

Ultrasonographic diagnosis, classification, and treatment of cervical lymphatic malformation in paediatric patients: a retrospective study

Jiaoling Li (✉ doctorlijiaolin@126.com)

Guangzhou University <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-8343-1310>

Wei Zhong

Guangdong Hospital of Traditional Chinese Medicine

Xiuping Geng

Guangzhou Women and Children's Medical Center, Guangzhou Medical University

Xiaofang Liu

Guangzhou Eighth People's Hospital

Xiangxiang Zhang

Guangzhou Eighth People's Hospital

Yurun Wang

Guangzhou Women and Children's Medical Center, Guangzhou Medical University

Haibo Li

Guangzhou Eighth People's Hospital

Research article

Keywords: Cervical lymphatic malformation, classification, diagnosis, magnetic resonance imaging, treatment, ultrasound.

Posted Date: May 22nd, 2020

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.21203/rs.3.rs-26960/v1>

License: © ⓘ This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License.

[Read Full License](#)

Version of Record: A version of this preprint was published on September 19th, 2020. See the published version at <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12887-020-02337-w>.

Abstract

Background: To explore the imaging features, key diagnostic points, classification, treatment, and prognosis of cervical lymphatic malformation.

Methods: Overall, 320 patients diagnosed with cervical lymphatic malformation were retrospectively analysed in our hospital between 1 January 2014 and 31 December 2017. Imaging modalities included colour Doppler ultrasound, magnetic resonance imaging, and contrast-enhanced computed tomography. Cervical lymphatic malformations were classified by cyst diameter. Treatments included interventional therapy, surgery, and expectant treatment.

Results: Cervical lymphatic malformation was identified in 320 of 1,192 patients with lymphatic malformation, the sensitivity of ultrasonographic diagnosis was 99.38% (318/320). Cervical lymphatic malformation was classified as mixed, macrocystic, and microcystic in 184 (57.5%), 117 (36.56%), and 19 (5.94%) patients, respectively. Sixty-four (20%), ten (3.12%), seven (2.19%), and three (0.94%) patients experienced intracystic haemorrhage, infection, concurrent intracystic haemorrhage and infection, and calcification, respectively. Among 260 (81.25%) patients who underwent interventional sclerotherapy, 163 (50.94%) received it once and 96 (30%) received it two or more times. Twenty-eight (8.75%), five (1.56%), and 27 (8.44%) patients underwent surgical resection, interventional sclerotherapy plus surgery, and expectant management, respectively.

Conclusions: Ultrasonography is useful for diagnosing definitely cervical lymphatic malformation. Interventional therapy is the first choice for children with confirmed cervical lymphatic malformation.

Background

Cervical lymphatic malformation is the most common lymphatic malformation and the most common cervical vascular malformation in children,¹⁻³ with an incidence of 1.2–2.8 per 1,000 individuals. It develops prenatally and can be diagnosed by prenatal ultrasonography in 50–65% of patients but by postnatal ultrasonography in 90% of patients. It is not typically diagnosed in adults. Surgical and other trauma can destroy the lymphatic drainage system, leading to acquired lymphatic malformation; other causes are also possible.^{4,5} Its clinical manifestations depend on the infiltration location and growth rate, and approximately 15–70% of patients have mild symptoms.⁶⁻⁸ Diagnosis is based on intracystic fluid echoic, a thick of cystic wall, and the cyst location; additionally, medical history and clinical manifestations are helpful for diagnosis.

Cervical lymphatic malformation can present as unilocular or multilocular cysts, and the cyst diameter varies from few millimetres to several centimetres.⁹ Therefore, it can be classified as macrocystic, microcystic, or a mixed type according to the cyst diameter. Although cervical lymphatic malformations are benign, they can invade adjacent critical structures, which increases the difficulty of surgical resection and the risk of postoperative recurrence. The malformations may also threaten the function of adjacent

or affected tissues, organs, and as a result, appropriate treatment may be avoided.^{10,11} Cervical lymphatic malformations can predispose an individual to complications such as haemorrhage or infection, which further affect respiratory function and endanger life.¹²⁻¹⁴

Multiple imaging methods should be used to classify and make differential diagnoses of cervical lymphatic malformations, monitor lesion progression, and assess the relationship of the lesion with adjacent structures to guide the optimal treatment method selection,^{15,16} reduce complications and recurrence, and avoid injury.

