Circular RNA SIPA1L1 promotes osteogenesis via regulating the miR-617/Smad3 axis in dental pulp stem cells

Xingyun Ge

Nanjing Medical University

Zehan Li

Nanjing Medical University

Zhou Zhou

Nanjing Medical University

Yibo Xia

Nanjing Medical University

Minxia Bian

Nanjing Medical University

Jinhua Yu (✉ yujinhua@njmu.edu.cn)

<https://orcid.org/0000-0003-4874-9910>

Research

Keywords: Circular RNA SIPA1L1, miR-617, Smad3, Dental pulp stem cells, osteogenesis

Posted Date: July 16th, 2020

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.21203/rs.3.rs-32816/v2>

License: © ⓘ This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License.

[Read Full License](#)

Version of Record: A version of this preprint was published on August 24th, 2020. See the published version at <https://doi.org/10.1186/s13287-020-01877-3>.

Abstract

Background: Bone regeneration is preferred for bone loss caused by tumors, bone defects, fractures, etc. Recently, mesenchymal stem cells are considered as optimistic tools for bone defect therapy. Dental pulp stem cells (DPSCs) are a promising candidate for regenerative medicine and bone regeneration. Our previous study showed that up-regulated circSIPA1L1 during osteogenesis of DPSCs is of significance. In this paper, the potential role of circSIPA1L1 in osteogenesis of DPSCs and its underlying mechanisms are explored.

Methods: The circular structure of circSIPA1L1 was identified by Sanger sequencing and PCR. Regulatory effects of circSIPA1L1 and miR-617 on mineral deposition in DPSCs were assessed by alkaline phosphatase (ALP) and alizarin red S (ARS) staining and *in vivo* bone formation assay were conducted to verify the biological influences of circSIPA1L1 on DPSCs. Western blot was performed to detect the protein expression of Smad3. Localization of circSIPA1L1 and miR-617 was confirmed by FISH. Dual-luciferase reporter assay and rescue experiments were conducted to investigate the role of the circSIPA1L1/miR-617/Smad3 regulatory axis in osteogenesis of DPSCs.

Results: Sanger sequencing and back-to-back primer experiments confirmed the closed loop structure of circSIPA1L1. CircSIPA1L1 could promote the committed differentiation of DPSCs. MiR-617 was predicted to be the target binding circSIPA1L1 through MiRDB, miRTarBase, and TargetScan database analyses, which was further confirmed by dual-luciferase reporter assay. FISH results showed that circSIPA1L1 and miR-617 colocalize in the cytoplasm of DPSCs. MiR-617 exerted an inhibitory effect on osteogenesis of DPSCs. Knockdown of circSIPA1L1 or upregulation of miR-617 down-regulated phosphorylated Smad3. In addition, rescue experiments showed that knockdown of miR-617 reversed the inhibitory effect of circSIPA1L1 on osteogenesis of DPSCs.

Conclusion: CircRNASIPA1L1 promotes osteogenesis of DPSCs by adsorbing miR-617 and further targeting Smad3.

Background

Large bone defects caused by massive injuries, diseases or deformities can be repaired by autologous bone grafts. Nevertheless, the number of bone grafts is limited and the delicate 3D shape cannot be outlined. Therefore, effective bone regeneration for clinical needs is urgently required. Artificial bone engineering, which creates functional bone tissues using stem cells (the most optimal autologous cells) as artificial environment or scaffold, contributes to bone defect repair^{1,2}. In recent years, cell therapy, especially mesenchymal stem cells (MSCs), has shown good application prospects in the treatment of bone defects³. As an important member of the MSC family, the biological function of bone marrow mesenchymal stem cells has been widely recognized⁴. Due to its strong multi-lineage differentiation potentials and regenerative properties, great progress has been achieved in bone tissue engineering⁵.

Sources of stem cells are diverse, including peripheral blood, bone marrows, cord blood, placenta, and teeth ⁶. Notably, dental MSCs are easily available ⁷.

The endodontium is a special gelatinous soft connective tissues containing nerves, blood vessels and connective tissue, which protects teeth from inflammation and infection ^{8,9}. Dental pulp stem cells (DPSCs) are derived from dental pulp tissues, which are featured by high proliferative potential, clonogenicity, self-renewal capacity, and multi-lineage differentiation. As an important mesenchymal stem cell derived from dental pulp, DPSCs can be collected from young permanent teeth in a non-invasive way. In addition to the advantages of a wide range of sources and convenient collection, DPSCs also have the advantages of low immunogenicity and no moral controversy ¹⁰. Many studies have shown that DPSCs can differentiate into neurogenic, osteogenic, dentinal and myogenic cell lineages under different induction conditions ¹¹. *In vivo* studies have shown that DPSCs are capable of producing lamellar bones and differentiating into periodontal tissues. Therefore, DPSCs can be utilized for bone regeneration ¹². Moreover, clarifying osteogenesis of DPSCs is conducive to the development of regenerative medicine and bone disease treatment.

As an important type of ncRNAs, the head-to-tail closed loop structure of circular RNAs (circRNAs) from 3' end to 5' tail results in their pronounced stability than traditional linear RNAs ¹³. CircRNAs are extensively expressed in thousands of human genes, and sometime they exhibit higher expressions than corresponding homologous linear isoforms ¹⁴. CircRNAs mainly exert transcriptional and post-transcriptional regulations on protein sponges ^{15,16}, translation ¹⁷ and miRNA sponges ¹⁸. The well-known competing endogenous RNA (ceRNA) theory of circRNAs has been well concerned. In the nucleotide sequence of circRNA, some circRNAs contain multiple miRNA binding sites capable of binding miRNA, preventing them from binding to their mRNA target genes (sponge effect), thereby inhibiting the function of miRNA. CiRS-7, also known as CDR1as, has been clearly demonstrated as a typical example of miRNA sponge. CircRNA CDR1as contains over 70 miRNA-7 (miR-7) conserved binding sites that strongly inhibit miR-7 activity. After the CDR1as study was published in 2013, various other circRNAs have been shown to act as miRNA sponges. Using bioinformatics tools, miRNA binding sites can be predicted in circRNA sequences. Therefore, for the same miRNA, a circular RNA containing many miRNA binding sites is relatively easy to be found as a miRNA sponge ^{18,19,20}. For example, circRNA-ciRS-7 contains over 70 binding sites of miR-7, which are highly conserved and greatly inhibits its activity. However, potential functions of circRNAs in stem cell osteogenesis are rarely reported. We have previously identified differentially expressed circRNAs during osteogenesis of teeth-derived stem cells by RNA sequencing ²¹, suggesting vital functions of circRNAs in osteogenesis. In the preliminary work, we found that the expression of circSIPA1L1 in the mineralization induction group was about 8 times that of the control group. CircSIPA1L1 is produced by a transcript encoding circSIPA1L1 on human chromosome 14 (NM_015556). Through miRDB, miRTarBase, and TargetScan database analysis, binding sequences in 3'UTR of miR-617 and circSIPA1L1 have been predicted ²¹. As is well known, microRNAs (miRNAs) are ncRNAs with short chains (19-25 nt), which inhibiting target gene translation through complementary base pairing ²². MiRNAs are extensively involved in bone homeostasis through mediating certain

cytokines and transcription factors, thereafter affecting bone formation, remodeling, defect repair, and bone diseases²³. Dysfunctional miRNAs in osteoporosis have been proven to exert a certain therapeutic potential. Bone destruction and strength are greatly improved in osteoporosis mice intravenously administrated with chemically synthesized miR-106b-5p, miR-17-5p or miR-451²⁴.

