

# Chest wall resection and reconstruction for locally recurrent breast cancer: an experience of five cases over a five-Year period and literature review

**Qiang Sun**

The First Hospital of China Medical University: The First Affiliated Hospital of China Medical University

**Yu-xin Wang**

The First Hospital of China Medical University: The First Affiliated Hospital of China Medical University

**Shi-feng Jin**

The First Hospital of China Medical University: The First Affiliated Hospital of China Medical University

**Chen-chao Wang**

The First Hospital of China Medical University: The First Affiliated Hospital of China Medical University

**You Zhou**

The First Hospital of China Medical University: The First Affiliated Hospital of China Medical University

**Shu Guo** (✉ [sguo@cmu.edu.cn](mailto:sguo@cmu.edu.cn))

The First Hospital of China Medical University <https://orcid.org/0000-0001-9094-1602>

---

## Case report

**Keywords:** locally recurrent breast cancer, chest wall resection, chest wall reconstruction, myocutaneous flap, titanium

**Posted Date:** March 22nd, 2021

**DOI:** <https://doi.org/10.21203/rs.3.rs-315749/v1>

**License:**   This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License. [Read Full License](#)

---

## Abstract

**Background:** Expanded local resection is suitable for recurrent breast cancer patients who have isolated local lesion and have not metastasized. The extent of chest wall resection must be overall radical resection of the tumors diagnosed by pathology. However, surgery often leads to huge defects, even full-thickness defects, and these defects are difficult to repair. Here, we reported our experience in chest wall resection and reconstruction of patients with locally recurrent breast cancer, and also did a comprehensive literature review.

**Case Presentation:** We report five cases with locally recurrent breast cancer, followed by chest wall reconstruction with a pedicled rectus abdominis musculocutaneous flap or a pedicled latissimus dorsi musculocutaneous flap and, if necessary, a piece of titanium mesh. Chest wall resection and reconstruction were successfully achieved in all 5 patients. No complication and recurrence were observed, except one patient died of late lymphatic metastasis. Other patients reported good quality of life.

**Conclusion:** For locally recurrent breast cancer, complete tumor resection is essential and ensures no recurrence. Appropriate material and the blood-rich flap or myocutaneous flap should be used to reconstruct the chest wall defect as an effective treatment for surgical procedure.

## Background

Comprehensive therapy of breast cancer, including surgical excision, radiotherapy, chemotherapy, endocrinotherapy, and a combination of above approaches, is increasingly beneficial for prolonging life [1–3]. However, it is sometimes difficult to avoid tumor recurrence. Although the main goal of chest wall resection is to achieve local tumor control, it may lead to long-term remission [4]. For primary breast cancer, an expanded radical resection is not required. However, regardless of the adjuvant therapy, expanded surgical excision is the essential procedure for the treatment of locally recurrent breast cancer. Repairing huge chest wall defects, especially full-thickness defects, is very difficult. If it is not repaired in time, it will not only damage the internal organs of the thorax, but also cause pathophysiological changes, such as chest wall softening, abnormal breathing and longitudinal swing, which will seriously affect the patient's respiratory cycle function [5]. Furthermore, locally advanced primary breast cancer and radiotherapy following a mastectomy are associated with chest wall defects. Therefore, chest wall resection is an important and essential procedure for the treatment of locally recurrent breast cancer, but ideal reconstruction is a guarantee to completely expanded resection.

It has been reported that chest wall resection has many surgical procedures [6–9], and is usually followed by reconstruction [3]. The purpose of reconstruction of chest wall is to restore the continuity of the chest wall structure, protect the thoracic organs, maintain the normal respiratory cycle function, and at the same time obtain a good thoracic shape, including chest wall bone reconstruction. Chest wall bone reconstruction used titanium mesh to stabilize the chest wall, local skin flaps to cover soft tissue, pedicled musculocutaneous flaps or free musculocutaneous flaps to cover the chest wall [10–12]. Titanium micromesh reconstruction of the chest wall has the following advantages: tough texture, good shape, simple surgical method, good biocompatibility, and no need to place subcutaneous drainage. This is because that the subcutaneous exudate can be discharged into the chest tube through meshes of the titanium mesh [13].

