

# Global Surgery indicators and pediatric hydrocephalus: a multicenter cross-country comparative study building the case for health systems strengthening

**Kevin Paul Ferraris** (✉ [kpferraris@gmail.com](mailto:kpferraris@gmail.com))

Jose R Reyes Memorial Medical Center <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-0919-6658>

**Eric Paolo Palabyab**

José R. Reyes Memorial Medical Center: Jose R Reyes Memorial Medical Center

**Sergei Kim**

FSBI Federal Neurosurgical Center of the Ministry of Health of the Russian Federation: FGBU Federal'nyj centr neirohirurgii Ministerstva zdravooohranenia RF

**Hideaki Matsumura**

University of Tsukuba School of Medicine: Tsukuba Daigaku Igaku Iryokei

**Maria Eufemia Yap**

ThinkWell Global

**Venus Oliva Cloma-Rosales**

101Health Research

**German Letyagin**

FSBI Federal Neurosurgical Center of the Ministry of Health of the Russian Federation: FGBU Federal'nyj centr neirohirurgii Ministerstva zdravooohranenia RF

**Ai Muroi**

University of Tsukuba Faculty of Medicine: Tsukuba Daigaku Igaku Iryokei

**Ronnie Baticulon**

University of the Philippines Manila College of Medicine

**Jose Carlos Alcazaren**

Jose R Reyes Memorial Medical Center

**Kenny Seng**

University of the Philippines Manila College of Medicine

**Joseph Erroll Navarro**

Jose R Reyes Memorial Medical Center

**Keywords:** global pediatric neurosurgery, surgical systems, LMIC

**Posted Date:** April 14th, 2021

**DOI:** <https://doi.org/10.21203/rs.3.rs-307145/v1>

**License:**   This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License.

[Read Full License](#)

---

**Version of Record:** A version of this preprint was published at Frontiers in Surgery on August 26th, 2021. See the published version at <https://doi.org/10.3389/fsurg.2021.704346>.

# Abstract

**Purpose:** The aim of this study is to compare specific three-institution, cross-country data that are relevant to the Global Surgery indicators and the functioning of health systems.

**Methods:** We retrospectively reviewed clinical and socioeconomic characteristics of pediatric patients who underwent CSF diversion surgery for hydrocephalus in three different centers: University of Tsukuba Hospital in Ibaraki, Japan (HIC), Jose R. Reyes Memorial Medical Center in Manila, Philippines (LMIC), and the Federal Neurosurgical Center in Novosibirsk, Russia (UMIC). The outcomes of interest were timing of CSF diversion surgery and mortality. Statistical tests included descriptive statistics, Cox proportional hazards model, and logistic regression. Nation-level data were also obtained to provide the relevant socioeconomic contexts in discussing the results.

**Results:** In total, 159 children were included—13 from Japan, 99 from the Philippines, and 47 from the Russian Federation. The median time to surgery at the specific neurosurgical centers were 6 days in the Philippines and 1 day in both Japan and Russia. For the cohort from the Philippines, non-poor patients were more likely to receive CSF diversion surgery at an earlier time (HR=4.74, 95%CI 2.34–9.61,  $p<0.001$ ). In the same center, those with infantile or post-hemorrhagic hydrocephalus (HR=3.72, 95%CI 1.70–8.15,  $p=0.001$ ) were more likely to receive CSF diversion earlier compared to those with congenital hydrocephalus, and those with post-infectious (HR=0.39, 95%CI 0.22–0.70,  $p=0.002$ ) or myelomeningocele-associated hydrocephalus (HR=0.46, 95%CI 0.22–0.95,  $p=0.037$ ) were less likely to undergo surgery at an earlier time. For Russia, older patients were more likely to receive or require early CSF diversion (HR=1.07, 95%CI 1.01–1.14,  $p=0.035$ ). EVD insertion was found to be associated with mortality (cOR 14.45, 95% CI 1.28–162.97,  $p = 0.031$ ).

**Conclusion:** In this study, Filipino children underwent late time-interval of CSF diversion surgery and had mortality differences compared to their Japanese and Russian counterparts. These disparities may reflect on the functioning of the respective country's health systems.

## Introduction

The Global Surgery movement has ushered in an awareness of the existing inequities in surgical care the world over [1-4]. A call was made for nation-level solutions in improving access to essential surgery, in order to ultimately achieve health, welfare, and economic development by year 2030 [1]. The Global Surgery indicators—with corresponding working definitions and targets for countries—became the standardized metrics by which the extent of the problem in a country's healthcare system can be defined and elucidated in relation to surgical processes and outcomes [5]. These six core indicators are: 1) access to timely essential surgery, 2) specialist surgical workforce density, 3) surgical volume, 4) perioperative mortality, and protection against 5) impoverishing and 6) catastrophic expenditures.

