

Preprints are preliminary reports that have not undergone peer review. They should not be considered conclusive, used to inform clinical practice, or referenced by the media as validated information.

The Relationship Between HER2 Status Acquired From Pathological Data and Metabolic Parameters From Pretreatment 18F-FDG PET/CT in Gastric Adenocarcinomas

Merve Nur Acar Tayyar (Intracar13@gmail.com)

Prof.Dr.Cemil Taşçıoğlu City Hospital https://orcid.org/0009-0001-4126-7778

Müge Öner Tamam Prof.Dr. Cemil Tascioglu City Hospital Gündüzalp Buğrahan Babacan Prof.Dr. Cemil Tascioglu City Hospital Mehmet Can Şahin Prof.Dr. Cemil Tascioglu City Hospital Halim Özçevik Basaksehir Cam and Sakura City Hospital

Selma Şengiz Erhan Prof.Dr. Cemil Tascioglu City Hospital

Research Article

Keywords: Gastric cancer, 18F-FDG PET/CT, Metabolic parameters, HER2, Survival

Posted Date: August 10th, 2023

DOI: https://doi.org/10.21203/rs.3.rs-3213344/v1

License:
() This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License. Read Full License

Abstract

Aim

This study aimed to investigate the relationship between pathological data with strong importance to Human Epidermal Growth Factor Receptor 2 (HER2) status, and pre-treatment 18F-FDG PET/CT semi-quantitative metabolic parameters in gastric adenocarcinomas and their impact on survival.

Methods

A retrospective analysis was conducted on 117 patients with gastric cancer who underwent 18F-FDG PET/CT for staging. PET/CT results were evaluated for SUVmax, SUVmean, metabolic tumor volume (MTV), total lesion glycolysis (TLG), HER2 status, pathological data, and tumor markers.

Results

Of the 117 patients, 17.1% were HER2 + and 82.9% were HER2-. SUVmax was significantly lower in tubular adenocarcinoma (TA) patients with signet ring cell (SRC) component compared to those without SRC component. Tumor size > 5 cm positively correlated with MTV and TLG in patients who underwent total resection. Vascular invasion and local invasion (T3/T4) were associated with higher SUVmax. Patients with distant metastasis had significantly higher SUVmax, SUVmean, and TLG. Stage 3/4 patients showed positive correlations with CEA and CA19-9 values. The tumor's localization in the cardia correlated significantly with HER2 positivity in the TA group. Survival analysis revealed higher 1-year and 3-year survival rates in the HER2 + group compared to the HER2- group.

Conclusion

18F-FDG PET/CT evaluation for staging provides non-invasive guiding findings for treatment and prognosis. The histological components should be performed in tubular adenocarcinomas PET/CT can predict histological components, local invasion, and vascular invasion. Evaluating metabolic parameters in the primary tumor focus using PET/CT can demonstrate the presence of distant metastasis. The relationship between tumor localization in the cardia and HER2 positivity may be useful in predicting anti-HER2 antibody treatment options. Further extensive studies are needed on this topic.

Introduction

Gastric adenocarcinoma is the fifth most common type of cancer and ranks fourth in cancer-related deaths [1]. Although the primary treatment method is surgery, chemotherapy, and immunotherapy are emphasized in advancedstage diseases. Pathological findings in gastric cancers play a crucial role in determining prognosis. Key factors include tumor stage, tumor size, lymph node involvement, presence of metastasis, and Human Epidermal Growth Factor Receptor 2 (HER2) overexpression [2, 3]. Human Epidermal Growth Factor Receptor 2 (HER2) is a tyrosine kinase family member that plays a significant role in the oncogenesis of breast and gastric cancers. While HER2/neu positivity is considered a poor prognostic factor in breast cancer, it is associated with progression and aggressive tumor biology in gastric cancer [4, 5]. HER2 positivity is observed in 7–34% of gastric cancers, making anti-HER2 treatment options, particularly trastuzumab therapy, an important consideration [5, 6]. Fluorine-18 fluorodeoxyglucose positron emission tomography/computed tomography (18F-FDG PET/CT) is a widely utilized imaging technique in the field of oncology. It combines functional and anatomical imaging, providing valuable information for cancer staging, treatment planning, and response assessment. 18F-FDG PET/CT plays a crucial role in the detection of distant metastases, including lymph node involvement and distant organ spread. Its ability to detect occult metastatic lesions, which may not be apparent in conventional imaging modalities, is particularly valuable in guiding appropriate treatment strategies and prognostic assessment [7].

