European Spine Journal

The Short-Term Impact of COVID-19 Pandemic on Spine Surgeons A cross sectional global study --Manuscript Draft--

Manuscript Number:	
Full Title:	The Short-Term Impact of COVID-19 Pandemic on Spine Surgeons A cross sectional global study
Article Type:	Original Article
Keywords:	COVID-19 pandemic; Spine surgeons; mitigation; quarantine; economy
Corresponding Author:	Ibrahim Obeid Groupe Elsan Jean Villar Private hospital BORDEAUX, FRANCE
Corresponding Author Secondary Information:	
Corresponding Author's Institution:	Groupe Elsan Jean Villar Private hospital
Corresponding Author's Secondary Institution:	
First Author:	Mohamed Fawzy Khattab
First Author Secondary Information:	
Order of Authors:	Mohamed Fawzy Khattab
	Tareq M.A. Kannan
	Ahmed Morsi
	Qussay Al-Sabbagh
	Fadi Hadidi
	Mohammed Qussay Al-Sabbagh
	Muzahem M. Taha
	Anouar Bourghli
	Ibrahim Obeid
Order of Authors Secondary Information:	
Funding Information:	
Abstract:	<p>Purpose The outbreak of COVID-19 erupted in December 2019 in Wuhan-China. In a few weeks it progressed rapidly into a global pandemic which resulted in an overwhelming burden on health care systems, medical resources and staff. In this study we try to highlight the impact of the crisis on spine surgeons in terms of knowledge, attitude, practice and socioeconomic Burden</p> <p>Methods This was global, multi-centric cross-sectional study on 781 spine surgeons that utilized an internet-based validated questionnaire to evaluate knowledge about COVID-19, availability of personal protective equipment (PEE), future perceptions, effect of this crisis on practice and psychological distress. Univariate and multivariate ordinal logistic regression analyses were used.</p> <p>Results Overall, 20.2%, 52%, and 27.8% of the participants were affected minimally, intermediately, and severely by COVID-19, respectively. Older ages ($\beta=0.33$, 95% CI 0.11/0.56), orthopedic spine surgeons ($\beta=0.30$, 95% CI 0.01/0.61) and those who work in the private sector ($\beta=0.05$, 95% CI 0.19/0.61) were the most affected by COVID-19. Those who work in university hospitals ($\beta=-0.36$, 95% CI 0.00/-0.71) were affected the</p>

	<p>least. The availability of N95 masks (47%) and disposable eye protectors (39.4%) was significantly associated with lower psychological stress ($p=0.01$). Only (6.9%), (3.7%), and (5%) had mild, moderate and severe mental distress, respectively.</p> <p>Conclusion</p> <p>While it is important to recognize the short-term impact of COVID-19 pandemic on the practice of spine surgery, predicting where we will be standing in 6-12 months remains difficult and unknown. The COVID-19 crisis will probably have an unexpected long-term impact on lives and economies.</p>
--	--

The Short-Term Impact of COVID-19 Pandemic on Spine Surgeons:

A cross sectional global study

Authors:

Mohamed Fawzy Khattab¹, Tareq M.A. Kannan², Ahmed Morsi¹, Qussay Al-Sabbagh²,
Fadi Hadidi², Mohammed Qussay Al-Sabbagh³, Muzahem M.Taha⁴, Anouar Bourghli⁵,
Ibrahim Obeid⁶

Affiliations:

¹Orthopedic Surgery department, Faculty of medicine, Ain-Shams University, Cairo, Egypt.

²Spine Unit, University of Jordan and Jordan University Hospital, Amman, Jordan

³School of Medicine, University of Jordan, Amman, Jordan

⁴Orthopaedic surgery department, Kirkuk University, Kirkuk, Iraq

⁵Orthopedic and spine surgery department, Kingdom Hospital, Riyadh, Saudi Arabia

⁶ Spine surgery Unit, Elsan Group Jean Villar Private Hospital Bruges-Bordeaux France

The Short-Term Impact of COVID-19 Pandemic on Spine Surgeons:

A cross sectional global study

Abstract:

Purpose: The outbreak of COVID-19 erupted in December 2019 in Wuhan-China. In a few weeks it progressed rapidly into a global pandemic which resulted in an overwhelming burden on health care systems, medical resources and staff. Spine surgeons as health care providers are no exception. In this study we try to highlight the impact of the crisis on spine surgeons in terms of knowledge, attitude, practice and socioeconomic Burden

Methods: This was global, multi-centric cross-sectional study on 781 spine surgeons that utilized an internet-based validated questionnaire to evaluate knowledge about COVID-19, availability of personal protective equipment (PEE), future perceptions, effect of this crisis on practice and psychological distress. Univariate and multivariate ordinal logistic regression analyses were used to evaluate the predictors for the degree of COVID-19 effect on practice.

