Occupational Related Upper and Low Back Pain Among Working Population of Ethiopia: Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis

Dechasa Adare Mengistu (✉ dechasaadare@gmail.com)

Department of Environmental Health, College of Health and Medical Science, Haramaya University <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-0076-5586>

Yohannes Mulugeta Demmu

Department of Environmental Health, College of Health and Medical Science, Haramaya University

Addisu Alemu

School of Public Health, College of Health and Medical Sciences, Haramaya University, Harar, Ethiopia

Systematic Review

Keywords: Back pain, Low back pain, Musculoskeletal disorders, Occupational health, Upper back pain, Ethiopia

Posted Date: November 11th, 2021

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.21203/rs.3.rs-257589/v4>

License: © ⓘ This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License. [Read Full License](#)

Version of Record: A version of this preprint was published at Environmental Health Insights on January 1st, 2021. See the published version at <https://doi.org/10.1177/11786302211067839>.

Abstract

Background: Back pains, such as low and upper back pains are among the most common musculoskeletal conditions that can cause major public health and socioeconomic problems. Back pain is one of the leading causes of disability that reduces worker performance and well-being and increases absence from work, which can cause an enormous economic burden. In developing countries, particularly in Ethiopia, there is no adequate evidence on the overall prevalence of occupational-related low and upper back pains and remain less prioritized and empirically unrepresented. Therefore, this study aimed to determine the prevalence of occupational-related low and upper back pains among the working population of Ethiopia.

Methods: This systematic review and meta-analysis considered studies conducted in Ethiopia, written in English, and published from 2017-2020. Articles were searched from nine electronic databases (Web of Science, SCOPUS, PubMed, Google Scholar, CINAHL, Cochrane Library, African Index Medicus, African Journals Online database and Science Direct) using a combination of Boolean logic operators, Medical Subject Headings and main keywords. The quality assessment of the articles was performed using Joanna Briggs Institute Critical Appraisal tools to determine the relevance of the articles to the study. A random effects model was used to estimate the pooled prevalence, the 95% confidence interval, and the degree of heterogeneity among the included studies. Sensitivity analyzes were performed to identify the influence of outliers and to identify sources of heterogeneity.

Results: Of the 1,114 studies identified from the included databases, 20 studies were included in the systematic review and meta-analysis. The pooled prevalence of occupational-related upper and low back pain in the previous year was 27.1% [95% CI: 18.4, 37.9] and 54.2% [95% CI: 48.2, 60.0], respectively. Based on a subgroup analysis by publication year, study population and countries where the studies are conducted, the prevalence of upper back pain was 43.8% [95% CI: 39.3, 47.7], 34.7% [95% CI: 33.1, 36.2], and 36.2% [95% CI: 33.6, 39.0], respectively, while the prevalence of low back pain was 61.8% [95% CI: 58.9, 64.6], 52.8% [95% CI: 51.3, 54.3] and 55.2% [95% CI: 51.4, 59.0], respectively.

Conclusions: This systematic review and meta-analysis found that 54.2% of the included study participants experienced low back pain in the previous year, while 27.1% experienced upper back pain. These problems may be reduced by considering proper observation of the principles of ergonomics in the workplace, and performing physical exercises on a regular basis.

Background

The global population is now living longer with consequences related to musculoskeletal conditions (1) that comprised the second highest global volume of years lived with disability (YLD) (1, 2). Approximately 1.71 billion people have musculoskeletal conditions worldwide (3). Among musculoskeletal conditions, back pain is a major cause of disability that reduces worker's performance, well-being, and increases absence from work, which can cause an enormous economic burden on individuals, families, communities, industry, and governments (4-7). It affects the mental well-being and productivity of the person, and consequently weakens the economy (5, 8, 9). It is among the most disabling musculoskeletal conditions (1, 10) that pose a major threat to health by limiting physical and mental capacities and functional ability (1).

Workers involved in various occupational settings such as health care, driving, manufacturing, general labor, maintenance, repair, and cleaning are at the highest risk of back pain (11). According to the European Working Conditions Survey (EWCS), 47 % of workers experienced back pain in the last 12 months (12). According to the Health and Safety Executive (HSE), about 1.8 million working days were lost in 2016/17 due to back pain (13) and incur billions of dollars in medical expenses each year (14, 15). Among back pains, low back pain is a work-related disease/injury and leads to huge worker's compensation and a decrease in productivity (9, 16) and increased costs for workers, companies and society in general (17, 18). It is still one of the persistent public health challenges around the world (19-21) and the most common type of musculoskeletal disorder (MSD) usually related to work and work conditions (13, 20, 22). It results in increased demand for the utilization of healthcare services, causing temporary and permanent disability, and reduced quality of life (18, 23). For example, 568 million people experienced low back pain and caused 64 million YLDs globally (24). It results in a serious social problem, huge worker's compensation, and a decline in productivity (16).

In developing countries, where there is poor awareness of ergonomic issues, lack of adequate training and problems are under-reported, occupational-related back pain has increased (25). In Ethiopia, many studies have reported occupational-related upper and low back pains in different occupational settings (15, 19, 20, 26-44). To our knowledge, there are limited studies conducted to determine and compare the prevalence of low and upper back pains in workplaces that are crucial to the health and safety issue and to promote the implementation of environmental, ergonomic, and organizational interventions.

