- 20. Garba I, Muhammed AS, Muhammad Z, Galadanci HS, Ayyuba R, Abubakar IS. Induction to delivery interval using transcervical Foley catheter plus oxytocin and vaginal misoprostol: A comparative study at Aminu Kano Teaching Hospital, Kano, Nigeria. Annals of African medicine. 2016;15(3):114.
- 21. Tuuli MG, Keegan MB, Odibo AO, Roehl K, Macones GA, Cahill AG. Progress of labor in women induced with misoprostol versus the Foley catheter. American journal of obstetrics and gynecology. 2013;209(3):237-e1.
- 22. Gondkar RP, Bhajantri S, Damania KR. Observational study comparing cervical ripening and induction of labour with intravaginal Misoprostol against transcervical Foley's catheter. Journal of Advanced Medical and Dental Sciences Research. 2018;6(6):122-6.
- 23. Fox NS, Saltzman DH, Roman AS, Klauser CK, Moshier E, Rebarber A. Intravaginal misoprostol versus Foley catheter for labour induction: a meta-analysis. BJOG: An International Journal of Obstetrics & Gynaecology. 2011;118(6):647-54.
- 24. Jozwiak M, Ten Eikelder M, Rengerink KO, De Groot C, Feitsma H, Spaanderman M, et al. Foley catheter versus vaginal misoprostol: randomized controlled trial (PROBAAT-M study) and systematic review and meta-analysis of literature. American journal of perinatology. 2014;31(02):145-56.
- 25. Lee HH, Huang B-S, Cheng M, Yeh C-C, Lin I, Horng H-C, et al. Intracervical Foley Catheter Plus Intravaginal Misoprostol vs Intravaginal Misoprostol Alone for Cervical Ripening: A Meta-Analysis. International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health. 2020;17(6):1825.
- 26. Chen W, Xue J, Gaudet L, Walker M, Wen SW. Meta-analysis of Foley catheter plus misoprostol versus misoprostol alone for cervical ripening. International Journal of Gynecology & Obstetrics. 2015;129(3):193-8.
- 27. Delaney S, Shaffer BL, Cheng YW, Vargas J, Sparks TN, Paul K, et al. Labor induction with a Foley balloon inflated to 30 mL compared with 60 mL: a randomized controlled trial. Obstetrics & Gynecology. 2010;115(6):1239-45.
- 28. Levy R, Kanengiser B, Furman B, Arie AB, Brown D, Hagay ZJ. A randomized trial comparing a 30-mL and an 80-mL Foley catheter balloon for preinduction cervical ripening. American journal of obstetrics and gynecology. 2004;191(5):1632-6.

## **Supplementary Files**

This is a list of supplementary files associated with this preprint. Click to download.

CONSORT2010Checklist.doc