

Neurodevelopmental Disorder traits in *Taijin-Kyofu-Sho* and Social Anxiety Disorder: A Cross-Sectional Study Among University Students

Kosuke Kajitani (✉ kkajitani@chc.kyushu-u.ac.jp)

Kyushu University: Kyushu Daigaku <https://orcid.org/0000-0001-6193-6863>

Rikako Tsuchimoto

Kyushu University: Kyushu Daigaku

Yusaku Omodaka

Kyushu University: Kyushu Daigaku

Tomoko Matsushita

Kyushu University: Kyushu Daigaku

Hideaki Fukumori

Kyushu University: Kyushu Daigaku

Takeshi Sato

Kyushu University: Kyushu Daigaku

Jun Nagano

Kyushu University: Kyushu Daigaku

Research Article

Keywords: ADHD, autism spectrum disorder, social anxiety disorder, Taijin-Kyofu-sho, university students

Posted Date: March 9th, 2021

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.21203/rs.3.rs-282705/v1>

License: © ⓘ This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License.

[Read Full License](#)

Abstract

Background: *Taijin-Kyofu-sho*, an East Asian culture-bound anxiety disorder, has been likened to social anxiety disorder. However, few studies have examined these two disorders from the perspective of neurodevelopmental disorders. This study aimed to examine the association of *Taijin-Kyofu-sho* and social anxiety disorder with attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder and autism spectrum disorder.

Methods: The Liebowitz Social Anxiety, *Taijin-Kyofu-sho*, and Adult Attention-Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder Self-Report scales and the 16-item Autism-Spectrum Quotient were administered to 818 university students. Participants were divided into four groups: control (neither *Taijin-Kyofu-sho* nor social anxiety disorder), pure *Taijin-Kyofu-sho* (*Taijin-Kyofu-sho* alone), pure social anxiety disorder (social anxiety disorder alone), and *Taijin-Kyofu-sho*-social anxiety disorder mixed (both *Taijin-Kyofu-sho* and social anxiety disorder). We used logistic regression analysis to examine whether attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder and autism spectrum disorder were associated with *Taijin-Kyofu-sho* and social anxiety disorder.

Results: Autism spectrum disorder was significantly associated with pure *Taijin-Kyofu-sho* ($p = 0.006$, odds ratio: 3.99). Female sex and attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder were significantly associated with pure social anxiety disorder (sex: $p = 0.013$, odds ratio: 2.61; attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder: $p = 0.012$, odds ratio: 2.46). Female sex, attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder, and autism spectrum disorder were significantly associated with *Taijin-Kyofu-sho*-social anxiety disorder mixed (sex: $p = 0.043$, odds ratio: 2.16; attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder: $p = 0.003$, odds ratio: 2.75; autism spectrum disorder: $p < 0.001$, odds ratio: 16.93).

Conclusions: Neurodevelopmental disorder traits differed between individuals with *Taijin-Kyofu-sho* and those with social anxiety disorder. Japanese individuals with attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder or autism spectrum disorder traits are at a risk of developing *Taijin-Kyofu-sho* or social anxiety disorder in the future.

Background

Neurodevelopmental disorders (NDDs) are impairments in brain growth and development that lead to emotional and behavioral problems that begin in early childhood and persist into adulthood. According to the Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders, Fifth Edition (DSM-5), NDDs are characterized by developmental deficits of varying severity in personal, academic, or occupational functioning [1]. Among NDDs, autism spectrum disorder (ASD) and attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder (ADHD) often cause significant problems for college students. Students with ADHD or ASD are at a risk of poor academic achievement and social isolation and are less likely to pursue a college education [2–4]. Furthermore, in young adults, ADHD or ASD is often comorbid with other psychiatric disorders, including anxiety disorder, mood disorder, and obsessive-compulsive disorder [5–7], which worsens their social adaptation [8]. In fact, having a mental health comorbidity is a negative predictor of quality of life for

adults with ASD [9], and complications of psychiatric disorders are associated with poor academic performance in children with ADHD [10]. Thus, it is important to consider how comorbid disorders affect the quality of life of students with NDDs.

Social anxiety disorder (SAD) is an anxiety disorder characterized by marked fear of social situations in which the individual is exposed to possible scrutiny by others [11]. Examples of social situations include social interaction (e.g., having a conversation, meeting unfamiliar people), being observed (e.g., eating or drinking), and performing in front of others (e.g., giving a speech). Accumulating evidence suggests that patients with NDDs often have SAD symptoms. For example, Freeth et al. examined the association between ASD and SAD using the Autism-Spectrum Quotient (AQ) and the Liebowitz Social Anxiety Scale (LSAS) and indicated that British students with multiple autistic traits were more likely to report increased social anxiety than those with few autistic traits [12].

A systematic review by Spain et al.

on the relationship between ASD symptoms and SAD in individuals with ASD and found that SAD symptoms were associated with poorer social skills and functioning [13]. Additionally, studies have reported a high rate of ADHD in adult patients with SAD [14, 15]. In particular, the presence of comorbid ADHD has been associated with increased severity and functional impairment on the Global Assessment of Functioning Scale [16]. Thus, the relationship between SAD and ADHD/ASD has been studied in terms of epidemiology and social function.

