

Isolation, Identification and Utilization of Lactic Acid Bacteria from Silage in a Warm and Humid Climate Area

chao Peng

Guizhou University

Wentao Sun

Guizhou University

Xiang Dong

Guizhou University

Lili Zhao

Guizhou University

Jun Hao (✉ jhao@gzu.edu.cn)

Guizhou University

Research Article

Keywords: Lactic acid bacteria, Alfalfa silage, Nutrition, Fermentation quality, Warm and Humid climate

Posted Date: March 5th, 2021

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.21203/rs.3.rs-266071/v1>

License:  This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License.

[Read Full License](#)

Abstract

The study aimed to isolate and identify lactic acid bacteria (LAB) from silages and their application to improve the fermentation quality of alfalfa. Forty-nine LAB strains were isolated from silages, and two strains were screened for growth and acid production rates. Then two strains were selected for Physiological and morphological tests and 16S rRNA sequencing. They were Gram-positive and catalase-negative and were able to grow at pH 3.5 and at 45°C, were unable to grow different NaCl concentrations 3, 6.5%. Strain BDy3-10 was identified as *Lactobacillus rhamnosus*, while TSy1-3 was identified as *L. buchneri*. The selected strains were evaluated on fermentation of alfalfa silage. The highest CP content occurred in the BDy3-10 treatment group. The contents of NDF and ADF in the TSy1-3 treatment were significantly lower than other treatment ($P < 0.05$). Compared to the control treatment, inoculation treatments decreased pH during ensiling ($P < 0.001$) and provided the most increased LA content after ensiling for 10 days ($P < 0.001$). The AA contents of all the inoculation groups were significantly increased ($P < 0.001$) during ensiling, and were lower than that of control group ($P < 0.001$). So, the TSy1-3 treatment most effectively improved the fermentation quality of alfalfa silage in warm and humid climate area.

Introduction

Lactic acid bacteria (LAB) can ferment and produce abundant lactic acid, which is used as a silage additive. Inoculation with LAB could increase the content of lactic acid, decrease the pH¹, and help improve the silage fermentation profile and enhance feed quality^{2,3}. Previous studies have shown that LAB inoculation in silages can reduce dry matter losses and increase aerobic stability, degradability rate and animal performance^{4,5}. Recently, dual-purpose inoculants containing homo-fermentative and hetero-fermentative bacteria have been developed to overcome the limitations of inoculants containing either type of bacteria alone, and the combination of both types of organisms can improve the speed of fermentation and enhance aerobic stability^{6,7,8}. Li et al. reported that inoculation with a combination of additives effectively improved the fermentation quality and aerobic stability of silage⁹. Homofermentative LAB fermentation produced low levels of volatile fatty acids that could not effectively inhibit the growth of molds and yeasts, resulting in silage corruption⁶. However, heterofermentative LAB could produce high levels of acetic acid that inhibited the growth of fungi and increased the aerobic stability of silage¹⁰. Several studies have suggested that mixed LAB inoculants can improve aerobic stability^{6,11,12,13,14}.

In recent years, increasing work has focused on how to improve fermentation quality by isolating LAB ideally capable of dominating lactic fermentation from forage or silage^{15,16}. Wang et al. discovered that a LAB strain isolated from *Leymus chinensis* silage could be useful for promoting favourable fermentation of *Moringa oleifera* leaf silage¹⁷. Ennahar et al. found that the presence of *L. plantarum* dominates in rice silage¹⁸, while Ni et al. shown that the presence of *Ped. pentosaceus* dominates¹⁹. This might be due to their different environments. LAB strains isolated from different forages in different environments usually

have different effects on silage fermentation²⁰. Thus, it is necessary to screen local lactic acid bacteria resources suitable for the area.

Therefore, the goals of the present study were to isolate, screen and identify the LAB from superior silage the warm and humid climate of Guizhou karst areas. After that, the evaluated excellent LAB strains were used to inoculate alfalfa silage to determine their effect on the fermentation quality.

Materials & Methods

Silage materials and isolation of LAB

12 silage materials ensiled for 40 days were obtained from different sites in Guizhou Province, as shown in Fig. 1 and Table 1. After the silage was finished, the colour was yellow-green, the sample presented acidity and aroma, and the stem and leaf structure could be clearly identified.

Table 1
Annual precipitation and annual mean temperature of sampling sites (data in 2014–2018)

Sampling sites	Huaxi	Nayong	Shiqian	Zhengan	Dafang	Dushan
Annual Precipitation(mm)	1268.66	1278.92	1216.36	1144.14	1169.18	1413.54
Annual Mean Temperature(°C)	15.84	14.54	17.86	16.36	12.8	15.92

Approximately 10 grams of silage alfalfa was blended with 90 ml of sterilized saline solution (8.50 g L⁻¹ NaCl) and serially diluted from 10⁻¹ to 10⁻⁶ in sterilized water. Then, the three dilutions 10⁻¹, 10⁻³ and 10⁻⁵ were taken and coated on LAB medium plates for cultivation. By observing the appearance and morphology of colonies on the solid medium of *Lactobacillus*, a single colony with different appearances was selected, and each colony was separated and purified twice. The purified colonies were cultured on the solid medium of *Lactobacillus* under a constant temperature 37°C and anaerobic conditions for 24 h, and then identified by Gram's staining and catalase activity. Finally, the identified *Lactobacillus* was added to the liquid nutrient medium containing sterile glycerin and preserved at -80°C.