Thus, this systematic review and meta-analysis aimed to determine the prevalence of occupational-related low and upper back pains among the working population in Ethiopia. This study also provides detailed country-based information on the low and upper back pains, which contribute to the needs. Such figures can serve as powerful tools to strengthen and integrate control measures, to prevent low and upper back pains in working environments.

Methods

This study included articles that reported the prevalence of low back pain or/and upper back pain in the previous year. The study was conducted according to the Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analysis (PRISMA) protocol (45).

Eligibility criteria

Inclusion Criteria

- i. Population: The study reported the prevalence of low and/or upper back pain among workers over or equal to 18 years of age regardless of their occupation.
- ii. Study design: Cross-sectional studies
- iii. Outcome: Studies that provided quantitative results (magnitude, frequency, proportion, or prevalence) in the last 12 months
- iv. Exposure: In the work environment or in the workplace.
- v. Study area: Studies conducted in Ethiopia
- vi. Language: Full-text articles published in English.
- vii. Publication Issue: Articles published from 2017 to 2020 were included in the study to provide current evidence on the prevalence of low and upper back pains

Exclusion Criteria

Studies that did not report the prevalence of low or upper back pain in the last year (12 months), case reports, case series, qualitative studies, review articles, surveillance data/reports, conference abstracts, personal opinions, articles written in non- English language, articles had a high risk of bias, study not available in full texts, and studies published before 2017 were excluded from the study.

Information Sources and Search Strategy

Articles were searched from electronic databases (Web of Science, SCOPUS, PubMed, Google Scholar, CINAHL, Cochrane Library, African Index Medicus, African Journals Online databases, and Science Direct) using a combination of Boolean logic operators (AND, OR, and NOT), Medical Subject Headings (MeSH), and main keywords.

The following are the search terms that the authors (DAM, AA and YMD) used in the initial search of the articles: "Prevalence" OR "Magnitude" AND "Occupational related" OR "Work related" AND "Musculoskeletal" OR "Low back" OR "Upper back" AND 'Disorders' OR 'Disease' OR " Problems" OR "Pain" OR "Injury" AND "Working group" OR 'Working population' OR 'Workers' AND 'Ethiopia'. Furthermore, the manual search was made to address articles not covered in the included electronic databases. The reference list of all selected articles was searched for more articles.

All identified keywords and index terms were checked by the authors (DAM, AA and YMD) across the included electronic databases. The last search was done on 12 October 2020.

Study Selection

After searching, duplicated articles were removed using the ENDNOTE software version X5 (Thomson Reuters, USA). The authors (DAM, AA and YMD) screened the articles based on the titles and abstracts of the identified articles by applying the inclusion and exclusion criteria. Finally, the systematic review and meta-analysis included articles conducted in Ethiopia and published from 2017 to 2020 that reported the prevalence of low and/or upper back pains in the last year in different occupational settings to provide current evidence on the prevalence of low and upper back pains.

Data Extraction and Quality Assessment

The authors (DAM, AA and YMD) extracted the data from the eligible articles independently. A predefined Microsoft Excel 2016 format was used to extract data from selected studies under the following headings: author, publication year, sample size, study participants, occupation, sex, data collection tool, study region, study design, and primary outcomes of interest.

The quality of each article was evaluated to confirm the relevance of the articles to the study. The selected articles were subjected to a rigorous and independent evaluation using standardized critical appraisal tools (JBI Critical Appraisal tools) (46) to determine the quality and relevance of the articles. The score was taken for all studies and classified as high quality (85% and above score), moderate quality (60-85% score), and low quality (<60% score). The disagreement made between the authors (DAM, AA and YMD) was resolved by discussion after repeating the same procedure.

Data Analysis and Statistical Procedures

The pooled prevalence of occupational-related low and upper back pains in the previous year was performed using comprehensive meta-analysis (CMA) version 3.0 statistical software. The forest plot and the random effects model were used to determine the pooled prevalence of low and upper back pains in the previous year.

The publication bias of the included articles was evaluated using funnel plots. A P-value of less than 0.05 was considered as evidence of publication bias. Furthermore, subgroup analysis was performed based on the publication year, occupation categories, study region, and results to minimize random variations between the point estimates of the included articles. Finally, the characteristics of the included articles were presented using text, tables, and graphs.

Heterogeneity

The Cochran Q test (Q) and the I squared test (I² statistics) were used to evaluate the heterogeneity between the included articles. I² statistics is the proportion of variation in prevalence estimates due to genuine variation in prevalence (47, 48). The level of heterogeneity was classified into four categories; no heterogeneity (0%), low (25– 50%), moderate (50–75%) and high heterogeneity (greater than 75%) (49). Subgroup analysis was performed to determine the heterogeneity in prevalence, based on the years of publication, occupation/study population, study areas and outcomes. Sensitivity analyzes were performed to determine differences in pooled effects by dropping studies that were found to influence the summary estimates. A P-value of < 0.05 was considered as evidence of publication bias.

