

# Spatial Ecology of Crested Porcupine in a Metropolitan Landscape

**Emiliano Mori**

Institute of Research on Terrestrial Ecosystems (IRET)

**Riccardo Molteni**

Università degli Studi di Milano

**Leonardo Ancillotto** (✉ [leonardo.ancillotto@unina.it](mailto:leonardo.ancillotto@unina.it))

Università degli Studi di Napoli Federico II <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-8774-0671>

**Gentile Francesco Ficetola**

Università degli Studi di Milano

**Mattia Falaschi**

Università degli Studi di Milano

---

## Research Article

**Keywords:** Cultivations, *Hystrix cristata*, occupancy models, scrublands, urban areas.

**Posted Date:** November 8th, 2021

**DOI:** <https://doi.org/10.21203/rs.3.rs-261696/v1>

**License:** © ⓘ This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License. [Read Full License](#)

---

## Abstract

Human settlements, including cities, may provide wildlife with new ecological niches, in terms of habitat types and food availability, thus requiring plasticity for adaptation. The crested porcupine *Hystrix cristata* is a habitat-generalist, large-sized rodent, also recorded in some suburban areas, but no information is available on its habitat use in metropolitan landscapes. Thus, we studied land-use factors influencing the presence of crested porcupines in a metropolitan area of Central Italy. We collected data on the occurrence of crested porcupines from the metropolitan area of Rome following an observer-oriented approach to record occurrences and estimate pseudo-absences. We then related the presence/absence of *H. cristata* to the landscape composition. Occupancy models showed that cultivations and scrubland were positively correlated with porcupine presence, most likely as providing this species with clumped food resources and shelter sites, respectively. Although the crested porcupine has been confirmed as a “generalist” species in terms of habitat selection, a strong preference for areas limiting the risk of being killed and providing enough food and shelter was observed. We therefore suggest that the crested porcupine may adapt to deeply modified landscapes such as large cities by selecting specific favourable land-use types.

## Introduction

Urbanisation is one of the main land-use modifications occurring at large scales globally, as human settlements are encroaching into rural areas and natural habitats (Ditchkoff et al. 2006; McKinney 2006). Behavioural flexibility and generalist ecological niche has helped mammal species to thrive and form self-sustaining populations in urban areas, with a process called synurbisation (Santini et al. 2019). Besides species that only occasionally cross cities (“urban-visitors” and “urban-explorers”), several taxa, usually defined as “urban-dwellers” or “urban-adapters”, thrive and successfully exploit urban environments (Baker et al. 2003; Grimm et al. 2008; Bateman and Fleming 2012; Balestrieri et al. 2016; Uchida et al. 2021). Synurbisation may pose a challenge to wildlife managers, as there is a strong need to find a trade-off between limiting human-wildlife conflicts and movements of animal-right groups (Don Carlos et al. 2009; La Morgia et al. 2017; Honda et al. 2018). Moreover, synurbic species may be relatively rare or legally protected taxa, i.e. representing a further management issue. Thus, analysing the spatial ecology of species of conservation concern and species generating human-wildlife conflict in urban ecosystems is crucial for their long-term management (Gehrt et al. 2009; Ancillotto et al. 2016; Cronk and Pillay 2021). A pool of native and introduced species has benefited by the expansion of human settlements, which may provide increased food availability and shelter sites, as well as decreased predation risk (Sever and Mendelssohn 1989; Contesse et al. 2004; Marks and Bloomfield 2006). Wild mammals living in or around urban areas may exhibit different traits as their rural counterparts because of a different predation pressure or adaptation to human-induced stresses (Ditchkoff et al. 2006; Santini et al. 2019). As to mammals, about 3.5% known species worldwide is regularly recorded in urban areas, with a peak of species in Southern and Central Europe, i.e. areas with a long history of deep landscape modifications. Carnivores and rodents are the most represented mammalian orders in urban environments (Santini et al. 2019); amongst the latter, the crested porcupine *Hystrix cristata* is one of the largest rodents inhabiting urban areas, and has been recorded in at least 10 cities within its range of introduction (Grano 2016; Lovari et al. 2017; Santini et al. 2019; Manenti et al. 2020). This species is generally described as a “habitat generalist”, i.e. it can exploit a wide range of habitat types from woodland to farmlands, despite being linked to covered habitats (e.g. woodland and scrubland) for denning (Mohr 1965; Sonnino 1998; Monetti et al. 2005; Mori et al. 2014a; Lovari et al. 2017). Porcupines are widely poached in Central and Southern Italy, mainly because they are considered as crop raiders, even though it has been shown that most damage only occurs in small unprotected vegetable gardens (Ghigi 1917; Laurenzi et al. 2016; Lovari et al. 2017). In suburban areas, where poaching pressure might be the highest (Lovari et al. 2017), the crested porcupine mostly select thorny thickets for denning and feed mostly on fruits (Lovari et al. 2017). In the suburbs, farmlands and fallows are avoided by porcupines, whereas no data are available on the ecology of this large rodent in metropolitan areas. The aim of this study is assessing the spatial ecology of the crested porcupine population in Rome (Italy), currently the only European metropolitan area hosting a self-sustaining population of crested porcupine (Grano 2016; Santini et al. 2019). Specifically, we ran occupancy models to evaluate how landscape composition affects the presence of the crested porcupine within the urban area, using occurrence data collected over a 16 years time window. We predicted that porcupines would prefer areas providing the best trade-off between the availability of food resources (e.g. in cultivated areas) and avoidance of predation risk (e.g. covered areas).