Results: Overall, 20.2%, 52%, and 27.8% of the participants were affected minimally, intermediately, and hugely by COVID-19, respectively. Older ages ($\beta = 0.33$, 95% CI 0.11 to 0.56), orthopedic spine surgeons ($\beta = 0.30$, 95% CI 0.01 to 0.61) and those who work in the private sector ($\beta = 0.05$, 95% CI 0.19 to 0.61) were the most affected by COVID-19. Those who work in university hospitals ($\beta = -0.36$, 95% CI 0.00 to -0.71) were affected the least. The availability of N95 masks (47%) and disposable eye protectors or face shields (39.4%) was significantly

1
2
3
4 associated with lower psychological stress ($p=0.01$). Only (6.9%), (3.7%), and (5%) had mild,
5
6 moderate and severe mental distress, respectively.
7
8

9 **Conclusion:** While it is important to recognize the short-term impact of COVID-19 pandemic on
10
11 the practice of spine surgery, predicting where we will be standing in 6-12 months remains
12
13 difficult and unknown. The COVID-19 crisis will probably have an unexpected long-term impact
14
15 on lives and economies.
16
17
18
19
20

21 **Keywords:** COVID-19, Spine surgeons, mitigation, quarantine, economy
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

Introduction

On December 2019, an outbreak of severe lower respiratory tract infection of unknown etiology was identified in Wuhan City, China[1, 2]. The causative agent of the outbreak was found to be a beta coronavirus that was given the name of Severe Acute Respiratory Syndrome Coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV2)[3]. It causes a syndrome called coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19), which has the symptomatology of fever, fatigue cough, dyspnea, and sore throat [4, 5]. The virus spreads between individuals during close contact, often via droplets or through contact with contaminated surfaces then hand to face contact[6].

To the date, there's no effective targeted medical treatment or vaccine for COVID-19[7-9]. Therefore, preventive measures, in terms of social distancing, self-isolation and Personal Protective Equipment (PPE) are the most effective methods to mitigate the effects of this infection [10]. The outbreak was declared as a global pandemic by the world health organization (WHO) on March 11th2020 [4]. As a result, many countries applied home quarantine, entry bans, or other restrictions for their citizens and recent travelers[11].

This resulted in an overwhelming burden on health care system, medical resources and staff. In addition, it created a global state of panic among populations, politicians, and health care providers[12].Spine surgeons, as other professional health care providers, were affected by the global pandemic and lockdowns[13, 14]. Most of them were forced to shut down their outpatient clinics, cancel appointments and limit their surgery to urgent/emergent cases[13]. Moreover, Spine surgeries are lengthy procedures which can subsequently increase physical burden on surgeons with increased time interval in theatres. Instrumentations and the continuous need for intraoperative imaging mandates a higher than usual number of personnel in theatre.

Intraoperative use of high speed drills and Bovie cautery may additionally help in the spread of the disease[14].

Healthcare workers are the frontline in the face of the virus, with higher chances of catching the latter. This study aimed first to estimate the impact of COVID-19 on spine surgeons globally. A secondary outcome of this study was to evaluate knowledge, attitude and experience of spine surgeons with COVID-19 crisis.

Methods

Study design and sampling

This is global, multi-centric cross-sectional study that took part during COVID-19 pandemic (3rd to 10th of April 2020). A self-administered, online-based questionnaire was sent to more than 3000 spine surgeons all over the world by e-mails and other internet applications, resulting in a snow-ball sampling. Spine surgeon's contact details were provided by personal acquaintance or through national and international spine societies. Overall, 781 spine surgeons from 33 countries took part, filled and submitted the questionnaire.

Instrument development and validation

The survey included 31 questions addressing the spine surgeon's knowledge, attitude, practice, PPEs, psychological stress, and the impact of COVID-19 on practice. The validity of the questionnaire content was determined after consensus among the authors.

The psychological distress was measured using the Kessler Psychological Distress Scale (K10), as it is shown to have high reliability and validity among different populations from different cultural backgrounds. The effect of COVID-19 on practice were measured using four questions with 5 possible answers, yielding a total score from 20 points. It was then categorized into minimal (1-12), intermediate (13-16), and huge (17-20) effects, depending on the tertiles of the participants'

1
2
3
4 responses. A pilot study was conducted on 40 participants, not included in the original study to
5
6 assess the internal consistency of the questionnaire. The Cronbach's α value of the COVID-10
7
8 effect on practice subscale was 0.76.
9

10 11 **Statistical analysis** 12

13
14 Data analyses were done using STATA (Stata Statistical Software: Release 16. College Station,
15
16 TX: StataCorp LLC). Overall, 780 surgeons agreed on filling the questionnaire, after removing
17
18 incomplete and irrational responses, in addition to duplicates, the overall number of the
19
20 participants became 739. The associations of baseline characteristics with the degree of COVID-
21
22 19 effect on practice were analyzed using Kruskal-Wallis test for polychotomous variables and
23
24 Mann-Whitney U test for dichotomous variables. A univariate and multivariate ordinal logistic
25
26 regression analyses were used to assess for the predictors of the degree of COVID-19 effect. A *p*-
27
28 value of less than 0.05 was considered statistically significant.
29
30
31
32

