

Mitochondrial-nuclear coadaptation revealed through mtDNA replacements in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*

Tuc H.M. Nguyen

Binghamton University

Sargunvir Sondhi

Binghamton University

Andrew Ziesel

Binghamton University

Swati Paliwal

Banasthali Vidyapith

Heather Fiumera (✉ hfiumera@binghamton.edu)

Binghamton University <https://orcid.org/0000-0001-9068-5979>

Research article

Keywords: mitonuclear, cytonuclear incompatibilities, mt-n, mitochondrial-nuclear, coevolution, coadaptation, G×G, G×G×E, *Saccharomyces*

Posted Date: September 10th, 2020

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.21203/rs.3.rs-29166/v3>

License:  This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License.

[Read Full License](#)

Version of Record: A version of this preprint was published on September 25th, 2020. See the published version at <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12862-020-01685-6>.

Abstract

Background: Mitochondrial function requires numerous genetic interactions between mitochondrial- and nuclear- encoded genes. While selection for optimal mitonuclear interactions should result in coevolution between both genomes, evidence for mitonuclear coadaptation is challenging to document. Genetic models where mitonuclear interactions can be explored are needed.

Results: We systematically exchanged mtDNAs between 15 *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* isolates from a variety of ecological niches to create 225 unique mitochondrial-nuclear genotypes. Analysis of phenotypic profiles confirmed that environmentally-sensitive interactions between mitochondrial and nuclear genotype contributed to growth differences. Exchanges of mtDNAs between strains of the same or different clades were just as likely to demonstrate mitonuclear epistasis although epistatic effect sizes increased with genetic distances. Strains with their original mtDNAs were more fit than strains with synthetic mitonuclear combinations when grown in media that resembled isolation habitats.

Conclusions: This study shows that natural variation in mitonuclear interactions contributes to fitness landscapes. Multiple examples of coadapted mitochondrial-nuclear genotypes suggest that selection for mitonuclear interactions may play a role in helping yeasts adapt to novel environments and promote coevolution.

Background

Mitochondria are the cytoplasmic organelles that power eukaryotic life. Their functions in energy production, nutrient and redox sensing and signaling pathways are governed by both the mitochondrial and nuclear genomes. Known genetic interactions between these distinct organellar DNAs are required for basic mitochondrial functions including mitochondrial DNA (mtDNA) replication and repair, transcription and translation of mitochondrial genes, assembly and function of the respiratory chain complexes and creation of a mitochondrial membrane potential that drives energy production and maintains mitochondrial homeostasis [1, 2]. These genetic interactions have shaped the evolution of both genomes [3-5].

As mtDNAs diverge in different populations of a species, selection should favor coevolved nuclear alleles that help maintain important mitochondrial activities. During hybridization, these coevolved mitochondrial-nuclear (mitonuclear) allele pairs may be disrupted resulting in reduced fitness in the hybrids and/or F2 progeny in a type of Bateson-Dobzhansky-Muller (BDM) incompatibility that leads to reproductive isolation. A beautifully characterized example of this is found in the marine copepod *Tigriopus*, where inter-population mating resulted in mitonuclear specific fitness declines in F2 offspring [6-11]. Hybridization experiments in other taxa have also revealed mitonuclear incompatibilities, including flies [12-17], wasps [18, 19], plants [20], nematodes [21, 22], yeasts [23-26], mice [27], and mammalian cell lines [28-30]. This growing body of literature lends support to the idea that BDM-type mitonuclear incompatibilities can contribute to, and even initiate, speciation [31].

While the coevolution of mitochondrial and nuclear genomes does occur, the unique biology of mitochondria complicates our view of a species' evolutionary history [32-38]. In most metazoans, uniparental inheritance, lack of recombination and high mutation rate of mtDNAs make it difficult to separate the effect of genetic drift from natural selection [39]. Phenotypes associated with mtDNAs will depend on mtDNA copy number as well as allele frequencies, which can fluctuate in cells in tissue and environment-specific ways. The dynamic nature of mitochondria results in selective degradation of mitochondrial components including mtDNAs through fission events, and the rescue of metabolically-deficient mitochondria through fusion events [40]. Perhaps the major challenge in identifying coevolved mitonuclear genotypes is the environmentally-sensitive nature of phenotypes that result from mitonuclear interactions. For instance, differences in diet and oxygen availability altered mitonuclear interactions in *Drosophila* [15, 41]. These three-way mtDNA × nDNA × environment interactions may explain why replacing mtDNAs within a species can lead to more pronounced effects than that of between species [13] and why it can be challenging to conclusively document mitonuclear coadaptation [36].

Saccharomyces yeasts offer advantages for studying mitonuclear coevolution. Haploid viability and growth in tightly controlled growth environments will expose recessive traits while controlling for gene(s) × environment interactions. *Saccharomyces* yeasts can produce energy through fermentation pathways and are viable in the absence of mtDNAs. This quality facilitates the transfer of mtDNAs between haploid cells without the need for backcrossing strategies [42]. When two cells containing mtDNAs are mated, the mtDNAs are biparentally inherited and undergo recombination, followed by rapid fixation of a single mitochondrial haplotype (mitotype) [43, 44]. Controlled laboratory experiments allowing for mitochondrial recombination revealed that reassorting naturally-occurring alleles from different mitochondrial loci could produce functional differences [45, 46] and provide the opportunity to investigate fitness effects of coadapted mitonuclear alleles at high resolution. Advanced molecular tools for exploring mitochondrial mechanisms [43, 47] and an expanded knowledge of population genetics in *Saccharomyces* [48] further promote yeast as an exciting mitonuclear model.

Saccharomyces yeasts have a highly structured population [49, 50] with limited outcrossing [44]. Mitochondrial recombination is an important consequence of yeast matings in nature [45, 46, 51, 52], indicating that beneficial mitochondrial alleles could be isolated and available for selection. This is most likely to occur when yeasts mate outside their local population, such as when transferred by insect and human vectors [53, 54] where hybridization with local yeasts can occur [55]. Most yeast cells are likely to experience a singular environment during their life span due to their non-motility and short replication time. In theory, this should limit potential conflict between mitonuclear interactions in different environments [32] and promote intergenomic coadaptation in local populations. As would be expected for the interruption of coadapted mtDNA and nuclear genomes, interspecific *Saccharomyces* hybrids demonstrated different temperature tolerances [56, 57] and respiratory growth [26] depending on which species' mtDNA was present. Similarly, respiratory capabilities were dependent on the origin of mtDNA in cybrids containing the nuclear genome of one *Saccharomyces* species and the mtDNA of another [23, 24].

In this study, we further develop *Saccharomyces* as a model for studying mitonuclear epistasis. We present the largest mitonuclear strain collection to date, containing 225 unique mitonuclear genotypes that allows for direct testing of mitonuclear epistasis. We observed that environmentally-sensitive mitonuclear interactions explain a substantial portion of phenotypic variation, consistent with our previous studies on smaller numbers of mitonuclear strains [45, 58]. We also found evidence for mitonuclear coadaptation, suggesting that selection for specific mtDNA-nuclear interactions has occurred repeatedly during the population expansion of yeast.