## Materials And Methods

### Study area

The study area is located within the Rome beltway (Grande Raccordo Anulare ring highway, hereafter GRA), i.e. within the closed ring highway that embraces the metropolitan area of Rome without discontinuity (average diameter: 21 km; total length: 68 km). GRA thus entails an area of 46,000 ha within the municipal territory of Rome. Rome represents the Italian metropolis with the highest density of green public areas (urban parks and cemeteries), i.e. the 5.1% of the study area. Rome is also the third Italian municipality for the surface of agricultural land (after Florence and Bari), with cultivations (orchards, vineyards, olive groves, arable lands, and horticultural crops) covering the 29.9% of the study site ([www.istat.it](http://www.istat.it), accessed on 01.02.2021). Woodlands and scrublands cover respectively 3.8% and 2.5% of the study area. The remaining part of the study area is covered with human settlements (58.4%) and archaeological areas (0.3%) (Fig. 1).

Rome is a rich city in animal biodiversity (e.g. Zapparoli 1997; Capotorti et al. 2019), hosting at least 39 mammal species, including medium to large-sized ones such as the wild boar *Sus scrofa*, the red fox *Vulpes vulpes*, the stone marten *Martes foina* and the crested porcupine (Amori et al. 2009;

Todini and Crosti 2020). The latter is known to occur in the study area at least since the early XX century (Lepri 1911; Miller 1912), and was confirmed continuously into more recent times (Gippoliti and Amori 2006; Grano 2016), i.e. suggesting that the urban population is relatively stable.

## Data collection and validation

Occurrence data of the crested porcupine used in this study were collected between April 2005 and December 2020 and uploaded on the iNaturalist citizen science platform by one of the authors (LA). Data were validated through an expert-based process, as described in the regulation of this platform. Most observations were represented by quills, dead animals, or footprints. Also, faecal pellets of the crested porcupine are easily identifiable, showing an unmistakable oblong and curved-olive shape (Mori et al. 2020). All data were georeferenced and entered into a database.

## Occupancy models

The study area was divided into 460 cells of 1 km<sup>2</sup> each using QGIS (ver. 3.16.1: QGIS Development Team 2019). The average home range size of the crested porcupine in Mediterranean environments is ~ 0.5 km<sup>2</sup> (Lovari et al. 2013; Mori et al. 2014a); thus the cell size we adopted limits the risk of pseudoreplication (i.e. the same individual detected in multiple cells).

