

# Multi-plane echocardiographic assessment of right ventricular function in adults with repaired Tetralogy of Fallot

Daniel Bowen (✉ [d.bowen@erasmusmc.nl](mailto:d.bowen@erasmusmc.nl))

Erasmus Medical Centre: Erasmus MC <https://orcid.org/0000-0003-0678-3563>

An van Berendoncks

University Hospital Antwerp: Universitair Ziekenhuis Antwerpen

Jackie McGhie

Erasmus Medical Centre: Erasmus MC

Jolien Roos-Hesselink

Erasmus Medical Centre: Erasmus MC

Annemien van den Bosch

Erasmus Medical Centre: Erasmus MC

---

## Research Article

**Keywords:** Pulmonary Valve Re-intervention, Four-wall Imaging Method, Electronic Plane Rotation, Right Ventricular Wall Function

**Posted Date:** March 22nd, 2021

**DOI:** <https://doi.org/10.21203/rs.3.rs-338489/v1>

**License:**  This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License.

[Read Full License](#)

---

# Abstract

**Background** In patients with repaired Tetralogy of Fallot (ToF), detailed assessment of right ventricular (RV) function is important for management and timing of possible pulmonary valve re-intervention. The aim of this study was to evaluate RV function using two-dimensional multi-plane echocardiography (2D MPE), a novel four-wall imaging method obtained from one apical acoustic window utilising electronic plane rotation.

**Methods and Results** In sixty-two ToF patients (aged - 28 [22, 39] years, 65 % male), systolic function of four different RV walls (lateral, anterior, inferior and inferior coronal) were evaluated using MPE. Tricuspid annular plane systolic excursion (TAPSE), tricuspid annular peak systolic velocity (RV-S') and RV wall longitudinal strain (RV-LS) measurements were compared with those of matched healthy individuals. 2D MPE measurements were highly feasible across the four RV walls (93.5-100% for TAPSE/S'; 66.1-95.1% for RV-LS) and could be performed more reliably than 3D RV ejection fraction (RVEF - 56.5%). All functional values were significantly reduced when compared to the control group ( $p < 0.001$ ). Higher RV-LS values were seen in the lateral ( $-17.8 \pm 4.5\%$ ) and inferior ( $-17.8 \pm 4.2\%$ ) walls compared to the anterior ( $-15.9 \pm 3.8\%$ ) and inferior coronal ( $-15.1 \pm 3.9\%$ ) walls. 3D RVEF correlated strongest with RV-LS values from the lateral ( $r -0.50$ ;  $p = 0.002$ ) and anterior walls ( $r -0.74$ ;  $p < 0.001$ ) and furthermore the four-wall average ( $r -0.57$ ;  $p = 0.001$ ).

**Conclusion** 2D MPE evaluation of the RV is highly feasible in ToF patients. This novel method provides new insights into regional RV wall function, enabling a more comprehensive and quantitative approach to RV assessment in daily clinical practice.

## Introduction

Tetralogy of Fallot (ToF) is one of the most prevalent congenital heart diseases encountered in adulthood. Owing to successful intervention in infancy, patient survival and subsequent quality of life has been dramatically improved in this population (1). Nevertheless, late cardiac complications, such as significant residual pulmonary regurgitation (PR), right ventricular (RV) dilatation and dysfunction, left ventricular (LV) dysfunction and arrhythmias are common (2). As a result, many patients will undergo further intervention in the form of a surgical or percutaneous pulmonary valve replacement. However, the optimal timing of intervention remains controversial and the impact upon RV function is not fully understood (3). RV dysfunction has been studied extensively in patients with repaired ToF and has been recognized as an important prognostic factor (1, 4). Whilst two-dimensional trans-thoracic echocardiography (2D-TTE) is most widely used in congenital heart disease, this imaging modality has inherent limitations. Given the structural complexity of the RV, with inlet, outlet and apical regions, it is not possible to visualise the entire chamber from a single 2D-TTE acoustic window (5). Furthermore, quantitative functional parameters are limited to one free wall region of the RV, namely the lateral wall. This is a limitation that may result in an over or under estimation of global RV function (6).

In order to address these drawbacks, our research group previously introduced a novel imaging approach utilising 2D multi-plane echocardiography (MPE). Whilst maintaining a fixed RV apical transducer position, four different RV walls based on anatomic landmarks (lateral, anterior, inferior and inferior coronal) – (figure 1), can be imaged with a 3D ultrasound transducer using electronic plane rotation (7). This new method, also known as iRotate mode, allows for a more detailed quantitative assessment of global and regional RV function than is presently performed using a standard multi-view 2D echocardiographic approach.

The aims of this study are a) to evaluate the feasibility of this multi-plane method to quantify RV function in patients with repaired ToF and b) to assess regional RV wall differences within this patient population as compared to those of age and gender matched healthy individuals.

