

# Delirium screening with 4AT in patients aged 65 years and older admitted to the Emergency Department with suspected sepsis – a prospective cohort study

Marius Myrstad (✉ [marius.myrstad@vestreviken.no](mailto:marius.myrstad@vestreviken.no))

Bærum sykehus: Baerum sykehus <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-9682-5255>

Kanika Kuwelker

Haraldsplass Diakonale Sykehus AS

Sigurd Haakonsen

Baerum Hospital: Baerum sykehus

Therese Valebjørg

Baerum Hospital: Baerum sykehus

Bård Reiakvam Kittang

Haraldsplass Diakonale Sykehus AS

Guri Hagberg

Baerum Hospital: Baerum sykehus

Bjørn Erik Neerland

Oslo Universitetssykehus

Marit Stordal Bakken

Haraldsplass Diakonale Sykehus AS

---

## Original research

**Keywords:** Sepsis, qSOFA, delirium, infection, SOFA, emergency department, 4AT, encephalopathy

**Posted Date:** March 4th, 2021

**DOI:** <https://doi.org/10.21203/rs.3.rs-267220/v1>

**License:**  This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License.

[Read Full License](#)

---

**Version of Record:** A version of this preprint was published at European Geriatric Medicine on October 8th, 2021. See the published version at <https://doi.org/10.1007/s41999-021-00558-5>.

# Abstract

## Background

Cognitive impairment is common among acutely ill older patients admitted to hospital. The quick Sequential Organ Failure Assessment (qSOFA) is recommended as a tool to predict poor outcome in patients with suspected sepsis, but assesses cognitive function crudely. We aimed to study the feasibility of The 4 'A's test (4AT), a rapid delirium screening tool, performed upon Emergency Department (ED) admission by nurses and doctors inexperienced with this tool, and to characterize patients aged  $\geq 65$  years admitted to the ED with suspected sepsis.

## Methods

In this prospective cohort study, we included patients aged  $\geq 65$  years, admitted to the EDs of two Norwegian general hospitals, with suspected sepsis. ED nurses and doctors performed delirium screening with 4AT within two hours after ED admission, and registered the time spent on the screening in each case. Sepsis and delirium during the hospital stay were diagnosed retrospectively, according to recommended diagnosis criteria.

## Results

Out of the 196 patients included (mean age 81 years), 100 patients fulfilled the sepsis diagnosis criteria. Mean 4AT screening time was 2.5 minutes (median two minutes). While qSOFA identified 48 patients (24%) with altered mental status, 114 patients (58%) had a 4AT score  $\geq 1$ , indicating cognitive impairment, upon ED admission. Sepsis patients more often had a 4AT score  $\geq 4$ , indicating delirium, than patients without sepsis (40% vs. 26%,  $p < 0.05$ ). The prevalence of delirium any time during the hospital stay was 44% in patients aged 65–80 years, 57% in patients aged  $\geq 80$  years, and 76% in patients aged  $\geq 80$  years with sepsis.

## Conclusions

Delirium screening upon ED admission, with 4AT performed by nurses and doctors inexperienced with this tool, was feasible among patients aged  $\geq 65$  years admitted with suspected sepsis. Features of delirium were common upon ED admission, particularly in patients with sepsis. The prevalence of delirium anytime during the hospital stay was highest among patients aged 80 years and older with sepsis. Our findings suggest increased awareness of delirium in older patients admitted to the ED with suspected sepsis, but the added value of systematic delirium screening with 4AT needs to be addressed in future studies.

## Background

Sepsis is severe organ dysfunction caused by a dysregulated host response to infection [1], and is responsible for one out of five deaths worldwide [2]. Older people are more susceptible to severe infections and sepsis, probably due to multiple factors such as comorbidities, reduced physiological organ reserves, altered immune function, and institutionalization [3, 4]. Yet, few studies have characterized old patients with sepsis in detail. Older people with acute illness more often present with discrete or atypical symptoms than younger, often leading to delayed diagnosis and treatment [5]. Cognitive impairment and delirium are highly prevalent among acutely ill older people, and might further complicate the diagnostic evaluation. The incidence of delirium increases with increasing age [6–9], and infections are among the most common precipitating factors [9, 10]. Delirium refers to a clinical state characterized by an acute alteration in alertness and attention, with an additional disturbance in cognition (i.e., memory deficit, disorientation, perception or language) that develops over a short period of time. Delirium is a clinical manifestation of an acute encephalopathy or brain dysfunction [11], can be an important sign of clinical deterioration, and should prompt further evaluation and treatment of underlying causes. Furthermore, delirium is associated with increased mortality. Early recognition of delirium is important, because treatment can improve outcomes and general patient care. Although at least one out of ten patients admitted to Emergency Departments (EDs) are suffering from delirium [10], screening for this clinical entity is not performed routinely in many EDs, and delirium often remains undetected [12].