Studies have shown an association between tumor markers such as CA19-9 and CEA with SUVmax values in certain types of cancer, and there are also studies suggesting a relationship between SUVmax and tumor markers in gastric cancer [8, 9, 10, 11].

There are studies investigating the relationship between pathological data and positron emission tomography/computed tomography (PET/CT) parameters. However, these studies have conflicting results.

This study aims to examine the relationship between 18F-FDG PET/CT semi-quantitative metabolic parameters (SUVmax, SUVmean, MTV, TLG), tumor pathological data, HER2, CA19-9, CEA and their impact on survival in gastric cancer. The results of this study can contribute to our understanding of the role of 18F-FDG PET/CT in the treatment of gastric cancer and the prognostic value of metabolic parameters.

Materials and Methods

Between January 2015 and August 2022, 750 patients who underwent PET/CT with a diagnosis or suspicion of gastric adenocarcinoma in our hospital were retrospectively screened. A total of 117 patients, including 83 males and 34 females, were included in the study. The inclusion criteria were confirmed pathological diagnosis of gastric adenocarcinoma, HER2 test analysis, and no prior treatment before imaging. Staging was performed based on the TNM classification for carcinoma of the stomach according to the 8th edition of the American Joint Committee on Cancer guidelines [12]. In patients with, total resection material surgical staging was possible. Patients with distant metastasis were classified as stage 4.

Patients with a second primary malignancy and those who did not undergo HER2 testing were excluded from the study.

18F- FDG PET/CT examination included the evaluation of SUVmax, SUVmean, metabolic tumor volume (MTV), total lesion glycolysis (TLG), and the presence of distant metastases. Pathological data were examined, including HER2 status, perineural invasion, local invasion, vascular invasion, tumor size and location, lymph node metastasis, histological subtype, and histological component. Age and gender were obtained by the institutional records system, and patient survival status and date of death were obtained from the national death notification system (DNS).

Histopathological Method:

All specimens underwent HER-2 immunohistochemical (IHC) staining. Cases with suspicious HER-2 scores (score 2) and positive scores (score 3) were further investigated for HER-2 gene amplification using the dual silver in situ hybridization (SISH) method.

Immunohistochemistry:

Two-micron thick sections were obtained from formalin-fixed and paraffin-embedded tissues. The sections were placed on positively charged electrostatic slides (Isotherm Technical Laboratory Glass Materials) and incubated at

58°C for approximately 4 hours until the paraffin dissolved. All sections were placed in an automated IHC staining device (Ventana, Benchmark, Ultra). Anti-HER-2/neu (4B5) rabbit monoclonal antibody (Ventana, Catalog No. 790–2991) and the compatible Ultraview Universal DAB Detection Kit were used for IHC staining.

In-situ Hybridization (ISH):

Two-micron thick sections obtained from paraffin-embedded tissues were placed on positively charged electrostatic slides (Isotherm Technical Laboratory Glass Materials) and incubated at 58°C for approximately 4 hours until the paraffin dissolved. All sections were then transferred to an automated staining device (Ventana, Benchmark, Ultra) and the Ventana inform HER2 Dual ISH Automated System was applied. The Ultraview SISH DNP Detection Kit was used for HER-2 gene detection, resulting in black signals through silver deposition, while the Ultraview Red ISH DIG Detection Kit was used for chromosome 17, resulting in red signals using Naftol and Fast Red.

IHC Evaluation:

Hofmann et al. published the scoring system for the HER-2 (4B5 clone) IHC marker evaluation [13, 14]. The staining pattern, location, intensity, and extent were considered. Membranous staining with a complete/basolateral/lateral pattern was considered positive. The negative evaluation was given to cytoplasmic, nuclear, luminal, or basal staining. The results were reported as negative (score 0 and score 1), suspicious (score 2), and positive (score 3).