SAD was previously known as “social phobia,” a term coined by Janet in the early 1900s [17]. However, the concept of SAD did not exist in the U.S. until Marks and Gelder differentiated social phobia from phobic disorders in the 1960s [18]. Meanwhile, in Japan, SAD has been reported since the 1920s. Morita initially termed the features of SAD as “*Taijin-Kyofu-sho* (TK),” which literally means disorder (*sho*) of fear (*kyofu*) of interpersonal relations (*taijin*) [19, 20]. TK is defined as persistent and excessive fear of offending others in social situations with one’s physical characteristics, such as blushing, gaze, or body odor [21]. It has been considered a subtype of neurosis or neurasthenic state, which is called “*Shinkeishitsu*” in Japanese [20], and is regarded as a culture-bound anxiety disorder in Japan and other East Asian countries [22]. In the DSM-5, TK is listed under SAD and briefly described in the section on culture-related diagnostic issues [11].

The psychopathologies of TK and SAD have been compared. There are two types of TK: “tension” and “offensive” [23]. In the tension type, patients fear being looked down upon in social situations because of a physical manifestation of anxiety or embarrassment, such as blushing. They also feel ashamed for experiencing these anxieties and fears and therefore avoid anxiety-provoking social situations. These features resemble the “fear of being noticed” seen in patients with SAD. Furthermore, Nakamura et al. compared the clinical diagnosis of TK and the operational diagnosis of SAD according to the DSM-III-R. They found that 65.8% of patients with TK were also diagnosed with SAD [24], suggesting that TK and SAD share clinical and psychopathological features.

The offensive type of TK is characterized by fear of offending or disgusting others due to eye contact or body odor. Patients with offensive type TK believe that they are offending others with their physical appearance or that others are avoiding them [20]. Previously, the major difference between TK and SAD was considered to be that patients with TK fear offending others, whereas patients with SAD fear embarrassing themselves [25]. Therefore, TK has been described as an other-oriented (allocentric) disorder and SAD as a self-oriented (egocentric) disorder [26].

With the publication of the DSM-5, the differences between the features of TK and SAD have become ambiguous. The following sentence was added to the DSM-5 as “criterion B” of SAD: “The individual fears that he or she will act in a way or show anxiety symptoms that will be negatively evaluated (i.e., will be humiliating or embarrassing; will lead to rejection or offend others)” [11]. The definition of SAD was expanded because TK has been observed not only in East Asians but also in individuals from Western countries [27, 28]. However, some subcategories of TK are difficult to classify into the DSM-5 criteria of SAD (e.g., *Shubo-kyofu*: the phobia of a deformed body, *Jikoshu-kyofu*: the phobia of one’s own foul body odor) [25, 29]. Thus, although TK has been regarded as a type of SAD, it is still controversial whether these two disorders are identical because of several differences in their clinical features.

Since TK and SAD are unlikely to be identical in some respects, we considered it useful to focus on the differences in the causes of these two anxiety disorders. To examine the differences in psychopathology between TK and SAD, we previously compared SAD and TK in terms of ADHD traits [30]. However, our previous study did not compare TK and SAD with respect to other NDDs. Here, we hypothesized that ADHD and ASD may contribute to the onset of TK and SAD. This study aimed to examine the association of *Taijin-Kyofu-sho* and SAD with ADHD and ASD in university students.

Methods

Study design and subjects

This cross-sectional study was conducted at Kyushu University from April 2015 to April 2018.

All participants were Japanese students at the Department of Interdisciplinary Graduate School of Engineering Sciences and Faculty of Arts and Science at Kyushu University who volunteered to participate in the study.

We obtained informed consent from the students as follows: students were provided with our research manual and received an oral explanation of the study (purpose, methods, risk, and the right to withdraw from the study).

We then asked students enrolled in psychology, health, and safety courses to volunteer. The questionnaires were completed anonymously, and the answers did not contain any identifying information. Submission of the questionnaire was regarded as consent for participation in the study. Furthermore, the participants were provided opportunities to opt-out from the study.

Students who had previously been administered the scales used in the study were excluded to avoid duplication.

This study was approved by the Ethics Committee of the Faculty of Arts and Science, Kyushu University, Japan (approval number: 201406R).

Measures

The *Taijin-Kyofu-sho* scale

The *Taijin-Kyofu-sho* scale (TKs), developed by Kleinknecht et al. in 1997, consists of 31 items related to TK symptoms that best differentiate patients with TK from controls [19]. Participants were instructed to rate all 31 items on a seven-point scale (1 = totally false to 7 = exactly true), with total TKs scores ranging from 31 to 217. Based on the study by Kleinknecht et al., participants scoring 122 or higher were considered to have TK [19, 30].

The Liebowitz Social Anxiety scale (LSAS)

We used the Japanese version of the LSAS in this study [31]. The LSAS consists of 24 items that assess the extent of social interactions and performance in situations that may trigger SAD symptoms [32]. Participants rated all items on a four-point scale (0 = none to 3 = severe) based on the fear felt during specific situations (fear or anxiety section), following which they were rated again based on the avoidance of a situation (avoidance section). Total fear/anxiety and total avoidance scores ranged from 0 to 72 each; thus, the total LSAS score ranged from 0 to 144. Based on previous reports, participants scoring 60 or higher were considered to have SAD [33–36].

The Adult Attention-Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder Self-Report scales

The ASRS version 1.1 is a self-report questionnaire designed to screen for adult ADHD [37]. It consists of 18 items rated on a five-point scale (0 = never to 4 = very often). Six of the questions (part A: items 1 to 6) are reported to be predictive of symptoms consistent with ADHD and are often used to define adult ADHD. Each item has a cut-off value (COV) of 2 (sometimes) or 3 (often), and having four or more items in part A (ASRS-6) with scores above the COV is indicative of adult ADHD. We adopted a COV of 4 for the definition of ADHD in this study (ASRS-6 \geq 4: ADHD +; < 4: ADHD -).