Clinical risk scores are extensively used in EDs to facilitate optimal management of patients. The quick Sequential Organ Failure Assessment (qSOFA) is a recommended tool to predict poor outcome in patients with infection [1]. Altered mental status is one of three clinical variables scored in the qSOFA. Thus, evaluation of cognitive function is a core component of emergency medicine. However, previous studies have demonstrated a relatively poor ability of qSOFA performed in the ED to predict sepsis and sepsis-related mortality [14–17], and qSOFA only offers a crude evaluation of cognitive function. The 4 'A's Test (4AT) is a rapid bedside delirium screening tool that assesses the major features of delirium; disturbed alertness, cognition and attention, and acute change or fluctuation in symptoms [18]. The 4AT has a high sensitivity and specificity to detect delirium, and has been validated for use among ED patients [12]. Yet, we are not aware of any studies that have evaluated the feasibility of the 4AT upon ED arrival, performed by nurses and doctors without any previous experience with this tool.

We aimed to study the feasibility of 4AT performed upon ED admission, and to characterize older patients admitted to the ED with suspected sepsis, particularly concerning symptoms of cognitive impairment and features of delirium.

## Methods

This was a prospective cohort study. We consecutively included patients aged 65 years and older with suspected sepsis (as judged by ED nurses or doctors), admitted to the EDs of two Norwegian general hospitals; Bærum Hospital (BH) Vestre Viken Hospital Trust in the Oslo region, and Haraldsplass

Deaconess Hospital (HDH) in Bergen. Patients were included during the periods from October 23rd 2017 to May 14th 2018 at BH and June 18th to October 14th 2018 at HDH. Patients, for whom an International Classification of Diseases 10th Revision (ICD-10) code corresponding to an infectious disease was not registered for the current hospital stay, were excluded from the analysis. A list of the infectious disease ICD-10-codes used for this purpose, is provided as Supplementary material (Supplementary table 1).

## Assessments

*4AT.* The 4 'A's test assesses four core features of delirium [18]. A total 4AT score of 1–3 indicates possible cognitive impairment, and a score of four or above indicates possible delirium. In patients with a 4AT score of 0, delirium or severe cognitive impairment are unlikely. The 4AT consists of four subscores, with each representing one of the four 'A's; Alertness, Abbreviated Mental Test – 4 (AMT4), Attention, and Acute change or fluctuating course: 1) *Alertness* (Is the patient fully alert and not markedly drowsy or agitated?). Patients with altered alertness during bedside assessment, are scored with four points. Patients who are not agitated, and fully alert, or have mild sleepiness for less than 10 seconds, are scored with 0 points. 2) *AMT4.* This abbreviated cognitive assessment tests if the patient is oriented. The patient is asked to tell her/his age, date of birth, the name of the hospital or building, and the current year. While one mistake is scored with one point, and two or more mistakes with two points, correct answers give a score of 0. 3) *Attention.* The patient is asked to list the months of the year in backward order. Patients who manages less than seven months, are scored with one point. Patients who are not testable due to drowsiness or disturbed attention, are scored with two points. Patients managing seven or more months correctly, are scored with 0. 4) *Acute change or fluctuating course.* If there is evidence of change or fluctuation in alertness, cognition or other mental functions that have arisen over the last two weeks and are still present within the last 24 hours, the patient is scored with four points. If not, the patient is scored with 0 points. This subscore often requires information from a next of kin. When performed by delirium experts or researchers, the 4AT detects delirium in acutely ill patients with a sensitivity of 76% and a specificity of 95% [12]. We used the Norwegian translation of the 4AT in this study [19]. 4AT was conducted by ED nurses and doctors without any previous experience with the screening tool, except from a 45-minute introduction lecture. The screening was performed in the ED within two hours of admission. The operating nurse or doctor registered the number of minutes used on the delirium screening, and if they found the screening tool useful or not in each case.

*qSOFA.* The qSOFA score assesses the risk of poor outcome in patients with infections. Systolic blood pressure  $\leq 100$  mm Hg, respiratory rate of  $\geq 22$ /min, and altered mental status are scored with one point each. The most recent consensus on sepsis management (Sepsis-3) suggests that one point for altered mental status should be given when the Glasgow Coma Scale score is  $< 15$  [1]. A qSOFA score  $\geq 2$  should prompt clinicians to further investigate for organ dysfunction, initiate sepsis therapy and consider increased monitoring. A recent meta-analysis reported that the qSOFA predicts mortality with a pooled sensitivity of 42% and a pooled specificity of 88% in ED patients with infections [17].

