

Preprints are preliminary reports that have not undergone peer review. They should not be considered conclusive, used to inform clinical practice, or referenced by the media as validated information.

# Association of First Report of *Botryosphaeria* Spp. With Almond Dieback and Gummosis in Türkiye

ali endes

ali.endes@yobu.edu.tr

Yozgat Bozok Universitesi

Research Article

Keywords: Botryosphaeria, Prunus dulcis, molecular identification, cultural characteristics

Posted Date: March 11th, 2024

DOI: https://doi.org/10.21203/rs.3.rs-3224075/v2

License: (c) This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License. Read Full License

Additional Declarations: The authors declare no competing interests.

# Abstract

Türkiye is among the top-producing almond countries in the world. Almond is a precious nut in modern diets due to its bioactive compounds associated with health and disease treatment. However, the plant's yield is affected by factors, including pathogens. This study aimed to diagnose and identify biotic factors associated with decline, dieback, and gummosis in almond trees in Yozgat province with a temperate climate. Seven almond 10-year orchards were investigated and trees with symptoms were sampled. Isolated species were cultured in PDA medium to identify the morphological and cultural characterization. The results obtained with cultural and morphological characteristics along with Phylogenetic analysis of ITS, β-tubulin, and EF-1α sequence data, confirmed the presence of Diplodia seriata, Lasiodiplodia theobromae, Neofusicoccum parvum, Botryosphaeria dothidea. Among these species, Botryosphaeria dothidea was first reported from almond trees in Türkiye. Pathogenicity testing showed that although all species were virulent, L. theobromae and N. parvum isolates caused more gumming in the inoculation areas than D. seriata and B. dothidea isolates. These results confirm that multiple Botryosphaeriaceae species are associated with branch decline and dieback on almond in Türkiye, which agrees with similar studies on woody crops. Accurate diagnosis of fungal pathogens in almonds is vital for developing disease management strategies and may help improve horticultural practices in maintaining urban stands.

## Introduction

Almond (*Prunus dulcis*), a member of Rosaceae subfamily Prunoideae, is mainly cultivated for its edible kernel. Considering the increasing interest in nut consumption, the production of almonds in the world has raised significantly during the last decade. Almond trees have adapted to severe climatic conditions, such as delayed spring frost and drought. Türkiye is one of the top-producing countries for almonds ranking 4th in 2021 with a leveled up compared to 2020 (Faostat 2021). However, proper disease management is inevitable to achieve desired quantity or quality of almond production. Yield losses caused by fungal diseases sometimes reach severe levels, even the death of hard-shell trees Endes and Kayım (2017a). Dieback or decline in woody plants is usually caused by biotic and abiotic stress factors. Among biotic factors, fungal pathogens in soil and air, such as members of the Botryosphaeriaceae family are the critical factors in the decline and death of almond trees (Sohrabi et al. 2020). *Lasiodiplodia theobromae* is the main factor of dieback on almonds cultivated in Turkey and first reported by Özer et al. 2022. Moreover, *Diplodia seriata* and *Neofusicoccum parvum* were isolated from almond trees in Çukurova Region of Türkiye (Kayım et al. 2015).

Members of Botryosphaeriaceae family (Botryosphaeriales) with approximately 24 genera are cosmopolitan and have a wide range of hosts worldwide mostly prevalent in tropical and subtropical climate regions (Wang et al. 2023). Pistachio (*Pistacia vera*), almond (*Prunus dulcis* (Mill.), walnut (*Juglans regia*), peach (*Prunus persica* L.), plum (*Prunus salicina*) and apricot (*Prunus armeniaca*) are among some economic hosts for pathogens belonging to Botryosphaeriaceae family (Chen et al. 2014, Endes et al. 2022a). Stem and branch canker, leaf, shoot, twig, fruit, and bud blight are symptoms caused

by Botryosphaeriaceae fungi (Olmo et al. 2016; Gusella et al. 2022). However, the precise diagnosis of disease agents in plants is necessary to control and manage the disease by estimating infection rates or disease prevalence. Since some species belonging to the Botryosphaeriaceae family rarely form sexual reproduction periods, asexual (anamorph) reproductive structures are used for identification (Elena et al. 2015). Therefore, cultural and morphological characteristics of the asexual mycelium formed by the fungus in agar media, including the color and shape of the colony, the size, color, shape and the number of divisions of the conidia, are the basis for the diagnosis of the pathogen. However, the presence of more than 20 anamorph genera of Botryosphaeriaceae species and the inadequacy of reliable morphological characters in some species, instability of morphological shapes often cause misdiagnosis. On the other hand, different fungal species within the same genus generally differ in nucleotide sequences in housekeeping genes such as ITS (ribosomal DNA; 18S, 5.8S, and 28S rRNA),  $\beta$ -tubulin, EF1- $\alpha$  despite stable sizes of these genes. Consequently, the amplification of at least two or three of these genes for determination of nucleotide sequences can be an effective diagnosis tool besides cultural and morphological characteristics (Inderbitzin et al. 2010; Olmo et al. 2016).

Therefore, this study aimed to (i) diagnose the pathogenes causing dieback, gummosis, stem and branch canker on almond trees in Türkiye, (ii) to identify molecular, cultural, and morphological characteristics of isolated pathogens, (iii) to determine the virulence of pathogens through pathogenicity tests.

# **Materials and Methods**

#### Sampling and fungal isolation

Field studies were carried out in Yozgat, Türkiye, in May 2022. Survey studies were conducted in seven (7) almond orchards. The sampling was done randomly from ten (10) trees showing symptoms such as dieback, gummosis, and stem and branch cancer in five replicates per tree. The average disease incidence in selected orchards was %17.9, with the lowest %0 and the highest %37.1. The sampling was done randomly from ten trees showing symptoms such as dieback, gummosis, and stem and branch cancer in five replicates per tree. The sampling was done randomly from ten trees showing symptoms such as dieback, gummosis, and stem and branch canker in five replicates per tree. The isolation of fungi from infected tissues was carried out according to Endes and Kayım (2022a). The obtained isolates were purified through single spore isolations according to the method specified by Choi et al. (1999).

#### Molecular identification and phylogenetic analyses

Total genomic DNA extraction, PCR analyses, and electrophoresis of Botryosphaeriaceae isolates were performed in accordance with the protocol specified by Olmo et al. (2016). To amplify ITS region of rDNA; a partial sequence of Beta-tubulin ( $\beta$ -tubulin) gene and a partial sequence of the elongation factor 1 alpha (TEF-1 $\alpha$ ), the primer ITS4/ITS5 (White et al. 1990); Bt2a/Bt2b (Glass and Donaldson 1995) and EF1-728F/EF1-986R (Carbone and Kohn 1999) were used, respectively. Resultant PCR products were synthesized by Molgentek Company (Adana, Türkiye). Sequences of Botryosphaeriaceae isolates were compared with NCBI GenBank sequences of closely related species selected with the use of Blastn software. Consequently, all of the isolates were identified at the species level. In addition, the phylogenetic analyses [Maximum Parsimony (MP)] were performed using MEGA 11. Maximum parsimony for all analyses was performed using the heuristic search option (branch swapping NNI). Bootstrap values were evaluated using 1000 replicates to test branch strength. *Guignardia philoprina* was used as an outgroup for phylogenetic analyses.

#### Morphological identification and characterization

The cultural and conidial characteristics of the obtained isolates were determined comparatively with previous studies and were identified tentatively (Alves et al. 2008, Phillips et al. 2013, Akgül et al. 2015, Endes and Kayım 2022a). Thereafter, to examine conidial morphology, cultures selected from the groups were incubated on Potato Dextrose Agar (PDA, Merck; 1.10130) and 3% Oat Meal Agar (OMA, 30 g oatmeal, 1000 mL distilled water) media at 25±1°C for four weeks under fluorescent light at 12-hour intervals to promote sporulation (Adesemoye et al. 2014). The length and width of 50 conidia for each isolate were measured by light microscopy (Leica, DM 750). In addition, the structure, shape, color, and division numbers of the conidia were photographed using a digital camera (Leica, DFC 450) combined with a light microscope.

#### Effect of temperature on mycelial growth

Three isolates from each of the four Botryosphaeriaceae species were used throughout this study (Table 1). The mycelial discs of four mm diameter obtained from 10-day-old cultures of Botryosphaeriaceae isolates were placed in Petri dishes containing PDA. Petri dishes were incubated for four days at 5°C intervals at 5°C to 35°C in an incubator in the dark (Olmo et al. 2016). The effect of temperature on the mycelial growth of Botryosphaeriaceae species was determined according to Endes (2021).

#### Pathogenicity tests

Pathogenicity studies of Botryosphaeriaceae isolates were carried out on 2-year-old almond seedlings (Cultivar: Ferradual) and 25 cm long cut healthy branches obtained from 1-year-old healthy trees (Cultivar: Ferradual) in almond orchards. The detached branch Pathogenicity tests were conducted in accordance with the method described by Endes et al. (2016). The second pathogenicity test, the most virulent isolates identified for each of the four Botryosphaeriaceae species were inoculated into 2-year-old almond seedlings under greenhouse conditions. Ten seedlings were used for each isolate. Disease symptoms were observed during three months, from July to September, and the length of the wounds formed in the wood tissue of the seedling stems was recorded.

## Results

#### Sampling and fungal isolation

The result of observations showed that Botryosphaeriaceae species generally caused unilateral twig (Figure 1a) or entire crown wilting in almond trees and later drying of branches (Figure 1a) and trees (Figure 1b), especially towards the end of summer. The leaves of dried trees remained on the branches

without falling. Gummosis and blight symptoms were observed on the heavily infected trees' trunks (Figure 1c, d) and main branches (Figure 1e-g). Depending on the severity of the infection, the color of the bark tissue became darker (Figure 1h), and cancerous tissues were observed in the bark and wood (Figure 1i-j) tissue as well as secretion of gum in these areas. In addition, "V" shaped (Figure 1k-m) or similarly shaped necrosis areas were formed in the wood tissue when cross-sections were taken from the infected trunk and main branches.