The 16-item Autism-Spectrum Quotient (AQ16)

The AQ, developed by Baron-Cohen et al. [38], is a 50-item self-report questionnaire that measures autistic traits.

The AQ uses a four-point Likert-type scale (strongly agree, slightly agree, slightly disagree, and strongly disagree).

Slightly or strongly agree responses are each scored 1 point, resulting in a total score ranging from 0 to 50. Although it is widely used to measure autism spectrum tendencies in clinical research, the 50-item version of the AQ is too lengthy to be included in comprehensive screening for psychiatric disorders.

Therefore, shorter versions of the AQ have been developed as screening tools for ASD. To examine the extent of autistic traits in participants, we used the Japanese version of the 16-item Autism-Spectrum Quotient (AQ16), which was designed to detect Asperger's syndrome [39]. According to previous research, a COV of 12 on the AQ16 (score range: 0 to 16) has high sensitivity (0.80) and specificity (0.97) [39], and we therefore adopted a COV of 12 points for this study (AQ16 \geq 12: ASD +; < 12: ASD -).

Data analysis

First, we classified the participants into the TK + and TK - groups based on their TKs scores (TK+: met the abovementioned criteria for TK, TK - did not meet the abovementioned criteria for TK).

Similarly, they were classified into SAD+/SAD-, ADHD+/ADHD-, and ASD+/ASD - groups according to the relevant definitions.

Second, we compared the prevalence of TK and SAD across the four groups based on ADHD/ASD, that is, ADHD - ASD-, ADHD + ASD-, ADHD - ASD+, and ADHD + ASD+. Third, we used multiple logistic regression analysis to examine the potential contributions of ADHD and ASD to the occurrence of TK or SAD, with TK (- = 0, + = 1) or SAD (- = 0, + = 1) as the dependent variable, ADHD (- = 0, + = 1) and ASD (- = 0, + = 1) as independent variables, and age (year) and sex (male = 0, female = 1) as common covariates. Finally, because some participants had comorbid TK and SAD, we attempted to characterize them based on the differences in symptoms associated with TK and SAD.

For this purpose, we further classified them into "pure TK," "pure SAD," and "TK-SAD mixed," where an individual with "pure TK" only met the criteria for TK but not for SAD (the opposite was true for "pure SAD"), and an individual with "TK-SAD mixed" met the criteria for both TK and SAD. We then repeated logistic regression analyses with pure TK, pure SAD, and TK-SAD mixed as dependent variables. Data were analyzed using SPSS version 27.0 (IBM Corporation, Armonk, NY, USA). Statistical tests were two-sided, and p -values < 0.05 were considered significant.

Results

In total, 818 students (males: 628, females: 190) completed all the psychological tests. The mean age (standard deviation [SD]: range) of the participants was 21.4 years (2.02: 18–40 years). Figure 1 shows the frequency distributions of the TKs (a), LSAS (b), ASRS-6 (c), and AQ16 (d) scores; the corresponding COVs are shown in each graph.

Of the 818 participants, 150 (18.3%), 86 (10.5%), and 47 (5.7%) were classified as "pure TK," "pure SAD," and "TK-SAD mixed," respectively.

With respect to NDDs, 182 (22.2%), 33 (4.0%), and 20 (2.4%) had ADHD, ASD, and comorbid ADHD and ASD, respectively. The mean (SD) TKs, LSAS, ASRS-6, and AQ16 scores were 89.0 (33.7), 32.9 (20.7), 2.33 (1.48), and 5.87 (2.86), respectively.

The characteristics of the participants are shown in Table 1.

The participants with TK or SAD were slightly younger and consisted of a higher proportion of females, and there was a higher proportion of comorbid ADHD or ASD than controls.

Table 1
Characteristics of participants

	Control (TKs < 122, LSAS < 60)	Participants with TK (TKs ≥ 122)	Participants with SAD (LSAS ≥ 60)
Number	629	150†	86†
Mean age (SD) (years)	21.4 (2.01)	21.1 (2.01)	20.7 (2.16)
Sex, F/M (% F)	129/500 (20.5%)	44/106 (29.3%)	36/50 (41.9%)
ADHD (%)	118 (18.8%)	49 (32.7%)	39 (45.3%)
ASD (%)	11 (1.7%)	20 (13.3%)	15 (17.4%)
TK: <i>Taijin-Kyofu-sho</i> ; SAD, social anxiety disorder; TKs: <i>Taijin-Kyofu-sho</i> scale; LSAS, Liebowitz Social Anxiety Scale; M, male; F, female; ADHD, attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder; ASD, autism spectrum disorder. †There were 47 comorbid cases of TK and SAD.			

Figure 2 shows the prevalence of TK (a) and SAD (b) across the groups based on ADHD/ASD, that is, ADHD – ASD–, ADHD + ASD–, ADHD – ASD+, and ADHD + ASD+. The proportion (number) of participants with TK was 14.9% (93/623), 22.8% (37/162), 61.5% (8/13), and 60.0% (12/20) in the ADHD– ASD–, ADHD + ASD, ADHD– ASD+, and ADHD + ASD + groups, respectively. The proportion (number) of participants with SAD was 6.9% (43/623), 17.3% (28/162), 30.8% (4/13), and 55.0% (11/20) in the ADHD– ASD–, ADHD + ASD, ADHD– ASD+, and ADHD + ASD + groups, respectively. Thus, the prevalence of TK/SAD differed based on the presence/absence of ADHD/ASD, and the pattern of association with ADHD/ASD seemed to be substantially different between TK and SAD.

