

Increased songbird nest depredation due to invasive Aleppo pine (*Pinus halepensis*) encroachment in Mediterranean shrubland

Asaf Ben-David

Tel Aviv University

Hila Shamoon (✉ ShamonH@si.edu)

Smithsonian Institution <https://orcid.org/0000-0001-5252-7013>

Ido Izhaki

University of Haifa

Roni Efronny

Tel Aviv University Department of Zoology

Roi Maor

Tel Aviv University Department of Zoology

Tamar Dayan

Tel Aviv University Department of Zoology

Research article

Keywords: Nest predation; acoustic monitoring; pine invasion; pine encroachment; *Sylvia melanocephala*; *Garrulus glandarius*.

Posted Date: July 30th, 2019

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.21203/rs.2.12126/v1>

License: © ⓘ This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License. [Read Full License](#)

Version of Record: A version of this preprint was published on December 17th, 2019. See the published version at <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12898-019-0270-8>.

Abstract

Background In recent decades, a decrease of passerine densities was documented in Mediterranean shrublands. At the same time, a widespread encroachment of Aleppo pines (*Pinus halepensis*) to Mediterranean shrubland occurred. Such changes in vegetation structure may affect passerine predator assemblage and densities, and in turn impact passerine densities. Depredation during the nesting season is an important factor to influence passerine population size. Understanding the effects of changes in vegetation structure (pine encroachment) on passerine nesting success is the main objective of this study. We do so by assessing the effects of Aleppo pine encroachment on Sardinian warbler (*Sylvia melanocephala*) nest depredation in Mediterranean shrublands. **Methods** We examined direct and indirect predation pressures through a gradient of pine density, using four methods: (1) placing dummy nests; (2) acoustic monitoring of mobbing events; (3) direct observations on nest predation using cameras; and (4) observation of Eurasian jay (*Garrulus glandarius*) behaviour as indirect evidence of predation risk. **Results** We found that Aleppo pine encroachment to Mediterranean shrublands increased nest predation by Eurasian jays. Nest predation was highest in with median encroaching pine. These areas are suitable for warblers, but had high occurrence rate of Eurasian jays. **Conclusions** Invasive pines directly increase activity of avian predators in shrubland habitats which impacted shrubland songbird nesting success. These findings are supported by multiple methodologies, illustrating different predation pressures along a gradient of pine densities in natural shrublands. Management of Aleppo pine seedlings and removal of unwanted trees in natural shrubland might mitigate arrival and expansion of native-invasive predators and decrease the predation pressure on passerine nests.

Background

Alteration of natural habitat due to anthropogenic activities is the leading cause of biodiversity loss in terrestrial habitats (Newbold et al. 2015). Globalization has promoted alien species invasion to many parts of the world, thus threatening human livelihood and biodiversity (Early et al. 2016). Plants constitute for the majority of biological invasions worldwide and have tremendously impacted ecosystems (van Kleunen et al. 2015). Plant invasion changes habitat cover and structure, creating bottom-up cascading events with adverse effects on native species (Schirmer et al. 2016). Encroachment is a form of biological invasion, whereby native species spread to habitats from which they were historically absent.

In some cases, encroachment of native species to non-native habitats are a consequence of human actions or managements. For example, native conifer species encroachment to grassland, savannas and shrublands are a well-documented phenomenon across the globe (Richardson and Rejmánek 2004). In north America it is mainly attributed to lack of fire events due to human intervention, allowing conifers to expand and establish in low vegetation habitats (Davies and Bates 2017; Krannitz 2007; Livingston et al. 2016). Conifer encroachment changes both biotic and abiotic conditions in soils, native vegetation composition, diversity and densities of primary and secondary consumers (Bates and Davies 2017; Bombaci and Pejchar 2016; Coates et al. 2017).

Aleppo pine (*Pinus halepensis*), is a widespread species in Mediterranean forests (Richardson et al. 2000), but in the Mediterranean region of Israel, native populations inhabit relatively small and restricted areas on Mt. Carmel and the Judean mountains (Rabinowitch A 1985). Aleppo pines were planted in the early 20th century across Israel on over 100,000ha, and account for half of the forests plantations in the state. Since then, a widespread encroachment of Aleppo pines into natural habitats has been observed across Israel (Lavi et al. 2005), mostly into Mediterranean shrublands (Osem et al. 2011). Planted Aleppo pines produce seeds for approximately 30 years in the Mediterranean landscapes in Israel (Osem et al. 2011), and therefore, their impact on the floral and faunal structure is expected to continue. Hence, understanding the cascading effects of such changes is significant for understanding changes in the Mediterranean ecosystem of Israel.

Birds are considered sensitive to habitat change due to their specific adaptations to vegetation types, heights and densities (Brosh and Adam 2012; Shochat et al. 2002), and therefore they can be used as indicators of ecosystem intactness. Changes in vegetation structure may affect species' ability to seek shelter or cover from threats such as predators (Glasser and Hadar 2014). We studied the effects of invasive pine establishment in natural habitats on the presence of the Eurasian jay (*Garrulus glandarius atricapillus*), a common songbird nest predator (Schaefer 2004; Wegge et al. 2012), and the indirect effect of pine encroachment on Sardinian warbler (*Sylvia melanocephala*) nest predation. We hypothesized that avian predators such as the Eurasian jay may use pines as observation points to detect nests and that consequently pine encroachment will increase predation pressure.

