Prognostic value of inflammation-based indices in patients with resected hepatocellular carcinoma

Weihao Kong

First Affiliated Hospital of Anhui Medical University

Mingwei Yang

First Affiliated Hospital of Anhui Medical University

Jianfeng Zhang

Third Affiliated Hospital of Sun Yat-Sen University

Ya Cheng

First Affiliated Hospital of Anhui Medical University

Tianxing Dai

Third Affiliated Hospital of Sun Yat-Sen University

Jian Zhang

Third Affiliated Hospital of Sun Yat-Sen University

Guoying Wang

Third Affiliated Hospital of Sun Yat-Sen University

Jianlin Zhang (✉ ayfyzhangjianlin@163.com)

First Affiliated Hospital of Anhui Medical University <https://orcid.org/0000-0001-5390-8088>

Research article

Keywords: prognosis, liver cancer, neutrophil-to-lymphocyte ratio, platelet distribution width

Posted Date: May 28th, 2020

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.21203/rs.3.rs-30241/v1>

License: © ⓘ This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License. [Read Full License](#)

Abstract

Purpose: As is well recognized that inflammation plays a crucial role in the genesis and progression of various cancer. Here we investigate the prognostic value of a novel index: the combination of neutrophil to lymphocyte ratio and platelet distribution width (coNLR-PDW) in post-operation patients with resectable hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC).

Methods: 250 HCC patients who underwent hepatectomy were retrospectively analyzed. The receiver operating characteristic (ROC) curve was utilized to determine the optimal cutoff values of continuous variables, including the neutrophil-lymphocyte ratio (NLR) and platelet distribution width (PDW). Kaplan-Meier method and the Log-rank test were used to compare survival differences across three groups stratified by the coNLR-PDW score. Univariate and multivariate regression analyses were adopted to identify independent factors of HCC patient's prognosis.

Results: 1.59 and 13.0 were perceived as the optimal cutoff value for NLR and PDW based on the ROC curve, respectively. Kaplan-Meier method revealed that higher coNLR-PDW score predicts poorer overall survival (OS) and disease-free survival (DFS) ($P < 0.001$). coNLR-PDW was demonstrated as independent factor for both OS and DFS using Cox regression analysis (HR: 3.674, 95%CI: 2.092-6.452, $P < 0.001$ for OS; HR: 2.166, 95%CI: 1.523-3.082, $P < 0.001$ for DFS).

Conclusion: coNLR-PDW is recognized as a valuable biomarker for predicting the survival of patients with HCC.

Introduction

Hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC) is one of the most common malignant tumors in the world, the second most common cause of cancer-related deaths, the fifth most common cancer in the world, and its incidence is increasing year by year[1]. Up to now, hepatectomy is one of the best treatments for resectable HCC, but the recurrence rate after surgical resection is still high, and the overall prognosis is not optimistic. Although several biomarkers have been identified as significantly associated with the prognosis of HCC, their clinical application has been limited for a variety of reasons. Therefore, a useful and straightforward biomarker for determining early recurrence and prognosis of HCC has important clinical implications.

In recent years, researchers have found that systemic inflammatory responses play an essential role in the progression of cancer[2, 3]. Prognostic scoring systems based on systemic inflammatory responses have been demonstrated to be effective in many studies[4–6]. For example, Glasgow Prognostic Score (GPS), platelet to lymphocyte ratio (PLR), neutrophil-lymphocyte ratio (NLR), and monocyte to lymphocyte ratio (MLR) can help predict the prognosis of cancer patients. Besides, platelets play a crucial role in the progression and metastasis of cancer. Activated platelets can promote tumor cell proliferation, invasion, and angiogenesis. Elevated platelet counts are significantly associated with poor prognosis in numerous cancers, including vulvar squamous cell cancer, lung cancer, renal cell carcinoma, pancreatic cancer, ovarian cancer, and colorectal cancer[7–13]. Nevertheless, the total number of platelets depends on the consumption and production of platelets. Normal platelet counts may conceal the compensatory mechanisms of tumor pro-coagulation and pro-inflammatory phenotypes. Platelet distribution width (PDW), as an essential parameter for activated platelets, plays a vital role in a variety of tumors, including gastric cancer, melanoma, breast cancer, lung cancer, nasopharyngeal cancer, laryngeal cancer[14–18].

The score based on the systemic inflammatory response may reflect the patient's systemic inflammatory response status, which may affect the prognosis of patients with HCC. Therefore, in this study, we explored the prognostic role of the coNLR-PDW score in patients with resectable HCC.

Methods

Patients

We conducted a retrospective review of 250 patients with HCC who underwent hepatectomy at the Third Affiliated Hospital of Sun Yat-sen University from January 2009 to October 2015. The inclusion criteria were as follows: (1) the patient underwent radical resection of HCC, (2) no chemotherapy or radiotherapy before surgery, (3) no extrahepatic metastasis, (4) HCC was confirmed by postoperative pathology, (5) negative surgical margin, (6) no infectious or other inflammatory disease occurred one month before surgery. Exclusion criteria are as follows: (1) preoperative severe systemic diseases, such as hematopoietic diseases, accompanying other malignant tumors, inflammation-related diseases, etc. (2) receiving anti-tumor treatment (3) being lack of complete clinicopathological features. The stage of HCC patients is determined by the Barcelona Clinic Liver Cancer (BCLC) stage.

Follow-up

Informed consent was obtained from all patients participating in the study, and the study was approved by the Ethics Committee of the Third Affiliated Hospital of Sun Yat-sen University. After surgical resection, patients were followed up every three months for the first three years, and every six months afterward. The follow-up examination included tumor markers, abdominal ultrasound, thoracoabdominal computed tomography (CT), or magnetic resonance imaging (MRI). A bone scan was adopted when HCC recurrence was suspected.