Herein, we reported our experience in chest wall resection and reconstruction of patients with locally recurrent breast cancer using a pedicled latissimus dorsi musculocutaneous flap or a pedicled rectus abdominis musculocutaneous flap, and a piece of titanium mesh if necessary. Also, the literature about the therapy of locally recurrent breast cancer, and the reconstruction of chest wall resection was reviewed comprehensively.

## Case Presentation

## Typical case

A 57-year-old female patient underwent radical mastectomy in 1995. However, the wound did not heal, and gradually became larger and worse. Even worse, she developed local ductal-invasive carcinoma recurrence (Fig. 1A).

Resection of the lesion: in December 2012, expanded local resection was performed according to pathologic demonstration, and the left chest wall defect was approximately 20 cm×15 cm (Fig. 1B). The full-thickness defect was from the second to fifth ribs, about 12 cm×10 cm. The heart and lung were all exposed.

Reconstruction of chest wall defect: A piece of titanium micromesh was used to stabilize the thoracic cage defect (Fig. 1C). Thereafter, the defect was further reduced to 17 cm×14 cm, which was larger than 15 cm×13 cm of preoperative estimation. It was considered that the pedicled rectus abdominis musculocutaneous flap was the best reconstruction option. This is because that in addition to epigastric vessels, Doppler ultrasound cannot detect adjacent vessels that may contribute to reparation. The flap turned up 180° towards the defect through the subcutaneous space without tension (Fig. 1D). Thoracic surgeon completed closed thoracic drainage.

Repair of abdominal defect: The pedicled aponeurosis flap of obliquus externus abdominis was about 10 cm×5 cm, sutured with the white line below the arcuate line and the free edge of the anterior sheath of the rectus abdominis. The abdominal wall was then repaired and reinforced with a piece of e-PTFE about 8 cm×6 cm (Fig. 1E). An incision about 10 cm long was made on the left umbilical level to form a rotation and advancement flap, which was then sutured with an advancement flap formed by the residual tissue of the right abdomen.

The patient left hospital 7 days after operation. The postoperative procedure was uneventful without any complication, such as paradoxical respiration, abdominal hernia, flap necrosis, wound infection, and so on (Fig. 1F). In addition, no signs of recurrence were found during the 5-year follow-up period (Fig. 1G,H).

In four years, a total of five patients with locally recurrent breast cancer were treated in our department (Table 1). There were 3 cases of ductal-invasive carcinoma, 1 case of metaplastic carcinoma, and 1 case of malignant phyllodes tumor. All patients' tumors were removed completely under the microscope. Four patients underwent the plastic reconstruction with a pedicled latissimus dorsi musculocutaneous flap, and the other underwent the plastic reconstruction with a pedicled rectus abdominis musculocutaneous flap. In addition, two patients underwent costectomy using a piece of titanium mesh to stabilize the chest walls were. The chest tubes were removed 3 and 8 days after surgery, respectively. Under prophylactic antibiotic treatment, all wounds showed a pattern of physiologic healing. There are no postoperative complications, such as flap necrosis, haematoma or seroma, herniation, local infection, hemia, respiratory failure, and pleural effusion.

Table 1  
Clinical, resection and reconstruction data in all patients with locally recurrent breast cancer

Patient	Age	Histological diagnosis	Size of defect	Type of skin flaps	Adjuvant therapy	Complications	Duration of follow-up (months)	Present condition
1	50	Ductal-invasive carcinoma	20×18	A	Radiotherapy and chemotherapy	None	84	Alive and non-recurrence
2	57	Ductal-invasive carcinoma	20×15 (full-thickness defect: 12×10)	A (with titanium mesh)	Radiotherapy and chemotherapy	None	52	Alive and non-recurrence
3	72	Ductal-invasive carcinoma	25×20	A	Radiotherapy and chemotherapy	None	52	Alive and non-recurrence
4	56	Metaplastic carcinoma	26×17	A	Chemotherapy	None	6	Death for metastasis
5	33	Malignant phyllodes tumor	26×19 (full-thickness defect: 10×10)	B (with titanium mesh)	None	None	34	Alive and non-recurrence
*A: a pedicled latissimus dorsi musculocutaneous flap								
B: a pedicled rectus abdominis musculocutaneous flap								

After surgery, 3 patients received both adjuvant radiotherapy and chemotherapy, and 1 patient received only adjuvant chemotherapy. The other patient diagnosed with malignant phyllodes tumor did not received any adjuvant therapy. All patients were followed up for 5 years after surgery, with a minimal interval of 1 year. At that time, only 1 patient died of late distant lymphatic metastasis at 27 months after surgery. The other 4 patients were still alive and had no local recurrence.