The breeding season is a critical phase in the annual life cycle of birds, with important consequences to population growth and survival (Fuller et al. 1995; Gates and Gysel 1978; Ricklefs 1969; Yom-Tov 1974). Nest predation rates usually vary between 44% to 86% depending on habitat type and species (Martin 1988); vegetation structure (i.e., micro habitat) is a significant factor that may affect nest predation.

Pine encroachment to Mediterranean shrublands may have a similar effect to that of forest edges; edge effect is an ecological change that affects the community structure in the boundaries of the habitat (Murcia 1995). Forest edges can change resource availability for insectivorous birds (Holmes and Schultz 1988; Whelan 2001), as well as for nest predators; edges allow nest predators to forage in habitats other than their primary natural habitat (i.e., forest species forage in shrubland on forest edge). Increased nest predation is highly associated to edges of fragmented habitats (Batary and Baladi 2004), and is higher in clear edges like forest to agriculture.

There are several main predators of songbird nests in forested areas and shrublands. Micromammals were found to be significant nest predators in forest habitats due to lower parental activity around the nest in such habitats (Weidinger 2009), as avian predators are more associated with forest edges (Chalfoun et al. 2002). We hypothesized that avian predators will take advantage of spreading pines to natural shrublands in our study area.

Lahti (2001) suggested that nest predation probability can be determined by specific predator behavior (Lahti 2001). Members of the Corvidae family are known for their learning abilities and adaptive and opportunistic skills; therefore, these species are known to take advantage of forest edges to prey in low vegetation habitats (McCollin 1998; Piper and Catterall 2004). Long term bird surveys of the study area have shown an increase in Eurasian jay density. Pine encroachment to natural habitats may increase edge effects thus promoting increased presence of avian predators, such as jays in the study area. Concurrent

with an increase of jay density, long term surveys show a decrease in songbird nests. Here, we investigate nest predation pressure rates over a gradient of pine densities with different vegetation composition and height. Specifically, we address the following questions: 1) is there a correlation between songbird open nest predation and (a) pine density, (b) vegetation structure (c) predator community assemblage; 2) is there a correlation between nest predation pressure and presence and activity of jays.

Results

Egg predation in artificial nests

Over half of the 123 artificial nests (quail and plaster eggs were pooled) were predated on (65 nests, 52.8%). The probability of nest predation was not equal among the four habitat types. Greatest predation (19.5 %) was recorded in the mixed shrubland and low-density pines and the lowest (7.3%) in dense pine plantations (Fig. 2).

Nest predator identification

Based on the 48 plaster eggs that we placed in artificial nests, we were able to identify four predator taxa according to marks left on 18 plaster eggs, (Fig. 3). The main predator group was medium size mammals (n=8), followed by rodents (n=7), birds (n=2) and reptiles (n=1) (*Pseudopus apodus*, Eurasian Glass Lizard). Some nests that were located close to the cattle corral disappeared completely with the shrubs around the nest site (n=3) (Fig. 3).

Our camera traps were set near artificial nests with quail eggs to learn about predator behavior: which predator species leave remains, which obtain all eggs, and which remove the entire nest. We found that jays were associated with 44% of documented predation events and 100% of those events ended up with eggs disappearing from artificial nests. A quarter of the nests (n=12) with quail eggs were emptied from all their eggs with no visible remains. Camera trap images showed that jays carried away the eggs, micromammals bit the egg and left remains in the nest and meso-carnivores removes entire nest. Therefore, artificial plaster egg disappearance was associated with avian predator category.

Predator assemblage was significantly different between natural shrubland (A) and the three other habitat types (**Mixed shrubland and invasive pines** - Wald value: 1.465, $p < 0.01$; **Low density Aleppo pine plantation** - Wald value: 2.122 $p < 0.01$; **High density Aleppo pine plantation** - Wald value: 1.733, $p < 0.01$, Fig. 4). Avian predation was significantly higher in the natural shrubland mixed with pine than in natural shrubland, and avian predation in pine plantations was significantly lower than natural shrubland (intercept). Predation due to micro-mammals (i.e. rodents) occurred significantly more at mixed shrubland and mixed habitat and was not significantly different at the pine plantation habitat. Large mammal predation was significantly higher at low-density plantations and significantly lower at mixed shrubland and invasive pines. Nest trampling due to cattle occurred significantly more at pine plantations than natural shrubland (intercept) (Fig. 4).

Nest survival probability

We estimated nest survival in relation to habitat type and the time within the breeding season (March, April and May). We used natural shrubland as an intercept. The GLM binominal distribution model predicted that nest survival probability was significantly lower in the mixed shrubland and invasive pine during the whole breeding season in comparison with the other three habitat types (coeff: -1.6814 (SE0.58), $p = 0.016$). The probability of survival was also low in the low-density pine plantations; however, this difference was not significant in comparison to the natural shrubland (coeff: -0.7845 (SE0.53), $p = 0.14$) (Fig. 5). The probability of survival was relatively high but not significantly different between the two control habitats, natural shrubland and high-density pine plantation respectively) (coeff: -0.4560 (SE0.5), $p = 0.42$). Time within the nesting season (measured by month) had a significant impact on nest survival where nest was more likely to survive in the beginning of the nesting season (March) than later in the season (April and May). Lowest survival predictions (compared to March (intercept)) were estimated in April (coeff: -1.3901 (SE0.47), $p = 0.003$), followed by May (coeff: -0.9354 (SE0.52), $p = 0.072$) (Fig. 5).