*Delirium.* We diagnosed delirium retrospectively during a thorough review of the patients' hospital records, and according to The Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders (DSM) 5 [20]. We used a chart-based method aiming to extract evidence for each of the diagnostic criteria, from hospital records, including daily notes by both doctors, nurses, and other staff. This method has been used in previous studies [21], and shows acceptable validity when performed by delirium experts or delirium researchers [22, 23]. We classified cases with evidence of an acute change in alertness, cognition, or other mental functions, as delirium [11].

*Sepsis.* We defined sepsis according to existing guidelines, as an evident infection, based on the history and a thorough clinical evaluation, including laboratory and radiological findings, with an acute (within 24 hours) change in total Sequential Organ Failure Assessment (SOFA) score of  $\geq 2$  points consequent to the infection [1]. SOFA grades impairment by organ system in patients with infection, and accounts for clinical interventions [24]. A change in SOFA score  $\geq 2$  points indicates organ dysfunction [25]. Septic shock was defined as sepsis identified by SOFA with a mean arterial pressure less than 65 mm Hg despite vasopressor therapy, and hyperlactatemia ( $> 2$  mMol/L, 18 mg/dL) after volume resuscitation [1]. We also registered ICD-10 codes for sepsis (A39.2, A40, A41, I33.0, G00, R65) given at discharge from the hospital.

*Infection diagnoses.* Infections were defined based on ICD-10 codes from the actual hospital stay.

*Mortality.* In-hospital mortality was defined as death from any cause during the hospital stay.

*Other measures.* Age, length of hospital stay, and discharge destination were retrieved from hospital records.

## Statistical methods

Continuous variables are presented as the mean  $\pm$  standard deviation and categorical variables as a number (%). We used Student's t test for means of continuous variables, and Pearson's Chi-square test of independence for categorical variables to compare characteristics between patients with and without sepsis, patients of different age groups, and patients included at the two different study sites. A p-value  $< 0.05$  was considered statistically significant. All statistical analyses were conducted using SPSS version 25.0 (IBM, Armonk, NY, USA).

## Patient and public involvement

Patient or public involvement in the design, execution or dissemination of results of the present study was not considered feasible or relevant.

## Ethical considerations

This was a study without interventions that could harm the patients. Delirium screening with 4AT was rapid and did not delay sepsis treatment.

The HDH part of the study was approved by the Regional Committee of Research Ethics in Western Norway (2018/909/REK vest).

The BH part of the study was approved as a quality study by the hospital officer for data protection (16/00117 - 85).

Since only routine clinical data were collected from the electronic health records, the requirement for informed consent was waived.

A letter with information about the study was sent by post to all patients after discharge, allowing the patient to withdraw their data. The study complies with the Declaration of Helsinki.

## Results

A total of 229 patients aged 65 years and older were included in the study. After exclusion of six patients who withdrew from the study, and 27 patients without an infectious disease (as defined by ICD-10 codes), 196 patients (mean age 81.1 years) were eligible for further analysis, 101 at BH and 95 at HDH, respectively. Characteristics of the study participants by study hospital are shown in Supplementary Table 2. Pneumonia was the most common diagnosis (45%), followed by urinary tract infection (34%), influenza (8%), skin infections (6%), abdominal infections (5%) and others (7%).

In total, 100 patients (51%) fulfilled the criteria for sepsis, of whom three patients suffered septic shock. Table 1 shows the characteristics of patients with and without sepsis. Patients with sepsis were more likely to be men, and more often had renal failure and anemia, than patients without sepsis. Only 37 out of 100 patients (37%) were discharged with an ICD-code for sepsis.

Table 1

Characteristics of patients aged 65 years and older admitted to two Norwegian Emergency Departments by sepsis diagnosis (n = 196).

	<b>Sepsis (n = 100)</b>	<b>No sepsis (n = 96)</b>	<b>p-value</b>
	<b>Mean (SD)</b>	<b>Mean (SD)</b>	
Age (years)	81.3 (7.8)	80.9 (7.9)	0.75
Length of hospital stay (days)	8.1 (6.3)	6.9 (6.5)	0.18
C-reactive protein (mg/L) <sup>1</sup>	177 (98)	153 (104)	0.10
	<b>n (%)</b>	<b>n (%)</b>	
Men	67 (67)	50 (52)	< 0.05
Age group (years)			0.85
65–79	42 (42)	39 (41)	
≥80	58 (58)	57 (59)	
Renal function (mL/min/1.73 m <sup>2</sup> )			< 0.05
eGFR > 60	36 (36)	56 (56)	
eGFR < 30	24 (24)	5 (5)	
Hyponatremia <sup>2</sup>			0.59
Mild	30 (31)	26 (27)	
Moderate	4 (4)	2 (2)	
Anemia <sup>3</sup>			< 0.05
Moderate	83 (83)	66 (69)	
Severe	7 (7)	3 (3)	
Infection diagnosis <sup>4</sup>			
Pneumonia	41 (41)	46 (48)	0.33