The aim of this retrospective single-centre study was to explore the ultrasonographic characteristics of patients with cervical lymphatic malformations in southern China and summarise key points of their diagnosis, differential diagnosis, classification, and treatment selection as well as analyse the causes of misdiagnoses and missed diagnoses.

Methods

This study was approved by our Institutional Ethics Review Board. Parents of the children provided informed consent for ultrasonography and treatment options.

Patient selection: Records in the large data centre at our hospital were searched and data on patients diagnosed with lymphatic malformation or cervical lymphatic malformation between 1 January 2014 and 31 December 2017 were collected. We used “lymphatic malformation” and “cervical lymphatic malformation” as keywords in the search. Three hundred twenty-two patients were initially identified; four were excluded due to misdiagnosis by ultrasonography, and two previously excluded patients were included: one was due to a missed diagnosis and the other developed cervical lymphatic malformation after pyriform cyst surgery. Therefore, 320 patients with confirmed cervical lymphatic malformation were included in the analysis. The mean age of the patients was 2 years and 29 days (range, 1 day to 14 years). Thirty-five patients were prenatally identified as having cervical lymphatic malformation. Twenty-seven, 260, 5, and 28 patients underwent a follow-up ultrasonographic assessment once every two months at >6 months after diagnosis, intraoperative puncture result assessment, intraoperative puncture and postoperative pathological confirmation, and postoperative pathological confirmation of the diagnosis (regarded as the gold standard to determine the coincidence rate between image-based and puncture results or pathological diagnoses), respectively.

Imaging, diagnosis, and classification: A high-resolution ultrasound system, equipped with a 4–15 MHz transducer (Acuson S2000; Siemens, Hamberg, Germany), was used to diagnose cervical lymphatic malformation. Patients in whom the relationship between the lesion and adjacent structures was not clear by ultrasonography because of individual cysts <1 mm in diameter underwent further evaluation by magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) (Signa; GE Medical Systems, Milwaukee, WI, USA) or contrast-enhanced computed tomography (CT). All patients underwent colour Doppler and power Doppler ultrasonography. Diagnosis and differential diagnosis were based on the intracystic fluid echo, thickness

of cyst wall, cyst location, and colour flow signal distribution. The macrocystic (cysts >1 cm in diameter), microcystic (individual cysts <1 cm in diameter), and mixed types were classified according to the cyst diameter. Cervical lymphatic malformation was diagnosed with haemorrhage, infection, and/or calcification according to increased cyst diameter (compared with prior size) and intracyst fine spot echo, local pain, increased temperature and abnormal blood test results, and calcified plaque in cyst before treatment, respectively.

Treatments: Patients were treated with interventional sclerotherapy, surgery, or surgery combined with interventional therapy.

The principles and methods of interventional sclerotherapy¹⁷ (using bleomycin at the recommended dose of 0.5 mg/kg in an aqueous solution of 1.5 mg/mL) were as follows: (1) to prevent the side effects of sclerosing agents in infants, patients were >6 months old unless symptoms of oppression occurred; (2) individual cysts had to be >1 cm in diameter; (3) imaging findings should suggest infiltration of the lesion into adjacent critical structures; (4) Patients with cervical lymphatic malformation patients complicated by infection first had to undergo anti-inflammatory treatment for 3–5 days before a sclerosing agent will be injected into the lesion; (5) For abscess formation complicating cervical lymphatic malformation, ultrasound-guided puncture and drainage was administered to patients followed by a simultaneous injection of a sclerosing agent; (6) cervical lymphatic malformation patients with haemorrhage first had to undergo haemostasis, after which a sclerosing agent was injected into the lesion; and (7) for patients without complications, a sclerosing agent had to be injected into the lesion or they had to undergo follow-up ultrasonography.