## Methods

### *Study population and echocardiographic acquisition*

All ToF patients seen routinely in the congenital cardiology outpatient clinic at our centre between 2014 and 2017 who had at least moderate to good echocardiographic image quality were included in this study. These individuals underwent detailed RV assessment by 2D multi-plane echocardiography, in addition to clinical evaluation and 12 lead electrocardiogram (ECG). All echocardiograms were performed by one echocardiographer (JSM) specialised in congenital echocardiography. Studies were acquired using an iE33 or EPIQ7 ultrasound system (Phillips Medical Systems, Amsterdam, The Netherlands) equipped with an X5-1 matrix array transducer (composed of 3040 elements with 1-5MHz). All RV focussed images were acquired at frame rates of  $\geq 50$  frames/s in order for longitudinal strain analysis to be performed. Real-time 3D-TTE was performed immediately after the 2D-TTE with the same ultrasound unit and transducer. A four- or six-beat full volume dataset ( $27 \pm 6$  vol/s, range 18–46) of the RV was acquired from the apical window during a single breath hold. To be able to compare the multi-plane and 3D RV values with a healthy population, we used a control group matched for age and gender. For this control group, self-declared healthy volunteers were prospectively recruited through advertisements, the details of which have been published previously (7). The study was carried out according to the principles of the Declaration of Helsinki, was approved by the local medical ethics committee and written informed consent was obtained from all subjects.

### *Conventional RV echocardiographic measurements*

2D/3D echocardiographic parameters for left and right ventricular size and function were collected in addition to the grading of any valvular lesions. RV basal and longitudinal linear dimensions alongside fractional area change (FAC – calculated as end-diastolic area – end-systolic area/end-diastolic area x 100) were measured in the standard focused RV apical four chamber view conforming to international guidelines (8). The degree of pulmonary regurgitation was defined quantitatively and semi-quantitatively using colour, pulsed and continuous wave Doppler assessment and graded as either less than (<) or equal or greater than ( $\geq$ ) moderate in severity. A dense diastolic regurgitant flow signal on CW Doppler,

wide jet width on colour Doppler, a short pressure half time measurement (<100ms) and/or presence of diastolic backflow in the main pulmonary artery and branches were seen as evidence of significant regurgitation (9).

### *Right ventricular assessment by 2D multi-plane echocardiography.*

2D multi-plane echocardiographic assessment of the RV has been previously demonstrated by our centre and allows for multiple RV walls to be assessed from one echocardiographic position (6, 7). To acquire the four additional RV views, a focused, non-foreshortened RV view is required with the RV apex and interventricular septum centred along or as near to the midline of the imaging sector as possible. This allows for a full electronic rotation around the RV apex whilst maintaining a fixed probe position. The first view at 0° shows the lateral RV wall with the left sided landmark being the mitral valve. The second view at approximately +40° shows the anterior RV wall and the coronary sinus, thirdly at approximately -40° the inferior RV wall and the aortic valve and lastly at approximately -90° the inferior coronal view (CV) with the inferior wall and the anterior segment of the right ventricular outflow tract (RVOT) (figure 1; supplementary videos 1-4). With correct alignment and complete RV wall visualization, it is possible to perform quantitative analysis of RV function on all walls (figure 2). Feasibility and values of the established RV functional echo parameters, tricuspid annular plane systolic excursion (TAPSE), tissue Doppler imaging derived tricuspid annular peak systolic velocity (RV-S') and RV wall longitudinal strain (RV-LS) were assessed retrospectively by an experienced echocardiographer (DB) on each of the 4 wall segments. TAPSE and RV-S' parameters were deemed feasible to measure if the respective M-mode or tissue Doppler tracing was of adequate quality for the measurement to be performed accurately. In addition to the values from the individual RV walls, a multi-wall average was calculated when at least three walls from one individual were feasible to measure.

### *RV speckle tracking analysis*

The four RV wall datasets were digitally exported to a vendor-neutral server (TomTec Imaging Systems, Unterschleissheim, Germany) and data analysis was performed offline by one independent observer (DB), using DICOM greyscale images. To assess peak systolic global longitudinal RV strain, an RV algorithm wall motion tracking software was used (2D CPA, Image-Arena version 4.6; TomTec Imaging Systems). The endocardial border of the RV free wall and septum were manually traced at end systole and adjusted accordingly in end diastole if required. This was performed in each of the four multi-plane views previously described. A single segment strain value for the RV free wall calculated by the software is reported in this study (RV-LS). A measurement was considered feasible if all portions of the RV wall tracked accurately throughout the cardiac cycle. In cases where tracking was not accurate, attempts were made to re-adjust the endocardial border manually.

### *RV 3D analysis*

The 3D datasets were digitally exported to the same TomTec server and analysed retrospectively by DB using specialised RV analysis software (TomTec 4D-RV function 2.0). After placing set landmarks, RV

volumes and ejection fraction (RVEF) were automatically calculated over the entire cardiac cycle. If inadequate tracking occurred the endocardial contours were manually adjusted however if this was not successful, the dataset was deemed unfeasible to measure and excluded from analysis.