<sup>1</sup> Maximum value during the hospital stay, <sup>2</sup> Mild hyponatremia, Serum Sodium 130–136 Mmol/L; Moderate hyponatremia, Serum Sodium 120–129 Mmol/L. <sup>3</sup> Moderate anemia, Hemoglobin < 12 g/dL for women and < 13 g/dL for men; severe anemia, Hemoglobin < 8 g/dL, <sup>4</sup> Based on International Classification of Diseases-10 codes.

SD, Standard Deviation; eGFR, estimated Glomerular Filtration Rate.

	<b>Sepsis (n = 100)</b>	<b>No sepsis (n = 96)</b>	<b>p-value</b>
Urinary tract infection	24 (25)	31 (32)	0.20
Influenza	9 (9)	6 (6)	0.47
Abdominal infection	7 (7)	3 (3)	0.22
Skin infection	5 (5)	7 (7)	0.50
Others	8 (8)	6 (6)	0.63
<sup>1</sup> Maximum value during the hospital stay, <sup>2</sup> Mild hyponatremia, Serum Sodium 130–136 Mmol/L; Moderate hyponatremia, Serum Sodium 120–129 Mmol/L. <sup>3</sup> Moderate anemia, Hemoglobin < 12 g/dL for women and < 13 g/dL for men ; severe anemia, Hemoglobin < 8 g/dL, <sup>4</sup> Based on International Classification of Diseases-10 codes.			
SD, Standard Deviation; eGFR, estimated Glomerular Filtration Rate.			

The median time spent on the delirium screening with 4AT was two minutes (mean 2.5 minutes, registered in 164 (84%) patients). While qSOFA identified 48 patients with altered mental status, 114 patients (58%) had a 4AT score of at least one up on ED admission, indicating cognitive impairment. The operators valued the 4AT screening as useful in 77 out of 89 cases (78%) with cognitive impairment, and 43 out 68 cases (63%) without cognitive impairment (not reported in 30 cases). Table 2 shows qSOFA and 4AT scores in patients with and without sepsis. Sepsis patients more often had a 4AT score  $\geq 4$  upon ED admission, indicating delirium, than patients without sepsis (40% vs. 26%,  $p < 0.05$ ). Disturbed attention (assessed with the Months Backwards Test) was the most common finding.

Table 2

Quick Sequential Organ Failure Assessment scores and 4AT scores at Emergency Department admission by sepsis diagnosis in patients aged 65 and older admitted to two Norwegian Emergency Departments with suspected sepsis (n = 196).

	<b>Sepsis (n = 100)</b>	<b>No sepsis (n = 96)</b>	<b>p-value</b>
	<b>Mean (SD)</b>	<b>Mean (SD)</b>	
qSOFA score <sup>1</sup>			< 0.05
0	11 (11)	25 (26)	
1	42 (42)	48 (50)	
2	36 (36)	18 (19)	
3	8 (8)	0 (0)	
Respiratory rate $\geq$ 22/min	81 (81)	56 (58)	< 0.05
Systolic BP $\leq$ 100 mmHg	28 (28)	16 (17)	0.06
Altered mental status (GCS < 15) <sup>1</sup>	31 (31)	17 (18)	0.08
4AT score <sup>2</sup>			< 0.05
0	31 (31)	49 (52)	
1–3	29 (29)	21 (22)	
$\geq$ 4	40 (40)	24 (26)	
4AT subscores			
Reduced alertness	19 (19)	12 (13)	0.17
Cognitive impairment	56 (56)	27 (28)	< 0.05
Disturbed attention	59 (59)	38 (40)	< 0.05
Acute change or fluctuations	28 (28)	19 (21)	0.12
<sup>1</sup> Altered mental status scored with one point when Glasgow Coma Scale score was < 15. Glasgow Coma Scale score and Quick Sequential Organ Failure Assessment score were missing in three patients with sepsis and five patients without sepsis, <sup>2</sup> 4AT score was missing in two patients without sepsis.			
SD, Standard Deviation; SOFA, Sequential Organ Failure Assessment; GCS, Glasgow Coma Scale; BP, Blood Pressure; 4AT, 4 Assessment Test.			

Characteristics, qSOFA score and 4AT score in sepsis patients aged under 80 years and 80 years and older are shown in Table 3. Older patients were more likely to have renal failure than younger sepsis

patients, and were more often discharged to a nursing home. Although not statistically significant, older sepsis patients had lower values for C-reactive protein, stayed longer in hospital, and more often had symptoms of cognitive impairment at admission than younger sepsis patients.

