

Use of Digital Media by Adolescents for Sexual and Reproductive Health and Rights Communication in Sub-Saharan Africa: a Protocol for Systematic Review

Josephine Akua Ackah (✉ josephine.ackah@stu.ucc.edu.gh)

University of Cape Coast <https://orcid.org/0000-0003-3840-0387>

Kobina Esia-Donkoh

University of Cape Coast

Antoinette P. Amponsah

: Marie Stopes International Cambodia

Wonder Agbemavi

University of Cape Coast

Vincent B. Bediako

University of Cape Coast

Gloria N. A. Tettey

University of Cape Coast

Protocol

Keywords: Digital media, adolescents, sexual and reproductive health and rights, sub-Saharan Africa

Posted Date: March 4th, 2021

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.21203/rs.3.rs-278455/v1>

License:  This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License. [Read Full License](#)

Abstract

Background

Within the sub-Saharan African region, there is a growing concern for sexual and reproductive health and rights communication, and more particularly, for adolescents. Given the existing barriers associated with face-to-face access, the need to use digital media to access information and services has become desirable and imperative, especially so due to the COVID-19 pandemic. However, in sub-Saharan Africa, a synthesis of evidence that informs adolescents' digital media engagements for sexual and reproductive health and rights (SRHR) communication is limited. This systematic review therefore aims to examine and synthesize evidence on use of digital media for sexual and reproductive health and rights communication by adolescents in sub-Saharan Africa.

Methods

A search for peer reviewed articles will be conducted in PubMed, ScienceDirect, Scopus, EMBASE, Web of Science, PsychINFO and Google Scholar with emphasis on those published between 2000 and 2020. Only observational and qualitative studies will be included. Quality assessment of included articles will be done using standardized checklists from the Joanna Briggs Institute. Both descriptive and narrative summaries will be used to appraise evidence from included studies.

Discussion

This review will be essential in providing information on the types of digital media adolescents use; the various SRHR issues they use this platform to address; their reasons for using it and associated challenges. It will also contribute to the advocacy for the inclusion of these technologies in the teaching and learning, provision of and access to SRHR information and services by teachers, public health providers and peer educators in the sub-region.

Registration and Reporting

This systematic has been registered in PROSPERO, an international prospective register of systematic reviews, with registration number, **CRD42020211491**. This protocol follows the PRISMA-P guidelines for reporting systematic reviews (see Additional file 1).

Introduction

Meeting the sexual and reproductive health and rights (SRHR) needs of adolescents is one of the major concerns of governments and institutions around the globe, and especially, in Africa (Keogh, et al., 2018; Melesse et al., 2019; The African Union Commission, 2016; UNECA, AUC & UNFPA, 2013). In developing nations, access to information, contraceptive usage, ability to make informed sexual decision and how to practice safer sexual life is hindered by several barriers such as financial constraints, cultural limitations and misconceptions, availability and accessibility of adolescent-friendly sexual and reproductive health (SRH) services and information as well as stigma and discrimination (Oronje et al., 2011; Cortez et al., 2014). These barriers are mostly founded on both structural and socio-cultural norms/expectations that shroud sexual issues in secrecy, and where information is available, there are limitations for groups such as adolescents (Bacchus et. al., 2019) .

Sexuality education is a prominent tool that some governments in sub-Saharan Africa have adopted to reach adolescents with information and services on SRHR in order to achieve the objectives set by national and international frameworks/conventions that seek to address adolescent SRHR needs (The African Union Commission, 2016; UNECA,

AUC & UNFPA, 2013). The transition has moved from public education to comprehensive sexuality education targeting both in-school and out-of-school adolescents while integrating several stakeholders such as teachers, parents and health providers (UNESCO, 2015). Nevertheless, concerns on privacy, stigma and discrimination as well as inadequate delivery of information and services are but a few challenges this mode of communication is saddled with (Eisenberg, Madsen, Oliphant, & Sieving, 2012; Keogh, et al., 2018). Furthermore, in the face of emergencies such as the current COVID-19, face-to-face strategies tend to be ineffective due to restrictions (including lockdowns, curfews and other safety protocols) that reduce human interactions physically (UNDP, 2020). The need, therefore, to explore other effective approaches which promote privacy and reduce stigma becomes not only imperative, but also timely.