The results of isolation studies showed that five (5) out of seven (7) almond orchards were infected with Botryosphaeriaceae species. Based on the colony and conidial characteristics, 72 Botryosphaeriaceae isolates were grouped under four species. *Diplodia seriata* constituted 35% of all isolates, followed by *Neofusicoccum parvum* (32%), *Botryosphaeria dothida* (18%), and *Lasiodiplodia theobromae* (15%).

#### Phylogenetic analyses of species of Botryosphaeriaceae

Considering the cultural and conidial characteristics of isolates, a total of 16 out of 72 Botryosphaeriaceae isolates were sequenced three gene regions for phylogenetic analysis. The ITS,  $\beta$ tubulin, and TEF-1 $\alpha$  gene sequences of these isolates were stored in NCBI GenBank database accession numbers were taken (Table 1).

The sequence length of sixteen isolates ranged from 542 - 583 bp for ITS, 427 to 449 bp for  $\beta$ -tubulin, and 282 to 309 bp for TEF-1a. Phylogenetic trees of ITS (28 taxa, 727 characters), *β*-tubulin (28 taxa, 475) characters), and TEF-1a (28 taxa, 331 characters) gene regions were constructed according to the maximum parsimony of each dataset. Firstly, individual phylogenetic trees of three different gene regions of the isolates were constructed using Mega 11 program, and three different gene regions were aligned since there was no great difference between the topologies of the trees. The combined ITS, β-tubulin, and TEF1-a dataset of Botryosphaeriaceae spp. contained 28 taxa and 1522 characters (including alignment gaps). The combined data consisted of 281 informative characters for parsimony. Using data in the current study, one of the trees showed the most parsimony. As a result of maximum parsimony analysis, the tree length, consistency index, retention index, and composite index were identified as 350, 0.911, 0.973, and 0.887, respectively (Figure 2). Composite data of the most parsimonious tree without root clustered in two major clades in Botryosphaeriaceae isolates. Each of the main clades was clustered in two sub-clades. As a result, species in Botryosphaeriaceae isolates clustered with four previously identified Botryosphaeriaceae in the phylogenetic tree. The first major clade, Diplodia seriata (YBUPd1, YBUPd2, YBUPd3, YBUPd4, YBUPd5) clustered with Lasiodiplodia theobromae (YBUPd14, YBUPd15, YBUPd16); and the second major clade *Neofusicoccum parvum* (YBUPd6, YBUPd7, YBUPd8 YBUPd9, YBUPd10) clustered with *Botryosphaeria dothidea* (YBUPd11, YBUPd12, YBUPd13).

#### Culture and conidia morphological characterization

Botryosphaeriaceae isolates were also used for the examination of culture and conidia characteristics (Table 2, 3). All isolates produced anamorphic structures within 3 to 4 weeks on PDA, 3% OMA and autoclaved 20 mm long almond shoots onto the ½PDA medium. No ascospores were observed in the

studied cultures. Isolates were collected into four groups based on colony growth characteristics and conidial morphology.

The first group, *Diplodia* spp. had aerial and fast-growing mycelium (Table 3), which were initially whitishgray but dark olive-grey with age. Small pycnidia of the isolates were formed on PDA, 3% OMA, and almond shoots. Conidia were initially colorless and non-segmented, turning to dark brown over time, some rarely with a septum; they were oval, ellipsoid, or cylindrical, broad at the tip, rounded, and truncated at the base. The sizes of the conidia were given in Table 2. This fungal group was identified as *Diplodia seriata*.

The second group colonies formed initially white and fluffy mycelium but became dark olive green, and lastly were observed in black with age. No growth was observed in this group at 5°C and 10°C. They produced larger and more abundant pycnidium onto the PDA, 3% OMA and on almond shoots than other groups. The conidia were oval, ellipsoid, thick-walled, colorless, and non-septate, while the conidia were dark brown as they matured. They were one-septate with a longitudinally straight appearance. The sizes of the conidia are given in Table 2. This group was identified as *Lasiodiplodia theobromae*.

The third group produced fast-growing and fluffy mycelium (Table 3). The colonies were initially white but turned pastel grey with age. None of the isolates produced pycnidium on PDA and 3% OMA agar. However, quite a few pycnidia were observed on almond shoots. The conidia were fusiform or ellipsoidal, colorless, and non-septate, while mature conidia were light brown, and usually contained one or two septate with age. The size of the conidia were given in Table 2. This group was identified as *Neofusicoccum parvum*.

The fourth group had very similar colony characteristics to the third group isolates. Similarly, the fourth group of isolates did not produce pycnidium on PDA and 3% OMA agar but produced very few pycnidia on almond shoots, but the fourth group colonies spread outward from the center of the petri dish in olive-grey color. Conidia were fusiform, ellipsoid, non-septate, and colorless. The sizes of the conidia were given in Table 2. This group was identified as *Botryosphaeria dothidea* (anamorph: *Fusicoccum aesculi*).

#### Effect of temperature on mycelial growth

None of the studied isolates grew on PDA culture at 5°C. *L. theobromae isolates* showed no mycelial growth at 10°C, while the other isolates grew at this temperature albeit limited. *L. theobromae* isolates had an average growth rate of 11.7 mm/day at 35°C, while other isolates showed limited growth. The optimum temperature for mycelial growth was in the range of 25.3- 29.9°C (Table 3). Significant differences were found in the optimum growth temperature of the isolates (P < 0.05). The maximum radial growth temperature for *D. Seriata, N. Parvum, L. theobromae* and *B. dothidea* isolates was 26°C, 26°C 27°C, and 29°C, respectively (Table 3). The Kruskal-Wallis test also showed that the maximum growth rates of the isolates differed significantly (P < 0.05). For all isolates, the relationship between growth rate and temperature was best described by a third-order polynomial (Y =  $aT^3 + bT^2 + cT + d$ ). In any case, the three regression coefficients were highly significant (P < 0.05), and the coefficient of

determination (R<sup>2</sup>) ranged from 0.939 to 0.987 (Table 3). The isolates were statistically categorized into two groups. The first group consisted of *B. dothidea* isolates with a maximum growth rate of >28 mm/day, while the second group included all other isolates with a maximum growth rate of <28 mm/day (Table 3).

#### Pathogenicity test

All Botryosphaeriaceae isolates were re-isolated from inoculated cut almond branches at rates ranging from 80 to 100% at the end of the 15-day incubation period. No symptoms of disease were observed in the wood tissue of the branches used as control, and no pathogen was isolated (Table 4). *L. theobromae* and *N. parvum* isolates caused more gumming in the inoculation areas than *D. seriata* and *B. dothidea* isolates. The mean of lesion lengths formed in wood tissue by all Botryosphaeriaceae isolates was found to be statistically significantly different from the control ( $F_{(16-153, 0.05)} = 911.72$ ; P < 0.05) (Table 4). All *N. parvum* isolates were statistically grouped into the same class and had significantly (P < 0.05) mean necrosis lengths longer than other Botryosphaeriaceae isolates. However, *D. seriata* isolates differed significantly from both the control and each other statistically.

*D. seriata* isolates were grouped into two classes based on virulence levels (Table 4). *L. theobromae* was the second species with the most virulent isolates with the most significant amount of gum formation in the inoculation areas. *B. dothidea* isolates were statistically grouped into a single class. *B. dothidea* isolates showed higher virulence levels than *D. seriata* isolates while they have lower virulence levels than *N. parvum* and *L. theobromae* isolates.

The statistically highly aggressive isolates selected by branch pathogenicity results were used for pathogenicity studies of seedlings, one isolate representative of each species (Figure 3). Similar results were obtained with cut branch pathogenicity tests at the end of the three-month incubation period. Gum exudates were observed at the inoculation points 2-3 weeks after Botryosphaeriaceae isolates were inoculated to the stems of 2-year-old almond seedlings. The average lengths of woody discoloration caused by Botryosphaeriaceae species were shown in Figure 3. All species differed significantly from each other in the length of lesions formed in the wood tissue of the trunk in almond seedlings and from the control treatment ( $F_{(4-45, 0.05)} = 444.05$ ; P < 0.05) (Figure 3). *N. parvum* was the most virulent strain and had a mean lesion length (123.2 mm) that was significantly (P < 0.05) longer than the other strains, followed by *L. theobromae*, *B. dothidea*, and *D. seriata* (Figure 3). Cut branch pathogenicity test results show that *L. theobromae* and *N. parvum* caused more gum formation on seedling stems. All pathogenic Botryosphaeriaceae species were successfully (100%) re-isolated from the stems of almond saplings, thus confirming Koch's postulates. No pathogenic fungal organisms were isolated from control seedlings.