Results

Study Selection

A total of 1,114 articles were searched from the included electronic databases (Web of Science, SCOPUS, PubMed, Google Scholar, CINAHL, African Index Medicus, African Journals Online databases, and Science direct) from 10 September 2020 to 12 October 2020. The search was carried out by the authors (DAM, YMD, and AA) from the included electronic databases independently. Then, 285 duplicate articles were removed using the ENDNOTE software version X5 (Thomson Reuters, USA). A total of 706 articles were excluded after the initial screening based on title and abstract. Thirty-five articles were excluded after the eligibility of full text articles was evaluated, of which 20 articles were included in the systematic review and meta-analysis (Figure 1).

Characteristics of the Included Articles

In this study, a total of 9,410 participants were included in 20 articles conducted in Ethiopia and published from 2017 to 2020 (15, 19, 20, 28-44); nine (45.0%) articles (15, 19, 29, 31, 36, 38, 40, 43, 44) were conducted in Oromia, three (15%) in Tigray (20, 30, 41), three (15%) in SNNP (28, 33, 34), three (15%) articles in Addis Ababa (32, 37, 42), and two (10%) articles in Amhara region (19, 35). The included studies were cross-sectional studies with a sample size ranging from 264 (41) to 771 (37) study participants.

Among the included articles, 10 (50%) (15, 19, 20, 29, 32, 33, 36, 37, 39) articles reported the prevalence of low back pain alone, 9(45%) (28, 30, 31, 34, 38, 40-42, 44) articles reported both low back pain and upper back pains, and 1(5%) (35) article reported the prevalence of upper back pain alone.

Furthermore, 8 (40%) articles published in 2020 (28, 30, 31, 33, 40-43), followed by studies published in 2019 (15, 19, 20, 35, 38, 40) that represented 6(30%) of the included articles. Based on JBI Critical Assessment tool (46), all articles included had a low risk of bias. Occupational-related prevalence of low and upper back pains in the last year ranged from 25.5% (38) to 74.8% (20) and 10.4% (28) to 60.4% (34), respectively.

Sex of study participants specified in 18 (90%) articles (15, 19, 20, 28-31, 33-37, 39-44); 4906 (57.2%) males and 3672 (42.8%) females. The Nordic musculoskeletal questionnaire was used for data collection in 18 (90%) studies (15, 19, 20, 28-37, 39-43) (Table 1).

Prevalence of Occupational-Related Upper and Low Back Pains

Meta-analysis was performed using the Comprehensive Meta-Analysis (CMA) Version 3 statistical package (software) to determine the pooled prevalence of occupational-related low and upper back pains in Ethiopia.

Prevalence of Occupational-Related Upper Back Pain

The pooled prevalence of occupational-related upper back pain in the previous year was 27.1% (95% CI: 18.4, 37.9%) (Figure 2). After a subgroup analysis was performed based on occupation, the pooled prevalence of upper back pain in the previous year was 34.7% (95% CI: 33.1, 36.2%). The lowest prevalence [10.4% (95% CI 7.6, 14.1%)] was reported among vehicle repair workers, while the highest prevalence [60.4% (95% CI 55.7, 65.0%)] was reported among pedestrian back-loading women (Supplementary file I; Figure 1).

After the subgroup analysis was performed based on the publication year, the pooled prevalence of occupational-related upper back pain in the previous year was 43.8 % [95% CI 39.9, 47.7%]. The lowest prevalence [15.3% (95% CI: 11.7, 19.8%)] was reported in the study published in 2018, while the highest prevalence [60.4% (95% CI: 55.7, 65.0%)] was observed among the study published in 2017 (Supplementary file I; Figure 2).

Furthermore, based on the study region, the pooled prevalence of upper back pain was 36.2 % (95% CI: 33.6, 39.0%). The lowest prevalence [22.1% (95% CI: 9.2, 44.5%)] was reported among the studies conducted in the Oromia regional state, while the highest prevalence [38.8% (95% CI: 34.2, 43.6%)] was reported by the study conducted in the Amhara region (Supplementary file I; Figure 3).

Prevalence of Occupational-Related Low Back Pains

The pooled prevalence of occupational-related low back pain in the previous year was 54.2% (95% CI: 48.2, 60.0) (Figure 3). Based on the subgroup analysis by occupation, the pooled prevalence of low back pain in the previous year was 52.8% (95% CI: 51.3, 54.3%). The lowest prevalence [25.5% (95% CI: 21.5, 29.9%)] was reported among construction workers, while the highest prevalence [67.3% (95% CI: 62.7, 71.6%)] was reported among pedestrian back-loading women (Supplementary File I; Figure 4).

After subgroup analysis was performed based on the publication year, the pooled prevalence of low back pain was 61.8 % (95% CI: 58.9, 64.6%). The lowest pooled prevalence [46.9% (95% CI: 39.9, 54.0%)] was reported among studies published in 2020, while the highest prevalence [65.7% (95% CI: 62.5, 68.9%)] was reported among studies published in 2017 (Supplementary file I; Figure 5).