This study illustrated the influence of circSIPA1L1 on osteogenesis of DPSCs. Our findings uncovered that knockdown of circSIPA1L1 or overexpression of miR-617 remarkably inhibited osteogenesis of DPSCs. Mechanically, circSIPA1L1 sponged miR-617 to up-regulate phosphorylated Smad3. Our results provide potential therapeutic strategies for bone regeneration through targeting osteogenesis of DPSCs.

Materials And Methods

DPSCs extraction and cell culture

Primarily healthy third molars were collected from young people aged 18-25 years after informed consent at Oral and Maxillofacial Surgery of the Jiangsu Provincial Stomatological Hospital. The collection process obeyed the ethical approval of Nanjing Medical University. Tooth was removed and then placed in PBS buffer containing 100 U / mL penicillin. After washing with a sterile saline solution, the surface adhered gingival tissues and blood clots were removed. Dental pulp was gently harvested in fresh culture medium And digested in 4 mg / mL trypsin (Gibco, Life Technologies, Grand Island, NY) containing 3 mg / mL collagenase type I (Gibco, Life Technologies) at 37°C. 30 min later, isolated cells were inoculated in 6-cm culture dishes with α -MEM (Gibco, Life Technologies) containing 10% fetal bovine serum (FBS, Gibco, Life Technologies), 100 μ g/mL streptomycin and 100 U / mL penicillin in a 5% CO₂ incubator at 37°C. On the third day, solution was changed and medium was replaced every two days since after. Cell passage at a ratio of 1:3 was conducted at 70-80% confluence, and third - fifth generation cells were utilized for subsequent experiments. Isolated DPSCs were induced for osteogenesis at 50–60% confluence in osteogenesis medium (OM—Human Dental Pulp Stem Cell Osteogenic Differentiation Basal Medium, Cyagen Biosciences Inc, USA): standard GM containing 100 μ M ascorbic acid, 2mM 2-glycerophosphate, and 10 nM dexamethasone (Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis, MO, USA). OM was replaced every 2 days.

DPSCs characterization

STRO-1 is a protein-tagged gene of MSCs, which is the first isolated monoclonal antibody to identify MSCs. Cultured cells (3d) were subjected to immunofluorescence staining with an antibody of STRO-1 (1:200, Novus Biologicals, Littleton, CO, USA), followed by determination of positive expression of STRO-1. Meanwhile, cells were incubated with CD34-FITC, CD45-PerCP, CD90-PE, CD105-APC and CD73-PE (Miltenyi, Bergisch Gladbach, Germany), and subjected to FCM analysis (BD Biosciences, CA, USA).

Tri-lineage differentiation of DPSCs

Mineralized nodule formation of DPSCs was determined by ARS staining, as described previously²⁵. Osteogenesis ability of third generation DPSCs was examined by induction in OM for 14 days according to the instructions. Then, DPSCs were reacted in 4% paraformaldehyde for 15 minutes and dyed with ARS (pH=4.2, Sigma, Aldrich) for 10 min. ARS was diluted in 10% cetylpyridinium chloride (CPC) to calculate the number of calcified nodules. OD value was determined at 570 nm.

DPSCs were incubated in adipogenic differentiation medium (adult fat adipose-derived stem cell adipogenic differentiation medium, Cyagen Biosciences Inc, USA). When the cell fusion reached 80%, the adipogenic induction group was added with 2 ml OriCell adipogenic differentiation medium A solution. After 3 days, OriCell adipogenic differentiation medium B solution was replaced. After 24 h, change the A solution to culture. After 25 days, Oil Red O staining was conducted to assess adipogenic differentiation in fixed DPSCs.

Three-dimensional pellet culture of DPSCs (2.5×10^5 cells) in a 15 ml sterile tube was conducted for 25-day chondrogenic differentiation. Induction medium was changed every two days with the lids of the tube loosened. Pellets fixed and embedded in OCT compounds in 5 μ m thickness (Sakura Finetek Co., Ltd., Tokyo, Japan) were dyed with Alcian Blue.

Cell transfection

Three circSIPA1L1 siRNAs (100 nM) were designed by Ribobio (Ribobio, China) and their sequences were as follows: siRNA-1: CTGGATGAACAAGGGAGAA; siRNA-2: ATGAACAAGGGAGAAAGCA; siRNA-3: AGGGAGAAAGCATGGGATT (Figure 1E), the si-NC group were transfected with randomized sequence of siRNA, the transfection efficacy was tested by RT-PCR and at last, circSIPA1L1 siRNA-1 and siRNA-3 were selected (Figure 1F). Meanwhile, miR-617 mimic (50 nM), miR-617 mimic NC (50 nM), miR-617 inhibitor (100 nM) and miR-617 inhibitor NC (100 nM) were purchased from Ribobio as well. To overexpress the circSIPA1L1, we designed an overexpression plasmid of circSIPA1L1 and the NC group were transfected with an empty vector, after transfection using Lipofectamine 2000 (Invitrogen, USA) for 48-96 h, the transfection efficacy was tested by RT-PCR Complete medium was replaced at 6 h.

Flow cytometry

DPSCs were cultured for three days, then collected by trypsin (Beyotime, Haimen, China) and fixed in alcohol overnight at 4 °C in dark. After PBS wash, samples were subjected to FACScan flow cytometer (BD Biosciences, San Jose, CA) and independently analyzed for three times.

Cell proliferation assay

Proliferative potential of DPSCs was determined by the Cell Counting Kit-8 (CCK-8) (Dojindo, Tokyo, Japan) assay and EdU incorporation assay. For CCK-8 assay, 3×10^3 DPSCs were inoculated in each well of a 96-well plate. After 24 hours of culture, the medium were replaced with osteogenesis medium. After

1, 3, 5, 7, and 9 days of culture, DPSCs were treated with CCK-8 reagents at 37°C for 2 h and the optical density (OD) at 450 nm was measured by a microplate reader.