In the 21st century, digital technologies have become a necessity and possess the power needed to offset the challenges associated with SRHR face-to-face communication strategies (Guse, et al., 2012). In the Maputo Plan of Action, for instance, advocacy for the use of new communication technologies has been emphasized (The African Union Commission, 2016). Moreover, with the continual increase in the possession of mobile phones and other communication devices among the youth (International Telecommunication Union, 2017), integrating digital technologies in SRHR will incense rippling advantages.

Existing systematic reviews that explore the use of digital media have been conducted but largely in European contexts including studies by Guse et al., (2012) and Wadham et al. (2019). Additionally, in a systematic review protocol by Feroz et al. (2019), focus is primarily on only adolescents' use and engagement in mHealth interventions. These reviews are relatively limited in capturing the experiences of adolescents in the African settings (Guse, et al., 2012; Wadham, Green, Debattista, Somerset, & Sav, 2019; Feroz, Abrejo, Ali, Nuruddin, & Saleem, 2019). This explains the reason for which the overarching aim of this review focuses and assesses the use of digital technology by adolescents in sub-Saharan Africa to access information about their sexuality, reproductive health and rights (SRHR).

Objectives

We aim to identify, critically synthesize, and undertake a systematic review on how digital media is used by adolescents in sub-Saharan Africa to access SRHR information and services. Specifically, we will assess/rationalise the:

1. Types of digital media that adolescents use to access SRHR information and services, and the specific SRHR issues they use digital media for;
2. Reasons for using digital media, and challenges/limitations associated with it; and
3. Adolescents' experience with access to SRHR information and services using digital media (availability, affordability).

Methods

Eligibility criteria

Type of studies: We will include all published observational studies to investigate the usage of digital media by adolescents in sub-Saharan Africa for SRHR purposes. In addition to these, qualitative studies that explore the study interests will be included. However, reviews, comments, randomized control trials, clinical trials and case studies will be excluded.

Type of Participants: The participants for this study are adolescents (10 – 24 years). According to the World Health Organization (2014), the age category for individuals who are considered adolescents is 10 – 19 years. However, in

this review we will extend this to those aged 20 – 24 years since some individuals experience late adolescence (Sawyer, Azzopardi, Wickremarathne, & Patton, 2018) and have similar SRHR experiences as those in the 10 – 19-year age group (Curtis, 2015).

Setting: We will include studies that have been conducted in countries in sub-Saharan Africa. These countries include Angola, Benin, Botswana, Burkina Faso, Burundi, Cameroon, Central African Republic, Chad, Congo, Cote d'Ivoire, Eritrea, Ethiopia, Gabon, Gambia, Ghana, Guinea, Guinea-Bissau, Kenya, Lesotho, Liberia, Madagascar, Malawi, Mali, Mauritania, Mauritius, Mozambique, Namibia, Niger, Nigeria, Rwanda, Senegal, Sierra Leone, Somalia, South Africa, United Republic of Tanzania, Togo, Uganda, Zaire, Zambia and Zimbabwe.

Type of exposure: Only studies that report on the use of digital media will be included. Digital media encompasses social networking sites (social media), podcasts, vodcasts, and text messaging. Studies to be included must link the use of digital media to sexual and reproductive health and rights issues.

Information sources: A search for published peer-reviewed articles on digital media and its use for SRHR by adolescents in Sub-Saharan Africa will be performed in the following databases: PubMed, ScienceDirect, Scopus, EMBASE, PsychINFO, Web of Science, and Google Scholar. Studies will be included if they were published from 2000 to 2021. Search strategies that employ medical subject headings (MeSH) will be used in identifying studies to be included. The reference list of included studies will be screened to identify additional potential studies that might be useful. We will also contact experts in the field for additional peer-reviewed documents that will be essential for this review. Only studies published in English will be considered since all authors are proficient in this language.