NLR-PDW evaluation

We collected hematologic parameters within one week before surgery. The neutrophil count divided by the lymphocyte count is defined as NLR, the platelet count divided by the lymphocyte count is defined as PLR, and the monocyte count divided by the lymphocyte count is defined as MLR. The receiver operating characteristic (ROC) curve is employed to determine the optimal cutoff values for prothrombin time (PT), alanine transaminase (ALT), total bilirubin (TB), glutamyl transpeptidase (GGT), albumin (ALB), mean platelet volume (MPV), PDW, NLR, PLR, and MLR, respectively. According to the ROC curve analysis, we determined that the optimal cutoff value of NLR is 1.59, and the area under the ROC curve is 0.592. Similarly, 13.0 was identified as the optimal cutoff value of PDW, and the area under the ROC curve is 0.629 (**Fig 1**).

Based on the cutoff values of NLR and PDW, we divided the patients into high NLR group (≥ 1.59) and low NLR group (< 1.59), high PDW group (≥ 13.0), and low PDW group (< 13.0), respectively. The novel scoring system for coNLR-PDW is defined as follows: patients with high NLR values and high PDW values are defined as 2, patients with low NLR values and low PDW values are defined as 0, and patients with either high indicator are defined as 1.

Statistical analysis

We use SPSS 21.0 version (SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL, USA) and GraphPad Prism7 for statistical analysis. Differences among coNLR-PDW groups were compared using the chi-squared test. Survival curves were plotted using the Kaplan-Meier method and analyzed using the Log-rank test. Univariate and multivariate regression analyses were used to screen for independent factors of prognosis. A p-value below 0.05 was deemed as statistical significance.

Results

Patient Demographics

We included a total of 250 HCC patients who underwent radical hepatectomy at the Third Affiliated Hospital of Sun Yat-sen University, including 225 men and 25 women. Of all patients, 221 patients were positive for hepatitis B surface antigen (HBsAg), and 29 patients were negative for HBsAg. There was only one lesion in 179 patients and multiple lesions in 71 patients. Based on the BCLC stage, there were 19, 79, 48, and 104 patients in stages 0, A, B, and C, respectively. The average age at diagnosis was 50 years. Detailed information is displayed in **Table 1**.

Correlation between the clinicopathologic features or hematologic parameters and coNLR-PDW

The correlation between coNLR-PDW and clinicopathological features or hematological parameters is shown in **Table 2**. Significant correlation were found in cirrhosis ($P = 0.004$), tumor size ($P = 0.013$), Child-Pugh grade ($P = 0.041$), GGT ($P = 0.032$), MPV ($P < 0.001$), PDW ($P < 0.001$), NLR ($P < 0.001$), PLR ($P = 0.004$) and MLR ($P = 0.001$) among three coNLR-PDW groups. However, there were no statistical significance in age, gender, HBsAg, tumor number, alpha-fetoprotein (AFP), vascular invasion, BCLC stage, differentiation, PT, ALT, TB, and ALB.

Survival analysis of coNLR-PDW

OS and DFS curves for patients with whole resectable HCC were shown in **Fig2**. Kaplan-Meier analysis and log-rank test were adopted to identify the survival differences among the three groups stratified by the coNLR-PDW score. The OS curves of the three groups separate significantly, patients with coNLR-PDW scoring 2 has the worst survival compared with those with coNLR-PDW scoring 1 and 0. Similarly, the DFS curves classified by the coNLR-PDW score shows that DFS duration with coNLR-PDW scoring 0 is superior to that of coNLR-PDW scoring 1, and coNLR-PDW scoring 1 is better than coNLR-PDW scoring 2 in DFS (**Fig 3**). Additionally, further analysis was performed in the subgroups of AFP (<400 ng/ml and ≥ 400 ng/ml) and BCLC stage (0-A and B-C). Higher score of coNLR-PDW predicted worse OS and DFS in the AFP subgroup (AFP <400 ng/ml: $P < 0.0001$ for OS, $P < 0.0001$ for DFS, **Fig 4A** and **4C**; AFP ≥ 400 ng/ml: $P = 0.0177$ for OS, $P = 0.0003$ for DFS, **Fig 4B** and **4D**), and BCLC stage (BCLC 0-A: $P = 0.0352$ for OS, $P < 0.0001$ for DFS, **Fig 5A** and **5C**; BCLC B-C: $P = 0.0002$ for OS, $P = 0.0005$ for DFS, **Fig 5B** and **5D**).

Univariate and multivariate analyses for OS

According to univariate regression analyses, significant correlation was observed between tumor size (HR: 2.664, 95%CI: 1.424-4.983, $P = 0.002$), AFP (HR: 2.310, 95%CI: 1.253-4.258, $P = 0.007$), vascular invasion (HR: 2.327, 95%CI: 1.261-4.293, $P = 0.007$), BCLC stage (HR: 2.543, 95%CI: 1.248-5.181, $P = 0.010$), ALT (HR: 2.082, 95%CI: 1.046-4.146, $P = 0.037$), GGT (HR: 3.825, 95%CI: 1.697-8.626, $P = 0.001$), PDW (HR: 3.384, 95%CI: 1.796-6.376, P

<0.001), NLR (HR: 2.926, 95%CI: 1.149-7.450, P =0.024), PLR (HR: 2.585, 95%CI: 1.297-5.151, P =0.007), MLR (HR: 2.714, 95%CI: 1.472-5.002, P =0.001), coNLR-PDW (HR: 3.342, 95%CI: 1.960-5.699, P <0.001) and OS. Then these identified factors were selected for multivariate regression analysis, AFP (HR: 2.456, 95%CI: 1.317-4.581, P =0.005), GGT (HR: 3.957, 95%CI: 1.734-9.030, P =0.001), PLR (HR: 2.310, 95%CI: 1.146-4.658, P =0.019) and coNLR-PDW (HR: 3.674, 95%CI: 2.092-6.452, P <0.001) correlated with unfavorable OS significantly (**Table 3**).