As shown in Fig. 2, the presence of ADHD/ASD resulted in differences in the proportions of TK and SAD. To confirm whether ADHD and ASD may be associated with TK and SAD, logistic regression analyses were conducted with TK or SAD (0 = control, 1 = TK or SAD) as a binary outcome, and age, sex, ADHD, and ASD as variables. As shown in Table 2, among the four variables, ADHD and ASD were significantly associated with TK (ADHD: $p = 0.032$, odds ratio [OR] 1.57; ASD: $p < 0.001$, OR 6.63). Female sex, ADHD, and ASD were significantly associated with SAD (female sex: $p = 0.003$, OR 2.29; ADHD: $p < 0.001$, OR 2.72; ASD: $p < 0.001$, OR 6.46).

Table 2
Factors associated with TK and SAD in logistic regression analysis

Factor	TK		SAD	
	OR (95% CI)	<i>p</i> -value	OR (95% CI)	<i>p</i> -value
Age (years)	0.97 (0.87–1.07)	0.48	0.90 (0.79–1.03)	0.12
Female sex	1.37 (0.87–2.15)	0.17	2.29 (1.33–3.96)	0.003
ADHD	1.58 (1.04–2.39)	0.032	2.72 (1.66–4.46)	< 0.001
ASD	6.63 (3.15–13.98)	< 0.001	6.46 (2.93–14.25)	< 0.001
Bold text indicates statistical significance.				
TK, <i>Taijin-Kyofu-sho</i> ; SAD, social anxiety disorder; OR, odds ratio; CI, confidence interval; ADHD, attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder; ASD, autism spectrum disorder.				

As shown in Table 2, we found that ADHD and ASD were both significantly associated with TK and SAD. However, these analyses included 47 cases of comorbid TK and SAD. The characteristics of the participants grouped according to the presence or absence of TK and SAD are shown in Table 3. ASD was significantly associated with pure TK (ASD: $p = 0.006$; OR, 3.99). Female sex and ADHD were significantly associated with pure SAD (sex [female]: $p = 0.013$, OR 2.61; ADHD: $p = 0.012$, OR 2.46). Female sex, ADHD, and ASD were significantly associated with TK-SAD mixed (sex [female]: $p = 0.043$, OR 2.16; ADHD: $p = 0.003$, OR 2.75; ASD: $p < 0.001$, OR 16.93) (Table 4).

Table 3
Characteristics of participants classified according to TKs and LSAS cut-off values

	Control	Pure TK	Pure SAD	TK-SAD mixed	<i>p</i> -value
	LSAS (< 60)/TKs (< 122)	LSAS (< 60)/TKs (\geq 122)	LSAS (\geq 60)/TKs (< 122)	LSAS (\geq 60)/TKs (\geq 122)	
Number	629	103	39	47	
Mean age (SD) (years)	21.4 (2.01)	21.3 (1.81)	20.7 (1.92)	20.7 (2.37)	0.03 ^{a*}
Sex, F/M (% F)	129/500 (20.5%)	25/78 (24.3%)	17/22 (43.6%)	19/28 (40.4%)	0.00 ^{b**}
TK: <i>Taijin-Kyofu-sho</i> ; SAD: social anxiety disorder; LSAS, Liebowitz Social Anxiety Scale; TKs: <i>Taijin-Kyofu-sho</i> scale; M: male; F: female; SD: standard deviation; ^a Kruskal-Wallis test; ^b Chi-square test; * $p < 0.05$, ** $p < 0.01$.					

Table 4
Factors associated with pure TK, pure SAD, and TK-SAD mixed in logistic regression analysis

	Pure TK		Pure SAD		TK-SAD mixed	
	OR (95% CI)	<i>p</i> -value	OR (95% CI)	<i>p</i> -value	OR (95% CI)	<i>p</i> -value
Age (years)	0.98 (0.87–1.10)	0.73	0.91 (0.75–1.09)	0.309	0.86 (0.72–1.03)	0.11
Female sex	1.24 (0.73–2.11)	0.43	2.61 (1.23–5.55)	0.013	2.16 (1.03–4.56)	0.043
ADHD	1.26 (0.76–2.09)	0.38	2.46 (1.22–4.97)	0.012	2.75 (1.40–5.42)	0.003
ASD	3.99 (1.47–10.78)	0.006	3.82 (0.54–14.68)	0.22	16.93 (6.37–45.01)	< 0.001
Bold text indicates statistical significance.						
TK, <i>Taiji-Kyofu-sho</i> ; SAD, social anxiety disorder; OR, odds ratio; CI, confidence interval; ADHD, attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder; ASD, autism spectrum disorder.						

Discussion

In this study, we found that a higher proportion of participants with TK and SAD had ADHD and ASD than those in the control group. Using logistic regression analysis, we identified ADHD and ASD as factors associated with TK. In addition to ADHD and ASD, female sex was significantly associated with SAD. Furthermore, to highlight the differences between the clinical features of TK and SAD, we divided the participants into four groups (control, pure TK, pure SAD, and TK-SAD mixed) and performed logistic regression analyses. ASD was associated with pure TK, and female sex and ADHD were associated with pure SAD. We believe this is the first study comparing TK and SAD according to NDDs (ADHD and ASD). Our results may provide new insights into the pathogenesis of TK and SAD, which can contribute to the early management of these anxiety disorders.