Indirect evidence of predation pressure

Sardinian warbler mobbing calls represent the extent of "threat" in a given habitat and Eurasian jay calls represent predator presence in a given habitat. We analyzed 220 hours of recordings from 16 different plots and found that the number of Sardinian warbler mobbing calls was significantly different between the four habitat types (Kruskal-Wallis, $\chi^2 = 15.564$, $df=3$, $P=0.0014$) whereas post-hoc with Wilcoxon tests and Bonferroni correction showed significantly lower number of mobbing calls in the natural shrubland in comparison to the other three habitats, low density pine plantation and mixed shrubland with pines (p -value= 0.0264). The highest number of mobbing calls was detected in the mixed shrubland and pine plantation habitat (Fig. 6).

Although the number of jay calls, identified from the acoustic monitoring, was not significantly different between the four habitat types ($\chi^2 = 6.888$, $df = 3$, $P= 0.076$), the results showed higher numbers in the mixed shrubland and in the low-density pine plantation and lowest number of calls in natural shrubland

Direct observations

Direct observations on fixed trails were carried out 20 times throughout the study. We recorded 67 jay occurrences in the study area and characterized them to three types of activity: (1) foraging; (2) flying; (3) observation points. We used a multi-dimensional analysis based on a GLM framework to distinguish between activity occurrences between the four habitat types. We used natural shrubland as an intercept in the model, thus the three other habitats were compared to this habitat and were found to be significantly different in terms activity type assemblage (Mixed shrubland and invasive pines; Wald value: 2.956, p value = <0.005); (Low density Aleppo pine plantation; Wald value: 1.717, p value = <0.005); (High density Aleppo pine plantation; Wald value: 2.844 p value = <0.005). Significantly higher foraging behavior and lower flying behavior was observed at all three habitats in comparison to natural shrubland and was positively

correlated to mixed shrubland and pines (Fig. 7). Jays were also observed significantly more on observation points in these three habitats in comparison to the natural shrubland (Fig. 7). These results indicate that jays flew over more frequently in natural shrubland without stopping to forage in this habitat.

Discussion

We found that Aleppo pine encroachment to natural shrublands increased nest predation events by Eurasian jays due to changes in habitat structure. Our results highlight how invasive pines can directly increase the activity of avian predators in shrubland habitats and reduce the nesting success of shrubland songbirds. These findings were based on multiple methodologies that illustrated different predation pressures among the four habitat types, including artificial nest predation, acoustic monitoring of warbler mobbing events and jay calls, and jay activity patterns in relation to a gradient of pine densities in natural shrublands.

A 30-year long term songbird nesting survey (1985-2015) carried out in this study area has demonstrated a decline in warbler and some other passerine densities, and an increase of jay densities (Brosh and Adam 2012). Results of our study offer a mechanistic explanation for this decline. Changes in species densities and species turnover along vegetation gradients have been linked to predation pressure (LaManna et al. 2015). In habitats that undergo rapid changes, like in cases of biological invasions (Dogra et al. 2010), such changes may therefore be accelerated. We believe that decrease of songbird species in areas of tree encroachment is not a direct response to vegetation change but to increased predation.

Birds from the Corvid family detect nests more efficiently by using elevated points such as electricity poles to observe nesting areas (DeGregorio et al. 2014). In the current study, it is encroaching trees that serve jays for the same purpose. Eurasian jays do not forage far from forest patches (Andren 1992; Shochat et al. 2002) and our results concur; we found a high presence of jays in pine-encroached shrublands and in pine plantations, and a lower occurrence in undisturbed shrublands. Previous studies found that nest predation in open landscapes and shrublands decreased with increasing distance from forest edges (Masoero et al. 2016); our results provide a mechanistic explanation to such observations.

Conifer encroachment into shrublands can facilitate prey bird movement via "stepping stones" that the pines create (Welstead 2002). Also, tree vantage points within the landscape reveal the passerine activity around the nest for jays and in mixed shrubland and pine patches. Schmidt (1999) suggested in a theoretical paper to look at nest predation from an optimal foraging theory perspective. It predicts that nest predators would forage where they have the best energetic profit to their foraging efforts (Schmidt 1999). We suggest that Eurasian jays may profit most by foraging by with more efficient movement and vantage points on pine trees.

Conclusions

In the last 150 years, the distribution of woody vegetation in open habitats, such as grasslands and savannas, has increased worldwide, jeopardizing biodiversity (Browning et al. 2008). Our study demonstrates that the encroachment of Aleppo pines into shrubland habitats may promote Eurasian jay populations and those of other avian predators, and consequently negatively impact populations of open-nest passerines. Thus, our results illustrate a causal mechanism of changes in bird community, which is generalizable to other parts of the world that suffer from tree encroachment. In areas of encroachment of invasive pines, such as the Mediterranean region, measures should be taken to control this phenomenon. A first measure would be to cease planting conifer forests for recreational use. Second, management of seedlings at existing plantation edges. Third, removal of mature invasive trees from natural shrub habitats. We encourage land and wildlife managers to consider our conclusions for decisions in conservation management schemes of passerine populations.