### *Statistical Analysis*

The distribution of data was assessed using histograms and the Shapiro–Wilk test. Depending on the data distribution, continuous data is presented as mean  $\pm$  standard deviation (SD) or median [inter-quartile range], whilst categorical data is presented as frequencies and percentages. For comparison of normally distributed continuous variables the independent samples T-test was used and in case of skewed distribution, the Mann–Whitney U-test was applied. For comparison of frequencies the  $\chi^2$  test or Fisher's exact test was used. For comparison of within-subject continuous parameters, the ANOVA test was used. Intra-observer agreement was assessed by repeated analysis in a random sample of ten study subjects performed at least six months after the initial analysis and blinded to the initial results. Assessment of inter-observer agreement was performed by a second observer in the same sample (JSM). The agreement between two measurements was determined as the mean of the differences  $+1.96$  SD. Additionally, the coefficient of variation was provided (SD of the differences of two measurements divided by their mean). All statistical analyses were performed using the Statistical Package for Social Sciences version 25 (SPSS, Inc., Armonk, NY, USA). The statistical tests were two-sided and a p-value  $<0.05$  was considered statistically significant.

## **Results**

Sixty-two surgically corrected Tetralogy of Fallot patients (median age – 28 [22, 39] years, 65% male; age at surgical correction - 1.1 [0.5, 3.6] years) were included in this study (*table 1*). Detailed RV assessment by 2D multi-plane echocardiography was performed in all patients. Twenty-seven (44%) of these patients had undergone at least one pulmonary valve re-intervention (PVI) prior to echocardiography (age at PVI:  $25.9 \pm 12.4$  years; 21 (77.7%) surgical replacement with homograft valve; 5 (18.5%) percutaneous Melody valve implantation following previous surgical intervention; 1 (3.7%) surgical valvotomy). Twenty-two (36%) patients had echocardiographic evidence of RV volume overload ( $\geq$  moderate pulmonary regurgitation), eleven (18%) with pressure overload (tricuspid regurgitation velocity  $\geq 2.8$ m/s), thirteen (21%) with both pressure and volume overload criteria and sixteen (25.8%) had no evidence of RV overload. Sixty-two age and gender matched healthy subjects (median age – 29.7 [26.6, 35.8] years, 53% male) who were recruited for a separate study at our centre underwent the same echocardiographic imaging and were used as a control group (*table 1*).

### *Multi-plane parameters for RV function*

Feasibility of multi-plane TAPSE and RV S' measurements were excellent across all RV walls individually and as a multi-wall average (93.5-100%). Feasibility of RV-LS was highest in the lateral and inferior walls (95.2% and 83.9%) and there was moderate feasibility for the anterior and inferior CV walls (66.1%). An averaged value calculated from  $\geq 3$  RV walls was possible in 79% of cases. In comparison with the ToF

group, the lateral wall feasibility was lower in controls (82.3%) but in contrast the inferior CV wall was higher (74.2%). Feasibility of 3D echo-derived RVEF in ToF patients was lower than any multi-plane measurement (56.5%), but was higher in controls (72.6%). When comparing ToF patients to normal healthy individuals, mean four-wall averaged TAPSE was  $16.5 \pm 3.7\text{mm}$  vs  $25.9 \pm 2.8\text{mm}$  ( $p = <0.001$ ); averaged RV-S' was  $10.2 \pm 2.2\text{cm/s}$  vs  $11.8 \pm 1.6\text{cm/s}$  ( $p = <0.001$ ) and averaged RV-LS was  $-16.8 \pm 3.2\%$  vs  $-23.6 \pm 3.2\%$  ( $p = <0.001$ ). Mean values for each segment are described in *table 2* and presented in *figure 3*. In ToF patients, the values of all three respective functional parameters were highest in the lateral and inferior walls. These differences were most pronounced in RV-LS measurements (lateral wall  $-17.8 \pm 4.5\%$ ; anterior:  $-15.9 \pm 3.8\%$ ; inferior:  $-17.8 \pm 4.2\%$ ; inferior CV:  $-15.1 \pm 3.9\%$ ,  $p = <0.05$  for lateral and inferior segments vs inferior CV). Mean 3D RVEF was  $45.7 \pm 7.0\%$  in ToF patients and  $59.1 \pm 4.0\%$  in controls ( $p = <0.001$ ). All RV dimensions and multi-plane functional parameters in ToF patients were significantly reduced compared with those of healthy controls ( $p = < 0.001$  or  $<0.01$ ) with the exception of inferior CV wall RV-S' measurement ( $p = 0.09$ ).

### *Comparison with 3D echocardiography*

There were positive correlations between the multi-plane TAPSE and RV-S' parameters and 3D RVEF (*table 3*;  $r$  values 0.38-0.51,  $p = <0.03$ ). *Figure 4* demonstrates positive correlations between RV-LS and 3D RVEF measurements for the lateral ( $r = -0.50$ ,  $p = 0.002$ ), anterior ( $r = -0.74$ ,  $p = <0.001$ ) and inferior ( $r = -0.38$ ,  $p = 0.03$ ) walls and also the four-wall averaged value ( $r = -0.57$ ,  $p = 0.001$ ). There was however no significant correlation with the inferior CV wall ( $r = -0.13$ ,  $p = 0.53$ ).