Although few studies have examined the prevalence of TK among patients with developmental disorders, many have shown a relationship between SAD and NDDs in adults. Studies from the U.S. and Norway have reported that 29.3% [40] and 14.2% [41] of adults with ADHD had comorbid SAD, respectively. Here, 21.4% (39/182) of participants with ADHD had SAD, which was in line with the results of previous reports.

Adults with ASD have been reported to have a significantly higher prevalence of SAD than those without ASD (21.7% vs. 5.0%) [42]. Furthermore, a systematic review estimated the prevalence of SAD in adults with ASD as 29% [43]. However, we observed a higher prevalence of SAD in participants with ASD (45.5% [15/33]) than that in previous reports. This may be explained by differences in the participants' backgrounds or the evaluation method used. Our research focused on university students, whereas other studies included participants with various backgrounds (e.g., education, occupation, economic status,

and race). Moreover, participants of previous studies were clinically diagnosed with ASD based on the DSM or International Classification of Diseases (ICD) criteria, in contrast to the self-administered test (AQ16) used in our study.

Logistic regression analysis identified ADHD and ASD as common factors associated with TK and SAD. However, the analysis included 47 comorbid cases of TK and SAD.

To exclude the effect of TK and SAD on each other, we divided the participants into pure TK and pure SAD groups and performed logistic regression analysis.

As a result, ASD was identified as a factor associated with pure TK, and ADHD and female sex were identified as factors associated with pure SAD.

One possible reason for the association between ASD and TK is collectivism. Contrary to individualism in Western countries, East Asian societies, including Japan, are characterized by collectivism. In collectivism, people need to sensitively perceive the feelings or thoughts of others because “not bothering others” and “cooperativeness” are regarded as virtues; that is, the fear of being disliked and not accepted plays a prominent role in collectivistic societies like Japan [44]. However, patients with ASD have deficits in empathy and “theory of mind,” and they often have difficulties in understanding the thoughts of others and communicating with them properly [45, 46]. Therefore, patients with ASD are unable to form and maintain positive peer relationships, and some of them are even bullied in childhood. Indeed, there is a growing body of literature indicating that patients with ASD are at a significantly higher risk of both victimization and perpetration [47]. It is possible that these interpersonal failures and painful experiences may make patients with ASD oversensitive to communication with others; that is, some patients with ASD may always worry that their words and actions may hurt others’ feelings or make them uncomfortable. This anxiety about “making peers uncomfortable” is a core feature of TK.

Another reason for the association between ASD and TK may be social withdrawal. Social withdrawal, a maladaptive behavior associated with ASD, is more prevalent among children with ASD than typically developing children and often worsens with age [48]. Meanwhile, patients with severe TK are often socially withdrawn. This state is called “*Hikikomori*,” which literally means “pulling inward” in Japanese. The definition of “*Hikikomori*” is abnormal avoidance of social contact, typically by adolescents [49]. Indeed, a case series of inpatients with TK revealed that 30% of them could be regarded as “*Hikikomori*” [50]. Moreover, using the AQ, Katsuki et al. have shown that *Hikikomori* were more likely to have autistic tendencies than non-*Hikikomori* [51]. Thus, social withdrawal in TK and *Hikikomori*, which was first described in Japan, seem to be closely related to ASD.

Accumulating evidence suggests that SAD is closely associated with ADHD. Koyuncu et al. reported that the rate of childhood ADHD in SAD was high (72.3%) [16]. In a cross-sectional observational study, Sanz et al. found that adolescents with inattentive ADHD had a higher degree of SAD [52]. Furthermore, Nelson et al. have shown that college students with ADHD have significantly more anxiety symptoms than those without ADHD [53]. In their report, participants with inattentive ADHD had more anxiety symptoms than in

those with combined ADHD (inattentive and hyperactive-impulsive ADHD). Thus, considering the close relationship between SAD and ADHD, it is not surprising that ADHD is associated with pure SAD.

Previous studies have consistently shown that women are more likely to have SAD, to have more severe clinical symptoms of SAD, and to have greater subjective distress compared to men [54, 55]. Here, consistent with their results, we found that female sex was associated with pure SAD. However, sex differences in TK remain controversial. TK reportedly has a male predominance of approximately 3:2 [17]. However, Maeda et al. reported that the proportion of female patients with TK was increasing [20]. Furthermore, Essau et al. compared British and Japanese young adults using the TKs and found that British females had significantly higher scores than males; however, no significant sex differences were found in the Japanese population [56]. We found no sex differences in pure TK on logistic regression analysis. Epidemiological studies with larger samples are needed to examine the sex differences in TK.

Limitations of this study

This is the first study to examine the association between two types of anxiety disorders (TK and SAD) and developmental disorders (ADHD and ASD) using logistic regression analysis. However, it has some limitations.

First, all participants were recruited from a single university, and 70% of the students were male; therefore, our results cannot be generalized to all Japanese young adults.

Second, we relied on self-reported assessments to diagnose TK, SAD, ADHD, and ASD. In general, a clinical diagnosis should be made according to the operational diagnostic criteria based on a psychiatric interview. Therefore, a clinical evaluation using structured interviews is required for the accurate diagnosis of these disorders.

Conclusions

In this study, we examined the association between anxiety disorders (TK and SAD) and characteristics of ADHD and ASD. Multivariate logistic regression analyses revealed that ASD was significantly and independently associated with TK, and ADHD and female sex were significantly and independently associated with SAD. Differences in ADHD and ASD traits may influence the future onset of TK or SAD in Japanese adults.