Study Area

The study was carried out in Ramat Hanadiv Park in the southern Mt. Carmel, Israel (32° 30' N, 34° 57' E), an area of 450 ha, surrounded by suburbs and agricultural fields. A cultivated memorial garden is located in the park center. The area is a plateau with a mean elevation of 120 m above sea level. The climate is typical Mediterranean with an average maximal temperature of 25.6 °C and minimal temperature of 16.4 °C, the average wind speed archives 9.78 m/s, rainfall averages 493 mm annually, and occurs mainly from November to March. The main vegetation structure is an open shrubland with broad-leaved phillyrea (*Phillyrea latifolia*) and mastic tree (*Pistacia lentiscus*) and planted groves of Aleppo pine (*Pinus halepensis*), Turkish pine (*Pinus brutia*), and stone pine (*Pinus pinea*) (Hadar 1989).

Methods

Study design

We chose four different habitat types that differ in pine densities and height as well as in vegetation cover and composition (Table 1, Fig. 1): (1) shrubland— an open shrub habitat with Broad-Leaved Phillyrea, Mastic tree and without pines or other higher trees; (2) mixed shrubland with pines— an open shrub of Broad-Leaved Phillyrea and Mastic tree with a few (15 per 1 ha) pine trees (pines >3 meters tall high); (3) low density pine plantation with Mastic tree shrubs (pines >3 meters high); (4) high density pine with some Cypress trees (pines >3 meters tall). We used habitat types 1 and 4 as controls: the shrubland is a typical warbler habitat and the high-density pine plantation is a typical habitat of the Eurasian jay. Jays are highly intelligent birds that use their learning abilities (Emery and Clayton 2004; Shaw et al. 2013) to specialize in nest robbing, particularly in forest edges (i.e., mixed shrubland and pines). In each habitat we quantified predation pressure using three methods (detailed below): (1) artificial warbler nests to monitor nest survival and predator community; (2) monitored warbler mobbing events and jay calls using acoustic recordings to assess predation pressure and jay presence; and (3) direct observations on jay activity; (4) validation of predator events using camera traps (Fig 1).

Artificial nests

We randomly chose 16 study plots (4 for each habitat) of 0.2km². Four dummy nests were randomly stationed in each plot, 30-100 meters apart, in two breeding seasons (2015 and 2016). The nests were built from local weeds, flax and Raffia palm fibers in diameter of 10-15cm in a coiling basket wreathing method (Shaw and Nicholson 2009). The size and the material of the nests were made after examining Sardinian warbler nests from the Steinhardt Museum of Natural History nest collection. We placed two types of eggs in these artificial nests: (1) Quail (*Coturnix coturnix*) eggs that were boiled in order to postpone their rotting; (2) 3D printed plaster eggs similar to the size and decorated texture of Sardinian warbler eggs (model Projet® CJP 660Pro by 3D Systems) (Venuvinod and Ma 2004). The artificial eggs were covered with white bee wax and mixed with droppings from a chicken (*Gallus gallus domesticus*) to mask the smell of wax. The wax was used to collect information on nest predators according to the marking left on eggs (e.g., teeth and beak marks). Each nest was placed in the thickest shrubs in each plot and was reinforced to bush branches with a zip tie at a height of 50-120 cm from the ground, similar to the natural nesting sites of Sardinian warblers (Shirihai et al. 2001).

Three boiled quail eggs were placed in 80 nests (16 nests in each cycle) in five different cycles (18th April to 3rd May 2015, 15th May – 5th June 2015, 1st to 22th March 2016, 10th to 30th April 2016, 6th to 27th June 2016). Each nest was set in the field for three consecutive weeks. Three plaster eggs were placed in each nest in the three experiment cycles during 2016 breeding season (1st to 22th March 2016, 10th to 30th April 2016, 6th to 27th June 2016), but five fell out of the shrub and were lost and thus we had a total of 43 nests in these experiments. Each nest was set in the field for three consecutive weeks. Predation marks on the eggs were identified to species level by measuring incisors of the common rodent species and canines of common carnivores. For reptiles, we compared bite marks with preserved specimens in the Steinhardt Museum of Natural History skull collection. Avian marks were identified by beak and claw marks as described on acorns in birds tracks and sings field guide (Elbroch et al. 2001) The association between predator assemblage and habitat type.

We used a multivariate abundance model based on a generalized linear model (GLM) (Wang et al. 2018) to correlate between the predator assemblage and the habitat type. This high dimensional GLM analysis has been proven to have better power than common distance-based methods (Wang et al. 2012), and enables to make hypotheses about community-environment associations (both community-level and taxon-specific inferences), and estimates the direction and influence of explanatory variables have on single species and a whole communities. The analysis for all taxon levels was done using 'mvabund' package (Wang et al. 2012) available on R (R Core team 2015).