### *Intra-observer and Inter-observer agreement*

Intra- and inter-observer agreement for RV wall longitudinal strain measurement were evaluated in a random subset of 10 subjects in all four views (*table 4 and figure 5*). The mean difference of the intra-observer measurement for each view was as follows: lateral wall,  $-0.5 \pm 2.5\%$ ; anterior wall,  $0.4 \pm 1.6\%$ ; inferior wall,  $0.6 \pm 1.1\%$ ; inferior CV wall,  $-1.2 \pm 3.0\%$ ; multi-wall average,  $-0.2 \pm 1.5\%$ . The mean difference of the inter-observer measurement was: lateral wall,  $0.6 \pm 2.9\%$ ; anterior wall,  $0.6 \pm 5.0\%$ ; inferior wall,  $0.1 \pm 4.5\%$ ; inferior CV wall,  $-1.0 \pm 3.8\%$ ; multi-wall average,  $0.1 \pm 1.7\%$ .

## **Discussion**

2D MPE is a novel imaging approach for the assessment of regional and global right ventricular function in patients with repaired ToF. From one apical acoustic window, visualisation and quantification of four different RV walls (lateral, anterior and two inferior walls) can be performed using electronic plane rotation. Moreover, this technique is easily applicable in daily clinical practice when image quality is reasonable, has a short-learning curve and additional acquisition time. Quantification of RV function using 2D MPE was highly feasible and functional values correlated positively with 3D RVEF measurement. Multi-plane functional values in ToF patients are reduced compared to those of healthy individuals in all RV walls. Furthermore, there are evident RV regional differences present, namely higher values in the lateral and inferior walls compared to those of the anterior and inferior CV walls. As a result,

multi-wall averaged values were reflective of lower global RV wall function when compared to the standard lateral wall value.

### *Right ventricular echocardiographic assessment in repaired Tetralogy of Fallot*

All multi-plane functional parameters were significantly reduced in ToF patients compared to matched healthy controls. It is reported that RV systolic function as assessed using conventional echocardiographic parameters such as TAPSE measurement is impaired in >75% of cases (10). Furthermore, the use of speckle tracking also reveals the prevalence of abnormalities in RV myocardial deformation (11, 12). Of the three parameters assessed in this study, RV-LS is reported to have the strongest correlation with CMR-derived RVEF when compared to TAPSE or RV S' measurements (13). 74% of ToF patients included in this study had echocardiographic evidence of either pressure overload, volume overload or a combination of both. It is widely accepted that long term overload of the RV leads to progressive chamber dilatation and dysfunction, and provides one of the main risk factors for adverse cardiovascular events (4). Notably, RV global longitudinal strain has been shown to be significantly lower in chronic pressure overload compared to volume overload (14, 15). However, volume overload from chronic PR leads to pronounced apical broadening and thus eccentric bulging of the RV free wall (16, 17). This change in RV geometry towards a rounded apical curvature can result in increased wall stress and reduced apical deformation (18). For this reason, RV free wall strain progressively decreases from base to apex in ToF (11, 17, 18). Despite using a single segment RV-LS measurement, this notion accounts for a lower average value of the entire RV wall in all multi-plane views when compared to normal RV geometry.

Detailed RV functional assessment using 2D MPE was more feasible than by 3D echo in ToF patients. In addition to superior spatial and temporal resolution (19), each 2D MPE view can be optimised by the sonographer to improve RV wall endocardial border visualisation, whether by altering probe position, angulation or instrumentation. This can also be used to overcome difficulties posed by severely dilated RV's which 3D pyramidal datasets may fail to fully accommodate. Due to retro-sternal orientation, the RV anterior wall and RV apex are particularly affected by echo artefacts and dropout and these regions can become more difficult to track reliably with 3D.

### *New insights from multi-plane RV function assessment*

The basis of this study was the work carried out in our centre by McGhie et al. (2017) (6), demonstrating feasibility of this four-view multi-plane RV assessment model and proposing normal values in a healthy population. The authors reported higher mean TAPSE, RV-S' and RV-LS values in the lateral and anterior walls compared to the inferior and inferior CV walls, albeit still within normal reported limits (8, 19, 20). Regional differences were also observed in the present study, with the highest values of all functional parameters observed in the lateral and inferior walls. Functional values of the anterior wall were notably reduced. Since this RV wall is most proximal to the RVOT, the presence of a trans-annular patch from primary surgical reconstruction may explain reduced function in this region. This same multi-plane model has been applied in a cohort of children with repaired ToF (21), where conversely the lowest longitudinal strain values were reported in the two RV inferior walls. The inferior wall also correlated strongest with

cardiac magnetic resonance (CMR) derived RVEF. In the present study, all MPE functional values correlated positively with 3D RVEF, with the exception of inferior CV wall RV-LS. LV-RV interdependence by virtue of the interlacing fibers of the interventricular septum (IVS) may account for these regional differences in RV wall function (5). Given that the inferior CV wall lies most adjacent to the IVS, reduced or altered septal contraction could plausibly impact the deformation of this wall more than the lateral wall. Therefore, RV-LS measurement here may not be reflective of global RV function, hence the weak correlation with RVEF. Further insights of interdependence include the notion that a reduction in LV twist alters right ventricular mechanics in ToF patients (22, 23). It has also previously been demonstrated that reduced RV strain, more than dilatation was a predictor of abnormal LV torsion, highlighting the interdependence of these deformational parameters (12).