### Outcome Disparities Borne from Country of Origin and Social Determinants

Few studies have examined the association between patient-level socioeconomic factors and outcomes following treatment for pediatric hydrocephalus [6-8]—and these studies are mostly situated in a single country. And yet, neurosurgical outcomes are also affected by systemic factors particularly the availability or absence of resources and investments within a health system. Cross-country disparities in patient outcomes are especially apparent in diseases like stroke and cancer [9,10]. The worse outcomes appear to be explained not only by patient factors but also by the perioperative care systems situated in a given hospital or country. The level of functionality of a country's health system—which depends on economic infrastructure [11], public policy [12,13], responsive governance [14] and effective financing [15] arrangements—can in turn mitigate barriers and increase access to neurosurgical care. In this respect, the Global Surgery indicators can become useful in assessing and providing insight into the effectiveness and responsiveness of the surgical system in a neurosurgical center of a given country.

## **Surgery for Pediatric Hydrocephalus as Situated in Country Contexts**

LMICs have a disproportionately larger case volume of pediatric hydrocephalus than high-income countries (HICs), owing to differences in crude birth rate and incidence of congenital and post-infectious etiologies [11,16]. The greater burden of this disease in LMICs is further compounded by the fact that access to neurosurgical care and resources for health service delivery are limited [11]. As CSF diversion surgery for hydrocephalus is considered a highly equitable and cost-effective bellwether procedure [17,18], exploring the nation-level barriers to this kind of care can provide a snapshot of the functioning of a country's healthcare and surgical systems—and give insight to the disparities in outcomes of patients undergoing the procedure. Using the lens of nation-level social determinants of health and the framework of Global Surgery indicators, we aim to determine whether differences in outcomes exist between specific institutions and countries of varying income levels in relation to the neurosurgical management of all-cause pediatric hydrocephalus.

## **Methods**

### **Study Setting and Population**

After approval by the institutional review boards from the three participating centers, we conducted a retrospective, cross-sectional study across countries of differing income levels. The study was conducted in three neurosurgical centers that are non-children's hospitals: 1) the University of Tsukuba Hospital, in Tsukuba, Ibaraki, Japan, 2) Jose R. Reyes Memorial Medical Center, in Manila, Philippines, and 3) the Federal Center of Neurosurgery, Federal State Budget Institution, in Novosibirsk, Russian Federation. The population included in the study were pediatric patients admitted in a period between January 1, 2019 to December 31, 2019 at the three centers, and who had either obstructive or communicating hydrocephalus with etiologies including the following, but not limited to: infantile-posthemorrhagic, post-infectious, congenital-structural, associated or related to central nervous system tumors, or associated with neural tube defects like myelomeningocele. They should have undergone the minimum operation of CSF diversion, that were any one of the following: ventriculoperitoneal shunt (VPS) insertion with or without

revisions, external ventricular drain (EVD) insertion, endoscopic third ventriculostomy (ETV), Ommaya reservoir insertion, or combinations thereof. Children with hydrocephalus but who underwent another surgery without or other than CSF diversion were excluded.

## **Study Variables and Other Data**

Patient-level variables consisted of clinical characteristics of individual patients collected from chart review. The outcome variables were patient-level covariates 1) time from admission-to-surgery and 2) perioperative mortality, while the explanatory variables included patient age, sex, socioeconomic status, type of hydrocephalus, timing of CSF diversion, and type of CSF diversion surgery. The time to CSF diversion surgery was the outcome of interest for cross-country comparison of institutions and was subdivided into descriptive categories based on the reasonable timeframes of hydrocephalus management by Mansouri and colleagues [19]. Nation-level data consisted of country-specific metrics of health-system functions based on Global Surgery indicators, as well as surrogate measures of the economy and growth. Secondary data from the World Bank [20], literature on global health [21-24], and other studies of each country's health systems [25-27] were obtained for comparison. However, these nation-level data were not subjected to the statistical analyses.

## **Statistical Analysis**

Descriptive statistics was used to summarize the general and clinical characteristics of the participants. Frequency and proportion were used for categorical variables. Shapiro-Wilk test was used to determine the normality distribution of continuous variables. Continuous quantitative data that did not meet the normality assumption of distribution were described using median and range. For timing of CSF diversion surgery as a time-to-event variable, Cox proportional hazards model was used to plot the Kaplan-Meier curves. For perioperative mortality as a dichotomous variable, logistic regression was done. The final results from regression were presented as hazard ratios and odds ratios with their associated confidence intervals. Missing data were neither replaced nor estimated. Null hypothesis was rejected at 0.05 $\alpha$ -level of significance. Stata 15.0 (StataCorp, College Station, TX, US) was used for data analysis.

# **Results**

## **Clinical and Socioeconomic Characteristics**

The medical records of 159 children—99 (62%) from the Philippines, 47 (30%) from Russia and 13 (8%) from Japan—were reviewed (Table 1). Their median age was 2.4 (range 0-17) years, with proportions of sexes roughly similar. Most children in the Philippines belonged to poor households (77%), whereas 72% and 100% of the Russian and Japanese patients came from non-poor families, respectively. Almost 6 in 10 hydrocephalus cases in the Philippines were either post-infectious, congenital, or tumor-related. About 7 in 10 of those in Russia were infantile/post hemorrhagic (49%) or tumor-related (21%). Two-thirds of the cases from Japan were congenital (38%) or infantile/post hemorrhagic (23%) hydrocephalus. VPS insertion was the most common procedure for CSF diversion in the Philippine center (92%). Likewise in

the Russian center, VPS was the surgery for the majority (64%), while varied methods of CSF diversion were done in the Japanese center. The revision rates were 7.7%, 11.1%, and 25.5% in the Japanese, Philippine, and Russian centers, respectively.