If the lesion did not progress, expectant treatment (namely routine observation) continued. For patients in whom the lesion increased or hardened (compared with prior size or texture), interventional sclerotherapy or surgery was performed, with routine blood tests, screening of the coagulation status, and liver function tests. For all patients who underwent interventional sclerotherapy, the puncture liquid was smeared for definite diagnosis and a contrast medium was injected to determine the size of the cyst. If the cysts were interconnected, we chose the dose of the contrast medium that excluded lymphovascular malformations.

Patients with individual cysts <1 cm in diameter underwent surgical treatment, and the determinant for surgery combined with interventional therapy was lesion size more than 4 cm in diameter. The interventional therapy targets residual lesions to prevent toxic side effects caused by excessive use of sclerosing agents.

Results

Cervical lymphatic malformation was identified in 320 of the 1,192 patients with lymphatic malformation examined during our observation period with 189 males and 131 females (1.4:1 male-to-female ratio). the sensitivity of ultrasonographic diagnosis was 99.38% (318/320). All 320 patients had undergone further evaluation by colour Doppler ultrasonography and power Doppler ultrasonography, with 43 patients

further assessed by MRI and 55 by contrast-enhanced CT. Details regarding the types of complications and medical management are summarised in Tables 1 and 2. Complications occurred in 84 patients (26.25%); of them, 64 (20%) experienced haemorrhage, 10 (3.12%) developed infections, 7 (2.19%) had concurrent haemorrhage and infection, and 3 (0.94%) exhibited calcification. Of the 260 patients (81.25%) who underwent sclerotherapy, 163 (50.94%) underwent bleomycin sclerotherapy once and 96 (30%) underwent bleomycin sclerotherapy two or more times, with one patient (0.31%) receiving seven rounds. Twenty-eight patients (8.75%) received surgical treatment and five (1.56%) received concurrent surgical treatment and bleomycin sclerotherapy. Expectant management was provided to the other 27 patients (8.44%).

The ultrasonographic features and treatments of one representative patient with cervical lymphatic malformation and those of the patient misdiagnosed with lipoblastoma are shown in Figures 1 and 2. Of the 320 patients, only 19 (5.94%) showed sparse flow signals on the cyst wall and septations.

Among the 28 patients who underwent surgical treatment, only one experienced branchial cleft fistula. Of the 260 patients who underwent bleomycin sclerotherapy, only one developed an infection. All lesions gradually resolved, with the longest taking 2 years. Two patients with pyriform cysts, one patient with a dermoid cyst, and one patient with lipoblastoma were misdiagnosed with cervical lymphatic malformation, whereas one patient with cervical lymphatic malformation was misdiagnosed as having a pyriform cyst.

Discussion

Main findings

In this retrospective study of 320 patients, including one patient who developed cervical lymphatic malformation after pyriform cyst surgery and one patient who was misdiagnosed by ultrasonography, the sensitivity of which was 99.38%, the incidence of cervical lymphatic malformation complications was 26.25% before treatment. One patient developed a branchial cleft fistula and another developed an infection; the total success rate of treatment was 99.38%.

Interpretation: Cervical lymphatic malformation, a benign congenital disease, is caused by non-transportation of the lymphatic and venous systems, abnormal hyperplasia of the lymphatic epithelium, or lymphatic obstruction. Additionally, surgical trauma to the neck can destroy the lymphatic drainage system, resulting in acquired cervical lymphatic malformation.¹⁸ In this study, it was found that cervical lymphatic malformation also developed as a complication of pyriform cyst surgery.