Screening of LAB

Isolation strains were initially screened due to their high acidification ability and growth efficiency at 37°C²¹. Gram staining, colony morphology and catalase activity of screening strains were evaluated²². Strains were inoculated in MRS broth with different NaCl (3.0% and 6.5%) to test salt tolerance. Growth in MRS broth at pH 3, 3.5, 4, 4.5, 5, 5.5, 6, 7 and 7.5 and at temperatures 20°C, 30°C, 40°C, 45°C and 50°C were tested.

16S rRNA sequencing analysis

A TSINGKE DNA kit (general type) was used to extract the 16S rRNA of screened strains. The 16S rRNA universal amplification primers were: 27F (5, -AGAGTTTGATCCTGGCTCAG-3,) and 1492R (5, -

GGTTACCTTGTTACGACTT-3;)²³. Then, the PCR amplification products were sent to Beijing for sequencing at Biotechnology Co., Ltd., Kunming Branch.

After obtaining 16S rRNA sequences, they were compared with the 16S rRNA sequences of type strains in GenBank. The CLUSTALW program was used to assemble and align the sequences of selected strains and typical strains.

Silage and ensiling

Alfalfa (*Medicago Sativa* L.) at early budding was harvested from Guizhou University West Campus experimental site (Guiyang, Guizhou, China) on January 1, 2019, and wilted for 48 h. The chemical and microbial compositions after wilting are shown in Table 2.

Table 2
Chemical and microbial compositions
after alfalfa wilting

Items	Content
DM (%)	35.72
CP (% DM)	23.16
NDF (% DM)	37.97
ADF (% DM)	24.83
WSC (% DM)	7.51
CF (% DM)	29.64
Ash (% DM)	11.15
EE (% DM)	9.93
LAB (log cfu·g ⁻¹ FM)	3.01
DM, dry matter.	
CP, crud protein.	
NDF, neutral detergent fiber.	
ADF, acid detergent fiber.	
WSC, water soluble carbohydrates.	
CF, crud fiber; Ash, crud ash.	
EE, ether extract.	
LAB, lactic acid bacteria.	
cfu, colony-forming units.	
FM, fresh matter.	

Two selected strains (BDy3-10 and TSy1-3) were used as inoculants for silage preparation. Each strain was dissolved to approximately 10^6 colony-forming units (cfu) g⁻¹ FM, and 100 mL of inoculant was dissolved and sprayed on 3.2 kg of chopped forage (1–2 cm), which was then mixed thoroughly. The chopped forage was then treated with the same amount of (i) distilled water (control), (ii) *L. rhamnosus* (BDy3-10), (iii) *L. buchneri* (TSy1-3), or (v) BDy3-10 + TSy1-3 at a ratio of 1:1. All the treated forages were packed into polyethylene plastic bags (dimensions 16 × 25 cm; Embossed Food saver bag; Taizou Wenbwu Soft-Packing Color-Printing Co. Ltd, Zhejiang, China), and approximately 200 g of wilted forage was packed in each polyethylene bag and then vacuum-sealed, with three replicates for each treatment.

The bags were stored at room temperature and opened after 1, 6, 10, 20 and 40 days of storage, their chemical composition, fermentation quality and aerobic stability were analyzed.

Chemical and fermentation analysis

The dry matter (DM) contents of fresh and ensiled forages were determined by drying the sample in a forced-air oven at 65°C for 48 h. The dried samples were ground to pass a 1 mm screen by a laboratory knife mill (FW100, Taisite Instrument Co., Ltd., Tianjin, China). Crude protein (CP) was analyzed using a Kjeldahl nitrogen analyzer (Kjeltec 2300 Auto-Analyzer, FOSS Analytical AB, Hoganas, Sweden) and crude fat (CF) was determined by an extraction method²⁴. Crude ash content (Ash) was detected in an ash furnace by burning at 550°C for 4 h. Neutral detergent fiber (NDF) and acid detergent fiber (ADF) contents were measured by an A220 Fiber Analyzer (ANKOM Technology Corp., Macedon, NY, USA)²⁵. Water soluble carbohydrate (WSC) was determined using the anther one method²⁶.

Twenty grams of each silage sample was mixed with 180 mL of distilled water, stored at 4°C for 18 h, and then filtered. The pH of this filtrate was measured by a glass electrode pH meter (PHS-3C, INESA Scientific Instrument Co., Ltd, Shanghai, China), and ammonia-N was determined by steam distillation of the filtrates. The concentration of organic acids (lactic acid, acetic acid, propionic acid and butyric acid) was measured using high performance liquid chromatography (column, Shodex RSpak KC-811S-DVB gel C; 8.0 mm × 30 cm; Shimadzu, Tokyo, Japan); oven temperature, 50°C; mobile phase, 3 mmol/L HClO₄; flow rate, 1.0 mL/min; injection volume, 5 µL; and a SPD-M10AVP detector²⁷.