Results

A mitonuclear strain collection allows for direct assessment of mitonuclear interactions

To explore how mitonuclear interactions contribute to phenotypic differences in *S. cerevisiae*, we chose 15 isolates that were available as haploid derivatives [59]. These isolates represented wild and domesticated yeasts with mosaic (n=5), wine/European (n=5), West African (n=3), Sake/Asian (n=1), and North American (n=1) lineages (**Fig 1A, Table S1**). Phenotype and SNP-derived genetic distance correlations for these strains were modestly correlated (Pearson's $r = 0.2$ to 0.5 , **Fig. S1**), consistent with previous analyses of much larger numbers of yeast isolates (Pearson's $r = 0.3$ to 0.6) [50, 54, 60-62]. The mitochondrial genomes from these 15 isolates are highly polymorphic with unique allelic profiles across their coding sequences (**Table S2**), with the exception of 2 wine/European strains (YJM981 and YJM975) that have identical mitochondrial coding sequences. The nucleotide diversity for these 15 mitochondrial coding sequences is slightly higher than found across a comparison of 353 mtDNAs (0.01 (**Table S3**) vs. 0.0085 [52] with the majority of sequence differences found in *COX1*, *COX2* and *VAR1*. These mtDNAs did not present evidence of gene rearrangements or interspecific introgressions as have been sometimes observed [51, 52]. While these selected isolates do not necessarily represent all the genetic diversity that describes *S. cerevisiae* populations [49, 52], they do capture a substantial amount of the genetic complexity leading to trait variation.

In populations, detection of epistatic interactions between different loci is limited to those with large effect as most statistical approaches are hampered by multiple testing and power issues [63]. Here, we created a mitonuclear strain collection by precisely exchanging mtDNAs between 15 parental strains to create 225 unique mitochondrial-nuclear genome combinations (15 mtDNAs \times 15 nuclear genomes) (**Fig. 1B**). The mitonuclear strains (including biological replicates of each genotype and reconstructed parental genotypes) were grown in 15 different conditions. These environments were 5 different media (oak exudate (SOE), synthetic grape must (SGM), maple sap media (MSy) and lab media containing glucose (CSM) and ethanol/glycerol (CSMEG), each grown at three temperatures (20, 30, and 37°C), resulting in 67,727 fitness estimates. Distribution of colony sizes varied among conditions with the widest range of phenotypes seen in media requiring mitochondrial respiration for growth under high temperature stress (CSMEG 37°C) (**Fig. 1C**).

The balanced design of the mitonuclear strain collection allows for direct testing of mitonuclear epistasis. Highly significant mitonuclear interaction terms were observed in each condition (ANOVA on the random effect model $y_{ij} = \mu + mt_i + n_j + (mt \times n)_{ij} + \epsilon_{ij}$, $P < 0.0001$, **Table S4**) and could explain 10.8% to 31.5% of the total phenotypic variances (**Fig. 1C, Table S5**). Mitonuclear interactions explained the highest proportion of phenotypic variances in media requiring mitochondrial respiration (CSMEG), consistent with the idea that physically interacting structural subunits of the oxidative phosphorylation machinery would be particularly sensitive to genetic variation that altered the efficacy of ATP production. Growth in high and low temperatures generally increased the effects of mitonuclear interactions (**Fig. 1D**) consistent with the known roles of mitochondria in stress responses [64]. Mitonuclear interactions were sensitive to media and temperature (ANOVAs for $mt \times n \times media$ or $mt \times n \times temperature$) (**Table S6**) and indicates that epistatic interactions different functional mitochondrial and nuclear allelic combinations in different environmental conditions. No independent mitochondrial effects were observed in this collection ($P=1$, **Table S4**), highlighting the importance of inter-genomic communication for mitochondrial functions. Mitonuclear epistasis was widespread throughout this population; ANOVA tests performed on each combination of 4 mitonuclear genotypes (2 original and the 2 synthetic genotypes following an exchange of mtDNAs) revealed significant mitonuclear epistasis in 318 of 585 tests (54%) (**Table S8**).

Strains containing synthetic mitonuclear genotypes resulted in growth phenotypes outside the ranges of those observed in the parental strains (**Table S7, Fig S2**), providing both fitness advantages and disadvantages. All strains harboring synthetic mitonuclear combinations supported growth on non-fermentable carbons and thus, did not contain the strong mitonuclear incompatibilities preventing mitochondrial respiration that has been observed in some interspecific cybrids containing the nucleus of one species and the mtDNA of another [24-26, 65]. Still, our strain collection reveals that intraspecific mitonuclear interactions provide a substantial phenotypic landscape upon which selection can act that may ultimately lead to interspecific BDM-type incompatibilities.

Mitonuclear coadaptation revealed in ecologically relevant environments

Selection for mitonuclear interactions could contribute to phenotypic differences between different clades of yeast. We reasoned that if selection for specific mitonuclear allele pairings occurred during the initial expansions of *S. cerevisiae*, exchanging mtDNAs between strains within the same clade should have less of an effect than between clades. Our collection contained five strains from a Wine/European clade, two from a West African clade and single representatives from Sake and North American clades, providing 11 within- and 25 between-clade mtDNA exchanges (**Fig. 2A**). (One additional West African strain was highly flocculant and was not included here.) For each exchange, we tested whether mitonuclear interactions explained growth differences between strains harboring original ($nDNA^i/mtDNA^i$ and $nDNA^j/mtDNA^j$) and synthetic ($nDNA^i/mtDNA^j$ and $nDNA^j/mtDNA^i$) mitonuclear combinations using fixed effects two-way ANOVAs (**Table S8**). Within clade mtDNAs exchanges were just as likely to show significant mitonuclear epistasis as between clade exchanges in most media conditions ($P > 0.05$ for C^2 tests, **Table S9, Fig. 2B**). However, mitonuclear interactions produced larger effect sizes (measured as the absolute differences between the changes in growth in two strains following the exchange of their mtDNAs) when mtDNAs

were exchanged between clades in 3 (of 15) conditions (**Fig. 2C**). This is consistent with a model where populations maintain separate mitonuclear alleles that diverge over time. In one environment, mitonuclear effects were greater in the within-clade mtDNA exchanges (and were not different in the remaining ten environments). Taken together, these results demonstrate that natural genetic variation in mitonuclear interactions will alter phenotypes but genetic distance alone cannot necessarily predict the effects of these interactions in all environments.

Previously, we showed that a subset of the strains harboring original mitonuclear combinations conferred higher growth rates than strains with synthetic combinations at elevated temperature and under respiratory conditions [58]. In this larger survey of mitonuclear combinations, strains with their original mtDNAs did not show significant fitness advantages over introduced mtDNAs, except in SGM media at 30°C (**Fig. S2**). Because fitness effects of mitonuclear interactions are sensitive to environmental conditions (**Table S6**) and because any selection for optimal mitonuclear interactions would have occurred in ecologically relevant conditions, it is perhaps not meaningful to compare average fitness effects for all strains in the same environment. We reasoned that the fitness advantage of original mitonuclear pairings observed in SGM media might be explained by the overrepresentation of strains isolated from fermentation sources (5 of the 15 parental strains) whose mitonuclear genomes were coadapted for an alcoholic fermentation niche.

