

1 **Molecular epidemiology of a fatal sarcoptic mange epidemic in endangered San Joaquin kit**
2 **foxes (*Vulpes macrotis mutica*)**

3

4 Jaime L. Rudd ^{1, 2*}, Deana L. Clifford ^{1, 2}, Brian L. Cypher ³, Joshua M. Hull ⁴, A. Jane Riner^{1, 2},
5 And Janet E. Foley ¹

6

7 ¹Department of Medicine and Epidemiology, University of California, Davis, CA 95616, USA; ²
8 Wildlife Investigations Laboratory, California Department of Fish and Wildlife, Rancho
9 Cordova, CA 95670, USA; ³Endangered Species Recovery Program, California State University,
10 Stanislaus, Turlock, CA 95382, USA; ⁴United States Fish and Wildlife Service, Sacramento, CA
11 95825, USA

12 *Jaime.Rudd@wildlife.ca.gov

13

14 **Abstract**

15 **Background:** In 2013, sarcoptic mange, caused by *Sarcoptes scabiei* mites, precipitated a
16 catastrophic decline of the formerly stable urban population of endangered San Joaquin kit foxes
17 (*Vulpes macrotis mutica*) in Bakersfield, California, USA. In 2019, a smaller sarcoptic mange
18 outbreak affected kit foxes 58 km southwest of Bakersfield in the town of Taft, California. To
19 determine whether the Taft outbreak could have occurred as spillover from the Bakersfield
20 outbreak and whether epidemic control efforts must involve not only kit foxes but also sympatric
21 dogs (*Canis lupus familiaris*), coyotes (*Canis latrans*), and red foxes (*Vulpes vulpes*), we
22 evaluated genotypes and gene flow among mites collected from each host species.

23 **Methods:** We used 10 *Sarcoptes* microsatellite markers (SARM) to perform molecular typing of
24 445 *S. scabiei* mites collected from skin scrapings from twenty-two infested kit foxes, two dogs,
25 five coyotes, and five red foxes from Bakersfield, Taft, and other nearby cities.

26 **Results:** We identified 60 alleles across all SARM loci; kit fox- and red fox-derived mites were
27 relatively monomorphic, while genetic variability was greatest in Bakersfield coyote- and dog-
28 derived mites. AMOVA analysis documented distinct mite populations unique to hosts, with an
29 overall F_{ST} of 0.467. The lowest F_{ST} (i.e. closest genetic relationship, $F_{ST} = 0.038$) was between
30 Bakersfield and Taft kit fox-derived mites while the largest genetic difference was between
31 Ventura coyote- and Taft kit fox-derived mites ($F_{ST} = 0.843$).

32 **Conclusion:** These results confirm the close relationship between the Taft and Bakersfield
33 outbreaks. The *Sarcoptes* host specificity suggests that, although an inter-species spillover event
34 likely initiated the kit fox mange outbreak, mite transmission is now primarily intraspecific.
35 Therefore, any large-scale population level intervention should focus on treating kit foxes within
36 the city.

37 **Keywords:** Bakersfield, California, management, host specificity, microsatellites, mites,
38 *Sarcoptes scabiei*, wildlife

39

40 **Background**

41 The first written reference to the skin disease now known as scabies dates to 1200 BCE
42 [1]. From the time of the ancient Greeks and Romans through the middle ages, mange was
43 known as the “itch” [2] and its cause was unknown until 1687, when the Italian physician
44 Giovanni Cosimo Bonomo identified a mite as the causative agent, making scabies one of the

45 first diseases in human history with a known etiology [2]. In 1778, DeGeer formally named the
46 itch mite *Acarus scabiei*, and this classification was revised in 1802 to a new genus, *Sarcoptes*,
47 now with only a single species, *S. scabiei* [3, 1]. The World Health Organization (WHO)
48 describes human scabies as a prevalent, contagious condition affecting more than 200 million
49 people each year worldwide [4].

50 *Sarcoptes scabiei* is also the agent of sarcoptic mange in animals and affects over 100
51 mammalian species [3, 5]. Infested domestic animals may have been original sources of mites,
52 which then crossed species boundaries and infested many wildlife hosts [6]. *Sarcoptes scabiei*
53 now appears to be a single but highly variable mite species with many host-restricted genetic
54 variants [7-13]. Although past assessment of host-variant relationships was limited to
55 morphological examination [7], advances in DNA-based techniques now permit improved
56 resolution of those relationships. Microsatellite studies in particular have revealed that, even
57 when multiple species in a community are infested with sarcoptic mange, each may harbor its
58 own host-restricted genetic variant [10]. Documentation of the host specificity of each variant
59 can help determine the host that served as the original source of the epidemic, how many species
60 are involved, and how best to intercede.

