

Revealing 3D atomic packing in liquid-like solids

Yakun Yuan

University of California Los Angeles

Dennis Kim

MIT <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-5707-2609>

Jihan Zhou

University of California Los Angeles

Dillan Chang

University of California Los Angeles <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-3715-1596>

Fan Zhu

Shanghai Jiao Tong University

Yasutaka Nagaoka

Brown University <https://orcid.org/0000-0001-8612-2612>

Yao Yang

University of California Los Angeles <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-2896-9046>

Minh Pham

University of California Los Angeles

Stanley Osher

University of California Los Angeles

Ou Chen

Brown University <https://orcid.org/0000-0003-0551-090X>

Peter Ercius

Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-6762-9976>

Andreas Schmid

NCEM, Molecular Foundry, Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory

Jianwei (John) Miao (✉ miao@physics.ucla.edu)

University of California Los Angeles <https://orcid.org/0000-0003-4033-3945>

Article

Keywords: 3D atomic structure, atomic electron tomography, monatomic amorphous materials

Posted Date: March 23rd, 2021

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.21203/rs.3.rs-244232/v1>

License:  This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License.

[Read Full License](#)

Version of Record: A version of this preprint was published at Nature Materials on October 18th, 2021.

See the published version at <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41563-021-01114-z>.

1 **Revealing 3D atomic packing in liquid-like solids**

2 Yakun Yuan^{1*}, Dennis S. Kim^{1*}, Jihan Zhou^{1*}, Dillan J. Chang¹, Fan Zhu¹, Yasutaka
3 Nagaoka², Yao Yang¹, Minh Pham³, Stanley J. Osher³, Ou Chen², Peter Ercius⁴,
4 Andreas K. Schmid⁴, Jianwei Miao¹

5 *¹Department of Physics & Astronomy and California NanoSystems Institute, University
6 of California, Los Angeles, CA 90095, USA. ²Department of Chemistry, Brown
7 University, Providence, RI 02912, USA. ³Department of Mathematics, University of
8 California, Los Angeles, CA 90095, USA. ⁴National Center for Electron Microscopy,
9 Molecular Foundry, Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory, Berkeley, CA 94720,
10 USA.*

11 **These authors contributed equally to this work.*

12 **Liquids and solids are two fundamental states of matter. However, due to the lack**
13 **of direct experimental determination, our understanding of the 3D atomic structure**
14 **of liquids and amorphous solids remained speculative. Here we advance atomic**
15 **electron tomography to determine for the first time the 3D atomic positions in**
16 **monatomic amorphous materials, including a Ta thin film and two Pd nanoparticles.**
17 **We observe that pentagonal bipyramids are the most abundant atomic motifs in**
18 **these amorphous materials. Instead of forming icosahedra, the majority of**
19 **pentagonal bipyramids arrange into a novel medium-range order, named the**
20 **pentagonal bipyramid network. Molecular dynamic simulations further reveal that**
21 **pentagonal bipyramid networks are prevalent in monatomic amorphous liquids,**
22 **which rapidly grow in size and form icosahedra during the quench from the liquid**
23 **state to glass state. The experimental method and results are expected to advance**

24 **the study of the amorphous-crystalline phase transition and glass transition at the**
25 **single-atom level.**

26 In 1952, Frank hypothesized that icosahedral order is the prevalent atomic motif
27 in monatomic liquids¹. Over the past six decades, there have been a great deal of
28 experimental, computational, and theoretical studies to understand the structure of liquids
29 and amorphous materials²⁻²¹. A polytetrahedral packing model was proposed to explain
30 the 3D atomic structure of monatomic liquids and amorphous materials²², in which
31 icosahedral order is a key feature. The icosahedral order has also been found to play a
32 critical role in the structure of metallic glasses and quasicrystals²³⁻²⁹. Despite all these
33 developments, however, no experimental method could directly determine the 3D atomic
34 packing of liquids and amorphous materials due to the lack of long-range order. Atomic
35 electron tomography (AET), allowing the determination of 3D atomic structure of
36 materials without assuming crystallinity³⁰, is uniquely positioned to address this
37 challenge. Since its first demonstration in 2012³¹, AET has been applied to reveal a wide
38 range of crystal defects in materials such as grain boundaries, dislocations, stacking faults,
39 point defects, atomic ripples, bond distortion, strain tensors and chemical order/disorder
40 in three and four dimensions^{30,32-39}. More recently, AET was used to determine the
41 structure of a multi-component glass-forming nanoparticle and quantitatively characterize
42 the short- and medium-range order of its 3D atomic arrangement⁴⁰. Here we advance AET
43 to reveal the 3D atomic structure of an amorphous Ta thin film and two amorphous Pd
44 nanoparticles that are not metallic glasses but liquid-like solids. We observe that
45 pentagonal bipyramids are the main atomic motifs in the monatomic amorphous
46 materials. Instead of assembling icosahedra, most pentagonal bipyramids closely connect

47 with each other to form pentagonal bipyramid network (PBNs) that extend to the medium-
48 range order.

49 **Atomic electron tomography of monatomic amorphous materials**

50 The AET experiments were conducted with a scanning transmission electron microscope
51 in annular dark-field mode. Tomographic tilt series were acquired from an amorphous Ta
52 thin film and two Pd nanoparticles (Supplementary Table 1 and Supplementary Figs. 1-
53 3), which were synthesized by physical vapor deposition and colloidal chemistry with
54 ligand engineering, respectively (Methods). Images acquired before, during and after the
55 acquisition of each tilt series indicate a minimal change of the sample structure throughout
56 the experiment (Supplementary Fig. 4). To verify the amorphous nature of the samples,
57 2D power spectra were calculated from the experimental images, showing the amorphous
58 halo (insets in Supplementary Figs. 1-3). Electron diffraction experiments were also
59 conducted to obtain the structure factors and pair distribution functions (PDFs) of the Ta
60 film and Pd nanoparticles⁴¹, further confirming the amorphous nature of the samples
61 (Methods, Supplementary Fig. 5). After image pre-processing, each tilt series was
62 reconstructed by a real space iterative algorithm and the 3D coordinates of individual
63 atoms were traced and refined to produce an experimental atomic model (Methods).
64 Compared to nanoparticles^{30,36,37}, the AET reconstruction of thin films is more
65 challenging as the projections at different tilt angles contain different volumes of the thin
66 film (Supplementary Fig. 1). We have developed a real space iterative reconstruction
67 algorithm to solve this problem and determine the 3D atomic coordinates in the
68 amorphous Ta thin film (Methods). The precision of the 3D atomic coordinates was
69 validated to be 18 picometers (Supplementary Fig. 6).

70 Figure 1a, b and Supplementary Fig. 7 show the experimental 3D atomic model
71 of the Ta thin film and two Pd nanoparticles (named Pd₁ and Pd₂), respectively. To
72 quantify the disorder, we calculated the bond orientational order parameters for all the
73 atoms⁴² (Methods, Supplementary Fig. 8a-c). We find that 20.1%, 2.2% and 1.8% of the
74 atoms form crystal nuclei on the surface of the Ta thin film, Pd₁ and Pd₂ nanoparticles,
75 respectively (grey atoms in Fig. 1a, b, Supplementary Fig. 7a, and Supplementary Videos
76 1-3). After excluding these nuclei, we plot the PDFs of the disordered atoms (Fig. 1c),
77 which exhibit similar shapes despite different chemical composition and synthesis
78 methods of the samples. As a comparison, the PDF of a Ta liquid obtained by the
79 molecular dynamics (MD) simulation is shown as a dotted curve in Fig. 1c, in which the
80 peak and valley positions agree with those of the Ta thin film and two Pd nanoparticles.

81 Next, we quantified the 3D short-range atomic packing of the samples using the
82 Voronoi tessellation^{4,23}. This method characterizes each local polyhedron around a centre
83 atom by calculating a Voronoi index, $\langle n_3, n_4, n_5, n_6 \rangle$, where n_i denotes the number of i -
84 edged faces (Methods). Figure 1d shows the 12 most populated Voronoi polyhedra in the
85 three samples. We find that distorted icosahedra with $\langle 0,0,12,0 \rangle$, $\langle 0,1,10,2 \rangle$, $\langle 0,2,8,2 \rangle$
86 and $\langle 0,2,8,1 \rangle$ account for 9.8% in the three samples. In contrast, among all the faces in
87 the Voronoi polyhedra (Supplementary Fig. 8d), five-edged faces are the most abundant
88 (45.5%), indicating that the majority of five-edged faces do not form distorted icosahedra.
89 From the Voronoi indices, we determined the average coordination number of the Ta, Pd₁
90 and Pd₂ sample to be 12.2, 12.3 and 12.3, respectively, which agree well with that of
91 monatomic liquids (12 ± 1) measured by diffraction experiments⁴³.