Search strategy: Specific search strategies will be developed by two authors, and afterwards, a Librarian at the University of Cape Coast Library Services will be consulted for confirmation. This will further be reviewed by the remaining authors and concluded upon. Medical subject headings pertinent to each of the databases will be used in the search. In general, the following search terms will be considered: “digital media”, “social media”, “text messaging”, “internet”, sex, sexual, reproductive, “sexual and reproductive health” “reproductive health”, “reproductive rights”, types, kinds, challenges, reasons, experiences, access, affordability, availability etc. Boolean operators such as ‘AND’ and ‘OR’ will be used to define how search terms will be combined. Search strategy that will be used in PubMed will be adapted for other databases (see Additional file 2).

Study Records

Data management: Studies that will be retrieved from the database search will be managed using Mendeley. Mendeley was chosen as a reference management software for this review because authors are familiar with its use. Furthermore, it has in-built tools that facilitate effective collaboration among reviewers on decisions to include or exclude articles. Justification for excluding studies will be provided by reviewers (JAA, WA, GT) and later discussed to be approved by the group. To ensure consistency, the reviewers will be guided by the eligibility criteria stated above to facilitate the selection process, and calibration will be carried out before starting our review.

Selection process: At least, three review authors (JAA, WA, GT) will independently screen titles and abstracts against the inclusion criteria. Full reports of all titles that meet the inclusion criteria will be obtained. Where there are uncertainties, an additional reviewer (KE) will arbitrate and drive a discussion to arrive at desired conclusions.

Data collection and items: A standardized data extraction form will be designed to collect the needed information from included articles. Two reviewers (APA, VBB) will spearhead the data collection process. Information to be included are: article information (authors, date, title, journal, publisher, funding etc.), population characteristics (age

groups of participants, sex), study setting, study design, type of digital media, SRHR issues, reasons for using digital media, challenges, projects and any other useful information. Any dissensions will be addressed by a third party (KE).

Outcomes: In this review, we aim at addressing five main issues with regard to the use of digital media by adolescents in Sub-Saharan Africa. They include (1) types of digital media that adolescents use for SRHR communication (2) reasons for using digital media; (3) specific SRHR issues that adolescents use digital media to access (4) the limitations associated with using digital media and (5) their experience with accessing digital media; specifically, availability and affordability.

Quality assessment

The quality of included studies will be assessed using standardized checklist tools designed by the Joanna Briggs Institute (JBI). They can be accessed at <https://jbi.global/critical-appraisal-tools>. JBI has thirteen different study-specific checklists to assess their methodological quality such as the design, conduct and analysis of respective studies. The JBI checklists will be chosen because they are standardized and suitable for the appraisal and assessment of most types of studies (Ma, et al., 2020). For this review, five checklists will be explored - checklists for analytical cross-sectional studies, case control studies, cohort, prevalence and qualitative studies. Generally, the areas that are covered in the checklists for quality appraisal include sampling, measurement of exposure and outcomes, completeness, and aptness of statistical analysis (Lockwood, Munn, & Porritt, 2015; Munn, Moala, Riitano, & Tufanaru, 2015; Moala, et al., 2020). The quality assessment will be done by four reviewers (JAA, KE, APA, GT)

Data synthesis

Both descriptive and narrative summaries will be used to appraise evidence from the sampled studies. We will provide frequencies of the types of digital media adolescents in sub-Saharan Africa use as well as the SRHR issues they use these media to access. We will use graphs and tables to illustrate our descriptive results. For the narrative summary, we will adopt a thematic analysis approach to identify similar and contrasting themes that run across the included studies (Snilstveit, Oliver, & Vojtkova, 2012; Baxter, Killoran, & Goyder, Public Health). Findings from this review will centre around four main themes: types of digital media, reasons for using digital media to access SRHR issues; specific SRHR issues, limitations associated with using digital media and accessibility (e.g. affordability and availability) associated with digital media use. All authors will be involved in the data synthesis of included papers.