ISH Evaluation:

For cases with suspicious (score 2) and positive (score 3) HER-2 IHC results, HER-2 gene amplification was investigated using the dual silver in situ hybridization (SISH) method [15, 16]. In the identified neoplastic cell group, gene and chromosome signals were counted in 40 consecutive cells at x40 magnification. Cases with an average HER-2 gene copy number \geq 6 or an HER-2 gene/chromosome 17 ratio of 2 and above were considered positive. Those with an HER-2 gene/chromosome 17 ratio below 2 were considered negative. Cells showing both gene and chromosome signals were included in the evaluation. Amplified tumor cells with overlapping gene signals were assessed by comparing them to a normal signal size. Signals touching each other or closer than one signal size were considered "paired signals" and counted as a single signal. In samples with an expected signal in the internal control cells, multiple overlapping signals in tumor cells that could not be counted separately were considered clusters. A small cluster was considered as 6 signals, and a large cluster as 12 signals. Cells without signals, cells with signals in a single color, and signals observed outside the cells were not included in the evaluation.

18 F-FDG PET/CT Imaging Technique:

After fasting for a minimum of six hours, all patients underwent PET/CT, and their blood sugar levels were assessed. An intravenous dose of 8–12 mCi (29–44 MBq; or approximately 8.1 MBq of FDG per kilogram of body weight) of 18F-FDG was administered when the serum glucose level was less than 200 ng/dl. Whole-body PET/CT imaging was performed using a full-ring high-resolution (HI-REZ) LSO PET and a six-slice CT scanner (Siemens Biograph 6, Chicago, IL, USA). The procedure included a non-enhanced CT scan followed by positron emission tomography scanning. The PET image datasets were created using the ordered subsets expectation maximization approach combined with CT-based attenuation correction was used to iteratively recreate PET image datasets.

18F-FDG PET/CT Image Analysis:

A General Electric Advantage Workstation (AW workstation Volume Viewer 3 software; GE Healthcare, Waukesha, WI, USA) was used for all image analysis. In three planes (transaxial, coronal, and sagittal), the maximum intensity projection and attenuation-corrected PET/CT fusion images were assessed. The tumor's maximum SUV, mean SUV(SUVmean), MTV, and TLG were noted. Using the formula [injected dose(MBq) body weight (g)], maximum SUV

were determined based on body weight. To determine MTV, the tumor contours were semi-automatically identified by employing a threshold of 42% of the SUVmax within the lesion. The SUVmean was multiplied by the MTV to compute total lesion glycolysis. A volumetric zone of interest was defined to encompass the main tumor completely. On checking the sagittal and coronal images, the volumetric region of interest border was semi-automatically adjusted if the volume extended beyond the borders of the primary lesion.

Ethics Committee Approval:

The study was authorized by the local ethics committee of Prof. Dr. Cemil Tascioglu City Hospital in Istanbul, Turkey (2023/19), and informed consent was received from all patients who participated in this study. All procedures in human-participant studies were carried out in compliance with the institutional research committee's ethical standards and the Helsinki Declaration of 1964.

Statistical Analysis:

SPSS 25.0 for Windows software was used for statistical analysis. Descriptive statistics were provided for categorical variables in terms of frequency and percentage, and for numerical variables in terms of mean, standard deviation, minimum, maximum, and median. The proportions between groups were compared using the Chi-square test. Since the numerical variables did not meet the assumption of normal distribution, independent group comparisons were conducted using the Mann-Whitney U test, and multiple group comparisons were conducted using the Kruskal-Wallis test. A statistical alpha significance level of p < 0.05 was accepted.

Results

Patient Population

A total of 117 patients were included in our study, with 83 (70.9%) males and 34 (29.1%) females. The age of the patients ranged from 26 to 89. Among them, 76 patients had only endoscopic biopsy material, while 41 patients had total resection material available. Perineural invasion, local invasion, vascular invasion, tumor size, and lymph node metastasis, stage were evaluated in patients with total resection material.

There were 31 patients with poorly differentiated tumors, 45 patients with moderately differentiated tumors, and 7 patients with well differentiated tumors. Additionally, there were 7 patients with signet ring cell histology. Histologically, 22 patients had a signet ring cell component, and 8 patients had a mucinous cell component. Among the patients, 17.1% were HER2-positive (13.7% with a score of 3, 3.4% with a score of 2), and 82.9% were HER2-negative (73.5% with a score of 0, 9.4% with a score of 1; table 1)