Nest survival probability

We modeled the probability of nest survival with a binomial generalized linear model (GLM) using the "stats" package in R v3.2.3 (R Core team 2015). First, we compared predation rates upon the plaster and the quail eggs and found no significant difference using Chi square with Monte Carlo simulation (due to the small sample) ($n=123$, $df=3$, $p=0.86$). Therefore, the experiments with the two types of eggs were pooled prior to the GLM analysis. Model explanatory variables were: 1) four habitat types (categorical); 2) pine density within a buffer of 50 meters around each nest; 3) land cover (vegetation, ground, rock cover) classified by a random forest model using RGB aerial image 7 cm² resolution; 4) mean vegetation height within a 50-meter buffer based on Light Detection and Ranging (LiDAR) (Bar Massada et al. 2012); 5) the month that the nests were placed (categorical). Best model was chosen using multi-model inference based on Akaike information criterion (AIC).

Indirect evidence of predation pressure

We used acoustic monitoring to document mobbing calls of Sardinian warblers and recorded Eurasian Jay calls in the four habitat types as indirect indication for predation events. We recorded ambient sounds in 16 plots (four of each habitat type) for five consecutive days from mid-March to May 2015 and 2016. The recordings were made using a mobile recording device (TASCAM, DR-07MKII, China). The recorders were placed in the first two hours of the day light and recorded at least six hours of omnidirectional sound. The recording parameters were made at a 16-bit rate and 44.1kHz. The acoustic analysis was conducted with Raven Pro 1.5 software from the Cornell University Bioacoustics lab (Bioacoustics Research Program 2014). The spectrogram parameters for mobbing calls detection was made in a 592-window size and with 80% overlap in window method Hann.

The Sardinian warbler alarm calls are typically made from repeating tk-tk-tk notes (Shirihai et al. 2001). To detect these mobbing calls automatically we created a detector with the Raven software to detect the single notes of the mobbing calls in the frequency range of 4500 to 6000 Hz duration of 0.01-0.04 seconds with a minimal time interval of 0.008 seconds. We used R to locate sequences of 20 notes or more (i.e., warbler mobbing sounds) counted all the mobbing calls within a 20-minute interval as a single mobbing event. For Eurasian jays we built a detector in frequency range of 1000 to 6000 Hz duration of 0.3-0.5 seconds with a minimal time interval of 0.5 seconds. All the automated selections were scanned by hand for any mistakes of the algorithm. In total, we scanned 220 hours of recordings. We conducted Kruskal- Wallis Test with the *stats* package (R Core team 2015) to find if the number of mobbing events per two hours were significantly different between the four habitat types.

Direct observations

During the two nesting seasons, we conducted direct observations along 10 trails in the first two hours of the morning in each of the four habitat types. We recorded Eurasian jay presence and described its activity (e.g., flying, foraging, observing). We used a multivariate abundance model based on a generalized linear model (GLM) to correlate the activity type and habitat type.

Direct evidence of predation with camera traps

We placed 48 camera traps (Bushnell Trophy cam 8MP and 24 Bushnell Trophy cam HD, USA) next to 48 artificial nests with quail eggs for a period of three weeks (dates) to directly document predators and predation events behavior. Each camera was stationed next to artificial nests. The cameras were placed 1 -

1.5m away from each nest. A comparative study from the US showed that there was no significant difference between breeding success in nests with and without cameras and that the cameras do not create a bias on breeding success (Herranz et al. 2002).

Declarations

Ethics approval and consent to participate: Not applicable

Consent for publication: Not applicable

Availability of data and material: Data collected for this study is stored at and can be requested from Ramat Hanadiv Nature Park.

Competing interests: There are no competing interests.

Funding: Ramat Hanadiv Nature Park (The Rothschild Foundation).

Authors' contributions: ABD and HS are first authors and contributed equally. All authors contributed to the idea, and design of the study. All contributed to the writing. ABD, HS, RE, RM analyzed the data. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Acknowledgements: We thank Ramat Hanadiv Nature Park (The Rothschild Foundation) for their support of this study.

