

ENgage YOung people earlyY (ENYOY): A mixed-method study design for a digital transdiagnostic clinical – and peer- moderated treatment platform for youth with beginning mental health complaints in the Netherlands.

Marilon van Doorn (✉ m.vandoorn@amsterdamumc.nl)

Amsterdam UMC - Locatie AMC: Amsterdam UMC Locatie AMC <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-2396-0069>

Arne Popma

Bascule

Thérèse van Amelsvoort

Maastricht University: Universiteit Maastricht

Carla McEnery

Orygen Youth Health

John Gleeson

Australian Catholic University

Ferko Öry

Buurtzorg Jong

Monique Jaspers

Amsterdam UMC - Locatie AMC: Amsterdam UMC Locatie AMC

Mario Alvarez-Jiminez

Orygen Youth Health

Dorien Nieman

Amsterdam UMC - Locatie AMC: Amsterdam UMC Locatie AMC

Research Article

Keywords: Indicated prevention, mental health, E-health, digital, youth, Headspace, stress-biomarkers, moderated online social therapy (MOST+), well-being, early detection and intervention

Posted Date: June 1st, 2021

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.21203/rs.3.rs-273804/v1>

License:  This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License.

[Read Full License](#)

Abstract

- **Background:** The onset of mental disorders typically occurs between the ages of 12 and 25, and the burden of mental health problems is the most consequential for this group. Indicated prevention interventions to target individuals with subclinical symptoms to prevent the transition to clinical levels of disorders, even leading to suicide, have shown to be effective. However, the threshold to seek help appears to be high. Digital interventions could offer a solution, especially during the Covid-19 pandemic. This implementation study will investigate the digital indicated prevention intervention ENgage YOung people Early (ENYOY), the Dutch version of the original Moderated Online Social Therapy Platform (MOST+) from Australia. In addition, the relationship between stress biomarkers, symptoms and outcome measures of youth using the platform will be investigated in this study.
- **Methods:** The MOST+ platform will be adapted, translated and developed for the situation in the Netherlands in collaboration with a Youth Panel. A prospective cohort of 125 young people (12-25 years) with beginning mental health complaints will be on the platform and followed for a year, of which 10 participants will have an additional smart watch and 10 participants will be asked to provide feedback about the platform. Data will be collected at baseline and after 3, 6 and 12 months. Outcome measures are Psychological Distress assessed with the Kessler Psychological Distress Scale (K10), Social and Occupational Functioning Assessment Scale (SOFAS), positive mental health indicators measured by the Positive Health Instrument, stress biomarkers with a smart-watch, website journeys of visitors, and feedback of youth about the platform. It will be a mixed-method study design, containing qualitative and quantitative measures.
- **Discussion:** This trial will specifically address young people with emerging mental health complaints, and offers a new approach for treatment in the Netherlands. Considering the waiting lists in (Child and adolescent)-psychiatry and the increase in suicides among youth, early low-threshold and non-stigmatizing help to support young people with emerging psychiatric symptoms is of crucial importance. Moreover, this project aims to bridge the gap between child and adolescent and adult psychiatry.
- **Trial registration:** Netherlands Trial Register ID NL8966, retrospectively registered.

Introduction

Background and rationale 6a

About 1 in 4 individuals living in the western world suffer from a psychiatric disorder in a given year (2), and more than half will meet the criteria for a psychiatric disorder at some point in their life (3, 4). Mental disorders account for a larger proportion of disability in developed countries than any other group of illnesses, including cancer and heart disease (5). The waiting lists to receive adequate mental care are constantly growing (6), and costs associated with mental disorders are considerable and rising (e.g. (7). As an example, financial costs associated with mental disorders in the Netherlands are 4 billion euro annually (8) not to mention the degree of human suffering these disorders induce in patients and their

families (9). Studies show that the onset of most mental disorders commonly fall within the timeframe from early teens to mid-twenties (10, 11), negatively affecting quality of life (12), life expectancy (13) social functioning, ability to work (12), and (self-)stigmatization (12, 14, 15). Even though a proportion of mental disorders in young people resolves without professional help in their late twenties, much pain, unrealised potential, disability, or premature death will have occurred by then. Physiological changes that occur during puberty strongly affect behaviour and emotional functioning, creating a disjunction between physical, intellectual, and psychosocial maturity (16). Adolescence and early adulthood is also a time of major structural and functional changes in the brain, driven by a series of maturational processes that result in the refinement of the neuronal circuitry and a recalibration of the inhibitory-excitatory balance, particularly in the frontal cortex (17). There is an urgency to reform our current mental health care system to address the needs of youth. Young people who are experts by experience indicated the following problems with current Dutch system of mental health care: 1) The focus is too much on diagnosing and treatment protocols while young people want to receive individualized help for their problem. 2) When young people receive a psychiatric diagnosis, treatment protocols are focused on that particular diagnosis while other problems are often left untreated (e.g. drug use, low self-esteem). 3) Many psychiatric services are not appealing to youth because people of all ages are treated there and the approach is not aligned with the needs of young people. 4) The threshold to seek professional help is high because of fear of stigma associated with psychiatric illness. 5) The gap between youth and adult mental health services at age 18 hampers continuity of care (18, 19).

Prevention and early intervention are essential for reducing the psychosocial and economic impact of any potentially serious health condition (20, 21). The preventive approach has worked well in many other fields of medicine such as oncology and cardiology, vastly improving prognosis (e.g. (22, 23)). However, in the Dutch system of mental health care, people need to get a DSM diagnosis to receive reimbursement for their healthcare costs, even though at the stage of a DSM diagnosis, a mental disorder has worsened and prognosis has deteriorated (24). Intervention in an earlier phase of possible onset of mental disorders is more effective than intervention after onset of a full-blown disorder, because psychosocial and neurobiological damage is less extensive and subjects are more responsive to treatment at this stage (20, 21, 25). Due to the effectiveness of prevention and early intervention, clinical staging in psychiatry is receiving more attention worldwide (1, 26). The model provides clear cut-offs between stages, making it usable in clinical practice (27). In the current project, we focus on youth in stages 1a: Help-seeking individuals with mild symptoms and mild functional impairment, and stage 1b: People with attenuated syndromes with partial specificity, often with mixed or ambiguous symptoms and moderate functional impairment. Inter-rater reliability of this model is good, with 90% concordance between experienced raters). See below for the full overview of the clinical stages.