Table 3

Characteristics of patients with sepsis by age group (n = 100), among patients aged 65 and older admitted to two Norwegian Emergency Departments with suspected sepsis.

	<b>Age ≥ 80 years (n = 58)</b>	<b>Age &lt; 80 years (n = 42)</b>	<b>p-value</b>
	<b>Mean (SD)</b>	<b>Mean (SD)</b>	
Age (years)	86.9 (4.6)	73.6 (3.7)	< 0.05
Length of hospital stay (days)	8.4 (7.6)	7.6 (4.0)	0.48
C-reactive Protein (mg/L) <sup>1</sup>	164 (95)	193 (100)	0.15
	<b>n (%)</b>	<b>n (%)</b>	
Men	37 (64)	30 (71)	0.42
qSOFA ≥ 2	25 (46)	19 (45)	0.98
Respiratory rate > 22/min	48 (83)	33 (79)	0.60
Systolic BP < 100 mmHg	17 (29)	11 (26)	0.73
Altered mental status (GCS ≤ 14)	17 (31)	14 (33)	0.32
Renal function (mL/min/1.73 m <sup>2</sup> ) <sup>2</sup>			< 0.05
eGFR > 60	12 (21)	24 (57)	
eGFR < 30	21 (36)	3 (7)	
Hyponatremia <sup>2,3</sup>			0.09
Mild	12 (21)	18 (43)	
Moderate	2 (3)	2 (5)	
Anemia <sup>2,4</sup>			0.68
Moderate	48 (83)	35 (83)	
Severe	5 (9)	2 (5)	

<sup>1</sup> Highest value during the hospital stay, <sup>2</sup> Lowest value during the hospital stay, <sup>3</sup> Mild hyponatremia, Serum Sodium 130–136 Mmol/L; Moderate hyponatremia, Serum Sodium 120–129 Mmol/L, <sup>4</sup> Moderate anemia, Hemoglobin < 12 g/dL for women and < 13 g/dL for men; severe anemia, Hemoglobin < 8 g/dL, <sup>5</sup> Based on International Classification of Diseases-10 codes.

SD, Standard Deviation; SOFA, Sequential Organ Failure Assessment; qSOFA, quick SOFA; GCS, Glasgow Coma Scale; BP, Blood Pressure; eGFR, estimated Glomerular Filtration Rate; 4AT, 4 Assessment Test; SIRS, Systemic Inflammatory Response Syndrome; Mild hyponatremia, Serum Sodium 130–136 Mmol/L; Moderate hyponatremia, Serum Sodium 120–129 Mmol/L.

	Age ≥ 80 years (n = 58)	Age < 80 years (n = 42)	p-value
4AT-score			0.37
0	15 (26)	16 (38)	
1–3	17 (29)	12 (29)	
≥4	26 (45)	14 (33)	
4AT subscores			
Reduced alertness	11 (19)	8 (19)	0.99
Cognitive impairment	37 (64)	19 (45)	0.11
Disturbed attention	37 (64)	24 (52)	0.33
Acute change or fluctuations	19 (33)	9 (21)	0.30
Infection diagnosis <sup>5</sup>			
Pneumonia	18 (31)	23 (55)	< 0.05
Urinary tract infection	15 (26)	9 (21)	0.61
Influenza	6 (10)	3 (7)	0.58
Abdominal infection	6 (10)	1 (2)	0.12
Skin infection	3 (5)	2 (5)	0.93
Others	6 (10)	2 (5)	0.31
Discharge destination			< 0.05
Home	20 (35)	28 (67)	
Institution	29 (50)	11 (26)	
In-hospital mortality	8 (14)	1 (2)	< 0.05
<sup>1</sup> Highest value during the hospital stay, <sup>2</sup> Lowest value during the hospital stay, <sup>3</sup> Mild hyponatremia, Serum Sodium 130–136 Mmol/L; Moderate hyponatremia, Serum Sodium 120–129 Mmol/L, <sup>4</sup> Moderate anemia, Hemoglobin < 12 g/dL for women and < 13 g/dL for men ; severe anemia, Hemoglobin < 8 g/dL, <sup>5</sup> Based on International Classification of Diseases-10 codes.			
SD, Standard Deviation; SOFA, Sequential Organ Failure Assessment; qSOFA, quick SOFA; GCS, Glasgow Coma Scale; BP, Blood Pressure; eGFR, estimated Glomerular Filtration Rate; 4AT, 4 Assessment Test; SIRS, Systemic Inflammatory Response Syndrom; Mild hyponatremia, Serum Sodium 130–136 Mmol/L; Moderate hyponatremia, Serum Sodium 120–129 Mmol/L.			