Statistical analysis

The 16S rRNA sequences of the LAB isolates were analyzed by MEGA 6.0 for Windows (The Biodesign Institute, Tempe, AZ). Statistical analyses of data for chemical composition, fermentation characteristics and aerobic stability were performed using one-way ANOVA of the Statistical Package for Social Sciences (SPSS Version 19.0, SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL, USA). Turkey's honest significant difference (HSD) test was employed for different sample means and the significance was declared at $P < 0.05$. Sigma Plot 10.0 is plotted.

Results

Screening of LAB

Table 3
OD and pH values of lactic acid bacteria cultured in MRS medium
for 24 h

Strain number	OD	pH	Strain number	OD	pH
TSy1-3	3.02	3.94	ZZt1-20	1.88	4.14
BDy3-10	2.75	3.75	BDy2-29	1.83	4.16
BDy3-41	2.69	4.06	ZZt1-6	1.81	4.08
TSy1-10	2.63	3.78	BDy2-19-1	1.80	4.60
BDy3-7	2.63	3.86	TSy1-6	1.74	4.49
ZZt1-14	2.62	3.88	BDy3-16-2	1.73	4.01
TSy1-45	2.62	4.02	BDy2-50	1.71	4.07
BDy3-43	2.54	4.09	BDy3-40	1.71	4.69
GHy1-2	2.53	3.79	BDy3-14	1.69	4.76
BNy1-12	2.53	4.10	BNy1-1	1.69	4.93
BDy3-35	2.36	3.78	BNy1-16	1.68	4.82
TSy1-46	2.35	4.00	BDy2-47	1.63	4.70
BNy1-8	2.30	4.03	BDy2-62	1.61	5.00
ZZt1-16	2.26	4.01	GHy1-10	1.58	5.00
BDy3-39	2.25	3.86	GHy1-1	1.53	5.03
BDy2-46	2.15	4.04	TSy1-34-1	1.51	3.83
BNy1-2	2.14	4.10	TSy1-39	1.49	4.50
TSy1-33	2.06	3.92	GHy1-8	1.47	4.98
GHy1-11	2.06	4.06	TSy1-1	1.36	5.07
ZZt1-18	2.06	4.05	BDy2-35	1.27	5.08
ZZt1-22	2.02	3.95	BNy1-7	1.25	5.09
ZZt1-3	1.97	4.13	TSy1-30	1.22	3.75
ZZt1-5	1.96	4.09	BNy1-4	1.12	5.55
ZZt1-7	1.96	4.12	BNy1-6	0.82	5.56
BDy2-17	1.89	4.08			

Table 4
Physiological and biochemical
characteristics of LAB

Characteristics	BDy3-10	TSy1-3
Gram stain	+	+
Fermentation type	Homo	Hetero
Catalase	-	-
Growth at pH		
3.0	w	w
3.5	+	+
4.0	+	+
4.5	+	+
5.0	+	+
6.0	+	+
6.5	+	+
7.0	+	+
Growth at temp		
10°C	w	w
20°C	+	+
35°C	+	+
40°C	+	+
45°C	+	+
50°C	w	w
Growth in NaCl		

+ positive.
- negative.
w weakly positive.
Homo, homofermentative.
Hetero, heterofermentative.

Characteristics	BDy3-10	TSy1-3
3%	-	-
6.5%	-	-
+ positive.		
- negative.		
w weakly positive.		
Homo, homofermentative.		
Hetero, heterofermentative.		

As shown in Table 3, forty-nine strains of LAB were isolated from silages. We initially screened two strains by their high growth and acid-producing rates for 24 h at 37°C, the OD value of strain TSy1-3 at 24 h was the largest, at 3.02. The pH value of strain BDy3-10 was the lowest, at 3.75.

The characteristics and type strains of screened LAB strains are shown in Table 4. Two strains were Gram-positive and catalase-negative. Strain BDy3-10 was a homofermentative LAB, and TSy1-3 was a heterofermentative LAB. The two strains grew normally in the range of 20°C to 45°C, but grew weakly at 10°C and 50°C. They were able to grow at pH values ranging from 3.5 to 7.0, and grew weakly at pH 3.0 tolerating salt (MRS with 3.0% and 6.5% NaCl concentrations, respectively) which limited their growth.

16S rRNA analysis of screened LAB

Table 5
BLAST alignment of 16S rRNA sequences of LAB

Strain number	Related species	Gene bank strain number	Similarity (%)
BDy3-10	<i>Lactobacillus rhamnosus</i>	LR134331.1	99.70%
TSy1-3	<i>Lactobacillus buchneri</i>	KR055504.1	98.80%

The 16S rRNA sequences were compared using the NCBI database (see Table 5), and the results showed that the similarities between all sequences obtained here and several the known 16S rRNA gene sequences in the database were 99.0–100.0%. The sequence of strain BDy3-10 is closely related to that of *L. rhamnosus*, with 99.7% similarity in their 16S rRNA gene sequences. The 16S rRNA gene sequence of the TSy1-3 strain showed 98.8% similarity to the corresponding sequence, in *L. buchneri*, confirming its identity.