# Discussion

The investigations of this study revealed four Botryosphaeria species associated with disease symptoms such as organ blight, dieback, and gummosis in almond fruit trees grown extensively in the Yozgat

Province of Türkiye. D. seriata, L. theobroma, B. dothidea and N. parvum isolates were characterized by combining morphological, pathological, and molecular data. In addition, these four species were detected for the first time in almond trees in Yozgat Province of Türkiye with this study as well as *B. dothidea* is the first report of this species in almond in Türkiye. Based on literature reports, these species have a cosmopolitan distribution and a wide host range (Gure et al. 2005; Slippers et al. 2007; Abdollahzadeh et al. 2010). In previous studies, *D. seriata* has been reported as a pathogen in woody plants such as peach (Endes et al. 2016), apricot (Smith and Stanosz 2006; Damm et al. 2007; Liu et al. 2015), plum (Phillips et al. 2012; Endes and Kayım 2022a), pear Kurbetli and Demirci (2014) and vineyard (Akgül et al. 2015), almond (Olmo et al. 2016; Gharbi et al. 2017; Holland et al. 2021). In this study, D. seriata was determined as the dominant species. Similarly, the isolation rate of *D. seriata* from host plants was reported to be higher than other Botryosphaeriaceae species (Slippers et al. 2007; Damm et al. 2007). Moreover, this species is guite common in walnuts (Chen et al. 2014) and almonds (Inderbitzin et al. 2010) in California and vineyards in Australia (Pitt et al. 2013). In contrast, L. theobromae was the least isolated species compared to the other three species. This can be explained that *L. theobromae* is more pathogenic in woody plants in tropical regions (Abdollahzedeh et al. 2010; Munirah et al. 2017). Although the Eastern Mediterranean Region of Türkiye generally has subtropical climatic conditions, L. theobromae was reported as a pathogen in peach orchards and plums in Adana Province of the Eastern Mediterranean Region (Endes et al. 2016; Endes and Kayım 2022a). However, in Türkiye's Aegean Region, which is warmer and closer to tropical climate conditions than the Eastern Mediterranean Region, highly aggressive isolates of *L. theobromae* were isolated from fruit species such as figs (Celiker and Michailides 2012), vineyards (Akgül et al. 2014) and strawberries (Yildiz et al. 2014). Moreover, Burges et al. (2006) reported that L. theobromae is mostly pathogenic in tropical regions, and N. parvum is pathogenic in hot climate conditions. However, L. theobromae was also isolated from a temperate region such as Southern-east Anatolia (Özer et al. 2022) and Southern Irag (Al-Saadoon et al. 2012). N. Parvum, obtained from infected almond trees, has been reported as a pathogen in peach, mango, pistachio, orange and horticultural crops such as vineyards in Australia (Cunnington et al. 2007); on Syzygium cordatum tree in South Africa (Pavlic et al. 2009); mango tree in Italy (Ismail et al. 2013); peaches in Greece (Thomidis et al. 2011); walnuts and olives in Spain (Moral et al. 2010); and in almond, vineyards, and plum in Türkiye (Kayım et al. 2015; Akgül et al. 2015; Endes and Kayım 2022a).

In morphological characterization studies, there was no overlap between the characters such as color, division, length, and width of the conidia as well as the mycelial development of the species. The optimum radial mycelial growth temperature was in the range of 26-29 °C for all species (Table 3). *L. theobromae* showed faster radial mycelial growth at 25 °C than the other three species. Similarly, Thomidis et al. (2011) reported that the temperature required for optimum radial mycelial growth of *N. parvum* was 25 °C and (Ismail et al. 2013) reported that *Neofusicoccum* isolates (*N. parvum* and *N. australe*) grow at a minimum of 10 °C, an optimum of 25 °C and a maximum of 35°C. Copes and Hendrix (2004) reported that the optimum growth temperature of *B. obtuse* was between 20°C and 26°C; although it grew in a temperature range of 8°C to 36°C and its development stopped at 4 °C; and *B. rhodina* grew from 15 °C to 35 °C, the optimum growth temperature was between 25 °C and 35°C; in 10 °C and 40 °C

the growth was stopped, or the growth was inconsiderable. In addition to these previous studies, Wang et al. (2011) found that *L. theobromea* had a faster radial mycelial growth rate than *D. seriata* at 25°C on PDA medium; Chen et al. (2014) reported that *N. parvum, D. seriata*, and *L. theobromea* showed optimum mycelial growth on PDA medium at 25°C and 30°C, respectively. The results obtained in this study were in accordance with mentioned literature.

In this study, all species produced specific colony morphology. D. seriata formed the only one colony with olive-gray color. Contrary, Moral et al. (2010) reported that *D. seriata isolates* formed two different groups based on their colony characteristics. The first group was initially pastel-gray but turned greenish-gray as it matured; the isolates in the second group produced abundant aerial mycelium and were initially whitish-gray but turned greenish-gray or black as they matured. Similarly, Akgül et al. (2015) reported that D. seriata isolates formed a single type of colony. However, in both studies, D. seriata isolates easily formed pycnidium on PDA medium. In this study, *D. seriata* produced oval, ellipsoid, or cylindrical-shaped brown conidia, some with septa (Gure et al. 2005; Endes et al. 2016). Reports from Akgül et al. (2015) and the data obtained in this study are quite similar. However, Wang et al. (2011) reported that this species produced abundant overhead hyphae with non-septa and dark brown mature conidia. The colony characteristic of *N. parvum* with aerial mycelium on whitish gray and bubble-shaped masses of hyphae, producing a very small amount of pycnidium compared to L. theobromae and D. seriata isolates (Amponsah et al. 2008); and fusoid shaped, colorless and non-septa conidia with 1-2 divisions turning brown after a long time (Ismail et al. 2013; Phillips et al. 2013; Akgül et al. 2015) showed that this species is culturally different from *L. theobromae* and *D. seriata* isolates. *L. theobromae* had the fastest radial mycelial growth on PDA medium at 25°C and formed large pycnidia, as well as ellipsoidal or cylindrical shaped, with septa, straight lines on brown mature conidia and paraphyses, a useful character in distinguishing Lasiodiplodia species from each other, making it easy to distinguish this species from D. seriata and N. parvum species (Burgess et al. 2006; Alves et al. 2008; Abdollahzadeh et al. 2010; Wang et al. 2011; Chen et al. 2014 Akgül et al. 2015; Endes et al.2016). B. dothidea showed the maximum temperature for optimum mycelial growth compared to the other three species. Similarly, the optimum temperature range for mycelial growth of *B. dothidea* was determined in the range of 25–32°C in previous studies (Luo et al. 2022; Nazerian et al. 2019).

Recent PCR-based studies have shown that fungi of *Botryosphaeria* are generally associated with anamorph genera such as *Fusicoccum* and *Diplodia* (Crous et al. 2006; Slippers et al. 2007; Phillips et al. 2013). Studies proved significant differences between the morphological characters of these two genera of Botryosphaeriaceae (Wang et al. 2011). Slippers and Wingfield (2007) reported that fusicoccum-like species form hyaline (colorless), narrow ( $10 \mu m$ ) conidia and have thin conidia walls ( $0.5 \mu m$ ); Diplodia-like species, on the other hand, have wider conidia ( $10 \mu m$ ) and thicker conidia walls ( $0.5 - 2 \mu m$ ), with colored conidia over time in mature form, the other important anamorphic genus of *Botryosphaeria* fungi, *Lasiodiplodia*, have always been grouped separately from these two genera. In characterization studies similar to this study, the morphology of colonies and conidia of the species were supported by Phylogenetic studies. Fusicoccum-like species generally form colorless and fusoid-shaped conidia, and Diplodia-like species, which form brown oval, ellipsoid, and cylindrical-shaped conidia, were

grouped into two distinct clades (N. parvum and Diplodia-like species). Subsequently, Diplodia-like species forming colored conidia grouped in two different clades within themselves, one containing isolates of *D. seriata* and the other isolates of *L. theobromae*. Pathogenicity tests (Table 4; figure 3) determined *D. seriata, L. theobromae* and *N. parvum* as pathogens in almond trees in the Eastern Mediterranean Region. The results of cut branch pathogenicity and sapling pathogenicity overlap entirely with each other. However, in cut branch pathogenicity studies, while no isolate formed gum in the bark tissue of 25 cm long almond branches, it formed gum in the inoculation areas of the trunk of almond saplings. The pathogenicity test results of all isolates, L. theobromae was determined as the most pathogenic species by forming longer lesion length and more abundant gum than the other two species, which is in accordance with other studies (Britton and Hendrix 1989; Wang et al. 2011). In the cut-branch pathogenicity studies of *D. seriata* isolates, virulence levels were determined to be statistically different (Laundon 1973; Brown-Rytlewski and McManus 2000; Úrbez-Torres and Gubler 2009). This difference can be explained by the host plant's multiple resistance mechanisms against each isolate in species with a wide host range, such as D. seriata (Lv et al. 2012; Li et al. 2014). In addition, D. seriata produced less gum but longer lesions in trunk tissue than *N. parvum* compared to the other two species. However, Akgül et al. (2015) reported that *N. parvum* was the most pathogenic species among the Botryosphaeriaceae species isolated from the Vineyards in the Aegean Region of Türkiye. Similar to their study, in this study, it was demonstrated that *N. parvum* is at least as important as *L. theobromae* and *D. seriata* in the Eastern Mediterranean Region, considering the length of the lesion formed in the trunk as well as exuding gum from bark tissue. As far as our knowledge, this is the first study that deals with field survey, morphology, phylogeny, and pathogenicity of L. theobromae, D. seriata and N. parvum that cause wilt, gummosis, trunk and branch canker of almond trees in Türkiye.

This study also draws attention to the development of effective control strategies for these three species that cause wilt, gum disease, and dead tissue on the trunk and branches of apricot trees. Because these three species are among the potential risk factors for citrus, vineyard, pome, and stone fruit trees in the Eastern Mediterranean Region, which is one of the most important fruit production centers of Türkiye, therefore, to avoid or prevent diseases caused by *Botryosphaeria* species on almonds and other host plants, good care of fruit trees as well as the application of protective fungicide, especially after pruning, can be a good prevention approach.

# Declarations

**Authors' contributions** AE carried out the survey analysis and sampling. AE developed laboratory analyses and performed mapping analyses and data interpretation. AE prepared the manuscript, and all authors read and approved the manuscript.

**Data availability** Data generated from this study is published within this article. Further materials can be provided on request from the corresponding author.