## Discussion And Conclusions

### Therapy for locally recurrent breast cancer

Approximately 30% of patients experienced recurrence of breast cancer, but most patients with metastases may lose their chance of surgery [14]. The incidence of locally recurrent breast cancer was 4% (2–20%), and can occur as an isolated cancer or in combination with distant metastasis in other organ systems [15]. That's why we reported a small number of surgical cases within 5 years. Whenever possible, isolated local recurrence should be treated with a curative intent. If feasible, it was recommended to pathologically confirm the margin of negative tissue and completely remove the recurrent tumor [16]. The resection area must contain at least 2 cm of normal tissue around lesion [17, 18]. Then, the

chest wall defect coverage should be performed immediately instead of breast reconstruction. This was due to limited tissue, limited surgical tolerance, and poor patient willingness to perform breast reconstruction immediately. Adjuvant treatments for recurrent breast cancer were radiotherapy, endocrine therapy in patients with estrogen or progesterone receptor-positive cancer, and chemotherapy in patients with receptor-negative cancer [19–21].

## **Reconstruction Materials for the chest wall bone**

Local recurrence of breast cancer in the deep chest wall will lead to thoracic defects after surgery. If the diameter of full-thickness defect was greater than 6 cm, or if there were more than three defected ribs, especially in the anterior and lateral chest wall, it is recommended to reconstruct the chest wall bone in order to maintain proper cardio-pulmonary function [22]. Ideally, materials used for chest wall reconstruction should have good tensile strength, elasticity, stable physical and chemical properties, ease of use, ready availability, and high biocompatibility as well as characteristics that do not affect chest inspections [23]. Various materials for chest wall reconstruction were classified into autologous tissue, allogeneic tissue, and artificial materials [24–27].

Although autologous tissue and allogeneic tissue are considered to be the most suitable repair materials for human physiology, the disadvantages of autologous and allogeneic tissues are limited materials, increased trauma, increased difficulty in surgery, poor satisfaction in shaping, poor aesthetics, and insufficient hardness. These disadvantages often lead to postoperative abnormal breathing, thus it is more suitable for smaller defect repair and difficult to repair larger defect area, especially in the case of local infection or radioactive necrosis. Compared with autologous tissue, artificial materials have better bone support for the chest wall, simplifying the operation process, shortening the operation time, and reducing the surgical trauma. Therefore, for larger chest wall defects, artificial materials are currently the preferred materials for bone reconstruction [28].

Although many artificial repair materials were used clinically, none of them can meet all the above requirements at the same time. Polytetrafluoroethylene (PTFE) patch may injury intrathoracic organs due to thermal reaction. Insufficient rigidity of repair materials such as Marlex mesh, Prolene mesh, Vicryl mesh, Gare-Tex patch may cause abnormal breathing. Autologous tissue and allogeneic tissue are not suitable for bone reconstruction of large chest wall defects. Moreover, titanium mesh has become a better option, originally created for craniofacial reconstruction [29, 30]. Thus, we applied titanium mesh to stabilize the chest wall in two cases because titanium mesh has the following characteristics: rigid enough to prevent paradoxical respiration movement; malleability can be shaped into appropriate shape, inertness can avoid immune rejection, radiolucency can be used for radiographic tracking of potential problems, and porosity can allow in-growth of fibrous tissue [31]. Although it affects X-ray inspection, CT and MRI are now more common and accurate for chest examination. Therefore, we recommend titanium mesh for bone reconstruction of large chest wall defects (Fig. 1G,H).

## **Selection of flaps for the chest wall reconstruction**

As a prerequisite for stabilizing the chest wall, care should be taken to cover the soft tissue coverage, not only to cover the artificial material that reconstructs the chest wall, but also restore the integrity of the chest wall, maintain the function of normal breathing and circulation, and improve the appearance and quality of life of the patients [11, 12]. The soft tissue characteristics of recurrent breast cancer are often quite different from those of the primary cancer. There may be no donor vessels in the lesion available for free flaps because of resection and radiotherapy. Meantime, for recurrent breast cancer patients, the simpler the surgery, the less invasive. Hence, free flaps are not preferred. Especially for closure of large defects, they are not the first choice for increasing the time of surgery and the incidence of complications. In addition to the local flap, the pedicle flap, or both flaps fail to repair [32, 33]. Myocutaneous flaps are more suitable for the repair of breast cancer patients [33].