Effect of screened strains on the chemical compositions and aerobic stability of alfalfa silage

Table 6
Chemical composition and aerobic stability after 40 days of ensiling

Item	CK	BDy3-10	TSy1-3	BDy3-10 + TSy1-3
DM(% FM)	33.49 ± 0.01b	32.24 ± 0.25d	34.31 ± 0.31a	33.04 ± 0.15c
CP(% DM)	20.00 ± 0.55b	21.86 ± 0.54a	21.24 ± 0.66a	21.41 ± 0.48a
EE(% DM)	9.01 ± 0.57	9.34 ± 0.24	9.26 ± 0.35	9.81 ± 1.53
CF(% DM)	26.21 ± 5.68	27.45 ± 1.19	27.16 ± 1.23	25.69 ± 1.52
NDF(% DM)	32.14 ± 0.88a	33.84 ± 0.95a	28.81 ± 1.23b	32.93 ± 1.87a
ADF(% DM)	20.26 ± 0.94a	21.14 ± 0.31a	17.90 ± 0.88b	21.14 ± 0.60a
WSC(% DM)	1.47 ± 0.07b	0.99 ± 0.02c	1.94 ± 0.05a	1.06 ± 0.03c
Ash(% DM)	10.85 ± 0.81	11.20 ± 0.55	10.61 ± 0.57	10.55 ± 0.13
aerobic stability(h)	121 ± 0.87d	129 ± 0.59c	173 ± 0.42a	144 ± 0.43b
Values with different lowercase letters show significant ($P < 0.05$). differences in the same treatment.				
CK, Control.				
BDy3-10, <i>L. rhamnosus</i> .				
TSy1-3, <i>L. buchneri</i> .				

The results of the alfalfa silage chemical composition analysis are shown in Table 6. The highest DM and WSC contents occurred in the TSy1-3 treatment group ($P < 0.05$). The CP contents of all additive treatment groups were significantly higher than that of the CK group ($P > 0.05$), while the BDy3-10 treatment had the highest CP content. The NDF and ADF contents in the TSy1-3 treatment group were significantly lower than those in the other groups ($P < 0.05$), and the EE and Ash contents in all treatments were not significantly different ($P > 0.05$). After 40 d of ensiling, the aerobic stability of TSy1-3 was 173 h, which was significantly higher than those of the others ($P < 0.05$).

Effects of isolated strains on the fermentation quality of alfalfa during ensiling

Table 7
Contents of LA, AA, PA and pH dynamic changes during ensiling

Items	Ensiling day	CK	BDy3-10	TSy1-3	BDy3-10 + TSy1-3	P-value
LA (mmol)	T ₁	1.05 ± 1.05Bb	1.70 ± 0.10Da	1.29 ± 0.38Bab	1.32 ± 0.20Cab	0.010
	T ₆	39.70 ± 0.79Ab	45.85 ± 1.07Ba	44.21 ± 1.61Aa	44.84 ± 1.11Aa	< 0.001
	T ₁₀	40.84 ± 3.38Ac	57.03 ± 0.09Aa	47.15 ± 1.35Ab	46.09 ± 0.75Ab	< 0.001
	T ₂₀	39.54 ± 6.83Ab	47.18 ± 3.26Ba	42.4 ± 0.56Aab	37.31 ± 1.29Bb	0.023
	T ₄₀	40.27 ± 4.23A	42.88 ± 0.35C	42.98 ± 5.53A	38.98 ± 2.67B	0.255
	P-value	< 0.001	< 0.001	< 0.001	< 0.001	
AA (mmol)	T ₁	3.61 ± 1.30Ca	1.16 ± 0.03Db	1.50 ± 0.05Eb	1.90 ± 0.04Cb	0.002
	T ₆	9.77 ± 1.11Ba	2.93 ± 0.03Cb	3.58 ± 0.14Db	3.40 ± 0.33Cb	< 0.001
	T ₁₀	10.95 ± 1.54ABa	6.05 ± 0.29Bb	6.56 ± 0.16Cb	7.43 ± 1.30Bb	< 0.001
	T ₂₀	11.45 ± 0.96ABa	7.22 ± 1.73ABc	8.59 ± 0.26Bb	8.30 ± 0.49ABbc	0.463
	T ₄₀	13.10 ± 0.99Aa	8.54 ± 0.22Ac	11.11 ± 0.01Ab	9.95 ± 1.78Abc	< 0.001
	P-value	< 0.001	< 0.001	< 0.001	< 0.001	
PA (mmol)	T ₁	0.14 ± 0.04	0.11 ± 0.02B	0.11 ± 0.03B	0.10 ± 0.01B	0.202
	T ₆	0.21 ± 0.03a	0.11 ± 0.05Bb	0.15 ± 0.01Bb	0.11 ± 0.01Bb	0.010
	T ₁₀	0.29 ± 0.05	0.33 ± 0.06A	0.29 ± 0.06A	0.34 ± 0.01A	0.624

^{a-c}Means having different letter superscripts within column are significantly different ($P < 0.05$).