Univariate and multivariate analyses for DFS

Tumor size (HR: 2.332, 95%CI: 1.650-3.296, P <0.001), Tumor number (HR: 2.198, 95%CI: 1.551-3.114, P <0.001), AFP (HR: 1.452, 95%CI: 1.010-2.087, P =0.044), vascular invasion (HR: 2.095, 95%CI: 1.485-2.955, P <0.001), BCLC stage (HR: 2.893, 95%CI: 1.932-4.332, P <0.001), GGT (HR: 2.084, 95%CI: 1.431-3.036, P <0.001), PDW (HR: 2.174, 95%CI: 1.542-3.064, P <0.001), NLR (HR: 2.445, 95%CI: 1.518-3.938, P <0.001), MLR (HR: 2.245, 95%CI: 1.594-3.163, P <0.001) and coNLR-PDW (HR: 2.292, 95%CI: 1.737-3.024, P <0.001) are significantly associated with DFS according to univariate regression analysis. Further multivariate regression analysis displays that tumor size (HR: 2.166, 95%CI: 1.523-3.082, P <0.001), tumor number (HR: 2.229, 95%CI: 1.569-3.166, P =0.013), MLR (HR: 1.800, 95%CI: 1.271-2.549, P =0.001), and coNLR-PDW (HR: 2.166, 95%CI: 1.523-3.082, P <0.001) are independent prognostic factors for DFS (**Table 4**).

Discussion

HCC ranks the seventh of the newly diagnosed malignancies, and it accounts for 8.2% of the cancer death according to cancer statistics[19]. The main reason for high mortality lies in the intrinsic pathophysiological characteristics of liver cancer, as well as the lack of early diagnosis and effective treatment. Up to date, the best treatment for resectable HCC is hepatectomy. However, the rate of recurrence and metastasis after radical hepatectomy is still high. Therefore, it is imperative to explore practical and readily available biomarker to provide prognostic information, and thus instruct an optimal treatment strategy.

Since Virchow first introduced the correlation between inflammation and malignancies [20], mounting evidence has confirmed that inflammation influence every step of tumor development and tumor promotion [21]. Systemic Inflammatory response became a hallmark of cancer and was demonstrated to correlate with survivals of various carcinomas. HCC is one of inflammation-related cancer for infections with hepatitis B, or C viruses increase the risk of HCC, especially in China, where there is a high rate of hepatitis B infection. Moreover, accumulated evidence has demonstrated the association between inflammation-based indices and the prognosis of hepatocellular cancer[22].

NLR has been extensively investigated as the prognostic value in multiple cancers, including HCC[5]. The mechanisms by which NLR predict prognosis remains unclear. Possible interpretation including that neutrophils exert tumor-promoting effect by the production of cytokines, proteases and reactive oxygen species (ROS), and inhibition of the cytolytic activity of immune cells, such as lymphocytes, activated T cells, and natural killer cells [21, 23]. Lymphocytes play a crucial role in anti-tumor immune activity. A high level of lymphocyte was revealed to correlate with favorable survival in multiple cancers[24-26]. In our study, the cutoff value for NLR is 1.58. High NLR was recognized as an independent indicator for unfavorable OS and DFS in univariate analysis. The result is in agreement with the previous studies[23].

Platelets were revealed to activate tumor progression by secreting cellular growth factors such as platelet-derived growth factor (PDGF), vascular endothelial growth factor (VEGF) [27]. Moreover, it is reported platelets-cancer interactions activate tumor angiogenesis, progression, and metastasis[28]. Thrombocytosis is revealed to correlate with poor survival of multiple cancers[29]. Nevertheless, platelet activity is not only determined by platelet count, but also platelet size. A large platelet was considered active and capable of more granules. PDW, an index that reveals the variation in platelet size, was founded to reflect platelet activity comprehensively[30]. Additionally, PDW was also confirmed to be associated with cancer prognosis in several cancers[14-18]. Currently, a significant association was detected between PDW and survival, including both OS and DFS, which is in accordance with previous studies.

Elevated NLR and PDW may reveal the imbalance tilted toward pro-tumor inflammation. coNLR-PDW index, proposed in Song's study, had been demonstrated to be adversely associated with survival of non-metastatic colorectal cancer [31]. Compared with NLR or PDW, coNLR-PDW is considered more comprehensive and sensitive. To our knowledge, coNLR-PDW has not yet been explored in HCC. In the current study, significant relationships were revealed between coNLR-PDW groups and clinicopathologic characteristics, such as cirrhosis, tumor size, and Child-Pugh grade, which show consistency between coNLR-PDW score and tumor progression. Furthermore, as indicated by Kaplan-Meier analysis and log-rank test, coNLR-PDW can be utilized to predict survival for resectable HCC patients. The higher coNLR-PDW score predicts more inferior OS and DFS, which is consistent with the results in the subgroups of AFP and BCLC stage. Finally, the coNLR-PDW score was recognized as independent prognostic markers for both OS and DFS of resectable HCC patients according to the univariate and multivariate analysis. Taken these into consideration, the coNLR-PDW score is considered as a promising prognostic biomarker in resectable hepatocellular carcinoma.

