

# Trends and Variability in Precipitation Across Turkey: A Multi-Method Statistical Analysis

Ali Kaan Yetik (✉ [alikaanyetik@ohu.edu.tr](mailto:alikaanyetik@ohu.edu.tr))

Niğde Ömer Halisdemir University

Bilge Arslan

Bursa Uludağ University

Burak Şen

Niğde Ömer Halisdemir University

---

## Research Article

**Keywords:** Mann-Kendall test, Spearman rank correlation, rainfall trends, climate change

**Posted Date:** September 6th, 2023

**DOI:** <https://doi.org/10.21203/rs.3.rs-2816882/v1>

**License:** © ⓘ This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License.

[Read Full License](#)

**Additional Declarations:** No competing interests reported.

---

**Version of Record:** A version of this preprint was published at Theoretical and Applied Climatology on September 18th, 2023. See the published version at <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00704-023-04645-4>.

# Trends and Variability in Precipitation Across Turkey: A Multi-Method Statistical Analysis

Ali Kaan Yetik<sup>1\*</sup>, Bilge Arslan<sup>2</sup>, Burak Şen<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Biosystems Engineering, Faculty of Agricultural Sciences and Technologies, Niğde Ömer Halisdemir University, Niğde, Turkey

<sup>2</sup>Department of Biosystems Engineering, Faculty of Agriculture, Bursa Uludağ University, Bursa, Turkey

\*Corresponding author e-mail: [alikaanyetik@ohu.edu.tr](mailto:alikaanyetik@ohu.edu.tr)

Ali Kaan Yetik ORCID: <https://orcid.org/0000-0003-1372-8407>

Bilge Arslan ORCID: <https://orcid.org/0000-0001-5550-2452>

Burak Şen ORCID: <https://orcid.org/0000-0001-8105-1106>

## Abstract

Analyzing trends in precipitation data is crucial for understanding the effects of climate change and making informed decisions about water management and crop patterns. The objective of the presented study was to investigate precipitation trends, analyze temporal and spatial variations, and identify potential change points in Turkey throughout the period from 1980 to 2019. Precipitation data were analyzed for both regional and 81 meteorological stations in Turkey on a monthly, seasonal and annual basis. Spearman rank correlation and Mann-Kendall tests were utilized to detect possible trends, and Sen's slope test to estimate the magnitude of change throughout the entire time series. The average precipitation amount of Turkey was determined 639.2 mm between 1980-2019 years. While Central Anatolian and Eastern Anatolian regions had below 639.2 mm, other regions were above. The range of seasonal precipitation values were found for winter 128.7-320.8 mm, 108.9-260.0 mm for spring, 43.9-109.3 mm for summer and 79.7-238.4 mm for autumn. The analysis of the data revealed no significant increase or decrease in annual values on a regional basis, with the greatest change on a seasonal basis being observed in the winter. The 40-yr trends of annual precipitation data belonging to 81 stations were decreasing in 23 provinces and increasing in 58 provinces, and 11 of them (14% of the total) were found to be statistically significant. Moreover, November was found to be a month of particular significance in terms of precipitation changes across the country, with a decrease observed in 80 out of 81 provinces. Spatial distribution analysis showed that the magnitude of variation in precipitation decreased as one moved from the southern to the northern regions of the country.

**Keywords:** Mann-Kendall test; Spearman rank correlation; rainfall trends; climate change

## 1. Introduction

Meteorological parameters such as precipitation, wind speed, humidity, temperature, vapor pressure and cloudiness can differ in a variety of ways depending on the region or the period. Climate change can be induced by alterations in atmospheric composition or land use attributed to human activities (Türkeş 2012) and has been cited as the primary reason for these differences in recent years (Leng et al. 2015; Tye et al. 2019; Danandeh Mehr et al. 2020; Radha et al. 2023). Precipitation is a variable that may be analyzed to get a sense of the consequences of climate change and to conduct studies on how to adapt to these changes. Climate change is causing the global hydrological cycle to accelerate and precipitation values to change due to higher evaporation rates and increased water vapor in the atmosphere from rising temperatures (Yang and Liu 2011). Examining the hydroclimatic variations and trends with the precipitation values yields both theoretical and practical benefits for managing agricultural and water resources.

One of the most significant resources on Earth and a fundamental human necessity is water. Any considerable variation in water distribution will have an impact on the local or national economy, accordingly on hydrologic functions. Precipitation affects agricultural productivity at the points of the hydrological cycle and the food supply chain is heavily reliant on available water amount (Chandniha et al. 2017; Panda and Sahu 2019). The types of crops that are able to grow in various parts of the world are largely influenced by the frequency and variability of precipitation (Panda et al. 2019; Sahu et al. 2020). The crop suffers significant yield losses as a result of the precipitation not intensifying throughout the sowing, emerging, and development stages but instead falling

52 as heavy showers at unforeseen times (Meshram et al. 2017). Understanding the past and recent distribution of  
53 precipitation came into prominence due to its effects on agricultural activities and indirectly on the national  
54 economy. Trend detection of long-term precipitation is crucial to understand the effects of climate changes on the  
55 availability of water, along with the danger of increasing occurrences of droughts and floods (Karpouzou et al.  
56 2010; Pal et al. 2017).

57 The study of the time-dependent variation of precipitation worldwide is of increasing interest. Examining  
58 precipitation trends provides valuable information about accurate water resource assessment, drought-flood control  
59 and effective water management (Huang et al. 2013). The long-term precipitation data can be used to create  
60 government strategies to minimize the negative effects of climate change, particularly in the most impacted  
61 regions. Due to its significance, numerous studies have been conducted to investigate the variability and trends of  
62 precipitation across the world (Mohapl 2001; Feidas et al. 2007; Taschetto and England 2009; Mekis and Vincent  
63 2011; Huang et al. 2013; Ali and Ahmad 2015; Beyene 2015; He and Gautam 2016; Türkeş 2019; et al. 2017;  
64 Gadedjisso-Tossou et al. 2021; Garg et al. 2022; Pawar and Rathnayake 2022). The increasing temperatures inside  
65 the intricate climatic system of Turkey make it one of the countries most affected by climate change. Drought  
66 impacts are expected to increase in the future, in particular for developing countries in the southern and eastern  
67 parts of the Mediterranean (Tramblay et al. 2020). Given that Turkey is geographically bordered by seas on three  
68 sides, has a dispersed topography, different regions will be impacted by climate change in different ways and to  
69 varying degrees (Türkeş 1998). Precipitation that is distributed unevenly is one of the primary issues Turkey's  
70 water resources management faces. The precipitation climatology of Turkey, along with the long-term variability,  
71 trends, and alterations in precipitation series, has been extensively examined in prior research conducted by Türkeş  
72 (1996, 1998, 1999, 2003), Türkeş and Erlat (2003, 2005, 2006), Türkeş and Tatlı (2009, 2011) and Türkeş et al.  
73 (2002, 2009, 2020). Türkeş (1996), stated that the average precipitation anomalies tend to change according to the  
74 regime regions. It is essential to analyze precipitation data from areas like Turkey in order to comprehend how  
75 various regimes have distinct consequences. In previous studies conducted in Turkey; Partal and Kahya (2006)  
76 used a framework approach to detect precipitation trends and discovered some important trends in Turkey and  
77 Bacanlı (2017) compared the precipitation and drought trend analyses and reported parallel results. Türkeş (1996)  
78 examined the spatial and temporal characteristics of Turkey during the period 1930-1993, reporting decreasing  
79 trends in the area-averaged normalized rainfall series for the Black Sea and Mediterranean regions, as well as for  
80 Turkey as a whole. Despite the test statistics for these trends not reaching significance at the 5% level, the study  
81 observed a statistically significant decrease in annual rainfall at 15 stations across Turkey, including 7 within the  
82 Mediterranean rainfall region. Research conducted not only for the specific regions but also encompassing the  
83 Turkey as a whole has identified a decline in overall precipitation levels since the 1970s, coupled with a heightened  
84 prevalence of arid conditions (Türkeş et al 2007; Türkeş 2012). Türkeş and Erlat (2003) conducted a  
85 comprehensive analysis on the historical and contemporary climatology of precipitation, its spatio-temporal  
86 variability, and its associations with large-scale and regional atmospheric circulations. Identification and  
87 quantification of trend analysis are required for the sustainability of Turkish agriculture; nevertheless,  
88 precipitation, as one of the hydro climatic variables, has not been examined in the aggregate for the period of the  
89 last 40 years. In this study, an in-depth analysis of precipitation variations in Turkey over the years 1980 to 2019  
90 is undertaken, integrating diverse regions and applying a range of statistical methods. While previous research has  
91 delved into precipitation trends within Turkey, the work stands out by uniquely adopting the regional divisions  
92 established. This framework enables a comprehensive examination of precipitation patterns, allowing the  
93 uncovering of insights that were previously unexplored. To bolster the robustness of the findings, rigorous  
94 statistical tests including the Spearman rank correlation, Mann-Kendall test, and Sen's slope test are employed. By  
95 doing so, the study not only builds upon existing research but also brings a new perspective to the analysis of  
96 precipitation trends in Turkey.