61 A continuing sarcoptic mange epidemic is causing a dramatic decline in a subpopulation
62 of San Joaquin kit foxes (*Vulpes macrotis mutica*, kit fox hereafter). One-hundred years of
63 widespread agricultural and urban development have extirpated these kit foxes throughout much
64 of their historic range such that this subspecies of kit fox is now federally endangered [14],
65 persisting in a small metapopulation of three main subpopulations and less than a dozen satellite
66 subpopulations in the western and southern ends of the San Joaquin Valley in central California
67 [14, 15]. Remarkably, the largest satellite subpopulation of kit foxes in the city of Bakersfield

68 was stable despite ongoing urbanization [16, 17] and was considered a possible source for
69 reintroductions to hedge against catastrophic declines in natural lands [17, 18]. However, after
70 the initial detection of mange in 2013 [19], disease spread rapidly throughout this urban
71 subpopulation causing substantial mortality. More than 460 kit foxes have been infested as of
72 October 2018, and all cases have been lethal if not treated [5, 19, Cypher personal
73 communication]. In January 2019, sarcoptic mange was detected in a smaller sub-population of
74 kit foxes living in the city of Taft, 58 km southwest of Bakersfield [Cypher unpublished data].

75 Kit foxes in these unique and valuable urban populations are relatively habituated to
76 human presence and easily captured, and affected individuals can be treated in rehabilitation
77 facilities [19]. However, more efficient population-level management, including prevention of
78 the spread of mange, could have a greater impact than individual animal treatment. Without
79 knowing whether kit foxes share mite variants with sympatric species such as coyotes (*Canis*
80 *latrans*), dogs (*C. lupus familiaris*), or red foxes (*V. vulpes*), it is not known whether
81 management strategies to address mange in kit foxes must incorporate the other hosts as well.
82 Accordingly, the goal for this project was to use molecular epidemiology to determine if the Taft
83 outbreak is part of the Bakersfield outbreak and to understand the extent to which sympatric
84 species share *S. scabiei* mite variants with kit foxes. Such insight would be invaluable, as
85 management strategies that target multiple canids would be considerably more difficult rather
86 than a single species strategy primarily due to varying ecology, home range size, ease of capture
87 for application of preventative treatment, and public acceptance of prevention programs (e.g.
88 dogs vs. coyotes)[17, 20].

89

90 **Methods**

91 **Collection of *Sarcoptes scabiei* mites**

92 Sampling was opportunistic, consisting of animals that were found dead, euthanized for
93 humane reasons, or euthanized because of threats to public safety or domestic animals. These
94 included foxes found dead or euthanized due to mange provided by the Endangered Species
95 Recovery Program (ESRP) and the California Department of Fish and Wildlife (CDFW), ill stray
96 dogs from Kern County Animal Services and Bakersfield Animal Care Center, and coyotes
97 found dead due to vehicular strike (at Fort Irwin), euthanized due to severe mange (Ventura), hit
98 by vehicles, or euthanized for depredation by the United States Department of Agriculture
99 Wildlife Services (USDA, Figure 1).

100 All carcasses were frozen at -20C and then transferred to the CDFW Wildlife
101 Investigations Laboratory (WIL) for examination and sampling of mites. Carcasses were
102 carefully examined for skin scaling, hair loss, pustules, papules, and hyperkeratosis along the
103 tail, rear legs, dorsum, abdomen, elbows, forelegs, neck, and head. Skin at the periphery of
104 suspected lesions was scraped with a sterile surgical blade; contents of the scraping were placed
105 onto a clean microscope slide with 3 drops of sterile water and examined under 400X
106 magnification for presence of mites. Mites were morphologically identified as *S. scabiei* [21] and
107 5cm x 5cm sections of mite-positive skin were excised and stored in sterile plastic bags. Because
108 mites were rare on dog skin, positive skin scrapings from dogs were flushed from the glass slide
109 into 2-ml microfuge tubes with 70% EtOH. Skin samples and microfuge tubes were stored at -
110 20C.

111

112 **Preparation of mite DNA and microsatellite analysis**

113 Frozen skin and scraping contents were thawed and individual mites collected using
114 microscopy. Each mite was pierced with a sterile 18-gauge needle under a dissecting microscope
115 and digested overnight in lysis buffer and proteinase K (Qiagen, Valencia, CA, USA) at 56°C.
116 The Micro DNA Extraction Kit (Qiagen) procedure was used for the preparation of mite DNA
117 from each individual mite according to the manufacturer's recommendations. Final DNA from
118 each mite was eluted in 60µl of buffer AE.

119 We used ten microsatellite markers (SARMS 33-38, 40, 41, 44, and 45; Table 1) to
120 genotype mites with modifications to the published protocol [10]. Forward primers were labeled
121 with HEX or 6-FAM dye (Integrated DNA Technologies, Coralville, IA, USA) and reconstituted
122 into 100 µM working dilutions. Primer pairs were combined into paired multiplexes with 1.5 –
123 2.5 µM of each primer. We performed PCR using the Qiagen 2X Type-it Multiplex PCR Master
124 Mix, 10X multiplex primer mix (2.5 µl), DNA-free water (7 µl), and 2-3 µl DNA for a total
125 reaction of 25 µl. Thermocycling conditions were as published (Rasero et al. 2010). PCR
126 products were transferred to 96-well plates (Biotix Inc, San Diego, CA, USA) for electrophoresis
127 and measurement of length polymorphisms on an ABI 3730 analyzer (Thermo Fisher, CA, USA)
128 at the Veterinary Genetics Laboratory (Davis, CA, USA) and output sequence alignments were
129 automated using the program STRand [22]. Microsatellite allele scoring was performed with the
130 R-package MSATALLELE [23]. Data were first organized in an EXCEL (Microsoft, Redmond,
131 WA, USA) spreadsheet and converted into genepop format using the program CREATE [24].
132 Possible genotyping errors due to stuttering or large allele dropout were evaluated using
133 MICROCHECKER [25]. Null alleles were estimated using ML-RELATE [26].