**Competing interests** The author declare no competing interests.

## References

Abdollahzadeh J, Javadi A, Goltapeh EM, Zare R, Phillips AJL (2010) Phylogeny and morphology of four new species of *Lasiodiplodia* from Iran. Persoonia, 25:1-10

Adesemoye AO, Mayorquin JS, Wang DH, Twizeyimana M, Lynch SC, Eskalen A (2014) Identification of species of Botryosphaeriaceae causing bot gummosis in Citrus in California. Plant Dis. https://doi.org/10.1094/ PDIS-05-13-0492-RE

Akgül DS, Savaş NG, Teker T, Keykubat B, Mayorquin JS, Eskalen A (2015) Fungal trunk pathogens of Sultana Seedless vineyards in Aegean region of Türkiye. Phytopathol Mediterr. https://doi.org/ 10.14601/Phytopathol\_Mediterr-16138

**Al-Saadoon AH, Ameen MKM, Hameed MA, Al-Badran A, Ali Z** (2012) First report of grapevine dieback caused by *Lasiodiplodia theobromae* and *Neoscytalidium dimidiatum* in Basrah, Southern Iraq. African J. Biotechnol. https://doi.org/10.5897/AJB12.010

Alves A, Crous PW, Correia A, Phillips AJ (2008) Morphological and molecular data reveal cryptic speciation in Lasiodiplodia theobromae. Fungal Divers 28:1-13.

Amponsah NT, Jones EE, Ridgway HJ, Jaspers MV (2008) Production of Botryosphaeria species conidia using grapevine green shoots. N Z Plant Prot 61:301-305

Britton KO, Hendrixx FF (1989) Infection of Peach Buds by Botryosphaeria obtusa. Plant Dis 73:65-68

Brown-Rytlewski DE, McManus PS (2000) Virulence of *Botryosphaeria dothidea* and *Botryosphaeria obtusa* on apple and management of stem cankers with fungicides. Plant Dis 84:1031-1037.

Burgess TI, Barber PA, Mohali S, Pegg G, de Beer W, Wingfield MJ (2006) Three New *Lasiodiplodia* sp. from the Tropics, recognized based on DNA sequence comparisons and morphology. Mycologia 98(3):423–435

Carbone I, Kohn LM (1999) A method for designing primer sets for speciation studies in filamentous ascomycetes. Mycologia 91(3):553-556.

Çeliker NM, Michailides TJ (2012) First report of *Lasiodiplodia theobromae* causing canker and shoot blight of fig in Türkiye. New Dis Rep 25(12):2044-0588

Cenis JL (1992) Rapid Extraction of Fungal DNA for PCR Amplification. Nucleic Acids Res Spec Publ 20(9): 2380

Chen S, Morgan DP, Hasey JK, Anderson K, Michailides TJ (2014) Phylogeny, morphology, distribution, and pathogenicity of Botryosphaeriaceae and Diaporthaceae from English walnut in California. Plant Dis 98(5):636-652

Choi YW, Hyde KD, Ho WH (1999) Single spore isolation of Fungi. Fungal Divers 3:29-38.

Copes WE, Hendrix FF Jr (2004) Effect of temperature on sporulation of *Botryosphaeria dothidea*, *B. obtusa*, and *B. rhodina*. Plant Dis 88(3):292-296

Crous PW, Slippers B, Wingfield MJ, Rheeder J, Marasas WFO, Philips AJL, Alves A, Burgess T, Barber P, Groenewald JZ (2006) Phylogenetic lineages in the Botryosphaeriaceae. Stud Mycol 55(1):235–253

Cunnington JH, Priest MJ, Powney RA, Cother NJ (2007) Diversity of *Botryosphaeria* species on horticultural plants in Victoria and New South Wales. Australas Plant Pathol 36:157-159

Damm U, Crous PW, Fourie PH (2007) Botryosphaeriaceae as potential pathogens of Prunus species in South Africa, with descriptions of *Diplodia africana* and *Lasiodiplodia plurivora sp.* nov. Mycologia 99(5): 664–680

Endes A, Kayım M (2022a) Morphological and molecular characterization of Botryosphaeriaceae species associated with dieback and gummosis on plum trees in Türkiye. C R Acad Bulg Sci 75 (2):295–302

Endes A, Kayım M (2022b) The Effect of temperature and culture media on mycelial growth of *Phytophthora citrophthora* causing gummosis, crown and root rot on lemon seedlings. The Journal of Turkish Phytopathology 51(1):21-26

Endes A (2021) Influence of culture media, temperature, pH and light regime on mycelial growth of *Ascochyta rabiei*. International Journal of Agriculture Forestry and Life Sciences 5(1):87-93

Endes A, Kayım M, Eskalen A (2016) First Report of *Lasiodiplodia theobromae*, *L. pseudotheobromae*, and *Diplodia seriata* causing bot canker and gummosis of nectarines in Türkiye. Plant Dis., 100(11): 2321.

**Gharbi Y, Cheffi M, Bouazizi E, Medhioub I, Krid S, Hammami I, Ayadi Feki F, Bouhamed J, Triki MA** (2017) First report of *Diplodia seriata* as causal agent of almond tree branch dieback in Tunisia. J Pl Pathol 99(1):292

Glass NL, Donaldson GC (1995) Development of primer sets designed for use with the PCR to amplify conserved genes from filamentous ascomycetes. Appl Environ Microbiol 61(4):1323-1330

Gure A, Slippers B, Stenlid J (2005) Seed-borne Botryosphaeria sp. from native Prunus and Podocarpus trees in Ethiopia, with a description of the Anamorph Diplodia rosulata sp. nov. Mycol Res 109(9):1005–1014

Gusella G, Giambra S, Conigliaro G, Burruano S, Polizzi G (2021) Botryosphaeriaceae species causing canker and dieback of English walnut (Juglans regia) in Italy. For Pathol 51(1):e12661

Holland LA, Trouillas FP, Nouri MT, Lawrence DP, Crespo M, Doll DA, Duncan RA, Holtz BA, Culumber CM, Yaghmour MA, Niederholzer FJA, Lightle DM, Jarvis-Shean KS, Gordon PE, Fichtner EJ (2021) Fungal pathogens associated with canker diseases of almond in California. Pl Dis 105(2): 346-360

Inderbitzin P, Bostock RM, Trouillas FP, Michailides TJ (2010) A six locus phylogeny reveals high species diversity in Botryosphaeriaceae from California almond. Mycologia 102(6):1350–1368

Ismail AM, Cirvilleri G, Lombard L, Crous PW, Groenewald JZ, Polizzi G (2013) Characterisation of *Neofusicoccum* species causing Mango dieback in Italy. Plant Pathol J 95(3):549-557

Kayim M, Endes A, Eskalen A (2015) First report of *Neofusicoccum parvum* and *Diplodia sp.* associated with wood canker and dieback on almond in Türkiye. In: XVIII. International plant protection congress (IPCC), Berlin, Germany, pp 798

Kurbetli İ, Demirci F (2014) Outbreak of stem canker and dieback of pear trees caused by *Botryosphaeria obtusa* (anamorph *Diplodia seriata*) in Türkiye. New Dis Rep 30:6-6

Laundon GF (1973) *Botryosphaeria obtusa, B. stevensii,* and *Otthia spiraeae* in New Zealand. Transactions of the British Mycological Society 61(2):369-374

Li Z, Wang YT, Gao L, Wang F, Ye JL, Li GH (2014) Biochemical changes and defence responses during the development of peach gummosis caused by Lasiodiplodia theobromae. Eur J Plant Pathol 138:195-207

Liu HX, Tan WP, Sun GW, Zhao YT, He BL, Zhu XP (2015) First report of gummosis disease of apricot (*Prunus armeniaca*) caused by *Botryosphaeria obtusa* in China. Plant Dis 99:888.

Luo Y, Ma R, Barrera E, Gusella G, Michailides TJ (2022) Effects of temperature on development of canker-causing pathogens in almond and prune. *Plant Dis 106*(9):2424-2432

Lv D, Zhang JY, Zhang Z, Zhou ZQ, Chen XK Du XL, Qu SC (2012) The Relationship between rDNA-ITS Sequences and Biological Characteristics of the Apple Ring Rot Pathogen *Botryosphaeria berengeriana* de Not f. sp. *piricola* (Nose). Fungal Genet Biol 2(1):104

McDonald V, Eskalen A (2011) Botryosphaeriaceae species associated with avocado branch cankers in California. Plant Dis 95(11):1465-1473

Moral J, Muñoz-Díez C, González N, Trapero A, Michailides TJ (2010) Characterization and pathogenicity of Botryosphaeriaceae species collected from olive and other hosts in Spain and California. Phytopathology 100:1340-1351

**Munirah MS, Azmi AR, Yong SYC, Nur Ain Izzati MZ** (2017) Characterization of *Lasiodiplodia theobromae* and *L. pseudotheobromae* causing fruit rot on pre-harvest mango in Malaysia. Pl Pathol & Quarantine 7(2):202-213

Nazerian E, Mirabolfathy N, Ashnaei SP, Beiki F (2019). Characterization of Botryosphaeria dothidea as new pathogen of kiwifruit in Iran. *J Plant Prot Res 59*(1):134-137

Olmo D, Armengol J, León M, Gramaje D (2016) Characterization and pathogenicity of Botryosphaeriaceae species isolated from almond trees on the island of Mallorca (Spain). Plant Dis 100(12):2483-2491

Özer G, Türkölmez Ş, Derviş S (2022) First report of *Lasiodiplodia theobromae* causing dieback on almond (*Prunus dulcis*) in Türkiye. J Plant Pathol 104:445–446

Paizila A, Karcı H, Ziya Motalebipour E, Güney M, Kafkas S (2022) Quantitative trait loci analysis for flower-related traits in almond (*Prunus dulcis*). Plant Breed 141(1):119-132