The selection of flaps depends primarily on the location and area of the defect. On the vertical axis, the latissimus dorsi musculocutaneous flap and the pectoralis major myocutaneous flaps are generally recommended for use in the upper 1/3 of the chest wall. It is recommended to use the latissimus dorsi musculocutaneous flap, rectus abdominis myocutaneous flap, pectoralis major myocutaneous flap, and omentum in the middle 1/3 of the chest wall. For the lower 1/3 of chest wall, it is recommended to use the rectus abdominis myocutaneous flap and omentum flap. On the horizontal axis, if the defect is located in the center of the chest wall, the latissimus dorsi musculocutaneous flap [34], the rectus abdominis myocutaneous flap [35] and the pectoralis major myocutaneous flap [36, 37] can be repaired. In addition, in the lateral chest wall, the latissimus dorsi musculocutaneous flap is more commonly used.

However, the pectoralis major is usually resected in the locally recurrent breast cancer patients. Although the omentum flap has abundant blood supply, strong anti-infection ability, and strong plasticity, there is still a high risk of complicated operation, prolonged operation time, hemorrhage, abdominal hernia, and gastrointestinal complications after surgery. This is why they are not the first choice for repairing chest wall defects. Although the latissimus dorsi myocutaneous flap may affect the ability of arm to lift, and the rectus abdominis myocutaneous flap may cause a weakness of the abdominal wall, they are still recommended as we did [1, 2, 10, 11]. In addition, they not only provide enough volume to cover a large soft tissue defect, but also are simple to operate and can achieve good outcome.

Radical mastectomy and subsequent radiation may result in the loss of many important tissues, such as vessels, which may result in some of those flaps being unstable for reconstruction as the above typical patient. Therefore, it is an important process to detect adjacent vessels by Doppler ultrasound before the operation.

In summary, for locally recurrent breast cancer, complete tumor resection is a crucial step and ensures that there is no recurrence. After surgery, the appropriate material should be selected to reconstruct the chest wall to restore the integrity of the thorax. Finally, according to the location and size of the defect, the blood-rich flap or myocutaneous flap is used to cover the wound, eliminate the dead space, and repair the soft tissue defect of the chest wall. Our therapy in chest wall resection and reconstruction of patients with locally recurrent breast cancer, that using a pedicled latissimus dorsi musculocutaneous flap or a pedicled rectus abdominis musculocutaneous flap, and a piece of titanium mesh if necessary, is reasonable and practical. We will furtherly make a larger sample size analysis for more robust evidence.

## **Declarations**

### **Ethics approval and consent to participate**

The study was approved by ethical review board of China Medical University, which followed the ethical principles of the Declaration of Helsinki 1964, and all the participants provided the written informed consent.

### **Consent to publish**

Written consent for publication was obtained from the patient for information and medical images about the patient himself/herself. All the patients also understand that the text and any pictures will be freely available on the internet and may be seen by the general public.

### **Availability of data and materials**

The datasets used and analyzed during the current study are available from the corresponding author on reasonable request.

### **Competing interests**

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

## Funding

This study was supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (Grant No. 51872332), which received by the corresponding author Shu Guo.

## Authors' contributions

SQ, GS and WYX designed this study. JSF, WCC and ZY collected literatures and conducted the analysis of data. ZY drafted the manuscript. SQ wrote the manuscript. All authors contributed to review the manuscript. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

## Acknowledgements

Not applicable.