134

135 **Genetic analysis for population differentiation**

136 Initially, we genotyped 20 – 30 mites from each host individual, except where only one
137 or two mites could be found (e.g. dogs). After genotyping 351 mites from 19 canids at 20-30
138 mites per individual, it was observed that mites from individual kit fox lacked genetic diversity,
139 therefore subsequent analysis only incorporated 1-6 mites per kit fox host individual.

140 For mites from each host population, we estimated allelic richness (R), number of
141 polymorphic loci, expected (H_e) and observed heterozygosity (H_o), Hardy-Weinberg equilibrium
142 (HWE), linkage disequilibrium (LD), and partitioned components of variance using Analysis of
143 Molecular Variance (AMOVA). To evaluate differentiation among the *S. scabiei* mite
144 populations, we calculated the pairwise F_{ST} and visualized the differences using principal
145 components analysis (PCA). Analyses were completed using the software GENALEX v. 6.2 [27]
146 and R packages [28] PopGenReport [29], adegenet [30], and poppr [31]. p-values ≤ 0.05 were
147 considered statistically significant. Origin of hosts was mapped using ArcGIS version 10.3.1
148 (ESRI, Redlands, CA, USA).

149

150 **Results**

151 We assessed population genetics of 445 *S. scabiei* mites from 41 host individuals in four
152 host species, including six mites from two dogs from Bakersfield, 137 mites from seven coyotes
153 (five from Bakersfield, one from Fort Irwin, and one from Ventura), 192 mites from 21 kit foxes
154 from Bakersfield, 23 mites from five Taft kit foxes, and 87 mites from four red foxes from
155 Bakersfield and one from Fresno (Table 2). Although occasional loci of particular mites did not
156 amplify (Table S1), 60 alleles were detected across the 10 microsatellite loci of all four host
157 species, ranging from two alleles detected at SARM-38 to 11 alleles at SARM-33. A total of 31

158 private alleles were detected, distributed among eight loci. All loci showed LD ($p = 0.001$) and
159 significant deviations from HWE ($p < 0.001$).

160

161 **Kit fox mites**

162 Among the Bakersfield kit fox-derived mites, despite relatively large numbers of mites, there
163 was low overall mean allelic richness ($R = 1.29$) and all except SARM-33, 40, 41, and 44 were
164 monomorphic (Table 3, Table S1). There were 15 alleles across the variable microsatellite loci
165 including a private allele at SARM-40 at a frequency of 0.003. Values of H_o (0.082) and H_e
166 (0.117) were relatively low. SARM-33, 40, and 41 were not in HWE, had possible null alleles at
167 frequencies of 0.135, 0.177, and 0.167 respectively, and were in LD ($p < 0.05$). Mites collected
168 from Taft kit foxes were similar to Bakersfield kit fox mites and also had low allelic richness (R
169 = 1.19). There were only two polymorphic loci, SARM-40 and 44 (Table 3), and a total of 12
170 alleles across all loci. No private alleles were detected and, similar to Bakersfield kit fox mites,
171 H_o (0.076) and H_e (0.085) were low. All alleles were in HWE and there was no LD.

172

173 **Red fox mites**

174 Red fox-derived mites from Bakersfield had multiple alleles only at the same three loci as kit
175 fox mites, whereas SARM-36 was also variable among the Fresno red fox mites (Table 3). A
176 single private allele was detected at SARM-41 at a frequency of 0.05 in mites from the Fresno
177 red fox and no private alleles were detected in mites from the Bakersfield red foxes. Mean R was
178 low for both Bakersfield and Fresno ($R = 1.28$) with 15 and 14 total alleles detected in these mite
179 populations, respectively. Values of H_e from both Bakersfield and Fresno resembled the kit fox

180 mites, as did H_o from Bakersfield, whereas H_o from Fresno was slightly lower (0.114). The only
181 deviation from HWE was at SARM-33 in mites from Bakersfield ($p < 0.05$). A null allele was
182 detected at SARM-33 at a frequency of 0.295. Both SARM-33 and SARM-40 were in LD ($p <$
183 0.05). Null alleles were not detected in mites from the Fresno red fox and there was no LD.

184

185 **Domestic dog mites**

186 Despite obtaining only three mites from each of the two dogs, only two loci (SARM-35 and 37)
187 had fixed alleles with a total of 22 alleles detected (Table 3). Two private alleles were detected at
188 SARM-34. Average allelic richness was 2.08 and dog mites had lower H_o (0.017) but higher H_e
189 (0.368) than mites collected from foxes (Table 2). Only SARM-35 and SARM-37 were in HWE
190 and null alleles were detected at SARM-33 and 34 at frequencies of 0.382 and 0.403,
191 respectively. LD was detected between SARM-33 and 34, 36, 38, 40; SARM-34 and 36 and 40;
192 SARM-36 and 38 and 40; SARM-38 and 40; and SARM-41 and 44 ($p < 0.05$).