Stage	Description
Stage 0	Asymptomatic individuals at risk of a disorder who have not yet presented for care
Stage 1a	Help-seeking individuals with mild symptoms and mild functional impairment
Stage 1b	People with attenuated syndromes with partial specificity, often with mixed or ambiguous symptoms and moderate functional impairment
Stage 2	People with discrete disorders: clear episodes of psychotic, manic, or severe depressive symptoms
Stage 3	People with recurrent or persistent disorders
Stage 4	People with severe, treatment-resistant, or unremitting disorder

Table 1. Clinical staging model (Adapted from Hickie and colleagues (1)).

Early detection and treatment of mental health complaints as well as personalized health care for young people have been successfully implemented in Australia guided by the leadership of Patrick McGorry with over 120 Headspace centres that aim to prevent chronicity and referral to specialized mental health services (28, 29). Young people aged 12-25, their family and friends can seek help for general health and education problems, drug use and (emerging) mental health complaints. Evidence-based interventions are provided in a stepped-care manner, i.e. the least invasive and harmless evidence-based interventions are employed first (16, 30, 31). The Headspace model has bridged the traditional service gap between youth and adult mental health services by not cutting off access to services at age 18 (32).

However, several studies show only a third of young people seek help for their mental health problems (33, 34), and most individuals receive mental health care at a much later stage (35-37). Since the step to seek help remains relatively large, Within a national youth e-mental health service (eHeadspace Generation Next), Australia successfully implemented the Moderated Online Social Therapy (MOST+); an evidence based digital interactive peer and clinical moderated treatment platform for youth with beginning mental health problems (38). Inspired by the Australian Headspace approach, Headspace centres have been implemented in the Netherlands, Denmark and Ireland. However, the MOST+ platform has not been implemented yet in Europe. This project aims to support young people (between the ages of 12-25) with beginning mental health problems by translating, adjusting and implementing the MOST+ digital platform to the situation in the Netherlands in collaboration with a youth panel. For the Dutch version of the platform, we use the name ENgage YOung people earlyY (ENYOY). Research shows that the MOST+ site leads to minimal drop out and enhances continuation of treatment effects (32, 38). This model has the potential to realise the goal of specialized treatment by providing cost-effective, non-stigmatising, constantly available support to young people suffering from mental health problems.

Furthermore, the relation between stress and mental disorders, such as depression, has been subject of research (39) and less stress reactivity has been shown to predict symptom improvement in children with anxiety disorders (40). Different patterns in parasympathetic and sympathetic nervous system activation respond to different kinds of treatments. Thus, stress biomarkers could be useful for individualized prognosis and treatment selection (41). Developments in ambulatory sensor and information technology enable researchers to equip part of the young people who are on the platform with a smart-watch for minimally-invasive stress monitoring, aiming to clarify the relation between stress and their activities, and enhancing their autonomy, insight and resilience.

Objectives

With this implementation study, we aim to reduce the chance that young people develop a serious mental disorder, escalation of problems with resulting chronicity and long treatment trajectories in the Netherlands. With a stepped care and positive psychology approach we strengthen the natural recovery potential of young people with emerging mental health complaints (9, 32). We will use the following parameters to investigate the effects and functionality of the ENYOY platform in the Netherlands: 1) empowerment, psychosocial functioning, quality of life, hope and recovery, 2) mental symptoms of young people aged 12-25 years 3) stress biomarkers 4) user experiences. We will employ the formulation of health as “the ability to adapt and to self-manage” (42). In Australia over one-third of MOST+ clients had significant improvements in psychological distress (K10; (43)) and a similar proportion in psychosocial functioning (SOFAS; (44)). Sixty per cent of clients showed significant improvement on one or both measures (31). Our hypothesis is that the project will attain similar results of improvement among young people in psychological distress and psychosocial functioning with ENYOY platform in the Netherlands. Additionally, stress is one of the most-studied pathways to mental disorders. Stress indicators may prove useful as biomarkers of psychopathology. This project will contribute to determining the utility of such biomarkers for prognosis and the development of new treatment options. We will assess stress parameters with a smart-watch and time series analysis (45, 46). A significant reduction in stress parameters is expected at follow up. Another important objective in this project is to engage young people themselves to share their concerns, ideas and suggestions with the research team by enhancing participation of youth experts who experienced mental health problems and enable the project team to learn from their experience.

Objectives:

1. To investigate the effects of the adapted MOST+ platform in the Netherlands on empowerment, psychosocial functioning, quality of life, hope and recovery as well as mental symptoms of young people aged 12-25 years
2. To investigate the utility of biomarkers for prognosis and the development of new treatment options.
3. To engage young people themselves to share their concerns, ideas and suggestions with the research team by enhancing participation of youth experts who experienced mental health problems and enable the project team to learn from their experience.

4. Analyze feedback of young people on the platform concerning usability, user friendliness, accessibility, acceptability, connection among peers, and contribution of the platform to the lessening of mental health complaints and increase of positive mental health.

Trial design 8

This study has a longitudinal cohort mixed-method design (qualitative and quantitative research) and equivalence framework. It has a single group, therefore allocation-ratio is not applicable.

Methods: Participants, Interventions And Outcomes

Study setting 9

The study setting is a digital treatment environment (ENYOY). The Dutch participants will be recruited via Dutch the @ease centers in Amsterdam and Maastricht, plus via social media. The physical study site is the Amsterdam UMC, though most of the interviews with participants, measures, and conversation and measurements will take place online, partly because of the current COVID-19 pandemic.

Eligibility criteria 10

Inclusion criteria

- Age 16-25, and with METC approval possibly at a later stage in the study age 12-25
- Help-seeking for mental health concerns in stages 1a or 1b
- Being able and willing to consent

Exclusion criteria

- Mental disorder in clinical stages 2-4
- Acute risk of self-harm requiring urgent intervention (i.e., suicidal ideation with a current plan and intent to enact this plan)

The interventions will be supported by expert mental health and peer moderators to ensure the safety of the intervention and to directly support participants with moderation, chats and referral to other forms mental health care if needed.