97 The main objectives of the presented study were (i) to examine the precipitation variability of Turkey by  
98 coefficient of variation (ii) to investigate the most probable change years in precipitation data from 1980 to 2019  
99 by the Pettitt Mann Whitney test (iii) to determine the precipitation trends and slopes of 81 meteorological stations  
100 in Turkey by Spearman rank correlation, Mann-Kendall method and Sen's Slope test.

## 101 **2. Material and Methods**


### 102 **2.1 Study Site and Data**

103 Turkey with 81 provinces (36°–42°N and 26°–45°E) is located in Western Asia and a small part of  
104 Southeast Europe (Fig. 1). The total land area is 783 562 km<sup>2</sup>, of which 97% is in Asia and 3% in Europe Turkey.

105 The altitude of Turkey varies between 0 and 5137 m, and the highest city center (Erzurum) is located at an altitude  
 106 of 1890 m while the lowest (Zonguldak) is at 8 m from the sea level. Turkey has diverse climates due to its  
 107 geographical location and irregular topography. The southern and western parts of Turkey are mostly defined by  
 108 a Mediterranean whereas the northern part has a mid-latitude moderate climate. In central and eastern parts,  
 109 however, continental steppe and cold snowy climates predominate (Türkeş 2020). Most of the rainfall occurs in  
 110 winter and spring in Turkey. In summer, the amount of precipitation decreases while the temperature and  
 111 evaporation increase.

112 The monthly precipitation data were obtained from meteorological stations in 81 provinces by the Turkish  
 113 State Meteorological Service for the period of 1980-2019 (TSMS, 2021). Türkeş (1996) reported the most probable  
 114 change year for the beginning of the drier period as the early 1980s. Figure 1 shows the location of meteorological  
 115 stations, the climatic zones and the mean annual precipitation from 1980 to 2019. Cluster analysis in climatology  
 116 is used to define classes of synoptic types or climate regimes (Türkeş and Tatlı 2011). In a previous studies  
 117 conducted by Türkeş (1998) and Türkeş et al. (2002) the categorization of the meteorological stations of Turkey  
 118 primarily relied on the seasonal rainfall patterns and their geographical influences. In present study, Turkey divided  
 119 into climatic zones base on cluster analysis results reported by Unal et al. (2003). Annual rainfall averages ranged  
 120 from 261.1 mm (Iğdır) to 2 244.9 mm (Rize) between 1980 and 2019. Türkeş et al. (2002) observed that  
 121 precipitation exhibits distinct cycle lengths across different seasons. In light of this finding, an in-depth analysis  
 122 of the seasonal variations in precipitation data was conducted. Seasonal trend of each station and region is  
 123 calculated as follows December, January, and February for winter; March, April, and May for spring; June, July,  
 124 and August for summer; and September, October, and November for autumn.

125



126  
 127 **Fig. 1** Location of the study area, climate zones and spatial distribution of the mean annual precipitation of  
 128 meteorological stations from 1980 to 2019.

## 129 2.2 Data Analysis

### 130 2.2.1 Homogeneity test and determination of possible change points of long-term data

131 The standard normal homogeneity test (SNHT) was used to determine the homogeneity of the long-term  
 132 precipitation data of each station, and the Pettitt Mann Whitney test was used for the detection of possible change

133 points. The SNHT was applied at a 5% significance level using Equation 1 (Alexandersson 1986; Saina et al.  
 134 2020).

$$135 \quad T_k = k z_1^2 + (n - k) z_2^2 \quad k = 1, 2, \dots, n \quad (1)$$

137 where; n is the number of total observations; z<sub>1</sub> and z<sub>2</sub> calculations are given in Equation 2 and Equation 3.  
 138  
 139

$$140 \quad z_1 = \frac{1}{k} \sum_{i=1}^k \frac{x_i - \bar{x}}{\sigma_x} \quad (2)$$

$$141 \quad z_2 = \frac{1}{k-1} \sum_{i=k+1}^n \frac{x_i - \bar{x}}{\sigma_x} \quad (3)$$

143 where;  $\bar{x}$  is the mean;  $\sigma_x$  is the standard deviation and n is the number of total observations. The  
 144 homogeneity hypothesis of precipitation data was rejected if the test statistic  $T_0 = \max(T(k))$  is above the critical  
 145 value 8.10 for the n=40 and the year with the maximum  $T_k$  value was considered as the change point (Vezzoli et  
 146 al. 2012). The non-parametric rank test known as the Pettitt test was used to detect significant changes in  
 147 precipitation data for each meteorological station (Pettitt 1979) (Equation 4).  
 148  
 149

$$150 \quad U_k = 2 \sum_{i=1}^k r_i - k(n + 1) \quad k = 1, 2, \dots, n \quad (4)$$

151 where;  $U_k$  is the determination point of significant change (maximum or minimum) and n is the number  
 152 of total observations. The critical value of the Pettitt test is 167 for the n=40 at a 5% significance level (Vezzoli et  
 153 al. 2012).  
 154

### 155 **2.2.2. Spearman rank correlation**

156 A nonparametric type of the Pearson product-moment correlation is the Spearman rank correlation (Siegel  
 157 1957). The correlation coefficient of Spearman (rS) calculated from a sample of data is an estimate of the Pearson  
 158 correlation coefficient ( $\rho_S$ ) (Artusi et al. 2002). A strong Spearman correlation of +1 or 1 happens when one  
 159 variable is a relationship ( $R^2=1$ ) of the other without repeated values (Zar 2005). In this regard, the rS was  
 160 calculated to determine if the data series included serial correlations among two variables by Equation 5.  
 161

$$162 \quad rS = 1 - \frac{6 \sum d_i^2}{n(n^2 - 1)} \quad (5)$$

163 where;  $d_i$  is the significant difference between the two variables and n is the number of total observations.  
 164

165 The following criteria can be used to choose the proper rS significance test:

166 (a) When the sample size is larger than 50 ( $n > 50$ ), the significance can be assessed using t-statistic. At a  
 167 significance level  $\alpha$ , by comparing the absolute value of t in Equation 6 with the critical value  $t'$ , which corresponds  
 168 to the degrees of freedom ( $n - 2$ ) in the t-value table, it can be determined whether rS is statistically significant.  
 169


$$170 \quad t = rS \sqrt{\frac{n-2}{1-rS^2}} \quad (6)$$

171  
 172 (b) When the sample size is less than or equal to 50 ( $n \leq 50$ ), the significance of rS can be tested by  
 173 referring to the boundary value table for Spearman's rank correlation coefficient, with degree is ( $n - 2$ ).

### 174 **2.2.3. Mann-Kendall and Sen's Slope Test**

175 The MAKASENS 1.0 computer software was used to determine monthly, seasonal and annual  
 176 precipitation data trends for 40 years (Sarkar and Ali 2009). The non-parametric Mann-Kendall statistical approach  
 177 was employed to determine whether there is a statistically significant increase or decrease trend and Sen's slope  
 178 test technique was utilized for slope estimates (Gilbert 1987). The Mann-Kendall test is a different version of  
 179 Kendall's test known as Tau and is based on the rows rather than the size of the data. According to the H<sub>0</sub>  
 180 hypothesis, the time-ordered series ( $X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n$ ) in this test are similarly distributed, time-independent






228  
229 **Fig. 2** Inter-annual variations in annual and seasonal precipitation totals in Turkey for the period of 1980-2019

230 Average precipitation values of regions and intra-regional statistics (standard error) are shown in Figure  
231 3. The axis value in Figure 3 was determined as 639.2 mm, which is the average precipitation amount of Turkey  
232 between 1980-2019. Regions A, B, C, F and G had an average above 639.2 mm, while regions D and E were  
233 below.