Lymphatic malformations can occur in all areas of the body, but 75% occur in the neck.^{19,20} In this study, cervical lymphatic malformation was found to constitute 26.85% of all lymphatic malformation cases (320/1,192). This rate is lower than that observed previously, where foetal cervical lymphatic malformation comprised 63.29% of all lymphatic malformation cases. This may be because more pregnancies were terminated due to cervical lymphatic malformations accompanied by severe structural

or chromosomal abnormalities in the foetus, or because some lesions subsided naturally.²¹ Two-dimensional ultrasonography showed that most cervical lymphatic malformations were multilocular cysts; large cysts had a fine separation, and small cysts had a coarse separation. Few lymphatic malformations were unilocular cysts with thin walls and good sound transmission. The boundaries of the lesions were unclear in the microcystic and mixed types but clear in the macrocystic type. Therefore, classification may help in treatment selection.

The sensitivity of ultrasonographic diagnosis in this study was 99.38%. The diagnosis and classification of cervical lymphatic malformations are established through clinical manifestations and imaging data. However, cervical lymphatic malformations can invade adjacent structures, and ultrasonography is limited in resolving the boundaries of cysts; MRI and CT scan have better tissue resolution. In our study, 43 and 55 patients underwent MRI and CT scan, respectively. When a cervical lymphatic malformation is complicated by intracystic haemorrhage or infection, fine spot echoes can be observed in the cyst.²² With complications of cystic wall fibrosis or hardening, stripe hyperechogenicity can be observed in the cyst wall.²³ In this study, 2.19% patients developed concurrent intracystic haemorrhage and infection, 20% developed intracystic haemorrhage, 3.12% developed an infection, and 0.94% developed calcification. No patients in our previous analysis of foetal lymphatic malformation experienced these complications. These complications may be related to friction and trauma due to discomfort caused by the neck mass in children.

Although 1.6–16% of lymphatic malformations subside naturally and 15–70% have mild symptoms and only need outpatient follow-up,⁷ approximately 50% of cervical lymphatic malformations, especially those complicated by haemorrhage and infection, cause compression and distortion of important adjacent organs, affecting breathing, swallowing, and making sounds, and can be life-threatening. Therefore, surgery, radiotherapy, or interventional therapy must be carried out. However, surgery may damage adjacent blood vessels and nerves, cause hematoma, and affect appearance. Moreover, the incidence of complications from surgery is 19–33%, the postoperative recurrence rate is 53%, and the mortality rate is 6%.^{7,27} Therefore, interventional sclerotherapy is a better choice for recurrent and surgically unresectable lesions, reducing tumour volume before surgery, reducing injury, and improving aesthetic appearance.^{7,28} Sclerotherapy has thus become the primary treatment for cervical lymphatic malformations; however, it has side effects such as metabolic acidosis, hyperhaemoglobinaemia, and cellulitis.²⁹ Therefore, patients with large-volume or multilocular cysts should undergo sclerotherapy in stages or for residual lesions after surgical resection to avoid toxic side effects. In this study, patients received treatment according to their condition; most patients underwent sclerotherapy once, and few patients underwent sclerotherapy two or more times. Several patients underwent surgical resection or concurrent surgical resection and sclerotherapy. Expectant management was provided to the patients with progressive reduction of lesions. The total success rate of treatment was 99.38%. All of the lesions eventually disappeared, with the longest regression time being 2 years.

Strengths and limitations: The strength of this study is its sample size; to the best of our knowledge, this is the largest study to date on cervical lymphatic malformations in southern China.

Our study has some limitations. First, whether the occurrence of an isolated cervical lymphatic malformation is related to gender is unclear. Among 320 patients with cervical lymphatic malformations, the male-to-female ratio was 1.4:1. A multicentre study with a large sample size would clarify this association. Second, this study did not stage cervical lymphatic malformations, and treatment methods used for the three cervical lymphatic malformation types were not compared. These aspects should be explored in a subsequent study.