Who will take informed consent? 26a

Once a young person shows interest in the study, the participant information and informed consent forms will be sent. The project staff will inform if this information is received, and after one week the young person will be asked if he/she has any questions, and wants to participate. If a young person wants to participate, an intake will take place to determine if the ENYOY platform would be helpful for the young

person, or if other care is needed. If there is a match, within one week a research assistant from the project staff will obtain informed consent before the baseline measurements take place.

Intervention description 11a

The Australian MOST+ platform is based on evidence-based modules for mental health complaints, e.g. Cognitive Behavioral Therapy and mindfulness. Each participant will start on the platform with the 'onboarding' process. This is a process where clinical presenting issues and character strengths of the individual will be measured (based on Seligman's positive psychology framework (47, 48) aligned with the empirically supported VIA questionnaire(49, 50)), following which the algorithm of the platform makes an individual tailored treatment journey suggestion for that person. The project group will consider the following modules (building blocks) of the MOST+ platform for translation and adaptation in the Netherlands:

- a. Guided therapy journeys: A program of engaging evidence-based therapy (Mindfulness-Based Cognitive Therapy, Cognitive Behavioral Therapy, Meta-Cognitive Therapy, and Social-Cognition strategies) tailored to young person's needs by automated processes and ENYOY therapists. For example, programs for social anxiety challenges, worrying or depressive symptoms where themed content can be curated to needs of individual. Transdiagnostic processes are found in the domains of attention, memory/imagery, thinking, reasoning and behavior. Activities within a therapy journey entail:
 - a. Reflective Actions: Behavioral or cognitive experiments to improve the ability to notice thought processes in order to build insight and self-awareness of these processes.
 - b. Regular Actions: Assist the young person trial learnings in real-world contexts, hereby generalizing adaptive coping strategies and behaviors (increasing self-efficacy and challenging cognitions via experiments)
 - c. Therapy Comics: Comics that offer an engaging, powerful and accessible means of understanding mental ill health challenges. Narratives offer young people new ways of negotiating the challenges of mental illness.
 - d. Talking Points: Subjects that provide young people with an opportunity to share their effective coping strategies. This encourages social problem solving and peer modeling/learning
 - e. Strength-Based Actions: Actions to assist the young person use their innate character strengths to overcome mental health challenges. Helps young person utilize their strengths (rather than emphasis on alleviating symptoms)
 - f. Myth Busters: Information to help challenge internal stigma and help normalize and validate young people's experiences
 - g. Inspirational quotes
 - h. Fun Facts: Facts to provide psychoeducation on a particular topic, which can also assist with de-stigmatizing particular experiences

- b. Personalized therapy toolkit: A personal library of young person's therapy work and favorite strategies. Therapy activities that are helpful are automatically saved to the toolkit, and young people can save content in their toolkit.
- c. Safe online social network: A moderated virtual support network of other young people with a shared experience of mental health problems, there to support young persons if and when they need it on their recovery journey
- d. Professional online support: A base wrap-around support from online peer workers and ENYOY therapist.

In summary the ENYOY platform will contain:

1. peer-to-peer online social networking;
2. individually tailored interactive psychosocial interventions;
3. involvement of expert mental health and peer moderators to ensure the safety of the intervention and to directly support participants with moderation, chats, and referrals to other forms of health care if needed.

Concerning clinical safety, the MOST+ safety protocol builds on the excellent track record of the Australian group managing 24/7 available digital social media interventions safely (51). Manual and automated procedures will be followed. Firstly, information related to clinical risk (posts or messages) will be screened twice daily by therapists. Secondly, MOST+ incorporates an automatic alert system which detects information consistent with increased risk of suicide (via automated monitoring of self-harm-related terms posted in the social feed using previously validated approaches; (51)). Any detected increased risk will activate the safety protocol. The therapist will conduct a telephone risk assessment and, where necessary, implement one or more of the following procedures: i) inform the treating clinician (if relevant); ii) inform nominated emergency contact; iii) liaise with suitable emergency services. Additional safety features include a reporting function for users and visible 24/7 emergency numbers. This safety protocol has been approved by three ethics committees and successfully implemented in four pilot studies and two ongoing RCTs (52). Concerning ICT safety, the platform has been adjusted to European laws and safety standards together with a privacy officer.

Criteria for discontinuing or modifying allocated interventions 11b

If mental health complaints of a participant worsen, e.g. develop into stage 2 or above (see introduction), together with the participant the assigned clinical moderator and ENYOY team will look into finding more intensive care. This does not exclude them per se from the platform and current study; they can have additional help and still participate. The form and intensity of additional care will be logged. Following discontinuation, treatment will be offered on a "need for care-clinician's choice" basis according to existing clinical practice guidelines. Wherever possible, subjects who discontinue the study prior to 12 months from entry will be followed with full regular assessments as per the subjects who continue with the protocol treatment.

Strategies to improve adherence to interventions 11c

The adherence to the platform is continually measured by the platform itself. The clinical moderators have access to usage data as well as the percentage of the personal pathway completed. With the weekly check-up they have with each participant, this will be discussed. In weekly supervision sessions among clinical-, peer moderators, and supervisors adherence of participants will be discussed, and thought about ways to improve when found low.

Relevant concomitant care permitted or prohibited during the trial 11d

All other forms of care, co-interventions, are permitted during the trial. Co-interventions will be registered with the treatment document sheet, and could be used for explorative analysis.

Outcomes 12

Data will be collected digitally using CASTOR at baseline and after 3, 6 and 12 months.