92 **Polytetrahedral packing in monatomic amorphous materials**

93 To quantitatively characterize the tetrahedra in the amorphous materials (Methods), we
94 used the distortion parameter^{14,44}, defined as $\delta = e_{max}/e_{avg} - 1$, where e_{max} and e_{avg}
95 are the maximum and average edge length of each tetrahedron, respectively.
96 Supplementary Fig. 9 (green curves) shows the fraction of the tetrahedra as a function of
97 δ . With $\delta > 0.2$, more than 96.8% of the atoms in the samples form tetrahedra. By
98 sharing faces, these tetrahedra constitute four main motifs: triplets, quadrilateral,
99 pentagonal and hexagonal bipyramids (Fig. 2a). The four motifs are represented by three-
100 , four-, five- and six-fold skeletons, which are formed by connecting the centroids of the
101 tetrahedra (coloured lines in Fig. 2a). Figure 2b and Supplementary Fig. 9 show the
102 fraction of the four motifs as a function of δ . With $\delta < 0.2$, the tetrahedra are not fully
103 packed in 3D space leading to a dominant fraction of triplets. With larger δ , the
104 populations of quadrilateral, pentagonal and hexagonal bipyramids increase, while
105 triplets decrease. In the following analysis, we choose $\delta \leq 0.255$, which was previously
106 used in mathematical and numerical simulation studies^{14,44}. Figure 2c shows the
107 population of the four motifs in the three samples, indicating that pentagonal bipyramids
108 are the most abundant atomic motifs. This observation is consistent with the Voronoi
109 tessellation analysis (Supplementary Fig. 8d), and can be explained by the fact that the
110 atomic packing in pentagonal bipyramids requires less distortion than in the other
111 motifs^{22,24}.

112 Since a tetrahedron and a pentagonal bipyramid represent the densest packing of
113 four and seven atoms²², respectively, we correlated polytetrahedral packing with the local
114 mass density of the amorphous materials (Methods). Figure 3a, Supplementary Fig. 10a
115 and d show the mass density distribution in the regions of three samples with and without
116 polytetrahedral packing, where the average mass density increases with polytetrahedral

117 packing. We also observe 3D local mass density heterogeneity in the amorphous
118 materials. A slice through each sample shows the local mass density heterogeneity
119 overlaid with polytetrahedral packing (Fig. 3b, Supplementary Fig. 10b and e). A
120 magnified region in each sample reveals that 3D local mass density heterogeneity is
121 strongly correlated to the atomic packing of the four motifs in the three samples (Fig. 3c,
122 Supplementary Fig. 10c and f).

123 As pentagonal bipyramids are the most abundant motifs, we quantified their 3D
124 atomic packing in the three amorphous materials. Supplementary Fig. 11a-c shows the
125 bond angle distribution of the Ta film, Pd₁ and Pd₂ nanoparticles, respectively, which
126 agrees with the previous study of liquid metals using reverse Monte Carlo simulations¹².
127 The two peaks of the bond angle distribution are consistent with the internal angle of a
128 tetrahedron and a pentagon, respectively (Supplementary Fig. 11d). Next, we quantified
129 the three bonds in the pentagonal bipyramid: the capping atom bond (α), the capping-ring
130 atom bond (β) and the ring atom bond (γ) (Fig. 4a, top). According to the polytetrahedral
131 packing model^{22,24}, an ideal pentagonal bipyramid consisting of seven atoms has the α
132 bond 5% longer than the β and γ bonds. However, we find that the α bond is statistically
133 2.5% longer than the γ bond and the β bond is 1.3% shorter than the γ bond in the three
134 amorphous samples (Fig. 4b). We also observe that the angle (θ) between the α bond and
135 the plane of five ring atoms (Fig. 4a, bottom) deviates from an ideal pentagonal bipyramid
136 of $\theta = 0^\circ$. Figure 4c shows that the average θ was measured to be 10.0° , 10.7° and 10.9°
137 for the amorphous Ta film, Pd₁ and Pd₂ nanoparticles, respectively. All these results
138 indicate that the pentagonal bipyramids are distorted in these amorphous samples. We
139 also observe the same distortion in an MD simulated Ta liquid (Methods). As the liquid

140 is quenched from 5200 K to 300 K, θ decreases (Fig. 4d) but the α/γ and β/γ ratios remain
141 relatively unchanged (Fig. 4b).

142 **The pentagonal bipyramid network**

143 We find that a number of pentagonal bipyramids link to each other by sharing four or five
144 atoms with their neighbours (Fig. 5a and b), which we define as vertex- or edge-sharing
145 of the five-fold skeletons, respectively. Figure 5c and Supplementary Fig. 12 show the
146 fraction of pentagonal bipyramids as a function of the number of vertex- and edge-sharing
147 neighbours. We observe in the three samples that 63.5% of bipyramids do not share any
148 vertex with their neighbours, but the majority of them (72.5%) have at least one edge-
149 sharing neighbour. Figure 5d and e shows two pentagonal bipyramid clusters with the
150 most vertex- and edge-sharing neighbours, respectively, where the larger cluster is
151 formed by edge-sharing. These results indicate that edge-sharing of the five-fold
152 skeletons is a more dominant feature in the packing of pentagonal bipyramids. We then
153 investigate if these pentagonal bipyramids form icosahedra. An icosahedron requires the
154 packing of 12 pentagonal bipyramids by edge-sharing. Due to geometric frustration^{22,27},
155 the five-fold skeletons in an icosahedron form a regular dodecahedron with the dihedral
156 angle (φ) of 116.57° (Fig. 5f, inset). But we observe that the dihedral angles (φ) between
157 two edge-sharing pentagonal bipyramids peak at 120.7° in the three amorphous samples
158 (Fig. 5f), which is close to $\varphi = 120^\circ$ in the absence of geometric frustration. This
159 observation further confirms that the pentagonal bipyramids only assemble partial
160 icosahedra (Fig. 5g). These results do not contradict that 9.8% of all the Voronoi
161 polyhedra in the three samples are distorted icosahedra because the vast majority of these
162 distorted icosahedra have a large distortion with $\delta > 0.255$ (Supplementary Fig. 13).
163 When choosing $\delta \leq 0.255$, the total numbers of distorted icosahedra and pentagonal

164 bipyramids in the three amorphous materials are 17 and 26262, respectively, showing that
165 the pentagonal bipyramids are far more abundant than the distorted icosahedra in the
166 samples.

167 Instead of assembling icosahedra, most pentagonal bipyramids with edge-sharing
168 skeletons form PBNs in these amorphous samples. Figure 5h shows a representative PBN,
169 which consists of five partial icosahedra. Figure 5i, Supplementary Figs. 14 and 15 show
170 the histograms of the PBNs as a function their size and length. The largest PBN is found
171 in the Ta thin film, which consists of 135 pentagonal bipyramids formed by 165 atoms
172 with an end-to-end length of 2.83 nm (Fig. 5j). The five largest PBNs in the Ta, Pd₁ and
173 Pd₂ samples are shown in Supplementary Figs. 16-18. Compared with the networks
174 formed by quadrilateral and hexagonal bipyramids, the PBNs not only are more abundant,
175 but also have a larger size in all three samples (Supplementary Figs. 14 and 15), indicating
176 the PBNs are dominant in monatomic amorphous materials.