A total of 102 patients (52%), had delirium anytime during the hospital stay. Out of these, 61 (60%) had a 4AT score  $\geq 4$  indicating delirium already upon ED admission. The prevalence of delirium anytime during the hospital stay was 44% in patients aged 65–80 years, and 57% in patients aged 80 years and older. Out of 100 patients with sepsis, 68 had delirium during the hospital stay. Patients aged 80 years and older with sepsis had the highest prevalence of delirium (44 out of 58, 76%).

Ten patients (5%) died during their hospital stay; nine patients with sepsis and one patient without sepsis (in-hospital mortality 9% vs. 1%,  $p < 0.05$ ). In-hospital mortality was 14% in sepsis patients aged  $\geq 80$  years and 2% in sepsis patients aged 65–79 years ( $p < 0.05$ ). A qSOFA score  $\geq 2$  at ED admission predicted in-hospital mortality with a sensitivity and specificity of 50% and 68%, respectively.

## Discussion

To our knowledge, this is the first study evaluating the feasibility of delirium screening with 4AT upon ED admission carried out by nurses and doctors without any previous experience with the 4AT. The use of 4AT was feasible, and compared to qSOFA, 4AT gave a more detailed characterization of cognitive impairment and features of delirium. In line with previous studies of acutely ill older patients, we found that symptoms of cognitive impairment or delirium were highly prevalent already at hospital admission [6–9].

In particular, many patients with sepsis had a 4AT-score of four or more, indicating delirium. Delirium features can represent clinical deterioration and delirium is associated with poor outcome [9]. The pathophysiological mechanisms explaining delirium in acutely ill patients are not fully understood [26]. In patients with sepsis, however, both cerebral hypoperfusion, due to hypotension, and cerebral hypoxia could play a role.

This study demonstrated a particularly high prevalence of delirium among patients with sepsis aged 80 years and older. It has been suggested that delirium screening should be implemented in routine practice in patients groups with a high risk of suffering delirium [7, 12]. Our findings support that increased awareness of delirium in older patients acutely admitted to hospital with suspected sepsis is necessary, and indicate a high prevalence of delirium features already at ED admission. We believe that systematic screening is useful in this patient group, but this needs to be addressed in future studies.

The 4AT has been characterized as rapid and practical tool that does not require special training. Validation studies of 4AT have demonstrated high sensitivity and specificity to predict delirium among ED patients [12, 27, 28]. In these studies, however, 4AT has been performed by delirium experts or trained research assistants, often many hours after hospital admission. The validity of the 4AT might be lower in other settings and dependent on both the operators and the timing of the assessment [21]. Hence, further studies are needed to assess its use in different settings.

The risk of delayed sepsis treatment and the high workload in many EDs could be counterarguments to introducing a new diagnostic tool. However, in patients with cognitive impairment or delirium, a rapid

screening with 4AT might provide important additional information. In this study, the median time spent on the 4AT assessment was only two minutes, and in line with a previous report, the nurses and doctors valued the delirium screening as useful in the majority of the cases [12].

Although the mean age of the patients in the current study was higher, the overall in-hospital mortality rate of 5% corresponds with previous studies. In a Norwegian study of patients admitted to the ED of a university hospital, the mortality after seven days was 7% [14]. The ability of qSOFA to predict in-hospital mortality was limited, with sensitivity and specificity comparable to previous studies [14–17].

## Strengths and limitations

Our study included old patients with symptoms of cognitive impairment and with delirium, patient groups that are often excluded from clinical studies. Furthermore, we investigated a delirium screening tool used upon ED admission, performed by nurses and doctors inexperienced with the tool, and without particular expertise in delirium diagnosis. Such an approach, together with the inclusion of patients at two general hospitals in different cities, might have improved the generalizability of our findings. On the other hand, differences in routine practice and seasonal differences in regards to patient inclusion might have introduced skewness in the data, with the risk of bias. Further limitations were the retrospective registration of sepsis and delirium diagnoses, and the lack of information about patients excluded from the study. Finally, the study did not assess inter-operator variability, and the results could be biased by the limited operator training prior to the study and the many operators involved in the study.

## Conclusions

The delirium screening tool 4AT was feasible upon ED admission in patients aged 65 years and older with suspected sepsis, when performed by nurses and doctors inexperienced with this tool. Two out of three patients had at least one feature of delirium upon ED admission. Sepsis patients more often had a 4AT score  $\geq 4$ , indicating delirium. More than half of the patients had delirium during the hospital stay. The prevalence of delirium was highest among the oldest patients and in patients with sepsis. Our findings suggest that increased awareness of delirium features among older patients with suspected sepsis is important. Future studies should further evaluate the added value of delirium screening with 4AT in different settings.