193

194 **Coyote mites**

195 Similar to dogs, the majority of loci in mites from coyotes were variable, with only three fixed
196 loci in mites from Ft. Irwin (SARM-36, 37, and 38) and none from Bakersfield (Table 3).
197 However, all except SARM-34 and 44 were monomorphic in mites from Ventura. Total numbers
198 of detectable alleles were much higher than for other mite populations, with 52 detectable alleles
199 in Bakersfield coyotes. There were private alleles in Bakersfield coyotes at eight loci (SARM-33,
200 34, 35, 37, 40, 41, 44, and 45), a single private allele in Ft. Irwin at SARM-40, and a single
201 private allele in Ventura at SARM-34. Mean R (2.78) was notably high in these Bakersfield

202 coyote mites whereas the lowest overall H_o (0.023) and H_e (0.032) occurred in the Ventura
203 coyote mites. There was significant HWE departure for Ventura SARM-44 ($p = 0.01$) and for all
204 loci in Bakersfield coyote mites ($p < 0.05$), but none in Ft. Irwin. Null alleles were detected at all
205 loci except SARM-45 at frequencies ranging between 0.121 and 0.364 for Bakersfield coyote
206 mites. Bakersfield coyote mites had LD at SARM-33 and 34, 36, 37, 38, 40, 41, 44, and 45;
207 SARM-34 and 36, 38, and 44; SARM-35 and 45; SARM-36 and 38; SARM-37 and 38, 40, and
208 44; and SARM-40 and 44 ($p < 0.05$). LD was also detected in Ft. Irwin coyote mites between
209 SARM-36 and 40 ($p < 0.05$).

210

211 **Host Population Differentiation**

212 AMOVA analysis showed significant differentiation among host species-derived mite
213 populations ($F_{ST} = 0.468$, $p < 0.01$, Table 4, Figure 2). The most closely related populations were
214 mites from Bakersfield and Taft kit foxes ($F_{ST} = 0.038$, $p < 0.01$), followed by mites from kit
215 foxes and red foxes from Bakersfield ($F_{ST} = 0.05$, $p < 0.01$). The least related mites were from
216 the Taft kit foxes and Ventura coyote ($F_{ST} = 0.843$, $p < 0.01$). Bakersfield kit fox and
217 Bakersfield coyote mites were also genetically distinct (0.508 , $p < 0.01$) as were Bakersfield
218 coyote and dog mites (0.168 , $p < 0.01$). These relationships were also clear on the scatterplot of
219 the PCA of mites, on which Bakersfield and Taft mites clustered together, but were distinct from
220 all other mites (Figure 2).

221

222 **Discussion**

223 *Sarcoptes scabiei* infests a wide array of hosts in many communities. Although multiple
224 hosts in a community may experience mange simultaneously, examination of mite genetics often
225 reveals various degrees of host preference and specificity – a phenomenon described as the
226 “host-taxon law” [6, 10, 11, 32-38]. Mange is fatal in kit foxes and may contribute to local
227 extinction of the endangered San Joaquin kit fox in Bakersfield [19]. Therefore, we investigated
228 the population genetic structure of *S. scabiei* mites among these host species in order to
229 understand the risk that coyotes, dogs, and red foxes pose for mite spillover into kit foxes, with
230 the ultimate goal of developing an intervention strategy. Our data clearly reveal host-specificity
231 and that kit foxes acquire mite infestations from other kit foxes, both in Bakersfield and in Taft.

232 Based on data from 10 microsatellite markers, the most important source of population
233 structure (46% on AMOVA) among the mites was the host and to a lesser degree geographical
234 source, although samples from geographical locations outside of Bakersfield were limited as this
235 was not the original intention of the study. Bakersfield mites from kit and red foxes, and mites
236 from Taft kit foxes, had relatively few alleles and low heterozygosity compared to dogs and
237 coyotes, comprising an obvious cluster differentiated from other host species regardless of
238 geographic area. However, red fox mites from Fresno were less closely related to the Bakersfield
239 or Taft fox mites, and regardless of location, mites from domestic dogs and coyotes were
240 intermixed, suggesting different epidemiological cycles of mange in different areas. The lack of
241 genetic variability and fixation of alleles among Bakersfield kit and red foxes is consistent with a
242 founder event in the Bakersfield fox population. Because the Bakersfield outbreak was reported
243 six years prior to the Taft outbreak, it is suspected that the source of mites in Taft was
244 Bakersfield. Further host genetic analysis of Bakersfield and Taft kit foxes could elucidate gene

245 flow between foxes in these locations, and additional camera or telemetry data could help clarify
246 prospects for gene flow among the kit foxes and mites as well.

247 Thirteen of the 14 alleles detected in Bakersfield kit fox mites, all 12 alleles in Taft kit
248 fox mites, and all 15 alleles in red fox mites from Bakersfield were also found in coyotes and
249 domestic dogs. The Bakersfield kit fox-derived mites had one private allele but only at low
250 frequencies which could be due to genotyping error. Presence of private alleles can indicate
251 isolation of mite populations and host-associated genetic variants [11]. *S. scabiei* mites can be
252 transmitted between red foxes and domestic dogs in Europe [10], supporting the likelihood that
253 the original source of mange among Bakersfield kit foxes was coyotes or dogs. Further
254 indication of an original spillover in Bakersfield and Taft with a founder event is the absence of
255 polymorphic loci across 282 mites collected from 31 foxes (27 kit foxes and 4 red foxes)
256 belonging to the same *Vulpes* genus. Bakersfield dog and coyote mites had high R , H_o and H_e
257 consistent with a large ancestral population of mites as is described for other mites collected
258 from the genus *Canis* [12, 40].