Discussion

This review is important in updating the academic community and decision makers on the various digital media platforms that adolescents are currently using to communicate issues on SRHR, with particular interest in experiences from sub-Saharan Africa. It will help decision makers in their quest to identify common platforms adolescent use in order to streamline them into their e-strategical project and planning to enhance adolescent sexual and reproductive health. The findings from this study will also provide comprehensive information on the top SRHR issues that adolescents seek to address in the sub-region. Additionally, a catalogue of the challenges associated with the use of digital media will help information providers in considering the risks involved in the use of digital media and how it can be minimized to ensure smooth delivery of SRHR information to adolescents. We hope that the findings from this study will propel the need for institutionalized inclusion of digital technologies in the teaching and learning, provision of and access to SRHR information and services by teachers, public health providers and peer educators.

Abbreviations

SRH – Sexual and reproductive health

SRHR – Sexual and reproductive health and rights

SSA – sub-Saharan Africa

Declarations

Ethics approval and consent to participate

Not applicable

Consent for publication

Not applicable

Availability of data and materials

Not Applicable

Competing interests

No competing interest

Funding

No funding

Author contribution

All authors were actively involved in preparing this protocol. Ackah, Esia-Donkoh and Amponsah conceived the idea for this study and spearheaded the writing, proof-reading and editing of this protocol. Esia-Donkoh doubled as the guarantor for this team of reviewers and served as an arbitrator for all discussions. Agbemavi, Bediako and Tettey worked together in drafting the sections on eligibility criteria and study records. The introductory and data synthesis sections were drafted by Ackah and Amponsah.

Acknowledgement

None

References

1. Bacchus et. al. Using Digital Technology for sexual and productive health: Are programs adequately considering risk? *Global Health: Science and Practice*. 2019; 7(4), 507-508. doi:10.9745/GHSP-D-19-00239
2. Baxter , S., Killoran, A., & Goyder, E. Synthesising diverse evidence: the use of primary qualitative data analysis methods and logic models in public health reviews, *Public Health*.2010;124(2); 99-06.
3. Cortez et al. Challenges for Adolescent's Sexual and Reproductive Health within the Context of Universal Health Coverage.HNP Knowledge Brief. Washington, DC: World Bank. 2014.
4. Curtis, A. C. Defining Adolescence. *Journal of Adolescent and Family Health*. 2015;7(2); 3-12.