To determine if the original mitonuclear genome pairings were coadapted to isolation habitats, we paired the parental strains with media that best resembled isolation habitats and asked whether each nuclear background preferred its own (coadapted) or foreign mtDNA (**Fig. 3**). Considering fermentation isolates in a synthetic grape must media, we found that 3 of 5 coadapted mitonuclear combinations provided growth advantages. Strains with nuclear backgrounds from Sake (Y12), West African (DBVPG6044) and wine/European (L-1528) clades had higher growth rates when paired with their original mtDNAs than with any foreign mtDNAs (**Fig. 3A**). Synthetic mitonuclear combinations in strains derived from the nuclear background of the wine isolate BC187 showed slight but statistically significant advantages over the coadapted mitonuclear combination. Interestingly, no preference was seen for the coadapted mitonuclear combination when the nuclear background originated from a wine strain with mosaic ancestry (Yllc17_E5). In this case, synthetic mitonuclear genotypes were just as likely to show fitness advantages as disadvantages. It is possible that a recent hybridization event interrupted ancestral coadapted mitonuclear complexes in this mosaic parental strain.

Beneficial coadapted mitonuclear genome combinations could also be observed in other strains. The original mitonuclear genotype derived from an oak (*Quercus*) isolate (YPS606) grew better when paired with its own mtDNA than with 8 others when tested in an oak exudate medium (**Fig 3B**). The experimental model strain W303, maintained under laboratory conditions since the 1970s [66], grew better with its own mtDNA than with any other mtDNA when tested in common laboratory media CSM and CSMEG (**Fig. 3B**). The original mitonuclear genotypes from 2 clinical isolates of Wine/European ancestries were more likely to show growth benefits when grown at high temperatures in grape must media (**Fig. 3C**). The original mitonuclear genotype from a soil isolate of wine/European ancestry

(DBVPG1373) was less likely to show fitness advantages in grape must media, suggesting that this isolate may have undergone different ecological pressures from the fermentation isolates of the same clade. Given the reticulate ancestries of *S. cerevisiae* mtDNAs [50-52, 67, 68], mitochondrial SNPs are not necessarily in linkage disequilibrium. In order to identify mitochondrial SNPs that conferred the observed coadapted phenotypes, we performed association tests (**Table S10**) for each set of 15 strains with a common nuclear genotype in the conditions shown in Figure 3. No significant associations were observed, potentially because of low statistical power and relatively small phenotypic differences. Taken together, these data suggest that selection for mitonuclear interactions is largely strain specific and dependent on environmental conditions.

Discussion

Our study provides insight into the coevolution of mitochondrial-nuclear genotypes in *S. cerevisiae* yeasts. Ancestral relationships were less likely to predict when coadapted mitonuclear genotypes would provide overall growth benefits, as noted by the observation that mitonuclear epistasis was just as likely to be observed when mtDNAs were exchanged between or within clades. However, between-clade mtDNA exchanges did result in larger epistatic effects (DD) in a variety of media, hinting at the idea of ancestral selection for mitonuclear alleles. Our data showed that media, particularly when matched to an isolation source, was a better predictor of when a coadapted mitonuclear genotype would provide growth advantages. For examples, the original mitonuclear genotypes resulted in higher growth rates in three of five wine isolates when assayed in a grape must media and in an oak tree isolate when assayed in a synthetic oak exudate medium. It is difficult to know if these laboratory conditions mimicked the environments these yeasts experienced in nature as relatively little is known about the ecological niches that yeasts occupy [69, 70]. Still, it is likely that as these yeasts entered new environments, ancestral mitonuclear interactions began to be lost as new beneficial mitonuclear alleles were selected for. Consistent with this idea, two clinical isolates of wine/European ancestries showed an increase in the preference for their coadapted mitonuclear combinations when grown at elevated temperatures (representing the new ecological niche) in a grape-must (ancestral) media. Rapid selection for mitonuclear alleles is also supported by the observation that a lab strain preferred its original mtDNA when assayed in common laboratory media. Interestingly, in wild *S. cerevisiae* yeasts, strains from mixed clades with diverse ecological and geographic origins had lower mitochondrial coding sequence variation than in other populations [52]. This is in contrast to what would be expected if selection for different mitonuclear alleles were important for adaptation to new environments. It is possible that the admixtures were too recent to detect mitochondrial nucleotide diversity obtained through mitochondrial recombination.

By precisely replacing mtDNAs between 15 *S. cerevisiae* isolates, we could distinguish phenotypic effects of mitonuclear interactions from other possible genetic interactions. Consistent with the fact that mtDNAs do not function alone and in line with studies in invertebrate models [14], we did not observe independent mtDNA effects on phenotypes (Table S4) unless we focused on small subsets of our strain collection (**Table S8**). This highlights the need to consider epistatic effects when investigating

mitochondrial physiology. Our results support the emerging recognition that environmentally-sensitive mitonuclear epistasis leading to phenotypic variation is a universal feature in biology. The methodologies used to create these strains, including the generation of rho⁰ strains and karyogamy-deficient matings, can be mutagenic [71, 72] and thus, we cannot guarantee that this mitonuclear strain collection is absent of mutations. It is unlikely that hidden mutations confounded our analyses. No phenotypic differences between the haploid parental strains and those with recreated parental genotypes were observed for the coadapted strains shown in Figure 3 (ANOVA, $P > 0.05$), nor in an earlier study [58]. In this study, we included independently derived biological replicates for each genotype. In theory, strain-specific mutations introduced during strain construction should increase phenotypic variance within a genotype and decrease the ability to detect mitonuclear epistasis. Still, we detected significant mitonuclear epistasis in 54% of independent epistasis tests. Widespread occurrence of mitonuclear interactions is also supported by other studies in *Saccharomyces*. A large panel of iso-nuclear heterozygous *S. cerevisiae* yeasts revealed that respiratory and non-respiratory growth phenotypes were dependent on nuclear and mitochondrial backgrounds [73]. Most likely, dominant nuclear alleles contributed to the mitonuclear phenotypes in these diploid strains. This should facilitate selection of mitonuclear allele combinations in natural populations. Consistent with selection for particular mitochondrial and nuclear interacting loci, the recombinant mitochondrial haplotypes in naturally occurring *S. paradoxus* hybrids carried uneven contributions of the parental mtDNAs and influenced phenotypes [46].

Identifying the exact loci underlying mitonuclear interactions is an obvious future goal. This study highlights that mapping efforts will need to consider that coadapted mitonuclear loci are likely strain and niche specific. Most analysis of yeast mtDNAs have focused on coding sequences [51, 52, 74]. We did not find associations between mitochondrial coding SNPs and growth phenotypes in environments revealing coadapted mitonuclear genotypes. While higher statistical power and stronger phenotypes would be beneficial for association-based mapping, it is important to recognize that mitonuclear interactions may not be restricted to coding sequences. Exchanging mtDNAs between strains whose mitotypes have identical mitochondrial coding sequences but variable intergenic sequence produced significant mitonuclear interactions in some environments (**Table S8**). The parental strains are known to have different mtDNA copy numbers, in addition to different mtDNA-dependent replicative lifespans [75], raising the possibility that intergenic mitochondrial sequences that influence mtDNA replication or copy number may be important components of mitonuclear epistasis.