234 The SNHT was applied to the precipitation data of different regions and Turkey at a significant level of  
235 5% (Table 1). The results were supported by the Pettitt test ( $p < 0.05$ ) and all data were found to be homogeneous.  
236 The SNHT results of 81 meteorological stations in Turkey are presented in Supplementary Table 1. Meteorological  
237 data obtained from Balıkesir, Kars, Kastamonu and Ardahan were found to be heterogeneous, and then the  
238 breaking points were determined with the Pettitt test. Precipitation data trend analyzes were conducted by dividing  
239 the common breakpoints determined by SNHT and Pettitt. The new periods were 1980-2007 and 2008-2019 for  
240 Balıkesir; 1980-1999 and 2000-2019 for Kars; 1980-2006 and 2007-2019 for Kastamonu and 1980-2000 and 2001-  
241 2019 for Ardahan.



243  
244 **Fig. 3** Comparison of mean precipitation values in different regions with the average value of Turkey (632.1 mm)

### 245 3.2 The coefficient of variation (CV)

246 Understanding inter-seasonal and inter-annual variations of precipitation is crucial to calculate irrigation  
247 water requirements and to plan management of water resources for various purposes. When Figure 4 is examined,  
248 the season with the highest inter-annual variation for the study period is summer (28.9-152.9%). The province  
249 with the least variation in 4 of the 5 time periods —winter, spring, autumn and annually— was found to be Rize,  
250 which among 81 provinces had the greatest average precipitation. The biggest CV values in the summer months  
251 and annually were recorded in Mardin as 34.9% and 152.9%, respectively. Additionally, the CV values of 33.4%  
252 annually, 46.7% in winter, 56.9% in spring, 111% in summer and 76.9% in autumn make Antalya a province with  
253 the most erratic precipitation.

### 3.3 Trend Tests

#### 3.3.1 Spearman rank correlation test

A Spearman rank correlation coefficient (rS) was used to detect the presence of trends in monthly, seasonal and annual precipitation data of regions and Turkey (Table 1). The highest rS for the mean annual precipitation was 0.283 in region A, while the lowest was -0.036 in region F ( $p < 0.05$ ). The strongest positive Spearman correlation was determined in the June in B region (0.406), and the strongest negative was determined in the November of F region (-0.418). The monthly, seasonal and annual rS values of 81 meteorological stations can be found in Supplementary Table 1. The strongest negative correlation was determined as -0.486 in Erzurum in November; very strong correlations of 1.000 ( $R^2=1$ ) were determined in October in Ağrı and Amasya provinces. Considering both the Turkey region values in Table 1 and the average rS values of 81 provinces in Supplementary Table 1, it is revealed that the effects of climate change on precipitation data are most pronounced in November.


#### 3.3.2 Mann-Kendall and Sen's slope test

The trend analysis at different significant levels (1%, 5% and 10%) of monthly, seasonal and annual precipitation data of different regions and Turkey are shown in Table 2. Although the annual precipitation trends of the A, B, C, D and E regions are increasing and the F and G regions are decreasing, no statistically significant changes were found. The trend of average values of Turkey was positive, but it was not statistically significant ( $Z=1.013$ ). However, similar to rS values, there were statistically significant changes in both Turkey's averages and A, E, F and G regions in November. The changes in winter of region C were the only seasonal trend with statistically significant (10%). The determined Sen's slopes of trends for the monthly, seasonal and annual precipitation data are shown in Table 3 for different regions and Turkey. The highest negative trend was determined as -1.701 in the G region. The only regions with the negative slope in annual values were F and G, and regions A and B had the biggest positive slopes (2.607 and 2.595, respectively). Slope values of all the regions were positive in January, March, May, August and September on monthly basis and in Winter and Spring on seasonal basis. The slopes of each region were decreasing in November and increasing in January. Parallel findings were obtained with the Mann-Kendall test results for November and all slopes were found to be negative.

Mann-Kendall test results for 81 meteorological stations are given in Supplementary Table 2 and summarized in Table 4. The 40-yr change in annual precipitation of 11 provinces (14% of all stations) was found to be statistically significant and 23 provinces showed decreasing trend, 58 provinces showed increasing trend. All stations except Balıkesir ( $Z=0.754$ ) evaluated monthly had a declining trend in November, and 26 of them were statistically significant. It was revealed that precipitation in all provinces except Amasya, Balıkesir, Gaziantep ( $Z=0.000$ ), Kars, Mardin, Ardahan and Batman had an increasing trend in September. In 81% of all provinces, average precipitation of January tended to increase and 15 trend was statistically significant. Sen's slope values of 81 provinces are given in Supplementary Table 3. Negative slopes in 19 provinces and positive slopes in 62 provinces were determined. The highest positive and negative slopes were determined in Rize and Bitlis as 5.528 and 6.405 mm year<sup>-1</sup>, respectively (Stations with heterogeneous data sets were not taken into account due to the short period). The slope values in November were negative for all provinces except Karabük (zero) and only Amasya had a positive slope in September. On the other hand, there are no positive or negative slopes at 25 stations in July and 31 stations in August (Supplementary Table 3).

Figure 5 displays the maps of seasonal and annual change trends and Sen's slope values determined by analyzing the provinces' 40-year average precipitation data. The only province to show a statistically significant decrease during the winter was Artvin, while statistically significant declines also happened in Trabzon and Malatya for the summer and in Muş, Kırklareli and Ardahan for the autumn. It was determined that no significant decreases were experienced in the spring season. The variation ranges of Sen's slope values for winter, spring, summer and autumn were determined as -2.109 - 2.846, -2.709 - 1.829, -1.701 - 2.407 and -2.083-2.407 mm year<sup>-1</sup>, respectively (Fig. 5).





300  
 301 **Fig. 4** Geographical distribution map of the coefficients of variation (%) of annual and seasonal precipitation totals  
 302 over the period 1980 – 2019. In the map, circles display meteorological stations across Turkey, and colors represent  
 303 the distribution of variation coefficients in percentages.

304 Table 1. The monthly and seasonal results of Standard Normal Homogeneity Test (SNHT), Pettitt Test and Spearman's rho for the climatic zones and Turkey

Region	SNHT	Pettitt	Spearman's rho																
			Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	May	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sep	Oct	Nov	Dec	Winter	Spring	Summer	Autumn	Annual
Turkey	1993 (H <sub>0</sub> )	1993 (H <sub>0</sub> )	0.256	-0.038	0.172	-0.063	0.132	0.244	-0.164	0.121	0.272	0.126	-0.376	0.012	0.112	0.063	0.151	0.028	0.162
A	1993 (H <sub>0</sub> )	1993 (H <sub>0</sub> )	0.166	0.128	0.076	-0.058	0.157	0.286	-0.113	0.007	0.315	0.182	-0.376	0.028	0.192	0.045	0.133	0.148	0.283
B	2007 (H <sub>0</sub> )	1993 (H <sub>0</sub> )	0.213	0.095	0.029	-0.042	0.205	0.406	-0.140	0.186	0.309	0.232	-0.239	-0.136	0.042	0.078	0.268	0.097	0.187
C	1986 (H <sub>0</sub> )	1986 (H <sub>0</sub> )	0.246	-0.036	0.256	-0.069	0.182	0.039	-0.106	0.027	0.229	-0.006	-0.216	0.316	0.282	0.221	-0.010	0.087	0.141
D	2007 (H <sub>0</sub> )	2007 (H <sub>0</sub> )	0.222	0.041	0.214	-0.245	0.098	0.268	-0.332	0.118	0.248	0.085	-0.259	0.008	0.162	0.045	0.165	-0.028	0.126
E	2000 (H <sub>0</sub> )	2000 (H <sub>0</sub> )	0.226	-0.002	0.294	0.062	0.023	-0.010	0.182	0.163	0.125	0.080	-0.335	0.042	0.081	0.182	0.199	-0.048	0.203
F	2017 (H <sub>0</sub> )	1997 (H <sub>0</sub> )	0.226	-0.160	0.025	-0.044	-0.005	-0.145	0.092	0.213	0.136	0.046	-0.418	0.044	0.039	-0.004	-0.065	-0.205	-0.036
G	2018 (H <sub>0</sub> )	1993 (H <sub>0</sub> )	0.167	-0.133	0.061	0.023	0.158	0.097	-0.037	0.165	0.186	-0.088	-0.318	0.019	0.029	0.061	0.022	-0.171	-0.030