## Abbreviations

CT  
computed tomography  
PTFE  
Polytetrafluoroethylene


## References

1. Marschner N, Trarbach T, Rauh J, et al. Quality of life in pre- and postmenopausal patients with early breast cancer: a comprehensive analysis from the prospective MaLife project. *Breast Cancer Research and Treatment*, 2019;1–12.
2. Skoracki RJ, Chang DW. Reconstruction of the chest-wall and thorax. *J Surg Oncol*. 2006;94:455–65.
3. Caswell-Jin JL, Mcnamara K, Reiter JG, et al. Clonal replacement and heterogeneity in breast tumors treated with neoadjuvant HER2-targeted therapy. *Nature communications*. 2019;10(1):657.
4. Santillan AA, Kiluk JV, Cox JM, Meade TL, Allred N, Ramos D, King J, Cox CE. Outcomes of locoregional recurrence after surgical chest wall resection and reconstruction for breast cancer. *Ann Surg Oncol*. 2008;15:1322–9.
5. Chagpar A, Meric-Bernstam F, Hunt KK. Chest wall recurrence after mastectomy does not always portend a dismal outcome. *Ann Surg Oncol*. 2003;10:628–34.
6. Arnold PG, Pairolero PC. Chest-wall reconstruction: an account of 500 consecutive patients. *Plast Reconstr Surg*. 1996;98:804–10.
7. Beahm EK, Chang DW. Chest wall reconstruction and advanced disease. *Semin Plast Surg*. 2004;18:117–29.
8. Larson DL, McMurtrey MJ, Howe HJ, Irish CE. Major chest wall reconstruction after chest wall irradiation. *Cancer*. 1982;49:1286–93.
9. Spicer JD, Shewale JB, Antonoff MB, et al. The Influence of Reconstructive Technique on Perioperative Pulmonary and Infectious Outcomes Following Chest Wall Resection[J]. *The Annals of Thoracic Surgery*, 2016. S0003497516305677.
10. Hathaway CL, Rand RP, Moe R, Marchioro T. Salvage surgery for locally advanced breast cancer and locally recurrent breast cancer. *Arch Surg*. 1994;129:582–7.
11. Veronesi G, Scanagatta P, Goldhirsch A, Rietjens M, Colleoni M, Pelosi G, Spaggiari L. Results of chest wall resection for recurrent or locally advanced breast malignancies. *Breast*. 2007;16:297–302.

12. Tukiainen E Chest Wall Reconstruction after Oncological Resections[J]. *Scandinavian Journal of Surgery*, 2013. (1):9–13.
13. Tamburini N, Grossi W, Sanna S, et al. Chest wall reconstruction using a new titanium mesh: a multicenters experience. *J Thorac Dis* 2019;11(8):3459–3466.
14. Pennery E. The role of endocrine therapies in reducing risk of recurrence in postmenopausal women with hormone receptor-positive breast cancer. *Eur J Oncol Nurs*. 2008;12:233–43.
15. Grischke E-M, Wallwiener D, Souchon R, Fehm T, Loehberg CR, SM Jud, MP Lux. M.W. Beckmann. S. P. Renner. Isolated Loco-Regional Recurrence of Breast Cancer-Established and Innovative Therapy Concepts. *Geburtsh. Frauenheilk*. 2013; 73: 611–622.
16. Cardoso F, Harbeck NL, Fallowfield, Kyriakides S, Senkus E. ESMO Guidelines Working Group. Locally recurrent or metastatic breast cancer. ESMO Clinical Practice Guidelines for diagnosis, treatment and follow-up. *Ann Oncol* 2012. Suppl 7. vii11-19.
17. Friedel G, Kuipers T, Dippon J, Al-Kammash F, Walles T, Kyriss T, Veit S, Greulich M, Steger V. Full-Thickness Resection With Myocutaneous Flap Reconstruction for Locally Recurrent Breast Cancer. *Ann Thorac Surg*. 2008;85:1894–900.
18. Aukema TS, Russell NS, Wesseling J, Rutgers EJ. Extensive soft tissue resection with autologous tissue closure for locally recurrent breast cancer: Lasting local control and acceptable morbidity. *Eur J Surg Oncol*. 2009;35:469–74.
19. Buzdar AU. Phase III study of letrozole versus tamoxifen as first-line therapy of advanced breast cancer in postmenopausal women: analysis of survival and update of efficacy from the international letrozole breast cancer group. *J Clin Oncol*. 2004;22:3199–200.
20. Robertson JF, Come SE, Jones SE, Beex L, Kaufmann M, Makris A, Nortier JW, Possinger K, Rutqvist LE. Endocrine treatment options for advanced breast cancer-the role of fulvestrant. *Eur J Cancer*. 2005;41:346–56.
21. Sledge GW, Neuberg D, Bernardo P, Ingle JN, Martino S, Rowinsky EK, Wood WC. Phase III trial of doxorubicin, paclitaxel and the combination of doxorubicin and paclitaxel as front-line chemotherapy for metastatic breast cancer: an intergroup trial. *J Clin Oncol*. 2003;21:588–92.
22. Thomas F, Brouchet PA. L. Prosthetic reconstruction of the chest wall. *Thorac Surg Clin*. 2010;20:551–8.
23. Ursula H, Philipp S, Patrick Z. Chest wall resection and reconstruction for locally advanced primary breast cancer. *Arch Gynecol Obstet*. 2013;287:1205–9.
24. McCormack PM. Use of prosthetic materials in chest-wall reconstruction. *Surg Clin North Am*. 1989;69:965–6.
25. Nomori H, Horio H, Hasegawa T. Chest wall reconstruction using titanium hollow screw reconstruction plate. *Thorac Cardiovasc Surg*. 1997;45:35–7.
26. Burkhart HM, Allen MS, Nichols FC III, Deschamps C, Miller DL, Trastek VF, Pairolero PC. Results of en bloc resection for bronchogenic carcinoma with chest wall invasion. *J Thorac Cardiovasc Surg*. 2002;123:670–5.
27. Mansour KA, Thourani VH, Losken A, Reeves JG, Miller JI Jr, Carlson GW, Jones GE. Chest wall resections and reconstruction: a 25-year experience. *Ann Thorac Surg*. 2002;73:1720–6.
28. Deschamps C, Tirnaksiz BM, Darbandi R, Trastek VF, Allen MS, Miller DL, Arnold PG, Pairolero PC. Early and long-term results of prosthetic chest wall reconstruction. *J Thorac Cardiovasc Surg*. 1999;117:588–91. discussion 591–592.
29. Zimmerman M, Seifert V. Anatomic reconstruction of the posterolateral cranial base with titanium micromesh for combined transpetrosal approach: technical note. *Neurosurgery*. 1997;41:983–5.
30. Jones C, Rogers S. Combined use of titanium mesh and biocompatible osteoconductive polymer in the treatment of full thickness calvarial defects. *Br J Oral Maxillofac Surg*. 1998;36:143–5.
31. Rong G, Kang H. Local recurrence involving the sternum and ribs following mastectomy and titanium mesh implants for chest wall reconstruction: A case report. *Oncol Lett*. 2013;5:1649–52.