Outcome measures:

- Psychological Distress assessed with the Kessler Psychological Distress Scale (K10, (43))
- Social and Occupational Functioning Assessment Scale (SOFAS, (44))
- A measure that assesses empowerment, psychosocial functioning, quality of life, hope and recovery as well as mental symptoms of young people aged 12-25 years (42, 53). The positive health instrument of Huber (<http://www.ipositivehealth.com/>) qualifies for this purpose but young people commented that the language should be adjusted to better match their age group. There is also an app version of the positive health questionnaire, a method of assessment that is more appealing to young people. The Youth Panel, Huber and the project-group will revise the instrument and validate it in the current study. Mrs. Huber is already involved in the project.☒
- Stress biomarkers with a smart-watch. Participating clients who are interested will receive a smart-watch that continuously monitors relevant physiological markers of stress during 3 weeks. Available technology (e.g. the TIQ watch E2) is validated, and can measure heart rate variability. In this way, both incidental and cumulative stress can be validly assessed in a naturalistic setting. The participants will receive a short introduction for use about the watch. They can check their stress under different circumstances to discover the relationship between their activities and stress. The watch could also be used during their visits to the ENYOY site to measure the changes in stress level during exercises on the platform, such as mindfulness exercises, self-compassion elements and the anxiety program.
- “Websites journeys” of visitors (number of visits, number of selected activities etc.) will be collected. The website journeys will be anonymously logged under a system generated ID number and session number.

- Open questions asked in an digital online meeting (using MS teams) regarding usability, user friendliness, accessibility, acceptability, connection among peers, and contribution of the platform. The focus will lie on subjective meaning-giving and context, thereby focusing on the individual. The experience and interpretation of the participants will be explored. The presented results will form descriptions and insights into the core constructs, and help improve the ENYOY platform further.

Participant timeline 13

For the participant timeline, see figure below. During the 12 months, participants can use the platform as much as needed.

Sample size 14

Rickwood et al (2015a (31)) reported significant change (effect size Cohen's $d > .5$) in the K10 and SOFAS between their first and last Headspace service ratings. In Australia over one-third of Headspace clients had significant improvements in psychological distress (K10; (43)) and a similar proportion in psychosocial functioning (SOFAS; (44)). Sixty percent of clients showed significant improvement on one or both measures. These outcomes were derived from face-to-face services, however similar effects are suspected since a similar framework will be used. Using a paired samples t-test with 80% power and an alpha of 0.01, we would need a sample of 43 subjects to find similar results. Drop-out rates for digital treatment interventions for young people with beginning mental health complaints have been found to range between 2 and 73% (54). Inclusion of 125 subjects in the study would give us ample power to investigate our main hypothesis.

Recruitment 15

Due to the COVID-19 crisis, there are now too few face-to-face appointments and intakes in the Headspace and other participating centers. Therefore, young people will be recruited via social media and websites for young people as well. Young people aged 12-25 years who show interest will receive an online intake via a secured environment (MS Teams). They will be assessed for the in- and exclusion criteria and demographic variables will be collected. When eligible, a second appointment will take place, where the informed consent can be signed, the first measurements take place (see flow diagrams above), and an account for the platform will be set up.

Data collection and management

Plans for assessment and collection of outcomes 18a

To determine eligibility, a clinical interview and screening will take place. In intervision with a team of psychologist the operationalization of the clinical staging model will (1) be used to determine the stage of mental health problems.

The data on T0, T1, T2 and T3 will be collected in CASTOR, which enables safety and anonymous storing of outcomes. The research team will be trained in how to collect the measures (questionnaires) and in how to use CASTOR.

The K10 is an instrument measuring psychological distress using 10 questions. Strong psychometric qualities have been found (43).

The Social and Occupational Functioning Scale (SOFAS) rates the social and occupational functioning of individuals. It is different from the Global Assessment of Functioning (GAF) known from the DSM-IV since it does not take into account psychological symptoms, and does take into account impairments due to a general medical conditioning. It is usually used for the evaluation of the current time period (44). The SOFAS has a good reliability and validity (55).

The Positive Health Instrument is an instrument that assesses empowerment, psychosocial functioning, quality of life, hope and recovery as well as mental symptoms. The instrument is relatively new and still being researched (53). Overall good reliability was found; the validity has not been researched yet (56).

Plans to promote participant retention and complete follow-up 18b

Participants will receive financial compensation for their time (9 euros per hour) and travel costs will be fully reimbursed at the end and completion of all measurements. All deviations from protocol will be reported.

Data management 19

Upon enrolment, participants will receive a participant number. In a document saved with a password on a protected computer environment the key will be saved. All data will be stored using solely the participant number. As mentioned before, CASTOR will be used for safe storage. All research assistants will be trained to promote safety, and data quality. Random check-ups by other research assistants will be done to assure data is saved correctly. Moreover, data will always be processed by two research assistants, to promote accurateness.

Confidentiality 27

As stated above, the personal information of participants will be stored separately from the data of the research, and is only accessible for the selected research staff, with a password. Moreover, potential participants will get a screening number, using the same process of separate data from personal information. If a young person is interested in participation, he/she can send an email to a protected mailbox, thereby ensuring safety also in the shown interest. Only the research team has access to the mailbox. After the trial the data will be deidentified and saved for 15 years, in the controlled environment.

Statistical methods

Statistical methods for primary and secondary outcomes 20a

The study has a longitudinal cohort design. Following Rickwood et al (31) frequencies of each primary presenting concern will be calculated, and age group and sex differences will be assessed by X2 analyses with Bonferroni correction for multiple comparisons. Changes in social functioning (SOFAS) and psychological stress (K10) measures over time will be analyzed in two ways (57). First, repeated measures multivariate analysis of covariance (MANCOVA) will be used to assess aggregate changes over time in K10 and SOFAS scores according to time point. Time on the platform, therapy pathway complement, number of visits to the ENYOY platform, age group and/or sex will be used as covariates. The statistical relationship between K10 and SOFAS scores will be expressed as a Pearson product-moment correlation coefficient. Differences between the characteristics of clients who provide follow-up data and those who did not will be analyzed by logistic regression. Second, significant change, reliable change and clinically significant change scores will be calculated for the K10 and SOFAS data, as increasingly conditional indicators of change. The criterion for significant change is a moderate effect size (0.5) or greater for the degree of change. The reliable change index (RCI) (indicating reliable improvement or decline) and clinically significant change index (CSI) (cut-off point at which the person is more likely to belong to a non-clinical rather than a clinical population) will be determined using Jacobson and Truax's method (58). For the K10 scores, the RCI will be estimated as a 7-point change using reliability coefficients reported for a normative group (age group, 16–24 years) in the 2007 National Survey of Mental Health and Wellbeing (59), and will be calculated individually on the sample. Using the same norms, the CSI cut-off is estimated to 23 points. For the SOFAS data, an RCI score of 10 will be used (31). Positive health and stress bio markers outcome measures will be analyzed using regression analysis and an MANCOVA to investigate relationships between stress biomarkers, symptoms, empowerment, hope, quality of life and recovery longitudinally. Time on the platform, therapy pathway complement, number of visits to the ENYOY platform, age group and/or sex will be used as covariates. Explorative analyses can be used to research the effect of additional received treatments. Finally, 10 participants will be asked additional questions concerning usability, user friendliness, accessibility, acceptability, connection among peers, and contribution of the platform. The transcripts firstly will be coded using open methods by labeling and merging synonyms, this to ensure data reduction. Secondly the labels will be categorized by axial coding, thereby resulting in systematic arrangement. Finally, selective coding will be done to couple the research question to the central constructs.