33 **Ethical consideration** 34

35
36 Ethical approval was granted from the Institutional Review Board (IRB). The study objectives, the
37
38 nature of participation and the privacy of data handling were explained on the first page. An online
39
40 consent form was obtained from all participants before accessing the questionnaire.
41
42

43 **Results** 44

45 46 **Baseline characteristics** 47

48
49 Table 1 shows baseline characteristics of the participants. The mean age of the study participants
50
51 was 44.5 years, ranging from 25 to 84 years. Most of the sample were from Middle East and
52
53 Northern Africa (49%), Orthopedic spine surgeons (59.1%), working in the private sector (55%)
54
55 and having more than 15 years of experience (32.1%). The degree of psychological distress in the
56
57 participants was as the following: mild 6.9%, moderate 3.7%, and severe 5%.
58
59
60
61

Personal experience with COVID-19

Table 2 illustrates the frequency of participant's responses to the knowledge, attitudes, and experience questions, as well as the source of knowledge, and PPE. Only few respondents knew spine surgeons who were directly involved in COVID-19 management (24.8%), or got trained to deal with COVID-19 pandemic (23.3%). Moreover, only 15.7% of them have already operated on COVID-19 positive patients. However, 69.2% of the surgeons were willing to operate on these patients if they were in need, and 62.2% of them were following protective measures when they returned back from their work.

Knowledge and attitudes

Improving general and personal hygiene (77.9%), increasing awareness to the importance of medical and scientific research (77.7%), and increasing the number and usage of tele-medicine (61.4%) were the most commonly reported positive effects of this pandemic on the society among the others. According to the participant's point of view, quarantine, mitigation measures (36.4%) and finding a vaccine for COVID-19 (35.1%) were the most reported solutions to end this pandemic.

Most of the participants knew that COVID-19 is transmitted by droplets (63.3%). Airborne (32.5%) and sexual transmission (8.8%), however, were the most frequent wrong answers. The most common sources of information were social media (73.2%) and scientific reports (68.2%)

Personal Protective Equipment (PPE)

Our results demonstrated that 37.5% of the respondents believed that they have enough ventilators in the country to deal with this crisis. Regarding the availability of advanced PPE, only 47% and 39.4% reported that N95 masks and disposable eye protectors or face shields are currently

1
2
3
4 available in their workplaces. In terms of basic PPE, participating surgeons reported that surgical
5
6 masks (93%), alcohol-based hand sanitizers (85.9%), and disposable gloves (84.7%) were fairly
7
8 available in their hospitals. Long-sleeve cuffed gowns, however, were available only in 34% of
9
10 the responses.
11
12
13

14 **Factors predicting the effect of COVID-19 on practice**

15
16
17 Overall, 20.2%, 52%, and 27.8% of the participants were affected minimally, intermediately, and
18
19 hugely by COVID-19, respectively. Table3 shows the multivariate ordinal logistic regression
20
21 model of effect of COVID-19 on practice predictors. The results showed that older ages ($\beta = 0.33$,
22
23 95% CI 0.11 to 0.56) and being orthopedic spine surgeon ($\beta = 0.30$, 95% CI 0.01 to 0.61) were
24
25 predictors of higher effects of COVID-19 on practice. Those who work in the private sector were
26
27 the most affected by COVID-19, ($\beta = 0.05$, 95% CI 0.19 to 0.61), in contrast to those who work in
28
29 university hospitals ($\beta = -0.36$, 95% CI 0.00 to -0.71) who were affected the least. Living in Middle
30
31 east and north Africa ($\beta = 1.80$, 95% CI 0.80 to 2.79); Europe ($\beta = 1.26$, 95% CI 0.25 to 2.27); Far east
32
33 and mid Asia ($\beta = 1.76$, 95% CI 0.70 to 2.82); or South America and Caribbean ($\beta = 1.60$, 95% CI
34
35 0.30 to 2.90) were significantly associated with more drastic effects of COVID-19 on surgeons.
36
37
38
39
40
41
42

43 **Discussion**

44
45
46 This study was triggered in the first place by the ongoing global event of novel COVID-19
47
48 pandemic as it is most likely the first time in recent history that we know of, when a disease has
49
50 spread so rapidly throughout most of the world in weeks' interval.
51
52
53

54
55 The impact of COVID-19 on spine surgeons was drastic as it was shown in this global multi-
56
57 centric cross-sectional study. In fact, most of them had to shut down their outpatient clinics,
58
59 cancel appointments and limit their surgery to urgent/emergent cases. Older age of practice and
60
61
62
63
64
65