Pavlic D, Slippers B, Coutinho TA, Wingfield MJ (2009) Molecular and phenotypic characterization of three phylogenetic species discovered within the *Neofusicoccum parvum N. ribis* complex. Mycologia 101(5):636–647

Phillips AJL, Alves A, Abdollahzadeh J, Slippers B, Wingfield MJ, Groenewald JZ, Crous PW (2013) The Botryosphaeriaceae: genera and species known from culture. Stud Mycol 76:51–167

Phillips AJL, Lopes J, Abdollahzadeh J, Bobev S, Alves A (2012) Resolving the *Diplodia* complex on apple and other *Rosaceae* hosts. Persoonia 29:29-38

Pitt WM, Huang R, Steel CC, Savocchia S (2013) Pathogenicity and epidemiology of Botryosphaeriaceae species isolated from grapevines in Australia. Australas Plant Pathol.42:573-582

Slippers B, Crous PW, Denman S, Coutinho TA, Wingfield BD, Wingfield MJ (2004) Combined multiple gene genealogies and phenotypic characters differentiate several species previously identified as *Botryosphaeria dothidea*. Mycologia 96(1): 83–101

Slippers B, Wingfield M (2007) Botryosphaeriaceae as Endophytes and latent pathogens of woody plants: Diversity, ecology and impact. Fungal Biol Rev 21:90-106

Smith DR, Stanosz GR (2006) A species-specific PCR assay for detection of *Diplodia pinea* and *D. scrobiculata* in dead red and jack pines with collar rot symptoms. Plant Dis 90:307-313

Sohrabi M, Mohammadi H, León M, Armengol J, Banihashemi Z (2020) Fungal Pathogens Associated with Branch and Trunk Cankers of Nut Crops in Iran. Eur J Plant Pathol 157,327–351

Thomidis T, Michailides T J, Exadaktylou E (2011) *Neofusicoccum parvum* associated with fruit rot and shoot blight of peaches in Greece. Eur J Plant Pathol 131:661–668

Úrbez-Torres JR, Gubler WD (2009) Pathogenicity of Botryosphaeriaceae species isolated from grapevine cankers in California. Plant Dis 93:584-592

Wang F, Zhao L, Li G, Huang J, Hsiang T (2011) Identification and characterization of *Botryosphaeria* sp. causing gummosis of peach trees in Hubei Province, central China. Plant Dis 95:1378-1384

Wang SJ, Wang S, Li M, Huang G, Su Y, Ma H (2023) First Report of Lasiodiplodia theobromae Causing Brown Leaf Spot on Bruguiera gymnorrhiza in China. Plant Dis (ja). https://doi.org// 10.1094/PDIS-12-22-2804-PDN

White TJ, Bruns T, Lee S, Taylor J (1990) Amplification and direct sequencing of fungal ribosomal RNA genes for phylogenetics. In: Innis M, Gelfand D, Sninsky J, White T (eds) PCR Protocols: A Guide to methods and applications. San Diego, California, pp 315–322

Yıldız A, Benlioğlu K, Benlioğlu HS (2014) First report of strawberry dieback caused by *Lasiodiplodia theobromae.* Plant Dis 98(11):1579

# Tables

Table 1. Isolates sequenced in this study and from GenBank included in the phylogenetic analyses

Species	Isolate <sup>a</sup>	GenBank accession number <sup>b</sup>			
		ITS	TUB2	TEF1	
Diplodia seriata	YBUPd1	OP419496	OP819565	OQ053499	
D. seriata	YBUPd2	OP419497	OP973766	OQ053500	
D. seriata	YBUPd3	OP419498	OP973767	OQ053501	
D. seriata	YBUPd4	OP419499	OP973768	OQ053502	
D. seriata	YBUPd5	OP419500	OP973769	OQ053503	
D. seriata	CBS 112555 <sup>T</sup>	AY259093	DQ458850	AY573219	
D. seriata	PUCV2090	MT023558	MT063125	MT120819	
D. seriata	GA-422	HQ660463	HQ660477	HQ660489	
Neofusicoccum parvum	YBUPd6	OP419501	OP973770	OQ053504	
N. parvum	YBUPd7	OP419502	OP973771	OQ053505	
N. parvum	YBUPd8	OP419503	OP973772	OQ053506	
N. parvum	YBUPd9	OP419504	OP973773	OQ053507	
N. parvum	YBUPd10	OP419505	OP973774	OQ053508	
N. parvum	<b>CMW9081</b> <sup>T</sup>	AY236943	AY236917	AY236888	
N. parvum	CBS 145623	MN611180	MN623344	MN623347	
N. parvum	MBAi51AG	KJ921840	KP721702	KP721664	
Botryosphaeria dothidea	YBUPd11	OP419506	OP973775	OQ053509	
B. dothidea	YBUPd12	OP419507	OP973776	OQ053510	
B. dothidea	YBUPd13	OP419508	OP973777	OQ053511	
B. dothidea	CMW8000 <sup>T</sup>	AY236949	AY236927	AY236898	
B. dothidea	KARE1300	MN166016	MN318117	MN318089	
Lasiodiplodia theobromae	YBUPd14	OP419509	OP973778	OQ053512	
L. theobromae	YBUPd15	OP419510	OP973779	OQ053513	
L. theobromae	YBUPd16	OP419511	OP973780	OQ053514	
L. theobromae	CBS 164.96 <sup>T</sup>	AY640255	EU673110	AY640258	
L. theobromae	UCD191Co	DQ008308	DQ008331	EU012397	
L. theobromae	MBAI28AG	KF182331	KF721698	KP721660	
Guignardia philoprina*	CBS447.68	FJ824768	FJ824779	FJ824773	

a = Isolates of species in bold were generated from GenBank. T = Isolates are ex-type specimens.

b = Sequences were registered in the gene bank according to three different gene regions. ITS = Internal Transcribed Spacer, TUB2 =  $\beta$ -tubulin-2, TEF1 = Translation Elongation Factor 1- $\alpha$  gene regions. Asterisk (\*) represented the out-group.

Table 2. Conidial size of Botryosphaeriaceae species from almond trunk and branch canker used in this study and comparison with previous studies.