259 Multiple studies [11, 13, 39, 37, 38] have examined a relatively small number of mite
260 individuals from a host individual, implicitly assuming that genetic variability of mites on a
261 single host was negligible. We found little benefit to sampling more than 25-30 individual mites
262 per host individual in our study, in part because common alleles tend to be more informative than
263 rare alleles when evaluating genetic composition within a population [40], and also because there
264 was so little genetic variability among mites from any kit fox individual. Moreover, in the case of
265 dogs, we could not necessarily achieve our target sample size of 30 mites. Despite this limitation,
266 the six mites we did collect from two dogs showed considerable heterozygosity and allelic
267 richness.

268 Coyote mites from Bakersfield, while the most variable, also had significant deviations
269 from HWE, were in LD, and may have had null alleles – findings similar to other *Sarcoptes* and
270 mite studies [10, 39, 41]. Null alleles are found in most taxa and are especially prominent in
271 insects and bi-valves, resulting in homozygous excess and deviations from HWE [42 – 44]. This
272 suggests that we may be underestimating the total variability in the coyote mite population.
273 Given the larger home range sizes of coyotes, it is also possible that the deviations from HWE
274 could have occurred if locations of sampling of coyotes and their mites were different from the
275 areas where they typically resided (e.g. Wahlund effect).

276

277 **Conclusions**

278 Microsatellites are commonly used to study recent evolutionary events and have often
279 been used to study molecular genetics of mange, thus our use of microsatellites allows our results
280 to be compared directly to findings in the literature. There are other molecular methods such as
281 Radseq, which analyzes single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs), which may offer insight into
282 finer scale phylogeographic patterns [45] and possible historic host-associated introductions.
283 Further, use of SNPs could potentially avoid some of the biases and subjectivity that can impact
284 allele scoring of microsatellites. Whether using microsatellites or whole next generation
285 genotypical approaches, ongoing genetic surveillance will be necessary to detect rare cross-
286 species transmission among southern San Joaquin Valley canids which could initiate mange
287 emergence in exurban kit foxes, an event that could contribute to species-wide declines.

288 Scabies in humans is often associated with overcrowding such as in hospitals, nursing
289 homes, prisons, and schools [46 – 50]. High population densities may underlie sarcoptic mange

290 epidemics in wildlife as well [51]. The Bakersfield kit fox population is uniquely dense relative
291 to exurban populations and these kit foxes may share dens with skunks (*Mephitis mephitis*) and
292 domestic cats (*Felis catus*) or occupy dens previously used by red foxes, coyotes, or feral dogs.
293 Such a complex contact network with high density and high host biodiversity could exacerbate
294 the mange epidemic; however, biodiversity per se appears unlikely to contribute given our
295 finding of host-restricted mite variants. The high densities of Bakersfield kit foxes may
296 contribute to the severe impact of mange on this population, which could suggest that less dense
297 exurban populations may be at less risk. The existence of host-restricted mite variants could also
298 explain why it is common to observe mange-infested animals living in a community with other,
299 mange-free host species.

300 Large epidemics of sarcoptic mange with high case fatality rates can devastate free-
301 ranging wildlife [52 – 56]. Spillover of mites from common and less clinically impacted species
302 such as coyotes and domestic dogs poses an important conservation challenge for wildlife
303 managers and can inhibit recovery efforts [57]. Therefore, documenting the true likelihood of
304 acquiring infestation from conspecific and sympatric hosts is crucial for intervention to support
305 the most at-risk species. Our data clearly document that kit fox mites circulate primarily among
306 Bakersfield kit foxes with occasional transmission or spillover to and from red foxes. The recent
307 Taft epidemic is closely related to the epidemic in Bakersfield kit foxes and probably derived
308 from Bakersfield kit foxes directly. This suggests that efforts to control mange in the kit fox
309 population should focus on kit foxes and that other species are not primarily involved in this
310 epidemic.

311

312 **Ethics Approval and consent to participate**

313 Sample collection was opportunistic and performed with consent from study partners. Work was
314 conducted under authorization of a 10(a)1(A) recovery permit TE825573-2 from the United
315 States Fish and Wildlife Service, a Memorandum of Understanding from the California
316 Department of Fish and Wildlife (CDFW), and University of California Davis Institutional
317 Animal Care and Use protocol #18179.

318

319 **Consent for publication**

320 Not applicable.

321

322 **Availability of data and materials**

323 Data are available on request to the authors.

324

325 **Competing interests**

326 The findings and conclusions in this article are those of the authors and do not necessarily
327 represent the views of the CA Department of Fish and Wildlife or the U.S. Fish and Wildlife
328 Service. The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

329

330 **Funding**

331 This project received financial support from the Karen C. Drayer Wildlife Health Center, School
332 of Veterinary Medicine, University of California, Davis; Morris Animal Foundation Grant

333 D15ZO-013; the US Fish and Wildlife Service Traditional Section 6 Grant program; the
334 California Department of Fish and Wildlife; and the University of California, Davis.