### **Primary Outcomes: Timing of CSF Diversion Surgery and Mortality**

For timeliness of access to care, majority of the cases from the Russian (85%) and Japanese (70%) centers were able to access CSF diversion within 2 days of confinement. In the Philippine center, only 36% of patients were able to undergo surgery by the second hospital day. The median time to CSF diversion was 6 days in the Philippine center, whereas it was 1 day for both the Japanese and Russian centers. (Table 2, Figure 1). The delivery of surgical care for pediatric hydrocephalus was significantly more efficient in the Russian center compared to that in the Philippine center (HR=2.94, 95%CI 1.99–4.35,  $p<0.001$ ). In terms of all-cause mortality, three children died in the Philippine cohort (proportion of 3.03, 95%CI 0.63–8.60), but none in the Russian and Japanese cohorts (Table 2).

### **Patient-Level Determinants of Primary Outcomes**

For the cohort from the Philippines, non-poor patients were more likely to receive CSF diversion at an earlier time (HR=4.74, 95%CI 2.34–9.61,  $p<0.001$ ). In the same center, those with infantile or post-hemorrhagic hydrocephalus (HR=3.72, 95%CI 1.70–8.15,  $p=0.001$ ) were more likely to receive CSF diversion earlier compared to those with congenital hydrocephalus, and those with post-infectious (HR=0.39, 95%CI 0.22–0.70,  $p=0.002$ ) or myelomeningocele-associated hydrocephalus (HR=0.46, 95%CI 0.22–0.95,  $p=0.037$ ) were less likely to undergo surgery at an earlier time. For Russia, older patients were more likely to receive or require early CSF diversion (HR=1.07, 95%CI 1.01–1.14,  $p=0.035$ ). For Japan, evidence was insufficient to identify significant factors associated with the timing of CSF diversion (Table 3). Because the cohorts of Japanese and Russian children had zero mortality, only the cohort of Filipino children was analyzed for logistic regression (Table 4). EVD insertion was found to be significantly associated with mortality (cOR 14.45, 95% CI 1.28–162.97,  $p = 0.031$ ).

### **Other Global Surgery Indicators and Social Determinants of Health**

In terms of metrics that represent health-system indicators, the various indices appear to depend and follow the trend of the income level of each respective country. The Philippines as an LMIC, when compared with the higher-income countries (Table 5) has lesser absolute number, proportions, and percentages of the variables that are generally accepted as social determinants of health. In terms of the Global Surgery indicators, Japan has the best neurosurgical workforce density, and provides better financial risk protection as evidenced by low out-of-pocket payment shares and a high universal health coverage (UHC) effective coverage index. The purely neurosurgical center in Russia has the highest neurosurgical volume for the duration of this study period (Table 6).

## **Discussion**

## Hydrocephalus and Treatment Realities in Low-Resource Settings

### Disparities in Health Systems: Workforce, Infrastructure, and Financing

Japan and Russia have surplus resources, better universal health coverage (UHC), and higher public financing for health (Table 5)—borne from deliberate policies of placing a prime value on public health [26,27]—and this becomes important given that increased expenditure on surgery can easily allow the proportional expansion of surgical capacity [1,10,22,33]. Studies that analyzed large patient databases [34,35] have examined the effect of nation-level socioeconomic factors on conditions treated by neurosurgeons. Remick and colleagues [34], after multivariate mixed-effects logistic regression, identified two nation-level variables—physician density and mean GDP growth—as significantly associated with good seizure outcomes following pediatric epilepsy surgery. Similarly, Guha and colleagues [35] identified a higher country GDP and a greater neurosurgeon-to-population density as two nation-level variables that are independent predictors of good outcome following treatment for aneurysmal subarachnoid hemorrhage. The Philippines, in contrast to the two countries, has a lower neurosurgeon workforce density (Table 5), thus expectedly restricting the breadth of access to care for children needing CSF diversion surgery.

The increased likelihood of inability to undergo an early CSF diversion surgery for the Filipino cohort of patients (Figure 1 and Table 2), especially the poor and extremely-poor subsets of Filipino patients, can be explained chiefly by financial barriers [1,5,19,24,33,36]. These barriers—direct and indirect costs relating to treatment—cause economic hardships to the household in which a patient belongs to. Families in which one of the members is a patient needing neurosurgical care are at risk for financial catastrophe and impoverishment, and this is especially true in LMICs like the Philippines [1,24,28]. Furthermore, out-of-pocket expenditures and the risks for catastrophic and impoverishing expenditures are higher in the Philippines than in Japan and in Russia (Table 5). This is particularly disadvantageous given that our results show that a higher socioeconomic status is significantly associated with an earlier time-interval of CSF diversion surgery (Table 3). Financial risk protection, therefore, is important for the acceptability and accessibility of any surgical intervention [33], especially in countries where a significant proportion of the population are poverty-stricken [1,21,24,37]. This necessitates countrywide UHC for health insurance in any form or combination—precisely the kind of social structure that Japan excels at [21,27]—that would subsidize the treatment-related costs incurred by the patients' families. While all patients from the three centers in this study have some form of health insurance coverage, those from the Japanese and Russian neurosurgical centers receive the broad range of inpatient and outpatient services with negligible out-of-pocket expenses after substantial subsidies by public insurance and government funding. These institutional features improve health-seeking behavior and make consultations during initial presentation of disease more likely. Furthermore, Japan leads in having a high UHC Effective Coverage Index (Table 5), in what could be considered as having an effective social safety net that offsets household expenditures against costly neurosurgical management [38]. Financial risk protection is indeed important because inadequate or poor-quality health insurance coverage poses an increased likelihood of poor outcomes following CSF diversion procedures for pediatric patients [7,39].