Species	Isolate <sup>a</sup>	Conidial size (µm) (L × W) <sup>b</sup>	Mean ± SD (µm)	L/W	Source of
			$(L \times W)^{c}$	ration <sup>d</sup>	data
		(17.5–)19.9–23.7(–27.0) ×	$21.8 \pm 1.9 \times 10.3$		
Diplodia seriata	YBUPd1	(8.5–)9.6–11.0(–11.8)	$\pm 0.7$	2.1	This study
		(18.8–)20.9–24.4(–25.0) ×	$22.7 \pm 1.8 \times 10.7$		
D. seriata	YBUPd3	(8.8–)10.0–11.4(–11.8)	$\pm 0.7$	2.1	This study
		(18.8–)19.8–22.9(–25.0) ×	$21.4 \pm 1.5 \times 10.7$		
D. seriata	YBUPd5	(9.5–)10.0–11.3(–12.0)	$\pm 0.7$	2.0	This study
	CBS	(21.5-)22-27(-28) × (11-)11.5-	$24.9 \pm 1.9 \times 12.9$		Phillips et al.
D. seriata	112555 <sup>T</sup>	14.5(-15.5)	± 1.1	1.9	2007
		(19.5–)20–26,5(–27) ×	$23.6 \pm 1.4 \times 11.9$		Olmo et al.
D. seriata	BAL-10	(10.5–)11.5–14(–15)	± 0.8	2.0	2016
Neofusicoccum		(11.3–)15.3–20.6(–23.8) × (3.8–)	$17.9 \pm 2.6 \times 5.9$		
parvum	YBUPd7	5.1-6.7(-8.0)	± 0.8	3.0	This study
		$(14.8-)16.6-20.7(-23.8) \times (4.5-)$	$18.7 \pm 2.0 \times 6.0$		
N. parvum	YBUPd8	5.3-6.7(-8.0)	$\pm 0.7$	3.1	This study
		(12.5–)15.0–21.1(–28.3) × (3.8–)	$18.0 \pm 3.1 \times 5.7$		
N. parvum	YBUPd10	4.7-6.7(-7.5)	± 1.0	3.2	This study
					Slippers et
N. parvum	CMW9081 <sup>T</sup>	$(12-)15-19(-24) \times 4-6$	16.9 × 5.4	3.1	al. 2004
		$(13.5-)16-20(-22.5) \times (4.5-)5.5-$	$17.9 \pm 1.3 \times 5.6$		Olmo et al.
N. parvum	BAL-42	6(-6.5)	± 0.7	3.2	2016
Botryosphaeria		(23.8–)25.0–27.6(–28.5)×	$26.3 \pm 1.3 \times 5.2$		
Botryosphaeria dothidea	YBUPd11	(23.8–)25.0–27.6(–28.5)× (4.0–)4.6–5.7(–6.8)	$26.3 \pm 1.3 \times 5.2 \pm 0.6$	5.1	This study
Botryosphaeria dothidea	YBUPd11	(23.8–)25.0–27.6(–28.5)× (4.0–)4.6–5.7(–6.8) (24.0–)25.2–27.8(–28.8)×	$26.3 \pm 1.3 \times 5.2 \pm 0.6$ $26.5 \pm 1.3 \times 5.4$	5.1	This study
Botryosphaeria dothidea B. dothidea	YBUPd11 YBUPd12	(23.8–)25.0–27.6(–28.5)× (4.0–)4.6–5.7(–6.8) (24.0–)25.2–27.8(–28.8)× (4.5–)4.8–5.9(–6.8)	$26.3 \pm 1.3 \times 5.2 \pm 0.6$ $26.5 \pm 1.3 \times 5.4 \pm 0.5$	5.1 5.0	This study This study
Botryosphaeria dothidea B. dothidea	YBUPd11 YBUPd12	$(23.8-)25.0-27.6(-28.5)\times (4.0-)4.6-5.7(-6.8)$ $(24.0-)25.2-27.8(-28.8)\times (4.5-)4.8-5.9(-6.8)$ $(24.0-)25.2-27.5(-28.5)\times (24.0-)25.2-27.5(-28.5)\times (24.0-)25.2-25.5(-28.5)\times (2$	$26.3 \pm 1.3 \times 5.2 \\ \pm 0.6 \\ 26.5 \pm 1.3 \times 5.4 \\ \pm 0.5 \\ 26.3 \pm 1.2 \times 5.2 \\ \end{cases}$	5.1	This study This study
Botryosphaeria dothidea B. dothidea B. dothidea	YBUPd11 YBUPd12 YBUPd13	$\begin{array}{l} (23.8-)25.0-27.6(-28.5)\times\\ (4.0-)4.6-5.7(-6.8)\\ (24.0-)25.2-27.8(-28.8)\times\\ (4.5-)4.8-5.9(-6.8)\\ (24.0-)25.2-27.5(-28.5)\times\\ (4.5-)4.8-5.6(-6.0)\end{array}$	$26.3 \pm 1.3 \times 5.2 \\ \pm 0.6 \\ 26.5 \pm 1.3 \times 5.4 \\ \pm 0.5 \\ 26.3 \pm 1.2 \times 5.2 \\ \pm 0.4 \\ \end{array}$	5.1 5.0 5.1	This study This study This study
Botryosphaeria dothidea B. dothidea B. dothidea	YBUPd11 YBUPd12 YBUPd13	$(23.8-)25.0-27.6(-28.5) \times$ (4.0-)4.6-5.7(-6.8) $(24.0-)25.2-27.8(-28.8) \times$ (4.5-)4.8-5.9(-6.8) $(24.0-)25.2-27.5(-28.5) \times$ (4.5-)4.8-5.6(-6.0)	$26.3 \pm 1.3 \times 5.2 \\ \pm 0.6 \\ 26.5 \pm 1.3 \times 5.4 \\ \pm 0.5 \\ 26.3 \pm 1.2 \times 5.2 \\ \pm 0.4 \\ \end{array}$	5.1 5.0 5.1	This study This study This study Slippers et
Botryosphaeria dothidea B. dothidea B. dothidea <b>B. dothidea</b>	YBUPd11 YBUPd12 YBUPd13 CMW8000 <sup>T</sup>	$(23.8-)25.0-27.6(-28.5) \times$ $(4.0-)4.6-5.7(-6.8)$ $(24.0-)25.2-27.8(-28.8) \times$ $(4.5-)4.8-5.9(-6.8)$ $(24.0-)25.2-27.5(-28.5) \times$ $(4.5-)4.8-5.6(-6.0)$ $(20-)23-27(-30) \times 4-5(-6)$	$26.3 \pm 1.3 \times 5.2 \\\pm 0.6 \\26.5 \pm 1.3 \times 5.4 \\\pm 0.5 \\26.3 \pm 1.2 \times 5.2 \\\pm 0.4 \\24.7 \times 4.9 \\2$	5.1 5.0 5.1 <b>5</b>	This study This study This study Slippers et al. 2004
Botryosphaeria dothidea B. dothidea B. dothidea <b>B. dothidea</b>	YBUPd11 YBUPd12 YBUPd13 CMW8000 <sup>T</sup>	$(23.8-)25.0-27.6(-28.5) \times (4.0-)4.6-5.7(-6.8) \times (24.0-)25.2-27.8(-28.8) \times (4.5-)4.8-5.9(-6.8) \times (24.0-)25.2-27.5(-28.5) \times (4.5-)4.8-5.6(-6.0) \times (20-)23-27(-30) \times 4-5(-6) \times (22.5-)24-28.5(-32) \times (4.5-)4.5-20 \times (4.5-$	$26.3 \pm 1.3 \times 5.2 \\\pm 0.6 \\26.5 \pm 1.3 \times 5.4 \\\pm 0.5 \\26.3 \pm 1.2 \times 5.2 \\\pm 0.4 \\24.7 \times 4.9 \\26.4 \pm 2.4 \times 5.5 \\$	5.1 5.0 5.1 <b>5</b>	This study This study This study Slippers et al. 2004 Chen et al.
Botryosphaeria dothidea B. dothidea B. dothidea <b>B. dothidea</b>	YBUPd11 YBUPd12 YBUPd13 CMW8000 <sup>T</sup> 2E55	$(23.8-)25.0-27.6(-28.5) \times$ $(4.0-)4.6-5.7(-6.8)$ $(24.0-)25.2-27.8(-28.8) \times$ $(4.5-)4.8-5.9(-6.8)$ $(24.0-)25.2-27.5(-28.5) \times$ $(4.5-)4.8-5.6(-6.0)$ $(20-)23-27(-30) \times 4-5(-6)$ $(22.5-)24-28.5(-32) \times (4.5-)4.5-6.5(-7.5)$	$26.3 \pm 1.3 \times 5.2 \\\pm 0.6 \\26.5 \pm 1.3 \times 5.4 \\\pm 0.5 \\26.3 \pm 1.2 \times 5.2 \\\pm 0.4 \\24.7 \times 4.9 \\26.4 \pm 2.4 \times 5.5 \\\pm 0.8 \\26.9 \pm 0.9 \\$	5.1 5.0 5.1 5 4.8	This study This study This study Slippers et al. 2004 Chen et al. 2014
Botryosphaeria dothidea B. dothidea B. dothidea B. dothidea B. dothidea Lasiodiplodia	YBUPd11 YBUPd12 YBUPd13 CMW8000 <sup>T</sup> 2E55	$(23.8-)25.0-27.6(-28.5) \times$ $(4.0-)4.6-5.7(-6.8)$ $(24.0-)25.2-27.8(-28.8) \times$ $(4.5-)4.8-5.9(-6.8)$ $(24.0-)25.2-27.5(-28.5) \times$ $(4.5-)4.8-5.6(-6.0)$ $(20-)23-27(-30) \times 4-5(-6)$ $(22.5-)24-28.5(-32) \times (4.5-)4.5-6.5(-7.5)$ $(21.3-)23.9-28.4(-31.3) \times$	$26.3 \pm 1.3 \times 5.2 \\\pm 0.6 \\26.5 \pm 1.3 \times 5.4 \\\pm 0.5 \\26.3 \pm 1.2 \times 5.2 \\\pm 0.4 \\24.7 \times 4.9 \\26.4 \pm 2.4 \times 5.5 \\\pm 0.8 \\26.2 \pm 2.3 \times 13.8 \\$	5.1 5.0 5.1 5 4.8	This study This study This study Slippers et al. 2004 Chen et al. 2014
Botryosphaeria dothidea B. dothidea B. dothidea <b>B. dothidea</b> <b>B. dothidea</b> Lasiodiplodia theobromae	YBUPd11         YBUPd12         YBUPd13         CMW8000 <sup>T</sup> 2E55         YBUPd14	$(23.8-)25.0-27.6(-28.5) \times$ $(4.0-)4.6-5.7(-6.8)$ $(24.0-)25.2-27.8(-28.8) \times$ $(4.5-)4.8-5.9(-6.8)$ $(24.0-)25.2-27.5(-28.5) \times$ $(4.5-)4.8-5.6(-6.0)$ $(20-)23-27(-30) \times 4-5(-6)$ $(22.5-)24-28.5(-32) \times (4.5-)4.5-$ $6.5(-7.5)$ $(21.3-)23.9-28.4(-31.3) \times$ $(11.3-)12.6-15.1(-16.3)$	$26.3 \pm 1.3 \times 5.2 \\\pm 0.6 \\26.5 \pm 1.3 \times 5.4 \\\pm 0.5 \\26.3 \pm 1.2 \times 5.2 \\\pm 0.4 \\24.7 \times 4.9 \\26.4 \pm 2.4 \times 5.5 \\\pm 0.8 \\26.2 \pm 2.3 \times 13.8 \\\pm 1.3 \\26.4 \pm 1.3 \\26.4 \pm 1.4	5.1 5.0 5.1 <b>5</b> <b>4.8</b> 1.9	This study This study This study Slippers et al. 2004 Chen et al. 2014 This study
Botryosphaeria dothidea B. dothidea B. dothidea <b>B. dothidea</b> <b>B. dothidea</b> Lasiodiplodia theobromae	YBUPd11 YBUPd12 YBUPd13 <b>CMW8000<sup>T</sup></b> 2E55 YBUPd14	$(23.8-)25.0-27.6(-28.5) \times$ $(4.0-)4.6-5.7(-6.8)$ $(24.0-)25.2-27.8(-28.8) \times$ $(4.5-)4.8-5.9(-6.8)$ $(24.0-)25.2-27.5(-28.5) \times$ $(4.5-)4.8-5.6(-6.0)$ $(20-)23-27(-30) \times 4-5(-6)$ $(22.5-)24-28.5(-32) \times (4.5-)4.5-6.5(-7.5)$ $(21.3-)23.9-28.4(-31.3) \times$ $(11.3-)12.6-15.1(-16.3)$ $(22.5-)24.7-28.1(-30.0) \times$	$26.3 \pm 1.3 \times 5.2 \\ \pm 0.6 \\ 26.5 \pm 1.3 \times 5.4 \\ \pm 0.5 \\ 26.3 \pm 1.2 \times 5.2 \\ \pm 0.4 \\ \hline 24.7 \times 4.9 \\ \hline 26.4 \pm 2.4 \times 5.5 \\ \pm 0.8 \\ \hline 26.2 \pm 2.3 \times 13.8 \\ \pm 1.3 \\ 26.4 \pm 1.7 \times 14.3 \\ \hline 26.4 \pm 0.8 \\ \hline 26.4 \pm $	5.1 5.0 5.1 5 4.8 1.9	This study This study This study Slippers et al. 2004 Chen et al. 2014 This study