1
2
3
4 being an orthopedic spine surgeon were predictors of higher effects of COVID-19 on practice,
5
6 this may be explained by the fact that spine surgeons from the neurosurgery background may
7
8 have had a higher rate of urgent/emergent cases such as intraspinal tumors or brain conditions
9
10 usually considered critical and that could not wait to undergo surgery later on. In addition,
11
12 surgeons working in the private sector were more affected financially in contrast with their
13
14 colleagues working in the university hospital. Such a finding can be explained by the fact that
15
16 many surgeons in the private hospitals do not work on a salary basis, but are rather compensated
17
18 based on the number of treated patients and surgeries performed, therefore any sudden drop in
19
20 the number of patients would directly affect the surgeon's income.
21
22
23
24

25
26 Nevertheless, the study showed that the degree of psychological distress among the participants
27
28 remained relatively moderate. This is logically due to the fact that the pandemic had a global
29
30 planetary impact, which in a way may "reassure" people and let them accept the reality more
31
32 easily by the fact that it affected billions of people all around the world at the same time, leading
33
34 to the same negative consequences on everyone. Some positive effects of the pandemic were
35
36 retrieved though, as the questionnaire showed that the majority of the participants expressed an
37
38 improvement in general and personal hygiene, the awareness to the importance of medical and
39
40 scientific research increased and the number and usage of telemedicine also increased.
41
42
43
44
45

46
47 Almost 70% of the surgeons expressed their will to operate on COVID-19 patients if they were
48
49 in need. This finding clearly shows the motivation and dedication of the spine surgeons'
50
51 community in order to help treating spine diseases whatever the status of the patient was, it
52
53 illustrates how the healthcare providers are truly in the front line of management during any
54
55 pandemic, taking risks in order to help the patients as much as they can.
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

1
2
3
4 To the participant's point of view, quarantine, mitigation measures and finding a vaccine for
5
6 COVID-19 were the most reported solutions to end this pandemic, which in fact goes in pair with
7
8 the policies that were applied in the majority of the countries, where most outpatient clinics were
9
10 shut down or the number of visiting patients was forced to drop down massively. Similarly, surgery
11
12 for elective cases was suspended or dropped massively. The number of urgent surgeries had also
13
14 dropped but not as massive as the elective cases.
15
16
17
18

19
20 It seems that few spine surgeons got directly involved or got trained to dealing with COVID-19
21
22 patients or volunteered on taking medical roles outside their practice. Quite a few have already
23
24 operated on patients with COVID-19 but most are willing to taking in consideration the proper
25
26 precautions. It was interesting to know that PPE are not standard and available to all spine surgeons
27
28 or regions. More than two thirds of surgeons believed that their country does not have enough
29
30 ventilators. Nevertheless, most countries and hospitals had taken satisfactory measures in dealing
31
32 with the pandemic.
33
34
35
36

37
38 Few papers have been so far published about COVID-19 and spine surgery[15-19]. In a narrative
39
40 review by Jain[14], optimization and treatment of spine pathologies during the global pandemic
41
42 were discussed, where appropriate triaging of the patients is advised in order to help preserve
43
44 health care resources that can be reallocated to treat patients with COVID-19, and maximizing
45
46 the use of telemedicine in the outpatient setting which would minimize viral transmission by
47
48 community spread. Such recommendations were clearly demonstrated by the responses of the
49
50 participants in the current study. This was also emphasized by Donnally[20] in an editorial paper
51
52 where it was advised, in order to assess the risk of postponing a spine surgery, to follow-up on
53
54 the patient's quality of life and neurological status with telemedicine; in fact, the eventual
55
56 surgery may occur in 3 months or more from the present. In another editorial paper by
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

Ghogawala[20], it was stated how the magnitudes of the effects of lost revenues on medical personnel vary widely, depending on the institutional model for compensation. This was clearly demonstrated in our study with the clear discrepancy between surgeons working in private institutions and surgeons working in university hospitals.

Some limitations of the current study should nevertheless be acknowledged such as the relatively small sample size with limited number of participants from some countries, the non-random snowball sampling, the use of social media in order to reach out for the spine surgeons' community, and being a cross-sectional study.

Conclusion

This study is one of the first studies, as far as we know, to highlight the diverting effects of the pandemic on spine surgery practice globally. It provided insight on what was going on through the minds of spine surgeons during that specific period. Although COVID-19 had a major impact on spine surgeons economically and professionally, the short-term psychological distress was nevertheless minor. The study showed the variability among countries and continents in Knowledge, response, and preparedness to handling the situation during the early phase of the pandemic. It also showed the variable deficiencies in health care systems. However, the crisis managed to re-organize priorities in terms of reconnection with the family, increasing awareness to scientific research and improving personal hygiene.

Acknowledgment

We would like to thank the colleagues who took the time to participate in this survey for their valuable contribution. We would like to pay gratitude for the colleagues who helped in distributing the survey to spine surgeons all over the world:

Dr.Hasan Salheen (Germany),Dr.Amr Elhabib (Saudia Arabia), Dr.MahmoudElmalky (United kingdom),Dr.Priyank Uniyal (India), Dr.Ahmed Nassr (USA), Dr.Saad Hamdan (Jordan)

Funding Statement

This research received no specific grant from any funding agency, commercial or not-for-profit sectors.