Furthermore, after the subgroup analysis was performed by study region, the pooled prevalence of low back pain was 55.2 % (95% CI: 51.4, 59.0%). The lowest pooled prevalence [50.7% (95% CI: 25.0, 76.0%)] was reported among the studies conducted in the Tigray regional state, while the highest prevalence [56.3% (95% CI: 37.1, 73.9%)] was reported among the studies conducted in Southern Nations, Nationalities, and Peoples (Supplementary File I; Figure 6).

The result of the sensitivity analysis indicated that there is no significant difference between the pooled prevalence, before and after the sensitivity analysis. There is no significant difference between the overall pooled prevalence of upper and low back pain before and after the sensitivity analyzes (Table 2).

Subgroup Analysis Based on the Outcome

After subgroup analysis was performed based on the outcomes, the pooled prevalence of occupational-related upper and low back pains in the previous year was 54.2% [95% CI: 48.2 to 60.0%], and 31.0% [95% CI: 21.6 to 42.3%], respectively (Figure 4).

Publication bias

In this meta-analysis, publication biases were visualized using funnel plots. Publication bias was examined using Begg and Egger tests. The Begg test found a p-value of 0.806 and 0.929 for low back, and upper back pain, respectively. Similarly, Egger tests found a p-value of 0.8367 and 0.999 for low and upper back pain, respectively. These results indicated that the probability of publication bias was not statistically significant (Figure 5 and Figure 6).

Discussion

The current study was conducted to determine the pooled prevalence of occupational-related low and upper back pains in Ethiopia (2017-2020). In this study, a total of 9,410 study participants, regardless of their occupation categories, were included in the 20 selected articles (15, 19, 20, 28-44). Back pain such as low and upper back pains are the leading cause of loss of productivity and absenteeism of employees and affects quality of life (50, 51). The current study found that the prevalence of low back pain among the Ethiopian working population ranged from 25.5 to 67.3%, which was lower than the finding of another study conducted in Saudi Arabia reported the prevalence of low back pain in different professional groups ranged from 64 to 89% (52).

Furthermore, the current study found that the pooled prevalence of occupational-related low back pain was 54.2% [95% CI: 48.2, 60.0%] which was relatively lower than the finding of another study conducted in Africa, which reported a pooled prevalence of 57% of low back pain (53). The difference may be related to the scope of the study or variation in the implementation of engineering and administrative control measures, low awareness of occupational-related hazards, and physical exercise.

The pooled prevalence of low back pain increased to 61.8% [95% CI: 58.9, 64.6%] and 55.2% [95% CI: 58.4, 59.0%] after subgroup analysis was performed based on publication year and study area, respectively. However, the prevalence of low back pain decreased to 52.8% [95% CI: 51.3, 54.3%], after subgroup analysis was performed based on study participants/occupation. There was variation in the prevalence of low back pain among different study populations or occupations. The variation may be due to differences in occupation or working environments or differences in the implementation of control measures and safety practices. For example, the current study found that the prevalence of low back pain among nurses was 65.4%, which was relatively consistent with the work done in Saudi Arabia and Iran that found that the prevalence of low back pain was 65.0% and 61.2%, respectively (51, 54).

On the other hand, the current study found that the pooled prevalence of occupational-related upper back pain in the previous year was 27.1% [95% CI: 18.4, 37.9%]. However, after subgroup analysis was performed based on publication year, study participants, and study area, the pooled prevalence of upper back pain increased to 43.8% [95% CI: 39.3, 47.7%], 34.7% [95% CI: 33.1, 36.2%] and 36.2% [95% CI: 33.6, 39.0%], respectively. The highest prevalence of work-related upper back pain (60.4%) was reported among pedestrian back-loading women, followed by the prevalence reported among hairdressers (50.4%). The lowest prevalence (10.4%) was reported among vehicle repair workers. Variation may be related to variation in activities, workload, nature of work, and physical exercise.

In general, the current study found that at least one out of four study participants experienced work-related upper back pain, while one out of two participants experienced low back pain regardless of occupation categories. "The effect of musculoskeletal disorders such as LBP may be reduced by considering proper observation of the principles of ergonomics in the workplace, performing physical examinations on a regular basis, identifying risk factors in the advancement of musculoskeletal disorders and then trying to fix them" [54]. And also, performing physical exercise can reduce low back pains (55, 56). "The exercise in combination with education is likely to reduce the risk of low back pain" [57].

Limitations

There was an unequal distribution of occupations among the included articles. On the other hand, the prevalence of low and upper back pain in some regions of Ethiopia was not covered due to the lack of studies in these regions.

Conclusion

Occupational-related low and upper back pains continue to have a potential impact on worker health, productivity, and quality of life worldwide. This study found that more than half of the participants included experienced low back pain in the previous year, while more than one-fourth experienced upper back pain. The effect of LBP may be reduced by considering proper observation of the principles of

ergonomics in the workplace, performing physical exercise on a regular basis, identifying risk factors in the advancement of musculoskeletal disorders.

List Of Abbreviations

CDC: Centers for Disease Control and Prevention; CMA: Comprehensive Meta-Analysis; EWCS: European Working Conditions Survey; HSE: Health and Safety Executive; JBI: Joanna Briggs Institute; MSDs: Musculoskeletal Disorders; PRISMA: Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis; SNNP: Southern Nations, Nationalities, and Peoples; YLD: Years Lived with Disability.