Statistical analyses were performed in the software R 3.5.1 (R Core Team 2013). We used the unmarked and MuMIN packages respectively to conduct occupancy models and to select the best models (Fiske and Chandler 2011; Bartòn 2018). Animal species are rarely observed with perfect accuracy, particularly when nocturnal and elusive as the crested porcupine (Corsini et al. 1995; Lovari et al. 2017). Occupancy models allow to estimate species distribution, and to evaluate relationships between occupancy and environmental features, taking into account possibility that the target species remained undetected during sampling (MacKenzie et al. 2003), and are thus particularly important for the analysis of data collected through citizen science campaigns (Altwegg and Nichols 2019; Marta et al. 2019). Occupancy models allow estimating the detection probability of species based on a series of detection / non-detection data at fixed sites (Kéry et al. 2013). This approach thus requires information on non-detections, i.e. on surveys during which the target species was not detected. These data are not easy to obtain from citizen science datasets (MacKenzie et al. 2003; Altwegg and Nichols 2019). In our study pseudo-absences were estimated through an “observer-oriented” approach (Milanesi et al. 2020), i.e. by considering records of species other than the target one. Specifically, we assumed that a cell was surveyed in a specific date if the database included at least one record of a species, recorded by an observer that has detected target species (Milanesi et al. 2020) as a valuable method to inform species distribution models (Milanesi et al. 2020). Multiple records collected having the same date and the same cell were considered to be one single observation. We used a static (single-season) occupancy model as most of the sites were monitored in one single sampling year. For cells monitored during more than one year, the year with the largest number of records of any species was selected for analyses.

We considered the percentage of cover of seven habitat typologies describing land use cover as covariates of occupancy: human settlements, scrubland, archaeological areas, woodland, urban green areas, cultivations and fallows. These habitat typologies were obtained by reclassifying the land use map of the Latium Region of the year 2016 (original land-use map available at <https://dati.lazio.it/>). For each study site (1 km cell), we extracted the percentage cover of each habitat typology. We related detection probability to the date of the survey (day of the year), also considering a quadratic term. Pearson's correlation coefficient was used to test for independence between pairs of covariates: pairs of covariates with  $r > |0.70|$  were considered as strongly correlated; thus, only one covariate (i.e. the most important one for the porcupine: Mori et al. 2014a) of the pair was included in the model. The cover of human settlements was excluded from the models as being significantly and negatively correlated with cultivations ( $r = -0.80$ ), which is an important habitat type for the crested porcupine (Mori et al. 2014a). We ran a total of 256 competing models, considering each possible combination of independent variables on detection and occupancy. Models were ranked through the Akaike's Information Criterion corrected for small samples (AICc): the best model was the one with the lowest AICc (Burnham and Anderson 2004). Models with an AICc difference  $< 2$  were considered equally supported. We estimated the significance of the variables included in the best model through a likelihood ratio test, considering as significant variables when  $p < 0.05$ .

## Results

Between April 2005 and December 2020, our survey provided records from 129 cells. The presence of the crested porcupine was recorded in 65 out of 129 sampled cells (Fig. 1). The best-occupancy model suggested that the occupancy of the crested porcupine was positively and significantly related to the cover of scrubland and cultivations (Tables 1 and 2). The second best included the cover of cultivations only and had a difference of AICc of 2.04 (Table 1), hence it was not considered. None of the other covariates was included in a model with high support, on the basis of AICc values. The detection probability of the porcupine was unrelated to the date of survey. The average detection probability per survey was 0.89 (95% confidence interval: 0.74–0.96).

Table 1

Occupancy models of the crested porcupine in Rome ranked according to the AICc value; df = degrees of freedom; AICc = Akaike Information Criterion corrected; "-" indicates that the variable was not included in the model.