Interim analyses 21b

An interim analysis will be conducted to investigate safety and usefulness in participants aged 16-25. If so, inclusion of those aged 12-15 will be considered. In accordance to section 10, subsection 4, of the WMO, the sponsor will suspend the study if there is sufficient ground that continuation of the study will jeopardise subject health or safety. The sponsor will notify the accredited METC without undue delay of a temporary halt including the reason for such an action. The study will be suspended pending a further positive decision by the accredited METC. The investigator will take care that all subjects are kept informed.

Methods in analysis to handle protocol non-adherence and any statistical methods to handle missing data 20c

Multiple imputation (MI) will be used to handle missing data.

Plans to give access to the full protocol, participant level-data and statistical code 31c

Participant-level dataset and statistical code can only be shared upon official request with METC permission. The study protocol will be published and will thereby be accessible.

Oversight and monitoring

Adverse event reporting and harms 22

The safety protocol will be consulted in the case of serious adverse events and adverse events. All serious adverse events and adverse events will be categorized, recorded and reported to sponsor, local trial site, clinical trials unit (CTU), and trial oversight committees. The investigator will report all SAEs to the sponsor without undue delay after obtaining knowledge of the events. The sponsor will report the SAEs through the web portal ToetsingOnline to the accredited METC that approved the protocol, within 15 days after the sponsor has first knowledge of the serious adverse reactions.

All AEs will be followed until they have abated, or until a stable situation has been reached. Depending on the event, follow up may require additional tests or medical procedures as indicated, and/or referral to the general physician or a medical specialist.

SAEs need to be reported till end of study within the Netherlands, as defined in the protocol.

Plans for communicating important protocol amendments to relevant parties (e.g. trial participants, ethical committees) 25

Amendments are changes made to the research after a favorable opinion by the accredited METC has been given. All amendments will be notified to the METC that gave a favorable opinion. All parties (e.g. investigators, participants, researchers, financiers) will receive updates on changes.

Dissemination plans 31a

The results of scientific research involving human subjects must be disclosed unreservedly and there are no objections on this regard. The participants can request to receive the results of the study. Moreover presentations for the general public, and other healthcare professionals will take place in order to make found results accessible.

Discussion

This trial will specifically address young people with emerging mental health complaints, and offer a new approach for preventive intervention in the Netherlands. Treating emerging mental health problems before they develop into more chronic mental illnesses, could contribute to decreasing the burden of mental health problems for youth, and decreasing mental health associated costs (7-9). Considering the waiting lists in (Child and adolescent) psychiatry and the increase in suicides among youths, early low-threshold and non-stigmatizing help for young people with emerging psychiatric symptoms is of crucial importance. The transition from child to adult psychiatry holds a risk for disruption in continuity of care (60, 61). This project aims to bridge the gap between child and adolescent and adult psychiatry. This trial will contribute to the implementation of a transdiagnostic, digital, clinical – and peer- moderated, indicative prevention treatment platform for youth with beginning mental health complaints in the Netherlands. Moreover, this is the first time the platform is translated and adjusted to a country outside of Australia. The project could contribute to the implementation of the platform in other countries as well.

Trial status

Protocol version 1.0, 30 September 2020. Start of inclusion July 2020. Estimate recruitment complete end of April 2021. Estimate data of finishing data collection end of April 2022.

Declarations

Acknowledgements

We are grateful for all individuals who participate in the ENYOY study and are essential for its successful completion. We thank all clinical moderators, peer moderators, members of the youth panel, and researchers (Laurens Nijhuis, Mees Egeler, Ria Vranken Lorenzo, Chantal Angeneind, Kate Avis, Emma Ruigt, Rubina Sewambar, Pien Verhoeven, Senna Dik, Anne Monsanto, Willemijn Leenheer, Cindy van Stratum, Paul Gelissen, Noortje Rotterdam, Tamara Schouten, Jona Cohen, and Wesley van Dijen). Further we would like to thank all who helped with the implementation (Simon d'Alfonso, Jane Haskings, Penni Russon, Tamsyn Gilbertson, Jess Phillips, Hailey Tremain, Rafi Armanto, Christopher Miles, Olivia Howat, Wico Mulder, Flore Joskin, Margit Mulder, Claire Veldkamp, Houssain Mouhmouh), and all clinicians who referred their clients to us.

Authors' contributions 31b

DN guided the protocol development. MvD organized and wrote the study protocol. AP and TvA contributed to the study design and to development of the proposal. CM, JG, FO, MJ and MA contributed to the protocol from their expertise. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Funding 4

Financial funding by The Netherlands Organisation for Health Research and Development, for personnel, materials, and implementation. File number 60-63600-98-319

Availability of data and materials 29

The data resulting from the current study will not be publicly available. The data, protocol, statistical code and a list of study sites are available from the corresponding author on reasonable request.

Ethics approval and consent to participate 24

The trial has received ethical approval from the Medical Ethics Review Committee (MERC) at Amsterdam University Medical Centre (AMC), the Netherlands (reference: NL66345.018.18). Written informed consent will be obtained from all participants before inclusion to the study. The sponsor/investigator has a liability insurance which is in accordance with article 7 of the WMO. The sponsor (also) has an insurance which is in accordance with the legal requirements in the Netherlands (Article 7 WMO). This insurance provides cover for damage to research subjects through injury or death caused by the study. The insurance applies to the damage that becomes apparent during the study or within 4 years after the end of the study.