Botryosphaeria dothidea B. dothidea B. dothidea B. dothidea B. dothidea Lasiodiplodia theobromae L. theobromae	YBUPd11         YBUPd12         YBUPd13         CMW8000 <sup>T</sup> 2E55         YBUPd14         YBUPd15	$(23.8-)25.0-27.6(-28.5) \times$ $(4.0-)4.6-5.7(-6.8)$ $(24.0-)25.2-27.8(-28.8) \times$ $(4.5-)4.8-5.9(-6.8)$ $(24.0-)25.2-27.5(-28.5) \times$ $(4.5-)4.8-5.6(-6.0)$ $(20-)23-27(-30) \times 4-5(-6)$ $(22.5-)24-28.5(-32) \times (4.5-)4.5-6.5(-7.5)$ $(21.3-)23.9-28.4(-31.3) \times$ $(11.3-)12.6-15.1(-16.3)$ $(22.5-)24.7-28.1(-30.0) \times$ $(12.5-)13.3-15.3(-16.3)$	$26.3 \pm 1.3 \times 5.2 \\\pm 0.6 \\26.5 \pm 1.3 \times 5.4 \\\pm 0.5 \\26.3 \pm 1.2 \times 5.2 \\\pm 0.4 \\24.7 \times 4.9 \\26.4 \pm 2.4 \times 5.5 \\\pm 0.8 \\26.2 \pm 2.3 \times 13.8 \\\pm 1.3 \\26.4 \pm 1.7 \times 14.3 \\\pm 1.0 \\24.9 \pm 0.6 \pm 12.2 \\$	5.1 5.0 5.1 <b>5</b> <b>4.8</b> 1.9 1.9	This study This study This study Slippers et al. 2004 Chen et al. 2014 This study This study
Botryosphaeria dothidea B. dothidea B. dothidea B. dothidea B. dothidea Lasiodiplodia theobromae L. theobromae	YBUPd11 YBUPd12 YBUPd13 <b>CMW8000</b> <sup>T</sup> <b>2E55</b> YBUPd14 YBUPd15	$(23.8-)25.0-27.6(-28.5) \times$ $(4.0-)4.6-5.7(-6.8)$ $(24.0-)25.2-27.8(-28.8) \times$ $(4.5-)4.8-5.9(-6.8)$ $(24.0-)25.2-27.5(-28.5) \times$ $(4.5-)4.8-5.6(-6.0)$ $(20-)23-27(-30) \times 4-5(-6)$ $(22.5-)24-28.5(-32) \times (4.5-)4.5-6.5(-7.5)$ $(21.3-)23.9-28.4(-31.3) \times$ $(11.3-)12.6-15.1(-16.3)$ $(22.5-)24.7-28.1(-30.0) \times$ $(12.5-)13.3-15.3(-16.3)$ $(19.3-)22.2-27.3(-29.3) \times$	$26.3 \pm 1.3 \times 5.2 \\\pm 0.6 \\26.5 \pm 1.3 \times 5.4 \\\pm 0.5 \\26.3 \pm 1.2 \times 5.2 \\\pm 0.4 \\24.7 \times 4.9 \\26.4 \pm 2.4 \times 5.5 \\\pm 0.8 \\26.2 \pm 2.3 \times 13.8 \\\pm 1.3 \\26.4 \pm 1.7 \times 14.3 \\\pm 1.0 \\24.8 \pm 2.6 \times 13.8 \\\pm 1.2 \\$	5.1 5.0 5.1 5 4.8 1.9 1.9	This study This study This study Slippers et al. 2004 Chen et al. 2014 This study This study This study
Botryosphaeria dothidea B. dothidea B. dothidea B. dothidea B. dothidea Lasiodiplodia theobromae L. theobromae L. theobromae	YBUPd11         YBUPd12         YBUPd13         CMW80000 <sup>T</sup> 2E55         YBUPd14         YBUPd15         YBUPd16	$(23.8-)25.0-27.6(-28.5) \times$ $(4.0-)4.6-5.7(-6.8)$ $(24.0-)25.2-27.8(-28.8) \times$ $(4.5-)4.8-5.9(-6.8)$ $(24.0-)25.2-27.5(-28.5) \times$ $(4.5-)4.8-5.6(-6.0)$ $(20-)23-27(-30) \times 4-5(-6)$ $(22.5-)24-28.5(-32) \times (4.5-)4.5-6.5(-7.5)$ $(21.3-)23.9-28.4(-31.3) \times$ $(11.3-)12.6-15.1(-16.3)$ $(22.5-)24.7-28.1(-30.0) \times$ $(12.5-)13.3-15.3(-16.3)$ $(19.3-)22.2-27.3(-29.3) \times$ $(10.8-)12.5-15.2(-16.3)$	$26.3 \pm 1.3 \times 5.2 \\\pm 0.6 \\26.5 \pm 1.3 \times 5.4 \\\pm 0.5 \\26.3 \pm 1.2 \times 5.2 \\\pm 0.4 \\24.7 \times 4.9 \\26.4 \pm 2.4 \times 5.5 \\\pm 0.8 \\26.2 \pm 2.3 \times 13.8 \\\pm 1.3 \\26.4 \pm 1.7 \times 14.3 \\\pm 1.0 \\24.8 \pm 2.6 \times 13.8 \\\pm 1.3 \\26.4 \pm	5.1 5.0 5.1 <b>5</b> <b>4.8</b> 1.9 1.9 1.9	This study This study This study Slippers et al. 2004 Chen et al. 2014 This study This study This study
Botryosphaeria dothidea B. dothidea B. dothidea B. dothidea B. dothidea Lasiodiplodia theobromae L. theobromae	YBUPd11 YBUPd12 YBUPd13 CMW8000 <sup>T</sup> 2E55 YBUPd14 YBUPd15 YBUPd16 CBS	$(23.8-)25.0-27.6(-28.5) \times$ $(4.0-)4.6-5.7(-6.8)$ $(24.0-)25.2-27.8(-28.8) \times$ $(4.5-)4.8-5.9(-6.8)$ $(24.0-)25.2-27.5(-28.5) \times$ $(4.5-)4.8-5.6(-6.0)$ $(20-)23-27(-30) \times 4-5(-6)$ $(22.5-)24-28.5(-32) \times (4.5-)4.5-6.5(-7.5)$ $(21.3-)23.9-28.4(-31.3) \times$ $(11.3-)12.6-15.1(-16.3)$ $(22.5-)24.7-28.1(-30.0) \times$ $(12.5-)13.3-15.3(-16.3)$ $(19.3-)22.2-27.3(-29.3) \times$ $(10.8-)12.5-15.2(-16.3)$ $(19-)21-31(-32.5) \times (12-)13-45-5(-32)$	$26.3 \pm 1.3 \times 5.2 \\ \pm 0.6 \\ 26.5 \pm 1.3 \times 5.4 \\ \pm 0.5 \\ 26.3 \pm 1.2 \times 5.2 \\ \pm 0.4 \\ \hline 24.7 \times 4.9 \\ \hline 26.4 \pm 2.4 \times 5.5 \\ \pm 0.8 \\ 26.2 \pm 2.3 \times 13.8 \\ \pm 1.3 \\ 26.4 \pm 1.7 \times 14.3 \\ \pm 1.0 \\ 24.8 \pm 2.6 \times 13.8 \\ \pm 1.3 \\ \hline 26.2 \pm 2.6 \times 14.2 \\ \pm 1.3 \\ \hline 26.2 \pm 2.6 \times 14.2 \\ \pm 1.3 \\ \hline 26.2 \pm 2.6 \times 14.2 \\ \pm 1.3 \\ \hline 26.2 \pm 2.6 \times 14.2 \\ \hline 26.2 \pm 2.2 \\ \hline 26.2 \pm 2.2 \times 14.2 \\ \hline 26.2 \pm 2.2 \\ \hline $	5.1 5.0 5.1 <b>5</b> <b>4.8</b> 1.9 1.9 1.8	This study This study This study Slippers et al. 2004 Chen et al. 2014 This study This study This study Alves et al.
Botryosphaeria dothidea B. dothidea B. dothidea B. dothidea B. dothidea Lasiodiplodia theobromae L. theobromae L. theobromae	YBUPd11         YBUPd12         YBUPd13         CMW8000T         2E55         YBUPd14         YBUPd15         YBUPd16         CBS         164.96T	$(23.8-)25.0-27.6(-28.5) \times$ $(4.0-)4.6-5.7(-6.8)$ $(24.0-)25.2-27.8(-28.8) \times$ $(4.5-)4.8-5.9(-6.8)$ $(24.0-)25.2-27.5(-28.5) \times$ $(4.5-)4.8-5.6(-6.0)$ $(20-)23-27(-30) \times 4-5(-6)$ $(22.5-)24-28.5(-32) \times (4.5-)4.5-6.5(-7.5)$ $(21.3-)23.9-28.4(-31.3) \times$ $(11.3-)12.6-15.1(-16.3)$ $(22.5-)24.7-28.1(-30.0) \times$ $(12.5-)13.3-15.3(-16.3)$ $(19.3-)22.2-27.3(-29.3) \times$ $(10.8-)12.5-15.2(-16.3)$ $(19-)21-31(-32.5) \times (12-)13-15.5(-18.5)$	$26.3 \pm 1.3 \times 5.2 \\ \pm 0.6 \\ 26.5 \pm 1.3 \times 5.4 \\ \pm 0.5 \\ 26.3 \pm 1.2 \times 5.2 \\ \pm 0.4 \\ \\ 24.7 \times 4.9 \\ 26.4 \pm 2.4 \times 5.5 \\ \pm 0.8 \\ 26.2 \pm 2.3 \times 13.8 \\ \pm 1.3 \\ 26.4 \pm 1.7 \times 14.3 \\ \pm 1.0 \\ 24.8 \pm 2.6 \times 13.8 \\ \pm 1.3 \\ 26.2 \pm 2.6 \times 14.2 \\ \pm 1.2 \\ 26.2 \pm 2.6 \times 14.2 \\ \pm 1.2 \\ 20.2 \pm 1.2 \\ 20.$	5.1 5.0 5.1 <b>5</b> <b>4.8</b> 1.9 1.9 1.8 <b>1.9</b>	This study This study This study Slippers et al. 2004 Chen et al. 2014 This study This study This study Alves et al. 2008
Botryosphaeria dothidea B. dothidea B. dothidea B. dothidea B. dothidea B. dothidea Lasiodiplodia theobromae L. theobromae L. theobromae	YBUPd11 YBUPd12 YBUPd13 <b>CMW8000</b> <sup>T</sup> <b>2E55</b> YBUPd14 YBUPd15 YBUPd15 YBUPd16 <b>CBS</b> <b>164.96</b> <sup>T</sup>	$(23.8-)25.0-27.6(-28.5) \times$ $(4.0-)4.6-5.7(-6.8)$ $(24.0-)25.2-27.8(-28.8) \times$ $(4.5-)4.8-5.9(-6.8)$ $(24.0-)25.2-27.5(-28.5) \times$ $(4.5-)4.8-5.6(-6.0)$ $(20-)23-27(-30) \times 4-5(-6)$ $(22.5-)24-28.5(-32) \times (4.5-)4.5-6.5(-7.5)$ $(21.3-)23.9-28.4(-31.3) \times$ $(11.3-)12.6-15.1(-16.3)$ $(22.5-)24.7-28.1(-30.0) \times$ $(12.5-)13.3-15.3(-16.3)$ $(19.3-)22.2-27.3(-29.3) \times$ $(10.8-)12.5-15.2(-16.3)$ $(19-)21-31(-32.5) \times (12-)13-15.5(-18.5)$ $(17.5-)20-24(-28) \times (9-)11.5-13(-16.5)$	$26.3 \pm 1.3 \times 5.2 \\ \pm 0.6 \\ 26.5 \pm 1.3 \times 5.4 \\ \pm 0.5 \\ 26.3 \pm 1.2 \times 5.2 \\ \pm 0.4 \\ \hline 24.7 \times 4.9 \\ \hline 26.4 \pm 2.4 \times 5.5 \\ \pm 0.8 \\ \hline 26.2 \pm 2.3 \times 13.8 \\ \pm 1.3 \\ 26.4 \pm 1.7 \times 14.3 \\ \pm 1.0 \\ \hline 24.8 \pm 2.6 \times 13.8 \\ \pm 1.3 \\ \hline 26.2 \pm 2.6 \times 14.2 \\ \pm 1.2 \\ \hline 22.0 \pm 1.9 \times 12.5 \\ \hline $	5.1 5.0 5.1 <b>5</b> <b>4.8</b> 1.9 1.9 1.8 <b>1.9</b>	This study This study This study Slippers et al. 2004 Chen et al. 2014 This study This study This study Alves et al. 2008 Chen et al.