For EdU incorporation assay, 5×10^3 DPSCs were treated with 50 mM 5 ethynyl-20-deoxyuridine (EdU, Ribobio) at 37 °C for 6 h. After 30-min fixation in 4% paraformaldehyde (PFA), DPSCs was treated with 2 mg / ml glycine for 10 min, 0.5% Triton X-100 and 1 × Apollo reaction mixture for 30 min. Subsequently, DPSCs were treated with 1×Hoechst-33342 solution in dark for 30 min at room temperature (RT) and images were captured by fluorescence microscopy.

ALP staining

At day 7 of osteogenesis, DPSCs were fixed in 4% PFA for 15 min and washed with PBS for three times. ALP staining was performed using the NBT / BCIP staining kit (Beyotime, China) and images were captured using a microscope (Olympus, Japan).

Western blot

RIPA lysis buffer (Beyotime, China) was used to isolate cellular protein, which was further loaded onto 10% SDS-PAGE and transferred to a polyvinylidene fluoride membrane (Millipore, MA, USA). After 2-h blockage in 5% skim milk, the membrane was incubated with diluted OSX, RUNX2, ALP (Abcam, UK), Smad3 and GAPDH (Cell Signaling Technology) at 1:1000 overnight at 4 °C. After TBST wash for three times, the membrane was reacted with the corresponding secondary antibody for 1 h at RT. Grey value was analyzed by ImageJ software.

Reverse transcription polymerase chain reaction (RT-PCR)

RNAs extracted from DPSCs using TRIzol (Invitrogen, USA) underwent reverse transcription by PrimeScript RT kit (TaKaRa, Otsu, Japan). RT-PCR was performed on an ABI 7300 real-time PCR system with Universal ChamQTM SYBR Green quantitative PCR Master Mix (Vazyme, Nanjing, China). GAPDH and U6 were the internal references for mRNA and miRNA, respectively. Bulge-Loop miRNA qPCR Primer kit (RiboBio) was used for measuring miRNA-617 expression. Primer sequences for ALP, OSX, RUNX2 and GAPDH were depicted in Table 1. Expression levels were calculated by the $2^{-\Delta\Delta C_t}$ method as previously reported ²⁵.

Immunofluorescence staining

After PBS washing for three times, DPSCs were subjected to 30-min incubation in 4% paraformaldehyde, 15-min incubation in 0.1% Triton X-100 (Beyotime) and 2-h blockage in normal goat serum (DCS / BioGenex, Hamburg, Germany) at RT. After treatment with primary and T fluorescent dye-labeled designated secondary antibody at appointed time points, nuclei were counterstained with DAPI (Beyotime). Immunofluorescence images were observed under a fluorescent inverted microscope (Olympus, Shanghai, China).

Dual-luciferase reporter assay

Dual-luciferase reporter assay was conducted as described previously²⁵. In brief, HEK293T cells seeded in 24-well plates (5×10^5 cells/well) were co-transfected with Firefly Luciferase reporter vector (800 ng), Renilla Luciferase reporter vector (5 ng wild-type or mutant-type, GeneChem, Shanghai, China) and 50 nM miR-617 mimics or negative control using Lipofectamine 2000. Luciferase activity measured by the Dual-Luciferase Reporter Assay System (Promega) was finally calculated as Firefly luciferase activity normalized to that of Renilla.

Animal procedures

Animal procedures followed institutional guidelines and got approval of the Ethics Committee of Nanjing Medical University. Fifteen 5-week homozygous nude mice were provided by the Animal Center of Nanjing Medical University. Mice were habituated for 1 week with 3-4 per cage. They were randomly assigned into three groups ($n=5$ per group) and subcutaneously transplanted with DPSCs transfected with si-NC, si-circSIPA1L1-1 or si-circSIPA1L1-3, respectively. Specifically, transfected DPSCs underwent osteogenesis for 2 weeks, followed by treatment with Bio-Oss collagen (Geistlich, Germany) scaffold for 12 h at 37 °C. Make two longitudinal incisions on the back of the nude mouse, and bluntly separate to form dorsal subcutaneous pocket, where two implants were inserted. Eight weeks later, the implant was removed and fixed in 4% PFA.

Histology

Sections were decalcified in 10% EDTA (pH 7.4) for 4 weeks with EDTA solution replacement every other day, dehydrated and paraffin embedded. Subsequently, sections were sagittally sectioned, deparaffinized and visualized by hematoxylin and eosin (H&E) or Masson's trichrome staining. Images were captured using a microscope.

Statistical processing

Statistical Package for Social Sciences (SPSS) software 16.0 was used for statistical analyses. One-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) and Student's *t*-test were used for comparing differences. A two-tailed $P < 0.05$ considered as statistically significant. Data were expressed as mean $\pm$ SD of from at least three independent experiments.

Results

Phenotype identification of DPSCs

The morphology of primary generation DPSCs were fibroblast- or spindle-like (Figure S1A). To identify the phenotype and qualification of extracted DPSCs, the multipotency, including chondrogenic, adipogenic and osteogenic differentiation, was tested²⁶. Flow cytometry results demonstrated that the isolated DPSCs were negative for hematopoietic markers (CD34, CD45) (Figure S1B), but positive for MSC

markers (CD29, CD90, CD73 and CD105) (Figure S1C). Tri-lineage differentiation of DPSCs was firstly confirmed (Figure S1D). Meanwhile, immunofluorescence staining results showed that DPSCs were positive for the MSC surface molecule STRO-1 (Figure S1D). The above results all verified the stem cell characteristics of isolated DPSCs.

Identification of the circular structure

To identify the circular structure of circSIPA1L1, the SIPA1L1-expressing plasmid was designed (Figure 1A). Head-to-tail splicing of circSIPA1L1, and its genome size and sequences were confirmed by Sanger sequencing (Figure 1A). Moreover, divergent and convergent primers were used and it is found that circSIPA1L1, but not linear SIPA1L1 was resistant to RNase R digestion (Figure 1B). To exclude the possibility that head-to-tail splicing product of circSIPA1L1 comes from genomic rearrangement or trans-splicing, its cDNA and gDNA of 293T cells either with RNase R or not were detected. Supplemental expression level of reverse splicing or canonical form of SIPA1L1 was shown (Figure 1C). Subsequently, FISH identified that circSIPA1L1 was mainly distributed in the cytoplasm of DPSCs with 18S and U6 as the internal control (Figure 1D). We hypothesized that circSIPA1L1 regulates the biological characteristics of DPSCs via the ceRNA mechanism. In summary, circSIPA1L1 was identified as a stable circRNA and deserved further exploration.

CircSIPA1L1 is upregulated and miR-617 is downregulated during osteogenesis of DPSCs

Dynamically expressed circSIPA1L1 and miR-617 during osteogenesis in DPSCs were detected. Three circSIPA1L1 small interfering RNAs (siRNAs) specifically targeting the backsplice junction sequences at different binding sites in circSIPA1L1 were designed (Figure 1E). Small interfering RNA transfection efficiency was detected by RT-PCR. The results showed that si-circSIPA1L1-1 and si-circSIPA1L1-3 could effectively knock down the expression of circSIPA1L1 (Figure 1F). Meanwhile, the expression of circSIPA1L1 between NC and circSIPA1L1 group were detected by RT-PCR, the results showed that circSIPA1L1 could effectively increase the expression of circSIPA1L1 (Figure 1G).