305  
306 Table 2. Mann-Kendall test results on the monthly and seasonal basis for the climatic zones and Turkey

Region	Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	May	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sep	Oct	Nov	Dec	Winter	Spring	Summer	Autumn	Annual
Turkey	<b>1.666<sup>+</sup></b>	-0.175	1.084	-0.524	0.897	1.223	-0.920	0.641	<b>1.806<sup>+</sup></b>	0.827	<b>-2.109<sup>*</sup></b>	0.012	0.734	0.384	0.897	0.128	1.013
A	1.410	0.781	0.361	-0.361	0.839	<b>1.805<sup>+</sup></b>	-0.851	0.105	<b>1.945<sup>+</sup></b>	1.153	<b>-2.249<sup>*</sup></b>	0.245	1.060	0.431	0.711	0.851	1.573
B	1.526	0.478	0.198	-0.198	1.177	<b>2.342<sup>*</sup></b>	-0.618	1.223	<b>1.922<sup>+</sup></b>	1.433	-1.433	-0.897	0.198	0.431	1.619	0.664	1.200
C	<b>1.667<sup>+</sup></b>	-0.151	1.619	-0.431	1.084	0.198	-0.571	0.361	1.456	0.004	-1.270	<b>1.852<sup>+</sup></b>	<b>1.689<sup>+</sup></b>	1.293	-0.082	0.384	0.618
D	1.526	0.245	1.340	-1.573	0.618	1.643	<b>-2.016<sup>*</sup></b>	0.664	1.619	0.524	-1.503	0.128	0.757	0.245	1.014	-0.151	0.687
E	1.293	-0.035	<b>1.689<sup>+</sup></b>	0.454	0.268	-0.035	1.177	1.014	0.781	0.431	<b>-1.946<sup>+</sup></b>	0.175	0.291	1.223	1.282	-0.361	1.270
F	1.340	-1.060	0.151	-0.361	0.082	-0.944	0.583	1.130	0.851	0.408	<b>-2.365<sup>*</sup></b>	0.221	0.245	0.012	-0.361	-1.084	-0.338
G	1.084	-0.897	0.501	0.210	1.014	0.536	-0.259	0.992	1.270	-0.618	<b>-2.016<sup>*</sup></b>	0.175	0.361	0.361	0.093	-1.153	-0.035

307 Numbers in bold indicate significant values, + significant at the 10 % level, \* significant at the 5 % level, \*\* significant at the 1 % level

308  
309 Table 3. The monthly and seasonal Sen's Slope values for the climatic zones and Turkey

Region	Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	May	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sep	Oct	Nov	Dec	Winter	Spring	Summer	Autumn	Annual
Turkey	0.653	-0.026	0.306	-0.148	0.124	0.240	-0.104	0.080	0.392	0.292	-0.830	0.032	0.207	0.272	0.144	0.657	0.979
A	0.868	0.386	0.163	-0.130	0.248	0.711	-0.283	0.033	0.700	0.646	-1.064	0.148	0.998	0.279	0.310	0.730	2.607
B	1.402	0.268	0.106	-0.070	0.434	0.554	-0.094	0.137	0.403	0.700	-0.906	-0.821	0.248	0.246	0.576	0.575	2.595
C	0.775	-0.080	0.624	-0.130	0.271	0.068	-0.205	0.182	0.986	-0.008	-1.064	0.859	0.957	0.612	-0.047	0.479	1.140
D	0.432	0.071	0.291	-0.430	0.141	0.517	-0.252	0.074	0.185	0.104	-0.449	0.048	0.443	0.102	0.344	-0.057	0.634
E	0.195	-0.007	0.276	0.148	0.073	-0.021	0.266	0.149	0.139	0.092	-0.476	0.066	0.111	0.481	0.410	-0.125	0.999
F	0.544	-0.577	0.073	-0.252	0.036	-0.126	0.007	0.022	0.089	0.141	-1.141	0.170	0.293	0.032	-0.057	-0.689	-0.611
G	1.305	-0.589	0.356	0.113	0.541	0.085	0.000	0.025	0.352	-0.381	-1.701	0.295	0.882	0.437	0.044	-1.554	-0.159


310

311 Table 4. Number of trends at different significance level and directions by Mann-Kendall test (81 stations)

Period	Number of Trends										
	Increasing					0	Decreasing				
	n.s	1%	5%	10%	Total		n.s	1%	5%	10%	Total
January	51	2	8	5	66	1	14	0	0	0	14
February	35	0	0	1	36	1	40	1	1	2	44
March	43	2	7	5	57	1	23	0	0	0	23
April	26	0	0	1	27	0	47	2	4	1	54
May	44	1	2	5	52	0	28	0	0	1	29
June	43	2	5	5	55	1	22	1	1	1	25
July	24	0	0	0	24	3	45	0	5	4	54
August	50	0	2	2	54	1	25	0	1	0	26
September	62	1	8	3	74	1	5	0	1	0	6
October	43	1	5	2	51	2	28	0	0	0	28
November	1	0	0	0	1	0	54	2	14	10	80
December	34	1	1	2	38	0	41	0	1	1	43
Winter	48	2	1	6	57	1	22	0	0	1	23
Spring	43	1	2	1	47	2	31	1	0	0	32
Summer	45	1	3	2	51	0	28	0	1	1	30
Autumn	32	0	1	0	33	1	44	0	1	2	47
Annual	51	1	6	0	58	0	19	1	3	0	23


n.s.: non-significant

312  
313




314  
315 **Fig. 5** The spatial distribution of Sen's slope values and trends (Man-Kendall test) for annual and seasonal  
316 precipitation over the period of 1980 – 2019. Upward and downward arrows display positive and negative trends  
317 of meteorological stations, with solid arrows denoting significance in both positive and negative trends and colors  
318 correspond to Sen's slope in mm/year.

319 The fact that Turkey has a large surface area and contains different climatic zones has caused the  
 320 precipitation trends to change in different intensities and directions for regions and even for stations. In order to  
 321 reveal these differences, a box plot of Sen's slope of 40-yr precipitation data of 81 provinces is given in Figure 6  
 322 (monthly) and Figure 7 (seasonally). The median values of slopes were negative for 5 months (February, April,  
 323 July, November and December), positive for 6 months (January, March, May, June, September, October) and the  
 324 median of August was zero (Fig. 6). The highest and lowest points were obtained in the month of September as  
 325  $3.66 \text{ mm year}^{-1}$  and  $2.79 \text{ mm year}^{-1}$  in November, respectively. All the median values of seasonal slopes except  
 326 autumn were positive. While the highest negative slope was determined as  $-2,709 \text{ mm year}^{-1}$  in the spring season,  
 327 the highest positive slope was determined in summer as  $3.366 \text{ mm year}^{-1}$ .  
 328



329  
 330 **Fig. 6** Box plot of the Sen's slope for monthly precipitation data of Turkey (1980-2019)



331  
 332 **Fig. 7** Box plot of the Sen's slope for annual and seasonal precipitation data of Turkey (1980-2019)

#### 333 4. Discussion

334 Climatic variability can be described as the annual difference in values of specific climatic variables  
 335 within averaging periods such as a 30-year period (Aber and Melillo 1990). The magnitude, intensity, frequency,  
 336 and type of precipitation vary from year to year, and variations in these factors have an impact on the environment  
 337 and lifecycle. Precipitation and the hydrological cycle are all being impacted by climate change (Trenberth 2011).  
 338 Turkey, currently characterized by a semi-arid climate, is expected to be affected by reduced precipitation and  
 339 heightened drought conditions resulting from global climate change, rendering it more susceptible to increased  
 340 occurrences of droughts (Türkeş 2020). It is crucial to identify differences in monthly, seasonal, and yearly  
 341 historical data series and assess the trend since climate change has a significant impact on irrigation water

342 requirements. In this study, the variation of precipitation over Turkey was examined between 1980-2019 years,  
343 and the average precipitation was determined 639.2 mm. Another similar study which conducted by Toros (2012),  
344 the average annual precipitation of Turkey was reported as 654 mm from 1961 to 2008 and the maximum and  
345 minimum annual precipitation values of provinces were 2 227 mm and 258 mm, respectively. İnel and Ataol (2014)  
346 stated that the average amount of precipitation in Turkey between 1975 and 2009 was 668.1 mm, representing an  
347 increase in precipitation of 0.43 mm when yearly averages were taken into consideration. The results were found  
348 in present study was similar to resulted from previous studies.