^{A-E}Means having different letter superscripts within row are significantly different ($P < 0.05$).

LA, lactic acid.

AA, acetic acid.

PA, propionic acid.

Items	Ensiling day	CK	BDy3-10	TSy1-3	BDy3-10 + TSy1-3	<i>P</i> -value
	T ₂₀	0.55 ± 0.30	0.34 ± 0.05A	0.30 ± 0.06A	0.36 ± 0.01A	0.117
	T ₄₀	0.60 ± 0.22	0.34 ± 0.10A	0.32 ± 0.07A	0.40 ± 0.09A	0.181
	<i>P</i> -value	0.019	< 0.001	< 0.001	< 0.001	
pH	T ₁	6.42 ± 0.12A	6.24 ± 0.25A	6.06 ± 0.08A	6.19 ± 0.16A	0.263
	T ₆	6.26 ± 0.12Aa	5.16 ± 0.02Bb	5.03 ± 0.07Bb	5.12 ± 0.06Bb	< 0.001
	T ₁₀	6.24 ± 0.18ABa	5.05 ± 0.26Bb	5.10 ± 0.16Bb	5.01 ± 0.17Bb	< 0.001
	T ₂₀	5.98 ± 0.11Ba	5.12 ± 0.02Bb	4.99 ± 0.03Bb	5.00 ± 0.08Bb	< 0.001
	T ₄₀	5.58 ± 0.05Ca	5.08 ± 0.02Bb	5.00 ± 0.03Bc	5.06 ± 0.02Bbc	< 0.001
	<i>P</i> -value	< 0.001	< 0.001	< 0.001	< 0.001	
a ^{-c} Means having different letter superscripts within column are significantly different (<i>P</i> < 0.05).						
A ^{-E} Means having different letter superscripts within row are significantly different (<i>P</i> < 0.05).						
LA, lactic acid.						
AA, acetic acid.						
PA, propionic acid.						

The changes in LA, AA and PA that occurred during ensiling are shown in Table 7. The LA content reached a maximum value for T₁₀ silages, and then decreased dramatically until T₄₀ in all treatments (*P* < 0.001). AA and PA contents increased with prolonged fermentation (*P* < 0.05). The content of LA was generally higher in the BDy3-10 treatment than in the other treatments during ensiling, except at T₄₀ (*P* < 0.05), and reached a maximum value (57.03 mmol) for the T₁₀ silage. The AA content was significantly higher in the CK silage than in the inoculated silages during ensiling (*P* < 0.05). The PA contents of all treatment groups were significantly lower than that of the CK treatment group (*P* < 0.05).

The pH values at T₁ ensiling time were approximately 6.0 for all treatments and decreased progressively from T₁ to T₄₀. The lowest pH value was recorded for the TSy1-3 treatment at T₂₀ (4.99). The pH value of the CK treatment at T₄₀ was 5.58, which was significantly higher than those of all inoculant treatments (*P* < 0.001). All inoculant treatments were significantly similar in terms of pH values at the T₄₀ ensiling time, and all values tended to be 5.00.

Discussion

In the present study, biochemical and phylogenetic analyses revealed that all of the characterized LAB belong to the genus *Lactobacillus*. However, previous studies found that the natural fermentation processes in forage crop and grass silages were dominated by *Leuconostoc*, *Lactococcus*, *Enterococcus*, and *Pediococcus*, not *Lactobacillus* species^{28,29,30}. A plausible reason may be that the bacterial colonization of fresh crops and plants is controlled by many factors, such as the plant material. Liu et al. found³¹ that king grass silage was dominated by *P. pentosaceus* and *L. paraplantarum*, while Italian ryegrass (*Lolium multiflorum* Lam.) silage was dominated by *P. acidilactici* and *L. rhamnosus*¹⁵. However, Ennahar et al. reported¹⁸ that the LAB species of paddy rice silage in Japan, included *P. acidilactici* and *Weissella kimchi*. In addition, Ni et al. found¹⁹ that the LAB species of rice silage in Henan, China include *P. pentosaceus*, *Enterococcus mundtii* and *L. garvieae*. Hence, the LAB species of the same material in different regions were also different. The LAB characteristics and storage temperature exerted strong effects on the fermentation quality³². The strain BDy3-10 (*L. rhamnosus*) had a high acid production rate that could result in the inhibition of aerobic microorganism activities and the reduction of fermentation substrates, while the strain TSy1-3 (*L. buchneri*) had the fastest growth, which that could shorten fermentation time, decrease nutritional loss and improved silage quality. The temperature of silage may rise to above 40°C at the beginning of ensiling, which is due to the continuous plant respiration and activity of aerobic microorganisms when air still exists in the plant gap, particularly in the tropics and subtropics³³. These results were consistent with those of our study, in which the screened strains BDy3-10 and TSy1-3 could grow at 45°C, but they were both unable to tolerate salt. These results implied that they are very tolerant of acid and high temperature, satisfying the demands for growth in low-pH and high-temperature environments. This is a suitable method for silage preparation in warm and humid areas.