References

- Andren H. Corvid density and nest predation in relation to forest fragmentation: a landscape perspective. *Ecology* [Internet]. Ecological Society of America; 1992 Jun [cited 2016 Oct 1];73(3):794–804. Available from: <http://doi.wiley.com/10.2307/1940158>
- Batary P, Baladi A. Evidence of an Edge Effect on Avian Nest Success. *Conserv. Biol.* [Internet]. Blackwell Science Inc; 2004 Apr 1 [cited 2018 Jan 23];18(2):389–400. Available from: <http://doi.wiley.com/10.1111/j.1523-1739.2004.00184.x>
- Bates JD, Davies KW. Effects of conifer treatments on soil nutrient availability and plant composition in sagebrush steppe. *For. Ecol. Manage.* 2017;400:631–44.
- Bombaci S, Pejchar L. Consequences of pinyon and juniper woodland reduction for wildlife in North America. *For. Ecol. Manage.* 2016;365:34–50.
- Brosh T, Adam S. Analysis of nesting data at Ramat Hanadiv. Internal Report 2001-2010. 2012.
- Browning DM, Archer SR, Asner GP, McClaran MP, Wessman CA. Woody plants in grasslands: Post-encroachment stand dynamics. *Ecol. Appl.* 2008;18(4):928–44.
- Chalfoun AD, Thompson FR, Ratnaswamy MJ. Nest Predators and Fragmentation: a Review and Meta-Analysis. *Conserv. Biol.* [Internet]. Blackwell Science Inc; 2002 Apr 1 [cited 2018 Jan 24];16(2):306–18. Available from: <http://doi.wiley.com/10.1046/j.1523-1739.2002.00308.x>
- Coates PS, Prochazka BG, Ricca MA, Gustafson K Ben, Ziegler P, Casazza ML. Pinyon and Juniper Encroachment into Sagebrush Ecosystems Impacts Distribution and Survival of Greater Sage-Grouse. *Rangel. Ecol. Manag.* 2017;70(1):25–38.
- Davies KW, Bates JD. Restoring big sagebrush after controlling encroaching western juniper with fire: aspect and subspecies effects. *Restor. Ecol.* 2017;25(1):33–41.
- DeGregorio B a, Weatherhead PJ, Sperry JH. Power lines, roads, and avian nest survival: effects on predator identity and predation intensity. *Ecol. Evol.* [Internet]. 2014 May [cited 2014 Nov 26];4(9):1589–600. Available from: <http://www.pubmedcentral.nih.gov/articlerender.fcgi?artid=4063460&tool=pmcentrez&rendertype=abstract>
- Dogra KS, Sood SK, Dobhal PK, Sharma S. Alien plant invasion and their impact on indigenous species diversity at global scale: A review. 2010;2(September):175–86.
- Early R, Bradley BA, Dukes JS, Lawler JJ, Olden JD, Blumenthal DM, et al. Global threats from invasive alien species in the twenty-first century and national response capacities. *Nat. Commun. The Author(s)*; 2016 Aug;7:12485.
- Elbroch M, Marks E (Eleanor M, Boretos CD. *Bird tracks & sign: a guide to North American species.* Stackpole Books; 2001.
- Fuller RJ, Gregory RD, Gibbons DW, Marchant JH, Wilson JD, Baillie SR, et al. Population Declines and Range Contractions among Lowland Farmland Birds in Britain. *Conserv. Biol.* [Internet]. Blackwell Science Inc; 1995 Dec [cited 2016 Oct 19];9(6):1425–41. Available from: <http://doi.wiley.com/10.1046/j.1523-1739.1995.09061425.x>
- Gates JE, Gysel LW. Avian Nest Dispersion and Fledging Success in Field-Forest Ecotones. *Ecology* [Internet]. Ecological Society of America; 1978 Aug [cited 2016 Oct 19];59(5):871–83. Available from: <http://doi.wiley.com/10.2307/1938540>
- Glasser TA, Hadar L. Grazing management aimed at producing landscape mosaics to restore and enhance biodiversity in Mediterranean ecosystems. *Options Méditerranéennes. Ser. A Mediterr. Semin.* 2014;(109):437–52.

Hadar L. The native flora in Ramat-Hanadiv. In: Perevolotsky A, editor. *Manag. Conserv. Mediterr. Ecosyst. Ramat Hanadiv as a Parable*. 1st ed. Ramat Hanadiv; 1989. p. 6–8.

Herranz J, Yanes M, Suárez F. Does photo-monitoring affect nest predation? *J. F. Ornithol.* [Internet]. Association of Field Ornithologists; 2002 Jan [cited 2015 Feb 10];73(1):97–101. Available from: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1648/0273-8570-73.1.97>

Holmes RT, Schultz JC. Food availability for forest birds: effects of prey distribution and abundance on bird foraging. *Can. J. Zool.* [Internet]. NRC Research Press Ottawa, Canada ; 1988 Mar [cited 2018 Mar 17];66(3):720–8. Available from: <http://www.nrcresearchpress.com/doi/10.1139/z88-107>

van Kleunen M, Dawson W, Essl F, Pergl J, Winter M, Weber E, et al. Global exchange and accumulation of non-native plants. *Nature*. Nature Publishing Group, a division of Macmillan Publishers Limited. All Rights Reserved.; 2015 Aug;525:100.

Krannitz PG. Abundance and diversity of shrub-steppe birds in encroachment of ponderosa pine IN RELATION TO ENCROACHMENT OF PONDEROSA PINE. *Wilson J. Ornithol.* [Internet]. Wilson Ornithological Society; 2007 Dec 1 [cited 2019 Apr 14];119(4):655–64. Available from: <http://www.bioone.org/doi/abs/10.1676/06-129.1>

Lahti DC. The “edge effect on nest predation” hypothesis after twenty years. *Biol. Conserv.* 2001;99(3):365–74.

LaManna JA, Hemenway AB, Boccadori V, Martin TE. Bird species turnover is related to changing predation risk along a vegetation gradient. *Ecology* [Internet]. John Wiley & Sons, Ltd; 2015 Jun 1 [cited 2019 Mar 7];96(6):1670–80. Available from: <http://doi.wiley.com/10.1890/14-1333.1>

Lavi A, Perevolotsky A, Kigel J, Noy-Meir I. Invasion of *Pinus halepensis* from plantations into adjacent natural habitats. *Appl. Veg. Sci.* [Internet]. 2005 [cited 2016 Oct 19];8(1):85. Available from: [http://doi.wiley.com/10.1658/1402-2001\(2005\)008\[0085:IOPHFP\]2.0.CO;2](http://doi.wiley.com/10.1658/1402-2001(2005)008[0085:IOPHFP]2.0.CO;2)

Livingston AC, Varner JM, Jules ES, Kane JM, Arguello LA. Prescribed fire and conifer removal promote positive understorey vegetation responses in oak woodlands. *J. Appl. Ecol.* John Wiley & Sons, Ltd (10.1111); 2016 Oct;53(5):1604–12.