We have to admit that there exist several limitations in our study. First, this is a retrospective study from a single institution, so a potential bias is inevitable. Second, the inflammatory indicators are not only affected by tumors but also affected by other factors, such as geographical and ethnic differences. Third, the study was mainly carried out on a hepatitis B population, and the proposed novel score may not apply to the HCC population having other etiology in the background. Lastly, some biomarkers, such as CRP, were not routinely tested in our center. Thus, they were not included in the analysis.

Declarations

Availability of data and materials

Data used to support the results of this study can be obtained from the corresponding author.

Ethics approval and consent to participate

Informed consent was obtained from all patients participating in the study, and the study was approved by the Ethics Committee of the Third Affiliated Hospital of Sun Yat-sen University.

Consent for publication

Not applicable.

Competing interests

The authors declare no conflict of interest.

Funding

None

Author contributions

Weihaio Kong, Ya Cheng, Tianxing Dai, and Jian Zhang collected data and provided statistical consultation. Weihaio Kong, Mingwei Yang, and Jianfeng Zhang were responsible for analyzing data and writing manuscripts. Guoying Wang and Jianlin Zhang have designed research, and revised the manuscript.

Acknowledgments

None

References

1. Torre LA, Bray F, Siegel RL, Ferlay J, Lortet-Tieulent J, Jemal A. Global cancer statistics, 2012. *Cancer J Clin.* 2015;65(2):87–108.
2. Coussens LM, Werb Z. Inflammation and cancer. *Nature.* 2002;420(6917):860–7.
3. Hanahan D, Weinberg RA. Hallmarks of cancer: the next generation. *Cell.* 2011;144(5):646–74.
4. Ni XC, Yi Y, Fu YP, He HW, Cai XY, Wang JX, Zhou J, Cheng YF, Jin JJ, Fan J, et al. Prognostic Value of the Modified Glasgow Prognostic Score in Patients Undergoing Radical Surgery for Hepatocellular Carcinoma. *Medicine.* 2015;94(36):e1486.
5. Dolan RD, McSorley ST, Horgan PG, Laird B, McMillan DC: **The role of the systemic inflammatory response in predicting outcomes in patients with advanced inoperable cancer. Systematic review and meta-analysis.** *Critical reviews in oncology/hematology* 2017, **116**:134–146.
6. Huang W, Wang S, Zhang H, Zhang B, Wang C. Prognostic significance of combined fibrinogen concentration and neutrophil-to-lymphocyte ratio in patients with resectable non-small cell lung cancer. *Cancer biology medicine.* 2018;15(1):88–96.
7. Zhou Q, Huang F, He Z, Zuo MZ. Clinicopathological and prognostic significance of platelet count in patients with ovarian cancer. *Climacteric: the journal of the International Menopause Society.* 2018;21(1):60–8.
8. Rao XD, Zhang H, Xu ZS, Cheng H, Shen W, Wang XP: **Poor prognostic role of the pretreatment platelet counts in colorectal cancer. A meta-analysis.** *Medicine* 2018, **97**(23):e10831.
9. Chen S, Na N, Jian Z: **Pretreatment platelet count as a prognostic factor in patients with pancreatic cancer: a systematic review and meta-analysis.** *OncoTargets and therapy* 2018, **11**:59–65.
10. Abu-Zaid A, Alomar O, Salem H. Preoperative Elevated Platelet Count as a Prognostic Factor in Vulvar Squamous Cell Cancer: A Mini-review. *Cureus.* 2018;10(3):e2279.

11. Long Y, Wang T, Gao Q, Zhou C. Prognostic significance of pretreatment elevated platelet count in patients with colorectal cancer: a meta-analysis. *Oncotarget*. 2016;7(49):81849–61.
12. Zhang X, Ran Y: **Prognostic role of elevated platelet count in patients with lung cancer: a systematic review and meta-analysis**. *International journal of clinical and experimental medicine* 2015, **8**(4):5379–5387.
13. Gu L, Li H, Gao Y, Ma X, Chen L, Li X, Zhang Y, Fan Y, Zhang X: **The association of platelet count with clinicopathological significance and prognosis in renal cell carcinoma: a systematic review and meta-analysis**. *PloS one* 2015, **10**(5):e0125538.
14. Cheng S, Han F, Wang Y, Xu Y, Qu T, Ju Y, Lu Z. The red distribution width and the platelet distribution width as prognostic predictors in gastric cancer. *BMC Gastroenterol*. 2017;17(1):163.
15. Li N, Diao Z, Huang X, Niu Y, Liu T, Liu ZP, Wang RT, Yu KJ. Increased platelet distribution width predicts poor prognosis in melanoma patients. *Scientific reports*. 2017;7(1):2970.
16. Takeuchi H, Abe M, Takumi Y, Hashimoto T, Kobayashi R, Osoegawa A, Miyawaki M, Okamoto T, Sugio K. The prognostic impact of the platelet distribution width-to-platelet count ratio in patients with breast cancer. *PloS one*. 2017;12(12):e0189166.
17. Xie X, Zeng X, Cao S, Hu X, Shi Q, Li D, Zhou S, Gu P, Zhang Z. Elevated pretreatment platelet distribution width and platelet count predict poor prognosis in nasopharyngeal carcinoma. *Oncotarget*. 2017;8(62):106089–97.
18. Zhang H, Liu L, Fu S, Liu YS, Wang C, Liu T, Liu ZP, Wang RT, Yu KJ. Higher platelet distribution width predicts poor prognosis in laryngeal cancer. *Oncotarget*. 2017;8(29):48138–44.
19. Bray F, Ferlay J, Soerjomataram I, Siegel RL, Torre LA, Jemal A. Global cancer statistics 2018: GLOBOCAN estimates of incidence and mortality worldwide for 36 cancers in 185 countries. *Cancer J Clin*. 2018;68(6):394–424.
20. Balkwill F, Mantovani A. Inflammation and cancer: back to Virchow? *Lancet*. 2001;357(9255):539–45.
21. Grivennikov SI, Greten FR, Karin M. Immunity, inflammation, and cancer. *Cell*. 2010;140(6):883–99.
22. Ji F, Liang Y, Fu SJ, Guo ZY, Shu M, Shen SL, Li SQ, Peng BG, Liang LJ, Hua YP. A novel and accurate predictor of survival for patients with hepatocellular carcinoma after surgical resection: the neutrophil to lymphocyte ratio (NLR) combined with the aspartate aminotransferase/platelet count ratio index (APRI). *BMC Cancer*. 2016;16:137.
23. Zheng J, Cai J, Li H, Zeng K, He L, Fu H, Zhang J, Chen L, Yao J, Zhang Y, et al. Neutrophil to Lymphocyte Ratio and Platelet to Lymphocyte Ratio as Prognostic Predictors for Hepatocellular Carcinoma Patients with Various Treatments: a Meta-Analysis and Systematic Review. *Cellular physiology biochemistry: international journal of experimental cellular physiology biochemistry pharmacology*. 2017;44(3):967–81.
24. Galon J, Costes A, Sanchez-Cabo F, Kirilovsky A, Mlecnik B, Lagorce-Pages C, Tosolini M, Camus M, Berger A, Wind P, et al. Type, density, and location of immune cells within human colorectal tumors predict clinical outcome. *Science*. 2006;313(5795):1960–4.
25. Swann JB, Smyth MJ. Immune surveillance of tumors. *J Clin Investig*. 2007;117(5):1137–46.
26. Laghi L, Bianchi P, Miranda E, Balladore E, Pacetti V, Grizzi F, Allavena P, Torri V, Repici A, Santoro A, et al. CD3 + cells at the invasive margin of deeply invading (pT3-T4) colorectal cancer and risk of post-surgical metastasis: a longitudinal study. *The Lancet Oncology*. 2009;10(9):877–84.