349 The most significant climatological feature of precipitation, which falls to the Earth's surface in various  
350 forms of condensation such as drizzle, rain, snow, freezing rain, ice pellets, and hail, is the spatial and temporal  
351 variability it exhibits. While the broad-scale zonal distribution of precipitation is generally linked to latitude, the  
352 actual distribution pattern is complex and intertwined with numerous other factors (Türkeş 2014, 2021). In light  
353 of this information, regional assessments have been conducted and compared with the findings of previous studies.  
354 Region A (Marmara Region) stands out as the region where the variation of monthly rS and trend values were the  
355 highest. Koç (2001) analyzed the precipitation data of an area that includes the Marmara Region and determined  
356 the presence of erratic precipitation. Although the B region's (Aegean) precipitation levels increased, no  
357 statistically significant trend was determined. Koçman et al. (1996) analyzed the 48-year change in the Aegean  
358 Region and reported that they did not detect any significant changes, which is consistent with our findings. Both  
359 regional and national analysis showed an increase in precipitation in the winter months, which was explained by  
360 Aziz and Yücel (2021) as decreasing snowfall and increasing precipitation with the increase in air temperatures.  
361 The only statistically significant increase in the seasonal analysis was determined for the winter period of Region  
362 C (Black Sea Region). In another study conducted by İrdem (2005), examining the temporal and spatial  
363 characteristics of precipitation in Turkey, an increasing trend was found in winter precipitation in the Black Sea  
364 Region. The Central Anatolia Region (Region D) is one of the regions that will be most affected by future climate  
365 change scenarios due to its geographical location and has the potential to be exposed to natural disasters such as  
366 floods due to the deterioration of hydrology. Statistically significant ( $p < 0.05$ ) decreases determined in July of  
367 Region D were evaluated as the effects of climate change and are in line with the findings of previous studies  
368 (Altın et al. 2012; Çiçek and Duman 2015; Kızılelma et al. 2015). Both rS values and Mann-Kendall test results  
369 for November of all regions were negative. The trend analysis results of regions A, F and G were statistically  
370 significant at the level of 5%, and the decreasing trend of region E was statistically significant at the level of 10%.  
371 Şenocak and Emek (2019) reported similar results for region E. The effect of major weather systems linked to the  
372 large-scale atmospheric circulation can be used to explain that regions F and G had weaker correlations in rS values  
373 compared to other regions. The Mediterranean Region (G) showed a decrease in precipitation values, which is  
374 consistent with previous studies focused on the Mediterranean region conducted by Maheras et al. (2004) and  
375 Kutiel and Türkeş (2017). The Southeastern Anatolia area (Region F) also shown decreasing trend, and according  
376 to Türkeş (2003), this region features dry sections that are prone to desertification. In an overarching evaluation  
377 encompassing all regions, Türkeş (1998) examined the variations across the regions and highlighting the prominent  
378 wet areas like the Black Sea and Mediterranean regions.

379 The meteorological parameter that varies the most with time and place among others is the amount of  
380 precipitation (Panda and Sahu 2019). The lowest standard deviation of the slopes of the precipitation trends of 81  
381 provinces between 1980 and 2019 was determined in August. August is a month that seldom experiences rain and  
382 accordingly, in the box plot, the lowest variation range was determined in August (Türkeş 1996, 1998, 2003;  
383 Kadioğlu 2000). Floods and droughts are more likely to occur in areas with more inter-annual fluctuation in  
384 precipitation (Türkeş 1996; Pandey and Ramasastri 2002). A significant part of Turkey has a coefficient over 20%.  
385 It is important to use water consciously in areas where the coefficient of variability for precipitation totals is high  
386 as excess precipitation variability means a lack of water, especially for agricultural activities because the higher  
387 the inter-annual variability, the higher the probability of drought occurrence is in Turkey (Türkeş 2022). The results  
388 of Sen's slope test appeared to be reasonably compatible with those of the Mann-Kendall test as in Partal and  
389 Kahya (2006). Region A was the region with the greatest positive annual trend slope ( $2.607 \text{ mm year}^{-1}$ ). Tayanç  
390 et al. (2009) attributed this fluctuation to the fact that the region is located in the interior and the lack of a coastline.  
391 Due to its geographical location, the Mediterranean Region is particularly vulnerable to variations in temperature  
392 and precipitation. Karaburun (2011) reported positive slopes for precipitation trends of the Marmara Region  
393 through the 1985-2006 period. However, the regions having negative slopes were Regions F and G. In Region F,  
394 seasonal trends have positive values in the winter and spring and negative values in the summer and autumn.

395 Negative slopes in annual precipitation in the Mediterranean area have been also detected by Longobardi and  
396 Villani (2010) and Rio et al. (2011). Furthermore, Zittis et al. (2021) simulated future precipitation for the  
397 Mediterranean region and revealed significant negative slopes (up to  $-10$  mm decade<sup>-1</sup>) as a result of climate  
398 change scenarios.

399 The spatial variation of 40-yr precipitation data was examined using the interpolation approach since  
400 meteorological stations dispersed throughout the national size might be ineffective in displaying the spatial  
401 distribution of precipitation (Guo et al. 2020). The annual value of CV often decreased from south to north,  
402 reaching a peak between Mardin and Şanlıurfa of 36.6%. Ölgen (2010) stated that for the years 1950-2009, CV  
403 values in Turkey varied between 11.92% and 31.89% and the range of 19-25% CV value extending in the east-  
404 west line in Turkey acts as a zone separating the southern and northern regions. The reason for the great variability  
405 in the Mediterranean region is that the number and severity of the depressions that occur in the Mediterranean due  
406 to atmospheric oscillations vary greatly from year to year (Roberts et al. 2012). In annual and seasonal precipitation  
407 variability, winter showed a different distribution compared to other periods. The Mediterranean Region receives  
408 air masses from different regions after October. Low-pressure zones flow from the south to the eastern, or from  
409 the northern Aegean to the Black Sea. As a result of the combination of these air masses, depression transitions  
410 occur in the Marmara and Aegean regions in winter, which causes precipitation of unexpected magnitude (Koçman  
411 1993). The distribution of winter precipitation tends to decrease from Central Anatolia to the Black Sea, with CVs  
412 of total winter precipitation higher than 30% over most of Turkey, except for the regions of Central Anatolia and  
413 Black Sea, lower values found in the eastern and western Black Sea, and the highest CV found at Iğdır station with  
414 a rate of 38% (Türkeş and Erlat 2005). The variability of the fall precipitation and the variability of the summer  
415 precipitation are quite comparable. However, the CV values have decreased since fall precipitation is far more  
416 steady than in summer months. Floods and droughts are more likely to occur in areas with more inter-annual  
417 fluctuation in precipitation (Türkeş 1996; Pandey and Ramasastri 2002). It is important to use water consciously  
418 in areas where the precipitation variability coefficient is high as excess precipitation variability means a lack of  
419 water, especially for agricultural activities, and a significant part of Turkey has a coefficient over 20%.