Conflict of interest

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

References

1. Zhu N, Zhang D, Wang W, Li X, Yang B, Song J, Zhao X, Huang B, Shi W, Lu R, Niu P, Zhan F, Ma X, Wang D, Xu W, Wu G, Gao GF, Tan W, China Novel Coronavirus I, Research T (2020) A Novel Coronavirus from Patients with Pneumonia in China, 2019. *N Engl J Med* 382:727-733. doi: 10.1056/NEJMoa2001017
2. Zhou P, Yang XL, Wang XG, Hu B, Zhang L, Zhang W, Si HR, Zhu Y, Li B, Huang CL, Chen HD, Chen J, Luo Y, Guo H, Jiang RD, Liu MQ, Chen Y, Shen XR, Wang X, Zheng XS, Zhao K, Chen QJ, Deng F, Liu LL, Yan B, Zhan FX, Wang YY, Xiao GF, Shi ZL (2020) A pneumonia outbreak associated with a new coronavirus of probable bat origin. *Nature* 579:270-273. doi: 10.1038/s41586-020-2012-7
3. Coronaviridae Study Group of the International Committee on Taxonomy of V (2020) The species Severe acute respiratory syndrome-related coronavirus: classifying 2019-nCoV and naming it SARS-CoV-2. *Nat Microbiol* 5:536-544. doi: 10.1038/s41564-020-0695-z
4. Guo YR, Cao QD, Hong ZS, Tan YY, Chen SD, Jin HJ, Tan KS, Wang DY, Yan Y (2020) The origin, transmission and clinical therapies on coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) outbreak - an update on the status. *Mil Med Res* 7:11. doi: 10.1186/s40779-020-00240-0
5. Huang C, Wang Y, Li X, Ren L, Zhao J, Hu Y, Zhang L, Fan G, Xu J, Gu X, Cheng Z, Yu T, Xia J, Wei Y, Wu W, Xie X, Yin W, Li H, Liu M, Xiao Y, Gao H, Guo L, Xie J, Wang G, Jiang R, Gao Z, Jin Q, Wang J, Cao B (2020) Clinical features of patients infected with 2019 novel coronavirus in Wuhan, China. *Lancet* 395:497-506. doi: 10.1016/S0140-6736(20)30183-5
6. Cai J, Sun W, Huang J, Gamber M, Wu J, He G (2020) Indirect Virus Transmission in Cluster of COVID-19 Cases, Wenzhou, China, 2020. *Emerg Infect Dis* 26. doi: 10.3201/eid2606.200412

- 1
2
3
4 7. Zhai P, Ding Y, Wu X, Long J, Zhong Y, Li Y (2020) The epidemiology, diagnosis and
5 treatment of COVID-19. *Int J Antimicrob Agents*:105955. doi:
6
7 10.1016/j.ijantimicag.2020.105955
8
9
10
11 8. Gautret P, Lagier JC, Parola P, Hoang VT, Meddeb L, Mailhe M, Doudier B, Courjon J,
12 Giordanengo V, Vieira VE, Dupont HT, Honore S, Colson P, Chabriere E, La Scola B, Rolain
13 JM, Brouqui P, Raoult D (2020) Hydroxychloroquine and azithromycin as a treatment of
14 COVID-19: results of an open-label non-randomized clinical trial. *Int J Antimicrob*
15 *Agents*:105949. doi: 10.1016/j.ijantimicag.2020.105949
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23 9. Molina JM, Delaugerre C, Le Goff J, Mela-Lima B, Ponscarne D, Goldwirt L, de Castro N
24 (2020) No evidence of rapid antiviral clearance or clinical benefit with the combination of
25 hydroxychloroquine and azithromycin in patients with severe COVID-19 infection. *Med Mal*
26 *Infect*. doi: 10.1016/j.medmal.2020.03.006
27
28
29
30
31
32
33 10. Guan WJ, Ni ZY, Hu Y, Liang WH, Ou CQ, He JX, Liu L, Shan H, Lei CL, Hui DSC, Du B,
34 Li LJ, Zeng G, Yuen KY, Chen RC, Tang CL, Wang T, Chen PY, Xiang J, Li SY, Wang JL,
35 Liang ZJ, Peng YX, Wei L, Liu Y, Hu YH, Peng P, Wang JM, Liu JY, Chen Z, Li G, Zheng ZJ,
36 Qiu SQ, Luo J, Ye CJ, Zhu SY, Zhong NS, China Medical Treatment Expert Group for C (2020)
37 Clinical Characteristics of Coronavirus Disease 2019 in China. *N Engl J Med* 382:1708-1720.
38 doi: 10.1056/NEJMoa2002032
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48 11. Team RC (2020) Coronavirus: What measures are countries taking to stop it? BBC News
49 <https://www.bbc.com/news/world-51737226> Accessed 4 Apr 2020
50
51
52
53 12. Lai J, Ma S, Wang Y, Cai Z, Hu J, Wei N, Wu J, Du H, Chen T, Li R, Tan H, Kang L, Yao
54 L, Huang M, Wang H, Wang G, Liu Z, Hu S (2020) Factors Associated With Mental Health
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