CircSIPA1L1 was time-dependently upregulated and miR-617 was downregulated in osteogenic DPSCs. Moreover, mRNA levels of osteogenesis markers *ALP*, *OSX* and *RUNX2* were remarkably upregulated during the process of osteogenesis (Figure 1H), demonstrating the successful induction of osteogenesis.

CircSIPA1L1 have no effect on DPSCs proliferation

To elucidate the role of circSIPA1L1 in DPSCs proliferation, CCK-8, flow cytometry and EdU assay were conducted. FCM analysis did not show significant differences in the proliferation index ($PI = G2M \pm S$) between the NC group (9.15%) and the circSIPA1L1 group (8.15%, $P > 0.05$, Figure S2A). Similarly, no significant difference was found in the proliferation index between the si-NC group (4.72%), the si-circSIPA1L1-1 group (5.23%), and the si-circSIPA1L1-3 group (5.32%, $P > 0.05$, Figure S2A). In addition, the results of the EdU assay showed no significant difference between the NC group and the circSIPA1L1 group ($P > 0.05$, Figure S2B, C) or between the si-NC, si-circSIPA1L1-1 and si-circSIPA1L1-3 groups

(Figure S2B, D). The CCK-8 assay showed no significant difference in proliferation rates between the NC group and the circSIPA1L1 group (Figure S2E) or between the si-NC, si-circ-SIPA1L1-1 and si-circ-SIPA1L1-3 groups from 0 days to 9 days ($P > 0.05$) (Figure S2F). Taken together, the data demonstrated that circSIPA1L1 does not affect the proliferation of DPSCs.

CircSIPA1L1 stimulates DPSCs osteogenesis

To further analyze the effect of circSIPA1L1 on osteogenic differentiation of DPSCs, protein and mRNA levels of ALP, OSX and RUNX2 were detected by Western blot and RT-PCR in osteogenic DPSCs. Western blot results showed that protein expression of ALP, OSX and RUNX2 were up-regulated in the overexpression group of circSIPA1L1 (Figure 2A). The results of RT-PCR indicated that circSIPA1L1 overexpression increased *ALP*, *OSX* and *RUNX2* (Figure 2E). Whereas the expression of protein level was down-regulated when circSIPA1L1 was knocked down in DPSCs (Figure. 2B), and the results of RT-PCR indicated that the level of *ALP*, *OSX* and *RUNX2* were decreased in circSIPA1L1 knockdown of DPSCs (Figure 2H). After 7 days of osteogenesis, ALP staining showed decreased ALP activity after knockdown of circSIPA1L1 and obviously upregulated by circSIPA1L1 overexpression (Figure 2C). After 14 days of induction, alizarin red staining showed reduced matrix mineralization in DPSCs with circSIPA1L1 knockdown whereas circSIPA1L1 overexpression obtained the opposite effects (Figure 2C, F, I). Identically, positive expressions of ALP and OSX were downregulated by circSIPA1L1 knockdown in DPSCs as immunofluorescence revealed (Figure 2D). These results indicated that circSIPA1L1 stimulated DPSCs osteogenesis.

DPSCs stably down expressing circSIPA1L1 and controls were loaded on Bio-Oss Collagen scaffolds, and implanted in the subcutaneous tissues of nude mice for 8 weeks growth. Both H&E and Masson staining showed less bone-like structures and collagen deposit in DPSCs of the circSIPA1L1-downexpressing group than control group (Figure 2G).

CircSIPA1L1 sponges miR-617

CircRNAs are able to regulate downstream gene expressions and functions by sponging corresponding miRNAs. Transfection efficiency of miR-617 mimics and inhibitor was verified by RT-PCR (Figure 3A). It is shown that circSIPA1L1 expression was negatively regulated by miR-617 (Figure 3B). To further validate their interaction, FISH analysis was conducted in DPSCs, and the results revealed that miR-617 colocalized with circSIPA1L1 in the cytoplasm (Figure 3C, D). Through analyses on miRDB, miRTarBase, and TargetScan database, a binding site in 3'UTR of miR-617 and circSIPA1L1 was discovered (Figure 3E). Subsequently, dual-luciferase reporter assay was conducted to test the interaction between circSIPA1L1 and miR-617. 293T cells were co-transfected with miR-617 mimics/negative control and wild-type/mutant-type circSIPA1L1, respectively. Overexpression of miR-617 markedly quenched luciferase activity in wild-type circSIPA1L1 compared with controls, verifying the direction interaction between circSIPA1L1 and miR-617 (Figure 3F). These observations indicated that circSIPA1L1 and miR-617 coexisted in the cytoplasm, and circSIPA1L1 acted as a miRNA sponge for miR-617 in DPSCs.

MiR-617 inhibits DPSCs osteogenesis

Next, the potential influence of miR-617 on DPSCs osteogenesis was explored. Western blot revealed that the protein levels of ALP, OSX and RUNX2 increased in the miR-617 knockdown group and the opposite effect was observed in the miR-617 overexpression group (Figure 4A, B). RT-PCR analysis confirmed that the expression of osteogenic related genes *ALP*, *OSX* and *RUNX2* were significantly lower in the miR-617 overexpressing group than in the control group, while knockdown of miR-617 increased the gene expression of these osteogenic markers (Figure 4C). After 7 days of osteogenesis, ALP staining showed that miR-617 negatively regulated ALP activity in DPSCs (Figure 4D). After 14 days of osteogenesis, alizarin red staining showed that the formation of mineralized nodules in DPSCs was negatively mediated by miR-617 as well (Figure 4E, F). Immunofluorescence staining analysis showed that positive expressions of ALP and OSX were upregulated in DPSCs with miR-617 knockdown group, which were downregulated in those overexpressing miR-617 group (Figure 4G). In conclusion, the above findings demonstrated that miR-617 was a negative regulator in DPSCs osteogenesis.

MiR-617 directly targets Smad3

Similarly, downstream genes binding miR-617 were predicted using miRDB, miRTarBase, miRWalk and TargetScan algorithms (Figure 5A). A total of 10,461 potential target genes of miR-617 were obtained (see supplement file1). GO and KEGG pathway analysis indicated that these target genes were mainly involved in intracellular activities (Figure 5B, C). Interestingly, Smad3 was a shared gene predicted in miRDB, miRWalk and TargetScan databases. As an intracellular protein, Smad3 induces nuclear transportation of extracellular transforming growth factor β ligands, thereafter activating transcription of downstream genes. Binding sequences in 3'UTR of Smad3 and miR-617 were shown (Figure 5D), and the complementary regions between these different species were also highly conserved.