420

## 421 **5. Conclusion**

422 In the present study, trends for annual, seasonal and regional series were analyzed for 81 meteorological  
423 stations of Turkey between 1980-2019 years. Spearman rank correlation and Mann-Kendall tests were utilized to  
424 detect possible trends, and Sen's slope test to estimate the magnitude of change throughout the entire time series.  
425 Although the annual precipitation trends did not show any statistically significant changes, our findings reveal that  
426 certain regions and months are more susceptible to the impact of climate change. Notably, the regions; Marmara  
427 (A), Eastern Anatolia (E), Southeastern Anatolia (F), and Eastern Mediterranean Anatolia (G) demonstrated  
428 significant changes in the average precipitation during the month of November, while Black Sea (C) exhibited  
429 pronounced changes during the winter season. The highest rS for the mean annual precipitation was 0.283 in region  
430 Marmara (A), while the lowest was -0.036 in region Southeastern Anatolia (F). Moreover, the Mann-Kendall test  
431 and Sen's slope test showed that each region showed decreasing trends in November, while they were increasing  
432 in January. Furthermore, all stations except Balıkesir had a decreasing trend in November, and the precipitation  
433 tended to increase in January for 81% of all provinces. The coefficients of variation in annual precipitation ranged  
434 between 11.8 % - 34.9 %. The highest variation was observed in summer (28.9-152.9 %) within the seasons. For  
435 provinces, Mardin had the greatest coefficient of variation with the 34.9% for summer months, and 56.9% for  
436 annually. These findings underscore the importance of continuous monitoring and analysis of precipitation trends  
437 to better understand the impact of climate change on water resources in the region. In conclusion, the study  
438 provides new insights into the previously unknown trends and variability across Turkey, and emphasizes the need  
439 for further research to address the effects of climate change on water resources.

440

441

## 442 **Acknowledgments**

443 The authors are gratefully acknowledged to the Turkish State Meteorological Service for sharing meteorological  
444 data used for this study.

445

446 **Statements and Declarations**

447 **Funding**

448 The authors declare that no funds, grants, or other support were received during the preparation of this manuscript.  
449

450 **Conflict of Interests**

451 The authors have no relevant financial or non-financial interests to disclose.  
452

453 **Ethical Approval**

454 All authors have read, understood, and have complied as applicable with the statement on "Ethical responsibilities  
455 of Authors" as found in the Instructions for Authors and are aware that with minor exceptions, no changes can be  
456 made to authorship once the paper is submitted. This research did not contain any studies involving animal or  
457 human participants, nor did it take place on any private or protected areas.  
458

459 **Author Contributions**

460 Ali Kaan Yetik designed the study and developed the conceptualization. Data receiving was done by Burak Şen.  
461 Production of the figures used as material and visualization was done by Bilge Arslan. Analysis and interpretation  
462 was performed by Ali Kaan Yetik, Bilge Arslan and Burak Şen. The first version of original draft was prepared  
463 by Ali Kaan Yetik and all authors commented on previous versions of the manuscript. All authors read and  
464 approved the final version of manuscript.  
465

466 **Data Availability**

467 The precipitation datasets analyzed during the current study are not publicly available as they are the sole entity  
468 of the Turkish State Meteorological Service (TSMS). The data can only be used for research and other academic  
469 purposes and cannot be shared with third parties unless written permission is granted by the TSMS. The datasets  
470 can be available from the corresponding author upon reasonable request after informing the TSMS.  
471  
472

473 **References**

- 474 Aber JD, Melillo JM, McClaugherty CA (1990) Predicting long-term patterns of mass loss, nitrogen dynamics,  
475 and soil organic matter formation from initial fine litter chemistry in temperate forest ecosystems. *Can J*  
476 *Bot* 68(10):2201-2208. <https://doi.org/10.1139/b90-287>
- 477 Alexandersson H (1986) A homogeneity test applied to precipitation data. *J Climatol* 6(6):661-675.  
478 <https://doi.org/10.1002/joc.3370060607>
- 479 Ali N, Ahmad I (2015) Trend analysis of precipitation data in Pakistan. *Sci Int* 27:803-808.
- 480 Altin TB, Barak B, Altin BN (2012) Change in precipitation and temperature amounts over three decades in central  
481 Anatolia, Turkey. *Atmos Clim Sci* 2:107-125. <https://doi.org/10.4236/acs.2012.21013>.
- 482 Artusi R, Verderio P, Marubini E (2002) Bravais-Pearson and Spearman correlation coefficients: meaning, test of  
483 hypothesis and confidence interval. *Int J Biol Markers* 17(2): 148-151.  
484 <https://doi.org/10.1177/172460080201700213>
- 485 Aziz R, Yucel I (2021) Assessing nonstationarity impacts for historical and projected extreme precipitation in  
486 Turkey. *Theor Appl Climatol* 143(3):1213-1226. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00704-020-03503-x>
- 487 Bacanlı ÜG (2017) Trend analysis of precipitation and drought in the Aegean region, Turkey. *Meteorol Appl*  
488 24(2):239-249. <https://doi.org/10.1002/met.1622>
- 489 Beyene AN (2015) Precipitation and temperature trend analysis in Mekelle city, Northern Ethiopia, the Case of  
490 Illala Meteorological Station. *J Environ Earth Sci* 5(19):46-52. <https://doi.org/10.4172/2157-7617.1000324>
- 491 Chandniha SK, Meshram SG, Adamowski JF, Meshram C (2017) Trend analysis of precipitation in Jharkhand  
492 State, India. *Theor Appl Climatol* 130(1):261-274. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00704-016-1875-x>
- 493 Çiçek İ, Duman N (2015) Seasonal and annual precipitation trends in Turkey. *Carpathian Journal of Earth and*  
494 *Environmental Sciences* 10(2):77-84.
- 495 Danandeh Mehr A, Sorman AU, Kahya E, Hesami Afshar M (2020) Climate change impacts on meteorological  
496 drought using SPI and SPEI: case study of Ankara, Turkey. *Hydrol Sci J* 65(2):254-268.  
497 <https://doi.org/10.1080/02626667.2019.1691218>  
498



499 Feidas H, Nouloupoulou C, Makrogiannis T, Bora-Senta E (2007) Trend analysis of precipitation time series in  
500 Greece and their relationship with circulation using surface and satellite data: 1955–2001. *Theor Appl*  
501 *Climatol* 87(1):155-177. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00704-006-0200-5>

502 Gadedjisso-Tossou A, Adjegan KI, Kablan AKM (2021) Rainfall and temperature trend analysis by Mann–  
503 Kendall test and significance for rainfed cereal yields in Northern Togo. *Sci* 3(1):17.  
504 <https://doi.org/10.3390/sci3010017>

505 Garg PK, Shukla A, Yousuf B (2022) Temperature and precipitation changes over the glaciated parts of Indian  
506 Himalayan Region during 1901–2016. *Environ Monit Assess* 194:84. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10661-021-09689-5>

507

508 Gilbert RO (1987) *Statistical methods for environmental pollution monitoring*. John Wiley & Son, New Jersey

509 Guo B, Zhang J, Meng X, Xu T, Song Y (2020) Long-term spatio-temporal precipitation variations in China with  
510 precipitation surface interpolated by ANUSPLIN. *Sci Rep* 10(1):1-17. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-019-57078-3>

511

512 He M, Gautam M (2016) Variability and trends in precipitation, temperature and drought indices in the State of  
513 California. *Hydrol* 3(2):14. <https://doi.org/10.3390/hydrology3020014>

514 Huang J, Sun S, Zhang J (2013) Detection of trends in precipitation during 1960–2008 in Jiangxi province,  
515 southeast China. *Theor Appl Climatol* 114(1):237-251. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00704-013-0831-2>

516 İçel G, Ataol M (2014) Türkiye’de yıllık ortalama sıcaklıklar ile yağışlarda eğilimler ve nao arasındaki ilişkileri  
517 (1975-2009). *Coğrafya Dergisi* (28): 55-68.

518 İrdem C (2005) Türkiye’de yağışların şiddet bakımından alansal ve zamansal değişkenliği. Dissertation,  
519 University of Çanakkale Onsekiz Mart

520 Kadioğlu M (2000) Regional variability of seasonal precipitation over Turkey. *Int J Climatol* 20(14):1743-1760.  
521 [https://doi.org/10.1002/1097-0088\(20001130\)20:14%3C1743::AID-JOC584%3E3.0.CO;2-G](https://doi.org/10.1002/1097-0088(20001130)20:14%3C1743::AID-JOC584%3E3.0.CO;2-G)

522 Karaburun A (2011) Trend analysis of long term spatially distributed precipitation in Marmara region between  
523 1975-2006. *Fresenius Environ Bull* 20(2):475-483.