## **Governance Structures and Social Determinants of Health of a Country**

While a comprehensive review of the health systems of Japan [27], the Philippines [25], and the Russian Federation [26] are beyond the scope of this article, our results show that across the majority of nation-level metrics, the Philippines lags behind Japan and Russia in terms of both the Global Surgery indicators and social determinants of health (Table 5, 6). The provision of adequate standard of care is also shaped by social determinants of health within a country. Several studies have shown that a country's economic robustness and level of resources, i.e., being a HIC, translates into better patient-level outcomes particularly when investments on perioperative care and surgical systems are made [10,22,34-36]. Perennial lack of resources in public hospitals contributes to the inability to provide safe and quality surgical services thus diminishing the capacity to rescue patients from avoidable deaths due to treatment complications. At the level of the neurosurgical centers, effective domestic resource mobilization in healthcare institutions is necessary in securing the health financing needed to achieve improved patient outcomes. Investing in surgical services is therefore paramount, but this responsibility lies beyond the sphere of influence of organized neurosurgery.

## **Policy Work and Resource Management Can Be The Way Forward**

Policies that increase government expenditure on health appear to improve the composite metric that reflects nation-level performance of a health system [22,34]. Advocacy for more strategic policies and investments that address social determinants of health can strengthen governance and financing arrangements [14,15]. These in turn help to re-shape more responsive and equitable health and surgical systems, as certain strategies can be undertaken to reduce variation in the use of surgery [40]. LMICs have the task of providing the full range of a responsive neurosurgical system—from as simple as the availability of shunt catheter kits to more capital-intensive measures such as comprehensive facility development, progressive hospital billing, strategic purchasing, and catastrophic case packages [41]—that all in all, curbs out-of-pocket payments and helps achieve universal health coverage. A multi-level systems approach [12,40] by the involved policymakers can result in improvements in care processes of the surgical and health systems, which in turn result in better quality of care, and upon which hinges the hope of ultimately translating to better patient outcomes.

## **Limitations of the Study and Future Directions**

The study includes patients with considerable heterogeneity in terms of etiology of hydrocephalus. Additionally, the neurosurgical centers are not entirely representative of their countries because of inherent intranational heterogeneity of institutions, especially between the public and private sectors. Selection bias and information bias may have been present because of limitations of a retrospective review. Attributing certain outcomes to a policy when they are in fact owed to unmeasurable variables runs the risk of secular trend bias as well. Due to the limited sample size, limited regression analysis, and the non-randomized and unmatched observational study design, confounding factors and their impact may not have been adequately lessened. Regardless, our study ventures into a cross-country comparison of outcomes and explores issues that are of larger socioeconomic context as related to the granularity of

patient outcomes for a particular disease entity after neurosurgical intervention. If and when the Global Surgery indicator-targets are met, the outcomes of neurosurgical patients in low-resource centers of LMICs after certain policy changes can be compared using the difference-in-differences study design [42]. Finally, the authors look forward to increasing center recruitment or prospectively gathering further primary patient-level data for the next phase or form of the present study. We recommend further studies with large sample sizes that allow the inclusion of nation-level covariates into a hierarchical mixed-effects statistical analysis [34,35] that can in turn determine the magnitude of effect of those variables.

## Conclusion

In this study, we compared Global Surgery outcomes following CSF diversion surgery for pediatric hydrocephalus among neurosurgical centers from different countries of varying income levels. We found that the cohort of Filipino children underwent late time-interval of CSF diversion surgery compared to their Japanese and Russian counterparts. The differences in timeliness of surgery were significantly related to the etiology of hydrocephalus, as well as the socioeconomic status of the household that the child belongs to. In the cohort from the Philippines, from which three children suffered mortality, EVD insertion was associated with mortality. The variation in these outcomes may reflect the robustness of a country's health system. Certain institution- and nation-level factors may explain the differences when viewed through the lenses of the Global Surgery indicators and social determinants of health.

## Declarations

Dr. Joseph Erroll Navarro reports consultancy and Board membership in the Hydrocephalus Foundation of the Philippines, Inc.