27. Bambace NM, Holmes CE. The platelet contribution to cancer progression. *Journal of thrombosis haemostasis: JTH*. 2011;9(2):237–49.
28. Goubran HA, Stakiw J, Radosevic M, Burnouf T. Platelet-cancer interactions. *Semin Thromb Hemost*. 2014;40(3):296–305.
29. Ishizuka M, Nagata H, Takagi K, Iwasaki Y, Kubota K. Combination of platelet count and neutrophil to lymphocyte ratio is a useful predictor of postoperative survival in patients with colorectal cancer. *British journal of cancer*. 2013;109(2):401–7.
30. Xia W, Chen W, Tu J, Ni C, Meng K. Prognostic Value and Clinicopathologic Features of Platelet Distribution Width in Cancer: A Meta-Analysis. *Medical science monitor: international medical journal of experimental clinical research*. 2018;24:7130–6.
31. Song X, Zhu H, Pei Q, Tan F, Li C, Zhou Z, Zhou Y, Yu N, Li Y, Pei H. Significance of inflammation-based indices in the prognosis of patients with non-metastatic colorectal cancer. *Oncotarget*. 2017;8(28):45178–89.

Conclusion

CoNLR-PDW, which bears a significant association with survival, can serve as a valuable and useful biomarker for resectable HCC.

Declarations

Acknowledgments

None

Author contributions

Weihaio Kong, Ya Cheng, Tianxing Dai, and Jian Zhang collected data and provided statistical consultation. Weihaio Kong, Mingwei Yang, and Jianfeng Zhang were responsible for analyzing data and writing manuscripts. Guoying Wang and Jianlin Zhang have designed research, and revised the manuscript.

Funding

None

Availability of data and materials

Data used to support the results of this study can be obtained from the corresponding author.

Ethics approval and consent to participate

Informed consent was obtained from all patients participating in the study, and the study was approved by the Ethics Committee of the Third Affiliated Hospital of Sun Yat-sen University.

Consent for publication

Not applicable.

Competing interests

The authors declare no conflict of interest.

References

1. Torre LA, Bray F, Siegel RL, Ferlay J, Lortet-Tieulent J, Jemal A: Global cancer statistics, 2012. *CA: a cancer journal for clinicians* 2015, 65(2):87-108.
2. Coussens LM, Werb Z: Inflammation and cancer. *Nature* 2002, 420(6917):860-867.
3. Hanahan D, Weinberg RA: Hallmarks of cancer: the next generation. *Cell* 2011, 144(5):646-674.
4. Ni XC, Yi Y, Fu YP, He HW, Cai XY, Wang JX, Zhou J, Cheng YF, Jin JJ, Fan J *et al*: Prognostic Value of the Modified Glasgow Prognostic Score in Patients Undergoing Radical Surgery for Hepatocellular Carcinoma. *Medicine* 2015, 94(36):e1486.
5. Dolan RD, McSorley ST, Horgan PG, Laird B, McMillan DC: The role of the systemic inflammatory response in predicting outcomes in patients with advanced inoperable cancer: Systematic review and meta-analysis. *Critical reviews in oncology/hematology* 2017, 116:134-146.
6. Huang W, Wang S, Zhang H, Zhang B, Wang C: Prognostic significance of combined fibrinogen concentration and neutrophil-to-lymphocyte ratio in patients with resectable non-small cell lung cancer. *Cancer biology & medicine* 2018, 15(1):88-96.
7. Zhou Q, Huang F, He Z, Zuo MZ: Clinicopathological and prognostic significance of platelet count in patients with ovarian cancer. *Climacteric : the journal of the International Menopause Society* 2018, 21(1):60-68.
8. Rao XD, Zhang H, Xu ZS, Cheng H, Shen W, Wang XP: Poor prognostic role of the pretreatment platelet counts in colorectal cancer: A meta-analysis. *Medicine* 2018, 97(23):e10831.
9. Chen S, Na N, Jian Z: Pretreatment platelet count as a prognostic factor in patients with pancreatic cancer: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *OncoTargets and therapy* 2018, 11:59-65.
10. Abu-Zaid A, Alomar O, Salem H: Preoperative Elevated Platelet Count as a Prognostic Factor in Vulvar Squamous Cell Cancer: A Mini-review. *Cureus* 2018, 10(3):e2279.
11. Long Y, Wang T, Gao Q, Zhou C: Prognostic significance of pretreatment elevated platelet count in patients with colorectal cancer: a meta-analysis. *Oncotarget* 2016, 7(49):81849-81861.
12. Zhang X, Ran Y: Prognostic role of elevated platelet count in patients with lung cancer: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *International journal of clinical and experimental medicine* 2015, 8(4):5379-5387.
13. Gu L, Li H, Gao Y, Ma X, Chen L, Li X, Zhang Y, Fan Y, Zhang X: The association of platelet count with clinicopathological significance and prognosis in renal cell carcinoma: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *PloS one* 2015, 10(5):e0125538.
14. Cheng S, Han F, Wang Y, Xu Y, Qu T, Ju Y, Lu Z: The red distribution width and the platelet distribution width as prognostic predictors in gastric cancer. *BMC gastroenterology* 2017, 17(1):163.
15. Li N, Diao Z, Huang X, Niu Y, Liu T, Liu ZP, Wang RT, Yu KJ: Increased platelet distribution width predicts poor prognosis in melanoma patients. *Scientific reports* 2017, 7(1):2970.