524 Karpouzou DK, Kavalieratou S, Babajimopoulos C (2010) Trend analysis of precipitation data in Pieria Region  
525 (Greece). *European Water* 30:30-40.

526 Kızılelma Y, Çelik M, Karabulut M (2015) İç Anadolu Bölgesinde sıcaklık ve yağışların trend analizi. *Coğrafya*  
527 *Dergisi* (64):1-10. <https://doi.org/10.17211/tcd.90494>

528 Koç T (2001) Kuzeybatı Anadolu’da iklim ve ortam: sinoptik, istatistik ve uygulama boyutlarıyla. *Cantay Kitabevi*,  
529 İstanbul

530 Koçman A (1993) Türkiye İklimi. Ege Üniversitesi Edebiyat Fakültesi Yayınları, İzmir

531 Koçman A, Işık Ş, Mutluer M (1996) Ege ovalarında yağış değişkenliği ve kuraklık sorunu. *Ege Coğrafya*  
532 *Dergisi* 8:25-36.

533 Kutiel H, Türkeş M (2017) Spatial and temporal variability of dryness characteristics in Turkey. *International J*  
534 *Clim (S1)*: 818–828. <https://doi.org/10.1002/joc.5040>

535 Landsea CW, Gray WM (1992) The strong association between western Sahelian monsoon rainfall and intense  
536 Atlantic hurricanes. *J Clim* 5(5):435-453. [https://doi.org/10.1175/1520-0442\(1992\)005%3C0435:TSABWS%3E2.0.CO;2](https://doi.org/10.1175/1520-0442(1992)005%3C0435:TSABWS%3E2.0.CO;2)

537

538 Leng G, Tang Q, Rayburg S (2015) Climate change impacts on meteorological, agricultural and hydrological  
539 droughts in China. *Global Planet Change* 126:23-34. [https://doi.org/10.1175/1520-0442\(1992\)005<0435:TSABWS>2.0.CO;2](https://doi.org/10.1175/1520-0442(1992)005<0435:TSABWS>2.0.CO;2)

540

541 Longobardi A, Villani P (2010) Trend analysis of annual and seasonal rainfall time series in the Mediterranean  
542 area. *Int J Climatol* 30(10):1538-1546. <https://doi.org/10.1002/joc.2001>

543 Maheras P, Tolika K, Anagnostopoulou C, Vafiadis M, Patrikas I, Flocas H (2004) On the relationships between  
544 circulation types and changes in rainfall variability in Greece. *Int J Climatol* 24:1695–1712.  
545 <https://doi.org/10.1002/joc.1088>

546 Mann HB (1945) Nonparametric tests against trend. *Econometrica: Journal of the Econometric Society* 1:245-259.  
547 <https://doi.org/10.2307/1907187>

548 Mekis É, Vincent LA (2011) An overview of the second generation adjusted daily precipitation dataset for trend  
549 analysis in Canada. *Atmos Ocean* 49(2):163-177. <https://doi.org/10.1080/07055900.2011.583910>

550 Meshram SG, Singh VP, Meshram C (2017) Long-term trend and variability of precipitation in Chhattisgarh State,  
551 India. *Theor Appl Climatol* 129(3):729-744. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00704-016-1804-z>



552 Mohapl JA (2001) Statistical Assessment of Changes in Precipitation Chemistry at CAPMoN Sites, Canada.  
553 Environ Monit Assess 72:1–35. <https://doi.org/10.1023/A:1011916006125>

554 Ölgün MK (2010) Türkiye’de yıllık ve mevsimsel yağış değişkenliğinin alansal dağılımı. Ege Coğrafya  
555 Dergisi 19(1):85-95.

556 Pal AB, Khare D, Mishra PK, Singh L (2017) Trend analysis of rainfall, temperature and runoff data: a case study  
557 of Rangoon watershed in Nepal. Int J Stud Res Technol Manag 5:21-38.  
558 <https://doi.org/10.18510/ijstrtm.2017.535>

559 Panda A, Sahu N (2019) Trend analysis of seasonal rainfall and temperature pattern in Kalahandi, Bolangir and  
560 Koraput districts of Odisha, India. Atmos Sci Lett 20(10): e932. <https://doi.org/10.1002/asl.932>

561 Panda A, Sahu N, Behera S, Sayama T, Sahu L, Avtar R, Yamada M. (2019) Impact of climate variability on crop  
562 yield in Kalahandi, Bolangir, and Koraput districts of Odisha, India. Climate 7(11): 126.  
563 <https://doi.org/10.3390/cli7110126>

564 Pandey RP, Ramasastri KS (2002) Incidence of droughts in different climatic regions. Hydrol Sci J 47:31–40.  
565 <https://doi.org/10.1080/02626660209493020>

566 Partal T, Kahya E (2006) Trend analysis in Turkish precipitation data. Hydrol Processes 20(9):2011–2026.  
567 <https://doi.org/10.1002/hyp.5993>

568 Pawar U, Rathnayake U (2022) Spatiotemporal rainfall variability and trend analysis over mahaweli basin, Sri  
569 Lanka. Arabian J Geosci 15(4): 1-16. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s12517-022-09646-7>

570 Pettitt AN (1979) A non-parametric approach to the change point problem. J Appl Stat 28(2):126–135.  
571 <https://doi.org/10.2307/2346729>

572 Radha SD, Sabarathinam C, Al Otaibi F. et al. (2023) Variation of centennial precipitation patterns in Kuwait and  
573 their relation to climate change. Environ Monit Assess 195:20. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10661-022-10587-7>

574

575 Rio SD, Herrero L, Fraile R, Penas A (2011) Spatial distribution of recent rainfall trends in Spain (1961–2006). Int  
576 J Climatol 31(5):656-667. <https://doi.org/10.1002/joc.2111>

577 Roberts N, Moreno A, Valero-Garcés BL, Corella JP, Jones M, Allcock S, Türkeş M (2012) Palaeolimnological  
578 evidence for an east–west climate see-saw in the Mediterranean since AD 900. Global Planet Chang  
579 84:23-34. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gloplacha.2011.11.002>

580 Sahu N, Saini A, Behera S, Sayama T, Nayak S, Sahu L, Takara K (2020) Impact of indo-pacific climate variability  
581 on rice productivity in Bihar, India. Sustainability 12(17): 7023. <https://doi.org/10.3390/su12177023>

582 Saini A, Sahu N, Kumar P, Nayak S, Duan W, Avtar R, Behera S (2020) Advanced rainfall trend analysis of 117  
583 years over West Coast Plain and Hill Agro-climatic region of India. Atmosphere 11(11): 1225.  
584 <https://doi.org/10.3390/atmos11111225>

585 Sarkar AA, Ali MH (2009) Water table dynamics of Dhaka City and its long-term trend analysis using the  
586 “MAKESENS” model. Water Int 34(3):373-382. <https://doi.org/10.1080/02508060903115183>

587 Sen PK (1968) Estimates of the regression coefficient based on Kendall’s tau. J Am Stat Assoc 63:1379–1389

588 Şenocak S, Emek, MF (2019) Trend analizi yöntemleri kullanılarak Doğu Anadolu Bölgesi aylık yağış  
589 miktarlarının değerlendirilmesi. Avrupa Bilim ve Teknoloji Dergisi 17:807-822.  
590 <https://doi.org/10.31590/ejosat.646266>

591 Siegel S (1957) Nonparametric statistics. The American Statistician 11(3): 13-19.

592 Taschetto AS, England MH (2009) An analysis of late twentieth century trends in Australian rainfall. Int J Climatol  
593 29(6): 791-807. <https://doi.org/10.1002/joc.1736>

594 Tayanç M, İm U, Doğruel M, Karaca, M (2009) Climate change in Turkey for the last half century. Clim  
595 Change 94(3):483-502. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10584-008-9511-0>

596 Toros H (2012) Spatio-temporal precipitation change assessments over Turkey. Int J Climatol 32(9):1310-1325.  
597 <https://doi.org/10.1002/joc.2353>