 $^{a}$  Isolates of species in bold were generated from previous studies. T = isolates are ex-type or from samples that have been linked morphologically to type material of the species.

<sup>b</sup> L × W = length by width; (minimum-)average  $\pm$  SD [Standard Deviation](-maximum).

<sup>C</sup>  $L \times W =$ length by width.

 $^{d}$  L/W = average length/average width.

Species Isolate Temperature Growth Adjusted model<sup>a</sup> (°C)<sup>b</sup> (mm/qün)<sup>c</sup>  $R^2$ b d а С Diplodia seriata YBUPd1 0.987 -0.004 0.175 -1.018 0.666 26.4 b 23.9 b D. seriata YBUPd3 0.980 -0.004 0.178 -1.048 0.669 26.5 b 23.5 b 0.985 -0.004 0.189 -1.226 1.503 D. seriata YBUPd5 26.4 b 23.5 b 0.978 -0.004 0.170 -0.932 0.120 Neofusicoccum parvum YBUPd7 25.3 c 20.4 b 0.981 -0.004 0.176 -1.042 0.583 N. parvum 26.1 bc 22.2 b YBUPd8 YBUPd10 0.980 -0.004 0.175 -1.040 0.569 N. parvum 26.0 bc 21.8 b YBUPd11 0.963 -0.004 0.208 -1.825 3.869 Botryosphaeria dothidea 29.6 a 28.1 a B. dothidea YBUPd12 0.955 -0.004 0.213 -1.913 4.194 29.9 a 28.9 a B. dothidea YBUPd13 0.953 -0.004 0.210 -1.882 4.100 29.9 a 28.9 a Lasiodiplodia YBUPd14 0.946 -0.004 0.194 -1.593 2.623 27.7 b 22.5 b theobromae L. theobromae YBUPd15 0.939 -0.004 0.189 -1.518 2.317 26.9 b 20.6 b L. theobromae YBUPd16 0.939 -0.004 0.189 -1.519 2.329 26.9 b 20.4 b

Table 3. Temperature-growth relationship for Botryosphaeriaceae isolates\*

\* Data are the average of five replicates for each isolate. For each column, means with the same letter are not significantly different according to Kruskal-Wallis all pairwise comparisons test (P = 0.05).

<sup>a</sup> Mycelial growth on potato dextrose agar at 5 to 35°C was adjusted to a third-degree polynomial model:  $Y = aT^3 + bT^2 + cT + d$  in which Y = mycelial growth (mm/day); a, b, c and d are the regression coefficients; and  $R^2 =$  coefficient of determination.

<sup>b</sup> Optimal temperature estimated by the adjusted model.

 $^{\rm C}$  Maximum growth rate estimated by the adjusted model.

Table 4. Average wood discoloration length on detached branches of *Prunus dulcis* cv. Ferradual, caused by mycelium plug inoculations with Botryosphaeriaceae species.

Species <sup>a</sup>	Isolate	Average wood discoloration length (mm) <sup>b</sup> $\pm$		Gum	Reisolation <sup>d</sup>
		SE	exudation <sup>c</sup>		
Diplodia seriata	YBUPd1	$39.5 \pm 0.6$	de	+	10
	YBUPd2	$37.3 \pm 1.1$	е	n/a	9
	YBUPd3	$40.8 \pm 1.3$	de	++	10
	YBUPd4	37.1 ± 1.1	е	n/a	10
	YBUPd5	$42.2 \pm 1.2$	d	+	8
Neofusicoccum parvum	YBUPd6	$98.8 \pm 1.1$	a	++	10
	YBUPd7	$97.9 \pm 0.8$	a	+	10
	YBUPd8	$99.5 \pm 0.9$	a	+++	9
	YBUPd9	$98.6 \pm 0.8$	a	+++	9
	YBUPd10	$98.9 \pm 0.9$	a	++	10
Botryosphaeria		$54.6 \pm 1.0$	С	+	8
dothidea	YBUPd11				
	YBUPd12	$53.6 \pm 1.0$	С	++	9
	YBUPd13	$54.9 \pm 0.6$	С	+	9
Lasiodiplodia		$68.9 \pm 0.7$	b	+++	10
theobromae	YBUPd14				
	YBUPd15	$70.4 \pm 0.9$	b	++++	10
	YBUPd16	$68.6 \pm 1.0$	b	+++	10
Control		$6.4 \pm 0.3$	f	n/a	0

<sup>a</sup> Botryosphaeriaceae isolates were identified by morphological and molecular analyses

<sup>b</sup> Values followed by the same letters are not significantly different according to Tukey's HSD test (P < 0.05).

<sup>C</sup> n/a = not available, + = Poor, ++ = Moderate, +++ = Profuse, ++++ = Abundant

 $^{\rm d}$  Number of samples from which the fungus was reisolated out of 10 samples inoculated.

### **Figures**



#### Figure 1

Disease symptoms caused by Botryosphaeriaceae species on almond trees in Yozgat province, central Türkiye. a, b. Dieback and blight of canopy; c, d. Gum exudation (band canker) on the trunk; e - g. Gummosis in scaffold branch; h - j. Wood discoloration and band canker tissue on root collar; k - m. Wedge-shaped and irregular vascular discoloration produced in the wood of trunk or scaffold branch





#### Figure 2

Most parsimonious unrooted tree based on internal transcribed spacer (ITS)1, 5.8S ribosomal DNA, ITS2, partial  $\beta$ -tubulin gene, and elongation factor 1- $\alpha$  sequences of isolates of species in the Botryosphaeriaceae family inferred from maximum parsimony analysis using MEGA 11. Numbers on branches are bootstrap values >70% in 1,000 replicates. Bootstrap values < 70% are indicated asterisk. Isolate CBS447 68 (Guignardia philoprina) was added as an outgroup. CBS = Centraalbureau

Schimmelcultures, Utrecht, The Netherlands; CMW = Culture Collection Forestry and Agricultural Biotechnology Institute, University of Pretoria, South Africa; UCD = University of California, Davis; UCR = University of California, Riverside; KARE = Kearney Agricultural Research and Extension; MBA = Turkish isolates; GA-422 = Chinese isolate; PUCV2090 = Chile isolate. The other isolates were sequenced in this study.



#### Figure 3

Average wood discoloration length (mm) on 2-years-old almond seedlings (cultivar: Ferradual) in 3 months after inoculation with a mycelium plugs of four Botryosphaeriaceae species. Bars topped with different letters indicate treatment means that are significantly different (P < 0.05) using the Tukey's HSD test. Vertical lines represent the standard errors of the means.