335

336 **Authors' contributions**

337 JLR carried out sample collection, microsatellite typing, conducted the raw data analysis, and
338 wrote the manuscript. DLC, BLC, and JMH, participated in study design and writing the
339 manuscript. AJR processed the samples and prepared them for microsatellite analysis. JF
340 participated in study design, data analysis, and writing the manuscript. All authors have read and
341 approved the final manuscript.

342

343 **Acknowledgements**

344 We wish to thank Erin Meredith and Mike Buchalski from CDFW for their guidance and advice
345 with the genetic analysis. We thank the Endangered Species Recovery Program's Tory Westall,
346 Erica Kelly, and Christine Van Horn-Job; CDFWs Kelsey Clark and Abby Gwinn, and the talent
347 of CDFWs Meredith Simoneau for the graphical abstract; Eric Covington with the USDA
348 Wildlife Services; Liam Murphy, a volunteer research assistant in the Foley Lab; the California
349 Living Museum; and the staff at Kern County Animal Services and Bakersfield Animal Care
350 Center for their help in acquiring mite samples. We additionally would like to thank C. Reddell
351 and G. Roemer from New Mexico State University for allowing us to use mite samples collected
352 from their study animals in Ft. Irwin, CA. Lastly, we wish to thank the citizens of Bakersfield for
353 taking an interest in kit foxes and reporting sick, moribund, and deceased animals.

354 **References**

- 355 1. Arlian LG, Morgan MS. A review of *Sarcoptes scabiei*: past, present and future. Parasit
356 Vectors. 2017;10:297.
- 357 2. Roncalli R. The history of scabies in veterinary and human medicine from biblical to
358 modern times. Vet Parasitol. 1987;25:193-198.
- 359 3. Pence D, Ueckermann E. Sarcoptic mange in wildlife. Rev Sci Tech OIE. 2002;21:385-
360 398.
- 361 4. World Health Organization (WHO). Scabies.
362 https://www.who.int/neglected_diseases/diseases/scabies/en/. Accessed 8 June 2019.
- 363 5. Bornstein S, Mörner T, Samuel WM. *Sarcoptes scabiei* and sarcoptic mange. 2nd ed.
364 Aimes, Iowa: Iowa State University Press; 2001. p. 107-119.
- 365 6. Arlian LG. Biology, host relations, and epidemiology of *Sarcoptes scabiei*. Annu Rev
366 Entomol. 1989;34:139-159.
- 367 7. Walton SF, Currie BJ, Kemp DJ. A DNA fingerprinting system for the ectoparasite
368 *Sarcoptes scabiei*. Mol Biochem Parasitol. 1997;85:187-196.
- 369 8. Zahle M, Essig A, Gothe R, Rinder H. Molecular analyses suggest monospecificity of the
370 genus *Sarcoptes* (Acari: Sarcoptidae) 1. Int J Parasitol. 1999;29:759-766.
- 371 9. Walton S, Dougall A, Pizzutto S, Holt D, Taplin D, Arlian L, Morgan M, Currie B,
372 Kemp D. Genetic epidemiology of *Sarcoptes scabiei* (Acari: Sarcoptidae) in northern
373 Australia. Int J Parasitol. 2004;34:839-849.
- 374 10. Rasero R, Rossi L, Soglia D, Maione S, Sacchi P, Rambozzi L, Sartore S, Soriguer RC,
375 Spalenza V, Alasaad S. Host taxon-derived *Sarcoptes* mite in European wild animals
376 revealed by microsatellite markers. Biol Conserv. 2010;143:1269-1277.

- 377 11. Alasaad, S, Oleaga Á, Casais R, Rossi L, Min AM, Soriguer RC, Gortázar C. Temporal
378 stability in the genetic structure of *Sarcoptes scabiei* under the host-taxon law: empirical
379 evidences from wildlife-derived Sarcoptes mite in Asturias, Spain. *Parasit Vectors*.
380 2011;4:1.
- 381 12. Oleaga Á, Casais R, Balseiro A, Espí A, Llaneza L, Hartasánchez A, Gortázar C. New
382 techniques for an old disease: sarcoptic mange in the Iberian wolf. *Vet Parasitol*.
383 2011;181:255-266.
- 384 13. Alasaad S, Schuster RK, Gakuya F, Theneyan M, Jowers MJ, Maione S, Min AM,
385 Soriguer RC, Rossi L. Applicability of molecular markers to determine parasitic infection
386 origins in the animal trade: a case study from *Sarcoptes* mites in wildebeest. *Forensic Sci*
387 *Med Pathol*. 2012;8:280-284.
- 388 14. United States Fish and Wildlife Service (USFWS). San Joaquin kit fox (*Vulpes macrotis*
389 *nutica*) 5-year review: summary and evaluation. Sacramento, California. 2010.
- 390 15. Cypher B, Phillips S, Kelly P. Quantity and distribution of suitable habitat for endangered
391 San Joaquin kit foxes: conservation implications. *Canid Biol Conserv*. 2013;16:25-31.
- 392 16. Cypher B, Frost N. Condition of San Joaquin kit foxes in urban and exurban habitats. *J*
393 *Wildl Manage*. 1999;63:930-938.
- 394 17. Cypher B. Kit Foxes. In: Gehrt S, Riley S, Cypher B, editors. *Urban Carnivores:*
395 *Ecology, Conflict, and Conservation*. Baltimore, Maryland: Johns Hopkins University
396 Press; 2010. p. 49-60.
- 397 18. Cypher B, Van Horn Job C. Management and conservation of San Joaquin kit foxes in
398 urban environments. *Proc Vertebr Pest Conf*. 2012;25:347-352.