**Funding:** Not applicable

**Availability of data and material:** Not applicable

**Code availability:** Not applicable

**Ethics approval:** University of Tsukuba Hospital Institutional Review Board, Jose R. Reyes Memorial Medical Center Institutional Review Board, and Federal Neurosurgical Center Novosibirsk Local Ethics Committee

## References

1. Meara JG, Leather AJM, Hagander L, Alkire BC, Alonso N, Ameh EA, Bickler SW, et al. (2015) Global Surgery 2030: evidence and solutions for achieving health, welfare, and economic development. *Lancet* 2015;386(9993):569-624

2. Debas HT, Donkor P, Gawande A, Jamison DT, Kruk ME, Mock CN (2015) Essential Surgery. Disease Control Priorities, ed 3. Vol 1. Washington, DC: World Bank
3. World Health Organization (2014) Global Initiative for Emergency and Essential Surgical Care. Geneva Available from: <http://www.who.int/surgery/en/> (Accessed Oct 10, 2020)
4. Dewan MC, Rattani A, Fieggen G, Arraez MA, Servadei F, Boop FA, Johnson WD, Warf BC, Park KB (2018) Global neurosurgery: the current capacity and deficit in the provision of essential neurosurgical care. Executive Summary of the Global Neurosurgery Initiative at the Program in Global Surgery and Social Change. *J Neurosurg* 130(4):1055-1064
5. Weiser TG, Makary MA, Haynes AB, Dziekan G, Berry WR, Gawande AA, et al. (2009) Standardised metrics for global surgical surveillance. *Lancet* 374(9695):1113-1117
6. Kulkarni AV, Cochrane DD, McNeely PD, Shams I (2008) Medical, social, and economic factors associated with health-related quality of life in Canadian children with hydrocephalus. *J Pediatr* 153(5):689-695
7. Attenello FJ, Ng A, Wen T, Cen SY, Sanossian N, Amar AP, Zada G, Krieger MD, McComb JG, Mack WJ (2015) Racial and socioeconomic disparities in outcomes following pediatric cerebrospinal fluid shunt procedures. *J Neurosurg Pediatr* 15(6):560-566
8. Walker CT, Stone JJ, Jain M, Jacobson M, Phillips V, Silberstein HJ (2014) The effects of socioeconomic status and race on pediatric neurosurgical shunting. *Childs Nerv Syst* 30:117–122
9. NCD Countdown 2030 collaborators (2020) NCD Countdown 2030: pathways to achieving Sustainable Development Goal target 3.4. *Lancet* 396(10255):918-934

10. GlobalSurg Collaborative and National Institute for Health Research Global Health Research Unit on Global Surgery (2021) Global variation in postoperative mortality and complications after cancer surgery: a multicentre, prospective cohort study in 82 countries. *Lancet* 0(0)
11. Muir RT, Wang S, Warf BC (2016) Global surgery for pediatric hydrocephalus in the developing world: a review of the history, challenges, and future directions. *Neurosurg Focus* 41(5):E11
12. Carey G, Crammond B (2015) Systems change for the social determinants of health. *BMC Public Health* 15(1):662
13. Marmot M (2005) Social determinants of health inequalities. *Lancet*. 2005;365(9464):1099-104
14. Herrera CA, Lewin S, Paulsen E, Ciapponi A, Opiyo N, Pantoja T, Rada G, Wiysonge S, Bastías G, Marti SG, Okwundu CI, Peñaloza B, Oxman AD (2017) Governance arrangements for health systems in low-income countries: an overview of systematic reviews. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev* 2017(9)
15. Wiysonge CS, Paulsen E, Lewin S, Ciapponi A, Herrera CA, Opiyo N, Pantoja T, Rada G, Oxman AD (2017) Financial arrangements for health systems in low-income countries: an overview of systematic reviews. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev* 2017(9)
16. Dewan MC, Rattani A, Mekary R, Glancz LJ, Yunusa I, Baticulon RE, Fieggen G, Wellons JC, Park KB, Warf BC (2018) Global hydrocephalus epidemiology and incidence: systematic review and meta-analysis. *J Neurosurg* 130(4):1065-1079
17. Lancet NCDI Poverty Commission Study Group (2020) The Lancet NCDI Poverty Commission: bridging a gap in universal health coverage for the poorest billion. *Lancet* S0140-6736(20)31907-3
18. Dewan MC, Baticulon RE, Ravindran K, Bonfield CM, Poenaru D, Harkness W (2018) Pediatric neurosurgical bellwether procedures for infrastructure capacity building in hospitals and healthcare

systems worldwide. *Childs Nerv Syst* 34(10):1837-1846

19. Mansouri A, Ku JC, Khu KJ, Mahmud MR, Sedney C, Ammar A, Godoy BL, Abbasian A, Bernstein M (2018) Exploratory Analysis into Reasonable Timeframes for the Provision of Neurosurgical Care in Low- and Middle-Income Countries. *World Neurosurg* 117:e679–91
20. World Bank (2011) 2011c. World Development Indicators [online database]. Washington, DC, World Bank (<http://data.worldbank.org/>) Accessed 21 January 2021
21. GBD 2019 Universal Health Coverage Collaborators (2020) Measuring universal health coverage based on an index of effective coverage of health services in 204 countries and territories, 1990–2019: a systematic analysis for the Global Burden of Disease Study 2019. *Lancet* 396(10258):1250-1284
22. GBD 2015 Healthcare Access and Quality Collaborators (2017) Healthcare Access and Quality Index based on mortality from causes amenable to personal health care in 195 countries and territories, 1990–2015: a novel analysis from the Global Burden of Disease Study 2015. *Lancet* 390(10091):231-266
23. GBD Health Financing Collaborator Network (2020) Health sector spending and spending on HIV/AIDS, tuberculosis, and malaria, and development assistance for health: progress towards Sustainable Development Goal 3. *Lancet* 396(10252):693-724
24. Shrimme MG, Dare AJ, Alkire BC, O'Neill K, Meara JG (2015) Catastrophic Expenditure to Pay for Surgery: A Global Estimate. *Lancet Glob Health* 3(0 2):S38-S44
25. Dayrit MM, Lagrada LP, Picazo OF, Pons MC, Villaverde MC (2018) The Philippines Health System Review. *Health Systems in Transition* 8(2):1–315