## Declarations

### Availability of data and materials

The datasets generated and/or analyzed during the current study are not publicly available, but are available from the corresponding author upon reasonable request.

### Acknowledgements

Thanks to Ida Wilson Landgraaff for proof reading the manuscript.

## **Funding**

KK's work was financed by local quality project funds from the Western Norway Health Authority (HV1279). All other authors are employees at the Vestre Viken Hospital Trust or the Haraldsplass Diaconess Hospital.

## **Contributions**

MM, KK, SH, NL, BK and MSB contributed to the data collection. MM and SN were responsible for the statistical analyses. MM drafted the manuscript. All authors reviewed and revised the manuscript. The corresponding author attests that all listed authors meet authorship criteria and that no others meeting the criteria have been omitted. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

## **Ethics declarations**

### *Ethics approval and consent to participate*

The Regional Committee of Research Ethics and the Hospital Trust institutional review board approved the study as minimal risk as only routine clinical data were collected from the electronic health records. Hence, the requirement for informed consent was waived. However, a letter with information about the study was sent by post to all patients, enabling the patient to withdraw their data.

### *Consent for publication*

The publication of the results from this study was approved by The Regional Committee of Research Ethics and the Hospital Trust institutional review board

### *Competing interests*

The authors declare that they have no competing interests related to this work.

## **References**

1. Singer M, Deutschman CS, Seymour CW, Shankar-Hari M, Annane D, Bauer M, et al. The Third International Consensus Definitions for Sepsis and Septic Shock (Sepsis-3). *JAMA*. 2016;315:801–10. doi:10.1001/jama.2016.0287.
2. Rudd KE, Johnson SC, Agesa KM, Shackelford KA, Tsoi D, Kievlan DR, et al. Global, regional, and national sepsis incidence and mortality, 1990–2017: analysis for the Global Burden of Disease Study. *Lancet*. 2020;395:200–11. doi:10.1016/S0140-6736(19)32989-7.
3. Resnick NM, Marcantonio ER. How should clinical care of the aged differ? *Lancet*. 1997;350:1157–8.

4. Knoop ST, Skrede S, Langeland N, Flaatten HK. Epidemiology and impact on all-cause mortality of sepsis in Norwegian hospitals: A national retrospective study. *PloS one*. 2017;12:e0187990. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0187990.
5. Yoshikawa TT. Epidemiology and unique aspects of aging and infectious diseases. *Clin Infect Dis*. 2000;30:931–3. doi:10.1086/313792.
6. Emond M, Boucher V, Carmichael PH, Voyer P, Pelletier M, Gouin E, et al. Incidence of delirium in the Canadian emergency department and its consequences on hospital length of stay: a prospective observational multicentre cohort study. *BMJ open*. 2018;8:e018190. doi:10.1136/bmjopen-2017-018190.
7. Evensen S, Saltvedt I, Ranhoff AH, Myrstad M, Myrstad C, Mellingsaeter M, et al. Delirium and cognitive impairment among older patients in Norwegian emergency departments. *Tidsskr Nor Laegeforen*. 2019;139. doi:10.4045/tidsskr.18.0578.
8. Kennedy M, Enander RA, Tadiri SP, Wolfe RE, Shapiro NI, Marcantonio ER. Delirium risk prediction, healthcare use and mortality of elderly adults in the emergency department. *Journ Am Geriatr Soc*. 2014;62:462–9. doi:10.1111/jgs.12692.
9. Pendlebury ST, Lovett NG, Smith SC, Dutta N, Bendon C, Lloyd-Lavery A, et al. Observational, longitudinal study of delirium in consecutive unselected acute medical admissions: age-specific rates and associated factors, mortality and re-admission. *BMJ open*. 2015;5:e007808. doi:10.1136/bmjopen-2015-007808.
10. Marcantonio ER. Delirium in Hospitalized Older Adults. *N Engl J Med*. 2018;378:96–7. doi:10.1056/NEJMc1714932.
11. Slooter AJC, Otte WM, Devlin JW, Arora RC, Bleck TP, Claassen J, et al. Updated nomenclature of delirium and acute encephalopathy: statement of ten Societies. *Intensive Care Med*. 2020. doi:10.1007/s00134-019-05907-4.
12. MacLulich AM, Shenkin SD, Goodacre S, Godfrey M, Hanley J, Stiobhairt A, et al. The 4 'A's test for detecting delirium in acute medical patients: a diagnostic accuracy study. *Health Technol Assess*. 2019;23:1–194. doi:10.3310/hta23400.
13. Seymour CW, Gesten F, Prescott HC, Friedrich ME, Iwashyna TJ, Phillips GS, et al. Time to Treatment and Mortality during Mandated Emergency Care for Sepsis. *N Engl J Med*. 2017;376:2235–44. doi:10.1056/NEJMoa1703058.
14. Askim A, Moser F, Gustad LT, Stene H, Gundersen M, Asvold BO, et al. Poor performance of quick-SOFA (qSOFA) score in predicting severe sepsis and mortality - a prospective study of patients admitted with infection to the emergency department. *Scand J Trauma Resusc Emerg Med*. 2017;25:56. doi:10.1186/s13049-017-0399-4.
15. Swartz AW. Prognostic Accuracy of the Quick Sequential Organ Failure Assessment for Mortality in Patients With Suspected Infection. *Ann Intern Med*. 2018;169:264. doi:10.7326/L18-0290.
16. Maitra S, Som A, Bhattacharjee S. Accuracy of quick Sequential Organ Failure Assessment (qSOFA) score and systemic inflammatory response syndrome (SIRS) criteria for predicting mortality in