Abbreviations

TK; *Taijin-kyofu-sho*; SAD:social anxiety disorder; ASD:autism spectrum disorder; ADHD:attention deficit hyperactivity disorder; NDDs:neurodevelopmental disorders; TKs:*Taijin-kyofu-sho* scale; LSAS:Liebowitz Social Anxiety Scale; ASRS:Adult ADHD Self-Report Scale; AQ16:Autism-Spectrum Quotient with 16 items; COV:cut-off value; OR:odds ratio; CI:confidence interval.

Declarations

Ethics approval and consent to participate

This study was approved by the Ethics Committee of the Faculty of Arts and Science, Kyushu University, Japan (approval number: 201406R).

Consent for publication

Not applicable.

Availability of data and materials

The data are available upon reasonable request.

Competing interests

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

Funding

None.

Authors' contributions

KK is a guarantor of this paper. KK and JN designed the study, collected the data, and wrote the manuscript. RT, YO, TM, and TS performed the statistical analysis and collected the data. HF and TS provided important scientific comments on the study design, data analysis, and manuscript content. All authors contributed to and have approved the final manuscript.

Acknowledgements

The authors thank all staff and participants of this study. We would also like to thank Editage (www.editage.jp) for English language editing.

References

1. American Psychiatric Association. Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders (DSM–5). 5th edition: Neurodevelopmental Disorders. Washington, DC: American Psychiatric Association; 2013. pp. 31–86.
2. Frazier TW, Youngstrom EA, Glutting JJ, Watkins MW. ADHD and achievement: meta-analysis of the child, adolescent, and adult literatures and a concomitant study with college students. *J Learn Disabil.* 2007;40:49–65. doi:10.1177/00222194070400010401.
3. Murphy KR, Barkley RA, Bush T. Young adults with attention deficit hyperactivity disorder: subtype differences in comorbidity, educational, and clinical history. *J Nerv Ment Dis.* 2002;190:147–57. doi:10.1097/00005053-200203000-00003.

4. McLeod JD, Meanwell E, Hawbaker A. The experiences of college students on the autism spectrum: a comparison to their neurotypical peers. *J Autism Dev Disord*. 2019;49:2320–36. doi:10.1007/s10803-019-03910-8.
5. Rosen TE, Mazefsky CA, Vasa RA, Lerner MD. Co-occurring psychiatric conditions in autism spectrum disorder. *Int Rev Psychiatry*. 2018;30:40–61. doi:10.1080/09540261.2018.1450229.
6. Mosner MG, Kinard JL, Shah JS, McWeeny S, Greene RK, Lowery SC, et al. Rates of co-occurring psychiatric disorders in autism spectrum disorder using the mini international neuropsychiatric interview. *J Autism Dev Disord*. 2019;49:3819–32. doi:10.1007/s10803-019-04090-1.
7. Anastopoulos AD, DuPaul GJ, Weyandt LL, Morrissey-Kane E, Sommer JL, Rhoads LH, et al. Rates and patterns of comorbidity among first-year college students with ADHD. *J Clin Child Adolesc Psychol*. 2018;47:236–47. doi:10.1080/15374416.2015.1105137.
8. Zukerman G, Yahav G, Ben-Itzhak E. Increased psychiatric symptoms in university students with autism spectrum disorder are associated with reduced adaptive behavior. *Psychiatry Res*. 2019;273:732–8. doi:10.1016/j.psychres.2019.01.098.
9. Mason D, McConachie H, Garland D, Petrou A, Rodgers J, Parr JR. Predictors of quality of life for autistic adults. *Autism Res*. 2018;11:1138–47. doi:10.1002/aur.1965.
10. Cuffe SP, Visser SN, Holbrook JR, Danielson ML, Geryk LL, Wolraich ML, et al. ADHD and psychiatric comorbidity: functional outcomes in a school-based sample of children. *J Atten Disord*. 2020;24:1345–54. doi:10.1177/1087054715613437.
11. American Psychiatric Association. *Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders (DSM–5)*. 5th edition: Social Anxiety Disorder (Social Phobia). Washington, DC: American Psychiatric Association; 2013. p. 202-8.
12. Freeth M, Bullock T, Milne E. The distribution of and relationship between autistic traits and social anxiety in a UK student population. *Autism*. 2013;17:571–81. doi:10.1177/1362361312445511.
13. Spain D, Sin J, Linder KB, MacMahon J. Social anxiety in autism spectrum disorder: a systematic review. *Res Autism Spect Dis*. 2018;52:51–68. doi:10.1016/j.rasd.2018.04.007.
14. Koyuncu A, Celebi F, Ertekin E, Kok BE, Tukul R. Attention deficit and hyperactivity in social anxiety disorder: relationship with trauma history and impulsivity. *Atten Defic Hyperact Disord*. 2016;8:95–100. doi:10.1007/s12402-016-0189-2.
15. Van Ameringen M, Mancini C, Simpson W, Patterson B. Adult attention deficit hyperactivity disorder in an anxiety disorders population. *CNS Neurosci Ther*. 2011;17:221–6. doi:10.1111/j.1755-5949.2010.00148.x.
16. Koyuncu A, Ertekin E, Yuksel C, Aslantas Ertekin B, Celebi F, Binbay Z, et al. Predominantly inattentive type of ADHD is associated with social anxiety disorder. *J Atten Disord*. 2015;19:856–64. doi:10.1177/1087054714533193.
17. Takahashi T. Social phobia syndrome in Japan. *Compr Psychiatry*. 1989;30:45–52.
18. Marks IM, Gelder MG. Different ages of onset in varieties of phobia. *Am J Psychiatry*. 1966;123:218–21. doi:10.1176/ajp.123.2.218.