Conclusions

Genetic interactions between mtDNA and nuclear genomes, especially under temperature stresses, contribute to phenotypic variation within and between populations of *S. cerevisiae* yeasts. Statistically significant mitonuclear interactions were observed when exchanging mtDNAs between closely related strains, although the overall mitonuclear effect sizes were largest when mtDNAs were exchanged between clades (subpopulations) of *S. cerevisiae*. Coadapted mtDNA-nuclear genome pairings provided

fitness advantages in media emulating isolation habitats, but did not necessarily provide fitness advantages in other environments. Our study provides a substantial resource for further research into mitonuclear coadaptations.

Methods

Strains

Yeast strains used or created for this study are provided (**Table S1**). The parental yeast strains [59] were purchased from the National Collection of Yeast Cultures (SGRP strains).

Media

Media included the standard laboratory media YPD (10g/L yeast extract, 20g/L peptone, 20g/L glucose), YPEG (10g/L yeast extract, 20g/L peptone, 30mL/L ethanol, 30mL/L glycerol), Complete synthetic media (CSM) (800mg/L CSM premix (Sunrise Science), 6.7g/L yeast nitrogen base without amino acids (YNB w/o AA), 20g/L glucose), and CSMEG (CSM containing 30mL/L ethanol and 30mL/L glycerol instead of glucose). CSM media lacking specific amino acids were prepared by replacing CSM premix with those formulated to omit specific amino acid drop out mixes (CSM-Adenine, CSM-Uracil, CSM-Arginine), as recommended by the manufacturer (Sunrise Science). Additional media included SOE, a synthetic oak exudate [76] containing 1g/L yeast extract, 1.5g/L peptone, 10g/L sucrose, 5g/L fructose, 5g/L glucose). Media emulating maple sap (MSy) [77] contained 1.75g/L YNB w/o AA, 800mg/L CSM premix, 0.5g/L allantoin, and a locally-produced maple syrup added to a final concentration of 2° Brix.

Synthetic Grape Must (SGM) [78, 79] contained 125g/L glucose, 125g/L fructose, and 460 mg/L ammonium chloride, supplemented with vitamin, mineral salts, and amino acid mixes. To mimic the normal concentrations of these acids commonly found in must at grape maturity, 3 g/L malic acid, 3 g/L tartaric acid, and 0.3 g/L citric acid, as well as anaerobic factors (15 mg/L ergosterol and 5 mg/L sodium oleate dissolved in (1:1,v/v) ethanol: Tween 80) were added to media, then the pH was adjusted to 3.0 using NaOH. The vitamin mix used in SGM media contained 20 mg/L myo-inositol, 2 mg/L nicotinic acid, 1.5 mg/L calcium pantothenate, 0.25 mg/L thiamine HCl, 0.25 mg/L pyridoxine HCl, 0.003 mg/L biotin, and mineral salts (750 mg/L KH_2PO_4 , 500 mg/L K_2SO_4 , 250 mg/L $\text{MgSO}_4 \cdot 7\text{H}_2\text{O}$, 55 mg/L $\text{CaCl}_2 \cdot 2\text{H}_2\text{O}$, 200 mg/L NaCl, 4 mg/L ZnSO_4 , 1 mg/L $\text{CuSO}_4 \cdot 5\text{H}_2\text{O}$, 1 mg/L KI, 0.4 mg/L $\text{CoCl}_2 \cdot 6\text{H}_2\text{O}$, 1 mg/L H_3BO_3 , 1 mg/L $\text{NaMoO}_4 \cdot 2\text{H}_2\text{O}$). The amino acid mix used in SGM media contained 19 amino acids (612.6 mg/L L-proline, 505.3 mg/L L-glutamine, 374.4 mg/L L-arginine, 179.3 mg/L L-tryptophan, 145.3 mg/L L-alanine, 120.4 mg/L L-glutamic acid, 78.5 mg/L L-serine, 759.2 mg/L L-threonine, 48.4 mg/L L-leucine, 44.5 mg/L L-aspartic acid, 44.5 mg/L L-valine, 37.9 mg/L L-phenylalanine, 32.7 mg/L L-isoleucine, 32.7 mg/L L-histidine, 31.4 mg/L L-methionine, 18.3 mg/L L-tyrosine, 18.3 mg/L L-glycine, 17.0 mg/L lysine, 13.1 mg/L L-cysteine, 35 mg/L uracil, 35 mg/L adenine hemisulfate).

For solid media, agar was added to 2% prior to autoclaving. Due to agar disintegration in low pH, 4% agar solution was prepared separately and added to SGM mixture (1:1, v/v) after autoclaving.

Creation of a mitonuclear strain collection

To generate strains with 225 unique mitonuclear genotypes, mtDNAs were transferred between 15 divergent *S. cerevisiae* isolates using karyogamy-deficient matings (**Figure 1B**). Each parental strain (*MATa ura3^{r+}*) was mated to NAB32 (*MATa kar1-1 ade2 arg8^{r0}*) on solid YPD media. When zygotes were visible under a compound microscope (2-6 hours), mating mixtures were diluted into YPD liquid media and incubated for 2 hours to promote cell division. Cell mixtures were plated for single colonies on media that selected against the mtDNA donor strain (CSM-URA). Colonies were printed to selective media (YPEG, CSM-ADE and CSM-ARG) and mitochondrial cargo strains were identified as respiring colonies with auxotrophies of the *kar1-1* recipient strain. Cargo strains were mated with rho⁰ derivatives of each parental strain, followed by selecting and screening for respiring haploids with the genotype of the parental strains. At least 2 biological replicates for each mitonuclear genotype were isolated from independent matings. As controls, each of the original mtDNAs were reintroduced to the rho⁰ parental strains to recreate parental mitonuclear combinations. Rho⁰ strains were generated using ethidium bromide [80]. Strain names and genotypes for the complete 15×15 mitonuclear strain collection are found in **Table S1**.

Phenotyping

Strains were phenotyped by spotting cells in high density arrays using a BM3-BC colony processing robot (S&P Robotics) using a 4 x 8 block design. Arrays were printed from YPD to test media, acclimated for 2 days at 30°C, reprinted to test media (CSM, CSMEG, MSy, SGM, and SOE) and incubated at 20°, 30° and 37°C. Strains with nuclear backgrounds strains SK1 and 322136S were omitted as they were flocculant. Arrays were photographed over 96 hours at 18 time points. Colony sizes from each image were determined using gitter [81] and were fed through a custom R script pipeline (modified from [45]). Colony spots that failed circularity measures were omitted from further analyses. Colonies from the two outermost rings of each plate were removed to avoid edge effects. Colony spots were used to fit growth curves using logistic regression and outliers were omitted. Fitness parameters (minimum and maximum colony size) were estimated from logistic growth curves and normalized to a reference strain (DAU2) included on each array. To correct for unequal numbers of technical replicates for strains with a shared genotype (i.e. biological replicates), a random subset of technical replicates was selected with equal numbers of each biological replicate. The difference between maximum and minimum colony size was used as a proxy for fitness.

Statistical Analysis

Statistical analyses were performed with the lme4 package in R (version 3.6.0). Eight mitochondrially-encoded genes were obtained from available mitochondrial sequences [50, 82-84] (**Table S2**). Alignments and identification of SNPs and nucleotide diversities were performed using Geneious version 2020.5 [80].