16. Takeuchi H, Abe M, Takumi Y, Hashimoto T, Kobayashi R, Osoegawa A, Miyawaki M, Okamoto T, Sugio K: The prognostic impact of the platelet distribution width-to-platelet count ratio in patients with breast cancer. *PloS one* 2017, 12(12):e0189166.
17. Xie X, Zeng X, Cao S, Hu X, Shi Q, Li D, Zhou S, Gu P, Zhang Z: Elevated pretreatment platelet distribution width and platelet count predict poor prognosis in nasopharyngeal carcinoma. *Oncotarget* 2017, 8(62):106089-106097.
18. Zhang H, Liu L, Fu S, Liu YS, Wang C, Liu T, Liu ZP, Wang RT, Yu KJ: Higher platelet distribution width predicts poor prognosis in laryngeal cancer. *Oncotarget* 2017, 8(29):48138-48144.
19. Bray F, Ferlay J, Soerjomataram I, Siegel RL, Torre LA, Jemal A: Global cancer statistics 2018: GLOBOCAN estimates of incidence and mortality worldwide for 36 cancers in 185 countries. *CA: a cancer journal for clinicians* 2018, 68(6):394-424.
20. Balkwill F, Mantovani A: Inflammation and cancer: back to Virchow? *Lancet (London, England)* 2001, 357(9255):539-545.
21. Grivennikov SI, Greten FR, Karin M: Immunity, inflammation, and cancer. *Cell* 2010, 140(6):883-899.
22. Ji F, Liang Y, Fu SJ, Guo ZY, Shu M, Shen SL, Li SQ, Peng BG, Liang LJ, Hua YP: A novel and accurate predictor of survival for patients with hepatocellular carcinoma after surgical resection: the neutrophil to lymphocyte ratio (NLR) combined with the aspartate aminotransferase/platelet count ratio index (APRI). *BMC cancer* 2016, 16:137.
23. Zheng J, Cai J, Li H, Zeng K, He L, Fu H, Zhang J, Chen L, Yao J, Zhang Y *et al*: Neutrophil to Lymphocyte Ratio and Platelet to Lymphocyte Ratio as Prognostic Predictors for Hepatocellular Carcinoma Patients with Various Treatments: a Meta-Analysis and Systematic Review. *Cellular physiology and biochemistry : international journal of experimental cellular physiology, biochemistry, and pharmacology* 2017, 44(3):967-981.
24. Galon J, Costes A, Sanchez-Cabo F, Kirilovsky A, Mlecnik B, Lagorce-Pages C, Tosolini M, Camus M, Berger A, Wind P *et al*: Type, density, and location of immune cells within human colorectal tumors predict clinical outcome. *Science (New York, NY)* 2006, 313(5795):1960-1964.
25. Swann JB, Smyth MJ: Immune surveillance of tumors. *The Journal of clinical investigation* 2007, 117(5):1137-1146.
26. Laghi L, Bianchi P, Miranda E, Balladore E, Pacetti V, Grizzi F, Allavena P, Torri V, Repici A, Santoro A *et al*: CD3+ cells at the invasive margin of deeply invading (pT3-T4) colorectal cancer and risk of post-surgical metastasis: a longitudinal study. *The Lancet Oncology* 2009, 10(9):877-884.
27. Bambace NM, Holmes CE: The platelet contribution to cancer progression. *Journal of thrombosis and haemostasis : JTH* 2011, 9(2):237-249.
28. Goubran HA, Stakiw J, Radosevic M, Burnouf T: Platelet-cancer interactions. *Seminars in thrombosis and hemostasis* 2014, 40(3):296-305.
29. Ishizuka M, Nagata H, Takagi K, Iwasaki Y, Kubota K: Combination of platelet count and neutrophil to lymphocyte ratio is a useful predictor of postoperative survival in patients with colorectal cancer. *British journal of cancer* 2013, 109(2):401-407.
30. Xia W, Chen W, Tu J, Ni C, Meng K: Prognostic Value and Clinicopathologic Features of Platelet Distribution Width in Cancer: A Meta-Analysis. *Medical science monitor : international medical journal of experimental*

and clinical research 2018, 24:7130-7136.