Table 1. Patient Characteristic				
		n	%	
Gender	Male	83	70,9	
	Female	34	29,1	
Age (year, mean ± SD (Min-Max))	62,2±12,6 (26-89)		
Biopsy Method	Endoscopic	76	65,0	
	Total Resection	41	35,0	
HER Score	0	86	73,5	
	1	11	9,4	
	2	4	3,4	
	3	16	13,7	
HER Status				
	Negative	97	82,9	
	Positive	20	17,1	
Tumor Size (mm, mean ±SD (Mi	n-Max)	56,3±33,8 (15-150)		
Tumor Size (cm)	<5	19	46,3	
	≥5	22	53,7	
Perineural Invasion		17	41,5	
	Absent			
	Present	24	58,5	
Vascular Invasion				
	Absent	18	43,9	
	Present	23	56,1	
Local Invasion				
	T1-T2	10	24,4	
	T3-T4	31	75,6	
Lymph Node Status	Metastasis	31	75,6	
	Reactive	10	24,4	
Histological Subtype	Poorly differentiated	31	32,0	
	Moderately differentiated	45	46,4	
	Well-differentiated	7	7,2	

	Mixed	2	2,1
	Mucinous	5	5,2
	Signet ring cell	7	7,2
Histological Component	Mucinous	8	26,7
	Signet ring cell	21	70,0
	Signet ring cell + Mucinous	1	3,3
Tumor Location	Cardia	28	23,9
	Corpus-Fundus	36	30,8
	Antrum	33	28,2
	Diffuse	20	17,1
Distant Metastasis	Absent	73	65,2
	Present	39	34,8
Stage	Stage 1-2	22	29,7
	Stages 3-4	52	70,3
Current Status	Alive	30	25,6
	Deceased	87	74,4

Correlation Between Patient Characteristics and PET Parameters

In our study, we investigated the relationship between tumor size and metabolic parameters in gastric cancer patients. We found that MTV (metabolic tumor volume) and TLG (total lesion glycolysis) values were significantly higher in tumors larger than 5 cm compared to tumors smaller than 5 cm (74.2 ± 79.1 vs. 25.0 ± 19.5, p-value: 0.008). However, there was no significant relationship observed between SUVmax with tumor size. Seventy-six patients were diagnosed with gastric adenocarcinoma based on endoscopic findings, and 41 patients underwent total resection. Although there were only 7 patients with signet ring cell (SRC) histological subtype, 22 out of 83 patients with tubular adenocarcinoma (TA) had an SRC component. SUVmax was significantly lower in TA patients with an SRC component (9.4 ± 7.78) compared to those without the SRC component (13.33 ± 12.27) (p:0.037). We found that patients with vascular invasion had a significantly higher mean SUVmax compared to those without vascular invasion (10.2 ± 15.3 vs. 2.8 ± 2.0, p-value: 0.010). Additionally, patients with T3/T4 local invasion exhibited significantly higher SUVmax values compared to patients with T1/T2 (8.3 ± 13.3 vs. 2.4 ± 0.9 , p-value: 0.047). Furthermore, in patients with stage 3-4 disease, the mean MTV was significantly higher compared to those with stage 1-2 ($52,2 \pm 47,8$ vs. 47,7 ± 75,0, p-value: 0.030). Based on the statistical analysis conducted, no statistically significant relationship was found between vascular invasion, local invasion, and the parameters of MTV (Metabolic Tumor Volume) and TLG (Total Lesion Glycolysis). Lastly, patients with distant organ metastasis demonstrated significantly higher SUVmax, SUVmean (mean standardized uptake value), and TLG (total lesion glycolysis) values compared to those without metastasis (14.6 ± 13.4 vs. 10.8 ± 9.5, p-value: 0.029; 6.4 ± 3.3 vs. 4.6 ± 3.2, p-value: 0.003; 327.9 ± 379 vs. 267.2 ± 485.7, p-value: 0.014, respectively; Table 2). There was no significant correlation between age, gender, perineural invasion, lymph node metastasis, and tumor location.