The significance of nuclear, mitochondrial genetic components, environments and their interactions were tested using random effect models (lmer) by comparing the full model $n + mt + e + (n \times e) + (n \times e) + (mt$

$\times n) + (mt \times n \times e)$ with a model lacking the evaluated term. Within individual environments, random effects models were used to determine the significance of nuclear, mitochondrial genotypes and mitonuclear interactions. Variance component analysis was performed in each condition (VarCorr), in which the contributions of each genetic term to phenotypic variance were estimated from the full model: $n + mt + (mt \times n)$.

To estimate the frequency of mitonuclear epistasis when exchanging mtDNA strains from the same or two different subpopulations, two-way ANOVAs were used to test the significance of mitonuclear interactions among each mtDNA exchange such that each test included 4 genotypes: two parental mitonuclear genotypes and two synthetic genotypes derived from the exchange of mtDNAs between the parental strains. The mitonuclear effect size in these exchanges was determined as the absolute differences between the change in growth for each nuclear genotype ($\Delta\Delta = \frac{1}{2}(\Delta_{nDNA^i/mtDNA^i @ nDNA^i/mtDNA^j} - (\Delta_{nDNA^i/mtDNA^i @ nDNA^j/mtDNA^j} - \Delta_{nDNA^j/mtDNA^i @ nDNA^j/mtDNA^j}) \frac{1}{2})$).

To test the effect of disrupting naturally occurring mitonuclear combinations, one-way ANOVA was used to test for significant difference in fitness between original strains and 195 synthetic strains in each condition. Within each nuclear background, the significance in fitness difference between the strain with coadapted mitonuclear combinations and each of its 14 synthetic derivatives was investigated using a fixed effect model.

We performed association tests between 198 mitochondrial SNPs (**Table S2**) and the phenotypes listed in Figure 3 using the 15 strains sharing a common nuclear background in each media type. ANOVAs were conducted in R using a simple linear model (phenotype \sim SNP_i) and Bonferroni corrections were applied to determine significance thresholds ($P < 0.00025$).

Abbreviations

BDM: Bateson–Dobzhansky–Muller

YNB w/o AA: Yeast nitrogen base without amino acids

YPD: Fermentable, rich media

YPEG: Non-fermentable, rich media

CSM: Fermentable, defined complete synthetic media

CSMEG: Non-fermentable, defined complete synthetic media

SGM: Synthetic Grape Must Media

MSy: Maple Syrup Media

SOE: Synthetic Oak Exudate Media

mtDNA: mitochondrial DNA

nDNA: nuclear DNA

mt × n: mitonuclear interactions

e: environmental effect

V_p: Phenotypic Variance

Declarations

Ethics approval and consent to participate

Not applicable.

Consent for publication

Not applicable.

Availability of data and materials

Strains and fitness measures for each strain are available from the corresponding author on reasonable request. Custom R scripts used to fit growth curves and estimate growth rate are available at <https://github.com/Tuc-Nguyen/HLF-Robot-Image-Analysis-2.1>.

Competing interests

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

Funding

This research was supported by a National Institutes of Health award (GM101320) to HLF and by Binghamton University Undergraduate Research Awards to S.S. and A.Z. The funders had no role in the study design, data collection and analysis, interpretation of data, or writing of the manuscript.

Affiliations

Department of Biological Sciences, Binghamton University, Binghamton, NY USA

Tuc H.M. Nguyen, Sargunvir Sondhi, Andrew Ziesel, Heather L. Fiumera

Department of Bioscience and Biotechnology, Banasthali Vidyapith, Rajasthan, India

Swati Paliwal

Authors Contributions

THMN and HLF conceived the project, interpreted data and wrote the manuscript. SP created the mitonuclear strain collection. THMN, SS and AZ participated in data collection. THMN and SS performed the statistical analysis. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Acknowledgements

We are grateful to ACF and to members of the HLF laboratory for discussions of data and critical reading of the manuscript.

Corresponding author

Correspondence to Heather L. Fiumera.

References

1. Osellame LD, Blacker TS, Duchon MR: **Cellular and molecular mechanisms of mitochondrial function.** *Best Pract Res Clin Endocrinol Metab* 2012, **26**(6):711-723.
2. Wiese M, Bannister AJ: **Two genomes, one cell: Mitochondrial-nuclear coordination via epigenetic pathways.** *Mol Metab* 2020:100942.
3. Gray MW, Burger G, Lang BF: **Mitochondrial evolution.** *Science* 1999, **283**(5407):1476-1481.
4. Rand DM, Haney RA, Fry AJ: **Cytonuclear coevolution: the genomics of cooperation.** *Trends Ecol Evol* 2004, **19**(12):645-653.
5. Gray MW: **Mitochondrial evolution.** *Cold Spring Harb Perspect Biol* 2012, **4**(9):a011403.
6. Ellison CK, Burton RS: **Disruption of mitochondrial function in interpopulation hybrids of *Tigriopus californicus*.** *Evolution* 2006, **60**(7):1382-1391.
7. Burton RS, Ellison CK, Harrison JS: **The sorry state of F2 hybrids: consequences of rapid mitochondrial DNA evolution in allopatric populations.** *Am Nat* 2006, **168** Suppl 6:S14-24.
8. Ellison CK, Burton RS: **Genotype-dependent variation of mitochondrial transcriptional profiles in interpopulation hybrids.** *P Natl Acad Sci USA* 2008, **105**(41):15831-15836.
9. Ellison CK, Burton RS: **Interpopulation hybrid breakdown maps to the mitochondrial genome.** *Evolution* 2008, **62**(3):631-638.
10. Barreto FS, Burton RS: **Evidence for Compensatory Evolution of Ribosomal Proteins in Response to Rapid Divergence of Mitochondrial rRNA.** *Molecular Biology and Evolution* 2013, **30**(2):310-314.
11. Healy TM, Burton RS: **Strong selective effects of mitochondrial DNA on the nuclear genome.** *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 2020, **117**(12):6616-6621.
12. Meiklejohn CD, Holmbeck MA, Siddiq MA, Abt DN, Rand DM, Montooth KL: **An Incompatibility between a Mitochondrial tRNA and Its Nuclear-Encoded tRNA Synthetase Compromises Development and Fitness in *Drosophila*.** *Plos Genetics* 2013, **9**(1).