Declarations

Ethics Approval and Consent to Participate.

Not applicable.

Consent for Publication

Not applicable.

Availability of Data and Materials.

Almost all data are included in this study. However, additional data will be available from the corresponding author upon reasonable request. The PRISMA-P 2015 checklist (Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis) is one of the recommended items to address in a systematic review and meta-analysis.

Competing Interests

The authors declare that they have no competing interests in this work.

Funding

This review did not receive any grants/funds.

Authors' Contributions

DAM conceived the idea and played an important role in the review, extraction, and analysis of the data, writing, drafting, and editing of the manuscript. AA and YMD contributed to data extraction, analysis, and editing. Finally, the authors (DAM, AA, and YMD) read and approved the final version of the manuscript to be published and agreed on all aspects of this work.

Acknowledgments

The authors extend their deepest thanks to Haramaya University, Department of Environmental Health staff, for providing their constructive support.

References

1. Briggs AM, Woolf AD, Dreinhöfer K, Homb N, Hoy DG, Kopansky-Giles D, Åkesson K, March L. Reducing the global burden of musculoskeletal conditions. *Bulletin of the World Health Organization*. 2018 May 1;96(5):366.
2. Vos T, Abajobir AA, Abate KH, Abbafati C, Abbas KM, Abd-Allah F, Abdulkader RS, Abdulle AM, Abebo TA, Abera SF, Aboyans V. Global, regional, and national incidence, prevalence, and years lived with disability for 328 diseases and injuries for 195 countries, 1990–2016: a systematic analysis for the Global Burden of Disease Study 2016. *The Lancet*. 2017 Sep 16;390(10100):1211-59.
3. World health organization (WHO). musculoskeletal conditions, key facts, <https://www.who.int/news-room/fact-sheets/detail/musculoskeletal-conditions>
4. Hoy D, March L, Brooks P, Blyth F, Woolf A, Bain C, Williams G, Smith E, Vos T, Barendregt J, Murray C. The global burden of low back pain: estimates from the Global Burden of Disease 2010 study. *Annals of the rheumatic diseases*. 2014 Jun 1;73(6):968-74.

5. Punnett L, Prüss-Ütün A, Nelson DI, Fingerhut MA, Leigh J, Tak S, Phillips S. Estimating the global burden of low back pain attributable to combined occupational exposures. *American journal of industrial medicine*. 2005 Dec;48(6):459-69.
6. Murray CJ, Vos T, Lozano R, Naghavi M, Flaxman AD, Michaud C, Ezzati M, Shibuya K, Salomon JA, Abdalla S, Aboyans V. Disability-adjusted life years (DALYs) for 291 diseases and injuries in 21 regions, 1990–2010: a systematic analysis for the Global Burden of Disease Study 2010. *The lancet*. 2012 Dec 15;380(9859):2197-223.
7. Black CM. Working for a healthier tomorrow: Dame Carol Black's review of the health of Britain's working age population. The Stationery Office; 2008 Mar 17.
8. Ricci JA, Stewart WF, Chee E, Leotta C, Foley K, Hochberg MC. Back pain exacerbations and lost productive time costs in United States workers. *Spine*. 2006 Dec 15;31(26):3052-60. doi: 10.1097/01.brs.0000249521.61813.
9. Alperovitch-Najenson D, Santo Y, Masharawi Y, Katz-Leurer M, Ushvaev D, Kalichman L. Low back pain among professional bus drivers: ergonomic and occupational-psychosocial risk factors. *IMAJ-Israel Medical Association Journal*. 2010 Jan 1;12(1):26.
10. Briggs AM, Cross MJ, Hoy DG, Sánchez-Riera L, Blyth FM, Woolf AD, March L. Musculoskeletal health conditions represent a global threat to healthy aging: a report for the 2015 World Health Organization world report on ageing and health. *The Gerontologist*. 2016 Apr 1;56(suppl_2): S243-55. <https://doi.org/10.1093/geront/gnw002>
11. Work EAFSaHa. OSH infigures Work-Related Musculoskeletal Disorders in the EU Euroean Risk Observatory Report," European Agency for Safety and Health at Work, vol. 82, no. 6, p. 14, Bilbao, Spain, 2013
12. Eurofound. Sixth European Working Conditions Survey [Internet]. Available from: <https://www.eurofound.europa.eu/surveys/european-working-conditions-surveys-ewcs>.
13. Health and Safety Executive. Work-related Musculoskeletal Disorders (WRMSDs) Statistics in Great Britain 2017. 2017. <http://www.hse.gov.uk/statistics/causdis/musculoskeletal/msd.pdf>. Accessed Nov 6, 2018.
14. Childs JD, Fritz JM, Flynn TW, Irrgang JJ, Johnson KK, Majkowski GR, Delitto A. A clinical prediction rule to identify patients with low back pain most likely to benefit from spinal manipulation: a validation study. *Annals of internal medicine*. 2004 Dec 21;141(12):920-8.
15. Yosef T, Belachew A, Tefera Y. Magnitude and contributing factors of low back pain among long distance truck drivers at Modjo dry port, Ethiopia: a cross-sectional study. *Journal of environmental and public health*. 2019 Sep 22;2019. <https://doi.org/10.1155/2019/6793090>
16. Gangopadhyay S, Dev S. Effect of low back pain on social and professional life of drivers of Kolkata. *Work*. 2012 Jan 1;41(Supplement 1):2426-33.
17. Piedrahita H. Costs of work-related musculoskeletal disorders (MSDs) in developing countries: Colombia case. *International journal of occupational safety and ergonomics*. 2006 Jan 1;12(4):379-86.
18. Huisstede BM, Bierma-Zeinstra SM, Koes BW, Verhaar JA. Incidence and prevalence of upper-extremity musculoskeletal disorders. A systematic appraisal of the literature. *BMC musculoskeletal disorders*. 2006 Dec;7(1):1-7. <https://doi.org/10.1186/1471-2474-7-1>
19. Mekonnen TH. Work-related factors associated with low back pain among nurse professionals in east and west Wollega zones, Western Ethiopia, 2017: a cross-sectional study. *Pain and therapy*. 2019 Dec;8(2):239-47. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s40122-019-0129-x>
20. Kebede A, Abebe SM, Woldie H, Yenit MK. Low back pain and associated factors among primary school teachers in Mekele City, North Ethiopia: a cross-sectional study. *Occupational therapy international*. 2019 Jul 8;2019. <https://doi.org/10.1155/2019/3862946>
21. Hartvigsen J, Hancock MJ, Kongsted A, Louw Q, Ferreira ML, Genevay S, Hoy D, Karppinen J, Pransky G, Sieper J, Smeets RJ. What low back pain is and why we need to pay attention. *The Lancet*. 2018 Jun 9;391(10137):2356-67. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736\(18\)30480-X](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(18)30480-X)
22. Al-samawi M, Awad HM. PREVELENACE OF LOW BACK PAIN AMONG NURSES WORKING IN ELMAK NIMER UNIVERSITY HOSPITAL – SHENDI-SUDAN 2015. *International Journal of Research-Granthaalayah*. 2015 Sep 30;3(9):108-21.
23. Berberoğlu U, Tokuç B. Work-related musculoskeletal disorders at two textile factories in Edirne, Turkey. *Balkan medical journal*. 2013 Mar;30(1):23. <https://doi.org/10.5152/balkanmedj.2012.069>.
24. Cieza A, Causey K, Kamenov K, Hanson SW, Chatterji S, Vos T. Global estimates of the need for rehabilitation based on the Global Burden of Disease study 2019: a systematic analysis for the Global Burden of Disease Study 2019. *The Lancet*. 2020 Dec 19;396(10267):2006-17. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736\(20\)32340-0](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(20)32340-0)