Variable		n	SUVmax	p	SUVmean	р	MTV**	р	TLG***	р
			(mean + SD*)		(mean + SD*)		(mean + SD*)		(mean + SD*)	
Gender	Male	83	12,2 ± 12,0	0,487	5,0 ± 3,1	0,258	50,2 ± 56,3	0,511	312,3 ± 505,3	0,801
	Female	34	12,4 ± 7,9		6,0 ± 4,0		42,4 ± 45,1		298,3 ± 521,4	
Age	< 60	49	12,0 ± 10,7	0,487	5,0 ± 3,5	0,171	51,8 ± 60,4	0,862	316,5 ± 504,2	0,449
	≥60	68	12,5 ± 11,2		5,6 ± 3,3		45,3 ± 48,1		305,5 ± 517,3	
Tumor Size	< 5 cm	19	11,6 ± 15,4	0,084	4,6 ± 3,0	0,111	25,0 ± 19,5	0,008	130,7 ± 138,6	0,021
	\geq 5 cm	22	16,7 ± 13,8		6,4 ± 4,1		74,2 ± 79,1		573,6 ± 772,5	
Perineural Invasion	Absent	17	3,0 ± 2,0	0,062	5,9 ± 3,7	0,543	45,6 ± 47,5	0,853	308,6 ± 373,9	0,672
	Present	24	9,7 ± 15,0		5,3 ± 3,7		55,5 ± 74,0		410,7 ± 738,2	
Vascular Invasion	Absent	18	2,8 ± 2,0	0,01	40,9 ± 74,3	1,000	50,2 ± 79,5	0,258	364,6 ± 611,6	0,813
	Present	23	10,2 ± 15,3		350,0 ± 1377,8		52,4 ± 50,2		371,3 ± 622,2	
Local Invasion	T1-T2	10	2,4 ± 0,9	0,047	57,3 ± 97,3	0,723	39,7 ± 51,8	0,261	264,6 ± 449,9	0,347
	T3-T4	31	8,3 ± 13,3		59,1 ± 1174,1		55,2 ± 67,6		401,8 ± 656,0	
Lymph Node Metastasis	Metastatic	31	8,1 ± 13,3	0,26	268,4± 1173,5	0,797	43,8 ± 42,2	0,627	310,9 ± 537,9	0,952

 Table 2

 Relationship between PET parameters and patient characteristics

*Standart Deviation, **Metabolic Tumor Volume, ***Total Lesion Glycolysis

Statistically significant p-values are indicated with red colored entries

Variable		n	SUVmax	р	SUVmean	р	MTV**	р	TLG***	р
			(mean + SD*)		(mean + SD*)		(mean + SD*)		(mean + SD*)	
	Reactive	10	2,9 ± 1,4		30,4 ± 29,6		75,1 ± 106,5		546,6 ± 801,0	
Stage	1-2	22	14,0 ± 13,5	0,795	5,4 ± 3,3	0,471	47,7 ± 75,0	0,030	329,4 ± 572,1	0,133
	3-4	52	13,6 ± 12,2		6,1 ± 3,6		52,2 ± 47,8		369,6 ± 510,1	
Tumor Localization	Cardia	28	13,0 ± 6,9	0,158	5,9 ± 3,5	0,286	40,9 ± 38,9	0,898	226,7 ± 191,1	0,709
	Corpus- Fundus	36	13,1 ± 14,3		5,2 ± 3,1		47,8 ± 48,9		316,6 ± 551,4	
	Antrum	33	9,8 ± 8,2		4,7 ± 3,5		53,0 ± 66,3		337,2 ± 625,2	
	Diffuse	20	13,7 ± 12,4		5,8 ± 3,5		49,4 ± 57,0		359,8 ± 538,6	
Distant Metastasis	Absent	78	10,8 ± 9,5	0,029	4,6 ± 3,2	0,003	46,3 ± 55,3	0,234	267,2 ± 485,7	0,014
	Present	39	14,6 ± 13,4		6,4 ± 3,3		47,4 ± 44,6		327,9 ± 379,1	
*Standart Deviation **Metabolic Tumor Volume ***Total Lesion Glycolysis										

Statistically significant p-values are indicated with red colored entries

Correlation Between Patient Characteristics and HER2 Expression, Tumor Markers, and Overall Survival