- 399 19. Cypher BL., Rudd JL, Westall TL, Woods LW, Stephenson N, Foley JR, Richardson D,
400 Clifford DL. Sarcopic mange in endangered kit foxes (*Vulpes macrotis mutica*): case
401 histories, diagnoses, and implications for conservation. *J Wildl Dis.* 2017;53:46-53.
- 402 20. Bjurlin C, Cypher B. Encounter frequency with the urbanized San Joaquin kit fox
403 correlates with public beliefs and attitudes toward the species. *Endanger Species Update.*
404 2005;22:107-15.
- 405 21. Fain A. Etude de la variabilite de *Sarcoptes scabiei* avec une revision des Sarcoptidae.
406 *Acta Zool Pathol Antverpiensia.* 1968;47:1-196.
- 407 22. Toonen RJ, Hughes S. Increased throughput for fragment analysis on an ABI Prism® 377
408 automated sequencer using a membrane comb and STRand software. *Biotechniques.*
409 2001;31:1320-1325.
- 410 23. Alberto F. MsatAllele_1. 0: an R package to visualize the binning of microsatellite
411 alleles. *J Hered.* 2009;100:394-397.
- 412 24. Coombs J, Letcher B, Nislow K. CREATE: a software to create input files from diploid
413 genotypic data for 52 genetic software programs. *Molec Ecol Resour.* 2008;8:578-580.
- 414 25. Van Oosterhout C, Hutchinson WF, Wills DP, Shipley P. MICRO-CHECKER: software
415 for identifying and correcting genotyping errors in microsatellite data. *Molec Ecol Notes.*
416 2004;4:535-538.
- 417 26. Kalinowski ST, Wagner AP, Taper ML. ML-Relate: a computer program for maximum
418 likelihood estimation of relatedness and relationship. *Molecular Ecology Notes.*
419 2006;6:576-579.
- 420 27. Peakall R, Smouse P. GenALEx 6.5: genetic analysis in Excel. Population genetic
421 software for teaching and research-an update. *Bioinform.* 2012;28: 2537-2539.

- 422 28. R Core Development Team. R: a language and environment for statistical computing.
423 Vienna: R Foundation for Statistical Computing; 2018. <https://www.r-project.org/>.
- 424 29. Adamack AT, Gruber B. PopGenReport: simplifying basic population genetic analyses in
425 R. *Methods Ecol Evol.* 2014;5:384-387.
- 426 30. Jombart T. Adegenet: a R package for the multivariate analysis of genetic markers.
427 *Bioinform.* 2008;24:1403-1405.
- 428 31. Kamvar ZN, Tabima JF, Grünwald NJ. Poppr: an R package for genetic analysis of
429 populations with clonal, partially clonal, and/or sexual reproduction. *PeerJ.* 2014;2:e281.
- 430 32. Arlian LG, Runyan RA, Estes SA. Cross infestivity of *Sarcoptes scabiei*. *J Am Acad*
431 *Dermatol.* 1984;10:979-986.
- 432 33. Alasaad S, Granados J, Cano-Manuel F, Meana A, Zhu X, Pérez J. Epidemiology of
433 fasciolosis affecting Iberian ibex (*Capra pyrenaica*) in southern Spain. *Parasitol Res.*
434 2008;102:751-755.
- 435 34. Alasaad S, Soglia D, Sarasa M, Soriguer RC, Pérez JM, Granados JE, Rasero R, Zhu X-
436 Q, Rossi L. Skin-scale genetic structure of *Sarcoptes scabiei* populations from individual
437 hosts: empirical evidence from Iberian ibex-derived mites. *Parasitol Res.* 2008;104:101-
438 105.
- 439 35. Gakuya F, Rossi L, Ombui J, Maingi N, Muchemi G, Ogara W, Soriguer RC, Alasaad S.
440 The curse of the prey: *Sarcoptes* mite molecular analysis reveals potential prey-to-
441 predator parasitic infestation in wild animals from Masai Mara, Kenya. *Parasite Vector.*
442 2011;4:193.