Detection covariates			Occupancy covariates							df	AICc	Weight
Inercept	Day	Day <sup>2</sup>	Intercept	Scrublands	Archaeological areas	Woodlands	Urban green areas	Cultivations	Fallows			
2.05	-	-	-0.68	8.23	-	-	-	3.94	-	4	187.02	0.73
2.10	-	-	-0.56	-	-	-	-	4.24	-	3	189.06	0.26
1.95	-	-	0.27	11.23	-	-	-3.32	-	-	4	199.04	0.002
1.91	-	-	-0.22	12.78	-	2.93	-	-	-	4	201.08	< 0 .001
2.06	-	-	0.56	-	-17.44	-	-3.99	-	-	4	201.35	< 0 .001
2.07	-	-	0.51	-	-	-	-4.16	-	-	3	201.69	< 0 .001
1.91	-	-	0.01	13.94	-13.67	-	-	-	-	4	201.82	< 0 .001
1.91	-	-	-0.06	14.67	-	-	-	-	-	3	202.03	< 0 .001
2.04	-	-	0.03	-	-13.97	2.98	-	-	-	4	205.48	< 0 .001
2.05	-	-	-0.04	-	-	3.22	-	-	-	3	205.82	< 0 .001
2.06	-	-	0.23	-	-16.43	-	-	-	-	3	206.94	< 0 .001
2.07	-	-	0.17	-	-	-	-	-	-	2	207.91	< 0 .001

Table 2

Estimates of the coefficient of variables included in the best occupancy model for the crested porcupine.

	Estimate	Standard Error	z	P
<b>Scrublands</b>	8.23	5.60	1.47	0.0411
<b>Cultivations</b>	3.94	1.17	3.38	0.0003

## Discussion

In our work, we showed that the probability of occurrence of the crested porcupine in an urban ecosystem was positively correlated to increasing coverage of cultivations and scrublands. The crested porcupine is a monogamous species who pair for life and show a sedentary behaviour after dispersal and settlement in a territory (Mori et al. 2016); therefore, the movements of adults are mostly determined by food search and not by mate search (Lovari et al. 2013; Mazzamuto et al. 2019). This may provide support to the importance of cultivations within urban environments, which provide porcupines with clumped and abundant food resources (Lovari et al. 2017), even within human settlements. Scrublands are mostly selected as den sites, particularly where human pressure (i.e. poaching risk) is highest, which may support the use of this habitat types in dense human settlements (Tinelli and Tinelli 1980; Monetti et al. 2005; Lovari et al. 2017).

Accordingly, within the Rome urban area, the few dens of crested porcupines whose location is known, occur in one archaeological area ("Catacombe di Priscilla", n = 1; Grano 2016), as well as in a semi-natural scrubland area within a protected natural reserve (n = 2), and in a densely vegetated area of a large recreational park (n = 1; Ancillotto L. pers. obs.).

In natural habitats, the crested porcupine mainly feeds on underground storage organs of plants (e.g. bulbs, tubers and rhizomes), but can also eat fruits and vegetables (Bruno and Riccardi 1995; Mori et al. 2020). Crested porcupines may exploit nearby cultivated areas which provide easy access to food resources (e.g. figs and pumpkins) and do not require time-consuming active excavation which may limit vigilance (Lovari et al. 2017). Most likely, the positive correlation between cultivations and occupancy in the urban environment could also be explained by limited food resources in some areas of the city (e.g. recreational parks characterised by deeply modified floras), thus leading porcupine individuals to expose themselves to

open and, therefore, risky habitats. Accordingly, few data occurred in recreational areas, possibly underused to limit encounters with humans and potential natural predators, e.g. red foxes and domestic dogs, which are often abundant in urban parks in Rome (Amori et al. 2009).