### *Limitations*

This is a single centre study with many inherently associated limitations including a relatively small study group with smaller sub-groups. Due to the retrospective nature of the study, an insufficient number of patients underwent cardiac magnetic resonance imaging (cMRI) at the same time as echocardiography therefore the two imaging modalities were not able to be compared. It has however previously been demonstrated that 3D echo-derived RVEF correlates strongly with the gold-standard cMRI-derived measurement (24). The general aim of the study was to determine the feasibility of multi-plane RV echocardiographic assessment and quantification in ToF patients and furthermore to report any regional differences in the RV walls. Interpretation of RV septal function should also incorporate indices of RV synchronicity in addition to LV deformation. Whilst this would be of particular interest in ToF patients, it was felt to be beyond the scope and aims of the present study and remains for future research to investigate. Finally, owing to its retro-sternal position, adequate visualisation of the entire anterior wall of the RVOT was only possible in a small group of the study population and was thus omitted. This region of the RV remains difficult to assess quantitatively with echocardiography.

### *Future perspectives*

The next step is to enrol patients in a multi-centre study with other specialist congenital heart disease centres to further assess this novel echocardiographic method in addition to comparison with CMR. Multi-plane RV assessment pre- and post-pulmonary valve intervention would also be of added value. This method could be extended to a broader range of other congenital pathologies involving volume or pressure overload of the right ventricle in addition to primary or secondary pulmonary hypertension. Furthermore, segmental assessment of deformation may provide more insights into the synchronicity of RV wall contraction. Multi-plane imaging of the RV is a novel method and all echocardiograms were performed by an experienced sonographer with expertise in congenital heart disease. Whilst we believe that multi-plane assessment of the right ventricle involves a short learning curve of around 20 echocardiograms, significant experience in echocardiography with attention to detail is essential to good image quality. This method enables the echocardiographer to assess RV function in greater detail and could be utilised in centres without the availability of RV 3D analysis software.

# Conclusion

This is the first study to implement 2D multi-plane echocardiography of the RV in adults with repaired Tetralogy of Fallot. Multi-plane functional quantification was highly feasible and values of TAPSE, RV-S' and RV-LS were significantly reduced compared to healthy individuals. This novel method provides new insights into regional RV wall function in ToF patients, with higher functional values in the lateral and inferior walls compared to the anterior and inferior CV walls. Given the reduced availability of other imaging modalities, the integration of 2D MPE into routine TTE can enable a more comprehensive and quantitative assessment of RV function in ToF patients.

# References

1. Larios G, Friedberg MK. Imaging in repaired tetralogy of Fallot with a focus on recent advances in echocardiography. *Curr Opin Cardiol*. 2017;32(5):490-502.
2. Apitz C, Webb GD, Redington AN. Tetralogy of Fallot. *Lancet*. 2009;374(9699):1462-71.
3. Meijboom FJ, Roos-Hesselink JW, McGhie JS, Spitaels SE, van Domburg RT, Utens LM, et al. Consequences of a selective approach toward pulmonary valve replacement in adult patients with tetralogy of Fallot and pulmonary regurgitation. *J Thorac Cardiovasc Surg*. 2008;135(1):50-5.
4. Greutmann M. Tetralogy of Fallot, pulmonary valve replacement, and right ventricular volumes: are we chasing the right target? *Eur Heart J*. 2016;37(10):836-9.
5. Dell'Italia LJ. Anatomy and physiology of the right ventricle. *Cardiol Clin*. 2012;30(2):167-87.
6. McGhie JS, Menting ME, Vletter WB, Frowijn R, Roos-Hesselink JW, van der Zwaan HB, et al. Quantitative assessment of the entire right ventricle from one acoustic window: an attractive approach. *Eur Heart J Cardiovasc Imaging*. 2017;18(7):754-62.
7. McGhie JS, Menting ME, Vletter WB, Frowijn R, Roos-Hesselink JW, Soliman OI, et al. A Novel 13-Segment Standardized Model for Assessment of Right Ventricular Function Using Two-Dimensional iRotate Echocardiography. *Echocardiography*. 2016;33(3):353-61.
8. Lang RM, Badano LP, Mor-Avi V, Afilalo J, Armstrong A, Ernande L, et al. Recommendations for cardiac chamber quantification by echocardiography in adults: an update from the American Society of Echocardiography and the European Association of Cardiovascular Imaging. *Eur Heart J Cardiovasc Imaging*. 2015;16(3):233-70.
9. Van Berendoncks A, Van Grootel R, McGhie J, van Kranenburg M, Menting M, Cuypers J, et al. Echocardiographic parameters of severe pulmonary regurgitation after surgical repair of tetralogy of Fallot. *Congenit Heart Dis*. 2019;14(4):628-37.