5. Eisenberg, M., Madsen, N., Oliphant, J., & Sieving, R. Barriers to providing the sexuality education that teachers believe students need. *Journal of School Health*. 2012; 335-342.
6. Feroz, A., Abrejo, F., Ali, S. A., Nuruddin, R., & Saleem, S. Using mobile phones to improve young people's sexual and reproductive health in low-and-middle-income countries: a systematic review protocol to identify barriers, facilitators and reported interventions. *Systematic Reviews*. 2019; 8:117. Retrieved from <https://doi.org/10.1186/s13643-019-1033-5>
7. Guse, K., Levine, D., Martins, S., Lira, A., Gaarde, J., Westmorland, W., & Gilliam, M. Interventions using new digital media to improve adolescent sexual health: A systematic review. *Journal of Adolescent Health*. 2012; 535-543.
8. Hindin, M. J., & Fatusi, A. O. Adolescent sexual and reproductive health in developing countries: An overview of trends and interventions. *International Perspectives on Sexual and Reproductive Health*. 2009; 35(2); 58-62.
9. Keogh, S., Stillman, M., Awusabo-Asare, K., Sidze, E., Monzon, A., Motta, A., & Leong, E. Challenges to implementing national comprehensive sexuality education curricula in low and middle income countries: Case studies of Ghana, Kenya, Peru and Guatemala. *PLoS ONE*. 2018; Retrieved from <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0200513>
10. Lockwood, C., Munn, Z., & Porritt, K. Qualitative research synthesis: methodological guidance for systematic reviewers utilizing meta-aggregation. *Int J Evid Based Healthc*. 2015; 13:3; 179–187.
11. Ma, L., Wang, Y., Yang, Z., Huang, D., Weng, H., & Zeng, X. Methodological quality (risk of bias) assessment tools for primary and secondary medical studies: what are they and which is better? *Military Medical Research*. 2020; Retrieved from <https://doi.org/10.1186/s40779-020-00238-8>
12. Melesse et al. Adolescent sexual and reproductive health in sub-Saharan Africa: who is left behind? *BMJ Global Health*. 2019.doi:10.1136/bmjgh-2019-002231
13. Moala, S., Munn, Z., Tufanaru, C., Aromataris, E., Sears, K., Sfetcu, R., . . . Mu, P-F. Chapter 7: Systematic reviews of etiology and risk. In M. Z. Aromataris E, *JBI Manual for Evidence Synthesis*. JBI. 2020. Retrieved from <https://synthesismanual.jbi.global>
14. Munn, Z., Moala, S., Riitano, D., & Tufanaru, C. Methodological guidance for systematic reviews of observational epidemiological studies reporting prevalence and incidence data. *Int J Evid Based Healthc*. 2015; 13(3), 147-153.
15. Oronje et al. Operationalising sexual and reproductive health and rights in sub-Saharan Africa: constraints, dilemmas and strategies. *BMC Int Health Hum Rights*. 2011 Retrieved from <https://doi.org/10.1186/1472-698X-11-S3-S8>
16. Salam, R. A., Faqqah, A., Sajjad, N., Lassi, Z. S., Das, J. K., Kaufman, M., & Bhutta, Z. Improving Adolescent Sexual and Reproductive Health: A Systematic Review of Potential Interventions. *Journal of Adolescent Health*. 2016; 59. S11-28.
17. Sawyer, S. M., Azzopardi, P. S., Wickremarathne, D., & Patton, G. C. The age of adolescence. *The Lancet*. 2018; doi: [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S2352-4642\(18\)30022-1](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S2352-4642(18)30022-1)
18. Snilstveit, B., Oliver, S., & Vojtkova, M. Narrative approaches to systematic review and synthesis of evidence for international development policy and practice. *Journal of Development and Effectiveness*. 2012; 409-429. doi:<https://www.tandfonline.com/action/showCitFormats?doi=10.1080/19439342.2012.710641>
19. The African Union Commission. Maputo plan of action 2016-2030. The African Union Commission; 2016.
20. UNDP. COVID 19 and human development: Assessing the crisis, envisioning the recovery. New York: United Nations Development Programme; 2020.
21. UNECA, AUC & UNFPA. Addis Ababa Declaration on Population and Development in Africa beyond 2014. Addis Ababa Ethiopia: United Nations Economic Commission for Africa (UNECA), African Union Commission (AUC) and

UNFPA; 2013.

22. UNESCO. Comprehensive sexuality education in teacher training in eastern and southern Africa. Paris: UNESCO; 2015
23. Wadham, E., Green , C., Debattista, J., Somerset, S., & Sav, A. New digital media interventions for sexual health promotion among young people: a systematic review. *Sexual Health*. 2019; 101-123. Retrieved from <https://doi.org/10.1071/SH18127>
24. World Health Organization. Health for the world's adolescents: A second chance in the second decade. 2014. Retrieved 08 19, 2020, from World Health Organization: [https://apps.who.int/adolescent/second-decade/section2/page1/recognizing-adolescence.html#:~:text=The%20World%20Health%20Organization%20\(WHO,the%20age%20of%2018%20years.](https://apps.who.int/adolescent/second-decade/section2/page1/recognizing-adolescence.html#:~:text=The%20World%20Health%20Organization%20(WHO,the%20age%20of%2018%20years.)

Supplementary Files

This is a list of supplementary files associated with this preprint. Click to download.

- [ADDITIONALFILE1.docx](#)
- [ADDITIONALFILE2.docx](#)