Local high densities of wild boar (Todini and Crosti 2020) may also limit the occupancy by the crested porcupines in some areas (Mazzamuto et al. 2019). Furthermore, artificial lights at night may limit the use of these areas by crested porcupines, which are known to avoid bright areas and bright moonlight nights (Mori et al. 2014b). In fact, it is much more likely that these areas, as well as archaeological sites, wetlands, and human settlements, are avoided as not providing sufficient food resources. Therefore, all these habitats are avoided by porcupines also in natural contexts, yet some individuals, especially sub-adults, may visit them occasionally and create temporary or seasonal burrows (Pigozzi and Patterson 1990; Börger 2002; Mori and Assandri 2019). Noise pollution and vehicular traffic have also been reported to alter the spatial behaviour of the porcupine (Mori et al. 2013; Mori 2017), and long-distance roads are known to hinder wildlife movements (Forman and Alexander 1998; Seidler et al. 2015). Accordingly, records of crested porcupines in Italian urban areas increase when vehicular traffic is the lowest (e.g. during the lockdown following the SARS-CoV 2 pandemic outbreak: Manenti et al. 2020). In this context, the ring highway in Rome may represent a barrier to the transit of porcupines, as green corridors between green areas inside and outside the city centre are few.

Crop damages by crested porcupines may occur in areas covered by cultivations, including vegetable gardens, potentially triggering conflict with humans (Sforzi et al. 1999; Laurenzi et al., 2016). No conflict between humans and porcupines has been reported in Rome to date though; strictly nocturnal habits may have in fact promoted coexistence between porcupines and humans in urban and suburban areas (Lovari et al. 2017), as evidenced in the closely related Indian crested porcupine *Hystrix indica* in Israel (Sever and Mendelssohn 1989). However, the intense illumination of the highway between Haifa and Tel-Aviv (National Road 2, Israel) did not prevent Indian porcupines from foraging in the nearby of the roadside, contrary to what expected from a nocturnal species that avoids brightest nights (Sever and Mendelssohn 1989).

Our results highlight that a large rodent such as *H. cristata* may thrive in a urban area by occupying spots of suitable habitats, namely represented by patches of natural or agricultural areas, eventually persisting in one of the largest metropolitan areas in southern Europe. The behavioural and physiological mechanisms that allow such persistence without eliciting conflicts, as well as whether urban populations exhibit gene flow with nearby non-urban ones, is still to be cleared. Thus, the urban population of *H. cristata* in Rome provides a suitable study system to further shed light on mechanisms and consequences of synurbization in mammals.

## Declarations

**Acknowledgements** Authors would like to thank Flavio Rocchi and Mattia Menchetti who provided some information on the presence of the crested porcupine in Rome.

**Author's contributions** EM and LA conceived the research, LA collected data on the field, MF, RM and GFF ran the analyses; EM wrote the first draft of the manuscript. All authors contributed to and approved the final draft.

**Funding** The study was supported by no external fund.

**Data availability** All data are available on iNaturalist platform ([www.inaturalist.org](http://www.inaturalist.org)).

**Ethics approval and consent to participate** This study did not involve human subjects or animal manipulation or maintenance in captivity or laboratory.