- 443 36. Alasaad S, Sarasa M, Heukelbach J, Mijeje D, Soriguer RC, Zhu X-Q, Rossi L. Advances
444 in studies of disease-navigating webs: *Sarcoptes scabiei* as a case study. *Parasit Vectors*.
445 2014;7:16.
- 446 37. Rentería-Solís Z, Min A, Alasaad S, Müller K, Michler FU, Schmäschke R, Wittstatt U,
447 Rossi L, Wibbelt G. Genetic epidemiology and pathology of raccoon-derived *Sarcoptes*
448 mites from urban areas of Germany. *Med Vet Entomol*. 2014;28:98-103.
- 449 38. Matsuyama R, Yabusaki T, Kuninaga N, Morimoto T, Okano T, Suzuki M, Asano M.
450 Coexistence of two different genotypes of *Sarcoptes scabiei* derived from companion
451 dogs and wild raccoon dogs in Gifu, Japan: The genetic evidence for transmission
452 between domestic and wild canids. *Vet Parasitol*. 2015;212:356-360.
- 453 39. Oleaga A, Alasaad S, Rossi L, Casais R, Vicente J, Maione S, Soriguer RC, Gortázar C.
454 Genetic epidemiology of *Sarcoptes scabiei* in the Iberian wolf in Asturias, Spain. *Vet*
455 *Parasitol*. 2013;196:453-459.
- 456 40. Hale ML, Burg TM, Steeves TE. Sampling for microsatellite-based population genetic
457 studies: 25 to 30 individuals per population is enough to accurately estimate allele
458 frequencies. *PLoS One*. 2012;7:e45170.
- 459 41. Sun JT, Lian C, Navajas M, Hong XY. Microsatellites reveal a strong subdivision of
460 genetic structure in Chinese populations of the mite *Tetranychus urticae* Koch (Acari:
461 Tetranychidae). *BMC Genet*. 2012;13:8.
- 462 42. Dakin E, Avise J. Microsatellite null alleles in parentage analysis. *Heredity*. 2004;93:504.
- 463 43. Chapuis M-P, Loiseau A, Michalakis Y, Lecoq M, Estoup A. Characterization and PCR
464 multiplexing of polymorphic microsatellite loci for the locust *Locusta migratoria*. *Molec*
465 *Ecol Notes*. 2005;5:554-557.

- 466 44. Rico C, Cuesta JA, Drake P, Macpherson E, Bernatchez L, Marie AD. Null alleles are
467 ubiquitous at microsatellite loci in the Wedge Clam (*Donax trunculus*). PeerJ.
468 2017;5:e3188.
- 469 45. Jeffries DL, Copp GH, Lawson Handley L, Olsén KH, Sayer CD, Hänfling B. Comparing
470 RAD seq and microsatellites to infer complex phylogeographic patterns, an empirical
471 perspective in the Crucian carp, *Carassius carassius*, L. Molec Ecol. 2016;25:2997-3018.
- 472 46. Savin J. Scabies in Edinburgh from 1815 to 2000. J Roy Soc Med. 2005;98:124-129.
- 473 47. Hengge UR, Currie BJ, Jäger G, Lupi O, Schwartz RA. Scabies: a ubiquitous neglected
474 skin disease. Lancet Infect Dis. 2006;6:769-779.
- 475 48. Tjioe M, Vissers WH. Scabies outbreaks in nursing homes for the elderly. Drug Aging.
476 2008;25:299-306.
- 477 49. Hicks MI, Elston DM. Scabies. Dermatol Ther. 2009;22:279-292.
- 478 50. Hay R, Steer A, Engelman D, Walton S. Scabies in the developing world—its prevalence,
479 complications, and management. Clin Microbiol Infec. 2012;18:313-323.
- 480 51. Lunelli A. An SEI model for sarcoptic mange among chamois. J Biol Dynam.
481 2010;4(2):140-57.
- 482 52. Mörner T. Sarcoptic mange in Swedish wildlife. Rev Sci Tech OIE. 1992;11:1115-1121.
- 483 53. León-Vizcaíno L, Ruíz De Ybáñez MR, Cubero MJ, Ortíz JM, Espinosa J, Pérez L,
484 Simón MA, Alonso F. Sarcoptic mange in Spanish ibex from Spain. J Wildl Dis.
485 1999;35:647-659.
- 486 54. Soulsbury CD, Iossa G, Baker PJ, Cole NIKC, Funk SM, Harris S. The impact of
487 sarcoptic mange *Sarcoptes scabiei* on the British fox *Vulpes vulpes* population. Mammal
488 Rev. 2007;37:278-296.

- 489 55. Uraguchi K, Ueno M, Iijima H, Saitoh T. Demographic analyses of a fox population
490 suffering from sarcoptic mange. *J Wildl Manage.* 2014;78:1356-1371.
- 491 56. Martin AM, Burridge CP, Ingram J, Fraser TA, Carver S. Invasive pathogen drives host
492 population collapse: effects of a travelling wave of sarcoptic mange on bare-nosed
493 wombats. *J Appl Ecol.* 2018;55:331-341.
- 494 57. Jimenez MD, Bangs EE, Sime C, Asher VJ. Sarcoptic mange found in wolves in the
495 Rocky Mountains in western United States. *J Wildl Dis.* 2010;46(4):1120-5.

List of Tables

Table 1. Six multiplexes of 10 microsatellite markers used to evaluate host-associated population genetics of *Sarcoptes scabiei* mites. Microsatellite assays are as published [10].

Table 2. Total number of alleles and the number of private alleles detected in 10 microsatellite loci for 445 *Sarcoptes scabiei* mites

Table 3. Characteristics of genetic variability among 445 *Sarcoptes scabiei* for each host-derived mite populations

Table 4. F_{ST} estimates for 10 microsatellite loci examined from 445 *Sarcoptes scabiei* mites for each host-derived mite population

Supplementary information

Supplementary information accompanies this paper at [\[link here\]](#).

Additional file 1: Table S1. Distributions of allele frequencies in 10 microsatellite loci among *Sarcoptes scabiei* mite populations by host and host location (allele sizes are in base pairs).

Private alleles are denoted with “†”.

Figure 1. Map of mite-host sampling locations in California

Figure 2. Dispersion of dog-, coyote-, kit fox -, and red fox-associated mite populations according to principal components analysis (PCA)