26. Popovich L, Potapchik E, Shishkin S, Richardson E, Vacroux A, Mathivet B (2011) Russian Federation: Health System Review. *Health Systems in Transition* 13(7):1–190
27. Sakamoto H, Rahman M, Nomura S, Okamoto E, Koike S, Yasunaga H, Kawakami N, Hashimoto H, Kondo N, Abe SK, Palmer M, Ghaznavi C (2018). Japan Health System Review. *Health Systems in Transition* 8(1):1–227
28. Warf BC, Alkire BC, Bhai S, Hughes C, Schiff SJ, Vincent JR, Meara JG (2011) Costs and benefits of neurosurgical intervention for infant hydrocephalus in sub-Saharan Africa. *J Neurosurg Pediatr* 8:509-521
29. Warf BC (2005) Hydrocephalus in Uganda: the predominance of infectious origin and primary management with endoscopic third ventriculostomy. *J Neurosurg* 102:1-15
30. Gathura E, Poenaru D, Bransford R, Albright AL (2010) Outcomes of ventriculoperitoneal shunt insertion in Sub-Saharan Africa: Clinical article. *J Neurosurg Pediatr* 6(4):329-335
31. Margaron FC, Poenaru D, Bransford R, Albright AL (2010) Timing of ventriculoperitoneal shunt insertion following spina bifida closure in Kenya. *Childs Nerv Syst* 26(11):1523-1528
32. Kulkarni AV, Warf BC, Drake JM, Mallucci CL, Sgouros S, Constantini S, et al. (2010) Surgery for hydrocephalus in sub-Saharan Africa versus developed nations: a risk-adjusted comparison of outcome. *Childs Nerv Syst* 26(12):1711-1717
33. Wurdeman T, Menon G, Meara JG, Alkire BC (2020) A country-level comparison of access to quality surgical and non-surgical healthcare from 1990-2016. *PLoS One* 15(11):e0241669
34. Remick M, Ibrahim GM, Mansouri A, Abel TJ (2020) Cross-national disparities contribute to heterogeneity in patient outcomes following invasive monitoring: a hierarchical mixed-effects

analysis. *Epilepsia* 00:1-8

35. Guha D, Ibrahim GM, Kertzer JD, Macdonald RL (2014) National socioeconomic indicators are associated with outcomes after aneurysmal subarachnoid hemorrhage: a hierarchical mixed-effects analysis. *J Neurosurg* 121(5):1039-1047
36. Birkmeyer JD, Reames BN, McCulloch P, Carr AJ, Campbell WB, Wennberg JE (2013) Understanding of regional variation in the use of surgery. *Lancet* 382(9898):1121-1129
37. Anderson GA, Ilcisin L, Kayima P, Abesiga L, Portal Benitez N, Ngonzi J, Ronald M, Shrimel MG (2017) Out-of-pocket payment for surgery in Uganda: The rate of impoverishing and catastrophic expenditure at a government hospital. *PLoS One* 12(10)
38. El-Sayed AM, Ziewacz JE, Davis MC, Lau D, Siddiqi HK, Zamora-Berridi GJ, Sullivan SE (2011) Insurance status and inequalities in outcomes after neurosurgery. *World Neurosurg* 76(5):459-466
39. Jin DL, Christian EA, Attenello F, Melamed E, Cen S, Krieger MD, McComb JG, Mack WJ (2016) Cross-Sectional Analysis on Racial and Economic Disparities Affecting Mortality in Preterm Infants with Posthemorrhagic Hydrocephalus. *World Neurosurg* 88:399-410
40. McCulloch P, Nagendran M, Campbell WB, Price A, Jani A, Birkmeyer JD, Gray M (2013) Strategies to reduce variation in the use of surgery. *Lancet* 382(9898):1130-1139
41. Picazo OF, Ulep VGT, Pantig IM, Ho BL (2016) Chapter 4: A critical analysis of purchasing health services in the Philippines: a case study of PhilHealth. In: Regional Office for the Western Pacific, World Health Organization (ed) Strategic purchasing in China, Indonesia and the Philippines. WHO Regional Office for the Western Pacific, pp 152-213

42. Dimick JB, Ryan AM (2014) Methods for Evaluating Changes in Health Care Policy: The Difference-in-Differences Approach. JAMA 312(22):2401-2402