Previous studies reported that LAB inoculation could reduce DM loss during ensiling^{34,35}. Higher residual WSC contents indicate smaller DM losses during fermentation that result in silage with a higher nutritive value³⁶. In our study, lower DM losses and higher residual WSC contents occurred in the TSy1-3 treatment than in the other groups. Because the TSy1-3 strain grew fast in 24 h, it ensured rapid and vigorous LA fermentation and faster reduction of silage pH at earlier stages, which depressed the loss of WSC by fermentation via undesirable bacteria. The low NDF and ADF contents had a positive effect on the silage nutritive value and enhanced digestibility. In our study, the NDF and ADF contents in all inoculant treatments were lower than those in the control. This was similar to the result showing that inoculating LAB results in the highest decline of ADF and NDF, which improves feed intake and digestibility³⁷. CP is the critical factor affecting the quality of commercial feed and roughage for ruminants³⁸. Higher CP contents were obtained by all inoculants compared to the control. This could be related to the rapid reduction in pH caused by the addition of inoculants, which inhibited the growth and proteolytic activity of microorganisms such as Clostridia³⁹. The highest CP content was found in the BDy3-10 treatment. BDy3-10 is a homofermentative LAB that is more efficient in lactic acid production than

heterofermentative LAB and can ferment a wide variety of substrates and quickly produce large amounts of lactic acid⁴⁰.

The pH value is considered a very important indicator for the fermentation profile and fermentation quality of ensiled materials⁴¹. The pH values of the control silages were generally higher than those of the inoculated silages. It is generally desired that the pH value is approximately 3.8 to 4.2 for any high-quality silage. In this study, the measured pH values reached approximately 5.00 at T₄₀ with inoculation. This was because alfalfa is a high-protein forage crop that has a high buffering capacity and a slow rate of pH reduction during ensiling⁴⁰. This result is similar to results from studies in which the pH value of alfalfa silage inoculated with LAB was 4.8 to 5.3^{16,42}.

Various studies have reported that the application of homo-LAB inoculants can beneficially enhance the LA concentration and decrease AA⁴³. Compared with homo-LAB fermentation, fermentation dominated by hetero-LAB is characterized by a higher pH and AA content but lower LA content^{37,44}. This was consistent with inoculation results in this study. Treatment BDy3-10 had a higher LA content, and treatment TSy1-3 had a higher AA because strain BDy3-10 is a homo-LAB and strain TSy1-3 is a hetero-LAB. Furthermore, the higher AA can increase the aerobic stability of silage⁴⁵, and it may have inhibited the growth and proteolytic activity of microorganisms such as clostridia⁴⁶.

Conclusion

The two strains used in this study were suitable as inoculants for alfalfa silage, and the TSy1-3 strains is good choice as an additive in silage under the warm and humid climate in karst area.

Declarations

CONFLICT OF INTEREST

The authors declare they have no conflicts of interest with regard to the work presented in this report.

Acknowledgement

This work was supported by the Project of National Key Research and Development Program of China (2016YFC0502607-04).

References

1. Wang, S. *et al.* Characteristics of isolated lactic acid bacteria and their effects on the silage quality. *Asian-Australasian Journal of Animal Sciences.* (2017).