The correlation between patient characteristics and HER2 expression, tumor markers, and overall survival was investigated in this study. No significant associations were found between HER2-positive patients and PET parameters, indicating that HER2 expression does not have a direct impact on PET findings. In terms of tumor location, there was no significant relationship between HER2 positivity and tumor location in the overall patient group. However, when analyzing tubular adenocarcinomas without any other components, a significant association was found between HER2 positivity and tumors located in the cardia region (p:0.022), suggesting a potential correlation specific to this subtype (Fig. 1). Regarding disease stage, patients with stage 3–4 disease had significantly higher CA19-9 and CEA values compared to those with stage 1–2 (p:0.008, p:0.013). However, no statistically significant relationship was observed between tumor markers and PET parameters, indicating that these markers may not be reliable indicators of PET findings. This study was to examine the correlation between patient characteristics, including vascular invasion, histological subtype, and stage, with overall survival in gastric adenocarcinomas. The

results revealed significant differences between deceased and surviving patients in terms of these factors. Firstly, the analysis showed that the rate of vascular invasion was statistically significantly higher in deceased patients compared to survivors (p = 0.009). Furthermore, there were statistically significant differences in histological type between deceased and surviving patients (p = 0.045). Specifically, the occurrence of histological subtypes such as poorly differentiated, mucinous, and signet ring cells was significantly higher in deceased patients compared to survivors. Additionally, the rate of Stage 3–4 disease was significantly higher in deceased patients compared to survivors (p < 0.001). These findings highlight the importance of vascular invasion, growth pattern, histological subtype, and disease stage as significant factors influencing overall survival in gastric adenocarcinomas. In terms of HER2 status and survival, there was no significant difference observed between HER2-positive and HER2-negative patients (log-rank p:0.69). Similarly, when considering tubular adenocarcinomas, there was no significant relationship between HER2 positivity and survival (log-rank p:0.19), indicating that HER2 expression may not be a reliable prognostic factor in these cases (Fig. 2).

Discussion

The studies on gastric adenocarcinomas to determine the relationship between PET/CT parameters and HER2 status revealed contradictory findings, which highlighted the need for further research. We aimed to examine the relationship between histopathological data and PET/CT parameters. and their impact on survival in gastric cancer. Regarding tumor size, there are studies claiming that SUVmax is higher in tumors > 5cm [21, 22], while other studies have shown no such correlation [17, 18]. In our study, we only found a correlation between MTV and TLG with tumor size, but no significant relationship was found with SUVmax. The common feature of studies showing a correlation is that they focused only on tubular adenocarcinomas and excluded signet ring cell carcinomas.

There are studies indicating differences in FDG uptake in tubular adenocarcinomas based on their histopathological type. It has been observed that tubular adenocarcinomas exhibit higher FDG uptake compared to mucinous and signet ring cell cancers, and this increase has been suggested to be associated with glut 1 expression [17, 18, 19]. However, in our study, we identified that some patients classified as tubular adenocarcinomas also included components of signet ring cells or mucinous cells. Additionally, despite being categorized as well, moderately, or poorly differentiated tumors, we noticed that groups with histopathological signet ring cell components had lower SUV values.

A meta-analysis reported a lower HER2 positivity rate in signet ring cell cancers. However, one of the main reasons for the varying results in many studies investigating the relationship between HER2 and PET parameters is the lack of examination of histological components and the unknown impact of these components on the disease [20].

In the context of vascular invasion, some studies, including Kim et al., have reported high SUVmax values in patients with lymphovascular invasion [21] while several others have not found a significant correlation [22, 23, 24, 25]. Similarly, in a study by Arslan et al., no relationship was observed between SUVmax of the primary tumor and distant metastasis in tubular adenocarcinomas. However, when focusing on signet ring cell carcinomas, patients with distant metastasis exhibited higher SUVmax values [26]. In our study, a significant correlation was found between distant metastasis and SUVmax when considering all groups together.

The relationship between HER2 status and PET/CT parameters has also been explored in various studies. Chen et al. found no association between HER2 status and SUVmax in their study, but when excluding patients with signet ring cell carcinomas, they observed higher SUVmax values in HER2-negative patients compared to HER2-positive patients [22]. Conversely, other studies by Kim et al. and Park et al. reported significantly higher SUVmax values in HER2-

positive patients [21, 27]. In line with our findings, studies by Ertürk et al. and Celli et al. did not find a statistically significant relationship between HER2 status and SUVmax [23, 24].

In our study, we identified a significant relationship between HER2 positivity and tumor location in the cardia region among tubular adenocarcinomas without signet ring cells or mucinous components. However, other studies did not consistently observe this relationship [21, 22, 23, 24].

The study's constraints encompass its retrospective design and the limited sample size of patients.