**Consent for publication** All authors agree and consent with the publication of the study.

**Competing interests** Authors declare that they have no competing interest.

## References

1. Altwegg R, Nichols JD (2019) Occupancy models for citizen-science data. *Methods Ecol Evol* 10: 8-21.
2. Amori G, Battisti C, De Felici S (2009) I Mammiferi della provincia di Roma – dallo stato delle conoscenze alla gestione e conservazione delle specie. Provincia di Roma – Assessorato alle Politiche dell'Agricoltura, Stilgrafica Editions, Rome, Italy.
3. Ancillotto L, Santini L, Ranc N, Maiorano L, Russo D (2016) Extraordinary range expansion in a common bat: the potential roles of climate change and urbanization. *Sci Nat* 103: 15.
4. Baker PJ, Ansell RJ, Dodds PAA, Webber CE, Harris S (2003) Factors affecting the distribution of small mammals in an urban area. *Mammal Rev* 33: 95-100.
5. Balestrieri A, Bogliani G, Boano G, Ruiz-González A, Saino N, Costantini S, Milanese P (2016) Modelling the distribution of forest-dependent species in human-dominated landscapes: patterns for the pine marten in intensively cultivated lowlands. *PLoS ONE* 11: e0158203.
6. Bartoń K (2018) MuMIn: Multi-Model Inference. R Package version 1.42.1. <http://r-forge.r-project.org/projects/mumin/>. Accessed on 31.01.2021.
7. Bateman PW, Fleming PA (2012) Big city life: carnivores in urban environments. *J Zool* 287: 1-23.