Outcomes Among Health Care Workers Exposed to Coronavirus Disease 2019. JAMA Netw Open 3:e203976. doi: 10.1001/jamanetworkopen.2020.3976

13. Ghogawala Z, Kurpad S, Falavigna A, Groff MW, Sciubba DM, Wu JC, Park P, Berven S, Hoh DJ, Bisson EF, Steinmetz MP, Wang MC, Chou D, Sansur CA, Smith JS, Tumialan LM (2020) Editorial. COVID-19 and spinal surgery. J Neurosurg Spine:1-3. doi: 10.3171/2020.4.SPINE20468

14. Jain NS AR, Schopler SS, Hah R, Wang JC (2020) COVID-19 and Spine Surgery : A Review and Evolving Recommendations. Global Spine Journal. doi: 10.1177/2192568220923655

15. Sornsa-Ard T, Niramitsantiphong A, Liawrungrueang W (2020) Management of Traumatic Spinal Fracture in the Coronavirus Disease 2019 Situation. Asian Spine J. doi: 10.31616/asj.2019.0183

16. Chang Liang Z, Wang W, Murphy D, Po Hui JH (2020) Novel Coronavirus and Orthopaedic Surgery: Early Experiences from Singapore. J Bone Joint Surg Am. doi: 10.2106/JBJS.20.00236

17. North American Spine Society (2020) Coronavirus NASS guidance document. [https://www.spine.org/Portals/0/assets/downloads/](https://www.spine.org/Portals/0/assets/downloads/Publications/NASSInsider/NASSGuidanceDocument040320.pdf)

[Publications/NASSInsider/NASSGuidanceDocument040320.pdf](https://www.spine.org/Portals/0/assets/downloads/Publications/NASSInsider/NASSGuidanceDocument040320.pdf). Accessed April 4, 2020.

18. American Academy of Orthopaedic Surgeons. AAOS member alert on telemedicine coding. https://www.aaos.org/globalassets/about/covid-19/aaos-coding-guide_covid19.pdf. Accessed April 4, 2020.

19. Dowdell JE, Louie PK, Virk S, McCarthy MH, Sandhu HS, Qureshi SA, Albert TJ, Kim HJ (2020) Spine Fellowship Training Reorganizing during a Pandemic: Perspectives from a Tertiary

1
2
3
4 Orthopaedic Specialty Center in the Epicenter of Outbreak. Spine J. doi:

5
6
7 10.1016/j.spinee.2020.04.015

8
9 20. Donnally CJ, 3rd, Shenoy K, Vaccaro AR, Schroeder GD, Kepler CK (2020) Triaging Spine

10
11 Surgery in the COVID-19 Era. Clin Spine Surg 33:129-130. doi:

12
13
14 10.1097/BSD.0000000000000988
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

Table 1: Baseline characteristics of 739 spine surgeons according to the effect of COVID-19 on their practice

Variable	Category	Total n (%)	COVID-19 effect on practice			<i>P</i> value
			Minimal	Intermediate	Huge	
			n (%)	n (%)	n (%)	
Total			149(20.2)	384(52)	206(27.8)	
Age(Years)	≤30	33 (4.5)	10 (30.3)	20 (60.6)	3 (9.1)	< 0.01
	31-40	271 (36.7)	70 (25.8)	137 (50.6)	64 (23.6)	
	41-50	252 (34.1)	46 (18.3)	129 (51.2)	77 (30.6)	
	51-60	126 (17.1)	15 (11.9)	68 (54)	43 (34)	
	≥60	57 (7.7)	8 (14)	30 (52.6)	19 (33.3)	
	Total	739 (100)				
Region	Sub-saharan Africa	18 (2.4)	10 (55.6)	6 (33.3)	2 (11.1)	< 0.01
	Middle east and north Africa	362 (49)	54 (14.9)	191 (52.8)	117 (32.3)	
	Europe	201 (27.2)	41 (20.4)	109 (54.2)	51 (25.4)	
	Far east and mid asia	77 (10.4)	19 (24.7)	32 (41.6)	26 (33.8)	