2. Muck, R. E. Recent advances in silage microbiology. *Agriculture and Food Science*. **22**, 3–15 (2013).
3. Yitbarek, M. B. & Tarim, B. Silage additives: review. *Open Journal of Applied Science*. **4**, 258–274 (2014).
4. Schmidt, P. *et al.* L. P. Effects of lactobacillus buchneri on the nutritive value of sugarcane silage for finishing beef bulls. *Revista Brasileira de Zootecnia*. **43**, 8–13 (2014).
5. Rabelo, C. H. S. *et al.* Effects of Lactobacillus buchneri as a silage inoculant and as a probiotic on feed intake, apparent digestibility and ruminal fermentation and microbiology in weathers fed low-dry-matter whole-crop maize silage. *Grass and Forage Science*. **73**, 67–77 (2017).
6. Reich, L. J. & Kung, L. Effects of combining lactobacillus buchneri 40788 with various lactic acid bacteria on the fermentation and aerobic stability of corn silage. *Animal Feed Science and Technology*. **159**, 105–109 (2010).
7. Schmidt, R. J. & Kung, L. The effects of Lactobacillus buchneri with or without a homolactic bacterium on the fermentation and aerobic stability of corn silages made at different locations. *Journal of Dairy science*. **93**, 1616–1624 (2010).
8. Conaghan, P., O'Kiely, P. & O'Mara, F. Conservation characteristics of wilted perennial ryegrass silage made using biological or chemical additives. *Journal of Dairy Science*. **93**, 628–643 (2010).
9. Li, P. *et al.* Effect of grape pomace on fermentation quality and aerobic stability of sweet sorghum silage. *Animal Science Journal*. **88**, 1523–1530 (2017).
10. Filya, I. The effect of Lactobacillus buchneri and Lactobacillus plantarum on the fermentation, aerobic stability, and ruminal degradability of low dry matter corn and sorghum silages. *Journal of Dairy Science*. **86**, 575–3581 (2003).
11. Nkosi, B. D., Meeske, R. & Groenewald, I. B. Effects of homofermentative and heterofermentative bacterial silage inoculants on potato hash silage fermentation and digestibility in rams. *Animal Feed Science and Technology*. **157**, 195–200 (2010).
12. Nkosi, B. D. & Meeske, R. Effects of ensiling totally mixed potato hash ration with or without a heterofermentative bacterial inoculant on silage fermentation, aerobic stability, growth performance and digestibility in lambs. *Animal Feed Science and Technology*. **161**, 38–48 (2010).
13. Addah, W., Baah, J., Okine, E. K. & Mcallister, T. A. A third-generation esterase inoculant alters fermentation pattern and improves aerobic stability of barley silage and the efficiency of body weight gain of growing feedlot cattle¹. *Journal of Animal Science*. **90**, 1541–1552 (2012).
14. Comino, L. *et al.* Effects of an inoculant containing a Lactobacillus buchneri that produces ferulate-esterase on fermentation products, aerobic stability, and fiber digestibility of maize silage harvested at different stages of maturity. *Animal Feed Science and Technology*. **198**, 94–106 (2014).
15. Gulfam, A. *et al.* Characteristics of lactic acid bacteria isolate and their effect on the fermentation quality of Napier grass silage at three high temperatures. *Journal of Science Food and Agriculture*. **97**, 1931–1938 (2016).
16. Li, D. X., Ni, K. K., Zhang, Y. C., Lin, Y. L. & Yang, F. Y. Influence of lactic acid bacteria, cellulase, cellulase-producing bacillus pumilus and their combinations on alfalfa silage quality. *Journal of*

Integrative Agriculture. **17**, 2768–2782 (2018).

17. Wang, Y. *et al.* Effects of wilting and *Lactobacillus plantarum* addition on the fermentation quality and microbial community of *Moringa oleifera* leaf silage. *Frontiers in Microbiology*. **9**, 1817 (2018).
18. Ennahar, S., Cai, Y. & Fujita, Y. Phylogenetic diversity of lactic acid bacteria associated with paddy rice silage as determined by 16S Ribosomal DNA analysis. *Applied and Environmental Microbiology*. **69**, 444–451 (2003).
19. Ni, K. K., Wang, Y. P., Li, D. G., Cai, Y. M. & Pang, H. L. Characterization, identification and application of lactic acid bacteria isolated from forage paddy rice silage. *PLOS ONE*. **10**, e0121967 (2015).
20. Yan, Y. H. *et al.* Microbial community and fermentation characteristic of Italian ryegrass silage prepared with corn stover and lactic acid bacteria. *Bioresour. Technol.* **279**, 166–173 (2019).
21. Guan, H. *et al.* Screening of natural lactic acid bacteria with potential effect on silage fermentation, aerobic stability and aflatoxin B1 in hot and humid area. *Journal of Applied Microbiology*. **128** (5), 1301–1311 (2020).
22. Cai, Y., Ohmomo, S., Ogawa, M. & Kumai, S. Effect of applying lactic acid bacteria isolated from forage crops on fermentation characteristics and aerobic deterioration of silage. *Journal of Dairy Science*. **82**, 520–526 (1999).
23. Bao, W. *et al.* Assessing quality of *Medicago sativa* silage by monitoring bacterial composition with single molecule, real-time sequencing technology and various physiological parameters. *Scientific Reports*. (2016).
24. AOAC. *Official method of analysis* 15th edn (Association of Official Analytical Chemists, Arlington, 1990).
25. Van, S. P. J., Robertson, J. B. & Lewis, B. A. Methods for dietary fiber, neutral detergent fiber and nonstarch polysaccharides in relation to animal nutrition. *Journal of Dairy Science*. **74**, 3583–3597 (1991).
26. Ke, W. C. *et al.* Effects of addition of malic or citric acids on fermentation quality and chemical characteristics of alfalfa silage. *Journal of Dairy Science*. **100**, 8958–8966 (2017).
27. Zhang, Q., Li, X. J., Zhao, M. M. & Yu, Z. Lactic acid bacteria strains for enhancing the fermentation quality and aerobic stability of *Leymus chinensis* silage. *Grass and Forage Science*. **71**, 472–481 (2015).
28. Filya, I., Ashbell, G., Hen, Y. & Weinberg, Z. G. The effect of bacterial inoculants on the fermentation and aerobic stability of whole crop wheat silage. *Animal Feed Science and Technology*. **88**, 39–46 (2000).
29. Kleinschmit, D. H. & Kung, L. A meta-analysis of the effects of *Lactobacillus buchneri* on the fermentation and aerobic stability of corn and grass and small-grain silages. *Journal of Dairy Science*. **89**, 4005–4013 (2006).
30. Rossi, F. & Dellaglio, F. Quality of silages from Italian farms as attested by number and identify of microbial indicators. *Journal of Applied Microbiology*. **103**, 1707–1715 (2007).