CircSIPA1L1/miR-617/Smad3 axis is responsible for DPSCs osteogenesis

To test the interaction between miR-617 and Smad3, psiCHECK2-Smad3 and psiCHECK2-mut-Smad3 were constructed. Dual-luciferase reporter assay uncovered decreased luciferase activity after co-transfection of miR-617 mimics and psiCHECK2-Smad3, confirming the direct interaction between miR-617 and Smad3 (Figure 5D). Interestingly, Smad3 level was positively regulated by circSIPA1L1, but negatively regulated by miR-617. CircSIPA1L1/miR-617 induces osteogenic differentiation of DPSCs by targeting Smad3. Western blot assay showed higher protein levels of Smad3 in NC group and miR-617 inhibitor than miR-617 mimic group and miR-617 inhibitor NC group respectively. Meanwhile, Si-NC group showed higher protein levels of Smad3 than Si-circSIPA1L1-3 group and Si-circSIPA1L1-1 group respectively (Figure 6A-C). Immunofluorescence assay revealed a similar result (Figure 6D).

MiR-617 reversed regulatory effect of circSIPA1L1 on DPSCs osteogenesis

Rescue experiments were conducted to clarify the involvement of miR-617/Smad3 in circSIPA1L1-mediated osteogenesis. Western blot results showed that downregulated RUNX2, ALP, OSX and Smad3 in

osteogenic DPSCs with circSIPA1L1 knockdown were partially reversed by co-silence of miR-617 (Figure 6E, F).

Discussion

In recent years, critical functions of circRNAs in human diseases have been highlighted, which may provide a theoretical basis for developing novel treatments^{19,27,28}. Serving as ceRNAs, circRNAs can sponge miRNAs, proteins and trans-acting elements, thus influencing gene transcription, expressions and functions. In this paper, we focused on the role of circSIPA1L1 in the bone regeneration and its potential mechanism.

Owing to the multilineage differentiation potential, DPSCs are considered as candidates in bone regeneration. Our finding showed that circSIPA1L1 was dynamically upregulated during DPSCs osteogenesis, while miR-617 showed the opposite trend. CircSIPA1L1 was unable to influence proliferative potential of osteogenic DPSCs. However, it indeed stimulated osteogenesis of DPSCs as ALP and ARS staining indicated. As an early marker of calcification, ALP is linked to osteoblast activity and osteogenesis specificity²⁹. Its expression and activity were markedly enhanced in the early stage of mineralization. RUNX2 is a transcriptional regulator responsible for early-stage osteogenesis, which directly affects gene expressions associated with intracranial secretion and bone tissue enrichment. Studies have shown that RUNX2 knockout mice performed complete lack of bone formation³⁰. OSX is a critical downstream gene of RUNX2 that is involved in bone formation and osteoblast differentiation. It is reported that MSCs isolated from OSX-deficient mice cannot be differentiated into osteoblasts³¹. Here, osteogenesis markers (e.g. ALP, RUNX2, OSX) were found to be positively regulated by circSIPA1L1, further confirming our findings. In addition, a subcutaneous transplantation model in nude mice by cell scaffold material was established. histological examination results were consistent with the *in vitro* conclusions. Taken together, these findings indicated that circSIPA1L1 stimulated DPSCs osteogenesis.

Recently, ceRNA hypothesis proposed a vital regulatory loop, that is, circRNA-miRNA-mRNA axis³². For instance, circNRIP1 aggravates gastric cancer progression by sponging microRNA-149-5p *via* the AKT1/mTOR pathway³³. In addition, circHIPK3 promotes the proliferative and differentiation potentials of chicken myoblasts by sponging miR-30a-3p³⁴. Vital functions of miRNAs in stem cell regulation have been well concerned. Many miRNAs have been identified to participate in osteoblast differentiation processes³⁵. For example, miR-21, miR-26a and miR-196 are involved in MSCs osteogenesis^{36,37,38}. To explore the potential contributing mechanisms of circSIPA1L1 in DPSCs osteogenesis, bioinformatics analysis was conducted to seek potential targets binding circSIPA1L1. Our results demonstrated that miR-617 was the target gene binding circSIPA1L1 through dual-luciferase reporter assay, which negatively mediated DPSCs osteogenesis. Notably, miR-617 was capable of abolishing regulatory effect of circSIPA1L1 on DPSCs osteogenesis. In the cytoplasm, ceRNAs can affect mRNA stability and

translational regulation under the circumstances that two interacted genes should be colocalized ^{39, 40}. FISH results illustrated that circSIPA1L1 and miR-617 were co-localized in the cytoplasm of DPSCs.

In a similar way, Smad3 was discovered to be downstream gene of miR-617, which is an important component of TGF- β signaling. Smad3 (mothers against decapentaplegic homolog 3) is a crucial regulator of TGF- β / Smads pathway ⁴¹. It is able to mediate the synthesis and degradation of extracellular matrix, as well as cell phenotypes ^{42, 43}. After TGF- β and RUNX2 induction, Smads are activated and accumulated to contribute to skeleton formation ⁴⁴. It is reported that miR-708 can effectively abolish the inhibitory effect of Dex on osteoblast differentiation by upregulating Smad3 ⁴⁵. In this paper, Smad3 was proven to be the downstream gene binding miR-617. Its level was positively regulated by circSIPA1L1 and negatively regulated by miR-617. Therefore, we hypothesized a circSIPA1L1/miR-617/Smad3 axis responsible for mediating DPSCs osteogenesis. Overexpression of inflammation-induced miR-223-3p triggers odontoblast differentiation of DPSCs by targeting Smad3 ⁴⁶. The synergistic activity of Smads following Runx2 activation is of significance in bone formation. The Smad pathway mediates differentiation of mesenchyma progenitors through converging RUNX2 ⁴⁷. Taken together, we believed that circSIPA1L1/miR-617/Smad3 axis stimulated DPSCs osteogenesis.

Conclusion

CircSIPA1L1 is dynamically upregulated under mineralization-inducing conditions. CircSIPA1L1/miR-617/Smad3 axis is responsible for stimulating DPSCs osteogenesis, which can be utilized as bone regeneration targets.

Abbreviations

CircSIPA1L1: Circular RNA SIPA1L1; DPSCs: Dental pulp stem cells; miRNA: MicroRNA; ARS: Alizarin red Staining; RT-PCR: Reverse transcription polymerase chain reaction; ALP: Alkaline phosphatase; RUNX2: Runt-related transcription factor 2; MSCs: Mesenchymal stem cells; ceRNA: Competing endogenous RNA; 3'UTR: 3' untranslated region; NC: Negative control

Declarations

Acknowledgements

Not applicable.

Authors' contributions

Xingyun Ge and Zehan Li conceived and designed the study, collected and assembled data and wrote the manuscript. These two authors contributed equally to this work. Zhou Zhou performed data analysis and

interpretation, Yibo Xia and MinxiaBian reviewed the data. Jinhua Yu conceived and designed the study, provided financial support and study material, performed data analysis and interpretation, approved the final version of the manuscript. All authors read and approved the manuscript.