Conclusion

Evaluation of F18 PET/CT performed for staging purposes provides non-invasive guiding findings for treatment and prognosis. Histological components should be performed in tubular adenocarcinomas. Histological components, local invasion, and vascular invasion can be predicted using PET/CT. The presence of distant metastasis can be demonstrated by evaluating metabolic parameters in the primary tumor focus using PET/CT. The relationship between tumor localization in the cardia and HER2 positivity may be useful in predicting anti-HER2 antibody treatment options. Further extensive studies are needed on this topic.

References

- Sung H, Ferlay J, Siegel RL, Laversanne M, Soerjomataram I, Jemal A, et al. Global Cancer Statistics 2020: GLOBOCAN Estimates of Incidence and Mortality Worldwide for 36 Cancers in 185 Countries. CA Cancer J Clin. 2021;71(3):209–49.
- Japanese Gastric Cancer Association. Japanese Gastric Cancer Treatment Guidelines 2021 (6th edition). Gastric Cancer: Official Journal of the International Gastric Cancer Association and the Japanese Gastric Cancer Association. 2023;26(1):1–25.
- Luebke T, Baldus SE, Grass G, Bollschweiler E, Thiele J, Dienes HP, et al. Histological grading in gastric cancer by Ming classification: correlation with histopathological subtypes, metastasis, and prognosis. World J Surg. 2005;29(11):1422–7. discussion 1428.
- Liang J, Zhang J, Zhang T, Zheng Z. Clinicopathological and prognostic significance of HER2 overexpression in gastric cancer: a meta-analysis of the literature. Tumour Biology: The Journal of the International Society for Oncodevelopmental Biology and Medicine. 2014;35(5):4849–58.
- 5. Tanner M, Hollmén M, Junttila TT, Kapanen Al, Tommola S, Soini Y, et al. Amplification of HER-2 in gastric carcinoma: association with Topoisomerase IIα gene amplification, intestinal type, poor prognosis, and sensitivity to trastuzumab. Ann Oncol. 2005;16(2):273–8.
- 6. Hofmann M, Stoss O, Shi D, Büttner R, van de Vijver M, Kim W, et al. Assessment of a HER2 scoring system for gastric cancer: results from a validation study. Histopathology. 2008;52(7):797–805.
- 7. Wu Z, Zhao J, Gao P, Song Y, Sun J, Chen X, et al. Prognostic value of pretreatment standardized uptake value of F-18-fluorodeoxyglucose PET in patients with gastric cancer: a meta-analysis. BMC Cancer. 2017;17(1):275.
- Zhao JG, Hu Y, Liao Q, Niu ZY, Zhao YP. Prognostic significance of SUVmax and serum carbohydrate antigen 19 9 in pancreatic cancer. World J Gastroenterol. 2014;20(38):5875–80.
- 9. Caglar M, Yener C, Karabulut E. Value of CT, FDG PET/CT, and serum tumor markers in staging recurrent colorectal cancer. Int J CARS. 2015;10(6):993–1002.