Martin TE. Habitat and Area Effects on Forest Bird Assemblages: Is Nest Predation an Influence? *Ecology* [Internet]. 1988 Feb 11 [cited 2014 Nov 24];69(1):74. Available from: <http://www.esajournals.org/doi/abs/10.2307/1943162>

Masoero G, Maurino L, Rolando A, Chamberlain D. The effect of treeline proximity on predation pressure: an experiment with artificial nests along elevational gradients in the European Alps. *Bird Study* [Internet]. Taylor & Francis; 2016 Aug 5 [cited 2016 Aug 9];1–11. Available from: <http://www.tandfonline.com/doi/full/10.1080/00063657.2016.1214106>

McCollin D. Forest edges and habitat selection in birds: a functional approach. *Ecography (Cop.)*. John Wiley & Sons, Ltd (10.1111); 1998 Jun;21(3):247–60.

Murcia C. Edge effects in fragmented forests: implications for conservation. *Trends Ecol. Evol.* [Internet]. Elsevier Current Trends; 1995 Feb 1 [cited 2018 Jan 24];10(2):58–62. Available from: <https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0169534700889776#>

Newbold T, Hudson LN, Hill SLL, Contu S, Lysenko I, Senior RA, et al. Global effects of land use on local terrestrial biodiversity. *Nature*. Nature Publishing Group; 2015 Apr;520(7545):45–50.

Osem Y, Lavi A, Rosenfeld A. Colonization of *Pinus halepensis* in Mediterranean habitats: Consequences of afforestation, grazing and fire. *Biol. Invasions*. 2011;13:485–98.

Piper SD, Catterall CP. Effects of edge type and nest height on predation of artificial nests within subtropical Australian eucalypt forests. *For. Ecol. Manage.* 2004;203(1):361–72.

R Core team. *Computing, R: A Language and Environment for Statistical* [Internet]. Vienna, Austria, Austria: R Foundation for Statistical Computing; 2015. Available from: <https://www.r-project.org/>

Rabinowitch A. Arboreal plant communities of the Mediterranean ecosystems in Israel. *Rotem*. 1985;18:5–12.

Richardson D, Rundel P, Price R, Liston A, Strauss S, Millar C, et al. *Ecology and Biogeography of Pinus* [Internet]. David M. Richardson, editor. Cambridge university press; 2000 [cited 2017 Mar 27]. Available from: <http://www.cambridge.org/il/academic/subjects/life-sciences/ecology-and-conservation/ecology-and-biogeography-pinus?format=PB&isbn=9780521789103>

Richardson DM, Rejmánek M. Conifers as invasive aliens: a global survey and predictive framework. *Divers. Distrib.* [Internet]. Blackwell Science Ltd; 2004 Sep 6 [cited 2016 Oct 4];10(5–6):321–31. Available from: <http://doi.wiley.com/10.1111/j.1366-9516.2004.00096.x>

Ricklefs RE. An analysis of nesting mortality in birds. *Smithson. Contrib. to Zool.* 1969;9(9):1–48.

Schaefer T. Video monitoring of shrub-nests reveals nest predators: Capsule Jays *Garrulus glandarius* are the most common predators, but carnivorous mammals and some other species also predate nests. *Bird Study* [Internet]. 2004 Jul [cited 2014 Nov 24];51(2):170–7. Available from: <http://www.tandfonline.com/doi/abs/10.1080/00063650409461349>

Schirmel J, Bundschuh M, Entling MH, Kowarik I, Buchholz S. Impacts of invasive plants on resident animals across ecosystems, taxa, and feeding types: a global assessment. *Glob. Chang. Biol.* John Wiley & Sons, Ltd (10.1111); 2016 Feb;22(2):594–603.

Schmidt KA. Foraging Theory as a Conceptual Framework for Studying Nest Predation. *Oikos* [Internet]. 1999 Apr [cited 2016 Oct 27];85(1):151. Available from: <http://www.jstor.org/stable/3546801?origin=crossref>

Shaw P, Nicholson I. *Ancient Egyptian Materials and Technology* - [Internet]. 1st ed. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press; 2009. Available from: https://books.google.co.il/books?hl=iw&lr=&id=Vj7A9jJrZPOC&oi=fnd&pg=PR6&dq=coiling+basket+technology+israel&ots=zt30nezDly&sig=xoky6Asr8j6dLUQuACHD3EyxtLk&redir_esc=y#v=or

Shirihai H, Gargallo G, Helbig AJ, Harris A, Kirwan GM, Svensson L. *Sylvia warblers*. Princeton University Press; 2001.

Shochat E, Abramsky Z, Pinshow B. Breeding bird species diversity in the Negev: effects of scrub fragmentation by planted forests. *J. Appl. Ecol.* [Internet]. 2002 Apr 20 [cited 2016 May 15];38(5):1135–47. Available from: <http://doi.wiley.com/10.1046/j.1365-2664.2001.00667.x>

Wang Y, Naumann U, Wright S, Warton D, Wang MY, Rcpp I, et al. Package ‘mvabund.’ *Cran R*. 2018;

Wang Y, Naumann U, Wright ST, Warton DI. mvabund– an R package for model-based analysis of multivariate abundance data. *Methods Ecol. Evol.* Blackwell Publishing Ltd; 2012;3(3):471–4.