25. Abraha TH, Demoz AT, Moges HG, Ahmmed AN. Predictors of back disorder among Almeda textile factory workers, North Ethiopia. *BMC research notes*. 2018 Dec;11(1):1-7. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s13104-018-3440-4>.
26. Kebede Deyyas W, Tafese A. Environmental and organizational factors associated with elbow/forearm and hand/wrist disorder among sewing machine operators of garment industry in Ethiopia. *Journal of environmental and public health*. 2014 Sep 15;2014.
27. Wami SD, Dessie A, Chercos DH. The impact of work-related risk factors on the development of neck and upper limb pain among low wage hotel housekeepers in Gondar town, Northwest Ethiopia: institution-based cross-sectional study. *Environmental health and preventive medicine*. 2019 Dec;24(1):1-0.
28. Tamene A, Mulugeta H, Ashenafi T, Thygerson SM. Musculoskeletal Disorders and Associated Factors among Vehicle Repair Workers in Hawassa City, Southern Ethiopia. *Journal of Environmental and Public Health*. 2020 May 7;2020. <https://doi.org/10.1155/2020/9472357>
29. Tafese A, Kebede G, Shibru A, Benti T. Work-Related Low Back Pain among Sewing Machine Operators of Garment Industry: Galan City Oromia Region, Ethiopia. *International Journal of Occupational Hygiene*. 2018;10(1):1-6.
30. Kasaw Kibret A, Fisseha Gebremeskel B, Embaye Gezae K, Solomon Tsegay G. Work-related musculoskeletal disorders and associated factors among bankers in Ethiopia, 2018. *Pain Research and Management*. 2020 Sep 8;2020. <https://doi.org/10.1155/2020/8735169>.
31. Hailu W, Getahun M, Mohammed A, Nurahmed N, Ashuro Z, Kedir S, Nega A, Mamushet Y. Assessment of back pain and disability status among automotive industry workers, in Ethiopia. *International Journal*. 2020 Aug;6(8):301. DOI: <http://dx.doi.org/10.18203/issn.2454-2156.IntJSciRep20203113>
32. Wanamo ME, Abaya SW, Aschalew AB. Prevalence and risk factors for low back pain (LBP) among taxi drivers in Addis Ababa, Ethiopia: a community based cross-sectional study. *Ethiopian Journal of Health Development*. 2017;31(4):244-50.
33. Fanta M, Alagaw A, Kejela G, Tunje A. Low back pain and associated factors among civil service sectors office workers in Southern Ethiopia. *International Journal of Occupational Safety and Health*. 2020 Jul 5;10(1):53-63.
34. Henok A, Bekele T. Prevalence of musculoskeletal pain and factors associated with kyphosis among pedestrian back-loading women in selected towns of Bench Maji zone, Southwest Ethiopia. *Ethiopian Journal of Health Development*. 2017;31(2):103-9.
35. Mekonnen TH, Abere G, Olkeba SW. Risk factors associated with upper extremity musculoskeletal disorders among barbers in Gondar town, Northwest Ethiopia, 2018: a Cross-sectional study. *Pain Research and Management*. 2019 Apr 3;2019. <https://doi.org/10.1155/2019/6984719>
36. Olana AT. Occupational Risk Factors of Low Back Pain among Ammunition Engineering Industry in West Shoa Zone, Ethiopia, 2017. *Journal of Medicine Physiology Biophysics*. 2018;45:31-6.
37. Abebaw TA, Weldegebriel MK, Gebremichael B, Abaerei AA. Prevalence and Associated Factors of Low Back Pain Among Teachers Working at Governmental Primary Schools in Addis Ababa, Ethiopia: A Cross Sectional Study. *Biomedical Journal*. 2018;1(6).
38. Lette A, Hussien A, Kumbi M, Nuriye S, Lamore Y. Musculoskeletal Pain and Associated Factors among Building Construction Workers in Southeastern Ethiopia. *Ergonomics Int J*. 2019;3(5):000214.
39. Mekonnen TH. The magnitude and factors associated with work-related back and lower extremity musculoskeletal disorders among barbers in Gondar town, northwest Ethiopia, 2017: A cross-sectional study. *Plos one*. 2019 Jul 22;14(7):e0220035. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal>
40. Mekonnen TH, Kekeba GG, Azanaw J, Kabito GG. Prevalence and healthcare seeking practice of work-related musculoskeletal disorders among informal sectors of hairdressers in Ethiopia, 2019: findings from a cross-sectional study. *BMC Public Health*. 2020 Dec;20:1-0.
41. Melese H, Gebreyesus T, Alamer A, Berhe A. Prevalence and associated factors of musculoskeletal disorders among cleaners working at Mekelle University, Ethiopia. *Journal of Pain Research*. 2020;13:2239.
42. Dagne D, Abebe SM, Getachew A. Work-related musculoskeletal disorders and associated factors among bank workers in Addis Ababa, Ethiopia: a cross-sectional study. *Environmental health and preventive medicine*. 2020 Dec;25(1):1-8. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12199-020-00866-5>.
43. Tolera ST, Kabeto SK. Occupational-Related Musculoskeletal Disorders and Associated Factors among Beauty Salon Workers, Adama Town, South-Eastern Ethiopia, 2018. *J Ergonomics*. 2020;9:257.
44. Regassa TM, Lema TB, Garmomsa GN. Work related musculoskeletal disorders and associated factors among nurses working in Jimma Zone Public Hospitals, South West Ethiopia. *Occupational Medicine and Health Affairs*. 2018;6(279):2.