31. Song X, Zhu H, Pei Q, Tan F, Li C, Zhou Z, Zhou Y, Yu N, Li Y, Pei H: Significance of inflammation-based indices in the prognosis of patients with non-metastatic colorectal cancer. *Oncotarget* 2017, 8(28):45178-45189.

Tables

Table 1. The clinicopathologic characteristics and hematological parameters of the included HCC patients

Variables	Number of patients		
		Age, <60/≥60 years	194/56
Gender, male/female	225/25		
HBsAg, negative/positive	29/221		
Cirrhosis, no/yes	79/171		
Tumor size, ≤5/>5 cm	137/113		
Tumor number, single/multiple	179/71		
AFP, <400/≥400 ng/ml	170/80		
Vascular invasion, no/yes	146/104		
Child-Pugh grade, A/B	235/15		
BCLC, 0/A/B/C	19/79/48/104		
Differentiation, well/moderate/poor	41/189/20		
PT, <13.7/≥13.7s	135/115		
ALT, <34/≥34 U/L	100/150		
TB, <12.5/≥12.5 umol/L	91/159		
GGT, <49/≥49 U/L	98/152		
ALB, <39.0/≥39.0 g/L	103/147		
MPV, <11.2/≥11.2 fL	186/64		
PDW, <13.0/≥13.0 fL	159/91		
NLR, <1.59/≥1.59	68/182		
PLR, <96.0/≥96.0	109/141		
MLR, <0.31/≥0.31	158/92		

Notes: AFP : alpha fetoprotein; BCLC : Barcelona Clinic Liver Cancer; PT : prothrombin time; ALT: Alanine transaminase; TB: total bilirubin; GGT: gamma-glutamyl transpeptidase; ALB: albumin; MPV: mean platelet

volume; PDW: platelet distribution width; NLR: neutrophil to lymphocyte ratio; PLR: platelet to lymphocyte ratio; MLR: monocyte to lymphocyte ratio.

Table 2. Correlation between coNLR-PDW and clinicopathological features or hematological parameters

Variables	co NLR- PDW 0	co NLR- PDW 1	co NLR- PDW 2	P- value	Age, <60/ ≥60 years	31/11	108/35	55/10	0.281
Gender, male/female	36/6	129/14	60/5	0.536					
HBsAg, negative/positive	5/37	17/126	7/58	0.971					
Cirrhosis, no/yes	21/21	42/99	16/44	0.004					
Tumor size, ≤5/>5 cm	31/11	69/74	37/28	0.013					
Tumor number, single/multiple	32/10	99/44	48/17	0.609					
AFP, <400/ ≥400ng/ml	26/16	98/45	46/19	0.617					
Vascular invasion, no/yes	28/14	77/66	41/24	0.225					
Child-Pugh grade, A/B	41/1	137/6	57/8	0.041					
BCLC, 0-A/ B-C	23/19	50/93	25/40	0.069					
Differentiation, well moderate/poor	40/2	130/13	60/5	0.658					
PT, <13.7/ ≥13.7s	23/19	81/62	31/34	0.484					
ALT, <34/≥ 34 U/L	21/21	52/91	27/38	0.272					
TB, <12.5/≥12.5 umol/L	19/23	56/87	16/49	0.055					
GGT, <49/≥49 U/L	24/18	50/93	24/41	0.032					
ALB, <39.0/ ≥39.0 g/L	17/25	57/86	29/36	0.807					
MPV, <11.2/ ≥11.2 fL	41/1	123/20	22/43	<0.001					
PDW, <13.0/ ≥13.0 fL	42/0	117/26	0/65	<0.001					
NLR, <1.59/ ≥1.59	42/0	26/117	0/65	<0.001					
PLR, <96.0/ ≥96.0	28/14	55/88	26/39	0.004					

MLR, <0.31/ ≥0.31	37/5	87/56	34/31	0.001
----------------------	------	-------	-------	--------------

Notes: AFP : alpha fetoprotein; BCLC : Barcelona Clinic Liver Cancer; PT : prothrombin time; ALTAlanine transaminase; TB: total bilirubin; GGT: gamma-glutamyl transpeptidase; ALB: albumin; MPV: mean platelet volume; PDW: platelet distribution width; NLR: neutrophil to lymphocyte ratio; PLR: platelet to lymphocyte ratio; MLR: monocyte to lymphocyte ratio; coNLR-PDW: combination of NLR and PDW.

Table 3. Univariate and multivariate analyses for OS

Variable	Univariate analysis			Multivariate analysis		
	p	Hazard ratio	95%confidence interval	p	Hazard ratio	95%confidence interval
Age(years)(≥60 versus <60)	0.066	0.380	0.136-1.065			
Gender (female versus male)	0.783	0.865	0.308-2.431			
HBsAg (yes versus no)	0.184	2.621	0.633-10.850			
Cirrhosis (yes versus no)	0.652	1.153	0.621-2.140			
Tumor size(>5 versus ≤5)	0.002	2.664	1.424-4.983	-		
Tumor number (multiple versus single)	0.087	1.726	0.924-3.223			
AFP(≥400 versus <400)	0.007	2.310	1.253-4.258	0.005	2.456	1.317-4.581
Vascular invasion (yes versus no)	0.007	2.327	1.261-4.293	-		
Child-Pugh grade (B versus A)	0.351	0.389	0.053-2.828			
BCLC Stage(B+C versus 0+A)	0.010	2.543	1.248-5.181	-		
Differentiation(poor versus well/moderate)	0.285	1.666	0.653-4.247			
PT (≥13.7 versus <13.7)	0.094	0.578	0.304-1.099			
ALT (≥ 34 versus <34)	0.037	2.082	1.046-4.146	-		
TB (≥12.5 versus <12.5)	0.230	0.688	0.373-1.268			
GGT (≥49 versus <49)	0.001	3.825	1.697-8.626	0.001	3.957	1.734-9.030
ALB (≥39.0 versus <39.0)	0.201	1.548	0.792-3.028			
MPV (≥11.2 versus <11.2)	0.424	1.300	0.684-2.470			
PDW (≥13.0 versus <13.0)	<0.001	3.384	1.796-6.376	-		
NLR (≥1.59 versus<1.59)	0.024	2.926	1.149-7.450	-		
PLR (≥96.0 versus <96.0)	0.007	2.585	1.297-5.151	0.019	2.310	1.146-4.658
MLR (≥0.31 versus <0.31)	0.001	2.714	1.472-5.002	-		
co NLR-PDW	<0.001	3.342	1.960-5.699	<0.001	3.674	2.092-6.452