8. Börger L (2002) Uso dello spazio nell'istrice (*Hystrix cristata* L., 1758) in un'area costiera Mediterraneo. MSc Dissertation in Scienze Biologiche, Università degli Studi di Pisa, Pisa, Italy-
9. Bruno E, Riccardi C (1995) The diet of the crested porcupine *Hystrix cristata* L., 1758 in a Mediterranean area. *Zeit SäugetierK* 60: 226-236.
10. Burnham KP, Anderson DR (2004) Multimodel inference: understanding AIC and BIC in model selection. *Sociol Meth Res* 33: 261-304.
11. Capotorti G, Ortí MMA, Copiz R, Fusaro L, Mollo B, Salvatori E, Zattero L (2019) Biodiversity and ecosystem services in urban green infrastructure planning: A case study from the metropolitan area of Rome (Italy). *Urban For Urban Greening* 37: 87-96.
12. Contesse P, Hegglin D, Gloor S, Bontadina F, Deplazes P (2004) The diet of urban foxes (*Vulpes vulpes*) and the availability of anthropogenic food in the city of Zurich, Switzerland. *Mammal Biol* 69: 81-95.
13. Corsini MT, Lovari S, Sonnino S (1995) Temporal activity patterns of crested porcupines *Hystrix cristata*. *J. Zool (Lond)* 236: 43–54.
14. Cronk NE, Pillay N (2021) Home range and use of residential gardens by yellow mongoose *Cynictis penicillata* in an urban environment. *Urban Ecosyst* 24: 127-139.
15. Ditchkoff SS, Saalfeld ST, Gibson CJ (2006) Animal behavior in urban ecosystems: modifications due to human-induced stress. *Urban Ecosyst* 9: 5-12.
16. Don Carlos AW, Bright AD, Teel TL, Vaske JJ (2009) Human–black bear conflict in urban areas: an integrated approach to management response. *Human Dim Wildl* 14: 174-184.
17. Fiske IJ, Chandler RB (2011) Unmarked: an R package for fitting hierarchical models of wildlife occurrence and abundance. *J Stat Soft* 43:1-23.
18. Forman RTT, Alexander LE (1998) Roads and their major ecological effects. *Annu Rev Ecol Syst* 29: 207-231.
19. Gehrt SD, Anchor C, White LA (2009) Home range and landscape use of coyotes in a metropolitan landscape: conflict or coexistence? *J Mammal* 90: 1045-1057.
20. Ghigi A (1917) I mammiferi d'Italia considerati nei loro rapporto con l'agricoltura. *Natura*, Milano 8: 85-137.
21. Gippoliti S, Amori G (2006) Historical data on non-volant mammals in Rome: What do they say about urban environment. *Aldrovandia* 2: 69-72.
22. Grano M (2016) An unusual urban refuge for the crested porcupine, *Hystrix cristata*, (Linnaeus, 1758) (Mammalia Rodentia): the ancient Catacombs of Priscilla in Rome (Italy). *Biodiv J* 7: 345-346.
23. Grimm NB, Faeth DH, Golubiewski NE, Redman CL, Wu J, Bai X, Briggs JM (2008) Global change and the ecology of cities. *Science* 319: 756-760.
24. Honda T, Iijima H, Tsuboi J, Uchida K (2018) A review of urban wildlife management from the animal personality perspective: the case of urban deer. *Sci Tot Environm* 644: 576-582.
25. Kéry M, Guillera-Aroita G, Lahoz-Monfort JJ (2013) Analysing and mapping species range dynamics using occupancy models. *J Biogeogr* 40:1463–1474.
26. La Morgia V, Paoloni D, Genovesi P (2017) Eradicating the grey squirrel *Sciurus carolinensis* from urban areas: an innovative decision-making approach based on lessons learnt in Italy. *Pest Manage Sci* 73: 354-363.
27. Laurenzi A, Bodino N, Mori E (2016) Much ado about nothing: assessing the impact of a problematic rodent on agriculture and native trees. *Mammal Res* 61: 65-72.
28. Lepri G (1911) Aggiunte alle ricerche faunistiche e sistematiche sui Mammiferi d'Italia che formano oggetto di caccia. *Boll Soc Zool Ital* 12:241-250.
29. Lovari S, Sforzi A, Mori E (2013) Habitat richness affects home range size in a monogamous large rodent. *Behav Processes* 99: 42-46.
30. Lovari S, Corsini MT, Guazzini B, Romeo G, Mori E (2017) Suburban ecology of the crested porcupine in a heavily poached area: a global approach. *Eur J Wildl Res* 63: 10.
31. MacKenzie DI, Nichols JD, Hines JE, Knutson MG, Franklin AB (2003) Estimating site occupancy, colonization and local extinction when a species is detected imperfectly. *Ecol* 84: 2200-2207.
32. Marks CA, Bloomfield TE (2006) Home-range size and selection of natal den and diurnal shelter sites by urban red foxes (*Vulpes vulpes*) in Melbourne. *Wildl Res* 33: 339-347.
33. Manenti R, Mori E, Di Canio V, Mercurio S, Picone M, Caffi M, Brambilla M, Ficetola GF, Rubolini D (2020) The good, the bad and the ugly of COVID-19 lockdown effects on wildlife conservation: insights from the first European locked down country. *Biol Conserv* 249: 108728.
34. Marta S, Lacasella F, Romano A, Ficetola GF (2019) Cost-effective spatial sampling designs for field surveys of species distribution. *Biodiv Conserv* 28: 2891-2908.
35. Mazzamuto MV, Lo Valvo M, Anile S (2019) The value of by-catch data: how species-specific surveys can serve non-target species. *Eur J Wildl Res* 65: 1-9.
36. McKinney ML (2006) Urbanization as a major cause of biotic homogenization. *Biol Cons* 127: 247-260.
37. Milanese P, Mori E, Menchetti M (2020) Observer-oriented approach improves species distribution models from citizen science data. *Ecol Evol* 10: 12104-12114.
38. Miller GS (1912) Catalogue of the Mammals of Western Europe (Europe exclusive of Russia) in the collection of the British Museum. *British Museum*, London, 1019 pp.