45. PRISMA-P Group, D. Moher, L. Shamseer et al., "Preferred reporting items for systematic review and meta-analysis protocols (PRISMA-P) 2015 statement," *Systematic Reviews*, vol. 4, no. 1, 2015.
46. The Joanna Briggs Institute, Critical appraisal tools for use in the JBI systematic reviews checklist for prevalence studies: The University of Adelaide. Available from: https://joannabriggs.org/sites/default/files/2019-05/JBI_Critical_AppraisalChecklist_for_Prevalence_Studies2017_0.pdf.
47. Higgins JP, Thompson SG. Quantifying heterogeneity in a meta-analysis. *Statistics in medicine*. 2002 Jun 15;21(11):1539-58.
48. Stroup DF, Berlin JA, Morton SC, Olkin I, Williamson GD, Rennie D, Moher D, Becker BJ, Sipe TA, Thacker SB. Meta-analysis of observational studies in epidemiology: a proposal for reporting. *Jama*. 2000 Apr 19;283(15):2008-12.
49. Ades AE, Lu G, Higgins JP. The interpretation of random-effects meta-analysis in decision models. *Medical Decision Making*. 2005 Nov;25(6):646-54.
50. Stewart WF, Ricci JA, Chee E, Morganstein D, Lipton R. Lost productive time and cost due to common pain conditions in the US workforce. *Jama*. 2003 Nov 12;290(18):2443-54.
51. Al Amer HS. Low back pain prevalence and risk factors among health workers in Saudi Arabia: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Journal of occupational health*. 2020;62(1):e12155. <https://doi.org/10.1002/1348-9585.12155>
52. Aldera MA, Alexander CM, McGregor AH. Prevalence and incidence of low back pain in the kingdom of saudi arabia: a systematic review. *Journal of Epidemiology and Global Health*. 2020 Dec;10(4):269. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.2991/jegh.k.200417.001>
53. Morris LD, Daniels KJ, Ganguli B, Louw QA. An update on the prevalence of low back pain in Africa: a systematic review and meta-analyses. *BMC musculoskeletal disorders*. 2018 Dec;19(1):1-5. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12891-018-2075-x>
54. Azizpour Y, Delpisheh A, Montazeri Z, Sayehmiri K. Prevalence of low back pain in Iranian nurses: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *BMC nursing*. 2017 Dec;16(1):1-0.
55. Shiri R, Coggon D, Falah-Hassani K. Exercise for the prevention of low back pain: systematic review and meta-analysis of controlled trials. *American journal of epidemiology*. 2018 May 1;187(5):1093-101.
56. Choi BK, Verbeek JH, Wai-San Tam W, Jiang JY. Exercises for prevention of recurrences of low-back pain. *Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews*. 2010(1).
57. Steffens D, Maher CG, Pereira LS, Stevens ML, Oliveira VC, Chapple M, Teixeira-Salmela LF, Hancock MJ. Prevention of low back pain: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *JAMA internal medicine*. 2016 Feb 1;176(2):199-208.