	Northern America	53 (7.2)	21 (39.6)	31 (52.5)	1 (1.9)	
	South America and Caribbean	18 (2.4)	2 (11)	11 (61.1)	5 (27.8)	
	Other	10 (1.4)	2(20)	4 (40)	4 (40)	
	Total	739 (100)				
Health-sector	Governmental Hospital (NHS)	280 (37.9)	48 (17.1)	157 (56.1)	75 (26.8)	0.6
	University Hospital	239 (32.3)	66 (27.6)	120 (50.2)	53 (22.2)	< 0.01
	Military medical services	30 (4.1)	6 (20)	15 (50)	9 (30)	0.8
	Private sector	406 (55)	60 (14.8)	210 (51.7)	136 (33.5)	< 0.01
specialty	Neurosurgeons	302 (40.9)	67 (22.2)	164 (54.3)	71(23.5)	0.03
	Orthopedics	437 (59.1)	82 (18.8)	220 (50.3)	135(30.9)	
	Total	739 (100)				
experience (years)	≤5	221 (29.9)	52 (23.5)	118 (53.4)	51 (23.1)	0.02
	5-10	149 (20.1)	40 (26.9)	71 (47.7)	38 (25.5)	

	10-15	132 (17.9)	22 (16.7)	64 (48.5)	46 (34.9)	
	≥ 15	237 (32.1)	35 (14.8)	131 (55.3)	71 (30)	
	Total	739 (100)				

Table 2: Frequency of 739 participant's responses to general attitudes, knowledge and experience towards COVID-19

Variable	Statement	Answers Yes n (%)
Knowledge, attitudes, and experience		
Personal experience with COVID-19	Do you know any spine surgeon in your country (including yourself) who is directly involved in COVID-19 management or rescue teams?	183(24.8)
	Do you know any spine surgeon in your country (including yourself) who got trained to deal with COVID-19 pandemic?	172(23.3)
	Have you already operated on patients positive with COVID-19?	116(15.7)
	Do you believe that you have enough ventilators in your country to deal with this crisis?	277(37.5)
	Do you isolate yourself at home when you return back from the hospital?	460(62.2)

General attitudes towards COVID-19	Are you willing to operate on patients positive with COVID-19?	511(69.2)
In your opinion, how do you think this pandemic has affected communities?	Improved general and personal hygiene	576 (77.9)
	Improved family bonds	393 (53.2)
	Increased awareness to the importance of medical and scientific research	574 (77.7)
	Increased the number and usage of tele-medicine clinics	454 (61.4)
	Increased utility of online teaching	404 (54.7)
In your opinion, which is the best strategy to end this crisis?	Quarantine and mitigation strategies	269 (36.4)
	An efficient treatment	107 (14.5)
	Find a vaccine	259 (35.1)
	Herd immunity	110 (14.9)
In your opinion, how do you think COVID-19 spreads?	Droplets	468 (63.3)
	Airborne	240 (32.5)
	Sexually	65 (8.8)
Source of Knowledge		
Which one of the following do	Social media and T. V	541 (73.2)
	Lectures and seminars	258 (34.9)

you use as a source of your information?	Scientific papers	514 (68.2)
Personal Protective Equipment		
What is the medical protective equipment available at your institution?	Disposable gloves	626(84.7)
	Long-sleeved cuffed gowns	251 (34)
	Disposable eye protection or face shields	291 (39.4)
	Surgical masks	687(93)
	N95 masks	347 (47)
	Alcohol based hand sanitizers	635 (85.9)

Table 3: Multivariate ordinal regression analyses of the predictors of the degree of COVID-19 effect on practice in 739 participants

Variable	Categories	β coefficient	<i>P</i> value	95% Confidence Interval	
Age(Years)		0.33	<0.01	0.11	0.56
Region	Sub-Saharan Africa (Ref)				
	Middle east and north Africa	1.80	<0.01	0.80	2.79
	Europe	1.26	0.01	0.25	2.27
	Far east and mid Asia	1.76	<0.01	0.70	2.82
	Northern America	0.09	0.9	-1.00	1.17
	South America and Caribbean	1.60	0.02	0.30	2.90
	Other	1.64	0.04	0.07	3.21
Health-sector					

	Governmental Hospital (NHS)	-1.33	0.5	-0.49	0.22
	University Hospital	-0.36	0.05	-0.71	0.00
	Military medical services	0.020	0.6	-0.51	0.92
	Private sector	0.053	<0.01	0.19	0.87
specialty	Neurosurgeons (Ref)				
	Orthopedics	0.30	0.05	0.01	0.61
experience (years)	≤5 (Ref)				
	5-10	-0.10	0.6	-0.52	0.32
	10-15	0.26	0.3	-0.22	0.75
	≥15	-0.19	0.5	-0.76	0.37

**INTERNATIONAL COMMITTEE of
MEDICAL JOURNAL EDITORS**

ICMJE Form for Disclosure of Potential Conflicts of Interest

Instructions

The purpose of this form is to provide readers of your manuscript with information about your other interests that could influence how they receive and understand your work. The form is designed to be completed electronically and stored electronically. It contains programming that allows appropriate data display. Each author should submit a separate form and is responsible for the accuracy and completeness of the submitted information. The form is in six parts.