- hospitalized patients with suspected infection: a meta-analysis of observational studies. *Clin Microbiol Infect*. 2018;24:1123–9. doi:10.1016/j.cmi.2018.03.032.
17. Jiang J, Yang J, Mei J, Jin Y, Lu Y. Head-to-head comparison of qSOFA and SIRS criteria in predicting the mortality of infected patients in the emergency department: a meta-analysis. *Scand J Trauma Resusc Emerg Med*. 2018;26:56. doi:10.1186/s13049-018-0527-9.
  18. <https://www.the4at.com>. Accessed 11 February 2021.
  19. Evensen S, Forr T, Al-Fattal A, de Groot C, Lonne G, Gjevjon ER, et al. New tool to detect delirium and cognitive impairment. *Tidsskr Nor Laegeforen*. 2016;136:299–300. doi:10.4045/tidsskr.15.1334.
  20. American Psychiatric Association. *Diagnostic and statistical manual of mental disorders*. 5th ed. Washington, DC: American Psychiatric Association; 2018.
  21. Myrstad M, Watne LO, Johnsen NT, Børs-Lind E, Neerland BE. Delirium screening in an acute geriatric ward by nurses using 4AT: results from a quality improvement project. *Eur Ger Med*. 2019;10:667–71. doi:10.1007/s41999-019-00215-y.
  22. Inouye SK, Leo-Summers L, Zhang Y, Bogardus ST Jr, Leslie DL, Agostini JV. A chart-based method for identification of delirium: validation compared with interviewer ratings using the confusion assessment method. *J Am Geriatr Soc*. 2005;53:312–8.
  23. Kuhn E, Du X, McGrath K, Coveney S, O'Regan N, Richardson S, et al. Validation of a consensus method for identifying delirium from hospital records. *PLoS One*. 2014;9:e111823.
  24. Vincent JL, Moreno R, Takala J, Willatts S, De Mendonca A, Bruining H, et al. The SOFA (Sepsis-related Organ Failure Assessment) score to describe organ impairment/failure. On behalf of the Working Group on Sepsis-Related Problems of the European Society of Intensive Care Medicine. *Intensive Care Med*. 1996;22:707–10. doi:10.1007/BF01709751.
  25. Seymour CW, Liu VX, Iwashyna TJ, Brunkhorst FM, Rea TD, Scherag A, et al. Assessment of Clinical Criteria for Sepsis: For the Third International Consensus Definitions for Sepsis and Septic Shock (Sepsis-3). *JAMA*. 2016;315:762–74. doi:10.1001/jama.2016.0288.
  26. Wilson JE, Mart MF, Cunningham C, Shehabi Y, Girard TD, MacLulich AMJ, et al. Delirium *Nat Rev Dis Primers*. 2020;6(1):90. doi:10.1038/s41572-020-00223-4.
  27. O'Sullivan D, Brady N, Manning E, O'Shea E, O'Grady S, N OR, et al. Validation of the 6-Item Cognitive Impairment Test and the 4AT test for combined delirium and dementia screening in older Emergency Department attendees. *Age Ageing*. 2018;47:61–8. doi:10.1093/ageing/afx149.
  28. Gagné AJ, Voyer P, Boucher V, Nadeau A, Carmichael PH, Pelletier M, et al. Performance of the French version of the 4AT for screening the elderly for delirium in the emergency department. *CJEM*. 2018;20:903–10. doi:10.1017/cem.2018.367.

## Supplementary Files

This is a list of supplementary files associated with this preprint. Click to download.

- [Supplementarytable1.docx](#)
- [Supplementarytable2.docx](#)
- [Graphicalabstract.pdf](#)