598 Trambly Y, Koutroulis A, Samaniego L, Vicente-Serrano SM, Volaire F, Boone A, Le Page M, Llasat MC,  
599 Albergel C, Burak S, Caillere M, Kalin KC, Davi H, Dupuy JL, Greve P, Grillakis M, Hanich L, Jarlan  
600 L, StPaul LM, Vilalta JM, Mouillot F, Velazquez DP, Seguí PQ, Renard D, Turco M, Türkeş M, Trigo  
601 R, Vidal JP, Vilagrosa A, Zribi A, Polcher J (2020) Challenges for drought assessment in the  
602 Mediterranean region under future climate scenarios. Earth-Science Reviews, 210: 103348.  
603 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.earscirev.2020.103348>

604 Trenberth KE (2011) Changes in precipitation with climate change. *Clim Res* 47(1-2):123-138.  
605 <https://doi.org/10.3354/cr00953>

606 TSMS (2021) Turkish State Meteorological Service, climate data of long term season.

607 Türkeş M (1996) Spatial and temporal analysis of annual rainfall variations in Turkey. *Int J Climatol* 16(9):1057-  
608 1076. [https://doi.org/10.1002/\(SICI\)1097-0088\(199609\)16:9%3C1057::AID-JOC75%3E3.0.CO;2-D](https://doi.org/10.1002/(SICI)1097-0088(199609)16:9%3C1057::AID-JOC75%3E3.0.CO;2-D)

609 Türkeş M (1998) Influence of geopotential heights, cyclone frequency and southern oscillation on rainfall  
610 variations in Turkey. *Int J Climatol* 18(6):649-680. [https://doi.org/10.1002/\(SICI\)1097-  
611 0088\(199805\)18:6%3C649::AID-JOC269%3E3.0.CO;2-3](https://doi.org/10.1002/(SICI)1097-0088(199805)18:6%3C649::AID-JOC269%3E3.0.CO;2-3)

612 Türkeş M (2003) Spatial and Temporal Variations in Precipitation and Aridity Index Series of Turkey. In: Bolle,  
613 HJ (ed) *Mediterranean Climate. Regional Climate Studies*. Springer, Berlin [https://doi.org/10.1007/978-  
614 3-642-55657-9\\_11](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-642-55657-9_11)

615 Türkeş M (2010) *Climatology and Meteorology*. Kriter Publisher, İstanbul, p. 434. (In Turkish)

616 Türkeş M (2012) Türkiye’de gözlenen ve öngörülen iklim değişikliği, kuraklık ve çölleşme (Observed and  
617 projected climate change, drought and desertification in Turkey). *Ankara Uni J Env Sci* 4(2): 1-32 (in  
618 Turkish with an English abstract). [https://doi.org/10.1501/Csaum\\_0000000063](https://doi.org/10.1501/Csaum_0000000063)

619 Türkeş M (2019) Climate and drought in Turkey. In: Harmancioglu NB, Altinbilek D (eds) *Water resources of*  
620 *Turkey, World Water Resources* 2, pp 85–125. <https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-11729-04>

621 Türkeş M (2020) Climate and Drought in Turkey. In: Harmancioglu N, Altinbilek D (eds) *Water Resources of*  
622 *Turkey, World Water Resources*, vol 2. Springer, Cham [https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-11729-0\\_4](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-11729-0_4)

623 Türkeş M (2021) *General Climatology: Fundamentals of Atmosphere, Weather and Climate*. Kriter Publisher,  
624 İstanbul, p. 375. (In Turkish)

625 Türkeş M (2022) *Climatology and Meteorology. Updated and Expanded Second Edition*, Kriter Publisher,  
626 İstanbul. (In Turkish)

627 Türkeş M, Erlat E (2003) Precipitation changes and variability in Turkey linked to the North Atlantic Oscillation  
628 during the period 1930-2000. *Int J Climatol* 23: 1771-1796. <https://doi.org/10.1002/joc.962>

629 Türkeş M, Erlat E (2005) Climatological responses of winter precipitation in Turkey to variability of the North  
630 Atlantic Oscillation during the period 1930–2001. *Theor Apply Climatol* 81(1):45-69.  
631 <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00704-004-0084-1>

632 Türkeş M, Erlat E 2006. Influences of the North Atlantic oscillation on precipitation variability and change in  
633 Turkey. *Il nuovo cimento C* 29(1): 117-135.

634 Türkeş M, Koç T, Sarış F (2007) Spatial and temporal analysis of the changes and trends in precipitation total and  
635 intensity series of Turkey. *Turkish J Geo Sci* 5(1): 57-73.

636 Türkeş M, Sümer UM, Kiliç G (2002) Persistence and periodicity in the precipitation series of Turkey and  
637 associations with 500 hPa geopotential heights. *Clim Res* 21(1):59-81. <https://doi.org/10.3354/cr021059>

638 Türkeş M, Tatlı H (2009) Use of the standardized precipitation index (SPI) and a modified SPI for shaping the  
639 drought probabilities over Turkey. *International Journal of Climatology: Journal Royal Meteorological*  
640 *Society* 29(15): 2270-2282. <https://doi.org/10.1002/joc.1862>

641 Türkeş M, Tatlı H (2011). Use of the spectral clustering to determine coherent precipitation regions in Turkey for  
642 the period 1929-2007. *Int J Climatol* 31: 2055–2067. <https://doi.org/10.1002/joc.2212>

643 Türkeş M, Turp MT, An N, Ozturk T, Kurnaz ML (2020). Impacts of Climate Change on Precipitation Climatology  
644 and Variability in Turkey, Chapter 14. In Harmancioglu, N. B., Altinbilek, D. (Eds.), *Water Resources of*  
645 *Turkey, World Water Resources*, vol 2. Springer, Cham, pp 467-491. [https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-  
646 11729-0\\_14](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-11729-0_14)

647 Türkeş M, Yozgatlıgil C, Batmaz İ, İyigün C, Kartal KE, Fahmi FM, Aslan S (2016) Has the climate been changing  
648 in Turkey? Regional climate change signals based on a comparative statistical analysis of two consecutive  
649 time periods, 1950–1980 and 1981–2010. *Clim Res* 70: 77–93. <https://doi.org/10.3354/cr01410>

650 Tye MR, Katz RW, Rajagopalan B (2019) Climate change or climate regimes? Examining multi-annual variations  
651 in the frequency of precipitation extremes over the Argentine Pampas. *Clim Dyn* 53:245–260.  
652 <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00382-018-4581-9>

653 Unal Y, Kindap T, Karaca M (2003) Redefining the climate zones of Turkey using cluster analysis. *Int J Climatol*  
654 23(9):1045-1055. <https://doi.org/10.1002/joc.910>

655 Unal YS, Deniz A, Toros H, Incecik S (2012) Temporal and spatial patterns of precipitation variability for annual,  
656 wet, and dry seasons in Turkey. *Int J Climatol* 32(3):392-405. <https://doi.org/10.1002/joc.2274>

- 657 Van Belle G, Hughes JP (1984) Nonparametric tests for trend in water quality. *Water Resour Res* 20(1):127-136.  
658 <https://doi.org/10.1029/WR020i001p00127>
- 659 Vezzoli R, Pecora S, Zenoni E, Tonelli F (2012) Data analysis to detect inhomogeneity, change points, trends in  
660 observations: an application to Po river discharge extremes. *CMCC Research Paper* 1:138.  
661 <https://doi.org/10.2139/ssrn.2195345>
- 662 Yang Z, Liu Q (2011) Response of streamflow to climate changes in the Yellow River Basin, China. *J*  
663 *Hydrometeorol* 12(5):1113-1126. <https://doi.org/10.1175/JHM-D-10-05004.1>
- 664 Zar JH (2005) Spearman rank correlation. *Encyclopedia of Biostatistics* 7.  
665 <https://doi.org/10.1002/0470011815.b2a15150>
- 666 Zittis G, Bruggeman A, Lelieveld J (2021) Revisiting future extreme precipitation trends in the  
667 Mediterranean. *Weather Clim Extremes* 34:100380. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.wace.2021.100380>

## Supplementary Files

This is a list of supplementary files associated with this preprint. Click to download.

- [Supplementaryfile1.pdf](#)