Conclusion

In conclusion, our results demonstrated that accurate ultrasonographic diagnosis and classification of cervical lymphatic malformations may provide a basis for selecting its most appropriate treatment method. For foetuses with cervical lymphatic malformations, strengthening prenatal ultrasonographic monitoring and understanding the extent of lesion progression, tracheal compression, and distortion are vital. Multidisciplinary teams should thus be involved in comprehensively selecting delivery modes and performing timely delivery. During the neonatal period or childhood, multiple imaging methods, including ultrasonography, MRI, and CT, should be used to monitor the relationship of the lesion and adjacent structures, thus allowing surgeons to select the most appropriate treatments.

Abbreviations

MRI: Magnetic resonance imaging; CT: Computed tomography

Declarations

Ethics approval and consent to participate

This study was approved by the ethics committee of the GZ Women and Children's Medical Centre(4AE4237E-7889-4275-95E5-8F469A5C9188). Parents of the children in the study provided informed consent for ultrasonography and treatment options. Written consent was obtained from parents of participants in this study. (Found in additional file 1).

Consent for publication

Not applicable.

Availability of data and material

All data generated or analyzed during this study are included in this article and could be found in additional file 1. (**Additional file 1:** Description of the data and material of 320 cases with cervical lymphatic malformation).

Competing interest

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

Funding

The study was funded by the Guangzhou Institute of Paediatrics/Guangzhou Women and Children's Medical Centre (No: GCP-2019-005), a total of 140,000 yuan, 90,000 yuan was used in the design of the study and collection, analysis, and interpretation of data, and 50,000 yuan was used in writing the manuscript.

Authors' Contributions

LJL, GXP, LXF, ZhXX, and WYR were involved in the ultrasonographic diagnosis of all cases of cervical lymphatic malformation and analysed and interpreted the data regarding cervical lymphatic malformation. LHB performed interventional therapy on the patients with cervical lymphatic malformation and analysed and interpreted the data. ZhW was responsible for the conservative treatment, operation, and operation combined with interventional therapy of the remaining patients with cervical lymphatic malformation, and also analysed and interpreted the data. All authors contributed to the preparation of the manuscript, and all authors have read and approved the manuscript to be submitted. Each author can respond to any questions in regard to this study in their area of expertise.

Acknowledgments

We would like to thank our image section director, Liao Can, for supporting all aspects of the study (ethics, consent, data handling and storage, and all other aspects of Good Research Practice). We also thank Editage (www.editage.com) for English language editing.

References

- 1 Arisoy R, Erdogdu E, Kumru P, Demirci O, Yuksel MA, Pekin O, et al. Prenatal diagnosis and outcome of lymphangiomas and its relationship with fetal chromosomal abnormalities. *J Matern Fetal Neonatal Med* 2016;29:466-472.
- 2 Jiao-Ling L, Hai-Ying W, Wei Z, Jin-Rong L, Kun-Shan C, Qian F. Treatment and prognosis of fetal lymphangioma. *Eur J Obstet Gynecol Reprod Biol* 2018;231:274-279.
- 3 Li JL, Wu HY, Liu JR, He QM, Chen KS, Yang J, et al. Fetal lymphangioma: prenatal diagnosis on ultrasound, treatment, and prognosis. *Eur J Obstet Gynecol Reprod Biol* 2018;231:268-273.
- 4 Fisher R, Partington A, Dykes E. Cystic hygroma: comparison between prenatal and postnatal diagnosis. *J Pediatr Surg* 1996;31:473-476.