177 To investigate if PBNs are prevalent in other amorphous systems such as liquids
178 and metallic glasses, we employed MD simulations using the large-scale
179 atomic/molecular massively parallel simulator⁴⁵ (Methods). A bulk Ta solid was melted
180 at 5200 K, quenched at a cooling rate of 10¹³ K/s and brought to equilibrium at 300 K.
181 The PDFs of the Ta structures at varying temperatures are shown in Supplementary Fig.
182 19. At 5200 K, the PDF of the Ta liquid resembles those of experimentally measured
183 amorphous materials in terms of the peak and valley positions (dotted curve in Fig. 1c).
184 At 300 K, the splitting of the 2nd and 3rd peaks in the PDF indicates the formation of the
185 Ta metallic glass^{18,24} (arrows in Supplementary Fig. 19). By analysing the polytetrahedral
186 packing of these Ta structures with $\delta \leq 0.255$, we find that pentagonal bipyramids are
187 the most abundant atomic motifs across the entire temperature range and their population

188 dramatically increases with the decrease of the temperature (Fig. 6a). At 5200 K, we
189 observe PBNs and partial icosahedra in the Ta liquid (Fig. 6b, c and Supplementary Fig.
190 20). These PBNs extend to the medium-range order and resemble those found in the
191 experimental Ta, Pd₁ and Pd₂ samples (Fig. 5i, j and Supplementary Figs. 16-18). With
192 the decrease of temperature, the pentagonal bipyramids form a fraction of icosahedra and
193 the PBNs rapidly grow in size (Fig. 6b, d and Supplementary Fig. 21). At 300 K, a huge
194 PBN is created across the entire Ta metallic glass (Supplementary Fig. 21c) and more
195 icosahedra are formed (Fig. 6b and e). We have also performed MD simulations of
196 quenching Pd from a liquid to a metallic glass state and observed very similar results.

197 **Discussion and outlook**

198 Our experimental results, coupled with MD simulations, provide a fundamental insight
199 into the 3D atomic packing of monatomic amorphous materials and liquids. Although
200 there are several crystal nuclei on the surface of the Ta thin film and two Pd nanoparticles,
201 we verify that the crystal nuclei have a minimal impact on the structural disorder of the
202 rest of the samples based on the following three observations. First, after removing the
203 crystal nuclei, the peak and valley positions of the PDFs of the samples are in good
204 agreement with those of the MD simulated Ta liquid (Fig. 1c). Second, after quantitatively
205 analysing the crystalline-amorphous interface in the samples, we find that the
206 characteristic width of the interface is around 3.0-4.3 Å (Methods, Supplementary Fig.
207 22), which is consistent with the previous MD simulation result⁴⁶. This analysis indicates
208 that the crystal nuclei do not affect the atomic-level structural disorder beyond a few
209 angstroms. Third, the 3D atomic packing in the amorphous regions of the Ta thin film
210 and Pd nanoparticles resembles that of an MD simulated Ta liquid (Supplementary Figs.
211 16-18 and 20), exhibiting features completely different from the crystalline structure. This

212 observation also poses a deep question on why these monatomic amorphous materials
213 and liquid, representing two different states of matter, have similar 3D atomic structures.

214 Our experimental and MD simulation results further reveal that pentagonal
215 bipyramids are the prevalent atomic motifs and form PBNs in monatomic amorphous
216 materials and liquids. During the quench from a liquid to metallic glass state, the PBNs
217 quickly grow in size and assemble a fraction of icosahedra, indicating an important role
218 of the PBNs during the glass transition. Looking forward, the ability to determine the 3D
219 atomic structure of amorphous thin films is expected to greatly expand the applicability
220 of AET to a broad range of technologically relevant materials⁴⁷. Moreover, the
221 experimental method and results reported in this work could have an important impact on
222 different fields, ranging from the direct determination of the 3D atomic structure of
223 quasicrystals^{28,29} to the study of the physics of jamming⁴⁸, the amorphous-crystalline
224 phase transition and glass transition at the atomic scale^{49,50}.

225 **References:**

- 226 1. Frank, F. C. Supercooling of liquids. *Proc. R. Soc. London. Ser. A. Math. Phys.*
227 *Sci.* **215**, 43–46 (1952).
- 228 2. Bernal, J. D. A geometrical approach to the structure of liquids. *Nature* **183**, 141–
229 147(1959).
- 230 3. Scott, G. D. Packing of Spheres: Packing of Equal Spheres. *Nature* **188**, 908–
231 909(1960).
- 232 4. Finney, J. L. Random Packings and the Structure of Simple Liquids. I. The
233 Geometry of Random Close Packing. *Proc. Roy Soc. Lond. Ser. A - Math. Phys.*
234 *Sci.* **319**, 479-493 (1970).

- 235 5. Nelson, D. R. Order, frustration, and defects in liquids and glasses. *Phys. Rev. B.*
236 **28**, 5515–5535 (1983).
- 237 6. Jonsson, H. & Andersen, H. C. Icosahedral Ordering in the Lennard-Jones Liquid
238 and Glass. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **60**, 2295-2298 (1988).
- 239 7. Reichert, H. et al. Observation of Five-Fold Local Symmetry in Liquid Lead.
240 *Nature* **408**, 839-841 (2000).
- 241 8. Spaepen, F. Five-fold symmetry in liquids. *Nature* **408**, 781-782 (2000).
- 242 9. Kelton, K. F. *et al.* First X-Ray Scattering Studies on Electrostatically Levitated
243 Metallic Liquids: Demonstrated Influence of Local Icosahedral Order on the
244 Nucleation Barrier. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **90**, 195504 (2003).
- 245 10. Schenk, T., Holland-Moritz, D., Simonet, V., Bellissent, R. & Herlach, D. M.
246 Icosahedral Short-Range Order in Deeply Undercooled Metallic Melts. *Phys.*
247 *Rev. Lett.* **89**, 075507 (2002).
- 248 11. Jakse, N. & Pasturel, A. Local Order of Liquid and Supercooled Zirconium by
249 *Ab Initio* Molecular Dynamics. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **91**, 195501 (2003).
- 250 12. Di Cicco, A., Trapananti, A., Faggioni, S. & Filipponi, A. Is There Icosahedral
251 Ordering in Liquid and Undercooled Metals? *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **91**, 1-4 (2003).
- 252 13. Stratton, W.G. *et al.* Aluminum nanoscale order in amorphous Al₉₂Sm₈ measured
253 by fluctuation electron microscopy. *Appl. Phys. Lett.* **86**, 141910 (2005).
- 254 14. Anikeenko, A. V. & Medvedev, N. N. Polytetrahedral Nature of the Dense
255 Disordered Packings of Hard Spheres. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **98**, 235504 (2007).
- 256 15. Ma, D., Stoica, A. D. & Wang, X. L. Power-law scaling and fractal nature of
257 medium-range order in metallic glasses. *Nat. Mater.* **8**, 30-34 (2009).

- 258 16. Dmowski, W., Iwashita, T., Chuang, C. P., Almer, J. & Egami, T. Elastic
259 heterogeneity in metallic glasses. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **105**, 205502 (2010).
- 260 17. Hirata, A. *et al.* Direct observation of local atomic order in a metallic glass. *Nat.*
261 *Mater.* **10**, 28-33 (2011).
- 262 18. Zhong, L., Wang, J., Sheng, H., Zhang, Z. & Mao, S. X. Formation of monatomic
263 metallic glasses through ultrafast liquid quenching. *Nature* **512**, 177-180 (2014).
- 264 19. Ding, J. & Ma, E. Computational modeling sheds light on structural evolution in
265 metallic glasses and supercooled liquids. *Npj Comput. Mater.* **3**, 9 (2017).
- 266 20. Tanaka, H., Tong, H., Shi, R. & Russo, J. Revealing key structural features hidden
267 in liquids and glasses. *Nat. Rev. Phys.* **1**, 333–348 (2019).
- 268 21. Khmicha, A., Sbiaaib, K. & Hasnaouib, A. Structural behavior of Tantalum
269 monatomic metallic glass. *J. Non-Cryst. Solids* **510**, 81-92 (2019).
- 270 22. Nelson, D. R. & Spaepen, F. Polytetrahedral Order in Condensed Matter. *Solid*
271 *Stat. Phys.* **42**, 1–90 (1989).
- 272 23. Sheng, H. W., Luo, W. K., Alamgir, F. M., Bai, J. M. & Ma, E. Atomic packing
273 and short-to-medium-range order in metallic glasses. *Nature* **439**, 419-425 (2006).
- 274 24. Cheng, Y. Q. & Ma, E. Atomic-level structure and structure–property relationship
275 in metallic glasses. *Prog. Mater. Sci.* **56**, 379–473 (2011).
- 276 25. Chen, M. W. A brief overview of bulk metallic glasses. *NPG Asia Mater.* **3**, 82-
277 90 (2011).
- 278 26. Luo, W. K. *et al.* Icosahedral short-range order in amorphous alloys. *Phys. Rev.*
279 *Lett.* **92**, 145502 (2004).
- 280 27. Hirata, A. *et al.* Geometric Frustration of Icosahedron in Metallic Glasses. *Science*
281 **341**, 376-9 (2013).