13. Montooth KL, Meiklejohn CD, Abt DN, Rand DM: **Mitochondrial-nuclear epistasis affects fitness within species but does not contribute to fixed incompatibilities between species of *Drosophila*.** *Evolution* 2010, **64**(12):3364-3379.
14. Mossman JA, Ge JY, Navarro F, Rand DM: **Mitochondrial DNA Fitness Depends on Nuclear Genetic Background in *Drosophila*.** *G3 (Bethesda)* 2019, **9**(4):1175-1188.
15. Mossman JA, Biancani LM, Zhu CT, Rand DM: **Mitochondrial Epistasis for Development Time and its Modification by Diet in *Drosophila*.** *Genetics* 2016.
16. Wolff JN, Pichaud N, Camus MF, Cote G, Blier PU, Dowling DK: **Evolutionary implications of mitochondrial genetic variation: mitochondrial genetic effects on OXPHOS respiration and mitochondrial quantity change with age and sex in fruit flies.** *J Evolution Biol* 2016, **29**(4):736-747.
17. Dowling DK, Friberg U, Hailer F, Arnqvist G: **Intergenomic epistasis for fitness: within-population interactions between cytoplasmic and nuclear genes in *Drosophila melanogaster*.** *Genetics* 2007, **175**(1):235-244.
18. Ellison CK, Niehuis O, Gadau J: **Hybrid breakdown and mitochondrial dysfunction in hybrids of *Nasonia parasitoid* wasps.** *J Evolution Biol* 2008, **21**(6):1844-1851.
19. Niehuis O, Judson AK, Gadau J: **Cytonuclear genic incompatibilities cause increased mortality in male F2 hybrids of *Nasonia giraulti* and *N. vitripennis*.** *Genetics* 2008, **178**(1):413-426.
20. Luo D, Xu H, Liu Z, Guo J, Li H, Chen L, Fang C, Zhang Q, Bai M, Yao N *et al.*: **A detrimental mitochondrial-nuclear interaction causes cytoplasmic male sterility in rice.** *Nat Genet* 2013, **45**(5):573-577.
21. Wernick RI, Christy SF, Howe DK, Sullins JA, Ramirez JF, Sare M, Penley MJ, Morran LT, Denver DR, Estes S: **Sex and Mitonuclear Adaptation in Experimental *Caenorhabditis elegans* Populations.** *Genetics* 2019, **211**(3):1045-1058.
22. Lamelza P, Ailion M: **Cytoplasmic-Nuclear Incompatibility Between Wild Isolates of *Caenorhabditis nouraguensis*.** *G3 (Bethesda)* 2017, **7**(3):823-834.
23. Spirek M, Polakova S, Jatzova K, Sulo P: **Post-zygotic sterility and cytonuclear compatibility limits in *S. cerevisiae* xenomitochondrial cybrids.** *Front Genet* 2014, **5**:454.
24. Sulo P, Spirek M, Soltesova A, Marinoni G, Piskur J: **The efficiency of functional mitochondrial replacement in *Saccharomyces* species has directional character.** *FEMS Yeast Res* 2003, **4**(1):97-104.
25. Chou JY, Hung YS, Lin KH, Lee HY, Leu JY: **Multiple Molecular Mechanisms Cause Reproductive Isolation between Three Yeast Species.** *Plos Biology* 2010, **8**(7).
26. Lee HY, Chou JY, Cheong L, Chang NH, Yang SY, Leu JY: **Incompatibility of nuclear and mitochondrial genomes causes hybrid sterility between two yeast species.** *Cell* 2008, **135**(6):1065-1073.
27. Fetterman JL, Zelickson BR, Johnson LW, Moellering DR, Westbrook DG, Pompilius M, Sammy MJ, Johnson M, Dunham-Snary KJ, Cao X *et al.*: **Mitochondrial genetic background modulates bioenergetics and susceptibility to acute cardiac volume overload.** *Biochem J* 2013, **455**(2):157-167.

28. Barrientos A, Kenyon L, Moraes CT: **Human xenomitochondrial cybrids. Cellular models of mitochondrial complex I deficiency.** *J Biol Chem* 1998, **273**(23):14210-14217.
29. Yamaoka M, Isobe K, Shitara H, Yonekawa H, Miyabayashi S, Hayashi JI: **Complete repopulation of mouse mitochondrial DNA-less cells with rat mitochondrial DNA restores mitochondrial translation but not mitochondrial respiratory function.** *Genetics* 2000, **155**(1):301-307.
30. Gil Borlado MC, Moreno Lastres D, Gonzalez Hoyuela M, Moran M, Blazquez A, Pello R, Marin Buera L, Gabaldon T, Garcia Penas JJ, Martin MA *et al*: **Impact of the mitochondrial genetic background in complex III deficiency.** *PLoS One*, **5**(9).
31. Hill GE: **Mitonuclear coevolution as the genesis of speciation and the mitochondrial DNA barcode gap.** *Ecology and Evolution* 2016, **6**(16):5831-5842.
32. Rand DM, Mossman JA: **Mitonuclear conflict and cooperation govern the integration of genotypes, phenotypes and environments.** *Philos Trans R Soc Lond B Biol Sci* 2020, **375**(1790):20190188.
33. Hill GE: **Mitonuclear compensatory coevolution.** *Trends in Genetics* 2020, **1652**.
34. Ghiselli F, Milani L: **Linking the mitochondrial genotype to phenotype: a complex endeavour.** *Philos Trans R Soc Lond B Biol Sci* 2020, **375**(1790):20190169.
35. Tobler M, Barts N, Greenway R: **Mitochondria and the Origin of Species: Bridging Genetic and Ecological Perspectives on Speciation Processes.** *Integr Comp Biol* 2019, **59**(4):900-911.
36. McKenzie JL, Chung DJ, Healy TM, Brennan RS, Bryant HJ, Whitehead A, Schulte PM: **Mitochondrial Ecophysiology: Assessing the Evolutionary Forces That Shape Mitochondrial Variation.** *Integr Comp Biol* 2019, **59**(4):925-937.
37. Sloan DB, Warren JM, Williams AM, Wu Z, Abdel-Ghany SE, Chicco AJ, Havird JC: **Cytonuclear integration and co-evolution.** *Nat Rev Genet* 2018, **19**(10):635-648.
38. Havird JC, Forsythe ES, Williams AM, Werren JH, Dowling DK, Sloan DB: **Selfish Mitonuclear Conflict.** *Curr Biol* 2019, **29**(11):R496-R511.
39. Allio R, Donega S, Galtier N, Nabholz B: **Large Variation in the Ratio of Mitochondrial to Nuclear Mutation Rate across Animals: Implications for Genetic Diversity and the Use of Mitochondrial DNA as a Molecular Marker.** *Molecular Biology and Evolution* 2017, **34**(11):2762-2772.
40. Friedman JR, Nunnari J: **Mitochondrial form and function.** *Nature* 2014, **505**(7483):335-343.
41. Rand DM, Mossman JA, Zhu L, Biancani LM, Ge JY: **Mitonuclear epistasis, genotype-by-environment interactions, and personalized genomics of complex traits in Drosophila.** *iubmb Life* 2018, **70**(12):1275-1288.
42. Dutcher SK: **Internuclear transfer of genetic information in kar1-1/KAR1 heterokaryons in Saccharomyces cerevisiae.** *Mol Cell Biol* 1981, **1**(3):245-253.
43. Dujon B: **Mitochondrial genetics revisited.** *Yeast* 2020, **37**(2):191-205.
44. Ruderfer DM, Pratt SC, Seidel HS, Kruglyak L: **Population genomic analysis of outcrossing and recombination in yeast.** *Nat Genet* 2006, **38**(9):1077-1081.