39. Mohr E (1965) *Altweltliche Stachelschweine*. Ziemsen Verlag Publisher (Eds.), Wittenburg Lutherstadt, Germany.
40. Monetti L, Massolo A, Sforzi A, Lovari S (2005) Site selection and fidelity by crested porcupines for denning. *Ethol Ecol Evol* 17: 149-159.
41. Mori E, Assandri G (2019) Coming back home: recolonisation of abandoned dens by crested porcupine *Hystrix cristata* and European badgers *Meles meles* after wood-cutting and riparian vegetation mowing events. *Hystrix* 30: 39-43.
42. Mori E, Corsini B, Mazza G, Menchetti M (2013) From the shores to the cities: road mortality of the crested porcupine in Southern Tuscany. In: Bertolino S, Capizzi D, Mori E, Colangelo P, Scaravelli D (2013). *Secondo convegno Italiano sui Piccoli Mammiferi – Libro dei Riassunti*: 41.
43. Mori E (2017) Porcupines in the landscape of fear: effect of hunting with dogs on the behaviour of a non-target species. *Mammal Res* 62: 251-258
44. Mori E, Lovari S, Sforzi A, Romeo G, Pisani C, Massolo A, Fattorini L (2014a) Patterns of spatial overlap in a monogamous large rodent, the crested porcupine. *Behav Proc* 107: 112-118.
45. Mori E, Nourisson DH, Lovari S, Romeo G, Sforzi A (2014b) Self-defence may not be enough: moonlight avoidance in a large, spiny rodent. *J Zool (Lond)* 294: 31-40.
46. Mori E, Menchetti M, Lucherini M, Sforzi A, Lovari S (2016) Timing of reproduction and paternal cares in the crested porcupine. *Mammal Biol* 81: 345-349.
47. Mori E, Di Gregorio M, Mazza G, Ficetola GF (2020) Seasonal consumption of insects by the crested porcupine in Central Italy. *Mammalia*, <https://doi.org/10.1515/mammalia-2020-0131>
48. Pigozzi G, Patterson IJ (1990) Movements and diet of crested porcupines in the Maremma National Park, central Italy. *Acta Theriol* 35: 173–180.
49. QGIS Development Team (2019) QGIS Geographic Information System. Open Source Geospatial Foundation Project. <http://qgis.osgeo.org>. Accessed on 01.02.2021.
50. R Core Team (2013) R: A language and environment for statistical computing. Vienna, Austria: R Foundation for Statistical Computing ISBN 3–900051–07-0. <http://www.Rproject.org/> Accessed on 28.01.2021.
51. Santini L, González-Suárez M, Russo D, Gonzalez-Voyer A, von Hardenberg A, Ancillotto L (2019) One strategy does not fit at all: determinants of urban adaptations in mammals. *Ecol Lett* 22: 365-376.
52. Seidler RG, Long RA, Berger J (2015) Identifying impediments to long-distance mammal migrations. *Conserv Biol* 29: 99-109.
53. Sever Z, Mendelssohn H (1989) Porcupines on the edge of town. *Israel Land Nat* 13: 112-115.
54. Sforzi A, Massolo A, Bozzi R, Lovari S (1999) *L'istrice in provincia di Grosseto: aspetti biologici e gestionali*. Technical Report to the Provincial Council of Grosseto, Grosseto, Italy.
55. Sonnino S (1998) Spatial activity and habitat use of crested porcupine, *Hystrix cristata* L., 1758 (Rodentia, Hystricidae) in central Italy. *Mammalia* 62: 175-189.
56. Tinelli A, Tinelli P (1980) Le tane di istrice e di tasso. Censimento e densità delle tane nella Riserva Presidenziale di Castelporziano per la conservazione dell'istrice e del tasso. In: Segretariato Generale della Presidenza della Repubblica. Technical Report, Tenuta di Castelporziano, Roma, Italy.
57. Todini A, Crosti R (2020) Il cinghiale (*Sus scrofa*) come determinante di cambiamenti di vegetazione in una foresta urbana mediterranea: impatto sulla biodiversità di un'area protetta. *Forest@* 17: 71.
58. Uchida K, Yamazaki T, Ohkubo Y, Yanagawa H (2021) Do green park characteristics influence human-wildlife distance in arboreal squirrels? *Urban For Urban Greening* 58: 126952.
59. Zapparoli M (1997) Urban development and insect biodiversity of the Rome area, Italy. *Landsc Urb Planning* 38: 77-86.

## Figures



### Figure 1

Study area, land-cover typologies, and occurrence records used for analyses. Outside the frame we report the UTM 32 coordinates of the study area; the grid used for analyses (1 km) is also shown.