19. Kleinknecht RA, Dinnel DL, Kleinknecht EE, Hiruma N, Harada N. Cultural factors in social anxiety: a comparison of social phobia symptoms and Taijin kyofusho. *J Anxiety Disord.* 1997;11:157–77.
20. Maeda F, Nathan JH. Understanding taijin kyofusho through its treatment, Morita therapy. *J Psychosom Res.* 1999;46:525–30.
21. Vriends N, Pfaltz MC, Novianti P, Hadiyono J. Taijin kyofusho and social anxiety and their clinical relevance in indonesia and Switzerland. *Front Psychol.* 2013;4:3. doi:10.3389/fpsyg.2013.00003.
22. Hofmann SG, Hinton DE. Cross-cultural aspects of anxiety disorders. *Curr Psychiatry Rep.* 2014;16:450. doi:10.1007/s11920-014-0450-3.
23. Nagata T, Suzuki F, Teo AR. Generalized social anxiety disorder: a still-neglected anxiety disorder 3 decades since Liebowitz's review. *Psychiatry Clin Neurosci.* 2015;69:724–40. doi:10.1111/pcn.12327.
24. Nakamura K, Kitanishi K, Miyake Y, Hashimoto K, Kubota M. The neurotic versus delusional subtype of taijin-kyofu-sho: their DSM diagnoses. *Psychiatry Clin Neurosci.* 2002;56:595–601. doi:10.1046/j.1440-1819.2002.01061.x.
25. Lim L. Taijin-Kyofu-Sho: a subtype of social anxiety. *Open J Psychiatry.* 2013;3:393–8. doi:10.4236/ojpsych.2013.34042.
26. Chang SC. Social anxiety (phobia) and east Asian culture. *Depress Anxiety.* 1997;5:115–20.
27. Choy Y, Schneier FR, Heimberg RG, Oh KS, Liebowitz MR. Features of the offensive subtype of Taijin-Kyofu-Sho in US and Korean patients with DSM-IV social anxiety disorder. *Depress Anxiety.* 2008;25:230–40. doi:10.1002/da.20295.
28. Kim J, Rapee RM, Gaston JE. Symptoms of offensive type Taijin-Kyofusho among Australian social phobics. *Depress Anxiety.* 2008;25:601–8. doi:10.1002/da.20345.
29. Suzuki K, Takei N, Kawai M, Minabe Y, Mori N. Is taijin kyofusho a culture-bound syndrome? *Am J Psychiatry.* 2003;160:1358. doi:10.1176/appi.ajp.160.7.1358.
30. Kajitani K, Tsuchimoto R, Matsushita T, Fukumori H. Correlation between Taijin-Kyofu-sho and attention deficit hyperactivity disorder among university students: a self-reported assessment study. *Psychiatry J.* 2019;2019:7953123. doi:10.1155/2019/7953123.
31. Asakura S, Inoue S, Sasaki F, Sasaki Y, Kitagawa N, Inoue T, et al. Reliability and validity of the Japanese version of the Liebowitz Social Anxiety Scale. *Seishin Igaku.* (Clinical Psychiatry). 2002;44:1077–84.
32. Liebowitz MR, Gorman JM, Fyer AJ, Klein DF. Social phobia. Review of a neglected anxiety disorder. *Arch Gen Psychiatry.* 1985;42:729–36.
33. Asakura S, Hayano T, Hagino A, Koyama T. Long-term administration of escitalopram in patients with social anxiety disorder in Japan. *Neuropsychiatr Dis Treat.* 2016;12:1817–25. doi:10.2147/NDT.S108983.
34. Stein MB, Ravindran LN, Simon NM, Liebowitz MR, Khan A, Brawman-Mintzer O, et al. Levetiracetam in generalized social anxiety disorder: a double-blind, randomized controlled trial. *J Clin Psychiatry.*