## Tables

**Table 1.** Clinical and socioeconomic characteristics of the patients.

	<b>Total (n=159)</b>	<b>Japan (n=13)</b>	<b>Philippines (n=99)</b>	<b>Russia (n=47)</b>
<b>Median (Range); Frequency (%)</b>				
<b>Patient and case characteristics</b>				
Age (years)	2.42 (0-17)	3 (0-16)	2 (0-17)	3 (0.08-16)
Sex				
Male	75 (47.2)	5 (38.5)	46 (46.5)	24 (51.1)
Female	84 (52.8)	8 (61.5)	53 (53.5)	23 (48.9)
Socioeconomic status				
Non-poor	58 (36.5)	13 (100)	11 (11.1)	34 (72.3)
Poor	89 (56.0)	0 (0)	76 (76.8)	13 (27.7)
Extreme poverty	12 (7.5)	0 (0)	12 (12.1)	0 (0)
Hydrocephalus type				
Congenital/diagnosed prenatally	34 (21.4)	5 (38.5)	24 (24.2)	5 (10.6)
Infantile/Post-hemorrhagic	38 (23.9)	3 (23.1)	12 (12.1)	23 (48.9)
Postinfectious	31 (19.5)	0 (0)	27 (27.3)	4 (8.5)
Associated with myelomeningocele	14 (8.8)	2 (15.4)	11 (11.1)	1 (2.1)
Tumor-related	35 (22.0)	3 (23.1)	22 (22.2)	10 (21.3)
Others	7 (4.4)	0 (0)	3 (3.0)	4 (8.5)
CSF diversion surgery				
ETV	22 (13.8)	5 (38.5)	2 (2.0)	15 (31.9)
VPS	99 (62.3)	1 (7.7)	80 (80.8)	18 (38.3)
VPS revision	24 (15.1)	1 (7.7)	11 (11.1)	12 (25.5)
EVD	6 (3.8)	0 (0)	6 (6.1)	0 (0)
EVD + subsequent conversion to VPS	3 (1.9)	1 (7.7)	0 (0)	2 (4.3)
Ommaya	1 (0.6)	1 (7.7)	0 (0)	0 (0)
Ommaya + conversion to VPS later on	4 (2.5)	4 (30.8)	0 (0)	0 (0)
Revision surgery rate	24 (15.1)	1 (7.7)	11 (11.1)	12 (25.5)
Time from admission to CSF diversion (days)				

<24 hours (<1 day)	22 (13.8)	2 (15.4)	8 (8.1)	12 (25.5)
24 – 48 hours (1-2 day)	63 (39.6)	7 (53.8)	28 (28.3)	28 (59.6)
3 – 7	26 (16.3)	0 (0)	20 (20.2)	6 (12.8)
8 – 10	4 (2.5)	1 (7.7)	3 (3.0)	0 (0)
11 – 14	3 (1.9)	0 (0)	3 (3.0)	0 (0)
>14	41 (25.8)	3 (23.1)	37 (37.4)	1 (2.1)

**Table 2.** Primary outcome variables across different centers from the 3 countries.

	Median time from admission to CSF diversion surgery		Perioperative mortality	
	Days	(95% CI)	Count (n=3)	Proportion (95% CI)
Japan	1	(1-9)	0	0 (0-24.7)
Philippines	6	(3-10)	3	3.03 (0.6-8.6)
Russia	1	(1-1)	0	0 (0-7.5)

**Table 3.** Determinants of timing of CSF diversion surgery, by country, after univariate Cox regression.

	Japan		Philippines		Russia	
	Crude Hazard Ratio (95% CI)	<i>p</i> Value	Crude Hazard Ratio (95% CI)	<i>p</i> Value	Crude Hazard Ratio (95% CI)	<i>p</i> Value
Age	1.05 (0.95-1.16)	0.334	1.00 (0.96-1.05)	0.869	<b>1.07 (1.005-1.14)</b>	<b>0.035</b>
Male sex	1.56 (0.47-5.13)	0.464	1.14 (0.76-1.69)	0.530	0.90 (0.50-1.60)	0.714
Socioeconomic status						
Poor/Extreme poverty	Reference	-	Reference	-	Reference	-
Non-poor	-	-	<b>4.738 (2.34-9.61)</b>	<b>&lt;0.001</b>	1.00 (0.52-1.91)	0.996
Hydrocephalus type						
Congenital/diagnosed prenatally	Reference	-	Reference	-	Reference	-
Infantile/Post-hemorrhagic	0.74 (0.16-3.41)	0.704	<b>3.72 (1.70-8.15)</b>	<b>0.001</b>	0.97 (0.36-2.62)	0.953
Postinfectious	-	-	<b>0.39 (0.22-0.70)</b>	<b>0.002</b>	1.23 (0.33-4.58)	0.761
Associated with myelomeningocele	1.26 (0.22-7.28)	0.794	<b>0.46 (0.22-0.95)</b>	<b>0.037</b>	1.52 (0.1-13.36)	0.704
Tumor-related	2.08 (0.43-9.98)	0.359	0.56 (0.31-1.01)	0.054	1.79 (0.59-5.48)	0.305
Others	-	-	2.17 (0.63-7.46)	0.217	1.47 (0.39-5.54)	0.568
CSF diversion surgery						
ETV	8.64 (0.98-76.14)	0.052	0.64 (0.16-2.63)	0.540	1.22 (0.60-2.48)	0.577
VPS	8.71 (0.49-156.26)	0.142	Reference	-	Reference	-
VPS + subsequent revision	4.78 (0.28-81.27)	0.279	0.74 (0.38-1.43)	0.367	1.17 (0.56-2.44)	0.681
EVD	-	-	1.04 (0.45-2.39)	0.934	-	-
EVD + subsequent conversion to VPS	8.71 (0.49-156.26)	0.142	-	-	0.19 (0.02-1.46)	0.110