45. Wolters JF, Charron G, Gasparly A, Landry CR, Fiumera AC, Fiumera HL: **Mitochondrial Recombination Reveals Mito-Mito Epistasis in Yeast.** *Genetics* 2018, **209**(1):307-319.
46. Leducq JB, Henault M, Charron G, Nielly-Thibault L, Terrat Y, Fiumera HL, Shapiro BJ, Landry CR: **Mitochondrial Recombination and Introgression during Speciation by Hybridization.** *Mol Biol Evol* 2017, **34**(8):1947-1959.
47. Malina C, Larsson C, Nielsen J: **Yeast mitochondria: an overview of mitochondrial biology and the potential of mitochondrial systems biology.** *FEMS Yeast Res* 2018, **18**(5).
48. Peter J, Schacherer J: **Population genomics of yeasts: towards a comprehensive view across a broad evolutionary scale.** *Yeast* 2016, **33**(3):73-81.
49. Peter J, De Chiara M, Friedrich A, Yue JX, Pflieger D, Bergstrom A, Sigwalt A, Barre B, Freel K, Llored A *et al.*: **Genome evolution across 1,011 *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* isolates.** *Nature* 2018, **556**(7701):339-344.
50. Strobe PK, Skelly DA, Kozmin SG, Mahadevan G, Stone EA, Magwene PM, Dietrich FS, McCusker JH: **The 100-genomes strains, an *S. cerevisiae* resource that illuminates its natural phenotypic and genotypic variation and emergence as an opportunistic pathogen.** *Genome Res* 2015, **25**(5):762-774.
51. Wolters JF, Chiu K, Fiumera HL: **Population structure of mitochondrial genomes in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*.** *BMC Genomics* 2015, **16**:451.
52. De Chiara M, Friedrich A, Barre B, Breitenbach M, Schacherer J, Liti G: **Discordant evolution of mitochondrial and nuclear yeast genomes at population level.** *BMC Biol* 2020, **18**(1):49.
53. Stefanini I, Dapporto L, Berna L, Polsinelli M, Turillazzi S, Cavalieri D: **Social wasps are a *Saccharomyces* mating nest.** *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 2016, **113**(8):2247-2251.
54. Liti G, Carter DM, Moses AM, Warringer J, Parts L, James SA, Davey RP, Roberts IN, Burt A, Koufopanou V *et al.*: **Population genomics of domestic and wild yeasts.** *Nature* 2009, **458**(7236):337-341.
55. Hyma KE, Fay JC: **Mixing of vineyard and oak-tree ecotypes of *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* in North American vineyards.** *Mol Ecol* 2013, **22**(11):2917-2930.
56. Li XC, Peris D, Hittinger CT, Sia EA, Fay JC: **Mitochondria-encoded genes contribute to evolution of heat and cold tolerance in yeast.** *Sci Adv* 2019, **5**(1):eaav1848.
57. Baker EP, Peris D, Moriarty RV, Li XYC, Fay JC, Hittinger CT: **Mitochondrial DNA and temperature tolerance in lager yeasts.** *Sci Adv* 2019, **5**(1).
58. Paliwal S, Fiumera AC, Fiumera HL: **Mitochondrial-nuclear epistasis contributes to phenotypic variation and coadaptation in natural isolates of *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*.** *Genetics* 2014, **198**(3):1251-1265.
59. Cubillos FA, Louis EJ, Liti G: **Generation of a large set of genetically tractable haploid and diploid *Saccharomyces* strains.** *FEMS Yeast Res* 2009, **9**(8):1217-1225.
60. Halfmann R, Jarosz DF, Jones SK, Chang A, Lancaster AK, Lindquist S: **Prions are a common mechanism for phenotypic inheritance in wild yeasts.** *Nature* 2012, **482**(7385):363-368.

61. Warringer J, Zorgo E, Cubillos FA, Zia A, Gjuvsland A, Simpson JT, Forsmark A, Durbin R, Omholt SW, Louis EJ *et al*: **Trait Variation in Yeast Is Defined by Population History.** *Plos Genetics* 2011, **7**(6).
62. Sardi M, Paithane V, Place M, Robinson D, Hose J, Wohlbach DJ, Gasch AP: **Genome-wide association across *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* strains reveals substantial variation in underlying gene requirements for toxin tolerance.** *Plos Genetics* 2018, **14**(2).
63. Ehrenreich IM: **Epistasis: Searching for Interacting Genetic Variants Using Crosses.** *G3-Genes Genom Genet* 2017, **7**(6):1619-1622.
64. Mazzone C, Giannattasio S, Winderickx J, Ludovico P: **Yeast stress, aging, and death.** *Oxid Med Cell Longev* 2013, **2013**:684395.
65. Spirek M, Horvath A, Piskur J, Sulo P: **Functional co-operation between the nuclei of *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* and mitochondria from other yeast species.** *Curr Genet* 2000, **38**(4):202-207.
66. Ralser M, Kuhl H, Ralser M, Werber M, Lehrach H, Breitenbach M, Timmermann B: **The *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* W303-K6001 cross-platform genome sequence: insights into ancestry and physiology of a laboratory mutt.** *Open Biol* 2012, **2**(8):120093.
67. Peris D, Arias A, Orlic S, Belloch C, Perez-Traves L, Querol A, Barrio E: **Mitochondrial introgression suggests extensive ancestral hybridization events among *Saccharomyces* species.** *Mol Phylogenet Evol* 2017, **108**:49-60.
68. De Chiara M, Friedrich A, Barré B, Breitenbach M, Schacherer J, Liti G: **Discordant evolution of mitochondrial and nuclear yeast genomes at population level.** *bioRxiv* 2019:855858.
69. Madden AA, Epps MJ, Fukami T, Irwin RE, Sheppard J, Sorger DM, Dunn RR: **The ecology of insect-yeast relationships and its relevance to human industry.** *Proc Biol Sci* 2018, **285**(1875).
70. Liti G: **The fascinating and secret wild life of the budding yeast *S. cerevisiae*.** *Elife* 2015, **4**.
71. Veatch JR, McMurray MA, Nelson ZW, Gottschling DE: **Mitochondrial dysfunction leads to nuclear genome instability via an iron-sulfur cluster defect.** *Cell* 2009, **137**(7):1247-1258.
72. Tartakoff AM, Dulce D, Landis E: **Delayed Encounter of Parental Genomes Can Lead to Aneuploidy in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*.** *Genetics* 2018, **208**(1):139-151.
73. Vijayraghavan S, Kozmin SG, Strobe PK, Skelly DA, Lin Z, Kennell J, Magwene PM, Dietrich FS, McCusker JH: **Mitochondrial Genome Variation Affects Multiple Respiration and Nonrespiration Phenotypes in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*.** *Genetics* 2019, **211**(2):773-786.
74. Mossman JA, Biancani LM, Rand DM: **Mitochondrial genomic variation drives differential nuclear gene expression in discrete regions of *Drosophila* gene and protein interaction networks.** *BMC Genomics* 2019, **20**(1):691.
75. Kaya A, Ma S, Wasko B, Lee M, Kaeberlein M, Gladyshev VN: **Defining Molecular Basis for Longevity Traits in Natural Yeast Isolates.** *NPJ Aging Mech Dis* 2015, **1**.
76. Murphy HA, Kuehne HA, Francis CA, Sniegowski PD: **Mate choice assays and mating propensity differences in natural yeast populations.** *Biol Lett* 2006, **2**(4):553-556.