- 282 28. Shechtman, D., Blech, I., Gratias, D. & Cahn, J. Metallic Phase with Long-Range
283 Orientational Order and No Translational Symmetry. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **53**, 1951–
284 1953 (1984).
- 285 29. Levine, D. & Steinhardt, P. J. Quasicrystals: A New Class of Ordered Structures.
286 *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **53**, 2477-2480 (1984).
- 287 30. Miao, J., Ercius, P. & Billinge, S. J. L. Atomic electron tomography: 3D structures
288 without crystals. *Science* **353**, aaf2157 (2016).
- 289 31. Scott, M. C. *et al.* Electron tomography at 2.4-ångström resolution. *Nature*. **483**,
290 444–447 (2012).
- 291 32. Chen, C. C. *et al.* Three-dimensional imaging of dislocations in a nanoparticle at
292 atomic resolution. *Nature*. **496**, 74–77 (2013).
- 293 33. Goris, B. *et al.* Three-Dimensional Elemental Mapping at the Atomic Scale in
294 Bimetallic Nanocrystals. *Nano Lett.* **13**, 4236-4241 (2013).
- 295 34. Xu, R. *et al.* Three-dimensional coordinates of individual atoms in materials
296 revealed by electron tomography. *Nat. Mater.* **14**, 23 (2015).
- 297 35. Haberfehlner, G. *et al.* Formation of bimetallic clusters in superfluid helium
298 nanodroplets analysed by atomic resolution electron tomography. *Nat. Commun.*
299 **6**, 8779 (2015).
- 300 36. Yang, Y. *et al.* Deciphering chemical order/disorder and material properties at the
301 single-atom level. *Nature*. **542**, 75–79 (2017).
- 302 37. Zhou, J. *et al.* Observing crystal nucleation in four dimensions using atomic
303 electron tomography. *Nature*. **570**, 500–503 (2019).

- 304 38. Tian, X. *et al.* Correlating the three-dimensional atomic defects and electronic
305 properties of two-dimensional transition metal dichalcogenides. *Nat. Mater.* **19**,
306 867–873 (2020).
- 307 39. Kim, B. H. *et al.* Critical differences in 3D atomic structure of individual ligand-
308 protected nanocrystals in solution. *Science* **368**, 60-67. (2020).
- 309 40. Yang, Y. *et al.* Determining the three-dimensional atomic structure of an
310 amorphous solid. *Nature*, in press (arXiv:2004.02266v1).
- 311 41. Egami, T. & Billinge, S. J. L. *Underneath the Bragg Peaks: Structural Analysis*
312 *of Complex Materials*. (Pergamon Materials Series, v.7, 2003).
- 313 42. Lechner, W. & Dellago, C. Accurate determination of crystal structures based on
314 averaged local bond order parameters. *J. Chem. Phys.* **129** (2008).
- 315 43. Lee, G. W. *et al.* Difference in Icosahedral Short-Range Order in Early and Late
316 Transition Metal Liquids. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **93**, 037802 (2004).
- 317 44. Hales, T. C. A proof of the Kepler conjecture. *Ann. Math.* **162**, 1065–1185 (2005).
- 318 45. Plimpton, S. Fast Parallel Algorithms for Short-Range Molecular Dynamics. *J.*
319 *Comput. Phys.* **117**, 1–19 (1995).
- 320 46. C. Tang & Harrowell, P. Anomalously slow crystal growth of the glass-forming
321 alloy CuZr. *Nat. Mater.* **12**, 507–511 (2013).
- 322 47. Ohring, M. *Materials Science of Thin Films* (Academic Press, 2nd ed., 2001).
- 323 48. Liu, A. J. & Nagel, S. R. Jamming is not just cool any more. *Nature* **396**, 21-22
324 (1998).
- 325 49. Kelton, K. F. & Greer, A. L. *Nucleation in Condensed Matter: Applications in*
326 *Materials and Biology* (Pergamon, 2010).

327 50. Debenedetti, P. G. & Stillinger, F. H. Supercooled liquids and the glass transition.
328 *Nature* **410**, 259-267 (2001).

329 **Acknowledgements** We thank Jim Ciston for help with data acquisition and Xuezheng Tian with data
330 analysis. Funding: This work was primarily supported by the US Department of Energy (DOE), Office of
331 Science, Basic Energy Sciences, Division of Materials Sciences and Engineering under award DE-
332 SC0010378. We also thank the support by STROBE: a National Science Foundation (NSF) Science and
333 Technology Center under award DMR-1548924. Some of the data analysis was partially supported by the
334 NSF DMREF program under award DMR-1437263, and the Army Research Office MURI program under
335 grant no. W911NF-18-1-0431. The ADF-STEM imaging with TEAM I was performed at the Molecular
336 Foundry, which is supported by the Office of Science, Office of Basic Energy Sciences of the US DOE
337 under contract no. DE-AC02-05CH11231.

338 **Author contributions** J.M. directed the project; A.S. synthesized the amorphous Ta thin film; Y.N. and
339 O.C. synthesized the amorphous Pd nanoparticles; J.Z., A.S., P.E. and J.M. discussed and/or performed the
340 AET experiments of the amorphous materials; M.P., Y. Yuan, S.J.O. and J.M. developed the 3D
341 reconstruction algorithm. Y. Yuan, D.S.K., D.J.C., F.Z. Y. Yang and J.M. performed image reconstruction
342 and atom tracing, analysed the data and/or interpreted the results; D.S.K. and J.M. discussed and/or
343 performed the molecular dynamics simulation. Y. Yuan, D.S.K. and J.M. wrote the manuscript. All authors
344 commented on the manuscript.


345 **Competing interests** The authors declare no competing interests.

346 **Addition information**

347 **Correspondence and requests for materials** should be addressed to J.M. (miao@physics.ucla.edu).

348 **Data and code availability** A data and source code package, including the experimental data sets and
349 MATLAB source codes for the 3D image reconstruction and post analysis, can be freely downloaded at
350 <https://github.com/AET-AmorphousMaterials/Supplementary-Data-Codes>. The procedure on how to test
351 the MATLAB data and source codes is explained in the supplementary information with more details
352 provided in the README file of the package.

353 **Figures and Figure legends**



354

355 **Fig. 1 | Determination of the 3D atomic structure of monatomic amorphous**

356 **materials.** Experimental 3D atomic model of an amorphous Ta film (a) and a Pd

357 nanoparticle (Pd₁) (b) with surface crystal nuclei in grey. Scale bar, 2 nm. The two insets

358 show the average 2D power spectra of the experimental images for the Ta film and Pd₁


359 nanoparticle, where the amorphous halo is visible. c, PDFs of the Ta film (green), two Pd

360 nanoparticles (Pd₁ in blue and Pd₂ in red) and an MD simulated Ta liquid at 5200 K

361 (dotted curve). The PDFs were normalized by the Ta and Pd atom size. d, 12 most

362 populated Voronoi polyhedra in the three samples, where the Voronoi index is arranged

363 with the increase of the coordination number.



364

365 **Fig. 2 | Polytetrahedral packing in the amorphous Ta film and Pd nanoparticles. a,**

366 Four most populated atomic motifs (triplets, quadrilateral, pentagonal and hexagonal

367 bipyramids) in the three samples, where the capping atoms are in brown and the ring


368 atoms are in blue, connected by the bonds (black lines). The four motifs are represented

369 by a three- (purple), four- (blue), five- (orange) and six-fold skeleton (yellow), which

370 connect the centroid (black dot) of each tetrahedron. **b**, Population of the four atomic

371 motifs in the Ta film as a function of δ . **c**, Distribution of the four atomic motifs in the

372 amorphous Ta film (green), Pd₁ (blue) and Pd₂ (red) nanoparticles with $\delta \leq 0.255$.



373

374 **Fig. 3 | Correlation of 3D local mass density heterogeneity and polytetrahedral**

375 **packing.** **a**, Mass density distribution in the regions of the amorphous Ta film with

376 (yellow) and without polytetrahedral packing (blue), where polytetrahedral packing

377 increases the average mass density by 3% (dashed lines). **b**, A slice through the Ta film


378 shows the local mass density heterogeneity (colour) overlaid with polytetrahedral packing

379 (black). **c**, 3D surface rendering of local mass density heterogeneity magnified from the

380 square region in **(b)**, which is overlaid with three- (purple), four- (blue), five- (orange)

381 and six-fold (yellow) skeletons. Scale bar, 2 nm.