- 2010;71:627–31. doi:10.4088/JCP.08m04949gre.
35. Rytwinski NK, Fresco DM, Heimberg RG, Coles ME, Liebowitz MR, Cissell S, et al. Screening for social anxiety disorder with the self-report version of the Liebowitz Social Anxiety Scale. *Depress Anxiety*. 2009;26:34–8. doi:10.1002/da.20503.
 36. Zaitso T, Ueno M, Shinada K, Wright FA, Kawaguchi Y. Social anxiety disorder in genuine halitosis patients. *Health Qual Life Outcomes*. 2011;9:94. doi:10.1186/1477-7525-9-94.
 37. Kessler RC, Adler L, Ames M, Demler O, Faraone S, Hiripi E, et al. The World Health Organization Adult ADHD Self-Report Scale (ASRS): a short screening scale for use in the general population. *Psychol Med*. 2005;35:245–56.
 38. Baron-Cohen S, Wheelwright S, Skinner R, Martin J, Clubley E. The autism-spectrum quotient (AQ): evidence from Asperger syndrome/high-functioning autism, males and females, scientists and mathematicians. *J Autism Dev Disord*. 2001;31:5–17.
 39. Kurita H, Osada H, Miyamoto Y, Shimizu K. Autism-Spectrum Quotient Japanese Version (AQ-J) cutoff for Asperger's disorder. *Jpn J Clin Psychiatry*. 2004;33:209–14.
 40. Kessler RC, Adler L, Barkley R, Biederman J, Conners CK, Demler O, et al. The prevalence and correlates of adult ADHD in the United States: results from the National Comorbidity Survey Replication. *Am J Psychiatry*. 2006;163:716–23. doi:10.1176/ajp.2006.163.4.716.
 41. Anker E, Bendiksen B, Heir T. Comorbid psychiatric disorders in a clinical sample of adults with ADHD, and associations with education, work and social characteristics: a cross-sectional study. *BMJ Open*. 2018;8:e019700. doi:10.1136/bmjopen-2017-019700.
 42. Lever AG, Geurts HM. Psychiatric co-occurring symptoms and disorders in young, middle-aged, and older adults with autism spectrum disorder. *J Autism Dev Disord*. 2016;46:1916–30. doi:10.1007/s10803-016-2722-8.
 43. Hollocks MJ, Lerh JW, Magiati I, Meiser-Stedman R, Brugha TS. Anxiety and depression in adults with autism spectrum disorder: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Psychol Med*. 2019;49:559–72. doi:10.1017/S0033291718002283.
 44. Hashimoto H, Yamagishi T. Two faces of interdependence: harmony seeking and rejection avoidance. *Asian J Soc Psychol*. 2013;16:142–51.
 45. Harmsen IE. Empathy in autism spectrum disorder. *J Autism Dev Disord*. 2019;49:3939–55. doi:10.1007/s10803-019-04087-w.
 46. Baron-Cohen S, Leslie AM, Frith U. Does the autistic child have a "theory of mind"? *Cognition*. 1985;21:37–46. doi:10.1016/0010-0277(85)90022-8.
 47. Schroeder JH, Cappadocia MC, Bebko JM, Pepler DJ, Weiss JA. Shedding light on a pervasive problem: a review of research on bullying experiences among children with autism spectrum disorders. *J Autism Dev Disord*. 2014;44:1520–34. doi:10.1007/s10803-013-2011-8.
 48. Anderson DK, Maye MP, Lord C. Changes in maladaptive behaviors from midchildhood to young adulthood in autism spectrum disorder. *Am J Intellect Dev Disabil*. 2011;116:381–97. doi:10.1352/1944-7558-116.5.381.

49. Kato TA, Kanba S, Teo AR. Hikikomori. Multidimensional understanding, assessment, and future international perspectives. *Psychiatry Clin Neurosci*. 2019;73:427–40. doi:10.1111/pcn.12895.
50. Nakamura K, Shioji R. Taijin kyoufushou to hikikomori. *Rinshou Seishin Igaku [Clin Psychiatry]*. 1997;26:1169–76.
51. Katsuki R, Inoue A, Indias S, Kurahara K, Kuwano N, Funatsu F, et al. Clarifying deeper psychological characteristics of Hikikomori using the Rorschach comprehensive system: a pilot case-control study. *Front Psychiatry*. 2019;10:412. doi:10.3389/fpsy.2019.00412.
52. Mardomingo Sanz MJ, Sancho Mateo C, Soler Lopez B. en representacion del grupo de investigacion del estudio S, Anexo 1. Grupo de estudio S. [Assessment of comorbidity and social anxiety in adolescents with attention deficit hyperactivity disorder: the SELFIE study]. *An Pediatr (Barc)*. 2019;90:349–61. doi:10.1016/j.anpedi.2018.07.014.
53. Nelson JM, Liebel SW. Anxiety and depression among college students with attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder (ADHD): cross-informant, sex, and subtype differences. *J Am Coll Health*. 2018;66:123–32. doi:10.1080/07448481.2017.1382499.
54. Asher M, Aderka IM. Gender differences in social anxiety disorder. *J Clin Psychol*. 2018;74:1730–41. doi:10.1002/jclp.22624.
55. Stein DJ, Lim CCW, Roest AM, de Jonge P, Aguilar-Gaxiola S, Al-Hamzawi A, et al. The cross-national epidemiology of social anxiety disorder: data from the World Mental Health Survey Initiative. *BMC Med*. 2017;15:143. doi:10.1186/s12916-017-0889-2.
56. Essau CA, Sasagawa S, Che J, Sakano Y. Taijin Kyofusho and social phobia symptoms in young adults in England and in Japan. *J Cross Cult Psychol*. 2012;43:219–32. doi:10.1177/0022022110386372.

Figures




Figure 1

Histograms of psychological tests The horizontal axes indicate the scores for each test. The vertical axes indicate the number of participants (frequency) with each score. The gray area indicates participants who exceeded the cut-off value (COV). (a) Distribution of the Taijin-Kyofu-sho scale (TKs) scores. COV: 122. (b) Distribution of the Liebowitz Social Anxiety Scale (LSAS) scores. COV: 60. (c) Distribution of the adult Attention-Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder Self-Report Scale-6 (ASRS-6) scores. COV: 4. (d) Distribution of the 16-item Autism-Spectrum Quotient (AQ16) scores. COV: 12.




Figure 2

Prevalence of Taijin-Kyofu-sho and social anxiety disorder in participants with neurodevelopmental disorder traits Participants were divided into four groups (ADHD – ASD –, ADHD + ASD –, ADHD – ASD +, and ADHD + ASD +) to examine the groupwise prevalence of TK and SAD. (a) Black bars indicate the prevalence of TK. (b) White bars indicate the prevalence of SAD. ADHD, attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder; ASD, autism spectrum disorder; TKs, Taijin-Kyofu-sho; SAD, social anxiety disorder.