Consent for publication 32

Model consent form, and related documentation available on request.

Competing interests 28

The authors report no conflicts of interest.

Authors' information (optional)

Abbreviations

ANBI Algemeen Nut Beogende Instelling

ABR	ABR form, General Assessment and Registration form, is the application form that is required for submission to the accredited Ethics Committee (In Dutch, ABR = Algemene Beoordeling en Registratie)
AE	Adverse Event
AR	Adverse Reaction
CA	Competent Authority
CCMO	Central Committee on Research Involving Human Subjects; in Dutch: Centrale Commissie Mensgebonden Onderzoek
CV	Curriculum Vitae
DSMB	Data Safety Monitoring Board
ENYOY	ENgage YOung people early
EU	European Union
EudraCT	European drug regulatory affairs Clinical Trials
GCP	Good Clinical Practice
IB	Investigator's Brochure
IC	Informed Consent
IMP	Investigational Medicinal Product
IMPD	Investigational Medicinal Product Dossier
METC	Medical research ethics committee (MREC); in Dutch: medisch ethische toetsing commissie (METC)
(S)AE	(Serious) Adverse Event
SPC	Summary of Product Characteristics (in Dutch: officiële productinformatie IB1-tekst)
Sponsor	The sponsor is the party that commissions the organisation or performance of the research, for example a pharmaceutical company, academic hospital, scientific organisation or investigator. A party that provides funding for a study but does not commission it is not regarded as the sponsor, but referred to as a subsidising party.
SUSAR	Suspected Unexpected Serious Adverse Reaction
Wbp	Personal Data Protection Act (in Dutch: Wet Bescherming Persoonsgegevens)
WMO	Medical Research Involving Human Subjects Act (in Dutch: Wet Medisch-wetenschappelijk Onderzoek met Mensen)

References

1. Hickie IB, Scott EM, Hermens DF, Naismith SL, Guastella AJ, Kaur M, et al. Applying clinical staging to young people who present for mental health care. *Early Interv Psychiatry*. 2013;7(1):31-43.doi:10.1111/j.1751-7893.2012.00366.x
2. Kessler RC, Berglund P, Demler O, Jin R, Merikangas KR, Walters EE. Lifetime prevalence and age-of-onset distributions of DSM-IV disorders in the National Comorbidity Survey Replication. *Arch Gen Psychiatry*. 2005;62(6):593-602.doi:10.1001/archpsyc.62.6.593
3. Kessler RC, Chiu WT, Demler O, Merikangas KR, Walters EE. Prevalence, severity, and comorbidity of 12-month DSM-IV disorders in the National Comorbidity Survey Replication. *Arch Gen Psychiatry*. 2005;62(6):617-27.doi:10.1001/archpsyc.62.6.617
4. Angst J, Paksarian D, Cui L, Merikangas KR, Hengartner MP, Ajdacic-Gross V, et al. The epidemiology of common mental disorders from age 20 to 50: results from the prospective Zurich cohort Study. *Epidemiol Psychiatr Sci*. 2016;25(1):24-32.doi:10.1017/S204579601500027X
5. Reeves WC, Strine TW, Pratt LA, Thompson W, Ahluwalia I, Dhingra SS, et al. Mental illness surveillance among adults in the United States. *MMWR Suppl*. 2011;60(3):1-29
6. Magnée T, de Beurs D, Verhaak P. Wachttijden voor de poh-ggz. *Huisarts en wetenschap*. 2017;60(5):205.doi:10.1007/s12445-017-0131-7
7. Vigo D, Thornicroft G, Atun R. Estimating the true global burden of mental illness. *Lancet Psychiatry*. 2016;3(2):171-8.doi:10.1016/s2215-0366(15)00505-2
8. de Ruiter G, van Greuningen M, Luijk R. Inzicht in de geestelijke gezondheidszorg. *Zorgthermometer GGZ, Vektis*. 2017;Enschede: Drukkerij Roelofs:1-38
9. Nieman DN. *Prevention in Mental Health Care: Time for a new approach*. Oxford, UK: Routledge. 2016
10. Insel TR, Fenton WS. Psychiatric epidemiology - It's not just about counting anymore. *Arch Gen Psychiatr*. 2005;62(6):590-2.doi:10.1001/archpsyc.62.6.590
11. Jones PB. Adult mental health disorders and their age at onset. *Br J Psychiatry Suppl*. 2013;54:s5-10.doi:10.1192/bjp.bp.112.119164
12. Rüsç N, Corrigan P, Todd A, Bodenhausen G. Implicit Self-Stigma in People With Mental Illness. *The Journal of nervous and mental disease*. 2010;198:150-3.doi:10.1097/NMD.0b013e3181cc43b5
13. Mathers C. Global Burden of Disease. *International Encyclopedia of Public Health*. 2017:256-67.doi:10.1016/b978-0-12-803678-5.00175-2
14. Corrigan P. How stigma interferes with mental health care. *Am Psychol*. 2004;59(7):614-25.doi:10.1037/0003-066X.59.7.614
15. Muntaner C, Ng E, Vanroelen C, Christ S, Eaton WW. Social stratification, social closure and social class as determinants of mental health disparities. In Aneshensel CS, Phelan JC, Bierman A, editors, *Handbook of the Sociology of Mental Health*. Second Edition. 2 ed. Dordrecht: Springer. 2013:205-28
16. McGorry PD, Goldstone SD, Parker AG, Rickwood DJ, Hickie IB. Cultures for mental health care of young people: an Australian blueprint for reform. *Lancet Psychiatry*. 2014;1(7):559-