382



383

384 **Fig. 4 | Quantitative characterization of 3D atomic packing of pentagonal**

385 **bipyramids. a**, An ideal pentagonal bipyramid (top) consisting of two capping (brown)

386 and five ring atoms (blue). α , β and γ represent the capping, capping-ring and ring

387 bonds, respectively. Bottom shows the average pentagonal bipyramid of the amorphous


388 Ta film, where the α bond and the plane of five ring atoms form an angle (θ).

389 **b**, The α/γ and β/γ ratios of an ideal pentagonal bipyramid as well as those in the amorphous Ta film,

390 Pd₁, Pd₂ nanoparticles and MD simulated Ta liquid at 5200 K. **c**, Distribution of θ in the


391 Ta film (top), Pd₁ (middle) and Pd₂ (bottom) nanoparticles with the average θ of 10.0°,

392 10.7° and 10.9°, respectively. **d**, Average θ as a function of the temperature during the
 393 quench of Ta from the liquid to metallic glass state.



394
 395 **Fig. 5 | Direct observation of pentagonal bipyramid networks in monatomic**
 396 **amorphous materials. a, b**, Vertex- and edge-sharing of the five-fold skeletons, which
 397 share four and five atoms with their neighbours, respectively. The capping atoms are in

398 brown. **c**, Population of pentagonal bipyramids as a function of the number of vertex- and
399 edge-sharing neighbours in the amorphous Ta film. Pentagonal bipyramid clusters with
400 the most vertex- (**d**) and edge-sharing neighbours (**e**) in the three amorphous materials. **f**,
401 Distribution of the dihedral angles (φ) between two edge-sharing pentagonal bipyramids
402 (inset) in the three amorphous materials, where the average φ is 120.7° (dashed line). **g**,
403 Population of pentagonal bipyramids filling partial icosahedral sites (n). The formation
404 of a full icosahedron requires $n = 12$. **h**, Five-fold skeleton of a representative PBN,
405 containing 5 partial icosahedra with $n = 3, 5, 5, 3$ and 8 . The centre of each partial
406 icosahedron is labelled by an icosahedron (green). **i**, Histogram of the PBNs as a function
407 of their size (i.e. the number of pentagonal bipyramids) in the Ta thin film. **j**, The largest
408 PBN in the amorphous materials, containing 135 pentagonal bipyramids formed by 165
409 Ta atoms (blue balls). The black lines represent the bonds between the Ta atoms and the
410 black dots and orange lines show the PBN with five-fold skeletons. Scale bar, 4 \AA .



411

412 **Fig. 6 | Pentagonal bipyramids networks in the MD simulated Ta liquid and metallic**

413 **glass. a**, Population of the four most abundant atomic motifs (triplets, quadrilateral,

414 pentagonal and hexagonal bipyramids) as a function of the temperature. **b**, Population of

415 pentagonal bipyramids filling partial icosahedral sites (n) at the liquid temperature (5200

416 K), during quench (2500 K) and at room temperature (300 K). **c-e**, Snapshot of a fraction

417 of the atomic models and PBNs at the above three temperatures. Ta atoms are shown as

418 blue balls and the PBNs as orange lines and black dots. The PBNs quickly grow in size

419 and a fraction of full icosahedra are formed with the decrease of the temperature. Scale

420 bar, 4 Å.

421 METHODS

422 **Physical vapor deposition of amorphous Ta thin films.** Ta thin films were prepared in the ultrahigh

423 vacuum system associated with the SPLEEM (spin polarized low energy electron microscope) instrument

424 at the National Center for Electron Microscopy of the Molecular Foundry at Lawrence Berkeley National

425 Laboratory. Prior to sample growth, Si₃N₄-window grids were cleaned by heating to about 700 K in
426 ultrahigh vacuum. For film depositions, the grids were held in a liquid nitrogen cryostat, where tests using
427 Pt1000 sensors indicated that the temperature of the grids remained in the range of 130 – 150 K during
428 depositions. High-purity physical vapor beams were produced by heating small charges of Ta in a water-
429 cooled electron beam evaporator and depositing on the Si₃N₄-windows to grow amorphous Ta films. The
430 growth chamber has a base pressure in the 10⁻¹¹ torr range, and during sample deposition pressure did not
431 exceed the low 10⁻⁹ torr range. Growth rates were calibrated by growing test-films on single crystal
432 Ru(0001), W(110) and Cu(100) substrates while observing low energy electron reflectivity oscillations
433 associated monolayer-by-monolayer epitaxial growth, as well as by measuring peak height ratios in Auger
434 electron spectra of the test samples. All samples were deposited using growth rates in the range of 0.2 – 1.0
435 atomic monolayer per minute (or about 2 – 10 nm/hour). After finishing deposition, all samples were coated
436 with ~2 nm amorphous carbon, deposited from another e-beam evaporator to protect the amorphous
437 structure.

438 **Synthesis of amorphous Pd nanoparticles.** The amorphous Pd nanoparticles were synthesized by
439 following a previously reported heating-up method with a minor modification^{51,52}. Typically, 407 mg
440 palladium (II) acetylacetonate, 4 mL trioctylphosphine and 40 mL oleylamine were placed into a round-
441 neck flask. The mixture was degassed at room temperature for 1 hour under vacuum. The reaction solution
442 was then slowly heated to 280 °C (c.a. 3 °C/min) under nitrogen, and kept at 280°C for 30 min. The reaction
443 was quenched by removing the heating mantle and blowing cool air. The product was purified through
444 centrifugation after precipitation with ethanol and the resulting Pd nanoparticles were re-dispersed in
445 toluene. The procedure of ligand exchanges with NH₂⁻ was as follows⁵³: 20 mg of NaNH₂ was dissolved in
446 10 mL dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO), followed by an addition of 10 mL of the Pd nanoparticle toluene
447 solution (0.4 mg/mL). The mixture was stirred for two days to complete the ligand exchange. The product
448 was collected by centrifugation and washed by acetone one more time. The purified product was obtained
449 by centrifugation and dispersed in nanopore water. Acetone, toluene, DMSO, oleylamine (70%), palladium
450 (II) acetylacetonate (98%), sodium amide (NaNH₂, 95%), and trioctylphosphine (97%) were obtained from
451 Sigma Aldrich.

452 **ADF-STEM data acquisition.** Tomographic tilt series of Ta thin films and Pd nanoparticles were acquired
453 using the TEAM I microscope at the National Center for Electron Microscopy. The microscope was
454 operated in annular dark field mode with electron energy of 300 keV (Supplementary Table 1). A low-
455 exposure acquisition scheme was adopted for our data acquisition³². When measuring an image at a tilt
456 angle, a nearby nanoparticle or a feature in the Ta film was used to align and focus the image, thus reducing
457 the unnecessary electron dose to the sample under study. At each tilt angle, three sequential images were
458 taken with a dwell time of 3 μs to minimize the dose rate and drift distortion in each image. To further
459 mitigate the beam damage to the samples, the total electron dose of each tilt series was optimized to be
460 about 8.2-9.6×10⁵ e⁻/Å² (Supplementary Table 1). With carefully designed sample preparation and data
461 acquisition protocols, our samples were more stable under the electron beam than some of the previously
462 studied glass samples^{54,55}. Images taken at 0° tilt angle before, during and after the tilt series indicate that
463 structural change of the samples throughout the experiment was minimal (Supplementary Fig. 4).