1. Identifying information.

2. The work under consideration for publication.

This section asks for information about the work that you have submitted for publication. The time frame for this reporting is that of the work itself, from the initial conception and planning to the present. The requested information is about resources that you received, either directly or indirectly (via your institution), to enable you to complete the work. Checking "No" means that you did the work without receiving any financial support from any third party -- that is, the work was supported by funds from the same institution that pays your salary and that institution did not receive third-party funds with which to pay you. If you or your institution received funds from a third party to support the work, such as a government granting agency, charitable foundation or commercial sponsor, check "Yes".

3. Relevant financial activities outside the submitted work.

This section asks about your financial relationships with entities in the bio-medical arena that could be perceived to influence, or that give the appearance of potentially influencing, what you wrote in the submitted work. You should disclose interactions with ANY entity that could be considered broadly relevant to the work. For example, if your article is about testing an epidermal growth factor receptor (EGFR) antagonist in lung cancer, you should report all associations with entities pursuing diagnostic or therapeutic strategies in cancer in general, not just in the area of EGFR or lung cancer.

Report all sources of revenue paid (or promised to be paid) directly to you or your institution on your behalf over the 36 months prior to submission of the work. This should include all monies from sources with relevance to the submitted work, not just monies from the entity that sponsored the research. Please note that your interactions with the work's sponsor that are outside the submitted work should also be listed here. If there is any question, it is usually better to disclose a relationship than not to do so.

For grants you have received for work outside the submitted work, you should disclose support ONLY from entities that could be perceived to be affected financially by the published work, such as drug companies, or foundations supported by entities that could be perceived to have a financial stake in the outcome. Public funding sources, such as government agencies, charitable foundations or academic institutions, need not be disclosed. For example, if a government agency sponsored a study in which you have been involved and drugs were provided by a pharmaceutical company, you need only list the pharmaceutical company.

4. Intellectual Property.

This section asks about patents and copyrights, whether pending, issued, licensed and/or receiving royalties.

Relationships not covered above.

5. Use this section to report other relationships or activities that readers could perceive to have influenced, or that give the appearance of potentially influencing, what you wrote in the submitted work.

Definitions.

Entity: government agency, foundation, commercial sponsor, academic institution, etc.

Grant: A grant from an entity, generally [but not always] paid to your organization

Personal Fees: Monies paid to you for services rendered, generally honoraria, royalties, or fees for consulting, lectures, speakers bureaus, expert testimony, employment, or other affiliations

Non-Financial Support: Examples include drugs/equipment supplied by the entity, travel paid by the entity, writing assistance, administrative support, etc.

Other: Anything not covered under the previous three boxes

Pending: The patent has been filed but not issued

Issued: The patent has been issued by the agency

Licensed: The patent has been licensed to an entity, whether earning royalties or not

Royalties: Funds are coming in to you or your institution due to your patent

ICMJE Form for Disclosure of Potential Conflicts of Interest

Section 1. Identifying Information

1. Given Name (First Name)

T BRA HIM

2. Surname (Last Name)

OBEID

3. Date

6/5/20

4. Are you the corresponding author?

☒ Yes

☐ No

5. Manuscript Title

the short-term Impact of covid-19 pandemic on spine surgeons
A cross sectional global study

6. Manuscript Identifying Number (if you know it)

Section 2. The Work Under Consideration for Publication

Did you or your institution **at any time** receive payment or services from a third party (government, commercial, private foundation, etc.) for any aspect of the submitted work (including but not limited to grants, data monitoring board, study design, manuscript preparation, statistical analysis, etc.)?

Are there any relevant conflicts of interest?

☐ Yes

☒ No

Section 3. Relevant financial activities outside the submitted work.

Place a check in the appropriate boxes in the table to indicate whether you have financial relationships (regardless of amount of compensation) with entities as described in the instructions. Use one line for each entity; add as many lines as you need by clicking the "Add +" box. You should report relationships that were **present during the 36 months prior to publication**.

Are there any relevant conflicts of interest?

☒ Yes

☒ No

Section 4. Intellectual Property -- Patents & Copyrights

Do you have any patents, whether planned, pending or issued, broadly relevant to the work?

☐ Yes

☒ No

~~Consulting~~
Consulting: Medtronic, Depuy
Grants: Depuy
Royalties: Spineart, Alphatec, Charnice

ICMJE Form for Disclosure of Potential Conflicts of Interest

Section 5.

Relationships not covered above

Are there other relationships or activities that readers could perceive to have influenced, or that give the appearance of potentially influencing, what you wrote in the submitted work?

- ☐ Yes, the following relationships/conditions/circumstances are present (explain below):
- ☒ No other relationships/conditions/circumstances that present a potential conflict of interest

At the time of manuscript acceptance, journals will ask authors to confirm and, if necessary, update their disclosure statements. On occasion, journals may ask authors to disclose further information about reported relationships.

Section 6.

Disclosure Statement

Based on the above disclosures, this form will automatically generate a disclosure statement, which will appear in the box below.

Ali I. Obeid 6/5/20

Evaluation and Feedback

Please visit <http://www.icmje.org/cgi-bin/feedback> to provide feedback on your experience with completing this form.