- 5** Khanwalkar A, Carter J, Bhushan B, Rastatter J, Maddalozzo J. Thirty-day perioperative outcomes in resection of cervical lymphatic malformations. *Int J Pediatr Otorhinolaryngol* 2018;106:31-34.
- 6** Grasso DL, Pelizzo G, Zocconi E, Schleef J. Lymphangiomas of the head and neck in children. *Acta Otorhinolaryngol Ital* 2008;28:17-20.
- 7** Manzini M, Schweiger C, Manica D, Kuhl G. Response to OK-432 sclerotherapy in the treatment of cervical lymphangioma with submucosal extension to the airway. *Braz J Otorhinolaryngol* 2016. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bjorl.2016.04.016>.
- 8** Elshaar K, AbuAleid L. Adult-onset giant cervical cystic hygroma with pressure manifestations on aerodigestive tract, managed surgically: reporting of a rare case. *Ann R Coll Surg Engl* 2019;101(3):e84-87.
- 9** Gedikbasi A, Oztarhan K, Aslan G, Demirali O, Akyol A, Sargin A, et al. Multidisciplinary approach in cystic hygroma: prenatal diagnosis, outcome, and postnatal follow up. *Pediatr Int* 2009;51:670-677.
- 10** Efe N, Altas E, Mazlumoglu MR, Aktan B, Ucuncu H, Eren S, et al. Excellent result with the use of single-dose OK-432 in cervical macrocystic lymphangioma. *J Craniofac Surg* 2016;27:1802-1803.
- 11** Bernasconi A, Yoo SJ, Golding F, Langer JC, Jaeggi ET. Etiology and outcome of prenatally detected paracardial cystic lesions: a case series and review of the literature. *Ultrasound Obstet Gynecol* 2007;29:388-394.
- 12** Shimizu J, Taga T, Kishimoto T, Ohta M, Tagawa K, Kunitsu T, et al. Airway obstruction caused by rapid enlargement of cervical lymphangioma in a five-month-old boy. *Clin Case Rep* 2016;4:896-898.
- 13** Jo MS, Jeong JY. A rare cause of dyspnea: cervicothoracic cystic lymphangioma. *J Craniofac Surg* 2017;28:706-707.
- 14** Laje P, Tharakan SJ, Hedrick HL. Immediate operative management of the fetus with airway anomalies resulting from congenital malformations. *Semin Fetal Neonatal Med* 2016;21:240-245.
- 15** Ono K, Kikuchi A, Miyashita S, Iwasawa Y, Miyachi K, Sunagawa S, et al. Fetus with prenatally diagnosed posterior mediastinal lymphangioma: characteristic ultrasound and magnetic resonance imaging findings. *Congenit Anom (Kyoto)* 2007;47:158-160.
- 16** Cozzi DA, Olivieri C, Manganaro F, Savelli S, Giancotti A, Manganaro L. Fetal abdominal lymphangioma enhanced by ultrafast MRI. *Fetal Diagn Ther* 2010;27:46-50.
- 17** Porwal PK, Dubey KP, Morey A, Singh H, Pooja S, Bose A. Bleomycin sclerotherapy in lymphangiomas of head and neck: prospective study of 8 cases. *Indian J Otolaryngol Head Neck Surg* 2017;70:145-148.