68.doi:10.1016/S2215-0366(14)00082-0

17. Keshavan MS, Giedd J, Lau JY, Lewis DA, Paus T. Changes in the adolescent brain and the pathophysiology of psychotic disorders. *Lancet Psychiatry*. 2014;1(7):549-58.doi:10.1016/S2215-0366(14)00081-9
18. Singh SP, Tuomainen H. Transition from child to adult mental health services: needs, barriers, experiences and new models of care. *World Psychiatry*. 2015;14(3):358-61.doi:10.1002/wps.20266
19. van Amelsvoort T. Bridging the gap. *Tijdschr Psychiatr*. 2014;56(10):638-9
20. Fox S, Southwell A, Stafford N, Goodhue R, Jackson D, Smith C. *Better Systems, Better Chances: A Review of Research and Practice for Prevention and Early Intervention*. 2015
21. Munoz RF, Cuijpers P, Smit F, Barrera AZ, Leykin Y. Prevention of major depression. *Annu Rev Clin Psychol*. 2010;6:181-212.doi:10.1146/annurev-clinpsy-033109-132040
22. Shapiro MD, Maron DJ, Morris PB, Kosiborod M, Sandesara PB, Virani SS, et al. Preventive Cardiology as a Subspecialty of Cardiovascular Medicine JACC Council Perspectives. *J Am Coll Cardiol*. 2019;74(15):1928-42
23. Broberg AM, Geisler J, Tuohinen S, Skytta T, Hrafnkelsdottir Thorn J, Nielsen KM, et al. Prevention, Detection, and Management of Heart Failure in Patients Treated for Breast Cancer. *Curr Heart Fail Rep*. 2020;17(6):397-408.doi:10.1007/s11897-020-00486-8
24. Nieman DH, McGorry PD. Detection and treatment of at-risk mental state for developing a first psychosis: making up the balance. *Lancet Psychiatry*. 2015;2(9):825-34.doi:10.1016/S2215-0366(15)00221-7
25. Iorfino F, Scott EM, Carpenter JS, Cross SP, Hermens DF, Killedar M, et al. Clinical Stage Transitions in Persons Aged 12 to 25 Years Presenting to Early Intervention Mental Health Services With Anxiety, Mood, and Psychotic Disorders. *JAMA Psychiatry*. 2019.doi:10.1001/jamapsychiatry.2019.2360
26. McGorry PD, Hickie IB, Yung AR, Pantelis C, Jackson HJ. Clinical staging of psychiatric disorders: a heuristic framework for choosing earlier, safer and more effective interventions. *Aust N Z J Psychiatry*. 2006;40(8):616-22.doi:10.1080/j.1440-1614.2006.01860.x
27. Shah JL, Scott J, McGorry PD, Cross SPM, Keshavan MS, Nelson B, et al. Transdiagnostic clinical staging in youth mental health: a first international consensus statement. *World Psychiatry*. 2020;19(2):233-42.doi:10.1002/wps.20745
28. McGorry PD, Mei C. Unmet Needs in Youth Mental Health: Transforming Models of Care to Improve Outcomes. Pompili M, McIntyre R, Fiorillo A, Sartorius N (eds) *New Directions in Psychiatry*: Springer, Cham; 2020.doi:10.1007/978-3-030-42637-8_11
29. Rickwood D, Paraskakis M, Quin D, Hobbs N, Ryall V, Trethowan J, et al. Australia's innovation in youth mental health care: The headspace centre model. *Early Interv Psychiatry*. 2019;13(1):159-66.doi:10.1111/eip.12740
30. Rickwood DJ, Telford NR, Mazzer KR, Parker AG, Tanti CJ, McGorry PD. The services provided to young people by headspace centres in Australia. *Med J Australia*. 2015;202(10):533-+.doi:10.5694/mja14.01695


31. Rickwood DJ, Mazzer KR, Telford NR, Parker AG, Tanti CJ, McGorry PD. Changes in psychological distress and psychosocial functioning in young people visiting headspace centres for mental health problems. *Med J Aust.* 2015;202(10):537-42.doi:10.5694/mja14.01696
32. Alvarez-Jimenez M, Gleeson JF, Rice S, Gonzalez-Blanch C, Bendall S. Online peer-to-peer support in youth mental health: seizing the opportunity. *Epidemiol Psych Sci.* 2016;25(2):123-6.doi:10.1017/S2045796015001092
33. Gulliver A, Griffiths KM, Christensen H. Perceived barriers and facilitators to mental health help-seeking in young people: a systematic review. *BMC Psychiatry.* 2010;10:113-42.doi:10.1186/1471-244X-10-113
34. Green H, McGinnity Á, Meltzer H, Ford T, Goodman R. *Mental health of children and young people in Great Britain, 2004*: Palgrave Macmillan Basingstoke; 2005.
35. Bandelow B, Schuller K. Mean age and gender distribution of patients with major mental disorders participating in clinical trials. *Eur Arch Psychiatry Clin Neurosci.* 2019.doi:10.1007/s00406-018-0972-5
36. Seidler Z, Rice S, Ogrodniczuk J, Oliffe J, Shaw J, Dhillon H. Men, Masculinities, Depression: Implications for Mental Health Services From a Delphi Expert Consensus Study. *Professional Psychology Research and Practice.* 2019.doi:10.1037/pro0000220
37. Stagnaro JC, Cia AH, Vommaro H, Sustas S, Vazquez N, Serfaty E, et al. Delays in making initial treatment contact after the first onset of mental health disorders in the Argentinean Study of Mental Health Epidemiology. *Epidemiol Psychiatr Sci.* 2019;28(2):240-50.doi:10.1017/S2045796018000094
38. Alvarez-Jimenez M, Rice S, D'Alfonso S, Leicester S, Bendall S, Pryor I, Russon P, McEnery C, Santesteban-Echarri O, Costa G, Gilbertson T, Valentine L, Solves L, Ratheesh A, McGorry P, and Gleeson J. . A novel Multi-modal Online Service (MOST+) for help-seeking young people experiencing mental ill-health: a pilot evaluation within a national youth e-mental health service 2020
39. Maddock C, Pariante CM. How does stress affect you? An overview of stress, immunity, depression and disease. *Epidemiol Psychiatr Soc.* 2001;10(3):153-62.doi:10.1017/s1121189x00005285
40. van Lang NDJ, Tulen JHM, Kallen VL, Rosbergen B, Dieleman G, Ferdinand RF. Autonomic reactivity in clinically referred children attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder versus anxiety disorder. *Eur Child Adoles Psy.* 2007;16(2):71-8.doi:10.1007/s00787-006-0575-y
41. Walker AJ, Kim Y, Price JB, Kale RP, McGillivray JA, Berk M, et al. Stress, inflammation, and cellular vulnerability during early stages of affective disorders: biomarker strategies and opportunities for prevention and intervention. *Frontiers in Psychiatry.* 2014;5.doi:ARTN 34 10.3389/fpsy.2014.00034
42. Huber M, Knottnerus JA, Green L, van der Horst H, Jadad AR, Kromhout D, et al. How should we define health? *Brit Med J.* 2011;343.doi:ARTN d4163 10.1136/bmj.d4163
43. Kessler RC, Andrews G, Colpe LJ, Hiripi E, Mroczek DK, Normand SL, et al. Short screening scales to monitor population prevalences and trends in non-specific psychological distress. *Psychol Med.* 2002;32(6):959-76.doi:10.1017/s0033291702006074