32. Dudek W, Schreiner W, Horch RE, Sirbu H. Sternal resection and reconstruction for secondary malignancies. *J Thorac Dis.* 2018;10(7):4230–5.
33. Chang RR, Mehrara BJ, Hu QY, Disa JJ, Cordeiro PG. Reconstruction of complex oncologic chest wall defects: a 10-year experience. *Ann Plast Surg.* 2004;52:471–9.
34. Hameed A, Akthar S, Naqvi A, Pervaiz Z. Reconstruction of complex chest wall defects by using polypropylene mesh and a pedicled latissimusdorsi flap: a 6-year experience. *J Plast Reconstr Aesth Surg.* 2008;61:628–35.
35. Martella S, Caliskan M, Brenelli FP, Rossetto F, Aparecida De Oliveira H, De Brito Lima LN, Chifu C, Rodriguez-Fernandez J, Petit JY, Luini A. Surgical closure of chest wall in noninflammatory locally advanced breast carcinoma with ulceration of the skin. *Breast J.* 2008;14:345–52.
36. Zhou F, Liu W, Tang Y. Autologous rib transplantation and terylene patch for repair of chest wall defect in a girl with Poland syndrome: a case report. *J Pediatr Surg.* 2008;43:1902–5.
37. Hoch J, Eckmann S, Stahlenbrecher A, Elsner T. The contralateral pectoralis muscle flap: a valuable alternative to cover chest wall tissue defects. *Eur J Plast Surg.* 2006;29:85–8.

## Figures



**Figure 1**

A 57-year-old female patient with locally recurrent breast cancer. Patient with locally recurrent breast cancer and preoperative design of skin flap (A). The heart and lung were all exposed (B). A piece of titanium mesh used to stabilize the thoracic cage defect (C). The pedicled rectus abdominis musculocutaneous flap formation to coverage the chest wall defect (D). A pedicled aponeurosis flap of obliquus externus abdominis about 10 cm×5 cm and a piece of e-PTFE about 8 cm×6 cm used to stabilize the abdominal wall and eliminate the abdominal tension (E). Outcome of the patient after operation (F). The patient recovered very well without any complication. The titanium micromesh affects X-ray image (G) but not CT scan (H). tm, titanium micromesh.