464 **Electron diffraction experiment and analysis of the amorphous Ta film and Pd nanoparticles.** The
465 electron diffraction patterns of the Ta film and Pd nanoparticles were acquired using a Thermo Fischer
466 Themis transmission electron microscope equipped with a Ceta 2 camera (insets in Supplementary Fig. 5a
467 and c). The accelerating voltage was 300 kV and a 10 μm SA aperture was used to reduce the area, from
468 which the diffraction was collected with the central beam blocked by a beam stop. To calculate the structure
469 factor from each diffraction pattern, a mask was generated to remove the beam stop by properly
470 thresholding the intensity. By fitting the first diffraction ring, the centre of the diffraction pattern was
471 identified and the radially averaged intensity was obtained. A gold sample was used as a reference to
472 calibrate the reciprocal space unit, yielding the intensity distribution as a function of the spatial frequency,
473 $I(q)$. The structure factor, $S(q)$, was computed from $I(q)$ by using the SUEPDF software⁵⁶, where the atomic
474 form factor was set by properly selecting the chemical species and electron energy. The background was
475 optimized by specifying the pre-peak and the tail location of $I(q)$, the number of middle reference points
476 and the maximum fitting order. Proper parameters were selected during this step to ensure that the resulting
477 $S(q)$ oscillates and converges to unity at large q (Supplementary Fig. 5a and c). The PDF was computed by
478 taking the Fourier transform of $S(q)$. For a diffraction pattern with a high signal-to-noise ratio, its reduced
479 PDF has a linear dependence near the origin, from the slope of which the atomic density can be
480 extracted^{41,57}. But our samples are very thin (≤ 10 nm) and their electron diffraction patterns do not have a
481 sufficiently high signal-to-noise ratio, resulting in some oscillations at low spatial frequency. To correct for
482 the oscillations, SUEPDF was used to normalize the reduced PDF by fitting a straight line from the origin
483 to the left valley of the first peak, from which the final PDF was obtained (Supplementary Fig. 5b and d).

484 **Image pre-processing.** The following four steps were used to perform image pre-processing.

485 i) Drift correction³⁴. To correct sample drift, three ADF-STEM images at each tilt angle were
486 registered using the following procedure. First, a region of 400×400 pixels from the second and third
487 images was cropped and scanned over the first one with a step size of 0.05 pixel. Next, the cross-correlation
488 coefficient between images was calculated, where the relative drift vectors were identified by the maximum
489 cross-correlation. The ADF-STEM images have a typical drift of less than 1 pixel. We then applied drift
490 distortion correction to each image along the slow scan direction. By assuming a linear drift during the data
491 acquisition, the drift for each pixel in the image can be determined and corrected by interpolating the raw
492 image with drift corrected pixel positions. Finally, the three drift corrected images at each tilt angle were
493 averaged for denoising.

494 ii) Image denoising. The experimental images have both Poisson and Gaussian noise. A general
495 algorithm named Block-matching and 3D filtering (BM3D)⁵⁸ has been proven effective in previous AET
496 experiments^{34,36,37}, and was applied to denoise the drift corrected images. To optimize the BM3D
497 parameters, we first estimated the Gaussian and Poisson noise in the experimental images, and then applied
498 BM3D to denoise a simulated ADF-STEM image with the same noise level by varying denoising
499 parameters. The best parameters were identified by maximizing the cross-correlation between the denoised
500 image and the simulated noise-free image. These optimized parameters were used to denoise all the
501 experimental images.

502 iii) Background subtraction. For each denoised image, a mask slightly larger than the sample was
 503 generated by thresholding. From the background outside the mask, the background level within the mask
 504 was estimated using Laplacian interpolation. The estimated background was subtracted from the denoised
 505 image.

506 iv) Image alignment. The images in each tilt series were aligned using the following procedure.
 507 The tilt series of the two Pd nanoparticles was aligned by the centre of mass and common line method, as
 508 described in previous AET experiments^{31,32}. For the Ta thin film, we first performed a pre-alignment by
 509 using cross-correlation between the images of neighbouring tilt angles. Next, based on reference markers
 510 in the sample (in this case we chose an isolated region as the reference marker), we used the common line
 511 method and the centre of mass^{31,32} to align the thin film along the tilt axis and perpendicular to the tilt axis,
 512 respectively. We repeated this alignment process until no further improvement could be made.

513 **3D reconstruction with RESIRE.** After image pre-processing, each experimental tilt series was computed
 514 by the Real Space Iterative Reconstruction (RESIRE) algorithm. The algorithm minimizes the difference
 515 between the experimental and computed images using the gradient descent method. The error function and
 516 the gradient are defined as,

$$517 \quad \varepsilon_{\theta}(O) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{x,y} |\Pi_{\theta}(O)\{x, y\} - b_{\theta}\{x, y\}|^2 \quad (1)$$

$$518 \quad \nabla \varepsilon_{\theta}(O)\{u, v, w\} = \Pi_{\theta}(O)\{x, y\} - b_{\theta}\{x, y\} \quad \text{where} \quad \begin{bmatrix} u \\ v \\ w \end{bmatrix} = R_{\theta} \begin{bmatrix} x \\ y \\ z \end{bmatrix} \quad \text{for some } z \quad (2)$$

519 where ε_{θ} is the error function of a 3D object O at tilt angle θ , $\Pi_{\theta}(O)$ calculates the projection of the object
 520 O at angle θ , b_{θ} is the experimental image at angle θ , $\{x, y, z\}$ are the coordinates, ∇ is the gradient, R_{θ} is
 521 the rotation matrix transforming coordinates $\{x, y, z\}$ to $\{u, v, w\}$. More mathematical description of
 522 RESIRE and its superior performance to other algorithms, such as the generalized Fourier iterative
 523 reconstruction and the simultaneous iterations reconstruction technique, will be presented in a follow-up
 524 paper.

525 The two Pd nanoparticles were directly reconstructed by RESIRE. For the Ta thin film, we first
 526 performed a large volume reconstruction with RESIRE. After estimating the thickness variation of the Ta
 527 thin film, we applied scanning AET to do multiple local volume reconstructions and then patched them
 528 together to obtain a full reconstruction³⁸. Previous study has shown that scanning AET can improve the
 529 reconstruction of 2D layered and thin film samples over AET³⁸.

530 From the 3D reconstructions of the Pd nanoparticles and Ta film, we performed angular refinement
 531 and image alignment until the results converged. Next, we traced and refined the 3D atomic coordinates
 532 from each reconstruction (see the section below), from which reference images were calculated at the
 533 corresponding tilt angles. The experimental tilt angles and images were further refined and re-aligned using
 534 these reference images. After these procedures, the final reconstructions were performed for all three
 535 samples.

536 **Determination and refinement of 3D atomic coordinates.** From the reconstructions of the Pd
 537 nanoparticles and the Ta thin film, we determined their 3D atomic coordinates using the following steps.

538 i) We interpolated each reconstruction onto a finer grid with three times oversampling using the
539 spline method. All the local maxima in the reconstruction were identified and the positions of potential
540 atoms were extracted from a local volume of $0.8 \text{ \AA} \times 0.8 \text{ \AA} \times 0.8 \text{ \AA}$ with a polynomial fitting method^{37,59}.
541 For every potential atom, a minimum distance of 2 \AA to its neighbouring atoms have to be satisfied. This
542 constraint is based on the fact that all the interatomic distances in our samples are larger than 2 \AA . After
543 iterating through all local maxima, a list of potential atoms was obtained.

544 ii) To separate non-atoms from the potential atoms, we employed the K-mean clustering
545 method^{36,37,60} based on the integrated intensity of a local volume ($0.8 \text{ \AA} \times 0.8 \text{ \AA} \times 0.8 \text{ \AA}$) around each
546 potential atom position. After excluding non-atoms, the potential atomic models of the two Pd nanoparticles
547 and Ta film were obtained.

548 iii) By carefully comparing the individual atomic positions in the potential atomic models with the
549 3D reconstructions, we manually corrected a very small fraction (typically $< 1\%$) of unidentified or
550 misidentified atoms. Note that manual correction of a very small fraction of atoms is routinely used in
551 macromolecular crystallography for atom tracing and refinement⁶¹.

552 iv) We repeated step ii) and iii) until no further improvement could be made, resulting in the 3D
553 atomic models of the three amorphous materials.

554 v) The 3D atomic coordinates in each model were refined by minimizing the error between the
555 experimental and computed images using the gradient descent as described elsewhere^{34,36,37}. The refinement
556 results are shown in Supplementary Table 1.

557 **3D precision estimation.** To estimate the 3D precision of our method, we performed multislice
558 simulations^{62,63} to calculate ADF-STEM images from the Ta atomic model using the same experimental
559 parameters specified in Supplementary Table 1. A tilt series of 46 multislice images was computed at the
560 experimental tilt angles. To account for the electron probe size and other effect, the image was convolved
561 with a Gaussian function. A representative multislice ADF-STEM image at 0° tilt angle is shown in
562 Supplementary Fig. 6b, which is in good agreement with the corresponding experimental image
563 (Supplementary Fig. 6a). From the 46 multislice ADF-STEM images, we used the same reconstruction,
564 tracing and refinement procedure to obtain a new 3D atomic model. By comparing the new atomic model
565 with the experimental one, we find 98.1% of the atoms are identical with a root-mean-square deviation of
566 18 pm (Supplementary Fig. 6c).