44. Goldman HH, Skodol AE, Lave TR. Revising Axis-V for Dsm-Iv - a Review of Measures of Social Functioning. *Am J Psychiat*. 1992;149(9):1148-56
45. van Lier HG, Pieterse ME, Garde A, Postel MG, de Haan HA, Vollenbroek-Hutten MMR, et al. A standardized validity assessment protocol for physiological signals from wearable technology: Methodological underpinnings and an application to the E4 biosensor. *Behav Res Methods*. 2020;52(2):607-29.doi:10.3758/s13428-019-01263-9
46. Lentferink A, Noordzij ML, Burgler A, Klaassen R, Derks Y, Oldenhuis H, et al. On the receptivity of employees to just-in-time self-tracking and eCoaching for stress management: a mixed-methods approach. *Behaviour & Information Technology*. 2021:1-27.doi:10.1080/0144929X.2021.1876764
47. Duckworth AL, Steen TA, Seligman ME. Positive psychology in clinical practice. *Annu Rev Clin Psychol*. 2005;1:629-51.doi:10.1146/annurev.clinpsy.1.102803.144154
48. Seligman MEP, Rashid T, Parks AC. Positive psychotherapy. *Am Psychol*. 2006;61(8):774-88.doi:10.1037/0003-066X.61.8.774
49. Park N, Peterson C. Character Strengths and Happiness among Young Children: Content Analysis of Parental Descriptions. *Journal of Happiness Studies*. 2006;7(3):323-41.doi:10.1007/s10902-005-3648-6
50. Niemiec R. VIA Character Strengths: Research and Practice (The First 10 Years). . Knoop H, Delle Fave A (eds) *Well-Being and Cultures Cross-Cultural Advancements in Positive Psychology*. 3: Springer, Dordrecht; 2013.doi:10.1007/978-94-007-4611-4_2
51. Gleeson JF, Lederman R, Wadley G, Benda S, McGorry PD, Alvarez-Jimenez M. Safety and Privacy Outcomes From a Moderated Online Social Therapy for Young People With First-Episode Psychosis. *Psychiat Serv*. 2014;65(4):546-50.doi:10.1176/appi.ps.201300078
52. Alvarez-Jimenez M, Alcazar-Corcoles MA, Gonzalez-Blanch C, Bendall S, McGorry PD, Gleeson JF. Online, social media and mobile technologies for psychosis treatment: a systematic review on novel user-led interventions. *Schizophr Res*. 2014;156(1):96-106.doi:10.1016/j.schres.2014.03.021
53. Huber M, van Vliet M, Giezenberg M, Winkens B, Heerkens Y, Dagnelie PC, et al. Towards a 'patient-centred' operationalisation of the new dynamic concept of health: a mixed methods study. *Bmj Open*. 2016;6(1).doi:ARTN e010091 10.1136/bmjopen-2015-010091
54. van Doorn M, Nijhuis LA , Egeler MD, Daams JG, Popma A, van Amelsvoort T, McEnery C , Gleeson JF, Öry FG, Avis KA , Ruigt E , Jaspers MWM, Alvarez-Jimenez M, Nieman DH. Online indicated prevention mental health interventions for youth: A scoping review. *Frontiers in Psychiatry*. (under review)
55. Hay P, Katsikitis M, Begg J, Da Costa J, Blumenfeld N. A two-year follow-up study and prospective evaluation of the DSM-IV axis V. *Psychiat Serv*. 2003;54(7):1028-30.doi:DOI 10.1176/appi.ps.54.7.1028
56. Karel YHJM, Van Vliet M, Lugtigheid CE, De Bot CMA, Dierx J. The concept of Positive Health for students/lecturers in the Netherlands. *Int J Health Promot*. 2019;57(5):286-96.doi:10.1080/14635240.2019.1623707

57. Wolpert M, Gorzig A, Deighton J, Fugard AJB, Newman R, Ford T. Comparison of indices of clinically meaningful change in child and adolescent mental health services: difference scores, reliable change, crossing clinical thresholds and 'added value' - an exploration using parent rated scores on the SDQ. *Child Adolesc Ment Health*. 2015;20(2):94-101.doi:10.1111/camh.12080
58. Jacobson NS, Truax P. Clinical-Significance - a Statistical Approach to Defining Meaningful Change in Psychotherapy-Research. *J Consult Clin Psych*. 1991;59(1):12-9.doi:Doi 10.1037/0022-006x.59.1.12
59. Slade T, Grove R, Burgess P. Kessler Psychological Distress Scale: normative data from the 2007 Australian National Survey of Mental Health and Wellbeing. *Aust Nz J Psychiat*. 2011;45(4):308-16.doi:Doi 10.3109/00048674.2010.543653
60. Lindgren E, Soderberg S, Skar L. The gap in transition between child and adolescent psychiatry and general adult psychiatry. *J Child Adolesc Psychiatr Nurs*. 2013;26(2):103-9.doi:10.1111/jcap.12027
61. Signorini G, Singh SP, Marsanic VB, Dieleman G, Dodig-Curkovic K, Franic T, et al. Correction to: The interface between child/adolescent and adult mental health services: results from a European 28country survey. *Eur Child Adolesc Psychiatry*. 2018;27(11):1511-2.doi:10.1007/s00787-018-1132-1

Figures

Phase 1. Inclusion period



Phase 2. Data collection




Figure 1

Participant timeline