Notes: AFP : alpha fetoprotein; BCLC : Barcelona Clinic Liver Cancer; PT : prothrombin time; ALT Alanine transaminase; TB: total bilirubin; GGT: gamma-glutamyl transpeptidase; ALB: albumin; MPV: mean platelet volume; PDW: platelet distribution width; NLR: neutrophil to lymphocyte ratio; PLR: platelet to lymphocyte ratio; MLR: monocyte to lymphocyte ratio; coNLR-PDW: combination of NLR and PDW; OS: overall survival.

Table 4. Univariate and multivariate analyses for DFS

Variable	Univariate analysis			Multivariate analysis		
	p	Hazard ratio	95%confidence interval	p	Hazard ratio	95%confidence interval
Age(≥60 versus <60)	0.355	0.819	0.537-1.250			
Gender (female versus male)	0.437	0.783	0.422-1.452			
HBsAg (yes versus no)	0.345	1.306	0.750-2.274			
Cirrhosis (yes versus no)	0.405	1.154	0.823-1.618			
Tumor size (>5 versus ≤5)	<0.001	2.332	1.650-3.296	<0.001	2.166	1.523-3.082
Tumor number (multiple versus single)	<0.001	2.198	1.551-3.114	<0.001	2.229	1.569-3.166
AFP(≥400 versus <400)	0.044	1.452	1.010-2.087	-		
Vascular invasion (yes versus no)	<0.001	2.095	1.485-2.955	-		
Child-Pugh grade (B versus A)	0.067	1.746	0.963-3.166			
BCLC Stage(B+C versus 0+A)	<0.001	2.893	1.932-4.332	-		
Differentiation (poor versus well/moderate)	0.112	1.568	0.900-2.730			
PT (≥13.7 versus <13.7)	0.326	0.841	0.595-1.188			
ALT (≥ 34 versus <34)	0.100	1.347	0.944-1.920			
TB (≥12.5 versus <12.5)	0.889	1.026	0.719-1.463			
GGT (≥49 versus <49)	<0.001	2.084	1.431-3.036	-		
ALB (≥39.0 versus <39.0)	0.437	0.871	0.616-1.233			
MPV (≥13.0 versus <13.0)	0.228	1.257	0.867-1.822			
PDW (≥13.0 versus <13.0)	<0.001	2.174	1.542-3.064	-		
NLR (≥1.59 versus<1.59)	<0.001	2.445	1.518-3.938	-		
PLR (≥96.0 versus <96.0)	0.054	1.409	0.993-1.997			
MLR (≥0.31 versus <0.31)	<0.001	2.245	1.594-3.163	0.001	1.800	1.271-2.549
co NLR-PDW	<0.001	2.292	1.737-3.024	<0.001	2.166	1.523-3.082

Notes: AFP : alpha fetoprotein; BCLC : Barcelona Clinic Liver Cancer; PT : prothrombin time; ALT:Alanine transaminase; TB: total bilirubin; GGT: gamma-glutamyl transpeptidase; ALB: albumin; MPV: mean platelet volume; PDW: platelet distribution width; NLR: neutrophil to lymphocyte ratio; PLR: platelet to lymphocyte ratio; MLR: monocyte to lymphocyte ratio; coNLR-PDW: combination of NLR and PDW; DFS: disease free survival.

Figures

Figure 1

Receiver operating characteristic curve analysis of NLR and PDW. A: NLR is represented by the blue line, the optimal cutoff value is 1.59, and the area under the curve (AUC) is 0.592. B: PDW is represented by the blue line. similarly, the optimal cutoff value is 13.0, and the AUC is 0.629.

Figure 2

OS and DFS curves for patients with whole resectable HCC patients A: Overall survival curves of the whole cohort B: Disease-free survival curves of the whole cohort

Figure 3

OS and DFS curves for patients with whole resectable HCC patients A: Overall survival curves of the whole cohort B: Disease-free survival curves of the whole cohort

Figure 4

OS and DFS curves stratified by coNLR-PDW in AFP subgroup (A, C): Patients with higher coNLR-PDW score had poorer OS ($P < 0.0001$) and DFS ($P < 0.0001$) in AFP <400ng/ml subgroup (B, D): Patients with higher coNLR-PDW score had poorer OS ($P = 0.0177$) and DFS ($P = 0.0003$) in AFP ≥400ng/ml

Figure 5

OS and DFS curves stratified by coNLR-PDW in BCLC stage subgroup (A, C): Patients with higher coNLR-PDW score had poorer OS ($P = 0.0352$) and DFS ($P < 0.0001$) in BCLC 0-A subgroup (B, D): Patients with higher coNLR-PDW score had poorer OS ($P = 0.0002$) and DFS ($P = 0.0005$) in BCLC B-C subgroup