Funding

This study has been partially funded by a grant from the National Natural Science Foundation of China (81873707, 81900962), Medical Talent Project of Jiangsu Province (ZDRCA2016086). And Science and Technology Development Project of Jiangsu Province (BE2017731) and Postgraduate Research & Practice Innovation Program of Jiangsu Province (KYCX19_1147).

Availability of data and materials

The datasets used and analyzed during the current study are available from the corresponding author on reasonable request.

Ethics approval and consent to participate

Studies were carried out in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki and got approval of the Ethical Committee of Nanjing Medical University.

Consent for publication

Not applicable.

Competing interests

The authors declare no competing interests.

References

1. Huang GT, Gronthos S, Shi S. Mesenchymal stem cells derived from dental tissues vs. those from other sources: their biology and role in regenerative medicine. *J Dent Res* 2009, **88**(9): 792-806.
2. Salgado AJ, Oliveira JM, Martins A, Teixeira FG, Silva NA, Neves NM, *et al.* Tissue engineering and regenerative medicine: past, present, and future. *Int Rev Neurobiol* 2013, **108**: 1-33.

3. Bianco P, Cao X, Frenette PS, Mao JJ, Robey PG, Simmons PJ, *et al.* The meaning, the sense and the significance: translating the science of mesenchymal stem cells into medicine. *Nat Med* 2013, **19**(1): 35-42.
4. Kim HJ, Yi SW, Oh HJ, Lee JS, Park JS, Park KH. Transfection of gene regulation nanoparticles complexed with pDNA and shRNA controls multilineage differentiation of hMSCs. *Biomaterials* 2018, **177**: 1-13.
5. Chen Q, Shou P, Zheng C, Jiang M, Cao G, Yang Q, *et al.* Fate decision of mesenchymal stem cells: adipocytes or osteoblasts? *Cell Death Differ* 2016, **23**(7): 1128-1139.
6. Lv FJ, Tuan RS, Cheung KM, Leung VY. Concise review: the surface markers and identity of human mesenchymal stem cells. *Stem Cells* 2014, **32**(6): 1408-1419.
7. Wang F, Jia Y, Liu J, Zhai J, Cao N, Yue W, *et al.* Dental pulp stem cells promote regeneration of damaged neuron cells on the cellular model of Alzheimer's disease. *Cell Biol Int* 2017, **41**(6): 639-650.
8. Li JH, Fan WS, Wang MM, Wang YH, Ren ZG. Effects of mesenchymal stem cells on solid tumor metastasis in experimental cancer models: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *J Transl Med* 2018, **16**(1): 113.
9. Bindal P, Gnanasegaran N, Bindal U, Haque N, Ramasamy TS, Chai WL, *et al.* Angiogenic effect of platelet-rich concentrates on dental pulp stem cells in inflamed microenvironment. *Clin Oral Investig* 2019.
10. Ge X, Li Z, Jing S, Wang Y, Li N, Lu J, *et al.* Parathyroid hormone enhances the osteo/odontogenic differentiation of dental pulp stem cells via ERK and P38 MAPK pathways. *J Cell Physiol* 2020, **235**(2): 1209-1221.

11. Yu J, He H, Tang C, Zhang G, Li Y, Wang R, *et al.* Differentiation potential of STRO-1+ dental pulp stem cells changes during cell passaging. *BMC Cell Biol* 2010, **11**: 32.
12. Ferrarotti F, Romano F, Gamba MN, Quirico A, Giraudi M, Audagna M, *et al.* Human intrabony defect regeneration with micrografts containing dental pulp stem cells: A randomized controlled clinical trial. *J Clin Periodontol* 2018, **45**(7): 841-850.
13. Li X, Zheng Y, Zheng Y, Huang Y, Zhang Y, Jia L, *et al.* Circular RNA CDR1as regulates osteoblastic differentiation of periodontal ligament stem cells via the miR-7/GDF5/SMAD and p38 MAPK signaling pathway. *Stem Cell Res Ther* 2018, **9**(1): 232.
14. Barrett SP, Salzman J. Circular RNAs: analysis, expression and potential functions. *Development* 2016, **143**(11): 1838-1847.
15. Qu S, Zhong Y, Shang R, Zhang X, Song W, Kjems J, *et al.* The emerging landscape of circular RNA in life processes. *RNA Biol* 2017, **14**(8): 992-999.
16. Qu S, Yang X, Li X, Wang J, Gao Y, Shang R, *et al.* Circular RNA: A new star of noncoding RNAs. *Cancer Lett* 2015, **365**(2): 141-148.
17. Yang Y, Fan X, Mao M, Song X, Wu P, Zhang Y, *et al.* Extensive translation of circular RNAs driven by N(6)-methyladenosine. *Cell Res* 2017, **27**(5): 626-641.
18. Hansen TB, Jensen TI, Clausen BH, Bramsen JB, Finsen B, Damgaard CK, *et al.* Natural RNA circles function as efficient microRNA sponges. *Nature* 2013, **495**(7441): 384-388.
19. Memczak S, Jens M, Elefsinioti A, Torti F, Krueger J, Rybak A, *et al.* Circular RNAs are a large class of animal RNAs with regulatory potency. *Nature* 2013, **495**(7441): 333-338.

20. Wang K, Gan TY, Li N, Liu CY, Zhou LY, Gao JN, *et al.* Circular RNA mediates cardiomyocyte death via miRNA-dependent upregulation of MTP18 expression. *Cell Death Differ* 2017, **24**(6): 1111-1120.
21. Li Z, Li N, Ge X, Pan Y, Lu J, Gobin R, *et al.* Differential circular RNA expression profiling during osteogenic differentiation of stem cells from apical papilla. *Epigenomics* 2019.
22. Guan X, Gao Y, Zhou J, Wang J, Zheng F, Guo F, *et al.* miR-223 Regulates Adipogenic and Osteogenic Differentiation of Mesenchymal Stem Cells Through a C/EBPs/miR-223/FGFR2 Regulatory Feedback Loop. *Stem Cells* 2015, **33**(5): 1589-1600.
23. Zhao X, Xu D, Li Y, Zhang J, Liu T, Ji Y, *et al.* MicroRNAs regulate bone metabolism. *J Bone Miner Metab* 2014, **32**(3): 221-231.
24. Cui Q, Xing J, Yu M, Wang Y, Xu J, Gu Y, *et al.* Mmu-miR-185 depletion promotes osteogenic differentiation and suppresses bone loss in osteoporosis through the Bgn-mediated BMP/Smad pathway. *Cell Death Dis* 2019, **10**(3): 172.
25. Li Z, Yan M, Yu Y, Wang Y, Lei G, Pan Y, *et al.* LncRNA H19 promotes the committed differentiation of stem cells from apical papilla via miR-141/SPAG9 pathway. *Cell Death Dis* 2019, **10**(2): 130.
26. Horwitz EM, Le Blanc K, Dominici M, Mueller I, Slaper-Cortenbach I, Marini FC, *et al.* Clarification of the nomenclature for MSC: The International Society for Cellular Therapy position statement. *Cytotherapy* 2005, **7**(5): 393-395.
27. Jeck WR, Sorrentino JA, Wang K, Slevin MK, Burd CE, Liu J, *et al.* Circular RNAs are abundant, conserved, and associated with ALU repeats. *RNA* 2013, **19**(2): 141-157.
28. Zhao ZJ, Shen J. Circular RNA participates in the carcinogenesis and the malignant behavior of cancer. *RNA Biol* 2017, **14**(5): 514-521.