567 **Calculation of the pair distribution function from the experimental 3D atomic model.** The following
568 procedure was used to calculate the PDF from the experimental atomic model of each sample⁵⁷. i) The
569 histogram of atom pair distances in spherical shells was computed with a shell thickness of 0.1 \AA . ii) The
570 counts in each spherical shell were divided by the volume of the spherical shell, yielding the density of
571 atom pairs as a function of the pair distance. iii) The PDF was scaled to approach one at large pair distances.
572 Using this procedure, we calculated the PDFs of all the atoms in the Ta thin film and two Pd nanoparticles.
573 From the PDF of each material, we determined the first valley position, corresponding to the first nearest
574 neighbour shell distance. This distance was used to compute the local bond orientational order (BOO)
575 parameters (see the section below), from which crystal nuclei were identified. After excluding the crystal
576 nuclei, the PDFs of the disordered atoms in the amorphous materials were re-calculated, shown in Fig. 1c.

577 **The local bond orientational order parameters.** We calculated the averaged local BOO parameters (Q_4
578 and Q_6) to quantify the disorder of the amorphous materials^{42,64}. The Q_4 and Q_6 values were computed based
579 on the procedure published elsewhere⁴², where the first nearest neighbour shell distance (Fig. 1c) was used
580 as a constraint. Q_4 and Q_6 were used to calculate the normalized BOO parameter, defined as

581 $\sqrt{Q_4^2 + Q_6^2} / \sqrt{Q_{4\text{fcc}}^2 + Q_{6\text{fcc}}^2}$, where $Q_{4\text{fcc}}$ and $Q_{6\text{fcc}}$ are the reference values of the fcc lattice. We
582 separated crystal nuclei from amorphous structure by setting the normalized BOO parameter larger than or
583 equal to 0.5⁴⁰ (red dashed lines in Supplementary Fig. 8a-c).

584 **The Voronoi tessellation, coordination number and local mass density distribution.** The Voronoi
585 tessellation of each 3D atomic model was calculated by following procedure published elsewhere⁴. To
586 characterize the nearest neighbour atoms around each centre atom, a regulation was applied to each Voronoi
587 polyhedron, where neighbouring atoms with the facet area less than 1% of the total Voronoi surface area
588 were removed during the analysis²³. All the Voronoi polyhedra were then indexed by $\langle n_3, n_4, n_5, n_6 \rangle$ with
589 n_i denoting the number of i -edged faces. The coordination number was calculated by $\sum_i n_i$.

590 The mass density for each atom was calculated by dividing the atomic mass by its atomic volume,
591 which is defined as the volume of its Voronoi polyhedron without regulation. The densities at all atomic
592 positions were interpolated onto a 3D grid and then convolved with a Gaussian kernel. The width of the
593 Gaussian kernel was set as the first nearest neighbour shell distance defined by the PDF. Using this
594 procedure, we obtained the local mass density distribution of the three amorphous materials (Fig. 3 and
595 Supplementary Fig. 10).

596 **Polytetrahedral packing analysis.** To identify all the tetrahedra in each amorphous material, we used the
597 distortion parameter (δ) defined in the main text, where the maximum edge length of each tetrahedron
598 cannot be larger than the first nearest neighbour shell distance (Fig. 1c). In the polytetrahedral packing
599 analysis, we set δ to be the maximum allowed distortion parameter to identify each tetrahedron. The
600 population of the tetrahedra strongly depends on δ . With $\delta > 0.2$, more than 96.8% of the atoms in the
601 three amorphous materials form tetrahedra (green curves in Supplementary Fig. 9). From these tetrahedra,
602 we searched for polytetrahedral motifs and found four main motifs (Fig. 2a): i) triplets with three face-
603 sharing tetrahedra (but the 1st and 3rd tetrahedron do not share a face); ii) quadrilateral bipyramids with four
604 face-sharing tetrahedra; iii) pentagonal bipyramids with five face-sharing tetrahedra; and iv) hexagonal
605 bipyramids with six face-sharing tetrahedra. All these four polytetrahedral motifs share two capping atoms
606 (brown atoms in Fig. 2a). Although we observe other motifs in the amorphous materials, their population
607 is much smaller than the four main motifs. We represented the four main motifs by three-, four-, five- and
608 six-fold skeletons, which connect the centroids of the tetrahedra (Fig. 2a). The fraction of four main motifs,
609 defined as the number of tetrahedra in each motif divided by the total number of tetrahedra in each
610 amorphous material, strongly depends on δ (Supplementary Fig. 9). The sum of the fraction of four main
611 motifs can be larger than 1 because some tetrahedra are overlapped among different motifs. By choosing δ
612 ≤ 0.255 ^{14,44}, we find the polytetrahedral packing of the four motifs is strongly correlated with the local mass
613 density heterogeneity (Fig. 3 and Supplementary Fig. 10).

614 **Pentagonal bipyramid networks.** We searched for the PBNs in each amorphous material using the
615 following procedure. i) From the polytetrahedral packing of the sample, we only kept pentagonal
616 bipyramids, which are represented by five-fold skeletons. ii) We started a PBN by choosing a five-fold
617 skeleton and identifying all its edge-sharing skeletons. iii) We repeated step ii) until all the edge-sharing
618 skeletons in the PBN were found. iv) We started a new PBN and repeated the procedure. v) After identifying
619 all the PBNs in the sample, we only kept those PBNs with five or more pentagonal bipyramids. The five
620 largest PBNs in the Ta thin film, two Pd nanoparticles and MD simulated Ta liquid (5200K) are shown in
621 Supplementary Figs. 16-18 and 20. The PBN size is defined as the number of pentagonal bipyramids in the
622 network. The PBN length was measured along the longest direction of the network (Supplementary Fig.
623 15). We used the same procedure to find the quadrilateral and hexagonal bipyramid networks in each
624 amorphous material.

625 **Molecular dynamics simulations.** To understand our experimental observations, we performed MD
626 simulations of a Ta bulk system using the LAMMPS package⁴⁵. The system consisted of 31250 atoms using
627 the embedded-atom-method interatomic potential¹⁸ with periodic boundary conditions. The system was
628 melted and equilibrated at 5200 K before quenching the system at a cooling rate of 10^{13} K/s using the
629 isothermal-isobaric ensemble. The polytetrahedral packing analysis was performed on atomic
630 configurations throughout the quench process and we observed similarities between the experimental
631 amorphous materials and the MD simulations of liquids. This realistic interatomic potential was chosen for
632 three reasons. First, the interatomic potential was developed with a focus on the metallic glass and liquid
633 phases. Second, the simulated metallic glass structure factors correlate well with the experimental data¹⁸.
634 Third, the simulated liquid phase PDF has the lowest least-square fitting error to the experimental Ta PDF
635 (Fig. 1c) compared to other potentials^{65,66}, although all these interatomic potentials show similar PBNs and
636 trends through the glass transition.

637 **Quantifying the crystalline-amorphous interface.** We quantified the characteristic width of the
638 crystalline-amorphous interface using the normalized BOO parameter. In each sample, the 3D surface of
639 every nucleus was determined by setting the normalized BOO parameter larger than or equal to 0.5. The
640 perpendicular distance of atoms to the 3D surface of the nucleus was calculated, where the atoms outside
641 and inside the surface have a positive and negative distance, respectively. By averaging all the nuclei in
642 each sample, we obtained the distribution of the normalized BOO parameter as a function of the
643 perpendicular distance to the surface of the crystal nuclei (blue circles in Supplementary Fig. 22). To extract
644 the characteristic width (d_c) of the crystalline-amorphous interface, the experimental data points were fitted
645 with an exponential decay function $y = ae^{-x/d_c} + b$ (solids curves in Supplementary Fig. 22), where a and
646 b are two constants. The characteristic width of the crystalline-amorphous interface was determined to be
647 3.0, 4.2 and 4.3 Å for the Ta film, Pd₁ and Pd₂ nanoparticles, respectively, which agree with the previous
648 MD simulation result⁴⁶.

649 51. Liu, Y. *et al.* Surfactant-Induced Postsynthetic Modulation of Pd Nanoparticle Crystallinity. *Nano*
650 *Lett.* **11**, 1614–1617 (2011).

651 52. Kim, S.-W. *et al.* Synthesis of Monodisperse Palladium Nanoparticles. *Nano Lett.* **3**, 1289–1291
652 (2003).