Tables

	Publication year	Study year	Sample size	Study design	Low back pain (%)	Upper back pain (%)	Population	Study participants (gender)		Data collection tool	Region	Reference
								Male	Female			
	2020	2019	344	Cross-sectional	62.8	10.4	Vehicle Repair Workers	340	4	NMQ	SNNP	(28)
t	2018	2015	422	Cross-sectional	64.9	NA	Industry workers	52	370	NMQ	Oromia	(29)
t	2020	2018	307	Cross-sectional	40.4	33.6	Bank workers	198	109	NMQ	Tigray	(30)
l	2020	2018	412	Cross-sectional	35.9	15.8	Industry workers	257	155	NMQ and Oswestry back pain disability index	Oromia	(31)
	2017	2015	422	Cross-sectional	64.2	NA	Industry workers	Not specified		NMQ	Addis Ababa	(32)
t	2020	2017	625	Cross-sectional	38.4	NA	Civil service workers	408	217	NMQ	SNNP	(33)
	2017	2016	422	Cross-sectional	67.3	60.4	Pedestrian back-loading women		422	NMQ	SNNP	(34)
t	2019	2015	611	Cross-sectional	74.8	NA	Teachers	280	331	NMQ	Tigray	(20)
t	2019	2018	400	Cross-sectional	65.0	NA	Truck Drivers	400		NMQ	Oromia	(15)
a	2019	2018	417	Cross-sectional	NA	38.8	Barbers	362	55	NMQ	Amhara	(35)
	2018	2017	660	Cross-sectional	58.2	NA	Industry workers	449	211	NMQ	Oromia	(36)
	2018	2016	771	Cross-sectional	44.0	NA	Teachers	393	378	NMQ	Addis Ababa	(37)
t	2019	2017	410	Cross-sectional	25.5	15.7	Construction workers	Not specified	...	Derived from published literatures	Oromia	(38)
a	2019	2017	429	Cross-sectional	55.7	NA	Barbers	373	56	NMQ	Amhara	(39)
a	2019	2017	418	Cross-sectional	63.6	NA	Nurses	185	233	NMQ	Oromia	(19)
a	2020	2019	652	Cross-sectional	53.2	50.4	Hairdressers	358	294	NMQ	Oromia	(40)
t	2020	2019	264	Cross-sectional	34.8	17.0	Cleaners		264	NMQ	Tigray	(41)
t	2020	2016-2017	755	Cross-sectional	54.3	35.4	Bank workers	372	383	NMQ	Addis Ababa	(42)
	2020	2018	368	Cross-sectional	55.7	NA	Beauty Salon Workers	320	48	NMQ	Oromia	(43)
	2018	2015	301	Cross-sectional	67.8	15.3	Nurses	159	142	DMQ	Oromia	(44)

Key: NMQ: Nordic Musculoskeletal Questionnaire; DMQ: Dutch musculoskeletal questionnaire

Table 2: The pooled prevalence of upper and lower back pain after sensitivity analysis

ain	Variable	Prevalence (%)	95%CI	I ²	P value
ow back pain	After excluding lower outcome	55.7	50.2, 61.1%	96.048	<0.001
	After excluding small sample size and small prevalence	56.9	51.5, 62.2%	95.867	0.012
pper back pain	By removing lower outcome	28.1	18.9, 39.7%	98.104	<0.001
	Removing small sample size and small prevalence	29.4	20.3, 40.5%	97.897	<0.001

Figures

Figure 1

Study selection process of included articles for a systematic review and meta-analysis, 2020.

Figure 2

Forest plot shows the pooled prevalence of occupational-related upper back pain in the previous year in Ethiopia, 2020.

Figure 3

Forest plot shows the pooled prevalence of occupational-related low back pain in the previous year in Ethiopia, 2020.

Figure 4

Forest plot shows the subgroup analysis of pooled prevalence of occupational related upper and low back pain in the previous year based on the outcome, 2020.

Figure 5

The funnel plot of the prevalence of occupational related low back pain, showings level of publication bias.

Figure 6

The funnel plot of the prevalence of occupational related upper back pain, showings level of publication bias.

Supplementary Files

This is a list of supplementary files associated with this preprint. Click to download.

- [SupplementaryFile.docx](#)