- 10. Tomita M, Shimizu T, Ayabe T, Onitsuka T. Maximum SUV on positron emission tomography and serum CEA level as prognostic factors after curative resection for non-small cell lung cancer. Asia Pac J Clin Oncol. 2012;8(4):244–7.
- Bai L, Guo CH, Zhao Y, Gao JG, Li M, Shen C, et al. SUVmax of 18F-FDG PET/CT correlates to expression of major chemotherapy-related tumor markers and serum tumor markers in gastric adenocarcinoma patients. Oncol Rep. 2017;37(6):3433–40.
- 12. Amin MB, Greene FL, Edge SB, Compton CC, Gershenwald JE, Brookland RK, et al. The Eighth Edition AJCC Cancer Staging Manual: Continuing to build a bridge from a population-based to a more "personalized" approach to cancer staging. Cancer J Clin. 2017;67(2):93–9.
- 13. Hofmann M, Stoss O, Shi D, et al. Assessment of a HER2 scoring system for gastric cancer: results from a validation study. Histopathology. 2008;52(7):797–805.
- 14. Ajani JA, Bentrem DJ, Besh S, et al. Gastric cancer, version 2.2013: featured updates to the NCCN Guidelines. J Natl Compr Canc Netw. 2013;11(5):531–46.
- 15. Boku N. HER2 positive gastric cancer. Gastric Cancer. 2014;17(1):1-12.
- 16. Albarello L, Pecciarini L, Doglioni C. HER2 testing in gastric cancer. Adv Anat Pathol. 2011;18(1):53-9.
- 17. Alakus H, Batur M, Schmidt M, Drebber U, Baldus SE, Vallböhmer D, et al. Variable 18F-fluorodeoxyglucose uptake in gastric cancer is associated with different levels of GLUT-1 expression. Nucl Med Commun. 2010;31(6):532–8.
- 18. Choi BH, Song HS, An YS, Han SU, Kim JH, Yoon JK. Relation between fluorodeoxyglucose uptake and glucose transporter-1 expression in gastric signet ring cell carcinoma. Nucl Med Mol Imaging. 2011;45(1):30–5.
- 19. Yamada A, Oguchi K, Fukushima M, Imai Y, Kadoya M. Evaluation of 2-deoxy-2-[18F]fluoro-D-glucose positron emission tomography in gastric carcinoma: relation to histological subtypes, depth of tumor invasion, and glucose transporter-1 expression. Ann Nucl Med. 2006;20(9):597–604.
- 20. Dondi F, Albano D, Giubbini R, Bertagna F. 18F-FDG PET and PET/CT for the evaluation of gastric signet ring cell carcinoma: a systematic review. Nucl Med Commun. 2021;42(12):1293–300.
- 21. Kim J, Park S. 18 F-FDG PET/CT of advanced gastric carcinoma and association of HER2 expression with standardized uptake value. Asia Ocean J Nucl Med Biol. 2014;2(1):12–8.
- 22. Chen R, Zhou X, Liu J, Huang G. Relationship Between 18F-FDG PET/CT Findings and HER2 Expression in Gastric Cancer. J Nucl Med. 2016;57(7):1040–4.
- 23. Seyit Ahmet Ertürk Z, Hasbek, Hatice Özer. The Relationship Between HER-2 Expression Levels and ¹⁸F-FDG PET/CT Parameters in Gastric Cancer. Mol Imaging Radionucl Therapy. 2021;30(3):150–7.
- 24. Celli R, Colunga M, Patel N, Djekidel M, Jain D. Metabolic Signature on ¹⁸F-FDG PET/CT, HER2 Status, and Survival in Gastric Adenocarcinomas. J Nucl Med Technol. 2016;44(4):234–8.
- 25. Yoon JK, Byun C, Jo KS, Hur H, Lee KM, Lim SK, et al. Clinicopathologic parameters associated with the FDGavidity in staging of early gastric cancer using 18F-FDG PET. Medicine. 2019;98(31):e16690.
- 26. Arslan E, Aksoy T, Gündoğan C, Şen Ç, Yılmaz Tatar S, Dursun N, et al. Metabolic Characteristics and Diagnostic Contribution of 18F-FDG PET/CT in Gastric Carcinomas. Mol Imaging Radionucl Therapy. 2020;29(1):25–32.
- 27. Park JS, Lee N, Beom SH, Kim HS, Lee C, Rha SY, et al. The prognostic value of volume-based parameters using 18F-FDG PET/CT in gastric cancer according to HER2 status. Gastric Cancer. 2017;21(2):213–24.

Figures



Figure 1

A 71-year-old female patient with moderately differentiated tubular adenocarcinoma without components, has endoscopic biopsy material. SUVmax: 13.98 in the corpus fundus tumor of the patient with a HER2 positive score of 3. a.MIP: Maximum Intensity Projection image, b.Axial PET, c.Fusion, d.CT, e.Moderately differentiated adenocarcinoma (HE, x100), f.Strong membranous basolateral staining with CerbB2 (3+) (x100), g. SISH method, CerbB2/chromosome $17 \ge 2$ (x400)



Survival Functions

	HER2 negative	HER2 positive
	OS % SE	OS % SE
1 year	47,3 (5,2)	80,0 (8,9)
3 year	19,7 (4,5)	36,8 (12,2)
5 year	15,5 (4,1)	12,3 (10,8)



	HER2 negative	HER2 positive				
	OS % SE	OS % SE				
1 year	54,4 (7,4)	91,7 (8,0)				
3 year	29,1 (7,1)	47,6 (17,7)				
5 year	24,4 (6,7)	23,8 (19,0)				

Figure 2

Kaplan Meier survival analysis prepared according to HER2 status a: Analysis by including the whole group b: Analysis of only tubular gastric cancer with no histological component. SE: Standart Error, OS: Overall Survival, CL: Confidence Interval, HER2: Human Epithelial Growth Factor 2