31. Liu, Q. H., Chen, M. G., Zhang, J. G., Shi, S. L. & Cai, Y. M. Characteristics of isolated lactic acid bacteria and their effectiveness to improve stylo (*Stylosanthes guianensis* Sw.) silage quality at various temperatures. *Animal Science Journal*. **83**, 128–135 (2012).
32. Weinberg, Z. G., Szakacs, G., Ashbell, G. & Hen, Y. The effect of temperature on the ensiling process of corn and wheat. *Journal of Applied Microbiology*. **90**, 561–566 (2001).
33. Bernardes, T. F. *et al.* Silage review: Unique challenges of silages made in hot and cold regions. *Journal of Dairy Science*. **101**, 4001–4019 (2018).
34. Cao, Y. *et al.* Effect of lactic acid bacteria inoculant and beet pulp addition on fermentation characteristics and in vitro ruminal digestion of vegetable residue silage. *Journal of Dairy Science*. **94**, 3902–3912 (2011).
35. Oliveira, A. S. *et al.* Meta-analysis of effects of inoculation with homofermentative and facultative heterofermentative lactic acid bacteria on silage fermentation, aerobic stability, and the performance of dairy cows. *Journal of Dairy Science*. **100**, 4587–4603 (2017).
36. Weinberg, Z. G., Shatz, O. & Chen, Y. Effect of lactic acid bacteria inoculants on in vitro digestibility of wheat and corn silages. *Journal of Dairy Science*. **90**, 4754–4762 (2007).
37. Hu, W., Schmidt, R. J., Mcdonell, E. E., Klingerman, C. M. & Kung, L. The effect of *Lactobacillus buchneri* 40788 or *Lactobacillus plantarum* MTD-1 on the fermentation and aerobic stability of corn silages ensiled at two dry matter contents. *Journal of Dairy Science*. **92**, 3907–3914 (2009).
38. Silva, V. P. *et al.* Effect of ensiling triticale, barley and wheat grains at different moisture content and addition of *Lactobacillus plantarum* (DSMZ 8866 and 8862) on fermentation characteristics and nutrient digestibility in pigs. *Animal Feed Science and Technology*. **164**, 96–105 (2011).
39. Arriola, K. G., Kim, S. C. & Adesogan, A. T. Effect of applying inoculants with hetero-lactic or homolactic and hetero-lactic bacteria on the fermentation and quality of corn silage. *Journal of Dairy Science*. **94**, 1511–1516 (2011).
40. McDonald, P., Henderson, A. R. & Heron, S. J. E. *The biochemistry of silage*. J. Wiley.(1991).
41. Denek, N., Can, A., Avci, M., Aksu, T. & Durmaz, H. The effect of molasses-based pre-fermented juice on the fermentation quality of first-cut lucerne silage. *Grass and Forage Science*. **66**, 243–250 (2011).
42. Ertekin, I. & Kızıllşımşek, M. Effects of lactic acid bacteria inoculation in pre-harvesting period on fermentation and feed quality properties of alfalfa silage. *Asian-Australasian Journal of Animal Sciences*. **2**, 245–253 (2019).
43. Contreras-Govea, F. E., Muck, R. E., Broderick, G. A. & Weimer, P. J. *Lactobacillus plantarum* effects on silage fermentation and in vitro microbial yield. *Animal Feed Science and Technology*. **179**, 61–68 (2013).
44. Tabacco, E., Piano, S., Revello-Chion, A. & Borreani, G. Effect of *Lactobacillus buchneri* LN4637 and *Lactobacillus buchneri* LN40177 on the aerobic stability, fermentation products, and microbial populations of corn silage under farm conditions. *Journal of Dairy Science*. **94**, 5589–5598 (2011).

45. Danner, H., Holzer, M., Mayrhuber, E. & Braun, R. Acetic acid increases stability of silage under aerobic conditions. *Applied and Environmental Microbiology*. **69**, 562–567 (2003).
46. Heinritz, S. N., Martens, S. D., Avila, P. & Hoedtke, S. The effect of inoculant and sucrose addition on the silage quality of tropical forage legumes with varying ensilability. *Animal Feed Science and Technology*. **174**, 0–210 (2012).

Figures




Figure 1

The silage materials, longitude, latitude and altitude of sampling sites. Note: The designations employed and the presentation of the material on this map do not imply the expression of any opinion whatsoever on the part of Research Square concerning the legal status of any country, territory, city or area or of its authorities, or concerning the delimitation of its frontiers or boundaries. This map has been provided by the authors.