10. Cuypers JA, Menting ME, Konings EE, Opic P, Utens EM, Helbing WA, et al. Unnatural history of tetralogy of Fallot: prospective follow-up of 40 years after surgical correction. *Circulation*. 2014;130(22):1944-53.
11. Menting ME, van den Bosch AE, McGhie JS, Eindhoven JA, Cuypers JA, Witsenburg M, et al. Assessment of ventricular function in adults with repaired Tetralogy of Fallot using myocardial deformation imaging. *Eur Heart J Cardiovasc Imaging*. 2015;16(12):1347-57.
12. Dragulescu A, Friedberg MK, Grosse-Wortmann L, Redington A, Mertens L. Effect of chronic right ventricular volume overload on ventricular interaction in patients after tetralogy of Fallot repair. *J Am Soc Echocardiogr*. 2014;27(8):896-902.
13. Kavurt AV, Pac FA, Koca S, Mutlu Mihcioglu A, Yigit H. The evaluation of right ventricular systolic function in patients with repaired Tetralogy of Fallot by conventional echocardiographic methods and speckle tracking echocardiography: Compared with the gold standard cardiac magnetic resonance. *Echocardiography*. 2019;36(12):2251-8.
14. Van Berendoncks AML, Bowen DJ, McGhie J, Cuypers J, Kauling RM, Roos-Hesselink J, et al. Quantitative assessment of the entire right ventricle from one acoustic window: An attractive approach in patients with congenital heart disease in daily practice. *Int J Cardiol*. 2021.
15. Werther Evaldsson A, Ingvarsson A, Waktare J, Smith GJ, Thilén U, Stagmo M, et al. Right ventricular speckle tracking assessment for differentiation of pressure- versus volume-overloaded right ventricle. *Clin Physiol Funct Imaging*. 2018;38(5):763-71.
16. Sheehan FH, Ge S, Vick GW, 3rd, Urnes K, Kerwin WS, Bolson EL, et al. Three-dimensional shape analysis of right ventricular remodeling in repaired tetralogy of Fallot. *Am J Cardiol*. 2008;101(1):107-13.
17. van der Hulst AE, Roest AA, Holman ER, de Roos A, Blom NA, Bax JJ, et al. Real-time three-dimensional echocardiography: segmental analysis of the right ventricle in patients with repaired tetralogy of fallot. *J Am Soc Echocardiogr*. 2011;24(11):1183-90.
18. Dragulescu A, Grosse-Wortmann L, Redington A, Friedberg MK, Mertens L. Differential effect of right ventricular dilatation on myocardial deformation in patients with atrial septal defects and patients after tetralogy of Fallot repair. *Int J Cardiol*. 2013;168(2):803-10.
19. Voigt JU, Cvijic M. 2- and 3-Dimensional Myocardial Strain in Cardiac Health and Disease. *JACC Cardiovasc Imaging*. 2019;12(9):1849-63.
20. Muraru D, Onciul S, Peluso D, Soriani N, Cucchini U, Aruta P, et al. Sex- and Method-Specific Reference Values for Right Ventricular Strain by 2-Dimensional Speckle-Tracking Echocardiography. *Circ Cardiovasc Imaging*. 2016;9(2):e003866.

21. Bao SF, Zhang YQ, Chen LJ, Zhong YM, Wang Q, Zhang ZF. Assessment of right ventricular systolic function in children with repaired tetralogy of Fallot by multiple-view from single acoustic window with speckle tracking echocardiography. *Echocardiography*. 2019;36(1):133-41.
22. Menting ME, Eindhoven JA, van den Bosch AE, Cuypers JA, Ruys TP, van Dalen BM, et al. Abnormal left ventricular rotation and twist in adult patients with corrected tetralogy of Fallot. *Eur Heart J Cardiovasc Imaging*. 2014;15(5):566-74.
23. van Grootel RWJ, van den Bosch AE, Baggen VJM, Menting ME, Baart SJ, Cuypers J, et al. The Prognostic Value of Myocardial Deformation in Adult Patients With Corrected Tetralogy of Fallot. *J Am Soc Echocardiogr*. 2019;32(7):866-75 e2.
24. van der Zwaan HB, Geleijnse ML, McGhie JS, Boersma E, Helbing WA, Meijboom FJ, et al. Right ventricular quantification in clinical practice: two-dimensional vs. three-dimensional echocardiography compared with cardiac magnetic resonance imaging. *Eur J Echocardiogr*. 2011;12(9):656-64.