29. Halling Linder C, Ek-Rylander B, Krumpel M, Norgard M, Narisawa S, Millan JL, *et al.* Bone Alkaline Phosphatase and Tartrate-Resistant Acid Phosphatase: Potential Co-regulators of Bone Mineralization. *Calcif Tissue Int* 2017, **101**(1): 92-101.
30. Phillips JE, Gersbach CA, Wojtowicz AM, Garcia AJ. Glucocorticoid-induced osteogenesis is negatively regulated by Runx2/Cbfa1 serine phosphorylation. *J Cell Sci* 2006, **119**(Pt 3): 581-591.
31. Nakashima K, Zhou X, Kunkel G, Zhang Z, Deng JM, Behringer RR, *et al.* The novel zinc finger-containing transcription factor osterix is required for osteoblast differentiation and bone formation. *Cell* 2002, **108**(1): 17-29.
32. Xie F, Li Y, Wang M, Huang C, Tao D, Zheng F, *et al.* Circular RNA BCRC-3 suppresses bladder cancer proliferation through miR-182-5p/p27 axis. *Mol Cancer* 2018, **17**(1): 144.
33. Zhang X, Wang S, Wang H, Cao J, Huang X, Chen Z, *et al.* Circular RNA circNRIP1 acts as a microRNA-149-5p sponge to promote gastric cancer progression via the AKT1/mTOR pathway. *Mol Cancer* 2019, **18**(1): 20.
34. Chen B, Yu J, Guo L, Byers MS, Wang Z, Chen X, *et al.* Circular RNA circHIPK3 Promotes the Proliferation and Differentiation of Chicken Myoblast Cells by Sponging miR-30a-3p. *Cells* 2019, **8**(2).
35. Gangaraju VK, Lin H. MicroRNAs: key regulators of stem cells. *Nat Rev Mol Cell Biol* 2009, **10**(2): 116-125.
36. Candini O, Spano C, Murgia A, Grisendi G, Veronesi E, Piccinno MS, *et al.* Mesenchymal progenitors aging highlights a miR-196 switch targeting HOXB7 as master regulator of proliferation and osteogenesis. *Stem Cells* 2015, **33**(3): 939-950.

37. Su X, Liao L, Shuai Y, Jing H, Liu S, Zhou H, *et al.* MiR-26a functions oppositely in osteogenic differentiation of BMSCs and ADSCs depending on distinct activation and roles of Wnt and BMP signaling pathway. *Cell Death Dis* 2015, **6**: e1851.
38. Meng YB, Li X, Li ZY, Zhao J, Yuan XB, Ren Y, *et al.* microRNA-21 promotes osteogenic differentiation of mesenchymal stem cells by the PI3K/beta-catenin pathway. *J Orthop Res* 2015, **33**(7): 957-964.
39. Zhang J, Liu H, Hou L, Wang G, Zhang R, Huang Y, *et al.* Circular RNA_LARP4 inhibits cell proliferation and invasion of gastric cancer by sponging miR-424-5p and regulating LATS1 expression. *Mol Cancer* 2017, **16**(1): 151.
40. Zhang H, Zhu L, Bai M, Liu Y, Zhan Y, Deng T, *et al.* Exosomal circRNA derived from gastric tumor promotes white adipose browning by targeting the miR-133/PRDM16 pathway. *Int J Cancer* 2019, **144**(10): 2501-2515.
41. Zou Y, Kong M. Tetrahydroxy stilbene glucoside alleviates palmitic acid-induced inflammation and apoptosis in cardiomyocytes by regulating miR-129-3p/Smad3 signaling. *Cell Mol Biol Lett* 2019, **24**: 5.
42. de Kroon LM, Narcisi R, van den Akker GG, Vitters EL, Blaney Davidson EN, van Osch GJ, *et al.* SMAD3 and SMAD4 have a more dominant role than SMAD2 in TGFbeta-induced chondrogenic differentiation of bone marrow-derived mesenchymal stem cells. *Sci Rep* 2017, **7**: 43164.
43. Xu X, Jeong L, Han J, Ito Y, Bringas P, Jr., Chai Y. Developmental expression of Smad1-7 suggests critical function of TGF-beta/BMP signaling in regulating epithelial-mesenchymal interaction during tooth morphogenesis. *Int J Dev Biol* 2003, **47**(1): 31-39.
44. Wu M, Chen G, Li YP. TGF-beta and BMP signaling in osteoblast, skeletal development, and bone formation, homeostasis and disease. *Bone Res* 2016, **4**: 16009.

45. Hao C, Yang S, Xu W, Shen JK, Ye S, Liu X, *et al.* MiR-708 promotes steroid-induced osteonecrosis of femoral head, suppresses osteogenic differentiation by targeting SMAD3. *Sci Rep* 2016, **6**: 22599.
46. Huang X, Liu F, Hou J, Chen K. Inflammation-induced overexpression of microRNA-223-3p regulates odontoblastic differentiation of human dental pulp stem cells by targeting SMAD3. *Int Endod J* 2019, **52**(4): 491-503.
47. Chen G, Deng C, Li YP. TGF-beta and BMP signaling in osteoblast differentiation and bone formation. *Int J Biol Sci* 2012, **8**(2): 272-288.