- 653 53. Nag, A. *et al.* Metal-free Inorganic Ligands for Colloidal Nanocrystals: S^{2-} , HS^- , Se^{2-} , HSe^- ,
654 Te^{2-} , HTe^- , TeS_3^{2-} , OH^- , and NH_2^- as Surface Ligands. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **133**, 10612–10620
655 (2011).
- 656 54. Zheng, K. *et al.*, Electron-beam-assisted superplastic shaping of nanoscale amorphous silica. *Nat.*
657 *Commun.* **1**, 24 (2010).
- 658 55. Cao, C. R. *et al.* Liquid-like behaviours of metallic glassy nanoparticles at room temperature. *Nat.*
659 *Commun.* **10**, 1966 (2019).
- 660 56. Tran, D. T., Svensson, G., & Tai, C. W. *SUePDF*: a program to obtain quantitative pair
661 distribution functions from electron diffraction data. *J. Appl. Crystallogr.* **50**, 304–312 (2017).
- 662 57. Billinge, S. J. L. The rise of the X-ray atomic pair distribution function method: a series of
663 fortunate events. *Phil. Trans. R. Soc. A* **377**, 20180413 (2019).
- 664 58. Dabov, K., Foi, A., Katkovnik, V. & Egiazarian, K. Image Denoising by Sparse 3-D Transform-
665 Domain Collaborative Filtering. *IEEE Trans. Image Process.* **16**, 2080–2095 (2007).
- 666 59. Rogers, S. S., Waigh, T. A., Zhao, X. & Lu, J. R. Precise particle tracking against a complicated
667 background: polynomial fitting with Gaussian weight. *Phys. Biol.* **4**, 220–227 (2007).
- 668 60. Lloyd, S. Least squares quantization in PCM. *IEEE Trans. Inf. Theory.* **28**, 129–137 (1982).
- 669 61. Brünger, A. T. *et al.* Crystallography & NMR System: a new software suite for macromolecular
670 structure determination. *Acta Crystallogr. D* **54**, 905–921 (1998).
- 671 62. Ophus, C. A Fast Image Simulation Algorithm for Scanning Transmission Electron Microscopy.
672 *Adv. Struct. Chem. Imag.* **3**, 13 (2017).
- 673 63. Pryor, A., Ophus, C. & Miao, J. A streaming multi-GPU implementation of image simulation
674 algorithms for scanning transmission electron microscopy. *Adv. Struct. Chem. Imag.* **3**, 15 (2017).
- 675 64. Steinhardt, P. J., Nelson, D. R. & Ronchetti, M. Bond-orientational order in liquids and glasses.
676 *Phys. Rev. B* **28**, 784–805 (1983).
- 677 65. Ravelo, R., Germann, T. C., Guerrero, O., An, Q. & Holian, B. L. Shock-induced plasticity in
678 tantalum single crystals: Interatomic potentials and large-scale molecular-dynamics
679 simulations. *Phys Rev B.* **88**, 134101 (2013).
- 680 66. Purja Pun, G. P., Darling, K. A., Kecskes, L. J. & Mishin, Y. Angular-dependent interatomic
681 potential for the Cu–Ta system and its application to structural stability of nano-crystalline alloys.
682 *Acta Mater.* **100**, 377–391 (2015).

Figures




Figure 1

Determination of the 3D atomic structure of monatomic amorphous materials. Experimental 3D atomic model of an amorphous Ta film (a) and a Pd nanoparticle (Pd1) (b) with surface crystal nuclei in grey. Scale bar, 2 nm. The two insets show the average 2D power spectra of the experimental images for the Ta film and Pd1 nanoparticle, where the amorphous halo is visible. c, PDFs of the Ta film (green), two Pd nanoparticles (Pd1 in blue and Pd2 in red) and an MD simulated Ta liquid at 5200 K (dotted curve). The PDFs were normalized by the Ta and Pd atom size. d, 12 most populated Voronoi polyhedra in the three samples, where the Voronoi index is arranged with the increase of the coordination number.




Figure 2

Polytetrahedral packing in the amorphous Ta film and Pd nanoparticles. a, Four most populated atomic motifs (triplets, quadrilateral, pentagonal and hexagonal bipyramids) in the three samples, where the capping atoms are in brown and the ring atoms are in blue, connected by the bonds (black lines). The four motifs are represented by a three- (purple), four- (blue), five- (orange) and six-fold skeleton (yellow), which connect the centroid (black dot) of each tetrahedron. b, Population of the four atomic motifs in the Ta film as a function of δ . c, Distribution of the four atomic motifs in the amorphous Ta film (green), Pd1 (blue) and Pd2 (red) nanoparticles with $\delta \approx 0.255$.




Figure 3

Correlation of 3D local mass density heterogeneity and polytetrahedral packing. a, Mass density distribution in the regions of the amorphous Ta film with (yellow) and without polytetrahedral packing (blue), where polytetrahedral packing increases the average mass density by 3% (dashed lines). b, A slice through the Ta film shows the local mass density heterogeneity (colour) overlaid with polytetrahedral packing (black). c, 3D surface rendering of local mass density heterogeneity magnified from the square region in (b), which is overlaid with three- (purple), four- (blue), five- (orange) and six-fold (yellow) skeletons. Scale bar, 2 nm.




Figure 4

Quantitative characterization of 3D atomic packing of pentagonal bipyramids. a, An ideal pentagonal bipyramid (top) consisting of two capping (brown) and five ring atoms (blue). α , β and γ represent the capping, capping-ring and ring atom bonds, respectively. Bottom shows the average pentagonal bipyramid of the amorphous Ta film, where the α bond and the plane of five ring atoms form an angle (θ). b, The α/γ and β/γ ratios of an ideal pentagonal bipyramid as well as those in the amorphous Ta film, Pd₁, Pd₂ nanoparticles and MD simulated Ta liquid at 5200 K. c, Distribution of θ in the Ta film (top), Pd₁ (middle) and Pd₂ (bottom) nanoparticles with the average θ of 10.0°, 10.7° and 10.9°, respectively. d, Average θ as a function of the temperature during the quench of Ta from the liquid to metallic glass state.




Figure 5

Direct observation of pentagonal bipyramid networks in monatomic amorphous materials. a, b, Vertex- and edge-sharing of the five-fold skeletons, which share four and five atoms with their neighbours, respectively. The capping atoms are in brown. c, Population of pentagonal bipyramids as a function of the number of vertex- and edge-sharing neighbours in the amorphous Ta film. Pentagonal bipyramid clusters with the most vertex- (d) and edge-sharing neighbours (e) in the three amorphous materials. f, f,

Distribution of the dihedral angles (φ) between two edge-sharing pentagonal bipyramids (inset) in the three amorphous materials, where the average φ is 120.7° (dashed line). g, Population of pentagonal bipyramids filling partial icosahedral sites (n). The formation of a full icosahedron requires $n = 12$. h, Five-fold skeleton of a representative PBN, containing 5 partial icosahedra with $n = 3, 5, 5, 3$ and 8 . The centre of each partial icosahedron is labelled by an icosahedron (green). i, Histogram of the PBNs as a function of their size (i.e. the number of pentagonal bipyramids) in the Ta thin film. j, The largest PBN in the amorphous materials, containing 135 pentagonal bipyramids formed by 165 Ta atoms (blue balls). The black lines represent the bonds between the Ta atoms and the black dots and orange lines show the PBN with five-fold skeletons. Scale bar, 4 \AA .




Figure 6

Pentagonal bipyramids networks in the MD simulated Ta liquid and metallic glass. a, Population of the four most abundant atomic motifs (triplets, quadrilateral, pentagonal and hexagonal bipyramids) as a function of the temperature. b, Population of pentagonal bipyramids filling partial icosahedral sites (n) at the liquid temperature (5200 K), during quench (2500 K) and at room temperature (300 K). c-e, Snapshot of a fraction of the atomic models and PBNs at the above three temperatures. Ta atoms are shown as blue balls and the PBNs as orange lines and black dots. The PBNs quickly grow in size and a fraction of full icosahedra are formed with the decrease of the temperature. Scale bar, 4 \AA .

Supplementary Files

This is a list of supplementary files associated with this preprint. Click to download.

- [SupplementaryVideo3.mov](#)
- [MiaoSupplfiguresfinal.docx](#)
- [SupplementaryVideo2.mov](#)
- [SupplementaryVideo1.mov](#)