## Tables

**Table 1 – Clinical and electrocardiographic characteristics of patients with repaired Tetralogy of Fallot and healthy age and gender matched controls.**

	<b>Tetralogy of Fallot (n – 62)</b>	<b>Controls (n – 62)</b>	<b>p-value</b>
<b>Clinical</b>			
Age (years)	28.0 [22.0, 39.0]	29.7 [26.6, 35.8]	0.43
Male, n (%)	40 (64.5)	33 (53.2)	0.20
Height (cm)	175.8 ± 11.2	175.9 ± 9.0	0.87
Weight (kg)	71.2 ± 13.5	71.8 ± 11.2	0.93
Body Mass Index (kg/m <sup>2</sup> )	22.9 ± 3.0	23.1 ± 2.8	0.87
Systolic blood pressure (mmHg)	122 ± 15	123 ± 12	0.67
Diastolic blood pressure (mmHg)	76 ± 11	77 ± 7	0.76
Sinus rhythm, n (%)	57 (91.9)	62 (100)	<b>0.021</b>
Heart rate (beats/min)	63 [57, 70]	60 [53, 65]	<b>0.043</b>
QRS duration (msec)	141 ± 30	96 ± 9	<b>&lt;0.001</b>
Age at initial surgical correction (years)	1.1 [0.5, 3.6]	-	
Trans-annular patch used, n (%)	37 (59.7)	-	
Age at pulmonary valve intervention (n = 27, years)	25.9 ± 12.7	-	
<b>Echocardiography</b>			
RV basal dimension (mm)	46.5 ± 9.3	39.0 ± 5.7	<b>&lt;0.001</b>
RV length dimension (mm)	90.5 ± 8.8	82.0 ± 7.8	<b>&lt;0.001</b>
RV end diastolic area (cm <sup>2</sup> )	34.9 ± 9.6	26.0 ± 4.8	<b>&lt;0.001</b>
RV end systolic area (cm <sup>2</sup> )	23.7 ± 8.1	15.2 ± 3.4	<b>&lt;0.001</b>
Fractional area change (%)	32.9 ± 8.9	41.9 ± 6.7	<b>&lt;0.001</b>
RV 3D end diastolic volume (ml)	195.8 [150.5, 255.1]*	103.0 [88.0, 120.0]**	<b>&lt;0.001</b>
RV 3D end systolic volume (ml)	102.6 [82.9, 158.7]*	43.0 [33.5, 51.0]**	<b>&lt;0.001</b>
Tricuspid regurgitation velocity (m/s)	2.6 [2.5, 3.0]	-	
≥Moderate tricuspid regurgitation	4 (6.6)	-	
≥Moderate pulmonary regurgitation	35 (57.4)	-	
≥Moderate pulmonary stenosis	3 (4.9)	-	

Data expressed as mean  $\pm$  standard deviation; median [inter-quartile range] or number (%). RV – right ventricle. \* n = 35; \*\* n = 45.

**Table 2 – Comparison of 3D and 2D multi-plane echocardiographic functional parameters inpatients with repaired Tetralogy of Fallot and healthy age and gender matched controls.**

	Fallot (n = 62)	Feasibility (%)	Controls (n – 62)	Feasibility (%)	p-value
<b>RV 3D ejection fraction</b>	45.7 $\pm$ 7.0	56.5	59.1 $\pm$ 4.0	72.6	<b>&lt;0.001</b>
<b>TAPSE (mm)</b>					
Lateral wall	16.8 $\pm$ 4.1	100.0	26.6 $\pm$ 3.7	98.4	<b>&lt;0.001</b>
Anterior wall	15.8 $\pm$ 4.3	96.8	26.6 $\pm$ 3.3	95.2	<b>&lt;0.001</b>
Inferior wall	17.0 $\pm$ 3.9	98.4	25.8 $\pm$ 3.1	98.4	<b>&lt;0.001</b>
Inferior CV wall	16.4 $\pm$ 3.5	93.5	24.2 $\pm$ 2.7	91.9	<b>&lt;0.001</b>
RV wall average	16.5 $\pm$ 3.7	100.0	25.9 $\pm$ 2.8	95.2	<b>&lt;0.001</b>
<b>RV-S' (cm/s)</b>					
Lateral wall	10.6 $\pm$ 2.4	100.0	12.7 $\pm$ 1.8	96.8	<b>&lt;0.001</b>
Anterior wall	9.9 $\pm$ 2.2	98.4	12.5 $\pm$ 2.0	93.5	<b>&lt;0.001</b>
Inferior wall	10.3 $\pm$ 2.4	96.8	11.7 $\pm$ 2.0	93.5	<b>0.001</b>
Inferior CV wall	9.8 $\pm$ 2.2	96.8	10.4 $\pm$ 1.6	90.3	0.09
RV wall average	10.2 $\pm$ 2.2	100.0	11.8 $\pm$ 1.6	95.2	<b>&lt;0.001</b>
<b>RV-LS (%)</b>					
Lateral wall	-17.8 $\pm$ 4.5	95.2	-25.6 $\pm$ 3.6	82.3	<b>&lt;0.001</b>
Anterior wall	-15.9 $\pm$ 3.8	66.1	-23.7 $\pm$ 4.4	62.9	<b>&lt;0.001</b>
Inferior wall	-17.8 $\pm$ 4.2	83.9	-23.5 $\pm$ 4.8	77.4	<b>&lt;0.001</b>
Inferior CV wall	-15.1 $\pm$ 3.9	66.1	-20.8 $\pm$ 5.3	74.2	<b>&lt;0.001</b>
RV wall average	-16.8 $\pm$ 3.2	79.0	-23.6 $\pm$ 3.2	72.6	<b>&lt;0.001</b>

Data expressed as mean  $\pm$  standard deviation. RV wall average feasibility if  $\geq 3$  walls measureable (not including septum). RV – right ventricle; TAPSE – tricuspid annular plane systolic excursion; RV-S' –

tricuspid annular systolic velocity by tissue Doppler imaging; RV-LS – right ventricular wall longitudinal strain.