Wegge P, Ingul H, Pollen VO, Halvorsrud E, Sivkov A V., Hjeljord O. Comparing predation on forest grouse nests by avian and mammalian predators in two contrasting boreal forest landscapes by the use of artificial nests. *Ornis Fenn.* 2012;89(3):145–56.

Whelan CJ. Foliage structure influence foraging of insectivorous forest birds: an experimental study. *Ecology* [Internet]. Ecological Society of America; 2001 Jan 1 [cited 2018 Mar 17];82(1):219–31. Available from: [http://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/10.1890/0012-9658\(2001\)082\[0219:FSIFOI\]2.0.CO;2/full](http://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/10.1890/0012-9658(2001)082[0219:FSIFOI]2.0.CO;2/full)

Yom-Tov Y. The Effect of Food and Predation on Breeding Density and Success, Clutch Size and Laying Date of the Crow (*Corvus corone* L.). *J. Anim. Ecol.* [Internet]. 1974;43(2):479–98. Available from:

<http://www.jstor.org/stable/3378%5Cnhttp://www.jstor.org/page/info/about/policies/terms.jsp%5Cnhttp://www.jstor.org>

Table 1

Table 1. The properties of the habitat types in Ramat Hanadiv Nature Park (following Bar Massada et al. 2012).

Habitat type	% of the park area	Typical plants	Structure
Natural shrubland	35 %	Broad-Leaved Phillyrea, Mastic tree.	0.5–2 m tall, 25–50% cover
Mixed scrubland with pines	31%	Broad-Leaved Phillyrea and Mastic tree and few pine trees.	0.5–5< m tall, >75% cover
Open pine plantation	4%	Pine trees with Mastic tree.	height > 5 m, <75% cover
Dense pine plantation	7.4 %	Pine and Cyprus trees.	height > 5 m, >75% cover

Figures




Figure 1

Conceptual figure of study design. Four habitat types following a gradient of pine densities: A) natural shrubland; B) mixed shrubland and low-density pines; C) mixed shrubland with high-density pines; D) high density pine plantation. In each habitat, be used three methods to monitor predation pressure and warbler and jay occurrence (artificial nests, acoustic monitoring, and direct observations).



Figure 2

Artificial nest location at the study area, Ramat Hanadiv Nature Park, Israel. Monitoring March-May 2015-2016. Predated nests (red), saved nests (light blue). Habitat type: A shrubland, mixed scrubland and pine trees, low density pine plantation, high density pine plantation.



Figure 3

Plaster egg with teeth marks of: (1) white-breasted hedgehog (*Erinaceus concolor*) -clear mark of the canine; (2) different rodents (marks of the insectivores especially on the tip of the egg), marks of an avian predator; (3) triangle marks of bird's nails.; (4) triangle marks of a wide beak and teeth marks by a European Glass Lizard (*Pseudopus apodus*); (5) marks of row symmetric teeth similar in size.

Habitat	D	-	+		
	C	-	+	+	
	B	+			+
		Bird	Cattle	Large mammal	Micro mammal

Figure 4

Individual predator type response to habitat type tested using a multivariate abundance model based on a generalized linear model (GLM) from data obtained by artificial plaster egg experiment. Presented are coefficient response with significance ($p < 0.05$) in comparison to intercept – habitat (A). Habitat type: (A – intercept) Natural shrubland; (B) Mixed shrubland and invasive pines; (C) Low density Aleppo pine plantation; (D) High density Aleppo pine plantation. Positive correlation (+), negative correlation (-).




Figure 5
 Predicted estimation of the nest survival probability in relation to habitat type and time of nesting season (month: March (triangle), April (hollow circle), May (full circle)). Whiskers indicate lower and upper confidence intervals. Habitat types: (A) Natural shrubland; (B) Mixed shrubland and invasive pines; (C) Low density Aleppo pine plantation; (D) High density Aleppo pine plantation. Data collected at Ramat Hanadiv Nature Park during March-May 2015-2016.




Figure 6
 Mobbing events in the four-habitat type: natural shrubland; mixed shrubland and invasive pines; low density Aleppo pine plantation; high density Aleppo pine plantation. The distribution of Sardinian warbler mobbing events (mean (x), median (solid line), post-hoc difference (letters A, B), whiskers represent upper 0.95 and lower 0.05, box upper 0.75 and lower 0.25. Points represent outliers. Based on 220 hours of recordings from 06:00-10:00 AM (n=88). Habitat types: (A) Natural shrubland; (B) Mixed shrubland and invasive pines; (C) Low density Aleppo pine plantation; (D) High density Aleppo pine plantation. Data collected at Ramat Hanadiv Nature Park during March-May 2015-2016.

Habitat	D	-	+	+
	C	-	+	+
	B	-	+	+
		Flight	Foraging	Observation post

Figure 7

Activity type correlation to habitat type. Activity: (1) foraging; (2) flying; (3) siting on observation points (trees). Presented are coefficients with significance ($p < 0.05$) in comparison to intercept – habitat (A). Habitat type: (A – intercept) Natural shrubland; (B) Mixed shrubland and invasive pines; (C) Low density Aleppo pine plantation; (D) High density Aleppo pine plantation. Positive correlation (+), negative correlation (-).