Ommaya	4.78 (0.49-156.26)	0.279	-	-	-	-
Ommaya + conversion to VPS later on	Reference	-	-	-	-	-
Revision surgery	1.07 (0.13-8.67)	0.949	0.74 (0.38-1.44)	0.381	1.21 (0.62-2.35)	0.575

**Table 4.** Determinants of mortality, in the subgroup from the Philippines, after binary logistic regression.

	<b>Crude Odds Ratio (95% CI)</b>	<b><i>p</i> Value</b>
Age	0.98 (0.78 – 1.23)	0.872
Female sex	1.47 (0.19 – 11.59)	0.713
Socioeconomic status		
Poverty	4.46 (0.54-37.01)	0.166
Non-poor	Reference	-
Hydrocephalus type		
Congenital/diagnosed prenatally	Reference	-
Infantile/Post-hemorrhagic	1.96 (0.04 – 104.76)	0.740
Postinfectious	2.77 (0.11 – 71.35)	0.538
Associated with myelomeningocele	7.00 (0.26 – 186.26)	0.245
Tumor-related	3.42 (0.13 – 88.40)	0.459
Others	7.00 (0.12 – 412.69)	0.350
CSF diversion surgery		
ETV	10.60 (0.34 – 330.35)	0.179
VPS insertion	Reference	-
VPS insertion + revision later on	7.57 (0.72 – 79.62)	0.092
EVD insertion	14.45 (1.28 – 162.97)	<b>0.031</b>
Revision surgery	4.94 (0.59-41.31)	0.140
Time from admission to CSF diversion (days)	1.01 (0.99-1.03)	0.260
Time from admission to CSF diversion >14 days	12.68 (0.64-252.73)	0.096

**Table 5.** Country-level data of the three countries in terms of relevant metrics of Global Surgery indicators and social determinants of health.


	Japan	Philippines	Russia
<b><i>Health Financing and Socioeconomics</i></b>			
Income level*	High income	Lower middle income	Upper middle income
GDP per capita, PPP (current international \$, year 2019) <sup>20</sup>	43,235.7	9,302.4	29,181.4
Total health expenditure (as % of GDP) <sup>23</sup>	10.7%	4.4%	5.3%
Government and prepaid private spending on health (as % of total health spending) <sup>23</sup>	87.1%	44.5%	59.9%
Public health insurance and tax funding share of financing (as % of total health financing) <sup>25-27</sup>	84.0%	34.3%	39.4%
Out-of-pocket payment share of financing (as % of total expenditure on health) <sup>25-27</sup>	14.0%	53.7%	28.8%
UHC Effective Coverage Index <sup>21</sup>	96	55	69
<b><i>Infrastructure and Workforce</i></b>			
Number of neurosurgeons	10,014	134	2,900
Neurosurgeon-to-population density	1:12,600	1:780,000	1:49,600
Hospital beds per 10,000 population <sup>25-27</sup>	132.0	10.1	96.8
Healthcare Access and Quality Index <sup>22</sup>	89	52	72

\*Based on World Bank country classification<sup>53</sup>: For the current 2020 fiscal year, low-income economies are defined as those with a GNI per capita, calculated using the [World Bank Atlas method](#), of \$1,025 or less in 2018; lower middle-income economies are those with a GNI per capita between \$1,026 and \$3,995; upper middle-income economies are those with a GNI per capita between \$3,996 and \$12,375; high-income economies are those with a GNI per capita of \$12,376 or more.

**Table 6.** Institution-level data in terms of relevant metrics of Global Surgery indicators.

	<b>Japan</b>	<b>Philippines</b>	<b>Russia</b>
Institution	University of Tsukuba Hospital, Ibaraki	Jose R. Reyes Memorial Medical Center, Manila	Federal Neurosurgical Center, Novosibirsk
Institutional neurosurgical bed capacity	54	30	95
Pediatric neurosurgical bed capacity (as a share of total neurosurgical bed capacity)	<5	<8	15
Neurosurgical volume (Total number of operations during the year 2019)	714	710	4,236
Pediatric neurosurgical volume (Total number of operations on children during the year 2019)	80	153	393
Neurosurgical staff (Total number of consultants and residents in yr 2019)	24	12	32

## Figures



**Figure 1**

Kaplan-Meier curve comparing the time-to-CSF diversion surgery, by country. The median time for the Philippine center was significantly different than that in the Russian center (HR=2.944, 95%CI 1.99–4.35,  $p < 0.001$ ), but not the Japanese center (HR=1.637, 95%CI 0.91–2.94,  $p = 0.100$ ).