77. Filteau M, Charron G, Landry CR: **Identification of the fitness determinants of budding yeast on a natural substrate.** *ISME J* 2017, **11**(4):959-971.
78. Rossouw D, Bauer FF: **Comparing the transcriptomes of wine yeast strains: toward understanding the interaction between environment and transcriptome during fermentation.** *Appl Microbiol Biotechnol* 2009, **84**(5):937-954.
79. Viana T, Loureiro-Dias MC, Prista C: **Efficient fermentation of an improved synthetic grape must by enological and laboratory strains of *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*.** *Amb Express* 2014, **4**.
80. Fox TD, Folley LS, Mulero JJ, McMullin TW, Thorsness PE, Hedin LO, Costanzo MC: **Analysis and manipulation of yeast mitochondrial genes.** *Methods Enzymol* 1991, **194**:149-165.
81. Wagih O, Parts L: **gitter: a robust and accurate method for quantification of colony sizes from plate images.** *G3 (Bethesda)* 2014, **4**(3):547-552.
82. Wu BJ, Hao WL: **A Dynamic Mobile DNA Family in the Yeast Mitochondrial Genome.** *G3-Genes Genom Genet* 2015, **5**(6):1273-1282.
83. Matheson K, Parsons L, Gammie A: **Whole-Genome Sequence and Variant Analysis of W303, a Widely-Used Strain of *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*.** *G3 (Bethesda)* 2017, **7**(7):2219-2226.
84. Yue JX, Li J, Aigrain L, Hallin J, Persson K, Oliver K, Bergstrom A, Coupland P, Warringer J, Lagomarsino MC *et al*: **Contrasting evolutionary genome dynamics between domesticated and wild yeasts.** *Nat Genet* 2017, **49**(6):913-924.

Tables

Please see the supplementary files section to view the tables.

Supplementary Information

Table S1. Strain table.

Table S2. SNP table for mitochondrial coding sequences for the 15 parental strains. Allele numbering is arbitrary.

Table S3. Mitochondrial coding sequence statistics.

Table S4. Mitochondrial × nuclear interactions (mt × n) within single environments. To determine the significance of each term, ANOVAs comparing the full model with a model lacking the indicated term were evaluated. Each factor was treated as a random effect. Full model: n + mt + (mt × n).

Table S5. Phenotypic variance analysis to show the amount of variance contributed by mitochondrial, nuclear background, and mitonuclear interactions in all growth conditions.

Table S6. Mitochondrial × nuclear × environment interactions (mt × n × e). To determine the significance of each term, ANOVAs comparing the full model with a model lacking the indicated term were evaluated.

Each factor was treated as a random effect. Full model: $n + mt + e + (mt \times n) + (n \times e) + (mt \times e) + (mt \times n \times e)$.

Table S7. Phenotypic variance of strains with original, synthetic, and all possible mitonuclear combinations across all growth conditions. Student's t-test were performed to determine whether the difference among strains with original and synthetic combinations is statistically significant.

Table S8. Fixed effect model, two-way ANOVA table showing $mt \times n$ interactions for each mtDNA exchange between two strains. The P values for genetic terms (nuclear, mitochondrial, mitonuclear epistasis), type of epistasis, and estimated strength of epistasis were provided for each pairwise mtDNA exchange.

Table S9. Numbers of significant mitonuclear tests following mtDNA exchanges within and between clades.

Table S10. Mitochondrial genotype-phenotype association tests.

Figure S1. Nuclear genotype-phenotype correlations. Growth differences between 12 *S. cerevisiae* parental strains were plotted against nuclear genetic distances in 5 different media (CSM, CSMEG, MSy, SGM, and SOE) at 3 temperatures (20°C, 30°C, and 37°C) (see Methods for descriptions of media and of fitness measurements). Genetic distance estimates (percentage values) based on pairwise SNP differences in the alignments were obtained from [49]. Pearson's correlation values are shown. Regression lines for significant correlations are shown in red. Similar correlations are observed using the lower resolution genetic distances from [54] and phenotyping data for all 15 parental strains (not shown).

Figure S2. Coadapted vs. synthetic mitonuclear combinations. Average growth rates of strains with original (yellow) or synthetic (gray) mitonuclear genome combinations across all different growth conditions (Student t-test). Coadapted mitonuclear combinations did not provide overall growth advantages in all media. Significance codes: **<0.01, *<0.05

Figures




Figure 1

Mitonuclear interactions contribute to phenotypic differences in *S. cerevisiae*. A. Neighbor-joining tree of 13 (of 15) yeast isolates used as parental strains for the mitonuclear collection. Genetic distances, clades and ecological origins are from [49]. Lower resolution genetic data [54] places one additional parental strains as wine/European and a second as mosaic. See Table S1 for strain details. B. Mating strategy for creation of the mitonuclear strain collection. mtDNAs were passaged from 15 parental strains through *kar1-1* cargo strains to recipient strains to create 225 unique mtDNA-nuclear genotypes. Selection and

screening regimes explained in methods. C. Fitness measures (colony sizes) of the mitonuclear strain collection in all conditions, including standard laboratory media containing fermentable (CSM) and non-fermentable (CSMEG) carbon sources, and media simulating ecological habitats including synthetic grape must (SGM), maple sap (MSy) and synthetic oak exudate (SOE) at three temperatures (20, 30, and 37°C), are shown as violin plots. Proportion of variances due to mtDNA (yellow), nuclear genetic background (blue), mitonuclear interactions (red) and residual (gray) are indicated in bar graphs. D. Temperature-dependent mitonuclear effects. In most media, mitonuclear interactions contribute to a higher proportion of Vp under low or high temperature stress.




Figure 2

Genetic distance influences the effect of mitonuclear interactions. A. All possible mtDNA exchanges among strains with clean (non-mosaic) lineages. Significant mitonuclear epistasis was expected to result from mtDNA exchange between two strains from different subpopulations (red solid lines), but not from

mtDNA exchanges within a subpopulation (dark gray dotted lines). B. Frequencies of significant mitonuclear epistasis within and between clades. (see Table S9 for exact numbers). C. Mitonuclear effect sizes were significantly different in 4 conditions. Between clade mtDNA exchanges (red) often resulted in stronger epistatic effects (□) than within clade exchanges (dark gray).

$\Delta\Delta = \frac{(\Delta nDNA_i / mtDNA_i - nDNA_i / mtDNA_j) - (\Delta nDNA_j / mtDNA_i - nDNA_j / mtDNA_j)}{nDNA_i / mtDNA_j - nDNA_j / mtDNA_i}$; * P<0.05, ** P<0.005




Figure 3

Evidence for mitonuclear coadaptation. For each nuclear background, growth rates of strains containing parental and synthetic mitonuclear genotypes were compared using one-way ANOVAs. Genotypes are connected by colored lines to indicate when the original, coadapted genotype showed fitness advantages (red) or disadvantages (black) as compared to the synthetic genotype ($P < 0.05$). Non-significant growth differences are shown by light gray lines. Growth rates were normalized to the parental mitonuclear genotype for presentation purposes. Strains were tested in ecologically-relevant media at 30°C including A. fermentation isolates in SGM; B. oak, soil, and laboratory isolates in SOE, SGM and CSM/CSMEG, respectively; C. clinical isolates belonging to the Wine/European clade in SGM at 30°C and 37°C.

Supplementary Files

This is a list of supplementary files associated with this preprint. Click to download.

- [Tables.xlsx](#)
- [FigureS1.pdf](#)
- [FigureS2.pdf](#)