**Table 3 – Correlations between 3D right ventricular ejection fraction and 2D multi-plane echocardiographic functional parameters in patients with repaired Tetralogy of Fallot**

	Pearson's r	P-value
<b>TAPSE (mm)</b>		
Lateral wall	0.53	<b>0.001</b>
Anterior wall	0.41	<b>0.016</b>
Inferior wall	0.44	<b>0.009</b>
Inferior CV wall	0.51	<b>0.002</b>
Average	0.51	<b>0.002</b>
<b>RV-S' (cm/s)</b>		
Lateral wall	0.48	<b>0.003</b>
Anterior wall	0.38	<b>0.025</b>
Inferior wall	0.42	<b>0.013</b>
Inferior CV wall	0.45	<b>0.008</b>
Average	0.46	<b>0.005</b>
<b>RV-LS (%)*</b>		
Lateral wall	-0.50	<b>0.002</b>
Anterior wall	-0.74	<b>0.000</b>
Inferior wall	-0.38	<b>0.033</b>
Inferior CV wall	-0.13	0.53
Average	-0.57	<b>0.001</b>

Average feasibility if  $\geq 3$  segments measurable. TAPSE – tricuspid annular plane systolic excursion; RV-S' – tricuspid annular systolic velocity by tissue Doppler imaging; RV-LS – right ventricular wall longitudinal strain. \*More negative RV-LS values indicate better function

Table 4. Intra and inter-observer agreement for RV wall longitudinal strain measurement.

	Intra-observer		Inter-observer	
	Mean difference	Coefficient of variation (%)	Mean difference	Coefficient of variation (%)
<b>RV-LS (%)</b>				
Lateral wall	-0.5 ± 2.5	12.9	0.6 ± 2.9	11.2
Anterior wall	0.4 ± 1.6	10.1	0.6 ± 5.0	19.6
Inferior wall	0.6 ± 1.1	5.4	0.1 ± 4.5	19.5
Inferior CV wall	-1.2 ± 3.0	17.3	-1.0 ± 3.8	18.1
Average	-0.2 ± 1.5	8.1	0.1 ± 1.7	7.0

Data expressed as mean ± standard deviation. RV-LS – right ventricular wall longitudinal strain.

## Figures






Figure 1

Multi-plane imaging of the right ventricle (RV) using 2D multi-plane echocardiography. Views obtained by electronic plane rotation around a single RV focused apical echocardiographic position. 0° rotation: lateral wall; +40°: anterior wall; -40°: inferior wall; -90°: inferior wall coronal view also visualizing the right ventricular outflow tract.



**Figure 2**

Echocardiographic images of the four multi-plane right ventricular (RV) views (A-D) with corresponding quantitative functional parameters of the respective RV walls (L-R panels). A – Focused four chamber view (0°), lateral wall; B – coronary sinus view (+40°), anterior wall; C – aortic view (-40°), inferior wall; D – coronal view (-90°), inferior wall and RVOT anterior wall. Second panel (centre left): tricuspid annular plane systolic excursion (TAPSE); third panel, (centre right): tricuspid annular peak systolic velocity (RV-S'); fourth panel (far right): RV wall longitudinal strain (RV-LS). LV - left ventricle; CS - coronary sinus; AoV - aortic valve; RVOT - right ventricular outflow tract.



**Figure 3**

Bar charts presenting multi-plane RV echocardiographic parameters in ToF patients and in healthy controls. A – Tricuspid annular plane systolic excursion (TAPSE); B – tricuspid annular peak systolic velocity (RV-S'); C – RV wall longitudinal strain (RV-LS).








Figure 4

Scatter plot presenting correlations between multi-plane right ventricular wall longitudinal strain (RV-LS) and three dimensional echo-derived right ventricular ejection fraction (3D RVEF).

### Intra-observer agreement



### Inter-observer agreement



## Figure 5

Bland-Altman plots demonstrating intra- and inter-observer agreement of RV wall longitudinal strain (RV-LS) measurement. Top panel shows intra-observer agreement for the lateral wall (A) and multi-wall average (B). Bottom panel shows inter-observer agreement for the lateral wall (C) and multi-wall average (D). The solid lines depict the mean difference of two measurements and the dashed lines depict the limits of agreement. COV – coefficient of variation.

## Supplementary Files

This is a list of supplementary files associated with this preprint. Click to download.

- [Video1ToFMVview.avi](#)
- [Video2ToFCSview.avi](#)
- [Video3ToFAVview.avi](#)
- [Video4ToFCVview.avi](#)