Figures

Figure 1

Identification of the circular structure. A. Head-to-tail splicing of circSIPA1L1, and its genome size and sequences tested by Sanger sequencing. a. Schematic representation of SIPA1L1-expressing plasmid. b. Agarose gel electrophoresis of RT-PCR products from HEK293T cells transfected with SIPA1L1-expressing plasmid or empty vector. c. Sanger sequencing of RT-PCR products from 293T cells, the arrows indicate fusion sites. B. We further confirmed that circSIPA1L1 was resistant to RNase R. rather than linear-

SIPA1L1 could resist digestion by RNase R. C. The existence of circSIPA1L1 was validated in 293T cell lines by RT-PCR. Divergent primers amplified circSIPA1L1 in cDNA but not genomic DNA (gDNA). GAPDH was used as negative control. D. FISH assay showed the localization of circSIPA1L1 in the cytoplasm. 18S and U6 were the internal control. E. Three circSIPA1L1 small interfering RNAs (siRNAs) specifically targeting the backsplice junction sequences at different binding sites in circSIPA1L1 were designed. F. Small interfering RNA silencing efficiency was detected by RT-PCR. The results showed that si-circSIPA1L1-1 and si-circSIPA1L1-3 could effectively knock down the expression of circSIPA1L1(*P < 0.05, **P < 0.01). G. The expression of circSIPA1L1 between NC and circSIPA1L1 group were detected by RT-PCR. H. Dynamic expressions of ALP, RUNX2 and OSX during DPSCs osteogenesis at day 0, 3 and 7. Dynamic expressions of circSIPA1L1 and miR-617 during DPSCs osteogenesis at day 0, 3 and 7. RNA level was normalized to that at day 0. GAPDH and U6 were the internal controls, respectively. *P < 0.05, **P < 0.01.

Figure 2

CircSIPA1L1 stimulates DPSCs osteogenesis. A. Western blot results revealed that the protein levels of OSX, RUNX2 and ALP significantly increased in circSIPA1L1 group compared with the NC group. B. Western blot assay showed higher protein levels of ALP, RUNX2, and OSX in Si-NC group than Si-circSIPA1L1-3 group and Si-circSIPA1L1-1 group respectively. GAPDH was the internal control. C. Images of alkaline phosphatase (ALP) staining in the different groups, Si-NC treatment led to the highest ALP activity. Cells were cultured for 7 days. After 14 days of co-culture, the formation of mineralized nodules in DPSCs in circSIPA1L1 group generated more calcified nodules than NC group, meanwhile, Si-NC group have more calcified nodules than Si-circSIPA1L1-3 group and Si-circSIPA1L1-1 group. D. Immunofluorescence staining showed positive expressions of ALP and OSX in DPSCs transfected with si-NC, si-circSIPA1L1-1 or si-circSIPA1L1-3, respectively. * $P < 0.05$, ** $P < 0.01$. E. The mRNA levels of OSX, RUNX2 and ALP in DPSCs measured by RT-PCR following 3-day osteogenesis. The results showed higher levels of ALP, RUNX2, and OSX in circSIPA1L1 group than NC group. F. Histograms showed quantification of Alizarin red staining by spectrophotometry of NC group and circSIPA1L1 group. G. H&E staining and Masson staining Si-NC, si-circSIPA1L1-1 and si-circSIPA1L1-3 groups. H&E and Masson staining showed less bone-like structures and collagen deposit in DPSCs of the circSIPA1L1-downexpressing group than control group. bone/dentin-like tissues(arrow), S around the scaffold, Scale Bar=100 μ m. H. The results of RT-PCR showed that the expression of ALP, RUNX2, and OSX were decreased in Si-circSIPA1L1-3 group and Si-circSIPA1L1-1 group compared with the Si-NC group. $n = 3$. ** $2^{-\Delta\Delta Ct} > 2$, $P < 0.01$; * $1 < 2^{-\Delta\Delta Ct} < 2$, $P < 0.05$. I. The quantification of Alizarin red staining by spectrophotometry revealed that si-circSIPA1L1-1 or si-circSIPA1L1-3 showed less calcified nodules than si-NC group.

Figure 3

CircSIPA1L1 sponges miR-617. A. Transfection efficacy of miR-617 mimics and inhibitor. B. Relative circSIPA1L1 expression level in DPSCs transfected with miR-617 mimics or inhibitor (**P < 0.01). C, D. FISH showed co-localization of circSIPA1L1 and miR-617 in the cytoplasm of DPSCs cells (arrow). U6 and 18S were the internal control. E. Binding sequences in 3'UTR of circSIPA1L1 and miR-617 predicted online. F.

Luciferase activity in 293T cells co-transfected with miR-617 mimics/NC and wild-type/mutant-type circSIPA1L1, respectively. *P< 0.05, **P< 0.01.

Figure 4

MiR-617 inhibits DPSCs osteogenesis. A. Western blot assay showed higher protein levels of ALP, RUNX2, and OSX in NC group and miR-617 inhibitor group than mimics group and miR-617 inhibitor NC group respectively. GAPDH was the internal control. B. Grayscale analyses. *P < 0.05 or **P < 0.01. C. RT-PCR

showed higher levels of ALP, RUNX2, and OSX in NC group and inhibitor than mimics group and iNC group respectively. D. ALP staining in DPSCs following 7-day osteogenesis with overexpression or knockdown of miR-617. E. Histograms showed quantification of Alizarin red staining by spectrophotometry. F. After 14 days of co-culture, Upper: alizarin red staining showed that miR-617 mimics group generated more calcified nodules than control group. MiR-617 inhibitor group generated more calcified nodules than iNC group. Lower: Mineralized nodules in different groups under the inverted microscope. (OM: osteogenic medium). G. Immunofluorescence showed positive expressions of ALP and OSX in DPSCs with overexpression or knockdown of miR-617. *P < 0.05, **P < 0.01.

Figure 5

MiR-617 directly targets Smad3.A. The Venn plots showed predicted downstream genes binding miR-617. B, C. Go annotation (B) and KEGG pathway analysis (C) showed the top 25 target genes and their

enriched pathways. GO: A field directly related to reproduction. D. Binding sequences in 3'UTR of miR-617 and Smad3 predicted online. The red letters represent the binding sequence of miR-617. Luciferase activity in 293T cells co-transfected with miR-617 mimics/NC and wild-type/mutant-type smad3, respectively.

Figure 6

CircSIPA1L1/miR-617/Smad3 axis is responsible for DPSCs osteogenesis. A. Western blot assay showed higher protein levels of Smad3 in miR-NC group and miR-617 inhibitor than miR-617 mimic group and miR-617 inhibitor NC group respectively. Meanwhile, si-circSIPA1L1-downexpressing group showed lower protein levels of Smad3 than control group. B,C. Grayscale analyses. $**P < 0.01$ or $***P < 0.001$. C Immunofluorescence assay revealed upregulated Smad3 in NC group and miR-617 inhibitor than miR-617 mimics group and miR-617 inhibitor NC group respectively. D. Immunofluorescence assay revealed upregulated Smad3 in Si-NC group compared with Si-circSIPA1L1-3 group and Si-circSIPA1L1-1 group. E. Protein levels of RUNX2, ALP, OSX and Smad3 in co-transfected DPSCs. $*P < 0.05$, $**P < 0.01$. F. Results of western blotting was analyzed with ImageJ software and data were presented as ratio of target protein to GAPDH in the form of grayscale value. ($*P < 0.05$, $**P < 0.01$).

Supplementary Files

This is a list of supplementary files associated with this preprint. Click to download.

- [supplementfile1.xls](#)
- [Supplementfigure.docx](#)