- 18** Inde Y, Yamagishi E, Kawabata I, Sekiguchi A, Nakai A, Takeshita T. Morphological changes observed via fetal ultrasound in prenatally diagnosed and isolated congenital lymphangiomas: three case reports. *J Med Ultrason* 2013;40:265-269.
- 19** Chang TS, Ricketts R, Abramowsky CR, Cotter BD, Steelman CK, Husain A, et al. Mesenteric cystic masses: a series of 21 pediatric cases and review of the literature. *Fetal Pediatr Pathol* 2011;30:40-44.
- 20** Lu D, Wang Y, Zeng W, Peng B. Giant fetal lymphangioma at chest wall and prognosis: case report and literature review. *Taiwan J Obstet Gynecol* 2015;54:62-65.
- 21** Noia G, Pellegrino M, Masini L, Visconti D, Manzoni C, Chiaradia G, et al. Fetal cystic hygroma: the importance of natural history. *Eur J Obstet Gynecol Reprod Biol* 2013;170:407-413.
- 22** Furue A, Mochizuki J, Onishi Y, Kawano S, Kanai Y, Kemmochi M, et al. Ultrasonic findings of fetal axillary lymphangioma with intralesional hemorrhage. *J Med Ultrason (2001)* 2016;43:285-289.
- 23** Buccoliero AM, Castiglione F, Maio V, Morelli C, Martin A, Messineo A, et al. Calcified cystic lymphangioma of the mesentery: case report. *Fetal Pediatr Pathol* 2009;28:209-215.
- 24** Scofield-Kaplan SM, Sobti D, Evers BM, Hogan RN, Mancini R. Unusual location for a periorbital dermoid cyst. *Ophthal Plast Reconstr Surg* 2018;34:e208-e209.
- 25** Quintanilla-Dieck L, Penn EB Jr. Congenital neck masses. *Clin Perinatol* 2018;45:769-785.
- 26** Premkumar K, Basle MA, Jassim K, Waseem Ahamed TP. An unusual case of cervical lipoblastoma with review of literature. *J Cancer Res Ther* 2015;11:1025.
- 27** Warnock WT, Khoshnam N, Bird KM, Schaffer LR, Lou LH, Hawkins CM, et al. Congenital cyst of the pancreas: a case report and review of literature. *Fetal Pediatr Pathol* 2016;35:265-271.
- 28** Chiappinelli A, Forgues D, Galifer RB. Congenital abdominal cystic lymphangiomas: what is the correct management? *J Matern Fetal Neonatal Med* 2012;25:915-919.
- 29** Coughlin K, Flibotte J, Cahil AM, Osterhoudt K, Hedrick H, Vrecenak J. Methemoglobinemia in an infant after sclerotherapy with high-dose doxycycline. *Pediatrics* 2019;143:pii:e20181642.

Tables

Table 1

Summary of ultrasonographic typing and complications of 320 patients of cervical lymphatic malformation

Typing	Patient number	Simple cervical lymphatic malformation	Haemorrhage	Infection	Haemorrhage and infection	Calcification
Mixed type	184	143	32	3	3	3
Macrocystic type	117	78	31	5	3	0
Microcystic type	19	15	1	2	1	0
Total	320	236 (73.75)	64 (20)	10 (3.12)	7 (2.19)	3 (0.94)

Table 2

Summary of ultrasonographic typing and treatments of 320 patients of cervical lymphatic malformation

Typing	Patient number	Interventional therapy (once)	Interventional therapy (twice or more)	Operation	Operation combined with interventional therapy	Expectant treatment
Mixed type	184	85	62	16	4	17
Macrocystic type	117	69	32	11	0	5
Microcystic type	19	9	3	1	1	5
Total	320	163 (50.94)	97 (30.31)	28 (8.75)	5 (1.56)	27 (8.44)

Figures




Figure 1

a) Ultrasonography revealed a huge cystic lesion with a non-nuclear border in the left anterior neck with multiple septations. The size of the biggest cyst was 35×56×13mm. b) Colour Doppler ultrasonography revealed no blood signal in the wall and septation of the cyst. c) Magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) showed an irregular polycystic mass shadow located in the subcutaneous soft tissue of the left anterior neck, with a high signal on T2-weighted images and an equal signal on T1-weighted images,

extending to the upper mediastinum region. The upper edge of the lesion reached the level of C2, and the lesion surrounded the common carotid artery. The trachea was slightly compressed to the right.
d) Postoperative pathological result confirmed the diagnosis of cervical lymphatic malformation.




Figure 2

.a) Ultrasonography revealed a very low-echo lesion with a clear boundary, 26×11×24 mm in size, located in the left neck. b) After 2 months, ultrasonography revealed that the lesion had grown to 50×20×40mm, and colour Doppler ultrasonography revealed dot blood flow in the edge of the lesion. c) After 4 days, computed tomography revealed a mass shadow with clear margins, 26×32×30 mm in size. Enhanced scanning revealed annular enhancement not closely related to the neck vessels. d) The postoperative pathological result was lipoblastoma.

Supplementary Files

This is a list of supplementary files associated with this preprint. Click to download.

- [2020515Additionalfile1lymphangiomadata.xlsx](#)